# Risk assessment

Last edited by **An Nguyen** 1 year ago

# Risk

Project risk

## General level risks

# 1. Scope Creep

• Likelihood: Medium

• Impact: High

Overall Risk: Medium

• Description: There's a risk of the project scope expanding beyond the initial requirements, leading to delays and increased development efforts.

### 2. User Adoption

Likelihood: MediumImpact: MediumOverall Risk: Medium

• Description: Users may resist or have difficulty adopting the virtual journal, impacting the success of the project.

### 3. Data Security

• Likelihood: Medium

• Impact: High

• Overall Risk: Medium

• **Description:** Storing personal and sensitive information in the virtual journal raises the risk of data breaches, potentially harming user trust.

# 4. Integration Challenges

Likelihood: HighImpact: MediumOverall Risk: High

• Description: Integrating the virtual journal with other tools or platforms may pose technical challenges, leading to delays and increased complexity.

# 5. User Experience (UX) Issues

Likelihood: HighImpact: MediumOverall Risk: High

 Description: Poor user experience, such as unintuitive design or navigation issues, may result in user dissatisfaction and a negative impact on adoption.

### 6. Technical Debt

Likelihood: HighImpact: MediumOverall Risk: High

• **Description:** Rushed development or cutting corners may lead to accumulating technical debt, impacting the maintainability and scalability of the system.

# 7. Unrealistic Timelines

Likelihood: Medium

• Impact: High

• Overall Risk: Medium

• Description: Setting unrealistic project timelines may lead to increased stress, lower quality, and potential burnout among team members.

# 8. Requirements Changes

Likelihood: MediumImpact: MediumOverall Risk: Medium

• **Description:** Changes in project requirements, especially late in the development process, can lead to rework and delays.

# 9. Dependency Risks

• Likelihood: Medium

• Impact: High

• Overall Risk: Medium

• Description: Dependencies on external libraries, services, or platforms may introduce risks if those dependencies experience issues or changes.

#### 10. Communication Breakdown

Likelihood: MediumImpact: MediumOverall Risk: Medium

• Description: Poor communication within the development team or with stakeholders may lead to misunderstandings and project delays.

#### 10. Communication Breakdown

Likelihood: MediumImpact: MediumOverall Risk: Medium

• Description: Poor communication within the development team or with stakeholders may lead to misunderstandings and project delays.

#### 11. Communication Breakdown

Likelihood: MediumImpact: MediumOverall Risk: Medium

• Overall Risk: Medium

• Description: Poor communication between the development team and stakeholders may lead to misunderstandings and project delays.

#### 12. Communication Breakdown

Likelihood: MediumImpact: MediumOverall Risk: Medium

Description: Poor communication between the development team and stakeholders may lead to misunderstandings and project delays.

### 13. Loss of Data

• Likelihood: Medium

• Impact: High

• Overall Risk: Medium

• Description: Accidental data loss due to hardware failure, software bugs, or human error can significantly impact user trust and the project's value.

# 14. Privacy Concerns

• Likelihood: Medium

• Impact: High

Overall Risk: Medium

• Description: Users might be concerned about how their data is used or shared, potentially impacting adoption and trust.

# 15. Performance Issues

Likelihood: LowImpact: High

• Overall Risk: Medium

• Description: Slow loading times or other performance problems can frustrate users and decrease adoption.

### 16. Offline Access

Likelihood: LowImpact: MediumOverall Risk: Low

• Description: Inability to access entries without an internet connection can limit usability for some users.

# 17. Backup and Recovery

Likelihood: LowImpact: High

• Overall Risk: Medium

• Description: Inadequate backup procedures can make data recovery difficult or impossible in case of system failures.

### **Feature-Related Risks**

# 1. Scheduling Feature #5

- Risk: Incomplete Scheduling Interface
  - Likelihood: MediumImpact: High
  - o Overall Risk: Medium
  - **Description:** There's a risk of not fully implementing the scheduling interface, leading to a feature that doesn't meet user expectations.
- Risk: Unreliable Reminder Functionality
  - Likelihood: Medium
  - Impact: High
  - Overall Risk: Medium
  - **Description:** The reminder functionality may be unreliable or inconsistent, impacting the user's ability to rely on the application for timely notifications.

