Lin. Fcn A function $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ is a linear function if: (1) $f(x+x') = f(x) + f(x'), (\forall x, x' \in \mathbf{R}^n)$

(2) $f(\alpha x) = \alpha f(x), (\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{R})$ P: $f \text{ linear } \Leftrightarrow f(x) = w^{\top} x$ for some $w \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Proof: " \Leftarrow " Properties of scalar product: (1) $f(x+x') = \dots = f(x) + f(x')$; (2) $f(\alpha x) = \dots = \alpha f(x)$; " \Rightarrow " Write $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i e_i$. Linearity implies: $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i f(e_i)$ identify $w_i := f(e_i)$ Hyperplane A hyperplane is an affine subspace of co-dimension 1. Level set The level sets of a function

 $L_f(c) := \{x : f(x) = c\} = f^{-1}(c) \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$ Level sets of linear functions Let $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ be linear, $f(x) = w^{\top} x + b$, then

 $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ is a one-parametric family of sets defined as

 $L_f(c) = \{x : w^\top x = c - b\} = \text{hyperplane } \perp w$

Linear (affine) maps $F: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$ with

$$F(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_m(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1^\top x + b_1 \\ w_m^\top x + b_m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_1^\top \\ w_m^\top \end{pmatrix} x + \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_m \end{pmatrix}$$

Composition of linear maps P: Let $F_1, ..., F_L$ be linear maps then $F = F_L \circ \cdots \circ F_1$ is also a linear map. Proof: $F(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{W}_L ... (\mathbf{W}_2 (\mathbf{W}_1 \mathbf{x})) ...) = (\mathbf{W}_L ... \mathbf{W}_2 \mathbf{W}_1) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}$

- every L-level hierarchy collapses to one level
- note that $rank(F) \equiv dim(im(F)) < \min_{l} rank(F_{l})$

Conclusion: Need to move beyond linearity!

Approximation Theory Ridge function: $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a ridge function, if it can be written as $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b)$. Limit set: $L_f(c) = \bigcup_{d \in \sigma^{-1}(c)} L_{\bar{f}}(d)$, if linear part of f denoted by $\bar{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b$. If σ is differentiable at $z = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b$ then $\nabla_x f \stackrel{\text{chain rule}}{=} \sigma'(z) \nabla_x \bar{f} = \sigma'(z) \mathbf{w}$

Theorem Let $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ differentiable at \mathbf{x} . Then either $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \text{ or } \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \perp L_f(f(\mathbf{x})).$

Dense Subsets: A function class $\mathcal{H} \subset C(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is dense in $C(\mathbf{R}^d)$ iff $\forall f \in C(\mathbf{R}^d) \forall \epsilon > 0 \forall K \subset \mathbf{R}^d$, compact: $\exists h \in \mathcal{H}s.t. \max_{\mathbf{x} \in K} |f(\mathbf{x}) - h(\mathbf{x})| = ||f - h||_{\infty, K} < \epsilon$

Conclusion: We cann approximate any continuous f to arbitrary accuracy (on K) with a suitable member of \mathcal{H}_{\bullet} \Rightarrow uniform approximation on compacta (i.e. use of ∞ -norm) \Rightarrow sup \rightarrow max (Bolzano-Weierstrass)

Universal Approximation with Ridge Functions Let $\sigma: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ be a scalar function

 $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma}^{n} := \{g : g(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + b) \text{ for some } \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, b \in \mathbf{R} \}$ $\mathcal{G}^n := \bigcup_{\sigma \in C(\mathbf{R})} \mathcal{G}^n_{\sigma}$, universe of continuous ridge functions

Theorem: Vostrecov and Kreines, 1961

 $\mathcal{H}^n := \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{G}^n)$ is dense in $C(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Dimension Lifting Lemma (Pinkus) L(Pinkus 1999): The density of \mathcal{H}^1_{σ} in $C(\mathbf{R})$ with $\mathcal{H}^1_{\sigma} := \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{G}^1_{\sigma}) = \operatorname{span}\{\sigma(\lambda t + \theta) :$ $\lambda, \theta \in \mathbf{R}$ implies the density of $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma}^{n} := \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{G}_{\sigma}^{1}) =$ $\operatorname{span}\{\sigma(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}+b):\mathbf{w}\in\mathbf{R}^n,b\in\mathbf{R}\}\ \text{in }C(\mathbf{R}^n)\ \text{for any }n\geq1.$ Conclusion: We can lift density property of ridge function families from $C(\mathbf{R})$ to $C(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

- Continuous functions can be well approximated by linear combinations of ridge functions (universal function approximation). - Justifies use of computational units which apply a scalar nonlinearity to a linear function of the inputs.

Rectification Networks ReLU: $(x)_{+} := \max(0, x); \ \partial(x)_{+} =$ $1(x > 0), 0(x < 0), [0;1](x = 0); \text{ AVU: } |x| := x(x \ge 0)$ $(0), -x(\text{otw.}); \partial |x| = 1(x > 0), [-1; 1](x = 0), -1(x < 0)$ Shektman (1982): Any $f \in C[0;1]$ can be uniformly approximated to arbitrary precision by a polygonal line. Lebesgue (1898): A polygonal line with m pieces can $g(x) = ax + b + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c_i(x - x_i)_+$ be written: $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{m-1} < x_m = 1; m+1$ parameters $a, b, c_i \in \mathbf{R}$; ReLU function approximation in 1D; $g(x) = a'x + b' + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} c'_i |x - x_i|$

Weierstrass: C[0;1] functions can be uniformly approximated by polynomials. Lebesgue: proof for W. theorem by showing that |x| can be uniformly approximated on [-1;1] by polynomials

T: Networks with one hidden layer of ReLU or AVU are universal function approximators

Linear Combinations of Rectified Units

By linearly combining m rectified units, into how many (R(m))cells is \mathbb{R}^n maximally partioned? (Zaslavsky, 1975)

 $R(m) \le \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} {m \choose i}$; for $m \le n$, $R(m) = 2^m$ (exponential growth); for given n, asymptotically, $R(m) \in \Omega(m^n)$ (bounded by m^n), i.e. there is a polynomial slow-down, which is induced by the limitation of the input space dimension.

Deep Combinations of Rectified Units Process n inputs through L ReLU layers with widths $m_1,...,m_L \in O(m)$. Into how many (R(m, L)) cells can \mathbf{R}^n be maximally partitioned? T(Montufar, 2014): $R(m,L) \in \Omega\left(\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{n(L-1)}m^n\right)$. For any fixed n, exponential growth can be ensured by making layers sufficiently wide (m > n) and increasing the level of functional nesting (i.e. depth L).

Hinging Hyperplanes D.: Hinge function: If $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ can be wrriten with parameters $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ as below it is called a hinge function: $g(\mathbf{x}) = \max(\mathbf{w}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + b_1, \mathbf{w}_2^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + b_2)$ - face: $(\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2)^{\top} \mathbf{x} + (b_1 - b_2) = 0$

- representational power: $2 \max(f, g) = f + g + |f g|$
- k-Hinge function: $q(\mathbf{x}) = \max(\mathbf{w}_1^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b_1, ..., \mathbf{w}_k^{\top} \mathbf{x} + b_k)$

T (Wang and Sun, 2004): Every continuous piecewise linear $\overline{\text{function from } \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}}$ can be written as a signed sum of k-Hinges with k < n + 1.

