

# COMP170

# Discrete Mathematical Tools for Computer Science

## Lecture 12

*Version 4: Last updated, Nov 3, 2005*

*Discrete Math for Computer Science*

*K. Bogart, C. Stein and R.L. Drysdale*

*Section 4.3, pp. 157-167*

# Growth Rates of Solutions to Recurrences

- Divide and Conquer Algorithms
- Recursion Trees
- Three Different Behaviors

# Divide and Conquer Algorithms

In the previous section we analyzed recurrences of the form

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } n = b \\ c \cdot T(n-1) + d & \text{if } n > b \end{cases}$$

These corresponded to the analyses of recursive algorithms in which a problem of size  $n$  is solved by recursively solving a problem(s) of size  $n-1$ .

We will now look at recurrences that arise from recursive algorithms in which problems of size  $n$  are solved by recursively solving problems of size  $n/m$ , for some fixed  $m$ . These recurrences will be in the form

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \text{something given} & \text{if } n \leq b \\ c \cdot T(n/m) + d & \text{if } n > b \end{cases}$$

# Divide and Conquer Algorithms

---

Our first example will be **binary search**.

Someone has chosen a number  $x$  between  $1$  and  $n$ .


We need to discover  $x$ .

We are only allowed to ask two types of questions:

- Is  $x$  **greater than**  $k$ ?
- Is  $x$  **equal to**  $k$ ?

Our strategy will be to always ask **greater than** questions,  
at each step halving our search range,  
until the range only contains one number,  
when we ask a final **equal to** question

# Binary Search Example



Is $x > 32$ ?	Answer: Yes
Is $x > 48$ ?	Answer: No
Is $x > 40$ ?	Answer: No
Is $x > 36$ ?	Answer: No
Is $x > 34$ ?	Answer: Yes
Is $x > 35$ ?	Answer: No
Is $x = 35$ ?	Answer: BINGO!

**Method:** Each guess reduces the problem to one in which the range is only **half** as big.

This **divides** the original problem into one that is only half as big; we can now (recursively) **conquer** this smaller problem.

$T(n)$ : number of questions in a binary search on  $[1, n]$ .

Assume:  $n$  is power of 2.      Give recurrence for  $T(n)$ .

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(n/2) + 1 & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Number of questions needed for binary search on  $n$  items is:

first step  
plus

time to perform binary search on the remaining  $n/2$  items.

Base case (1 item):  $T(1) = 1$  to ask: "Is the number  $k$ ?"

Note: Our derivation that, when  $n$  is a power of 2,  $T(n)$ , the number of questions in a binary search on  $[1, n]$ , satisfies

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(n/2) + 1 & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

was actually, implicitly, an **inductive** proof. This is similar to what we saw with the tower of Hanoi recurrence. We did not write out all the formal steps of the inductive proof, though.

$T(n)$ : number of questions in a binary search on  $[1, n]$ .

If  $n$  is not power of 2 then  $n/2$  not an integer, so what is  $T(n/2)$ ?

Also, why should a greater than query

take same amount of time as equal to query?

More realistic time modeling is

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + C_1 & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ C_2 & \text{if } n = 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants.

$\lceil x \rceil$  is smallest integer larger than or equal to  $x$ ,  $\lceil 3.5 \rceil = 4$   $\lceil 3 \rceil = 3$

$\lfloor x \rfloor$  is largest integer less than or equal to  $x$ .  $\lfloor 3.5 \rfloor = 3$   $\lfloor 3 \rfloor = 3$



$$(*) \quad T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + C_1 & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ C_2 & \text{if } n = 1, \end{cases}$$

In order to avoid complications we will (usually) assume that  $n$  is a power of 2 (or sometimes 3 or 4) and also often that constants such as  $C_1, C_2$  are 1. This will let us replace a recurrence such as  $(*)$  by one such as  $(**)$ .

$$(**) \quad T(n) = \begin{cases} T(n/2) + 1 & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

In practice, the solution of  $(*)$  will be very close to the solution of  $(**)$  (this can be proven mathematically) so, as in this class, we can restrict ourselves to  $(**)$  without losing much.

