

# Zambia SAMIPS cohort study

Gill positivity estimates ratio

# SAMIPS Cohort - Zambia

- **Study Design & Cohort**
  - Southern Africa Mother Infant Pertussis Study (SAMIPS) longitudinal birth cohort in Zambia
  - 1981 Mother-infant pairs enrolled at  $\approx$  1 week of age
  - Followed every 2–3 weeks through 14 weeks post-birth
  - NP sampling at every visit - including baseline, scheduled and unscheduled (resp complaints) visits
  - Infants received scheduled vaccines at 6, 10, and 14 weeks with the pentavalent vaccine
- **Pertussis Case Definitions**
  - The CDC case definition for a laboratory-confirmed pertussis infection can be met in **either** of two ways:
    1. Culture-positive *Bordetella pertussis* with any duration of cough, or
    2. PCR-positive *B. pertussis* plus  $\geq$  2 weeks of cough together with at least one classic symptom: whooping, paroxysmal cough, apnea, or post-tussive vomiting.
  - A screening definition was developed - an infant presenting with any of the signs or symptoms on our screening form with a positive PCR result.
  - Positive cases were further classified as severe/nonsevere pertussis using the modified perziosi scale

# Gill et al, 2016

## What is a pertussis positive PCR Test?

The 2016 paper used the following diagnostic algorithm to define PCR positive cases.

- PCR-positive when the IS481 Ct < 35 or
- IS481 Ct fell between 35–40 together with a PTxS1 signal

**Supplementary Table 1a.** Diagnostic algorithm for interpreting PCR results

Primer reactions				Interpretation
IS481	PTxS1	PIS1001*	HIS1001*	
Ct<35	(+) or (-)	NA	NA	<i>B. pertussis</i>
35<=CT<40	(+)	NA	NA	<i>B. pertussis</i>
35<=Ct<40	(-)	NA	NA	Indeterminate
(+ at any CT value <40)	(-)	(-)	(+)	<i>B. holmesii</i>
(-)	(+)	(+)	(-)	<i>B. parapertussis</i>
(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	Non-Bordetella respiratory disease

## IS481

- highly sensitive target
- most common insertion sequence in *B. pertussis*
- multiple copies per genome

## PTxS1

- highly specific target
- coding for pertussis toxin
- exists as a single or double copy

# Gill et al, 2016 contd.

## RESULTS

- 10 positive pertussis cases were identified
- Only 1 infant qualified as “severe pertussis” who was unimmunized and 3 weeks old
- 100% pertussis positive cases were symptomatic
- 0% with severe pertussis in vaccinated while 14% of unvaccinated cases with typical/severe pertussis

Pertussis +		N <sup>a</sup> =10	Typical/Severe (paroxysmal cough ≥14d)	Moderate/ Nonsevere	Sx (any)
Vaccinated		3	0	3 (100%)	3 (100%)
Age (m)	3 - <4	3	0	3 (100%)	3 (100%)
Unvaccinated <sup>1</sup> (includes not effectively vaccinated)		7	1 (14%)	6 (86%)	7 (100%)
Age (m)	0- <1	2	1 (50%)	1 (50%)	2 (100%)
	1-<2	2	0	2 (100%)	2 (100%)
	2-<3	3	0	3 (100%)	3 (100%)

<sup>1</sup> includes vaccinated children with less than 21 days from last immunization to diagnosis

<sup>a</sup> Denominator for Row%

<https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw526>

**Table 6. Incidence of Infant Pertussis by Prior Number of Whole-Cell Pertussis Vaccinations**

Immunization Status <sup>a</sup>	No. of Infants	Person-time, months	Incidence Rate per 1000 Person-months <sup>b</sup>
All pertussis			
No immunization	4	1882	2.1
1 DTP dose	4	1458	2.7
2 DTP doses	2	914	2.2
Nonsevere pertussis			
No immunization	3	1882	1.6
1 DTP dose	4	1459	2.7
2 DTP doses	2	915	2.2
Severe pertussis			
No immunization	1	1882	0.5
1 DTP dose	0	1463	0
2 DTP doses	0	921	0

Abbreviation: DTP, diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis vaccine.

<sup>a</sup> The final nasopharyngeal swab was obtained at the same visit at which DTP dose 3 was given. Therefore, we have no incidence data after DTP dose 3.

<sup>b</sup> In all cases, incidence was calculated allowing for 2 weeks for the infants to respond to the

# Gill et al, 2021

A detecting assay is any IS481 qPCR test that produces a measurable fluorescence signal before the assay reaches its maximum number of cycles. In this study every sample was run for 45 PCR cycles;

In 2016 paper,

- Threshold  $C_t=35$
- Pertussis -ive cases:  $C_t > 35$

In 2021 analysis,

- Detecting assay: a  $C_t$  value  $\leq 45$  (target DNA detected)
- Non-detecting assay:  $C_t = 45$  (no signal after the full run)

The authors wanted to justify that lab diagnosed testing where only  $C_t < 35$  are considered positive for pertussis might not be representative of actual pertussis circulation in the population.

## **EFI = Evidence of Infection**

For every subject

- IS481 PCR Cycle Threshold values for all assays ( $C_t$ ) arranged from the highest to the lowest
- For every assay, proportion of assays that were as strong or stronger calculated and graphed = RCD (reverse cumulative distribution)
- Geometric mean of the RCD calculated
- $1 - \text{Geometric Mean} = \text{EFI}$

**EFI = 0** means all assays were non-detecting NO EVIDENCE

**EFI = 1** means repeatedly strong  $C_t$  values.

To categorize infection strength, they set a threshold based on the number of detecting assays:

**Strong EFI:** participants with  $\geq 3$  detecting assays,

**Weak EFI:** those with  $> 0$  but  $< 3$  detecting assays

**None EFI:** those with no detecting assays

## Gill et al, 2021

Among infants with strong molecular evidence of infection, the percent asymptomatic or minimally symptomatic was **59% among unvaccinated** and **79% among vaccinated**

(no difference by #doses: 1d: 5/6 = 83.3%, 2d: 20/26 = 76.9%, 3d: 101/128 = 78.9%)

Only one infant presented with classic symptoms of whooping and paroxysmal cough (unvaccinated, 26 days of age).

Unvaccinated						Vaccinated					
	EFI Category						EFI Category				
		+ Pertussis					+ Pertussis				
Symptoms	Neg	Weak	Strong	Total+	Sum	Symptoms	Neg	Weak	Strong	Total+	Sum
None	18	4	2	6	24	None	428	89	39	128	556
Minimal <sup>1</sup>	33	21	8		62	Minimal <sup>1</sup>	279	107	87		473
Moderate/Severe <sup>2</sup>	16	10	7	17	33	Moderate/Severe <sup>2</sup>	92	46	34	80	172
Typical (parox.)				1/52 (1.9%)		Typical (parox.)				0/402 (0%)	
Sum	67	35	17	52	119	Sum	799	242	160	402	1201
% Asx				11.5%		% Asx				31.8%	
% Asx or mild			10/17= 58.8			% Asx or mild			126/160= 78.8		
% mod/sev Sx	23.9%	28.6%	7/17= 41.2% (21.6%–64.0%)	17/52= 32.7%		% mod/sev Sx	11.5%	19.0%	34/160= 21.2% (15.6%–28.2%)	80/402= 19.9%	

<sup>1</sup> Minimal symptoms include coryza and/or uncomplicated cough.

<sup>2</sup> Moderate to severe symptoms include all other pertussis symptoms in the Modified Preziosi Scale.