

MSc Project - Modelling a Double Barred Galaxy as a Double Binary

Howard Kinsman

July 17, 2017

Abstract

TODO

Keywords: TODO

1 Introduction

The aim of this project was to create a simple model of a double barred galaxy using a double binary.

1.1 Double-Barred Galaxies

Double-barred galaxies, where a smaller inner bar is nested inside a larger outer bar, were first observed in 1975 by de Vaucouleurs, however it was only in the 1990s that double-barred galaxies were recognised as being a distinct category of galaxies. The current estimates of double-barred galaxy frequencies are $\approx 30\%$ of barred galaxies and $\approx 20\%$ of all galaxies (Erwin, 2011).

2 Methods

Fortran 90 was selected as the language of choice in order to avoid the fixed line limitations of Fortran 77. The model was created using OdeInt from Numerical Recipes. I first converted OdeInt to Fortran 90 for this purpose. Please see the Compilers subsection for a few notes on compilers - may or

may not be relevant to the project.

The classical equations of motion for an n-body problem are:

$$m_i \ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{m_i m_j}{r_{ij}^3} \mathbf{r}_{ij} \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \dots \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i = (x_i, y_i)$ and $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$. So for a four body problem this equation results in the following:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_1 = \frac{m_2 \mathbf{r}_{12}}{r_{12}^3} + \frac{m_3 \mathbf{r}_{13}}{r_{13}^3} + \frac{m_4 \mathbf{r}_{14}}{r_{14}^3} \quad (2)$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_2 = \frac{m_1 \mathbf{r}_{21}}{r_{21}^3} + \frac{m_3 \mathbf{r}_{23}}{r_{23}^3} + \frac{m_4 \mathbf{r}_{24}}{r_{24}^3} \quad (3)$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_3 = \frac{m_1 \mathbf{r}_{31}}{r_{31}^3} + \frac{m_2 \mathbf{r}_{32}}{r_{32}^3} + \frac{m_4 \mathbf{r}_{34}}{r_{34}^3} \quad (4)$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_4 = \frac{m_1 \mathbf{r}_{41}}{r_{41}^3} + \frac{m_2 \mathbf{r}_{42}}{r_{42}^3} + \frac{m_3 \mathbf{r}_{43}}{r_{43}^3} \quad (5)$$

The above equations were encoded into Fortran. A full code listing is supplied in a separate file.

2.1 Initial Conditions

As a starting point both the outer binary and inner binary were placed in circular orbits and tested separately and then combined to ensure a stable starting point. The inner binary had initially a negligible mass. The outer binary initial conditions were:

$m_1 = .5$, $m_2 = .5$, $x_1 = -.5$, $x_2 = .5$, $y_1 = 0$, $y_2 = 0$,
 $vx_1 = 0$, $vx_2 = 0$, $vy_1 = -.5$, $vy_2 = .5$

and for the inner binary:

$m_3 = .001$, $m_4 = .001$, $x_3 = -.001$, $x_4 = .001$, $y_3 = 0$, $y_4 = 0$,
 $vx_3 = 0$, $vx_4 = 0$, $vy_3 = -.5$, $vy_4 = .5$

Plots of the two initial binaries are shown in Fig. 1 and 2. The scale of the inner binary is so small that it is difficult to see in GnuPlot.




Figure 1: Outer Binary




Figure 2: Inner Binary

I then proceeded to perturb the system by gradually increasing the mass of the inner binary. As the system became unstable I compensated for the

increasing mass of the inner binary by increasing the velocity of the outer binary and also decreasing the velocity and increasing the binary separation of the inner binary. In many of the configurations the systems were inherently unstable and produced collisions with some or all of the bodies being ejected from the system. These were rejected and only 'stable' configurations were considered. Table 1 shows the perturbations made to the system - only the variables in this table changed and all the other initial conditions remained the same.

2.2 Compilers


As I had converted OdeInt into Fortran 90 I wanted to make sure I hadn't introduced any errors. I therefore compared the output of the Numerical Recipes version of OdeInt with mine. I was compiling with GFortran and the Fortran 77 version was compiled with g77. They were different! So naturally concerned I then compiled the Fortran 77 version with GFortran. The results were identical to mine, which satisfied me that I hadn't introduced any errors.