- exact representation (not approximation as ReLU, AVU).
- to represent k-Hinge with ReLU: need depth log. in k. Polyhedral Function (Convex functions)
- = convex and continuous piecewise linear functions
- f polyhedral \leftrightarrow epi(f) is a polyhedral set
- epigraph of f (all points above the graph of f): $epi(f) := \{ (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : f(\mathbf{x}) \le t \}$
- polyhedral set S: finite intersection of closed half-spaces

 $S = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : \mathbf{w}_i^\top \mathbf{x} + b_i \ge 0, j = 1, ...r \}$ Max-Representation of Polyhedral Functions For every polyhedral f, there exists $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$, $|\mathcal{A}| = m$ s.t. f(x) = $\max_{(w,b)\in\mathcal{A}}\{\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}+b\}$ - each polyhedral f can be repres. as max. of supp. hyperplanes - linear functions in \mathcal{A} describe supporting hyperplanes of epi(f). Continuous Piecewise Linear Functions T(Wang, 2004): Every cont. piecewise linear fcn f can be written as the difference of two polyhedral fcns; with finite \mathcal{A}^+ , \mathcal{A}^- . $f(x) = \max_{(w,b)\in\mathcal{A}^+} \{\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + b\} - \max_{(w,b)\in\mathcal{A}^-} \{\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + b\} \mathbf{2} \times \mathbf{3}$ Maxout = Allout T(Goodfellow, 2013): Maxout networks with two maxout units are universal function approximators.

Sigmoid Fcns: Approximation Theorem

T(Lencho, Lin, Pinkus, Schocken, 1993): Let $\sigma \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$, not polynomial, then \mathcal{H}_{σ}^{1} is dense in $C(\mathbf{R})$; i.e. results in dense function approximation. C: MLPs with one hidden layer and any non-polynomial, smooth activation function are universal function approximators. L: MLPs with one hidden layer and a poly. activation fcn are **not** univ. fcn approximators.

Sigmoidal MLP: Approximation Guarantees

T(Barron, 1993): For every $F: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ with absolutely continuous Fourier transform and for every m there is a function of the form \tilde{f}_m such that $\int_{B_-} (f(\mathbf{x} - \tilde{f}_m(\mathbf{x}))^2 \mu(d\mathbf{x}) \leq O(1/m)$ where $B_r = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n : ||\mathbf{x}|| < r \}$ and μ is any probability measure on B_r . Residual bound doesn't depend on n.

Feedforward networks: A set of computational units arranged in a DAG (directed acyclic graph). Loss function: A non-negative function $l: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbf{R}_{>0}, (y^*, y) \to l(\mathbf{y}^*, \mathbf{y}),$ output space: \mathcal{Y} , squared error: $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbf{R}^m, l(\mathbf{y}^*, \mathbf{y}) = \|\mathbf{y}^* - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m (y_i^* - y_i)^2$, class. error: $\mathcal{Y} = [1:m], l(\mathbf{y}^*, \mathbf{y}) = 1 - \delta_{\mathbf{y}^*\mathbf{y}}$ Expected risk: Assume inputs and outputs are governed by a distribution $p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. The expected risk of F is given by $J^*(F) = \mathbf{E}_{x,y}[l(\mathbf{y}, F(\mathbf{x}))]$ Training risk: Assume we have a random sample of N input-output pairs $S_N := \{(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i) \text{ iid distr.}\}$ $\{p: i=1,...,N\}$. The training risk of F on a training sample is $J(F;\mathcal{S}_N) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N l(y_i, F(x_i))$. training risk is the expected risk under the empirial distribution induced by the sample \mathcal{S}_N . Empirical risk minimizer: $F(\mathcal{S}_N) = \arg\min_{F \in \mathcal{F}} J(F; \mathcal{S}_N)$ with parameter $\hat{\theta}(\mathcal{S}_N)$. Generalized linear models: predict the mean of the output distribution: $\mathbf{E}[y|x] = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x})$ Log.-Likelihood: $J(\theta; (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) = -\log p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}; \theta)$ Logistic Log Likelihood $J(F;(x,y)) = -\log p(y|z) = -\log \sigma((2y-1)z) = \zeta((1-2y)z)$ with $z := \bar{F}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}, \ \zeta = \log(1 + \exp(\cdot))$ (soft-plus) Likelihood for logistic regression $L = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)^{y_i} (1 - p(x_i))^{1-y_i}$ Multinomial Log Likelihood $J(F;(\mathbf{x},y)) = -\log p(y|\mathbf{x};F) =$ $-\log\left\lfloor\frac{e^{zy}}{\sum_{i=1}^m e^{zi}}\right\rfloor = -z_y + \log\sum_{i=1}^m \exp[z_i] \text{ with } \mathbf{z} := \bar{F}_i(\mathbf{x}) =$ $\mathbf{w}_i^{\top} \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^m$

Backpropagation 1. perform a forward pass to compute activations for all units 2. compute gradient of J wrt. output layer activations 3. iteratively propagate activation gradient information from outputs to inputs 4. compute local gradients of activations wrt. weights Jacobi matrix

$$\mathbf{J}_{F} := \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^{\top} F_{1} \\ \nabla^{\top} F_{m} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\ \frac{\partial F_{m}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial F_{m}}{\partial x_{2}} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_{m}}{\partial x_{n}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$$

Jacobi Matrix Chain Rule

Vector-valued funtions $G: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^q, F: \mathbf{R}^q \to \mathbf{R}^m$

componentwise rule:
$$\frac{\partial (F \circ G)}{\partial x_i}|_{x=x_0} = \sum_{k=1}^q \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial z_k}|_{\mathbf{z}=G(\mathbf{x}_0)} \cdot \frac{\partial G_k}{\partial x_i}|_{x=x_0}$$

Jacobi matrix chain rule (do not commute!)

 $\mathbf{J}_{F \circ G}|_{x=x_0} = \mathbf{J}_F|_{z=G(x_0)} \cdot \mathbf{J}_G|_{x=x_0}$

Function Composition $G: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m, f: \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}, f \circ G$

 $\mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ in other words $\mathbf{R}^n \ni \mathbf{x} \stackrel{G}{\to} \mathbf{y} \stackrel{f}{\to} z \in \mathbf{R}$

Lemma(Chain rule for "activations"):

Activity Backpropagation $F = F^L \circ \cdots \circ F^1 : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m$

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^0 \overset{F^1}{\to} \mathbf{x}^1 \overset{F^2}{\to} \mathbf{x}^2 \to \cdots \overset{F^L}{\to} \mathbf{x}^L = \mathbf{y} \overset{J}{\to} J * \theta; \mathbf{y})$$

 $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} J = \mathbf{J}_{F1}^{\top} \cdots \mathbf{J}_{FL}^{\top} \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} J$

Jacobian for ridge function

$$\mathbf{x}^{l} = F^{l}(\mathbf{x}^{l-1} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}^{l}\mathbf{x}^{l-1} + \mathbf{b}^{l})$$

$$\frac{\partial x_i^l}{\partial x_j^{l-1}} = \sigma'(\langle \mathbf{w}_i^l, \mathbf{x}^{l-1} \rangle + b_i^l) W_{ij}^l := \bar{W}_{ij}^l$$

Multinomial Logistic Regression

$$\mathbf{z} := \bar{F}_i(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{R}^m$$

$$J(F; (\mathbf{x}, y)) = -\log p(y|\mathbf{x}; F) = -\log \left[\frac{e^{zy}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{z_i}}\right]$$
$$= -z_y + \log \sum_{i=1}^{m} \exp[z_i] = \log \left[1 + \sum_{i \neq y} \exp[z_i - z_y]\right]$$

Multivariate logistic loss

$$-\frac{\partial J(x,y^*)}{\partial z_y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_y} \left[z_{y^*} - \log \sum_i \exp[z_i] \right]$$

 $=\delta_{yy^*} - \frac{\exp[z_y]}{\sum_i \exp[z_i]} = \delta_{yy^*} - p(y|x)$ Quadratic loss (neg. gradient: in what direction want to move): $-\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}J(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}^*) =$

 $-\nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y}^* - \mathbf{y}\|^2 = \mathbf{y}^* - \mathbf{y}$

