

# COMP170

## Discrete Mathematical Tools for Computer Science

Dealing with floors and ceilings in  
divide-and-conquer recurrences

We have seen that when  $n$  is a power of 2.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T(n/2) + n & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

is  $n(\log_2 n + 1)$ . What happens when  $n$  is not a power of 2?

Note that, when  $n$  is not a power of 2, a D&C recurrence will split  $n$  into  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ . Eq (\*) then becomes

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + n & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases} \quad (**)$$

*When  $n$  is a power of 2 then  $(**)$  is defined by  $(*)$ .*

Assume the following Theorem (to be proven later):

### **Theorem 1**

If  $n_1 \leq n_2$ , then  $T(n_1) \leq T(n_2)$

Let  $m = 2^{i+1}$  be the smallest power of 2  $\geq n$ . Since the interval  $[n, 2n - 1]$  contains a power of 2 we have  $m < 2n$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq T(m) \\ &= m(1 + \log_2 m) \\ &\leq 2n(1 + \log_2 2n) \\ &= 2n(2 + \log_2 n) \end{aligned}$$

This gives us an *upper bound*.

On the other hand,  $m/2 = 2^i \leq n < m$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\geq T\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{m}{2} \left(1 + \log_2 \frac{m}{2}\right) \\ &> \frac{n}{2} \left(1 + \log_2 \frac{n}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{n}{2} \log_2 n \end{aligned}$$

This gives us a *lower bound*.

We have just seen that if  $T(n)$  is defined by

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + n & \text{if } n \geq 2, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

then (assuming that Theorem 1 is true)

$$\frac{n}{2} \log_2 n \leq T(n) \leq 2n(2 + \log_2 n)$$

so

$$T(n) = \Theta(n \log n).$$

*So, getting rid of the condition that  $n$  be a power of 2 and adding the floors and ceilings didn't really change much. The approach we have seen can, with a bit more work, be made into a general technique for getting rid of floors and ceilings*

It still remains to prove Theorem 1.

We will actually prove the *stronger* statement

## Theorem 2

For any positive integer  $n$ ,  $T(n) < T(n + 1)$

Proof: (by strong induction)

**Basis:**  $T(2) = 2 * T(1) + 2 = 4 > T(1)$ .

## Theorem 2

For any positive integer  $n$ ,  $T(n) < T(n + 1)$

**Hypothesis:** Let  $n > 2$ .

Assume that for all  $m < n$ ,  $T(m) < T(m + 1)$ .

**Step:** There are two possibilities for  $n$ :

(i)  $n$  is even: Then, for some  $m < n$ ,  $n = 2m$ ,

$$T(n) = T(m) + T(m) + 2m$$

$$< T(m) + T(m + 1) + (2m + 1)$$

$$= T(n + 1)$$

*Def of  $T()$*

*induction hyp*

*Def of  $T()$*

## Theorem 2

For any positive integer  $n$ ,  $T(n) < T(n + 1)$

**Hypothesis:** Let  $n > 2$ .

Assume that for all  $m < n$ ,  $T(m) < T(m + 1)$ .

**Step:** There are two possibilities for  $n$ :

(ii)  $n$  is odd: Then, for some  $m < n$ ,  $n = 2m + 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= T(m) + T(m + 1) + (2m + 1) && \text{Def of } T() \\ &< T(m + 1) + T(m + 1) + (2m + 2) && \text{induction hyp} \\ &= T(n + 1) && \text{Def of } T() \end{aligned}$$



## Theorem 2

For any positive integer  $n$ ,  $T(n) < T(n + 1)$

**Hypothesis:** Let  $n > 2$ .

Assume that for all  $m < n$ ,  $T(m) < T(m + 1)$ .

**Step**

We just saw that in both cases,  $n$  even and  $n$  odd, the Hypothesis implies that  $T(n) < T(n + 1)$ . We have therefore proven Theorem 2.

---

We are now finished since this immediately implies (why?)

## Theorem 1

If  $n_1 \leq n_2$ , then  $T(n_1) \leq T(n_2)$