HKN ECE 310 Exam 1 Review

Corey Snyder and Vishal Narasimhan

UIUC

September 27, 2020



Outline

- LSIC Systems
- BIBO Stability
- Impulse Response and Convolution
- Z-transform



LSIC Systems

For our system T,

- Linearity: must satisfy homogeneity and additivity.
 - Homogeneity: T(ax) = aT(x)
 - Additivity: T(x+z) = T(x) + T(z)
 - Can be summarized by superposition: T(ax + bz) = aT(x) + bT(z)
- Shift Invariance: shifting the input shifts the output by the same amount.
 - If T(x[n]) = y[n], then $T(x[n n_0]) = y[n n_0]$.
 - $T(x[n-n_0])$ means we shift our input by n_0 : we replace every n inside our input arguments with $n-n_0$.
 - $y[n-n_0]$ means we shift our output by n_0 : we replace every function of n in our output with $f(n) n_0$.
- Causality: output cannot depend on future input values.



BIBO Stability

Three ways to check for BIBO stability:

1 Absolute summability of the **impulse response**:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |h[n]| < \infty$$

- System definition:
 - Given $|x[n]| < \alpha$, if $|T(x[n])| = |y[n]| < \beta < \infty$, T is BIBO stable
 - In other words, a bounded input yields a bounded output.
 - For example: $y[n] = x^5[n] + 3$ vs. y[n] = x[n] * u[n]
- Pole-zero plot (more on this soon)



Convolution

$$x[n] * h[n] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x[m]h[n-m] = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} h[m]x[n-m]$$

The following statements are equivalent:

- ullet Our system T is LSI.
- ullet Our system T can be described by its impulse response h[n].
- Our system response to an input signal is a convolution.

Also,

• If x is of length L and h is of length M, y must be of length L+M-1.



Impulse Response

Let x[n] be the input to an LSI system with impulse response h[n]. Then the system output y[n] is given by:

$$y[n] = x[n] * h[n]$$

By the identity property of convolution, we can find h[n] by passing a Kronecker delta $\delta[n]$ to our system:

$$h[n] = \delta[n] * h[n]$$

For example:

$$y[n] = 2x[n] - 3x[n-1] + x[n-2]$$

$$h[n] = 2\delta[n] - 3\delta[n-1] + \delta[n-2]$$



Z-transform

$$X(z) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} x[n]z^{-n}$$

- Typically perform inverse z-transform by inspection or by partial fraction decomposition
- Important properties:
 - \bullet Multiplication by $n{:}\ nx[n] \leftrightarrow -z\frac{dX(z)}{dz}$
 - Delay property: $y[n-k]u[n-k] \leftrightarrow z^{-k}Y(z)$
- Make sure to note the Region of Convergence (ROC) for your transforms, a Z-transform is not unique without one!
- $\bullet \ \ \text{Convolution theorem:} \ y[n] = x[n] * h[n] \overset{\mathcal{Z}}{\leftrightarrow} X(z) H(z) = Y(z)$



BIBO Stability Revisited

- An LSI system is BIBO stable if its ROC contains the unit circle.
- \bullet For causal systems, the ROC is anything greater than the outermost pole: $|z|>|p_{\rm max}|$
- \bullet For a non-causal system, the ROC is anything less than the innermost pole: $|z|<|p_{\min}|$
- If we sum multiple systems the ROC is the intersection of each system's ROC.



BIBO Stability Revisited

But what if the ROC is |z| > 1 or |z| < 1?

- This system is *marginally stable...* though sometimes we just call the system unstable anyway.
- For unstable systems, you are commonly asked to find a bounded input that yields an unbounded output. Few ways to do this:
 - Pick an input that excites the poles of a marginally stable system. This means we pick an input with frequency equal to the angle of the pole. For example, pole at z=j is excited by $e^{j\frac{\pi}{2}n}$ or $\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}n\right)$
 - If the system's impulse response h[n] is not absolutely summable, u[n] works.
 - ullet If the system's impulse response is unbounded, $\delta[n]$ works.



LSIC Examples

For the following systems, determine whether is is linear, shift-invariant and causal.

- **1** y[n] = |x[n]|
- y[n] = nx[n]
- **3** y[n] = x[n-1] + x[|n|]
- \bullet $\log(x[n])$



y[n] = |x[n]| is non-linear, shift-invariant, and causal.