From Activations to Weights

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial W_{ij}^{l}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{i}^{l}} \frac{\partial x_{i}^{l}}{\partial W_{ij}^{l}} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_{i}^{l}} \cdot \sigma'(\langle \mathbf{w}_{i}^{l}, \mathbf{x}^{l-1} \rangle + b_{i}^{l}) \cdot x_{j}^{l-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial b_i^l} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_i^l} \frac{\partial x_i^l}{\partial b_i^l} = \frac{\partial J}{\partial x_i^l} \cdot \sigma'(\langle \mathbf{w}_i^l, \mathbf{x}^{l-1} \rangle + b_i^l) \cdot 1$$

Optimization for Deep Networks

Gradient Descent: $\theta(t+1) = \theta(t) - \eta \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{R}$, cont.: $\dot{\theta} = -\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{R}$; Convex objective \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{R} has L-Lipschitz-continuous gradients: $\mathcal{R}(\theta(t)) - \mathcal{R}^* \leq \frac{2L}{t+1} \|\theta(0) - \theta^*\|^2 \in \mathbf{O}(t^{-1})$ Analy.: Gradient Descent: \mathcal{R} is μ -strongly convex in θ : $\mathcal{R}(\theta(t)) - \mathcal{R}^* \leq$ $(1-\frac{\mu}{L})^t \mathcal{R}(\theta(t))-\mathcal{R}^*$; exponential convergence ("linear rate"); rate depends adversely on condition number L/μ ; Lower bound (general case): $O(t^{-2})$, achieved by Neterov acceleration.

Curvature of objective function

$$\mathcal{R}(\theta - \eta \nabla \mathcal{R}) \overset{Taylor}{\approx} \mathcal{R}(\theta) - \eta \|\nabla \mathcal{R}\|^2 + \frac{\eta^2}{2} \nabla \mathcal{R}^{\top} \mathbf{H} \nabla \mathcal{R} \text{ with } \nabla \mathcal{R}^{\top} \mathbf{H} \nabla \mathcal{R} = \|\nabla \mathcal{R}\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2, \, \mathbf{H} = \nabla^2 \mathcal{R} \\ \text{ill-conditioning: } \frac{\eta}{2} \|\nabla \mathcal{R}\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 \gtrsim \|\nabla \mathcal{R}\|^2$$

Least-Squares: Single Layer Linear Network

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{E} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{E} [(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})^{\top}]$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{E} [\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^{\top}] + \operatorname{Tr} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{E} [\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{\top}]\mathbf{A}^{\top}) - 2 \operatorname{Tr} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{E} [\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}^{\top}])$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\mathcal{R} = \nabla \mathbf{A} \operatorname{Tr} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\top}) - 2\nabla \mathbf{A} \operatorname{Tr} (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Gamma}^{\top}) = 2(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{\Gamma})$$

Least-Squares: Two Layer Linear Network

 $(\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{W}) \ \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{W}) = \mathrm{const.} + \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{W} \cdot (\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{W})^{\top})$ $2\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{W}\cdot\mathbf{\Gamma}^{\top}); \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathcal{R} = (\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{W})\mathbf{Q}^{\top} - \mathbf{\Gamma}\mathbf{W}^{\top} = (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{\Gamma})\mathbf{W}^{\top} \in$ $\mathbf{R}^{m \times k}$; $\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{R} = \mathbf{Q} \top (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{\Gamma}) \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times n}$; $\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\tilde{\mathbf{O}}} \mathcal{R}$ $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}^{\top}(\mathbf{\tilde{Q}}\mathbf{\tilde{\tilde{W}}}-\mathbf{\Sigma})\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}^{\top}\mathbf{\tilde{W}}^{\top}=(\mathbf{\tilde{Q}}\mathbf{\tilde{W}}-\mathbf{\Sigma})\mathbf{\tilde{W}}^{\top}; \quad \ \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{\tilde{W}}}\mathcal{R}$ $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}^{\top}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}\tilde{\mathbf{W}} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}); \; \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{q}_r}\mathcal{R} = (\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\top}\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{r}} - \sigma_{\mathbf{r}})\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{r}} + \sum_{\mathbf{s}\neq\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\top}\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}})\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{s}};$ $\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_r}\mathcal{R} = (\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\top}\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{r}} - \sigma_{\mathbf{r}})\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}} + \sum_{\mathbf{s}\neq\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\top}\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{r}})\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{s}};$ Equivalent energy function: $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}, \tilde{\mathbf{W}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} (\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\top} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{r}} - \sigma_{\mathbf{r}})^{2} + \sigma_{\mathbf{s} \neq \mathbf{r}} (\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{s}}^{\top} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{r}})^{2}$; cooperation: same input-output mode weight vector align; competition: different mode weight vectors are decoupled

Stochastic Gradient Descent Choose update direction v at random such that $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{v}] = -\nabla \mathcal{R}; \ \mathcal{S}_K \subseteq \mathcal{S}_N, K \leq N;$ $\mathbf{E}\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}_K) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}_N) \Rightarrow \mathbf{E}\nabla\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}_K) = \nabla\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}_N)$ Update step: $\theta(t+1) = \theta(t) - \eta \nabla \mathcal{R}(t), \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}_K(t)); \text{ Conv. to opti-}$ mum: convex or strongly convex objective, Lipschitz gradients, $\sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \eta^2(t) < \infty, \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \eta(t) = \infty, \text{ e.g. } \eta(t) = Ct^{-\alpha}, \frac{1}{2} < \alpha \le 1,$ iterate (Polyak) averaging; Conv. rates: strongly-convex case: $\mathcal{O}(1/t)$, non-strongly convex: $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{t})$

Heavy Ball Method Update: $\theta(t+1) = \theta(t) - \eta \nabla \mathcal{R} + \alpha(\theta(t) - \eta \nabla \mathcal{R})$ $\theta(t-1)$, $\alpha \in [0,1)$; Gradients are constant \Rightarrow update steps are boosted by $1/(1-\alpha)$: $\eta \|\nabla J\|(1+\alpha+\alpha^2+\alpha^3+...) \to \frac{\eta \|\nabla J\|}{1-\alpha}$ $\alpha = 0.9 \Rightarrow 10 \times$. Accelerate for high curvature, small but consistent gradient, or noisy gradients. Solve poor conditioning of Hessian matrix and variance in stochastic gradient. hlgrayAda-Grad Consider the entire history of gradients: gradient matrix: $\theta \in \mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{G} \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times t_{max}}, g_{it} = \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t)}{\partial \theta_i}|_{\theta = \theta(t)}$ Learning rate decays faster for weights that have seen significant updates. Compute (partial) row sums of G: $\gamma_i^2(t) := \sum_{s=1}^t g_{is}^2$ Adapt learning rate per parameter $\theta_i(t+1) = \theta_i(t) - \frac{\eta}{\delta + \gamma_i(t)} \nabla \mathcal{R}(t), \delta > 0$ (small) Non-convex variant: RMSprop (moving average, expon. weighted): $\gamma_i^2(t) := \sum_{s=1}^t \rho^{t-1} g_{is}^2, \rho < 1$ BFGS/LBFGS (advantages of Newton, without comp. burden) Newton method: $\theta(t+1) = \theta(t) - (\nabla^2 \mathcal{R})^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{R}|_{\theta=\theta(t)} \text{ BFGS: } (\nabla^2 \mathcal{R})^{-1} \approx \mathbf{M}(t)$: $\theta(t+1) = \theta(t) - M(t)\nabla \mathcal{R}|_{\theta=\theta(t)}$ where $\mathbf{M}(t+1) = \mathbf{M}(t) + \text{rank}$ one update with $\nabla \mathcal{R}$ via line search; LBFGS: Reduce memory footprint with $\mathbf{M} \approx \mathbf{M}(t)$ with $k \approx 30$ rank one matrices (pairs of vectors), mini-batch

