

Math 750 HW 2

Jiayi Huang

Due: Sunday night

The exercises in this homework concern the concept of projective dimension of a module and global dimension of a ring.

Let R be a ring, and M an R -module. We will say that M has *projective dimension* $\text{pd}(M) = d$ if the length of the shortest projective resolution of M is d (i.e., it has $d+1$ non-zero projective modules in it). So a non-zero projective module has projective dimension 0. By convention we set $\text{pd}(M) = -1$ for the zero module $M = 0$. If the module M does not admit a finite projective resolution we set $\text{pd}(M) = \infty$.

One also defines an invariant of the ring itself: the *global dimension* of R (also called the homological dimension) is the supremum of $\text{pd}(M)$ over all R -modules M . (If the ring is not commutative, there are left and right versions of global dimension.)

Our first goal is to prove that

$$\text{pd}(M) \leq n \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$$

for all R -modules N and all $i > n$. In other words the projective dimension of M coincides with the largest non-zero i for which we can find a non-zero $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, -)$.

1. Prove from the definition of Ext that if $\text{pd}(M) = n$ then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all R -modules N and all $i > n$.

Proof. From the problem we know we have a projective resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

And, we can apply $\text{Hom}(-, N)$ to get:

$$0 \leftarrow \text{Hom}(P_n, N) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \text{Hom}(P_0, N) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \leftarrow 0$$

So, it is easy to see the homology of this chain complex is zero when $i > n$. So, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$. \square

2. Prove that the reverse implication holds for $M = 0$ and for M projective.

Proof. (i): Suppose $M = 0$. Then it is easy to calculate that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$, $i \geq 0$. And we know M has a projective resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

which indicates $\text{pd}(M) = -1$.

(ii): Suppose M is projective. Then we easily calculate that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M) = 0$, $i \geq 1$. And, we have a projective resolution of M :

$$0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

which indicates that $\text{pd}(M) = 0$. \square

3. Assume that M is not projective (hence $\text{pd}(M) \geq 1$). Let

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence with P projective. Prove that if $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all N and all $i > n$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(K, N) = 0$ for all N and all $i > n-1$.

Proof. We have a long exact sequence :

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(P, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(K, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

(i): Suppose $n \geq 1$. Then when $i > n - 1 \geq 1$, this long exact sequence collapses

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(K, N) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

$\Rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(K, N) = 0$. (ii): We need to prove n cannot be 0. Suppose yes, Then we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0, \forall i \geq 1, \forall N$. Suppose we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$. Then We get a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M_2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, M_1) = 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, M') = 0 \rightarrow 0$$

which collapses to short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M_1) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M') \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, M_2) \rightarrow 0$$

$\Rightarrow M$ is projective. □

4. Prove the second implication in the statement we want to prove (one implication was problem 1) by induction, using the fact that the category of R -modules has enough projectives.

Proof. Suppose this statement is right when $i > n - 1 \geq -1$. Suppose $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0, i > n$. Since $R - \text{mod}$ has enough projective objects, we can construct a short exact sequence :

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

Then we have $\text{Ext}_R^I(K, N) = 0, i > n - 1$. Then $\text{pd}(K) \leq n - 1$. So, we can find a projective resolution of K :

$$0 \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

So, we can get a projective resolution of M :

$$0 \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

So, $\text{pd}(M) \leq n$. □

5. Prove that the global dimension of a PID is 1.

Proof. Since R is a PID, then for every $R - \text{mod } M$, we have a free resolution $0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. So, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0, i > 1$. So, the global dimension of R less than 1. Let $M = R/I$, and I is an ideal of R . Then M has at least length equaling 1 projective resolution. So, the global dimension of a PID is 1. □

6. Prove that the global dimension of $k[x]/(x^2)$ is ∞ (k a field).

Proof. Let R denote $k[x]/(x^2)$. Let I denote the ideal generated by \bar{x} . Then we have an infinite projective resolution of R/I :

$$\cdots \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\cdot x} R \cdots \xrightarrow{\cdot x} R \rightarrow R/I$$

Now we apply $\text{Hom}(-, R/I)$. And, we can get a chain complex of

$$\cdots \xleftarrow{0} R/I \xleftarrow{0} R/I \xleftarrow{\text{inclusion}} R/I$$

So, we can get $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, R/I) = R/I$. This indicates $\text{pd}(R/I) = \infty$. So, the global dimension of $k[x]/(x^2)$ is ∞ . □

7. Let n be a non-zero integer. Find the global dimension of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. (The answer will depend on n .)

