Divide and Conquer: Polynomial Multiplication

Version of September 9, 2016



Outline

- Introduction
- The polynomial multiplication problem
- An $O(n^2)$ brute force algorithm
- An $O(n^2)$ first divide-and-conquer algorithm
- An improved divide-and-conquer algorithm
- Remarks

Divide-and-Conquer

Already saw some divide and conquer algorithms

Divide

• Divide a given problem into a or more subproblems (ideally of approximately equal size n/b)

Conquer

• Solve each subproblem (directly if small enough or recursively) $a \cdot T(n/b)$

Combine

• Combine the solutions of the subproblems into a global solution f(n)

Cost satisfies T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n).

Divide and Conquer Examples

- Two major examples so far
 - Maximum Contiguous Subarray
 - Mergesort
- Both satisfied T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)

$$\bullet \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

- Also saw Quicksort
 - Divide and Conquer, but unequal size problems

Divide and Conquer Analysis

Main tool is the Master Theorem for soving recurrences of form

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$$

where

- a > 1 and b > 1 are constants and
- f(n) is a (asymptotically) positive function.
- Note: Initial conditions $T(1), T(2), \dots T(k)$ for some k. They don't contribute to asymptotic growth
- n/b could be either $\lfloor n/b \rfloor$ or $\lceil n/b \rceil$

The Master Theorem

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n), \quad c = \log_b a$$

- If $f(n) = \Theta(n^{c-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ then $T(n) = \theta(n^c)$
 - If T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n then $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$
 - If T(n) = 3T(n/2) + n then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 3}) = \Theta(n^{1.58...})$
- If $f(n) = \Theta(n^c)$ then $T(n) = \Theta(n^c \log n)$
 - If T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n then $T(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$
- If $f(n) = \Theta(n^{c+\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and if $af(n/b) \le df(n)$ for some d < 1 and large enough n then T(n) = O(f(n))
 - If T(n) = T(n/2) + n then $T(n) = \Theta(n)$

More Master Theorem

There are many variations of the Master Theorem. Here's one...

• If
$$T(n) = T(3n/4) + T(n/5) + n$$
 then $T(n) = \Theta(n)$

• More generally, given constants $\alpha_i > 0$ with $\sum_i \alpha_i < 1$,

if
$$T(n) = n + \sum_{i=1}^{k} T(\alpha_i n)$$

then $T(n) = \Theta(n)$.

- Introduction
- The polynomial multiplication problem
- An $O(n^2)$ brute force algorithm
- An $O(n^2)$ first divide-and-conquer algorithm
- An improved divide-and-conquer algorithm
- Remarks

The Polynomial Multiplication Problem

Definition (Polynomial Multiplication Problem)

Given two polynomials

$$A(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$$

$$B(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \dots + b_m x^m$$

Compute the product A(x)B(x)

Example

$$A(x) = 1 + 2x + 3x^{2}$$

$$B(x) = 3 + 2x + 2x^{2}$$

$$A(x)B(x) = 3 + 8x + 15x^{2} + 10x^{3} + 6x^{4}$$

- Assume that the coefficients a_i and b_i are stored in arrays A[0...n] and B[0...m]
- Cost: number of scalar multiplications and additions

What do we need to compute exactly?

Define

- $A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$
- $B(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} b_i x^i$
- $C(x) = A(x)B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} c_k x^k$

Then

$$c_k = \sum_{0 \le i \le n, \ 0 \le j \le m, \ i+j=k} a_i b_j$$
 for all $0 \le k \le m+n$

Definition

The vector $(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{m+n})$ is the convolution of the vectors (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) and (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_m)

While polynomial multiplication is interesting, real goal is to calculate convolutions. *Major* subroutine in digital signal processing

- Introduction
- The polynomial multiplication problem
- An $O(n^2)$ brute force algorithm
- An $O(n^2)$ first divide-and-conquer algorithm
- An improved divide-and-conquer algorithm
- Remarks

Direct (Brute Force) Approach

To ease analysis, assume n = m.