Optimization Heuristics Polyak averaging (Average over iterates, red. fluctuation): Linear (convex case): $\bar{\theta}(t) =$ $\frac{1}{t} \sum_{s=1}^{t} \theta(s)$; Running (non-convex): $\bar{\theta}(t) = \alpha \theta(t-1) + \alpha \theta(t-1)$ $(1-\alpha)\theta(t), \alpha \in [0,1)$ Batch normalization Hard to find suitable learning rate for all layers (strong dependencies between weights in layers exist) \Rightarrow normalize the layer activations + backpropagate through normalizations; Fix layer l, fix set of example $I \subseteq [1:N]$: $\mu_j^l := \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_{i \in I} (F_j^l \circ \dots \circ F^1)(\mathbf{x}[i]) \in \mathbf{R}^{m_l}$; $\sigma_j^l := \sqrt{\delta + \frac{1}{|I|} \sum_i (F_j^l \circ \dots \circ F^1)(\mathbf{x}[i]) - \mu_j)^2}, \delta > 0;$ Normalized activities: $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{j}^{l} := \frac{\mathbf{x}_{j}^{l} - \mu_{j}}{\sigma_{j}}$; Regain representational power: $\tilde{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}}_{j}^{l} = \alpha_{j} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{j}^{l} + \beta_{j}$ Batch normalization (simplified) (1) Input: mini-batch of real values $X = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ (2) Learnable parameters: $\gamma, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ (3) Output: $Y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$,

where we have (a) Mini-batch mean: $\mu := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} x_{i}$ (b) Mini-batch variance: $\sigma^2 := \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (x_i - \mu)^2$ (c) Norm. minibatch (matrix form): $\hat{X} := (X - \mu)/(\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \epsilon})$ (d) Output: $Y = BN_{\gamma,\beta}(X) := \gamma X + \beta$

Regularization Any aspect of a learning algorithm that is intended to lower the generalization error but not the training error. E.g.: Informed regularization: encode specific prior knowledge. simplicity bias: preference for simpler models (Occam's razor). Data augmentation and cross-task learning. Model averaging, e.g. ensemble methods, drop-out. Norm-based Regularization Standard regularization: $\mathcal{R}_{\Omega}(\theta; \mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{R}(\theta; \mathcal{S}) + \Omega(\theta)$; Deep networks: $\Omega(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \mu^{l} \|\mathbf{W}^{l}\|_{F}^{2}, \mu^{l} \geq 0$ Weight decay Regularization based on $\overline{L_2}$ -norm is also called weight decay: $(\partial\Omega)/(\partial W_{ij}^l) = \mu^l w_{ij}^l$ Weights in l-th layer get pulled towards zero with "gain" μ^l . Naturally favors weights of small magnitude. GD update: $\theta(t+1) = (1-\mu) \cdot \theta(t) - \eta \cdot \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{R}$ Weight decay (Analysis) Taylor: $\mathcal{R}(\theta) \approx \mathcal{R}(\theta^*) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \theta^*)^{\top} \mathbf{H}(\theta - \theta^*)$, where $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the Hessian of \mathcal{R} : $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{R}}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_i} \end{pmatrix}$, and $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{R}}|_{\theta=\theta^*} \Rightarrow \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{R}_{\Omega} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \text{ with } \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{Q}^{\top}, \mathbf{\Lambda} =$ $diag(\lambda_1,...,\lambda_d) \Rightarrow \theta = \mathbf{Q}(\Lambda + \mu I)^{-1}\Lambda Q^{\top}\theta^* \Rightarrow \text{Along direc-}$ tions in parameter space with large eigenvalues of H (i.e. $\lambda_i \gg \mu$): vanishing effect. Along directions in parameter space with small eigenvalues of **H** (i.e. $\lambda_i \ll \mu$): shrunk to nearly zero magnitude. Linear regression: $\mathcal{R}_{\Omega}(\theta)$ = $\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{X}\theta - y)^{\top}(\mathbf{X}\theta - y) + \frac{\mu}{2}\|\theta\|^2 \Rightarrow \theta = (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \mu\mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$

Regularization via Constrained Optimization $\min_{\theta: \|\theta\| \le r} \mathcal{R}(\theta)$ Optimization approach: Projected gradient descent: $\theta(t+1) =$ $\Pi_r(\theta(t) - \eta \nabla \mathcal{R}), \Pi_r(\mathbf{v}) := \min \left\{ 1, \frac{r}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} \right\} \mathbf{v}; \text{ Only active when }$ weights are (too) large Early Stopping Stop learning after finite (small) number of iterations. E.g. use validation data to estimate risk. Stop when flat or worsening. Keep best solution. Taylor: $\nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{R}|_{\theta_0} \approx \nabla_{\theta} \mathcal{R}|_{\theta^*} + \mathbf{H}_{\nabla \mathcal{R}}|_{\theta^*} (\theta_0 - \theta^*) = \mathbf{H}(\theta_0 - \theta^*) \Rightarrow$ $(\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{\Lambda})^t \stackrel{!}{=} \mu(\mathbf{\Lambda} + \mu \mathbf{I})^{-1}$ which for $\eta \lambda_i \ll 1, \lambda_i \ll \mu$ can be achieved approximately via performing $t = \frac{1}{n\mu}$ steps. Early stopping = approximate L_2 regularizer.

Dataset Augmentation Generate virtual examples by applying transf. τ to each training example (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) to get $(\tau(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{y})$: e.g. crop, resize, rotate, reflect, add transf. through PCA. - Inject noise: to inputs, to weights (regularizing effect), to targets (soft targets, robustness wrt. label errors) Semi-supervised training (more unlabeled data) - define generative model with corresponding log-likelihood - Opt. additive combination of supervised and unsupervised risk, sharing parameters Multi-Task Learning Share representations across tasks and learn jointly (i.e. minimize combined objective); typically: share low level representations, learn high level representations per task. Ensemble Methods: Bagging Ensemble method that combines model trained on bootstrap samples (BS); BS $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_N^k$: sample N times from \mathcal{S}_N with replacement for k = 1, ..., K; train model on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_N^k \to \theta^k$.

Prediction: average model output probabilities $p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathbf{k}})$: $p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}; \theta^k)$ Dropout Randomly "drop" subsets of units in network; keep probability π_i^l for unit i in layer l. Typically: $\pi_i^0 = 0.8, \pi_i^{l \ge 1} = 0.5$ Dropout Ensembles Dropout realizes an ensemble $p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}} p(\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x};\mathbf{z})$, where **Z** denotes the binary "zeroing" mask. Weight Rescaling Approximation to geometrically averaged ensemble, to avoid 10-20× sampling blowup: Scale each weight w_{ij}^l by probability of unit j being active: $\tilde{w}_{ij}^l \leftarrow \pi_i^{l-1} w_{ij}^l$ Make sure, net input to unit i is calibrated, i.e. $\sum_{i} \tilde{w}_{ij}^{l} x_{j} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{Z}} \sum_{i} z_{i}^{l-1} w_{ij}^{l} x_{j} = \sum_{i} \pi_{i}^{l-1} w_{ij}^{l} x_{j}$ Convolutional Layers Contin. Conv.: $(f * h)(u) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(u - u) du$ $t)f(t)dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u-t)h(t)dt$; Discr. Conv. (f*h)[u] := $\sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} f[t]h[u-t]; \quad (F*G)[i,j] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} F[i-t]$ $\overline{k,j-l} \cdot G[k,l]$. T: Any linear, translation-invariant transformation T can be written as a convolution with a suitable h. Discr. Cross-Correlation (sliding inner product): $(f \star h)[u] := \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} f[t]h[u+t]$; Border handling: same padding, valid padding; "Kernels" (across channels) form a linear map: $h: \mathbf{R}^{r^2 \times d} \to \mathbf{R}^k$, where $r \times r$ is the window size (of convolution) and d is the depth (RGB).; Sub-sampling (strides) to reduce temporal/spatial resolution; Learn multiple convolution kernels (or filters) = multiple channels Toeplitz matrix A matrix $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times n}$ is a Toeplitz matrix, if there exists n+k-1 numbers $c_l(l \in [-(n-1):(k-1)] \cup \mathbf{Z})$ s.t. $H_{i,j} = c_{i-j}$ Backpropagation Exploit structural sparseness in computing $\frac{\partial x_i^l}{\partial x_i^{l-1}}$; Receptive field of $x_i^l: \mathcal{I}_i^l := \{j: W_{ij}^l \neq 0\}$, where \mathbf{W}^l is the Toeplitz matrix of the convolution; also $\frac{\partial x_i^l}{\partial x_i^{l-1}} = 0$ for $j \notin \mathcal{I}_i^l$; Weight sharing in computing $\frac{\mathcal{R}}{\partial h^l}$ where h_j^l is a kernel weight: $\frac{\mathcal{R}}{\partial h_i^l} = \sum_i \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\partial x_i^l} \frac{\partial x_i^l}{\partial h_i^l}$, weight is re-used for every unit

