## Statistical Machine Learning Theory

## **Statistical Learning Theory**

Hisashi Kashima kashima@i.Kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF INTELLIGENCE SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY

## Statistical learning theory: Theoretical guarantee for learning from limited data

- How many samples are needed to achieve a particular test performance?
- What is the test performance of a classifier with a particular training performance?
- How far is a classifier from the best performance model?

#### **REFERENCE:**

Bousquet, Boucheron, and Lugosi.

"Introduction to statistical learning theory."

Advanced lectures on machine learning. pp. 169-207, 2004.

## **Error Bounds**

## True risk and empirical risk: We are interested in true risk but can access only to empirical risk

- Training dataset  $\{(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), ..., (x^{(N)}, y^{(N)})\}$  is sampled from P in an i.i.d manner
  - $-y^{(i)} \in \{+1, -1\}$ : Binary classification
  - We want to estimate f: X → {+1, -1}

Indicator function

- (True) risk:  $R(f) = \Pr(f(x) \neq y) = E_{x \sim P}[1_{f(x) \neq y}]$ 
  - We cannot directly evaluate this since we do not know P
- Empirical risk:  $R_N(f) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N 1_{f(x^{(i)}) \neq y^{(i)}}$ 
  - Usually we estimate a classifier that minimizes this

## Our goal: How good is the classifier learned by empirical risk minimization?

- We want to find the best f in function class  $\mathcal F$ 
  - Best function:  $f^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R(f)^{\text{True risk}}$
- Empirical risk minimization:  $f_N = \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_N(f)$ 
  - Or with regularization:  $f_N = \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_N(f) + \lambda ||f||^2$
- Our targets: We want to know how good  $f_N$  is
  - 1.  $R(f_N) R_N(f_N) \le B(N, \mathcal{F})$ : Estimate of the true risk of a trained classifier from its empirical risk
  - 2.  $R(f_N) R(f^*) \le B(N, \mathcal{F})$ : Estimate how far the true risk of a trained classifier from the best one

#### Error bound:

### We want to give an error bound for a finite dataset

Let us consider to find a bound  $R(f_N) - R_N(f_N) \le B(N, \mathcal{F})$ 

$$R(f) - R_N(f) = E[1_{f(x) \neq y}] - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} 1_{f(x^{(i)}) \neq y^{(i)}}$$

- By the law of large numbers, this will converge to 0
  - Empirical risk is a good estimate of the true risk
- But we want to know  $B(N,\mathcal{F})$  depending on a finite N

The bound is a function of *N* 

## Hoeffding's inequality:

#### Bound of true risk for a fixed classifier

■ Hoeffding's inequality: Let  $Z^{(1)}$ , ...,  $Z^{(N)}$  be N i.i.d. random variables with  $Z \in [a,b]$ . Then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\Pr\left[ \left| E[Z] - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Z^{(i)} \right| > \epsilon \right] \le 2 \exp\left( -\frac{2N\epsilon^2}{(b-a)^2} \right)$$

- Gives the bound of probability of difference between expected value and empirical estimate exceeding  $\epsilon$
- For a classifier  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , setting  $Z = 1_{f(x) \neq y}$  gives  $\Pr[|R(f) R_N(f)| > \epsilon] \le 2 \exp(-2N\epsilon^2) \equiv \delta$
- With probability at least  $1 \delta$ ,  $R(f) R_N(f) \le \sqrt{\frac{\log_{\delta}^2}{2N}}$

## Hoeffding's inequality: Simple application does not give the error bound

- For <u>a fixed</u> classifier f, its true risk is estimated by Hoeffding's inequality
  - With a fixed f, we can draw a sample with the bounded error with high probability
- But, this is not the estimate of the true risk of the algorithm
  - With fixed samples, there are many classifiers that violate the bound, and the algorithm might find one of them
  - Before seeing the data, we do not know which classifier the algorithm will choose, there is no guarantee the bound holds for the classifier
  - We want a bound which holds for <u>any</u> classifier f