3 Results

As can be seen from Fig. 3 even a negligible mass inner binary causes the outer binary to begin to separate and become less tightly bound. Figures Fig. 3 to 38 show plots of the double binary using the parameters given in Table 1. In many cases the inner binary is only visible as a point at the centre of the outer binary. As the mass of the inner binary increased the system became increasingly unstable and the effect on the outer binary became more pronounced. Even the 'stable' configurations listed in Table 1 hold for only a few orbits. I was unable to recreate a stable system for a mass $> .08$ for the inner bar. The plots below reveal that increasing the mass of the inner binary causes the outer binary to separate and form wider orbits.

Table 1: Configurations

Config.	m3	m4	vy1	vy2	x3	x4	vy3	vy4
1	.001	.001	-.5	.5	-.001	.001	-.5	.5
2	.002	.002	-.5	.5	-.001	.001	-.5	.5
3	.003	.003	-.5	.5	-.001	.001	-.5	.5
4	.004	.004	-.5	.5	-.004	.004	-.5	.5
5	.004	.004	-.55	.55	-.004	.004	-.5	.5
6	.004	.004	-.57	.57	-.004	.004	-.5	.5
7	.005	.005	-.58	.58	-.005	.005	-.3	.3
8	.005	.005	-.58	.58	-.005	.005	-.4	.4
9	.005	.005	-.58	.58	-.005	.005	-.35	.35
10	.006	.006	-.6	.6	-.006	.006	-.3	.3
11	.025	.025	-.65	.65	-.02	.02	-.5	.5
12	.025	.025	-.7	.7	-.025	.025	-.5	.5
13	.03	.03	-.7	.7	-.03	.03	-.5	.5
14	.035	.035	-.75	.75	-.04	.04	-.4	.4
15	.04	.04	-.75	.75	-.045	.045	-.4	.4
16	.05	.05	-.75	.75	-.045	.045	-.4	.4
17	.05	.05	-.75	.75	-.05	.05	-.35	.35
18	.05	.05	-.75	.75	-.05	.05	-.3	.3
19	.06	.06	-.8	.8	-.06	.06	-.25	.25
20	.08	.08	-.9	.9	-.08	.08	-.15	.15
21	.09	.09	-.95	.95	-.09	.09	-.1	.1
22	.1	.1	-1	1	-.1	.1	-.05	.05
23	.1	.1	-1	1	-.1	.1	-.04	.04
24	.1	.1	-1	1	-.1	.1	-.03	.03
25	.1	.1	-1	1	-.1	.1	-.02	.02
26	.12	.12	-1.05	1.05	-.11	.11	-.015	.015
27	.12	.12	-1.1	1.1	-.11	.11	-.015	.015
28	.12	.12	-1.1	1.1	-.115	.115	-.015	.015
29	.12	.12	-1.1	1.1	-.12	.12	-.015	.015
30	.1	.1	-1	1	-.1	.1	-.1	.1