within target layer \Rightarrow additive combination CNNs (dimension) CNN input: $H_1 \times W_1 \times C_1$, output of conv. layer with N filters, kernel size K, stride S and zero padding P: $H2 = (H_1 - K + 2P)/S + 1$, $W_2 = (W_1 - K + 2P)/S + 1$, $C_2 = N$; $H_2 = (H_1 - K)/S + 1$, $W_2 = (W_1 - K)/S + 1$, $C_2 = C_1$ BProp: Single input channel, single output channel; input $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d}$, weights $w \in \mathbf{R}^{k \times k}$; output (before nonlin.) $y = x * w; \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{uv}} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_{ij}} \frac{\partial y_{ij}}{\partial w_{uv}} =$ $\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \partial \delta_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i,n}} \sum_{a} \sum_{b} x_{i-a,j-b} w_{ab} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \partial \delta_{ij} x_{i-u,j-v} =$ $\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \operatorname{rot}_{180}(x_{u-i,v-j}) \delta_{ij} = (\operatorname{rot}_{180}(x) * \delta)_{u,v}; \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x_{uv}} =$ $\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_{ij}} \frac{\partial y_{ij}}{\partial x_{ij}} = \dots = (\text{rot}_{180}(w) * \delta)_{u,v} \text{ FFT (compute)}$ convolutions faster, $\mathbf{O}(n \log n)$ $(f * h) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}((\mathcal{F}f)) \cdot (\mathcal{F}h)$; pays off if many channels; small kernels $(m < \log n)$: favor time/space domain Convolutional Layers: Stages Input to layer \rightarrow Convolution stage: affine transform \rightarrow Detector stage: nonlinearity (e.g. rectified linear) \rightarrow pooling stage (locally combine activities) → next layer Max Pooling Maximum over a small

 $2D: x_{ij}^{max} = \max\{x_{i+k,j+l}: 0 \leq k, l < r\}; \mathcal{T}$ -invariance through maximization $f_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{x}) := \max_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} f(\tau \mathbf{x}); f_{\mathcal{T}}$ is invariant under $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$: $f_{\mathcal{T}}(\tau \mathbf{x}) = \max_{\rho \in \mathcal{T}} f(\rho(\tau \mathbf{x})) =$ $\max_{\rho \in \mathcal{T}} f((\rho \circ \tau)\mathbf{x}) = \max_{\sigma \in \mathcal{T}} f(\sigma\mathbf{x}), \text{ as } \forall \sigma, \sigma = \rho \circ \tau \text{ with }$ $\rho = \sigma \circ \tau^{-1}$

Conv. Networks for Natural Language

Point-wise mutual information (pmi): pmi(v, w) = $\log \frac{p(v,w)}{p(v)p(w)} = \log \frac{p(v|w)}{p(v)} = \mathbf{x} \top \mathbf{x}_w + \text{const.};$ Skip-gram objective: $\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}} \log \left[\frac{p_{\theta}(w_i|w_j)}{p(w_i)} \right]$ with co-occurence index set $C_R := \{(i,j) \in [1:T]^2 : 1 \le |i-j| \le R\}$; Skip-gram model (soft-max): $\log p_{\theta}(v|w) = \mathbf{x}_{v}^{\top} \mathbf{z}_{w} - \log \sum_{u \in \mathcal{V}} \exp[\mathbf{x}_{u}^{\top} \mathbf{z}_{w}];$ Skipgram model (negative sampling, logistic regression): $\mathcal{L}(\theta; \mathbf{w}) =$ $\sum_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}} \left[\log \sigma(\mathbf{x}_{w_i}^{\top} \mathbf{z}_{w_j}) + k \mathbf{E}_{v \sim p_n} [\log \sigma(-\mathbf{x}_{w_i}^{\top} \mathbf{z}_{w_j})] \right]$ Recurrent Networks Markov property; Time-invariance, share weights; $\bar{F}(h,x;\theta) := Wh + Ux + b$; $y = H(h;\theta), H(h;\theta) :=$

RNN-Backpropagation

 $\sigma(Vh+c)$

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial w_{ij}} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial h_{i}^{t}} \frac{\partial h_{i}^{t}}{\partial w_{ij}} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial h_{i}^{t}} \cdot \dot{\sigma}_{i}^{t} \cdot h_{j}^{t-1} \\ &\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial u_{ik}} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial h_{i}^{t}} \frac{\partial h_{i}^{t}}{\partial u_{ik}} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial h_{i}^{t}} \cdot \dot{\sigma}_{i}^{t} \cdot x_{k}^{t} \\ &\text{with } \dot{\sigma}_{i}^{t} := \sigma'(\bar{F}_{i}(h^{t-1}, x^{t})) \\ &\text{MLP: } \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{R} = \mathbf{J}_{F^{1}} \mathbf{J}_{F^{L}} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \mathcal{R} \\ &\text{RNN } (F^{t} = F) : \nabla_{\mathbf{x}^{t}} \mathcal{R} = \left[\prod_{s=t+1}^{T} \mathbf{W}^{\top} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}^{s}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{J}_{H} \nabla_{\mathbf{y}} \mathcal{R} \end{split}$$

where $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{h}^s) = diag(\dot{\sigma}_1^s, ..., \dot{\sigma}_n^s);$ RNN: Loss depends on all outputs loss $L = \sum_{t=1}^{T} L_t$, input \mathbf{x}^t , state \mathbf{h}^t : $\mathbf{h}^t = F(\mathbf{h}^{t-1}, \mathbf{x}^t, \theta) = \alpha(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h}^{t-1} + \mathbf{U}\mathbf{x}^t + \mathbf{b});$ $=\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} L_t$; Sum over all the paths in the (unfolded) network leading from the parameters to the loss: $\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{k=1}^t \frac{\partial L_t}{\partial h_t} \frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_k} \frac{\partial h_k}{\partial \theta}$; Expansion along a single path:

 $\frac{\partial h_t}{\partial h_k} = \prod_{i=k}^t \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial h_{i-1}} = \prod_{i=k}^t W^{\top} \operatorname{diag}(\alpha'(\cdot))$

RNN: Loss depends only on last output $\bar{h}_t = F(x_t, x_{t-1}; \theta)$ $h_t = \sigma(t), \quad y_t = G(h_t; \kappa), \quad L_T := L(y_T) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\theta\|_{2}^2$ $=\frac{\partial L(y_T)}{\partial \theta} + \lambda = \frac{\partial L(y_T)}{\partial y_T} \frac{\partial G(h_T; \kappa)}{\partial h_T} \sum_{k=t}^T \frac{\partial h_T}{\partial h_t} \frac{\partial h_T}{\partial \theta}$ $h^{t,1} = F^1(h^{t-1,1}, x^t; \theta); h^{t,l} = F^l(h^{t-1,l}, h^{t,l-1}; \theta), l = 2, ..., L;$ $y^t = H(h^{t,L};\theta);$

