



www.giottosa.com | christine@giottosa.com | + 27 82 824 0194

Giotto SA Narrative Interpretation with PRI

name:

Yolanda Unathi Msusa

email:

yolanda.msusa1989@gmail.com

date:

15/May/2018



section 1: introduction

Giotto is based on a model of personality derived from the work of the classical scholar Prudentius. Prudentius believed that the human character develops through a series of choices that we make throughout our lives. These lay down habitual styles of responding that become increasingly ingrained as we mature. Giotto interprets these choices in terms of habitual working styles.

Thus we may have developed the habit of (A) being prudent or careless in carrying out our tasks; (B) working hard or only as necessary; (C) being prone to settle disputes by reconciliation or by aggression; (D) being trusting or suspicious in our dealings with our colleagues; (E) having faith in our employers or only in ourselves; (F) being open or scheming in our dealings with others; and (G) of welcoming or resisting change at work.

Although Prudentius saw these choices in terms of virtues and vices, he did not believe in the wholly virtuous person. Rather, he saw it as part of the human condition that we each have our own particular strengths and weaknesses. Giotto recognises that each employment setting will require an optimal balance of characteristics among its employees. Ideally we should each seek the type of work that will utilise our strengths and accommodate our weaknesses.

Giotto is designed to assess our primary strengths and weaknesses to enable us to match these to an appropriate choice of work. Given below are 7 scores relating to the characteristics outlined above. Each score is on a scale from 1 to 19. Giotto has been standardised using a representative sample of 1009 working people in South Africa to give a mean score of 10 (S.d.= 4).

Giotto scores should not be interpreted in isolation. Account should be taken of the respondent's work history, social and educational background, present employment, future job aspirations and reasons for taking the test. Other sources of information, such as interview or Curriculum Vitae, should also be considered. Giotto should only be used by a qualified professional.

section 2: brief characterisation

The box below indicates two key strengths and two key weaknesses of the candidate to give a brief overview of the candidate's character.

Personality Summary

Careful and sensible when carrying out given tasks.

Balanced and impartial in decision making.

Might ignore the advice of those who know better.

Somewhat pessimistic about the future.

section 3: the seven scales

introduction

Prudence -assesses degree of care that is likely to be taken in carrying out a task. It is of relevance to occupations in which mistakes can have particularly severe consequences.

Fortitude -assesses work ethic and is of relevance to positions where absenteeism may present a problem, or where staff are required to work long hours under duress.

Temperance -assesses the ability to control aggression in whatever form. It is of relevance to environments where bullying has been a particular concern.


Justice -assesses fairness in judging the actions of others. It is of relevance to environments which are beset with strife.

Faith -assesses the sense of obedience to company policy and is of relevance to work situations that necessitate independent action of staff on the organisation's behalf.

Charity -principally composed of social desirability items. Low scores indicate lack of openness in responding. Bear this in mind when interpreting all other scales.

Hope -assesses a sense of purpose and forward-looking approach. It is of relevance to organisational settings about to undergo major change.

chart for seven scales



Norm Group: GiottoSA Norm

name Yolanda Unathi Msusa

Page 3

section 4: the giotto narrative


narrative interpretation

- Yolanda Unathi's attention to detail appears to fall within the high average range. While she is usually a steady individual she does occasionally have lapses of attention. She does not particularly enjoy carrying out repetitive work, although when it is needed can usually perform it at more than the required standard. She has reasonably good concentration, and is less likely to become bored than most other individuals. While she can sometimes act impulsively, she does not generally take particular pride in this, and will probably do her best to correct any errors that have been made as a result. Yolanda Unathi can show more common sense in the planning of her work than most people. She is not always serious, and from time to time can enjoy the company of people who are able to let themselves go.
- Yolanda Unathi would seem to be slightly more fair-minded and slightly less suspicious than average. She will usually be able to find ways to engage others in discussions about the rights and wrongs of a particular situation. However, she is not always willing to take things on trust and will want to be sure that people's underlying intentions are honourable. She generally believes in negotiated settlements wherever possible, but recognises that these do demand a willingness from both sides to reach a compromise. She is usually able to give advice when asked, and her natural inclination is to think the best of people unless there is clear evidence that that this is misguided.
- Yolanda Unathi's critical skills appear to be in the high average range for the working population. She tends to be quite independent and usually prefers to make up her own mind rather than have it made for her. On the other hand, she can be expected to be loyal to organisational values when she agrees with them. She will normally thrive in an atmosphere in which her own ideas and those of the company coincide. She is usually able to act on her own initiative and will take pride in her position, especially if her supervisors have been able to show that they have confidence in her. She may sometimes be inclined to question the justification for certain aspects of policy, but this will normally be done constructively.
- Yolanda Unathi does not take a particularly optimistic view of her chances of further success at work. She no longer finds it easy to be enthusiastic about everything that is going on, and may feel discouraged by recent events. This may have given her a general feeling of anxiety about the way things are moving now, not only at work but also in the world in general.
- She is likely to believe that things used to be better in the past, and that in those days people knew rather more about how things ought to be done. She may have developed a resentment against what she sees as newfangled approaches. Although she might wish the clock could be turned back, she probably does not have the stomach for a fight, knowing in her heart that change is inevitable. At the same time she probably feels that she can not reasonably be expected to encourage this trend. She is likely to be at her best, and shows her strongest qualities, within an organisation that fully respects its well-established reputation, and prides itself on its traditions. While she may not respond well to being asked to do things in new ways, she is delighted when her special skills are called upon, particularly those which are now becoming scarce.

Potential Risk Indicator (PRI)

CONFIDENTIAL

The Giotto Potential Risk Indicator is a well-researched index enabling a psychologist or HR professional to conduct a risk assessment for a given candidate, bearing in mind that Risk is context specific. A person's behaviour may be seen as a risk in one environment yet as appropriate in another. For example, a trial-and-error approach is likely to be beneficial in an entrepreneurial context, yet yield fatal results in heart or brain surgery. The PRI is especially suitable for the financial and insurance industries, where cautious, loyal and rational decision-making is desirable.



POTENTIAL CONCERNS

The Giotto identifies four key integrity competencies, as listed below, to help predict potential concerns around economic crime. Potential concerns are context specific and can also be interpreted as areas of development, depending on its immediate importance for the position. A concern is flagged by the symbol 'X' when a candidate scores below average in a given competency. Further enquiry prior to final decision-making is strongly advised when all four potential concerns are flagged.



Work Cautiousness

A concern in an environment where routine and meticulous attention to detail is required.



Rational decision-making

A concern in an environment where fair and objective decision-making is crucial.



Loyalty

A concern in an environment where work tasks require strict rule-following and compliance with instructions.



Disclosure

A concern in an environment that requires frankness and sharing of information.