

# Blending and Bagging

## 一、简介

我们像调制鸡尾酒一样来对待我们备选模型，我们大致有几种方案：

1. 最佳原则——validation
2. uniformly vote
3. non-uniformly vote
4. conditionally

建模，假设有T个备选的 $g$ ,  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_T$

$$G(x) = g_{t_*}(x) \quad (1)$$

$$t_* = \operatorname{argmin}_{t \in 1, 2, \dots, T} E_{\text{val}}(g_t)$$

$$G(x) = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T 1 * g_t(x)\right) \quad (2)$$

$$G(x) = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t * g_t(x)\right) \quad (3)$$

$\text{with } \alpha_t \geq 0$

$$G(x) = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T q_t(x) g_t(x)\right) \quad (4)$$

$\text{with } q_t(x) \geq 0$

可以发现最后一种情况包山包海，可以把前三种情况算在它的范畴里面

## 二、Uniform Blending

1. Classification:

$$G(x) = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{t=1}^T 1 * g_t(x)\right) \quad (5)$$

其实类似于uniform voting for multiclass

$$G(x) = \operatorname{argmax}_{1 \leq k \leq K} \sum_{t=1}^T [g_t(x) = k] \quad (6)$$

2. Regression:

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(x) \quad (7)$$

3. Theoretical Analysis of Uniform Blending:

## Theoretical Analysis of Uniform Blending

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{avg}((g_t(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}))^2) &= \text{avg}(g_t^2 - 2g_t f + f^2) \\ &= \text{avg}(g_t^2) - 2Gf + f^2 \\ &= \text{avg}(g_t^2) - G^2 + (G - f)^2 \\ &= \text{avg}(g_t^2) - 2G^2 + G^2 + (G - f)^2 \\ &= \text{avg}(g_t^2 - 2g_t G + G^2) + (G - f)^2 \\ &= \text{avg}((g_t - G)^2) + (G - f)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{avg}(E_{\text{out}}(g_t)) &= \text{avg}(\mathcal{E}(g_t - G)^2) + E_{\text{out}}(G) \\ &\geq \phantom{\text{avg}(E_{\text{out}}(g_t))} + E_{\text{out}}(G) \end{aligned}$$

4. 传说中的Bias Variance 分析:

### Some Special $g_t$

consider a **virtual** iterative process that for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$

- ① request size- $N$  data  $\mathcal{D}_t$  from  $P^N$  (i.i.d.)
- ② obtain  $g_t$  by  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}_t)$

$$\bar{g} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} G = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g_t = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D})$$

$$\text{avg}(E_{\text{out}}(g_t)) = \text{avg}(\mathcal{E}(g_t - \bar{g})^2) + E_{\text{out}}(\bar{g})$$

expected performance of  $\mathcal{A}$  = expected deviation to consensus  
+ performance of consensus

- performance of consensus: called **bias**
- expected deviation to consensus: called **variance**

uniform blending:  
reduces **variance** for more stable performance

## 三、Linear and Any Blending

1. Linear Blending 和 LinReg+transformation的相同之处:

我们先知道 $g_t$ 然后利用这个来计算 $\alpha_t$ 因此实际上类似于一个2-level的学习过程，类似于probabilistic的SVM模型，但是这里有一个区别就是他的 $\alpha_t$ 是 $> 0$ 的。

## Linear Blending

linear blending: known  $g_t$ , each to be given  $\alpha_t$  ballot

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign} \left( \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t \cdot g_t(\mathbf{x}) \right) \text{ with } \alpha_t \geq 0$$

computing 'good'  $\alpha_t$  :  $\min_{\alpha_t \geq 0} E_{\text{in}}(\alpha)$

linear blending for regression

$$\min_{\alpha_t \geq 0} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left( y_n - \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(\mathbf{x}_n) \right)^2$$

LinReg + transformation

$$\min_{w_i} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left( y_n - \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{d}} w_i \phi_i(\mathbf{x}_n) \right)^2$$

like two-level learning, remember? :-)

linear blending = LinModel + hypotheses as transform + constraints

## Constraint on $\alpha_t$

linear blending = LinModel + hypotheses as transform + constraints:

$$\min_{\alpha_t \geq 0} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \text{err} \left( y_n, \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t g_t(\mathbf{x}_n) \right)$$

linear blending for binary classification

$$\text{if } \alpha_t < 0 \implies \alpha_t g_t(\mathbf{x}) = |\alpha_t| (-g_t(\mathbf{x}))$$

- negative  $\alpha_t$  for  $g_t \equiv$  positive  $|\alpha_t|$  for  $-g_t$
- if you have a stock up/down classifier with 99% error, tell me! :-)

in practice, often

linear blending = LinModel + hypotheses as transform ~~+ constraints~~

在实际过程中我们常常忽略constraints，把非正的结果想做事投反对票的思维。

2. 对比一下linear blending 和selection的模型，利用VC-dim来进行一些分析，我们发现其实这样做十分容易过拟合

## Linear Blending versus Selection

in practice, often

$$g_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, g_T \in \mathcal{H}_T$$

by minimum  $E_{in}$

- recall: **selection by minimum  $E_{in}$**   
—best of **best**, paying  $d_{VC} \left( \bigcup_{t=1}^T \mathcal{H}_t \right)$
- recall: linear blending includes **selection** as special case  
—by setting  $\alpha_t = \llbracket E_{val}(g_t^-) \text{ smallest} \rrbracket$
- complexity price of linear blending **with  $E_{in}$**  (aggregation of **best**):  
 $\geq d_{VC} \left( \bigcup_{t=1}^T \mathcal{H}_t \right)$

like **selection**, blending practically done with  
( $E_{val}$  instead of  $E_{in}$ ) + ( $g_t^-$  from minimum  $E_{train}$ )