Memory Units / LSTM input processing (1), input g. (2), forget g. (3), output g. (4); $F^{\kappa} = \sigma \circ \bar{F}^{\kappa}, \bar{F}^{\kappa} =$ $W^{\kappa}h^{t-1} + U^{\kappa}x^{t} + b^{\kappa}, \kappa \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}; \text{ Next state: } h^{t} =$ $F^{3}(...) \circ h^{t-1} + F^{2}(...) \circ F^{1}(...)$; Output: $y^{t} = F^{4}(...) \circ \tanh(h^{t})$; LSTM = building unit for RNN. A common LSTM unit is composed of a cell, an input gate, an output gate, and a forget gate. $f_t = \sigma_q(W_f x_t + U_f h_{t-1} + b_f) \in \mathbb{R}^h$ (forget gate); $i_t = \sigma_q(W_i x_t + b_f)$ $U_i h_{t-1} + b_i \in \mathbb{R}^h$ (input gate); $o_t = \sigma_a(W_o x_t + U_o h_{t-1} + b_o) \in$ R^h (output gate); $c_t = f_t \circ c_{t-1} + i_t \circ \sigma_c(W_c x_t + U_c h_{t-1} + i_t)$ b_c) (cell state); $h_t = o_t \circ \sigma_h(c_t)$ (output vector), $W \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times d}$, $U \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$ and $\in \mathbb{R}^h$: weight matrices and bias vector parame-"patch" of units: $1D: x_i^{max} = \max\{x_{i+k}: 0 \le k < r\};$ ters which need to be learned during training, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$: input

vector to the LSTM unit. LSTM with peephole connections allow the gates to access the constant error carousel (CEC), whose activation is the cell state. h_{t-1} is not used, c_{t-1} is used instead in most places. $f_t = \sigma_q(W_f x_t + U_f c_{t-1} + b_f); i_t =$ $\sigma_a(W_i x_t + U_i c_{t-1} + b_i); o_t = \sigma_a(W_o x_t + U_o c_{t-1} + b_o); c_t =$ $f_t \circ c_{t-1} + i_t \circ \sigma_c(W_c x_t + b_c); h_t = o_t \circ \sigma_h(c_t)$ Gated Memory Units Memory state = output (lack output gate) $z_t = \sigma_q(W_z x_t + U_z h_{t-1} + b_z), r_t = \sigma_q(W_r x_t + U_r h_{t-1} + b_r), h_t =$ $z_t \circ h_{t-1} + (1 - z_t) \circ \sigma_h(W_h x_t + U_h(r_t \circ h_{t-1}) + b_h)$

Differentiable Memory/Neural Turing Machine Able to learn to read from and write arbitrary content to memory cells. To read, they take a weighted average of many cells. $r \leftarrow \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} M_{i}, \alpha \geq 0, \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} = 1$; To write, they modify multiple cells by different amounts. $M_i \leftarrow (1 - \beta_i)M_i + \beta_i w, \beta_i \in [0, 1];$ Weights with nonzero derivatives (softmax) enables the functions controlling access to the memory to be optimized using GD. Attention Selectively attend to inputs or feature representations computed from inputs; select what is relevant from the past in hindsight Recursive Networks For a sequence of length τ , the depth can be reduced from τ to $O(\log \tau)$.

Autoencoders Linear auto-encoding (hidden layer $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{R}^m$, input dimension n, data points i = 1, ..., k; $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n \stackrel{\mathbf{C}}{\to} \mathbf{z} \in$ $\mathbf{R}^m(m \leq n) \stackrel{\mathbf{D}}{\to} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbf{R}^n \stackrel{\mathcal{R}}{\to} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}||^2$. Optimal choice of $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$ and $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{2k} \sum_{k=1}^{k} \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{DC}\mathbf{x}_i\|^2 \leftarrow \min$ Eckart-Young Theorem (for m $\leq \min(n, k)$) $\arg\min_{\hat{\mathbf{X}}: rank(\hat{\mathbf{X}}) = m} \|\mathbf{X} - \hat{\mathbf{X}}\|_F^2 = \mathbf{U}_m \cdot diag(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_m) \cdot \mathbf{V}_m^\top.$ No linear auto-encoder with m hidden units can improve on SVD as rank $(CD) \leq m$. Given data $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} diag(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n) \mathbf{V}^{\top}$. The choice $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{U}_m^{\mathsf{T}}$ and $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{U}_m$ minimizes the squared reconstruction error of a two layer linear eauto-encoder with m hidden units. $\tilde{D}\tilde{C} = (U_m A^{-1}) \cdot (AU_m^{\top}) = U_m U_m^{\top}$. Solutions restricted to $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{C}^{\top}$ (weight-sharing) $\Rightarrow A^{-1} = A^{\top}$ (orthogonal) \Rightarrow mapping $x \to z$ only determined up to rotations. Non-linear auto-encoder min $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}[l(\mathbf{x}, (H \circ G)(\mathbf{x})], \text{ e.g. } l(\mathbf{x}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}) =$ $\frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|^2$; Encoder: $G = F_l \circ \cdots \circ F_1 : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^m, \mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{z} := \mathbf{x}^l$; Decoder: $H = F_L \circ \cdots \circ F_{l+1} : \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{z} \to \mathbf{y} := \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ Denoising non-linear auto-encoder min $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{E}_{n}[l(\mathbf{x},(H\circ G)(\mathbf{x}_{n}))]$ with $\mathbf{x}_{\eta} = \mathbf{x} + \eta, \ \eta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ Denoising non-linear auto-encoder code sparseness (sparse activity vector): $\Omega(\mathbf{z}) = \lambda ||\mathbf{z}||_1$; contractive AE (stable wrt. changes in input): $\Omega(\mathbf{z}) = \lambda \|\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\|_F^2$ Factor Analysis $x = \mu + Wz + \eta$, $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, $\eta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ then $x \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, WW^{\perp} + \Sigma)$, posterior $p(z|x) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{z|x}, \Sigma_{z|x})$

 $\mu_{z|x} = (W^{\top}(WW^{\top} + \Sigma)^{-1}(x - \mu))$ $\Sigma_{z|x} = I - W \top (WW^{\top} + \Sigma)^{-1} W$

Pseudo-inverse: $W^{\dagger} := W^{\top}(WW^{\top} + \sigma^2 I)^{-1}$ for $\sigma^2 \to 0$

 $W^{\dagger} = W^{\top}$ if W orthogonal columns

Moment generating functions MGF of random vector x: $M_{\mathbf{x}}: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}, M_{\mathbf{x}}:= \mathbf{E}_x \exp[\mathbf{t}^T \mathbf{x}]$

Uniqueness thereom: If M_x, M_y exist for RVs \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} and $M_x = M_y$ then (essentially) $p(\mathbf{x}) = p(\mathbf{y})$.

