# The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

### Student Feedback Questionnaire

## Instructor - Section Report

SPRING 2019-20 Survey period: 5 May 2020 - 19 May 2020 CHUNG, Kai Lun Peter

#### COMP-1022Q-LA2 Introduction to Computing with Excel VBA

17 student(s) have evaluated the course.

47 student(s) have not evaluated the course.

Percentage of enrolled students responding: 26.6% (17/64)

Adjusted percentage ^ of enrolled students responding: 23.4% (15/64)

#### About the TA

#### Q1. Please rate the TA overall:

		Weight	Count	Percentage
A	Very Good	100.0	5	29.4%
В		75.0	5	29.4%
С		50.0	0	0.0%
D		25.0	0	0.0%
Е	Very Bad	0.0	0	0.0%
NA	Not Applicable		7	41.2%
	Total		17	100.0%

	Regu	lar	Adjusted ^		
Statistics	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Survey	87.5	13.2	87.5	13.4	
Section	91.3	15.3	-		
Course	69.6	23.3	-	-	

## Q2. What was good about the TA?

- 1. Thank you for his pre-recorded video for the Lab assignment, it is quite clear and useful.
- 2. he speaks so clearly
- 3. he was very responsive in replying the emails of my questions. His explanation was also very clear and it really helped me to understand the problems more.

# Q3. How might the TA improve?

# Additional questions

Q4. English was the language of instruction in this course, including lectures, tutorials and laboratory sessions, if any. (Indicate NA if this course has been granted exemption from the University's policy that, unless specified otherwise, English should be the language of instruction in all teaching and learning activities.)

		Weight	Count	Percentage	
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	8	47.1%	
В		75.0	5	29.4%	
С		50.0	1	5.9%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	0	0.0%	
NA	Not Applicable		3	17.6%	
	Total		17	100.0%	

	Regu	lar	Adjusted ^		
Statistics	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Survey	87.5	16.3	89.6	12.9	
Section	87.5	16.3	-	-	
Course	82.1	19.5	-	-	

Q5. The teaching and learning environment of this course (including in-class and out-of-class activities, discussions, group projects and student interactions) was inclusive in the sense that it accommodated students from diverse cultural backgrounds and/or language backgrounds.

		Weight	Count		Percentage
Α	Strongly Agree	100.0	5	29.4%	
В		75.0	7	41.2%	
С		50.0	4	23.5%	
D		25.0	0	0.0%	
Е	Strongly Disagree	0.0	1	5.9%	
	Total		17	100.0%	

	Regu	lar	Adjuste	ed^
Statistics	Mean SD		Mean	SD
Survey	72.1	26.3	75.0	18.9
Section	72.1	26.3	-	_
Course	73.4	22.4	_	

Q6. For the previous question, please provide details on any good practices, issues of concern, and/or suggestions for improvement, as appropriate.

Note: Please note that the aggregate statistics for department, school and university will be subjected to an updating and finalized on 9 July 2020

- ^ Explanatory notes on adjusted percentage, mean and SD (collectively referred to as "adjusted statistics" below):
- 1. Adjusted statistics are calculated to provide a reference with extreme responses being excluded.
- 2. If a survey recorded 3 or more responses, the top 1.5% AND bottom 1.5% responses will be discarded. The number of discarded response(s) of each end is rounded UP to the nearest integer. The resultant data set will then be used to calculate adjusted statistics in this report.
- 3. Adjusted statistics will be provided up to course level if and only if they meet the following conditions:

I 1 . C livet . I . t t t t	Con this and
Level of adjusted statistics	Conaitions
Survey level	The survey receives 3 or more responses.
Section level	(1) All surveys receive 3 or more responses; AND
	(2) This section is taught by One teaching staff only (instructor / TA).
Course level	(1) All surveys receive 3 or more responses; AND
	(2) This course is taught by One teaching staff only (instructor / TA).

If no adjusted statistics is provided, it will be marked as "-" in this report.

Report prepared by OIR 27 May 2020