#### Error bound:

## Depends on the log number of possible classifiers

■ Theorem: With probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}$ 

$$R(f) - R_N(f) \le \sqrt{\frac{\log|\mathcal{F}| + \log\frac{1}{\delta}}{2N}}$$

This also implies: for  $f_N = \operatorname{argmin}_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_N(f)$ ,

$$R(f_N) - R_N(f_N) \le \sqrt{\frac{\log|\mathcal{F}| + \log\frac{1}{\delta}}{2N}}$$

lacktriangle The bound depends on the number of functions in  ${\mathcal F}$ 

in the

previous

bound

#### Error bound:

## Proof using the union bound

- We apply the Hoeffding's inequality to all classifiers in  ${\mathcal F}$  simultaneously
- Union bound:
  - For two events  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $Pr[A_1 \cup A_2]$  ≤  $Pr[A_1] + Pr[A_2]$
  - For K events,  $\Pr[A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_K] \leq \sum_{i=1}^K \Pr[A_K]$
- Hoeffding + union bound gives:
  - $\Pr[\exists f \in \mathcal{F}: |R(f) R_N(f)| > \epsilon] \le 2|\mathcal{F}| \exp(-2N\epsilon^2)$
  - Equate the right hand side to  $\delta$

## Error bound against the optimal classifier: Similar bound holds

- We are also interested in how far the true risk of a trained classifier from the best one in  ${\mathcal F}$
- Similar analysis gives a bound depending on  $\log |\mathcal{F}|$
- Theorem: With probability at least  $1 \delta$ ,

$$R(f_n) - R(f^*) \le 2\sqrt{\frac{\log|\mathcal{F}| + \log\frac{2}{\delta}}{2N}}$$

## **Infinite Case**

#### Infinite case:

#### Previous results assume finite number of classifiers

- We assumed the number of classifiers is finite
  - The bound depends on the number of classifiers in the

class 
$$\mathcal{F}: R(f_N) - R_N(f_N) \le \sqrt{\frac{\log |\mathcal{F}| + \log \frac{1}{\delta}}{2N}}$$

- $\log |\mathcal{F}|$  is considered as the complexity of class  $\mathcal{F}$
- So far we measure the complexity of the model using the number of possible classifiers
- What if it is infinite? (E.g. linear classifiers)
- Do we have another complexity measure?

# Growth function: Infinite number of functions can be grouped into finite number of function groups

- For example, the class of the linear classifier has infinite number of functions
- Idea:
  - The following two classifiers make the same prediction for the four points
  - They might be considered as the same for the purpose of classifying the four points

#### **Growth function:**

### Error bound using growth function

- Growth function  $S_{\mathcal{F}}(N)$ : The maximum number of ways into which N points can be classified by the function class  $\mathcal{F}$ 
  - Apparently,  $S_{\mathcal{F}}(N) \leq 2^N$
  - For two-dimensional linear classifiers,  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}(4) = 14 \leq 2^4$



• Theorem: With probability at least  $1 - \delta$ ,  $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}$ 

$$R(f) - R_N(f) \le 2\sqrt{\frac{\log S_{\mathcal{F}}(N) + \log \frac{2}{\delta}}{N}}$$

#### VC dimension:

#### Intrinsic dimension of function class

- When  $S_{\mathcal{F}}(N) = 2^N$ , any classification of N points is possible (we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  shatters the set)
- VC dimension h of class  $\mathcal{F}$ : The largest N such that  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}(N)=2^N$
- For two-dimensional linear classifiers, h=3
- Generally, for d-dimensional linear classifiers, h=d+1
- Theorem: With probability at least  $1 \delta$ ,  $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}$

$$R(f) - R_N(f) \le 2\sqrt{2\frac{h\log\frac{2eN}{h} + \log\frac{2}{\delta}}{N}}$$