 $\mathbf{E}[x_1^{k_1} \cdot x_n^{k_n}] = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t_1^{k_1} \dots \partial t_n^{k_n}} M_{\mathbf{x}}|_{t=0}$

$$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{x} = \mu, \ \Sigma = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)(\mathbf{x} - \mu)^{\top}$$
PDF: $p(\mathbf{x}; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{\exp[-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)]}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n} \cdot \det \Sigma}}$

MGF: $M_x(\mathbf{t}) = \exp[\mathbf{t}^{\top} \mu + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^{\top} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{t}]$

Deep Latent Gaussian Models (DLGMs) Noise variables $\mathbf{z}^l \overset{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}), l = 1, ...L.$ Hidden activities (top-down: $\mathbf{h}^L \to \mathbf{h}^1$): $\mathbf{h}^L = \mathbf{W}^L \mathbf{z}^L$, $\mathbf{h}^l = F^l(\mathbf{h}^{l+1}) + \mathbf{W}^l \mathbf{z}^l$. Hidden layer (conditional) distribution: $h|h^+ \sim \mathcal{N}(F(\mathbf{h}^+), \mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^\top)$

Jensen's inequality If g is a real-valued function that is μ -integrable, and if φ is a convex function, then: $\varphi(\int_{\Omega} g d\mu) \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ g d\mu$ OR $f(\mathbf{E}[x]) \leq \mathbf{E}[f(x)]$

 $\int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ g d\mu \text{ OR } f(\mathbf{E}[x]) \leq \mathbf{E}[f(x)]$ $\mathbf{ELBO:} \text{ Evidence lower BOund} \quad \min -\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \\ -\log \int p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) p(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} = -\log \int q(\mathbf{x}) \left[p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) \frac{p(\mathbf{z})}{q(\mathbf{z})} \right] d\mathbf{z} \leq \\ -\int q(\mathbf{z}) \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} + \int q(\mathbf{z}) \log \frac{q(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathbf{z})} d\mathbf{z} =: \mathcal{F}(\theta, q; x) \text{ with } \\ D_{KL}(q||p) = \int q(\mathbf{z}) \log \frac{q(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathbf{z})} d\mathbf{z} \text{ which we want to minimize} \\ \text{optimal (often intractable): } q(z; x) = p(z|x) \text{ (posterior) (EMalg.). Restrict } q \text{ to (possibly) simpler familiy: Variational distributions, e.g.: } q(z; x) = \prod_{l=1}^{L} q(z^{l}; x), z^{l} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu^{l}(x), \Sigma^{l}(x)); \\ \text{need to learn functions } x \to \mu^{l}(x) \text{ (similar for cov.). } q \text{ variance}$

Recognition model: $\mathbf{x} \stackrel{\vartheta}{\to} (\mu^l, \mathbf{\Sigma}^l)_{l=1}^L \to q \sim \mathcal{N}(...)$. Parametric form with parameters ϑ : generalization across x, aka amortized inference. KL-divergence can be thought of as regul.. Constr. prec. matrix: $\Sigma^{-1} = D(\text{diagonal}) + uu^{\top}(\text{rank }1)$

ational distr. approx. true intractable posterior $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$. Use

another DNN: inference (recogn. network).

Generative model opt. Assume for given x, q(z|x) is fixed, opt. θ ? Sample noise variables $(z^1,...,z^L) \sim q(z|x)$. Perf. BP and SGD step for θ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) & \geq & \mathbf{E}_{q}[\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{z})] + KL(q(\mathbf{z})||p_{\theta}(\mathbf{z})) & \stackrel{\max}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{E} \\ \text{Stochastic Backpropagation} \end{array} \text{Optimizing over } q \text{ involves gradients of expectations! } \mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \ f \text{: smooth and integrable,} \\ \text{then } \nabla_{\mu} \mathbf{E} f(\mathbf{z})] = \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\mathbf{z}} f(\mathbf{z})], \ \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \mathbf{E}[f(\mathbf{z})] = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\mathbf{z}}^{2} f(\mathbf{z})] \\ \nabla_{\mu} \mathbf{E} f(z) = \int f(z) \nabla_{\mu} p(z) dz = -\int f(z) \nabla_{z} p(z) dz = \int \nabla_{z} f(z) p(z) dz - [f \cdot p]_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{z} f(z)]. \end{array}$

DLGM top-down (generative), bottom-up (recognition). Forward pass: deterministic recognition, sampled generative. Backward pass: deterministic, but stoch. BP.

Density Estimation: Prescribed model: Use observer likelihoods and assume observation noise, Implicit models: Likelihood-free models

Partition Function $p(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{Z(\theta)} \tilde{p}(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sum_{x} \tilde{p}(x)} \tilde{p}(x; \theta)$ $\nabla_{\theta} \log p(x; \theta) = \nabla_{\theta} \log \tilde{p}(x; \theta) - \nabla_{\theta} \log Z(\theta)$

 $\nabla_{\theta} \log Z(\theta) = \mathbf{E}_{x \sim p(x)} \nabla_{\theta} \log \tilde{p}(x)$

Score Matching (Alternative to MLE); avoids computing quantities related to the partition function; score = $\nabla_x \log p(x)$; Minimize the expected squared difference between the derivatives of the model's log density wrt the input and the derivatives of the data's log density wrt the input: $\psi_{\theta} := \nabla \log \bar{p}_{\theta}, \psi = \nabla \log p$, minimize $J(\theta) = \mathbf{E} \|\psi_{\theta} - \psi\|^2 \Rightarrow J(\theta) \stackrel{\pm c}{=} \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_i \partial_i \psi_{\theta,i} - \frac{1}{2} \psi_{\theta,i}^2 \right]$;

Partition function Z is not a function of $x \Rightarrow \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} Z = 0$; not

applicable to models of discrete data; need to evaluate $\log \tilde{p}(x)$ and its derivatives; not compatible if only lower bound available Noise Constrastive Estimation (NCE) The probability distribution estimated by the model is represented explicitly as $\log p_{\mathrm{model}}(x) = \log \tilde{p}_{\mathrm{model}}(x;\theta) + c$ where c approximates $-\log Z(\theta)$. Reduces density estimation to binary classification; $\tilde{p}(\mathbf{x},y=1) = \frac{1}{2}p_{\mathrm{model}}(x), \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x},y=0) = \frac{1}{2}p_{\mathrm{noise}}(\mathbf{x}), y$ is a switch variable that determines whether we will generate x from the model or from the noise distribution

prob. classifier: $q_{\theta} = \frac{\alpha \bar{p}_{\theta}}{\alpha \bar{p}_{\theta} + p_n}$, $\alpha > 0$, p_n : constrastive distr. Bayes optimal if $\alpha \bar{p}_{\theta} = p$; does not work with lower bound; estimator for θ consistent as long as p_n is dominating p; Generally not statistically efficient; much worse than Cramer-Rao bound if p_n very different from p.

Generative Adversarial Models (GAN)

Generator: gen. samples that are indistinguishable from real data. Train by minimizing logistic likelihood:

$$\begin{split} l^*(\theta) := \mathbf{E}_{\tilde{p}_{\theta}}[\mathring{y} \ln q_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) + (1-y) \ln(1-q_{\theta}(x))] \\ \text{Classification model: } q_{\phi} : \mathbf{x} \to [0;1], \phi \in \mathbf{\Phi} \end{split}$$

 $l^*(\theta) \ge \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} l(\theta, \phi)$

 $l(\theta, \phi) := \mathbf{E}_{\tilde{p}_{\theta}}[y \ln q_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - y) \ln(1 - q_{\phi}(\mathbf{x}))]$

Optimizing GANs: saddle-point problem:

 $\theta^* := \arg\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \{ \sup_{\phi \in \Phi} l(\theta, \phi) \}$

explicitly performing inner sup is impractical, iteratively update θ, ϕ with SGD, but may diverge. $\max_D \min_G V(G, D)$ with $V(G, D) = \mathbf{E}_{p_{data}(\mathbf{x})} \log D(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{E}_{p_q(\mathbf{x})} \log(1 - D(\mathbf{x}))$

 $D^*(x) = \frac{p_{data}(x)}{p_{data}(x) + p_g(x)}$, G is optimal when $p_g(x) = p_{data}(x)$, equivalent to optimal discriminator producing 0.5 for all samples drawn from x. G is optimal when the discriminator is maximally confused and cannot distinguish real samples from fake ones.