- $A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i$ and $B(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i x^i$
- $C(x) = A(x)B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} c_k x^k$ with

$$c_k = \sum_{0 \le i, j \le n, i+j=k} a_i b_j,$$
 for all $0 \le k \le 2n$

Direct approach: Compute all c_k 's using the formula above

- Total number of multiplications: $\Theta(n^2)$
- Total number of additions: $\Theta(n^2)$
- Complexity: $\Theta(n^2)$

- Introduction
- The polynomial multiplication problem
- An $O(n^2)$ brute force algorithm
- An $O(n^2)$ first divide-and-conquer algorithm
- An improved divide-and-conquer algorithm
- Remarks

Divide-and-Conquer: Divide

Assume n is a power of 2 Define

$$A_0(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{\frac{n}{2} - 1} x^{\frac{n}{2} - 1}$$

$$A_1(x) = a_{\frac{n}{2}} + a_{\frac{n}{2} + 1} x + \dots + a_n x^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

$$A(x) = A_0(x) + A_1(x) x^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

Similarly, define $B_0(x)$ and $B_1(x)$ such that

$$B(x) = B_0(x) + B_1(x)x^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

$$A(x)B(x) = A_0(x)B_0(x) + A_0(x)B_1(x)x^{\frac{n}{2}} + A_1(x)B_0(x)x^{\frac{n}{2}} + A_1(x)B_1(x)x^n$$

The original problem (of size n) is divided into 4 problems of input size n/2

Example

$$A(x) = 2 + 5x + 3x^{2} + x^{3} - x^{4}$$

$$B(x) = 1 + 2x + 2x^{2} + 3x^{3} + 6x^{4}$$

$$A(x)B(x) = 2 + 9x + 17x^{2} + 23x^{3} + 34x^{4} + 39x^{5}$$

$$+19x^{6} + 3x^{7} - 6x^{8}$$

$$A_{0}(x) = 2 + 5x, A_{1}(x) = 3 + x - x^{2}, A(x) = A_{0}(x) + A_{1}(x)x^{2}$$

$$B_{0}(x) = 1 + 2x, B_{1}(x) = 2 + 3x + 6x^{2}, B(x) = B_{0}(x) + B_{1}(x)x^{2}$$

$$A_{0}(x)B_{0}(x) = 2 + 9x + 10x^{2}$$

$$A_{1}(x)B_{1}(x) = 6 + 11x + 19x^{2} + 3x^{3} - 6x^{4}$$

$$A_{0}(x)B_{1}(x) = 4 + 16x + 27x^{2} + 30x^{3}$$

$$A_{1}(x)B_{0}(x) = 3 + 7x + x^{2} - 2x^{3}$$

$$A_{0}(x)B_{1}(x) + A_{1}(x)B_{0}(x) = 7 + 23x + 28x^{2} + 28x^{3}$$

$$A_0(x)B_0(x) + (A_0(x)B_1(x) + A_1(x)B_0(x))x^2 + A_1(x)B_1(x)x^4$$

= 2 + 9x + 17x² + 23x³ + 34x⁴ + 39x⁵ + 19x⁶ + 3x⁷ - 6x⁸

Divide-and-Conquer: Conquer

Conquer: Solve the four subproblems

compute

$$A_0(x)B_0(x)$$
, $A_0(x)B_1(x)$, $A_1(x)B_0(x)$, $A_1(x)B_1(x)$

by recursively calling the algorithm 4 times

Combine

adding the following four polynomials

$$A_0(x)B_0(x)+A_0(x)B_1(x)x^{\frac{n}{2}}+A_1(x)B_0(x)x^{\frac{n}{2}}+A_1(x)B_1(x)x^n$$

• takes O(n) operations (Why?)