我们在selection的时候要付出的VC-dim的代价已经很大了现在blending就更危险了。（因为selection其实是一种特例）

3. 其他的blending, 又叫做stacking:

## Any Blending

Given  $g_1^-, g_2^-, \dots, g_T^-$  from  $\mathcal{D}_{train}$ , transform  $(\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)$  in  $\mathcal{D}_{val}$  to  
 $(\mathbf{z}_n = \Phi^-(\mathbf{x}_n), y_n)$ , where  $\Phi^-(\mathbf{x}) = (g_1^-(\mathbf{x}), \dots, g_T^-(\mathbf{x}))$

### Linear Blending

- compute  $\alpha$   
= LinearModel( $\{(\mathbf{z}_n, y_n)\}$ )
- return  $G_{LINB}(\mathbf{x}) =$   
LinearHypothesis $_{\alpha}(\Phi(\mathbf{x}))$ ,

### Any Blending (**Stacking**)

- compute  $\tilde{g}$   
= AnyModel( $\{(\mathbf{z}_n, y_n)\}$ )
- return  $G_{ANYB}(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{g}(\Phi(\mathbf{x}))$ ,

where  $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = (g_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, g_T(\mathbf{x}))$

**any** blending:

- powerful**, achieves conditional blending
- but **danger of overfitting**, as always :-)

危险而诱人!

## 四、Bagging (Bootstrap Aggregation)

- 我们之前讲的方法都是blending, 也就是混合鸡尾酒, 但是事实上在learning的过程中我们希望找每个 $g_t$ 的过程不需要在aggregate之前, 这样的话我们可以提高效率, 换句话说我们就是希望能让找 $g_t$ 和blending能同步进行。
- 还有一件特别重要的事情就是, 我们尽量让 $g_t$ 尽可能不同, 发挥每个模型的特点的作用就是要让他们diverse这些diversity总结如下:

blending: aggregate **after getting  $g_t$** ;  
 learning: aggregate **as well as getting  $g_t$**

aggregation type	<b>blending</b>	learning
uniform	voting/averaging	<b>?</b>
non-uniform	linear	<b>?</b>
conditional	stacking	<b>?</b>

learning  $g_t$  for uniform aggregation: **diversity** important

- **diversity** by different models:  $g_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2, \dots, g_T \in \mathcal{H}_T$
- **diversity** by different parameters: GD with  $\eta = 0.001, 0.01, \dots, 10$
- **diversity** by algorithmic randomness:  
 random PLA with different random seeds
- **diversity** by data randomness:  
 within-cross-validation hypotheses  $g_v^-$

next: **diversity** by data randomness **without  $g^-$**

保持diversity的方式其实就是让data尽量保持随机性。

3. 保持随机性的方式比较好的就是使用同分布的很多数据，但是很多情况下数据是有限的，我们希望在有限的数据中发挥数据的最大效果，这就需要我们对于数据集做一点操作。于是我们就引入了bootstrapping aggregation (也就是bagging)

**bootstrapping**: a statistical tool that  
**re-samples** from  $\mathcal{D}$  to 'simulate'  $\mathcal{D}_t$

4. 所谓bootstrapping的方法其实很简单，其实就是对于N个数据量的data做一次放回的抽样。

我们对比一下理想的学习过程和bootstrap的过程（实际上我们只能选择bagging而不是真的要再找规模为N的数据量）

## bootstrapping

bootstrap sample  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t$ : re-sample  $N$  examples from  $\mathcal{D}$  **uniformly with replacement**—can also use arbitrary  $N'$  instead of original  $N$

### virtual aggregation

consider a **virtual** iterative process that for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$

- 1 request size- $N$  data  $\mathcal{D}_t$  from  $P^N$  (i.i.d.)
- 2 obtain  $g_t$  by  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}_t)$   
 $G = \text{Uniform}(\{g_t\})$

### bootstrap aggregation

consider a **physical** iterative process that for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$

- 1 request size- $N'$  data  $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t$  from **bootstrapping**
- 2 obtain  $g_t$  by  $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t)$   
 $G = \text{Uniform}(\{g_t\})$

bootstrap aggregation (BAGging):  
a simple **meta algorithm**  
on top of **base algorithm**  $\mathcal{A}$

bagging相当于是是在base algorithm A之上的meta algorithm，其实是对取样的操作。

我们bootstrap最后对于G的选择是uniform形式的。

对接下来的模型做一个总结：

1. Bagging —— uniformly vote
2. AdaBoost —— non-uniformly vote
3. Decision Tree & Random Forest —— conditionally