CS4049 Bioinformatics

Spring 2025

Rushda Muneer

Brute Force Approach

- MotifEnumeration(Dna, k, d)
 - *Patterns* ← an empty set
 - **for** each k-mer Pattern in Dna
 - for each k-mer Pattern' differing from Pattern by at most d mismatches
 - **if** Pattern' appears in each string from *Dna* with at most *d* mismatches
 - add Pattern' to Patterns
 - remove duplicates from *Patterns*
 - return Patterns

Limitations of the Implanted Motif Problem

- Real biological datasets (e.g., DNA arrays) are **noisy**.
- Not all identified genes contain the expected motif.
- A single missing sequence invalidates a (k, d)-motif.

A Better Approach:

- Score motifs based on similarity to an "ideal" motif.
- The ideal motif is unknown, so we approximate it.

Motif Matrix Representation:

- A t × k matrix of selected k-mers.
- Most frequent nucleotide in each column forms the consensus string.
- Highly conserved positions indicate strong motif presence.
- Instead of exact motif matching, a **scoring-based approach** using scoring matrices helps handle noisy datasets more effectively.

Scoring Motifs Using Profile Matrices

Motif Representation:

 Given t DNA strings (each of length n), select a k-mer from each to form a t × k motif matrix.

Consensus String:

• The most frequent nucleotide in each column represents the consensus sequence.

```
t
                         C
 CGGtGAcTTa
  C G G G A T T T t
                         C
a
 \mathsf{t} \mathsf{G} \mathsf{G} \mathsf{G} \mathsf{A} \mathsf{c}
                  T T t t
 a G G G A C T T C
                         C
 t G G G A c T T
                         C
 C G G G A T T c a
 CGGGGATTCCt
 a G G G A a c
                    T a C
                         C
```

Conservation Analysis:

- Some positions in the matrix are highly conserved (e.g., G at positions 2 and 3).
- Others are variable, indicating weaker motif conservation (e.g., position 12).

Scoring Motifs

- Score Definition:
 - Score(Motifs) = Number of lower-case letters in the motif matrix.
 - Goal: Minimize the **score** by selecting the most conserved k-mers.
- By selecting the best k-mers, we aim to find the most **conserved motif matrix** with the **fewest unpopular nucleotides**.

Scoring Motifs

```
C
                       C
                           G
                                               C
                           G
                                                               C
                   a
                                               C
                                                               C
                                               C
      Motifs
                           G
                                   G
                           G
                                   G
                           G
                                   G
                   T
                   3 + 4 + 0 + 0 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 5 + 2 + 3 + 6 + 4 = 30
Score(Motifs)
```

Exercise: The minimium possible value of Score(Motifs) is 0 (if all the k-mers in Motifs are the same). What is the maximum possible value of Score(Motifs) for 10 motifs of length 12?

Answer:84

Consensus Motif

- Consensus(Motifs) provides an ideal candidate regulatory motif for these regions.
- For example, the consensus string for the NF-kB binding sites in the figure is "TCGGGGATTTCC".

```
T C G G G G G T T T t t t

C C G G t G A C T T A C

A C G G G G A T T T t C

T t G G G G A C T T C C

T t G G G G A C T T C C

T t G G G A C T T C C

T C G G G A T T C C

T C G G G A T T C C

T C G G G A T T C C

T C G G G A T C C

T C G G G A T C C

Score(Motifs)

3 + 4 + 0 + 0 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 5 + 2 + 3 + 6 + 4 = 30
```

The Motif Finding Problem

 Given a collection of strings, find a set of k-mers (one from each string) that minimizes the motif score.

Input & Output:

- Input: A collection of strings (DNA) and an integer k.
- **Output:** A collection (Motifs) of k-mers, one from each string in DNA, minimizing Score(Motifs).

Brute Force Approach :

- Compute score of every possible choice of k-mers in the DNA to form a motif matrix
- Take a collection of all k-mers with the lowest score

Time Complexity:

This is computationally expensive for large sequences.

Time Complexity of Brute Force algorithm via scoring matrix calculation

- Assume there are t strings of length n
 - Selecting 1 k-mer per string gives one matrix
 - Total k-mers per string would be found in n-k+1
 - Matrix = t x k
 - Total locations per matrix (n-k+1)^{t.k}
- Biologically k<<n and k << t
- There are (n-k+1)^t possiblities of how to form a motif matrix
- Scoring the matrix requires k . t steps (frequency of each item in matrix)
- Overall Runtime: O(n^t . k . t) -> very slow

Changing Perspective

Old Approach:

- Create motif matrices first with the best score
- It will give us the consensus string

New Approach:

- Find the consensus string first
- Look for a motif matrix that scores best against this consensus

How to do this?

• Instead of computing Score(Motifs) column-by-column, we can compute it row-by-row.

Changing Perspective

Column-wise Calculation:

- Previously calculated as the sum of lowercase letters in each column.
- Example: Score of NF-κB motif matrix = **30** (sum of non-consensus elements column-wise).

Row-wise Calculation:

- Score can also be computed by summing mismatches row-by-row.
- Each row's score corresponds to the **Hamming distance** between the consensus string and the motif in that row.