Linearity:

$$T(ax) \stackrel{?}{=} aT(x)$$

$$T(ax) = |ax[n]| \neq a|x[n]| = aT(x)$$
 \Longrightarrow Non-linear

Shift-invariance:

$$T(x[n - n_0]) \stackrel{?}{=} y[n - n_0]$$

$$T(x[n - n_0]) = |x[(n - n_0)]| = |x[(n) - n_0]| = y[n - n_0]$$

$$\implies \text{Shift-invariant}$$

 Causality: our output time sample is always equal to our input time sample; therefore, this system is causal.



y[n] = nx[n] is linear, shift-varying, and causal.

Linearity:

$$T(ax + bz) \stackrel{?}{=} aT(x) + bT(z)$$

$$T(ax + bz) = n(ax[n] + bz[n]) = anx[n] + bnz[n] = aT(x) + bT(z)$$

$$\implies \text{Linear}$$

Shift-invariance:

$$T(x[n - n_0]) \stackrel{?}{=} y[n - n_0]$$

$$T(x[n - n_0]) = nx[(n - n_0)] \neq (n - n_0)x[(n) - n_0] = y[n - n_0]$$

$$\implies \text{Shift-varying}$$

 Causality: our output time sample is always equal to our input time sample; therefore, this system is causal. Don't for the n out front does not affect causality since it is not part of the input signal's argument!



y[n] = x[n-1] + x[|n|] is linear, shift-varying, and non-causal.

Linearity:

$$T(ax + bz) \stackrel{?}{=} aT(x) + bT(z)$$

$$T(ax + bz) = (ax[n-1] + bz[n-1]) + (ax[|n|] + bz[|n|])$$

$$= ax[n-1] + ax[|n|] + bz[n-1] + bz[|n|] = aT(x) + bT(z)$$

$$\implies \text{Linear}$$

Shift-invariance:

$$T(x[n - n_0]) \stackrel{?}{=} y[n - n_0]$$

$$T(x[n - n_0]) = x[(n - n_0) - 1] + x[|n - n_0|]$$

$$\neq x[(n - 1) - n_0] + x[(|n|) - n_0] = y[n - n_0]$$

$$\implies \text{Shift-varying}$$

• Non-causal: for example, y[-3] relies on x[3] in the future.



 $y[n] = \log(x[n])$ is non-linear, shift-invariant, and causal.

Linearity:

$$T(ax) \stackrel{?}{=} aT(x)$$

 $T(ax) = \log(ax[n]) \neq a \log(x[n]) = aT(x)$
 \implies Non-linear

Shift-invariance:

$$T(x[n-n_0]) \stackrel{?}{=} y[n-n_0]$$

$$T(x[n-n_0]) = \log(x[(n-n_0)]) = \log(x[(n)-n_0]) = y[n-n_0]$$

$$\implies \text{Shift-invariant}$$

 Causality: our output time sample is always equal to our input time sample; therefore, this system is causal.



For each of the following systems defined either by an input-output relationship or impulse response, determine whether the system is BIBO stable or not:

- $\bullet h[n] = \delta[n]$
- **2** $h[n] = \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n]$
- **3** $y[n] = x^2[n] + 1$
- $y[n] = (x[n])^a + b, \ 0 < a, b < c < \infty$





- $h[n] = \delta[n]$: h[n] is absolutely summable; therefore, BIBO stable.
- ② $h[n] = \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n]$: h[n] is absolutely summable; therefore, BIBO stable.



- $h[n] = \delta[n]$: h[n] is absolutely summable; therefore, BIBO stable.
- ② $h[n] = \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n]$: h[n] is absolutely summable; therefore, BIBO stable.



- $h[n] = \delta[n]$: h[n] is absolutely summable; therefore, BIBO stable.
- ② $h[n] = \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n]$: h[n] is absolutely summable; therefore, BIBO stable.
- $y[n] = (x[n])^a + b$, $0 < a, b < c < \infty$: $x[n] < c \implies (x[n])^a < c^a$. Moreover, adding $b < c < \infty$ will keep our output bounded below $c^a + b < \infty$; therefore, BIBO stable.



Impulse Response and Convolution Examples

Given $x[n]=\begin{bmatrix}1&2&3&2&9&8&9\end{bmatrix}$ and $h[n]=\begin{bmatrix}-\mathbf{1}&0&1\end{bmatrix}$, compute y[n]=x[n]*h[n]. Bonus: what does this filter do?

Suppose we have a digital filter h[n] with an unknown impulse response. We do know the system output to the following two input signals. Determine the impulse response in terms of the two system outputs.