## 2. Timeline/Calendar Feature #16 (closed)

- Risk: Task Integration Issues
  - Likelihood: HighImpact: Medium
  - Overall Risk: High
  - o Description: Integrating tasks into the timeline/calendar may pose technical challenges, leading to display issues or incorrect scheduling.

## 3. Create Personal Notes Feature #17 (closed)

- Risk: Data Loss or Corruption
  - Likelihood: Medium
  - Impact: High
  - o Overall Risk: Medium
  - o Description: There's a risk of data loss or corruption within the personal notes feature, potentially affecting user data integrity.

### 4. Search/Sort Item(s) Feature #18

- Risk: Inefficient Search Algorithm
  - Likelihood: Medium
  - Impact: Medium
  - o Overall Risk: Medium
  - o Description: The search/sort feature may be inefficient, leading to slow performance or inadequate search results.

# 5. Item Categorization Feature #19

- Risk: Category Assignment Errors
  - Likelihood: MediumImpact: Medium
  - o Overall Risk: Medium
  - o Description: Users might face issues with category assignment, leading to misclassification and difficulty in organizing items.

### 6. Edit/Delete Item(s) Feature #20

- Risk: Data Integrity Issues
  - **Likelihood:** Medium
  - Impact: High
  - o Overall Risk: Medium
  - **Description:** Editing or deleting items may introduce data integrity issues, causing discrepancies in the application.

### 7. Create Task/Todo/Scheduled/Reminder Items Feature #21 (closed)

- Risk: Task Overload
  - Likelihood: Medium
  - Impact: Medium
  - o Overall Risk: Medium
  - o Description: Users might face challenges managing a large number of tasks, potentially leading to overwhelm and decreased usability.

## 8. Tracking Tasks Progress Feature #3 (closed)

- Risk: Inaccurate Progress Tracking
  - **Likelihood:** Medium
  - Impact: Medium

- Overall Risk: Medium
- o Description: There's a risk of inaccurate progress tracking, impacting users' ability to assess and manage their tasks effectively.

### 9. Achievements badge Feature #95 (closed)

- Risk: Badge System Abuse
  - Likelihood: MediumImpact: Medium
  - Overall Risk: Medium
  - **Description:** Users may engage in undesirable behavior, such as gaming the system or focusing solely on tasks that earn badges rather than those that are genuinely productive.
- Risk: Badge Implementation Challenges
  - Likelihood: MediumImpact: HighOverall Risk: High
  - **Description:** Implementing badge functionality may introduce technical challenges related to tracking user actions, managing badge data, and ensuring system performance and scalability.
- Risk: Badge Fatigue
  - Likelihood: Medium
  - Impact: Low
  - o Overall Risk: Medium
  - **Description:** Users may lose interest in earning badges if they are perceived as too difficult to achieve or if the rewards associated with them are not sufficiently motivating.

# 10. Unlocking rewards (badges) with points Feature #102

- Risk: Point Inflation
  - Likelihood: MediumImpact: Medium
  - Overall Risk: Medium
  - o Description: Users may accumulate points too quickly or easily, diminishing the value of badges and reducing their perceived significance.
- Risk: Technical Complexity
  - Likelihood: HighImpact: High
  - o Overall Risk: High
  - **Description:** Implementing point and badge functionality may introduce technical challenges related to tracking user actions, managing point balances, and ensuring system performance and scalability.

# 11. Frame/Template for items Feature #86 (closed)

- Risk: Template Consistency
  - Likelihood: HighImpact: High
  - Overall Risk: High
  - **Description:** Ensuring consistency in formatting and layout across different templates and devices may be challenging, leading to inconsistencies in user experience.
- Risk: Template Maintenance
  - Likelihood: Medium
  - Impact: HighOverall Risk: High
  - o Description: Managing a library of templates and ensuring their compatibility may require ongoing maintenance and support.

# **Comments**