The First Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

PolyMulti1(A(x), B(x))

```
begin
     A_0(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{\frac{n}{2}-1}x^{\frac{n}{2}-1};
     A_1(x) = a_{\frac{n}{2}} + a_{\frac{n}{2}+1}x + \cdots + a_n x^{\frac{n}{2}};
     B_0(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_{\frac{n}{2}-1} x^{\frac{n}{2}-1};
     B_1(x) = b_{\frac{n}{2}} + b_{\frac{n}{2}+1}x + \cdots + b_n x^{\frac{n}{2}};
      U(x) = PolyMulti1(A_0(x), B_0(x)):
      V(x) = PolyMulti1(A_0(x), B_1(x)):
      W(x) = PolyMulti1(A_1(x), B_0(x));
     Z(x) = PolyMulti1(A_1(x), B_1(x));
     return (U(x) + [V(x) + W(x)]x^{\frac{n}{2}} + Z(x)x^n)
end
```

Analysis of Running Time

Assume that n is a power of 2

$$\mathcal{T}(n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 4\mathcal{T}(n/2) + n, & \text{if } n > 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 1. \end{array} \right.$$

By the Master Theorem for recurrences

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^2).$$

Same order as the brute force approach! No improvement!

- Introduction
- The polynomial multiplication problem
- An $O(n^2)$ brute force algorithm
- An $O(n^2)$ first divide-and-conquer algorithm
- An improved divide-and-conquer algorithm
- Remarks

Two Observations

Observation 1:

We said that we need the 4 terms:

$$A_0B_0, A_0B_1, A_1B_0, A_1B_0$$

What we really need are the 3 terms:

$$A_0B_0$$
, $A_0B_1 + A_1B_0$, A_1B_1 !

Observation 2:

The three terms can be obtained using only 3 multiplications:

$$Y = (A_0 + A_1)(B_0 + B_1)$$

 $U = A_0B_0$
 $Z = A_1B_1$

- \bullet We need U and Z and
- \bullet $A_0B_1 + A_1B_0 = Y U Z$

The Second Divide-and-Conquer Algorithm

PolyMulti2(A(x), B(x))

```
begin
     A_0(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{\frac{n}{2}-1}x^{\frac{n}{2}-1};
     A_1(x) = a_{\frac{n}{2}} + a_{\frac{n}{2}+1}x + \cdots + a_n x^{n-\frac{n}{2}};
     B_0(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_{\frac{n}{2}-1} x^{\frac{n}{2}-1};
     B_1(x) = b_{\frac{n}{2}} + b_{\frac{n}{2}+1}x + \cdots + b_n x^{n-\frac{n}{2}};
     Y(x) = PolyMulti2(A_0(x) + A_1(x), B_0(x) + B_1(x));
      U(x) = PolyMulti2(A_0(x), B_0(x));
     Z(x) = PolyMulti2(A_1(x), B_1(x));
     return (U(x) + [Y(x) - U(x) - Z(x)]x^{\frac{n}{2}} + Z(x)x^{\frac{n}{2}})
end
```

Running Time of the Modified Algorithm

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 3T(n/2) + n, & \text{if } n > 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

By the Master Theorem for recurrences

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 3}) = \Theta(n^{1.58...}).$$

Much better than previous $\Theta(n^2)$ algorithms!

- Introduction
- The polynomial multiplication problem
- An $O(n^2)$ brute force algorithm
- An $O(n^2)$ first divide-and-conquer algorithm
- An improved divide-and-conquer algorithm
- Remarks

Remarks

- This algorithm can also be used for (long) integer multiplication
 - Really designed by Karatsuba (1960, 1962) for that purpose.
 - Response to conjecture by Kolmogorov, founder of modern probability, that this would require $\Theta(n^2)$.
- Similar to technique deeloped by Strassen a few years later to multiply 2 $n \times n$ matrices in $O(n^{\log_2 7})$ operations, instead of the $\Theta(n^3)$ that a straightforward algorithm would use.
- Takeaway from this lesson is that divide-and-conquer doesn't always give you faster algorithm. Sometimes, you need to be more clever.
- Coming up. An $O(n \log n)$ solution to the polynomial multiplication problem
 - It involves strange recasting of the problem and solution using the Fast Fourier Transform algorithm as a subroutine
 - The FFT is another classic D & C algorithm that we will learn soon.