# Growth Rates of Solutions to Recurrences

- Divide and Conquer Algorithms
- Recursion Trees
- Three Different Behaviors

# Recursion Trees

Recursion Trees are a visual and conceptual representation of the process of iterating the recurrence.

## Examples:

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

To solve some problem of size  $n$ , we  
(i) solve 2 subproblems of size  $n/2$  and  
(ii) do  $n$  units of additional work.

$$T(n) = T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + n^2$$

To solve some problem of size  $n$ , we  
(i) solve 1 subproblem of size  $n/4$  and  
(ii) do  $n^2$  units of additional work.

$$T(n) = 3T(n-1) + n$$

To solve some problem of size  $n$ , we  
(i) solve 3 subproblems of size  $n-1$  and  
(ii) do  $n$  units of additional work.

We will start off by examining the recurrence

$$(*) \quad T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

This corresponds to solving a problem of size  $n$ , by

- (i) solving 2 subproblems of size  $n/2$  and
- (ii) doing  $n$  units of additional work.

In your later "analysis of algorithms" class (COMP271), you will see that this is exactly how **Mergesort**, one of the most famous sorting algorithms, works.

We will now see how to "solve"  $(*)$ , first by algebraically iterating the recurrence, and then by using a recursion tree (which is a visual method for iterating the recurrence).

## Example: Algebraically iterating the recurrence

$$\boxed{T(n)} = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n = 2\left(2T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \frac{n}{2}\right) + n$$

$$= 4T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2n = 4\left(2T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + \frac{n}{4}\right) + 2n$$

$$= 8T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 3n$$


$$\vdots \quad \vdots$$

$$= 2^{(\log_2 n)} T\left(\frac{n}{2^{(\log_2 n)}}\right) + (\log_2 n) n$$

$$\boxed{= nT(1) + n \log_2 n}$$

## Example: Visually “iterating” the recurrence

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n = 4T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2n = 8T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + 3n.$$



# Recursion Tree Diagram

We need to determine four things for each level:


- the number of subproblems
- the size of each subproblem
- the amount of work done per subproblem
- the total work done at that level

*At bottom level, amount of work comes from  $T(1)$ .*

We also need to figure out how many levels there are in the recursion tree.

# Example 1

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(n/2) + n & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ T(1) & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$



$(1 + \log_2 n)$  levels  $\Rightarrow$  total work  $= n \log_2 n + nT(1)$



We just derived that the solution to

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(n/2) + n & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ T(1) & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

is  $nT(1) + n \log_2 n$ .

We derived this in two different, but similar, ways; first by algebraically iterating the recurrence. Second by drawing the recursion tree.

*Note: Technically, we still need to use induction to prove that our solution is correct. Practically, we never explicitly perform this step, since it is obvious how the induction would work (the ... in the algebraic iteration and the recursion tree, are really hiding an inductive step).*

## Example 2


$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(n/2) + 1 & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

# of Probl	Probl Size		Work per Probl	Work per Level
1	$n$	●	1	1
1	$n/2$	●	1	1
1	$n/4$	●	1	1
1	$n/8$	●	1	1
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
1	$1 = n/2^{\log_2 n}$	●	1	1

$(1 + \log_2 n)$  levels  $\Rightarrow$  total work  $= 1 + \log_2 n$

# Example 3

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(n/2) + n & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

# of Probl	Probl Size		Work per Probl	Work per Level
1	$n$		$n$	$n$
1	$n/2$		$n/2$	$n/2$
1	$n/4$		$n/4$	$n/4$
1	$n/8$		$n/8$	$n/8$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
1	1		1	1

$(1 + \log_2 n)$  levels. Total work  $= n + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \cdots + 2 + 1$

Total amount of work:

$$n + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \cdots + 2 + 1$$

$$= n \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \cdots + \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\log n} \right)$$



$$= n \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^i$$

Theorem 4.4 tells us that the value of the geometric series is  $O(1)$  (in fact it is  $\leq 2$ ) so, the total amount of work done is  $O(n)$ .