Proof. We first prove: Let $\{R_i\}_{i \in I}$ be any family of rings and set $R = \prod_{i \in I} R_i$. Then

$$\text{gldim}(R) = \sup_{i \in I} \text{gldim}(R_i).$$

For each $i \in I$ let $e_i \in R$ be the idempotent with i th coordinate 1 and all other coordinates 0. For an R -module M put

$$M_i := R_i \otimes_R M \cong e_i M.$$

Define functors

$$\Phi : \text{mod}(R) \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \text{mod}(R_i), \quad \Phi(M) := (M_i)_i,$$

and

$$\Psi : \prod_{i \in I} \text{mod}(R_i) \longrightarrow \text{mod}(R), \quad \Psi((N_i)_i) := \prod_{i \in I} N_i$$

with the coordinatewise R -action $(r_i)_i \cdot (n_i)_i = (r_i n_i)_i$. There are natural isomorphisms

$$\Phi\Psi((N_i)_i) \cong (N_i)_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi\Phi(M) \cong M,$$

hence Φ and Ψ yield an equivalence $\text{mod}(R) \simeq \prod_i \text{mod}(R_i)$.

Moreover, each R_i is (as a right R -module) isomorphic to $e_i R$, which is a direct summand of the free R -module R ; hence R_i is projective (in particular flat) as a right R -module. It follows that the tensor functors $R_i \otimes_R (-)$ are exact, and Ψ is exact as well (being an inverse equivalence). Consequently, projective objects and exact sequences correspond under the equivalence.

Given $M \in \text{mod}(R)$ and $M_i := R_i \otimes_R M$, apply Φ to any projective resolution $P_\bullet \rightarrow M$. Exactness of Φ shows that each $(P_\bullet)_i \rightarrow M_i$ is a projective resolution over R_i , hence

$$\text{pd}_{R_i}(M_i) \leq \text{pd}_R(M) \quad (\forall i \in I).$$

Conversely, suppose for each i we are given a projective resolution $Q_\bullet^{(i)} \rightarrow M_i$ of length at most d_i . Applying Ψ to the family $(Q_\bullet^{(i)})_i$ and using that Ψ preserves projectives and exactness, we obtain a projective resolution of M of length $\sup_i d_i$. Therefore

$$\text{pd}_R(M) = \sup_{i \in I} \text{pd}_{R_i}(M_i)$$

Equivalently, one may express this via derived functors: for any $N \in \text{mod}(R)$,

$$\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Ext}_{R_i}^n(M_i, N_i),$$

and the smallest n annihilating all these groups is exactly $\sup_i \text{pd}_{R_i}(M_i)$.

Now compute

$$\text{gldim}(R) = \sup_{M \in \text{mod}(R)} \text{pd}_R(M) = \sup_M \sup_{i \in I} \text{pd}_{R_i}(R_i \otimes_R M) = \sup_{i \in I} \sup_{N \in \text{mod}(R_i)} \text{pd}_{R_i}(N) = \sup_{i \in I} \text{gldim}(R_i).$$

In the penultimate equality we use that every R_i -module N occurs as the i -th component of some R -module under the equivalence (take N in the i -th slot and 0 elsewhere).

Now we can apply this result to this question.

Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{e_i}$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\text{gldim}(R) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } e_i = 1 \text{ for all } i; \\ \infty, & \text{if } e_i \geq 2 \text{ for some } i. \end{cases}$$

By the Chinese remainder theorem,

$$R \cong \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then,

$$\text{gldim}(R) = \sup_{1 \leq i \leq r} \text{gldim}(\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e_i}\mathbb{Z}),$$

so it suffices to compute the global dimension of $R_p := \mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$.

Case $e = 1$. Then $R_p \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ is a field; every module is projective, so $\text{gldim}(R_p) = 0$.

Case $e \geq 2$. Let $R = R_p = \mathbb{Z}/p^e\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{m} = (p)$, and $k = R/\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$. Consider the two-periodic complex of free R -modules

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\times p} R \xrightarrow{\times p^{e-1}} R \xrightarrow{\times p} R \twoheadrightarrow k \rightarrow 0,$$

where the rightmost map is the natural quotient $R \rightarrow k$. We verify exactness:

$$\ker(\times p) = \{x \in R : px = 0\} = p^{e-1}R = \text{im}(\times p^{e-1}),$$

and

$$\ker(\times p^{e-1}) = \{x \in R : p^{e-1}x = 0\} = pR = \text{im}(\times p).$$

Moreover $(\times p) \circ (\times p^{e-1}) = \times p^e = 0$ in R . Hence this is an infinite projective (indeed free) resolution of k , so $\text{pd}_R(k) = \infty$ and therefore $\text{gldim}(R) = \infty$. \square

(One statement that I mentioned in class, but we will not prove, is that the global dimension of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is n . More generally, a theorem of Auslander–Buchsbaum and/or Serre says that regular rings – i.e. those whose spectrum is smooth as an algebraic variety – are precisely those with finite global dimension.)