Hamming distance

```
d(Pattern, \textit{Motifs}) = \sum_{i=1}^{	au} \textit{HammingDistance}(Pattern, \textit{Motif}_i).
```

```
Motifs

T C G G G G G G T T T T t t t 3

c C G G t G A c T T a C 4

a C G G G G A T T T t t C 2

T t G G G G A C T T C C 3

T t G G G G A C T T C C 3

T t G G G G A C T T C C 2

T C G G G G A C T T C C 2

T C G G G A T T C C 2

T C G G G A T T C C 4

T C G G G A T T C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C C 1

T C G G G A T T C C C 1

SCORE(Motifs)

T C G G G A T T C C C
```

- Example: d(" TCGGGGATTTCC ", " TCGGGGGTTTtt ") = 3.
- Both methods yield the same score
- Score(Motif) = d(Consensus(Motif), Motifs)

Equivalent Motif Finding Problem:

- Given a collection of strings, find a pattern and a collection of k-mers (one from each string) that minimizes the distance between all possible patterns and all possible collections of k-mers.
- Input: A collection of strings (Dna) and an integer k.
- Output: A k-mer Pattern and a collection of k-mers (one from each string in Dna) that minimizes d(Pattern, Motifs).

- Calculate the distance of not only same sized string (motifs) but also with a longer string (sequence)
- For different lengths, start from a smaller string (k-mer) and compare it with the first k-mer in the longer string.
- Continue until we find the lowest string.
- For example,
 - d("GATTCTCA", "GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA") = 3.
- GATTCTCA
- GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA
- = 3

Distance: d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = ?

GATTCTCA

IIIIII
GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA

Distance: 7

d(Pattern, String):

d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = ?

GATTCTCA

I I I I I I

GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA

Distance: 7 6

d(Pattern, String):

d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = ?

Distance: 7 6 7

d(Pattern, String):

d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = ?

Distance: 7 6 7 5

d(Pattern, String):

d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = ?

GATTCTCA

IIIIIII
GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA

Distance: 7 6 7 5 8

d(Pattern, String):

d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = ?

GATTCTCA II I GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA

Distance: 7 6 7 5 8 3

d(Pattern, String):

d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = ?

Distance: 7 6 7 5 8 3 8

d(Pattern, String):

d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = ?

Distance: 7 6 7 5 8 3 8 7

d(Pattern, String):

d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = ?

G A T T C T C A

| | | | | |
G C A A A G A C G C T G A C C A A

Distance: 7 6 7 5 8 3 8 7 4

d(Pattern, String):

d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = ?

Distance: 7 6 7 5 8 3 8 7 4 6

d(Pattern, String):

d(GATTCTCA, GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA) = 3

GATTCTCA II I I GCAAAGACGCTGACCAA

Distance: 7 6 7 5 8 3 8 7 4 6

d(Pattern, String):

Distance between k-mer and set of strings (motifs)

- For example, for the strings Dna shown below, the five colored 3-mers represent Motifs("AAA", Dna). $d(Pattern, Dna) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} d(Pattern, Dna_i).$
- Given a k-mer Pattern and a set of strings $Dna = \{Dna_1, ..., Dna_t\}$, we define d(Pattern, Dna) as the sum of distances between Pattern and all strings in Dna
- For example, d("AAA", Dna) = 1 + 1 + 2 + 0 + 1 = 5.

```
ttaccttAAC 1
gATAtctgtc 1
Dna ACGgcgttcg 2
ccctAAAgag 0
cqtcAGAggt 1
```

Median String Problem

- A k-mer minimizing the distance with DNA and all possible k-mers motifs
- Input: A collection of strings Dna and an integer k.
- Output: A k-mer Pattern that minimizes dist(Pattern, Dna) among all possible k-mers.
- · Pseudocode:
- MedianString(Dna, k)
 - distance ← ∞
 - **for** each k-mer *Pattern* from AA...AA to TT...TT
 - **if** distance > d(Pattern, Dna)
 - $distance \leftarrow d(Pattern, Dna)$
 - Median ← Pattern
 - return Median
- Finding a median string requires solving a double minimization problem.
- We must find a k-mer Pattern that minimizes d(Pattern, Dna), where this function is itself computed by taking a minimum over all choices of k-mers from each string in Dna.

Time Complexity of Median String

- 4 possible bases in k locations generate a k-mer (consensus candidate)
- Total possible candidates 4^k
- We compare the total hamming distance (k comparisons) across each string of length n
- Comparisons per string are n . K
- Total strings are t
- Overall runtime $O(4^k \cdot n \cdot k \cdot t)$

Comparison of Runtimes:

- Median String Runtime: (row wise)
 - $O(4^k \cdot n \cdot k \cdot t)$
- Motif Search with Scoring Matrix Runtime: (column wise)
 - $O(n^t \cdot k \cdot t)$
 - Slower than Median String when t (number of strings) is large.
- The length of a motif (k) typically does not exceed 20 nucleotides, whereas t is measured in the thousands.
- In the long run, Median string will be a slow yet better algorithm