

PEER REVIEW WORKSHEET

Complete this worksheet for the project report assigned to you. Email page 1 to the author and attach both pages to the week 12 peer review evidence.

Project report title : TANNIC ACID BASED MODIFICATION OF COTTON MATERIAL FOR USE AS A VOC FILTER.

Author : Matthew Lloyd

Author Email : 101109076@student.swin.edu.au

Presentation

Scan through the report (do not read cover to cover) and check that the following are consistent.

- | | | |
|---|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> font size and type | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> spacing | <input type="checkbox"/> italicised, bold-printed or underlined words (don't overuse) |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> indentation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> section and page numbering | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> wording and fonts of titles |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> justification of paragraphs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> headers and footers | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> margins | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> capitalisation | |

Feedback:

Title page is not centered

After chapter 4 sub headings, e.g. Discussion are smaller than sub headings above e.g. Experimental Methodology.

These are more an issue with the provided template but are an easy fix

Tables, Graphs and Illustrations

Scan through the results chapter with a focus on tables, graphs and illustrations. Check the following:

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> positioned properly | <input type="checkbox"/> axis labelled with units | <input type="checkbox"/> referred to directly in text |
| <input type="checkbox"/> consistent formatting | <input type="checkbox"/> detailed caption | <input type="checkbox"/> In the List of Tables/Figures |

Feedback:

No tables, graphs or figures have been added yet.

Referencing and citations

Scan through the citation list while periodically checking the following:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> citations formatted correctly | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> citations are from multiple years |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> referencing in text is consistent | <input type="checkbox"/> all sources acknowledged |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> citations are from multiple sources | |

Feedback:

Might need to reference the 3rd sentence in introduction, first 2 could be considered general topic knowledge.

if the journal was found Online bibliography should include viewed date and url e.g.

Alzate-Sánchez, DM, Smith, BJ, Alsaiee, A, Hinestroza, JP & Dichtel, WR 2016, 'Cotton Fabric Functionalized with a β -Cyclodextrin Polymer Captures Organic Pollutants from Contaminated Air and Water' Chemistry of Materials, vol. 28, no. 22, pp. 8340–8346, viewed 28 October, 2020, <<https://www.scholars.northwestern.edu/en/publications/cotton-fabric-functionalized-with-a-%CE%B2-cyclodextrin-polymer-captur>>.

Paragraphs and Sentence structure

Read the methodology chapter with a focus on each paragraph and the sentences therein and check the following:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> paragraphs are sequenced logically | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> paragraphs of appropriate length |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> each paragraph contain a topic sentence | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> most sentences begin with the subject |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> subsequent sentences support the topic sentence | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> most sentences in the active voice |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> each paragraph contain only one main idea | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> sentences short and clear enough |

Feedback:

- repeated words 'we will be unable to we will be unable to access the laboratories'
- use of personal pronoun 'I', 'me' ect.
- write about the he subject matter in 3rd person

Note: feedback can be handwritten but be specific e.g. P15 Figure 7 referred to in text as figure 6.

Reflecting upon your peer review

Critically reviewing other peoples work often provides insight to the approach that you have taken. Reflecting upon the review you have performed and own project report describe what changes, if any, have occurred.

Reflection:

- Need to add urls for Online journals

I found that reviewing another students work was: a waste of time

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

 very useful

Reflecting upon the peer review of your report

Comment below on any changes that you have implemented from this review.

Comment:

Fixed some formatting issues with paragraph spacing.