# Example 4

assume  $n$  is power of 3


$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 3T(n/3) + n & \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ 1 & \text{if } n < 3. \end{cases}$$

# of Probl	Probl Size		Work per Probl	Work per Level
1	$n$		$n$	$n$
3	$n/3$		$n/3$	$3(n/3) = n$
$3^2 = 9$	$n/9$		$n/9$	$9(n/9) = n$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$		$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$3^{\log_3 n} = n$	1		1	$n(1) = n$

$(1 + \log_3 n)$  levels  $\Rightarrow$  total work  $= n(1 + \log_3 n)$

# Example 5

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 4T(n/2) + n & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$



total work =  $n + 2n + 4n + \dots + 2^{\log_2 n} n$

Total work is

$$\begin{aligned} & n + 2n + 4n + \dots + 2^{\log_2 n} n \\ &= n (1 + 2 + 4 + \dots + 2^{\log_2 n}) \\ &= n \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2 n} 2^i \\ &= n (2^{1+\log_2 n} - 1) \\ &= 2n^2 - n \end{aligned}$$

# Growth Rates of Solutions to Recurrences

- Divide and Conquer Algorithms
- Recursion Trees
- Three Different Behaviors



# Three Different Behaviors

Compare the recursion tree diagrams for the recurrences

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n$$

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + n$$

$$T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n$$

- all three trees have depth  $1 + \log_2 n$
- in each case, the size of each subproblem is **half** the size of the parent problem
- **differ** in the amount of work done per level

### Lemma 4.7:

Suppose that we have a recurrence of the form

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n,$$

where  $a$  is a positive integer and  $T(1)$  is nonnegative.

Then we have the following big  $\Theta$  bounds on the solution:

1. If  $a < 2$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(n)$ .
2. If  $a = 2$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$ .
3. If  $a > 2$ , then  $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 a})$ .

### Proof:

We already proved Case 1 when  $a = 1$  in Example 2.  
(will not prove it for  $1 < a < 2$ )

We already proved Case 2 in Example 1.

We will now prove Case 3.

$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n$  where  $a > 2$ . Assume  $n$  is a power of  $a$ .

At Level  $i$ , there are  $a^i$  nodes,  
each corresponding to a problem of size  $n/2^i$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Total work at nonbottom Level  $i$  is  $a^i(n/2^i) = n(a/2)^i$ .

Summing over the  $1 + \log_2 n$  levels, we get

$$\underbrace{a^{\log_2 n} T(1)}_{\text{Work at bottom level}} + \underbrace{n \sum_{i=0}^{(\log_2 n)-1} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^i}_{\text{Work on non-bottom levels}}.$$

Total work is

$$a^{\log_2 n} T(1) + n \sum_{i=0}^{(\log_2 n)-1} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^i.$$

This sum is a geometric series.

Because  $a/2 \neq 1$ , Theorem 4.4 tells us that the sum will be big  $\Theta$  of the largest term.

Because  $a > 2$ , the largest term in this case is clearly the last one, namely,  $n(a/2)^{(\log_2 n)-1}$ .

$n$  times the largest term in the geometric series is

$$n \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)^{(\log_2 n)-1} = \frac{2}{a} \cdot \frac{n \cdot a^{\log_2 n}}{2^{\log_2 n}} = \frac{2}{a} \cdot \frac{n \cdot a^{\log_2 n}}{n} = \frac{2}{a} \cdot a^{\log_2 n}$$

Now notice that

$$a^{\log_2 n} = \left( 2^{\log_2 a} \right)^{\log_2 n} = \left( 2^{\log_2 n} \right)^{\log_2 a} = n^{\log_2 a}$$

so the total work done is

$$\underbrace{a^{\log_2 n} T(1)}_{\Theta(n^{\log_2 a})} + \underbrace{n \sum_{i=0}^{(\log_2 n)-1} \left( \frac{a}{2} \right)^i}_{\Theta(n^{\log_2 a})} = \Theta(n^{\log_2 a})$$

and we are done!

As an example of Case 3 consider

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 4T(n/2) + n & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

$a = 4$  so the Theorem says that

$$T(n) = \Theta \left( n^{\log_2 a} \right) = \Theta \left( n^{\log_2 4} \right) = \Theta(n^2)$$

This matches with the exact answer of  $2n^2 - n$ ,  
which we already derived in Example 5.