

Name: Eliza Matiso

Date: 15 May 2018

Confidentiality Disclaimer

This report may only be handled by authorised personnel and not shared without the permission of the applicant who completed the assessment. The risk report should never be used in isolation but rather in combination with information obtained from various other screening/selection methods. The Risk report focuses merely on Risk and is not intended for applicant feedback or development. It also does not provide an overview of strengths and overall integrity. Please enquire with GiottoSA if aforementioned solutions are required.




General Risk Predictio	n
Concern	X
Question	
Low Risk	

Name: Eliza Matiso

The Risk Report focuses on the potential character blindspots (counter-productive tendencies) that potentially pose a risk to an organisation. The report serves as a general indication of risk potential based on economic crime research in the South African financial industry and seven dimensions relating to integrity at work. As no human is wholly virtuous, each person exposes an organisation to some extent of risk. Risk, however, is context specific.

15 May 2018

A Financial Controller, for example, should be risk averse, yet a degree of risk-taking may be tolerated in a Strategic Leadership position. To inform your decision regarding the degree of acceptable risk, it is therefore advised to apply these risk indicators in relation to the organisation's risk culture, the given position's risk-tolerance and the rest of the behavioural information in this integrated report.



Self-Control Factor	1	2	3	4	5	0	/	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Temperance When combined with a risk profile, low scores may enhance the intensity with which certain key factors are displayed.			•																
Performance-Influencing Factors	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Optimism/Confidence Over-optimism-or -confidence may intensify Key Factor behaviour. Lack of optimism may potentially cloud judgement.									•										
Grit Extreme drive may intensify the degree to which the key factors are displayed.	•																		



otto^{sa} Narrative Risk Interpretation

Name: Eliza Matiso 15 May 2018

Eliza scored below average on four of the four main risk-indicator scores.

Based on an orientation towards a subjective approach, Eliza seems more likely than most to rationalise counterproductive behaviour in situations where the consequences thereof may potentially have a negative impact at work.

Eliza appears to strongly prefer a big-picture approach to work. The individual may fail to remain focused when tasks require attention which can lead to mistakes. Eliza appears to prefer a light-hearted approach at work which may limit performance in a serious work-setting. Furthermore, Eliza appears likely to engage in risk-taking or impulsive behaviour. Eliza may therefore fit best in a position where caution, care and focus is not key. Eliza's loyalty and respect for authority may sometimes depend on the extent to which the organisation's reward (financial and non-financial) is perceived to match the individual's effort and/or desirable outcome. Eliza may tend to be somewhat opinionated and even a bit self-righteous at times. The individual is likely to sometimes question and challenge rules, regulations and instructions when the purpose is unclear or contradicting own ideas. When in an environment or position where obedience, respect or collaboration is key, Eliza may tend to be perceived as a bit rebellious. It seems as though Eliza may have a tendency to withhold or manipulate information. Eliza may believe that the way in which things are said is more important than the true message. Eliza's interest appears to be mainly on self and close ones rather than the wider community. During a lapse of judgement, Eliza may engage in devious behaviour to benefit self or close ones while disregarding the effect it could have on others, the organisation or the environment.

Eliza's emotional-control appears to be lower than that of most others. This tendency may indicate that certain afore-mentioned behaviours of concern may be portrayed in an aggressive or uncontrolled manner which can enhance the degree of risk related to such behaviours.

Based on the particular combination of characteristics, the individual seems more likely than most others to portray behaviour related to high economic crime risk.