- $x_1[n] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \to y_1[n]$
- $\bullet \ x_2[n] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \to y_2[n]$



Impulse Response and Convolution Examples

Part 1:
$$x[n] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 9 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $h[n] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$$y[n] = x[n] * h[n] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -2 & 0 & -6 & -6 & 0 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$



Impulse Response and Convolution Examples

Part 1:
$$x[n] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 9 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $h[n] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$$y[n] = x[n] * h[n] = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -2 & 0 & -6 & -6 & 0 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Part 2:

- $x_1[n] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow y_1[n]$
- $x_2[n] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow y_2[n]$

$$\begin{split} h[n] &= h[n] * \delta[n] \\ &= h[n] * (\frac{1}{2}x_1[n] - x_2[n]) \\ &= h[n] * \frac{1}{2}x_1[n] - h[n] * x_2[n] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}y_1[n] - y_2[n]. \end{split}$$



Combinations of Systems

Given the following two LSI systems:

$$h_1[n] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n], \ h_2[n] = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n-1]$$

- Suppose we pass input x[n] to system h[n] is the connection of $h_1[n]$ and $h_2[n]$ in series. Write the output y[n] interms of $x[n], h_1[n]$ and $h_2[n]$.
- ② Suppose the two systems are still connected in series. What is the resulting transfer function and impulse response of h[n]?
- **③** Suppose the two systems are now connected in parallel to form h[n]. Now what is the resulting transfer function and impulse response of h[n]?



Given the following two LSI systems:

$$h_1[n] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n], \ h_2[n] = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n-1]$$

• Suppose we pass input x[n] to system h[n] is the connection of $h_1[n]$ and $h_2[n]$ in series. Write the output y[n] interms of $x[n], h_1[n]$ and $h_2[n]$.

$$y[n] = x[n] * h_1[n] * h_2[n]$$



Given the following two LSI systems:

$$h_1[n] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n], \ h_2[n] = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n-1]$$

• Suppose the two systems are still connected in series. What is the resulting transfer function and impulse response of h[n]?

$$h[n] = h_1[n] * h_2[n]$$

$$\downarrow \mathcal{Z}$$

$$H(z) = H_1(z)H_2(z)$$

$$H_1(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}}$$

$$H_2(z) = \frac{\frac{1}{3}z^{-1}}{1 - \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}}$$

Given the following two LSI systems:

$$h_1[n] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n], \ h_2[n] = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n-1]$$

ullet Suppose the two systems are still connected in series. What is the resulting transfer function and impulse response of h[n]?

$$H(z) = \frac{\frac{1}{3}z^{-1}}{(1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1})(1 - \frac{1}{3}z^{-1})}$$

$$= \frac{K_1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} + \frac{K_2}{1 - \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{PFD}}{\to} K_1 = 2, \ K_2 = -2$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Inspection/Tables}}{\downarrow}$$

$$h[n] = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] - 2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n]$$



Given the following two LSI systems:

$$h_1[n] = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n], \ h_2[n] = \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n-1]$$

• Suppose the two systems are now connected in parallel to form h[n]. Now what is the resulting transfer function and impulse response of h[n]?

$$h[n] = h_1[n] + h_2[n]$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n u[n] + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^n u[n-1]$$

$$H(z) = H_1(z) + H_2(z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1}} + \frac{\frac{1}{3}z^{-1}}{1 - \frac{1}{3}z^{-1}}$$



Marginal Stability Example

Suppose we have a system response given by $H(z)=\frac{1}{1+z^{-3}}$. Which of the following bounded inputs would cause this system to have an unbounded output? There may be more than one!

- u[n]
- $e^{-j\frac{\pi}{3}n}u[n]$
- $(-1)^n u[n]$



Marginal Stability Example

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 + z^{-3}}$$

First, must find the poles of H(z):

$$1 + z^{-3} = 0$$

$$z^{-3} = -1 = e^{-j\pi} = e^{-j(\pi + 2\pi k)}, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$z = e^{j(\frac{\pi}{3} + \frac{2\pi}{3}k)}$$

$$z_1 = e^{j\frac{\pi}{3}}, \ z_2 = e^{j\pi}, \ z_3 = e^{j\frac{5\pi}{3}}$$

Now let's look at our siginals...



Marginal Stability Example

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 + z^{-3}}$$

$$z_1 = e^{j\frac{\pi}{3}}, \ z_2 = e^{j\pi}, \ z_3 = e^{j\frac{5\pi}{3}}$$

- $\bullet \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}n\right)u[n]$
- u[n]
- $\bullet e^{-j\frac{\pi}{3}n}u[n] \checkmark$
- **⑤** $(-1)^n u[n]$ ✓



Thank you

Good luck studying and good luck on your exam!

