

# QUIC for games

Robin Marx

IETF 104 – QUIC DATAGRAM side-meeting – 27 March 2019

# Game networking types: intro

- Deterministic lockstep: reliable, less latency sensitive
  - E.g., traditional real-time strategy games
- P2P with custom authority: mixed, medium latency sensitive
  - E.g., racing games
- Server-authority with prediction: unreliable, highly latency sensitive
  - E.g., First person shooters

# Unreliable + reliable + mixed

- Reliable: RPCs
  - Opened door, picked up weapon, changed loadout, ...
- Unreliable
  - Client sends: Controller input (bitmaps)
  - Server updates simulation
  - Server broadcasts: Position/rotation/velocity/... updates (3D vectors)
- Mixed
  - Delta-compression for the unreliable updates
  - Need to know which base state to base deltas on (need ACKs)

# What is reliable?

- Typical: with retransmits when deemed lost
- Alternative: keep repeating until ACKed (bandwidth vs responsiveness tradeoff)
- Alternative: just need ACKs, no retransmits (e.g., delta-state is the last one we know the peer has received)
  - Draft currently has this as a MUST + that they can be delayed (5.2) → not for games

# Ordering...

- Games don't care about old data if they've already applied the new one
- DATAGRAMS need a **strict ordering**
  - Either add this in the application-level payload (overhead!)
  - Or use existing QUIC packet numbers for this
    - But then these need to bubble-up to the application-level somehow

# Other performance aspects

- Bandwidth overhead limiting (e.g., DATAGRAM headers)
  - Typically bundle large amount of very small frames into 1 packet
  - **Length = 0** means : just keep reading till stream is closed
    - OR mark full stream as unreliable and drop DATAGRAM entirely?
- Prioritization (e.g., area of interest)
  - Mapping of game-streams to QUIC streams might not be simple
  - Question is where to abstract this: application-layer or transport-layer?
- Also: things like match making, lobbies, chat, voice chat, ...
  - Usually done via separate stacks now, can/should we allow combination?
  - Shared congestion context + prioritization could be quite interesting here!

# Tentative summary for current DATAGRAM draft

- Need for ACKs and sending should be relaxed / configurable
- Think about exposing ordering information (recommendation?)
- Allow to cut as much overhead as possible (e.g., length = 0)
- Just the tip of the iceberg
  - But I need more sleep for the rest...

# References

- Glenn Fiedler
  - <https://gafferongames.com/>
  - [https://gafferongames.com/post/reliable ordered messages/](https://gafferongames.com/post/reliable_ordered_messages/)
  - [https://gafferongames.com/post/networked physics in virtual reality/](https://gafferongames.com/post/networked_physics_in_virtual_reality/)
- Steam open-source UDP protocol
  - <https://steamcommunity.com/groups/steamworks#announcements/detail/1791775741704351698>
  - <https://github.com/ValveSoftware/GameNetworkingSockets>
    - Actually mentions QUIC at various points (ACK format, gQUIC crypto)