Figure 4: Configuration 1 - Inner Bar




Figure 5: Configuration 2




Figure 6: Configuration 2 - Inner Bar




Figure 7: Configuration 3




Figure 8: Configuration 3 - Inner Bar




Figure 9: Configuration 4




Figure 10: Configuration 4 - Inner Bar




Figure 11: Configuration 5




Figure 12: Configuration 5 - Inner Bar




Figure 13: Configuration 6




Figure 14: Configuration 6 - Inner Bar




Figure 15: Configuration 7




Figure 16: Configuration 7 - Inner Bar




Figure 17: Configuration 8




Figure 18: Configuration 8 - Inner Bar




Figure 19: Configuration 9




Figure 20: Configuration 9 - Inner Bar




Figure 21: Configuration 10




Figure 22: Configuration 10 - Inner Bar




Figure 23: Configuration 11




Figure 24: Configuration 11 - Inner Bar




Figure 25: Configuration 12




Figure 26: Configuration 12 - Inner Bar




Figure 27: Configuration 13




Figure 28: Configuration 13 - Inner Bar




Figure 29: Configuration 14




Figure 30: Configuration 14 - Inner Bar




Figure 31: Configuration 15




Figure 32: Configuration 15 - Inner Bar




Figure 33: Configuration 16




Figure 34: Configuration 16 - Inner Bar




Figure 35: Configuration 17




Figure 36: Configuration 17 - Inner Bar




Figure 37: Configuration 18




Figure 38: Configuration 18 - Inner Bar




Figure 39: Configuration 19




Figure 40: Configuration 19 - Inner Bar




Figure 41: Configuration 20




Figure 42: Configuration 20 - Inner Bar




Figure 43: Configuration 21




Figure 44: Configuration 21 - Inner Bar




Figure 45: Configuration 22




Figure 46: Configuration 22 - Inner Bar




Figure 47: Configuration 23




Figure 48: Configuration 23 - Inner Bar




Figure 49: Configuration 24




Figure 50: Configuration 24 - Inner Bar




Figure 51: Configuration 25




Figure 52: Configuration 25 - Inner Bar




Figure 53: Configuration 26




Figure 54: Configuration 26 - Inner Bar




Figure 55: Configuration 27




Figure 56: Configuration 27 - Inner Bar




Figure 57: Configuration 28




Figure 58: Configuration 28 - Inner Bar




Figure 59: Configuration 29




Figure 60: Configuration 29 - Inner Bar




Figure 61: Configuration 30




Figure 62: Configuration 30 - Inner Bar

References

- Aarseth, S., Gravitational N-Body Simulations, 2003, Cambridge University Press
- AlvarezRamirez, M., Medina, M., A Review of the Planar Caledonian Four-Body Problem, 2014, TODO
- Binney, J., Tremaine, S., Galactic Dynamics, 2008, Princeton University Press
- Collins, G., The Foundations Of Celestial Mechanics, 2004, Pachart Publishing House TODO
- Debattista, V., Shen, J., Long-Lived Double-Barred Galaxies from Psuedobulges, 2007, The Astrophysical Journal, 654: L127L130, 2007 January 10
- Du, M., Shen, J., Debattista, V., Forming Double-Barred Galaxies from Dynamically Cool Inner Disks, 2015, The Astrophysical Journal, 804:139 (10pp), 2015 May 10
- Erwin, P., Double-Barred Galaxies, 2008, Mem. S.A.It. Vol. 75, 282

- Erwin, P., Double-Barred Galaxies, 2011, Mem. S.A.It. Suppl. Vol. 18, 145
- Erwin, P., Sparke, L., Double Bars, Inner Disks, and Nuclear Rings in Early-Type Disk Galaxies, 2002, The Astronomical Journal, 124:6577, 2002 July
- Garzon, F., Lopez-Corredoira, M., Dynamical evolution of two associated galactic bars, 2014, arXiv:1409.1916.v1
- Heggie D., Hut, P., The Gravitational Million-Body Problem, 2002, TODO
- Maciejewski, W., Chaos or Order in Double Barred Galaxies?, 2003, arXiv:astro-ph/0304432v1
- Maciejewski, W., Athanassoula, A., Regular motions in double bars. II. Survey of trajectories and 23 models, 2008, arXiv:0805.3967v1
- Maciejewski, W., Small, E., Orbital Support of Fast and Slow Inner Bars in Double Barred Galaxies, 2010, arXiv:1006.4574v1
- Maciejewski, W., Sparke, L., Bars within Bars in Galaxies, 1998, arXiv:astro-ph/9812228v1
- Maciejewski, W., Sparke, L., Orbits Supporting Bars within Bars, 1999, arXiv:astro-ph/9911281v1
- Malhotra, R., Orbital Resonances and Chaos in the Solar System, 1998, Solar system Formation and Evolution ASP Conference Series, Vol. 149, 1998
- Manos, T., Athanassoula, E., Regular and chaotic orbits in barred galaxies - I. Applying the SALI/GALI method to explore their distribution in several models, 2011, arXiv:1102.1157v2
- Moulton, F., An Introduction to Celestial Mechanics, 1914, Palmyrin Library TODO
- Saha, K., Maciejewski, W., Spontaneous formation of double bars in dark matter dominated galaxies, 2013, arXiv:1304.7108v1
- Sellwood, J., Wilkinson, A., Dynamics of Barred Galaxies, 2006, arXiv:astro-ph/0608665v1
- Shen, J., Debattista, V., Observable Properties of Double-Barred Galaxies in N-Body Simulations, 2009, The Astrophysical Journal, 690:758772, 2009 January 1

- Steves, B., Roy, A., Some special restricted four-body problems-I. Modelling the Caledonian problem, 1998, Phrt. Spucx, Si., Vol. 46. No. I I /) 12, pp. 1465-1474, 1998
- Szell, A., Erdi, B., Sandor, Zs., Steves, B., Chaotic and stable behaviour in the Caledonian Symmetric Four-Body Problem, 2004, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 347, 380388 (2004)
- Trenti, M., Hut, P., Gravitational N-body Simulations, 2008, arXiv:0806.3950v1
- Wozniak, H., How can double-barred galaxies be long-lived?, 2015, A and A 575, A7 (2015)