 $\mathbf{v}^{\top}\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i} v_{i}w_{i} = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}^{\top}), \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{B}),$ $\mathbf{E}\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{X}) = \operatorname{Tr}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}), \nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\top}) = 2\mathbf{A}, \nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B}^{\top},$ $\nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}^{-1}, \nabla_{\mathbf{A}}\log\det\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ $\mathbf{v}^{\top}\mathbf{w} = \sum_{i} v_{i}w_{i} = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{w}^{\top}), \operatorname{E}\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{X}) = \operatorname{Tr}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}), \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}) =$ $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}^{\top}), \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A})$

Differentiation rules

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{power: } \frac{d}{dx}x^n = nx^{n-1} \\ \text{product: } \frac{d}{dx}[f(x) \cdot g(x)] = f(x) \cdot \frac{d}{dx}g(x) + g(x) \cdot \frac{d}{dx}f(x) \\ \text{quotient: } \frac{d}{dx}\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{g(x)\frac{d}{dx}f(x) - f(x)\frac{d}{dx}g(x)}{(g(x))^2} \\ \text{chain: } (f \circ g)' = (f' \circ g) \cdot g' \text{ or } \frac{dz}{dx} = \frac{dz}{dy}\frac{dy}{dx} = f'(y)g'(x) = f'(g(x))g'(x) \text{ or } \frac{d}{dx}[f(g(x))] = \frac{d}{dx}f(g(x)) \cdot \frac{d}{dx}g(x) \\ \text{Schwarz-Theorem: } \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f(x,y)}{\partial y \partial x} \\ \text{Leibniz integral rule: } \frac{d}{dx}(\int_a^b f(x,t)dt) = \int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial x}f(x,t)dt \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{a}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{a}, \ \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}^{\top}, \ \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{\top}\mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{b}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}^{\top} \end{array}
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{b} \mathbf{c}^{\top} + \mathbf{c} \mathbf{b}^{\top}) \\ \frac{\partial (\mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})^{\top} \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{d})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}) + \mathbf{D}^{\top} \mathbf{C}^{\top} (\mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}) \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^{\top}) \mathbf{x}, \ \frac{\mathbf{b}^{\top} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{c}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{D}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{c}^{\top} + \mathbf{D} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{b}^{\top} \end{array}$

```
Important functions \sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}, \tanh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}, \text{ softmax}(x)_i = \frac{e^x i}{\sum_k e^{x}k}, \text{ Softplus: } \zeta(x) = \log(1+\exp(x)), \sigma'(x) = \sigma(x)(1-\sigma(x))
Differences f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}
Finite differences: \nabla f(w) = \frac{f(w+e) - f(w)}{e} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)
Finite differences (2nd): f''(x) = \frac{f(w+e) - f(w-e)}{2\epsilon}
Central differences: \nabla f(w) = \frac{f(w+e) - f(w-e)}{2\epsilon}
Central differences (2nd): f''(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x+h) + f(x)}{h^2}
Taylor series: f(x+h) = f(x) + f'(x)h + \frac{f''(x)}{2!}h^2 + \frac{f'''(x)}{3!}h^3.
T. exp.: f(x) \approx f(a) + (x-a)^{\top} \nabla f(a) + \frac{1}{2!}(x-a)^{\top} \nabla^2 f(a)(x-a)
Norms \|x\|_p = \sqrt{\sum_i x_i^p}, \langle x, y \rangle = y^{\top} x = \sum_i x_i y_i, \|v\| = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle}, \|A\|_F := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2} = \sqrt{\text{Tr}(AA^{\top})}
```

Probabilities $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{z})p(\mathbf{z})}{p(\mathbf{x})}, \quad p(x) = \sum_{z} p(x|z)p(z),$ $p(b,c) = p(b|c)p(c); \quad \mu = \mathbf{E}(X), \quad Var(X) = \mathbf{E}[(X-\mu)^2 = \mathbf{E}[X^2] - \mathbf{E}[X]^2, \quad \mu = \int xf(x)dx, \quad Var(X) = \int (x-\mu)^2 f(x)dx$ Entropy: $H(X) = -\sum_{i} P(x_i)\log_2 P(x_i)$

 $H(X|Y) = -\sum p(x,y)\log\frac{p(x,y)}{p(y)} = \sum p(x,y)\log\left(\frac{p(x)}{p(x,y)}\right) = -\sum p(x,y)\log(p(x,y)) + \sum p(x,y)\log(p(x)) = H(X,Y) + \sum p(x)\log(p(x)) = H(X,Y) - H(X)$ H(Y|X) = 0 iff Y is completely determined by X. H(Y|X) = H(Y) iff Y and X are indep. RVs.Bayes rule: H(Y|X) = H(X|Y) - H(X) + H(Y)Proof: H(Y|X) = H(X,Y) - H(X) and H(X|Y) = H(Y,X) - H(Y), symmetry implies: H(X,Y) = H(Y,X)

```
H(p,q) = E_p[-\log q] = H(p) + D_{KL}(p||q)
Discrete p,q: H(p,q) = -\sum_x p(x) \log q(x) = \sum_x p(x) \log \frac{1}{q(x)}
logistic function: g(z) = 1/(1 + e^{-z})
q_{y=1} = \hat{y} = g(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}) = 1/(1 + e^{-\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}})
q_{y=0} = 1 - \hat{y}
H(p,q) = -\sum_i p_i \log q_i = -y \log \hat{y} - (1-y) \log(1-\hat{y})
```

 $D_{KL} = 0 : \text{expect same/similar behavior of two distributions.}$ $D_{KL} = -\sum_{i} P(i) \log \frac{Q(i)}{P(i)} = \sum_{i} P(i) \log \frac{P(i)}{Q(i)}$ $= -\sum_{i} P(x) \log_{i} q(x) + \sum_{i} P(x) \log_{i} p(x) = H(P, Q) - H(P)$ $D_{KL}(q||p) = \int_{i} q(z) \log_{i} \frac{q(z)}{p(z)} dz$

$$JSD(P||Q) = \frac{1}{2}D_{KL}(P||M) + \frac{1}{2}D_{KL}(Q||M), M = \frac{1}{2}(P+Q)$$

ELBO:
$$\log p(x) = \log \int_{z} p(x, z) = \log \int_{z} p(x, z) \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} = \log \left(\mathbf{E}_{q} \left[\frac{p(x, z)}{q(z)} \right] \right) \ge \mathbf{E}_{q} \left[\log \frac{p(x, z)}{q(z)} \right] = \mathbf{E}_{q} [\log p(x, z)] + H(z)$$

Loc. Min $\Rightarrow \nabla f(x) = 0$, $\nabla^2 f$ psd. Assume $\nabla f(x^*) \neq 0$, $\zeta = -\nabla f(x^*)/\|\nabla f(x^*)\|^2 \Rightarrow f(x^* + \lambda \zeta) = f(x^*) + \lambda \nabla f(x^*)^\top \zeta + o(\lambda) = f(x^*) - \lambda + o(\lambda) \Rightarrow \exists \lambda^* > 0 \text{ st } f(x^* + \lambda \zeta) < f(x^*)$ Not psd $\Rightarrow \exists z : z^\top \nabla^2 f(x^*) z < 0 \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(x^*) + (x - x^*)^\top \nabla f(x^*) + 0.5(x - x^*) \nabla^2 f(x^*)(x - x^*) \text{ with } \nabla f(x^*) = 0$, $\epsilon z = x - x^* \Rightarrow x = \epsilon z + x^* \text{ st } z^\top \nabla^2 f(x^*) z < 0, \epsilon > 0 \Rightarrow f(x) \approx f(x^*) + 0.5\epsilon^2 z^\top \nabla^2 f(x^*) z \Rightarrow f(x) < f(x^*)$