Assignment 1 Guidelines

LING-351 Language Technology and LLMs

Instructor: Hakyung Sung

November 11, 2025

Assignment 1

- For the rest of today's class, we'll work on **Assignment 1**.

Assignment 1

- For the rest of today's class, we'll work on **Assignment 1**.
- **Due:** Friday, November 14, 2025

Assignment 1

- For the rest of today's class, we'll work on **Assignment 1**.
- **Due:** Friday, November 14, 2025
- **No more than two pages** rule: The he focus is on how concisely and clearly you summarize each presentation with sufficient detail. Imagine you're introducing each paper to an interviewer in 3-5 mins.

Assignment 1

- For the rest of today's class, we'll work on **Assignment 1**.
- **Due:** Friday, November 14, 2025
- **No more than two pages** rule: The he focus is on how concisely and clearly you summarize each presentation with sufficient detail. Imagine you're introducing each paper to an interviewer in 3-5 mins.
- **Submission**: MyCourses → Assignment → Assignment 1 (If you've already submitted your slides in this module, you may resubmit your files—previous submissions are retained.) I've already included my feedback for each presentation on this slide; the same comments apply to all group members.

Rubric

Criterion	Pts	Exemplary (Full Credit)	Proficient (-1 pt)	Developing / Missing (-2/3 pts)
Slides (PDF)	2	Slides are complete, well-organized, and include 4 clear quiz questions.	Slides mostly complete but missing minor details or unclear questions.	Slides incomplete or quiz questions missing / not submitted.
Reflection on own paper	2	Thoughtful reflection showing clear understanding and key insights.	Adequate reflection but limited depth or clarity.	Minimal or unclear reflection / not submitted.
Reflection on paper 1	3	Addresses all reflection prompts with clear synthesis and course connections.	Covers main ideas but lacks depth or integration.	Superficial summary, unclear writing, or missing sections.
Reflection on paper 2	3	Addresses all reflection prompts with clear synthesis and course connections.	Covers main ideas but lacks depth or integration.	Superficial summary, unclear writing, or missing sections.

Slides (PDF) – 2 pts

- Exemplary: Slides are complete, well-organized, and include 4 clear quiz questions.
- **You've already submitted your slides, so there's no need to worry about this criterion**.

Reflection on Own Paper – 2 pts

- Exemplary: Thoughtful reflection demonstrating clear understanding and key insights.
- This section is essentially a brief summary of what you've learned from your group paper. About half a page is sufficient, covering:
    - Background / Research Questions
    - Methodology
    - Findings
    - Commentary

Reflection on Paper 1 – 3 pts Reflection on Paper 2 – 3 pts

- This section is a concise yet thorough summary of what you've learned from two other groups' papers. About half to three-quarters of a page is sufficient, addressing:
  - Background / Research Questions
  - Methodology
  - Findings
  - Commentary – focus more on the content and how it was presented

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)

Presentations So Far

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)
- Alex: Human vs. LLM Proofreading in L2 Writing

Presentations So Far

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)
- Alex: Human vs. LLM Proofreading in L2 Writing
- Jeremiah: L2 Vocabulary Assessment Using LLMs

Presentations So Far

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)
- Alex: Human vs. LLM Proofreading in L2 Writing
- Jeremiah: L2 Vocabulary Assessment Using LLMs
- Liam: FinBERT: Financial Language Model

Presentations So Far

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)
- Alex: Human vs. LLM Proofreading in L2 Writing
- Jeremiah: L2 Vocabulary Assessment Using LLMs
- Liam: FinBERT: Financial Language Model
- Jaidan: Racial Disparities in Police Respect

Presentations So Far

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)
- Alex: Human vs. LLM Proofreading in L2 Writing
- Jeremiah: L2 Vocabulary Assessment Using LLMs
- Liam: FinBERT: Financial Language Model
- Jaidan: Racial Disparities in Police Respect
- Vanny: Decoding the Voynich Manuscript

Presentations So Far

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)
- Alex: Human vs. LLM Proofreading in L2 Writing
- Jeremiah: L2 Vocabulary Assessment Using LLMs
- Liam: FinBERT: Financial Language Model
- Jaidan: Racial Disparities in Police Respect
- Vanny: Decoding the Voynich Manuscript
- Sam: Evaluating Code-Switching with LLMs

Presentations So Far

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)
- Alex: Human vs. LLM Proofreading in L2 Writing
- Jeremiah: L2 Vocabulary Assessment Using LLMs
- Liam: FinBERT: Financial Language Model
- Jaidan: Racial Disparities in Police Respect
- Vanny: Decoding the Voynich Manuscript
- Sam: Evaluating Code-Switching with LLMs
- Jackie: Emotion Arcs in Literary Texts

Presentations So Far

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)
- Alex: Human vs. LLM Proofreading in L2 Writing
- Jeremiah: L2 Vocabulary Assessment Using LLMs
- Liam: FinBERT: Financial Language Model
- Jaidan: Racial Disparities in Police Respect
- Vanny: Decoding the Voynich Manuscript
- Sam: Evaluating Code-Switching with LLMs
- Jackie: Emotion Arcs in Literary Texts
- Jake: Detecting AI-Written Essays (GPTZero)

Presentations So Far

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)
- Alex: Human vs. LLM Proofreading in L2 Writing
- Jeremiah: L2 Vocabulary Assessment Using LLMs
- Liam: FinBERT: Financial Language Model
- Jaidan: Racial Disparities in Police Respect
- Vanny: Decoding the Voynich Manuscript
- Sam: Evaluating Code-Switching with LLMs
- Jackie: Emotion Arcs in Literary Texts
- Jake: Detecting AI-Written Essays (GPTZero)

Presentations So Far

- Abby: NLP Tool for Digital Humanities (GutenTag)
- Alex: Human vs. LLM Proofreading in L2 Writing
- Jeremiah: L2 Vocabulary Assessment Using LLMs
- Liam: FinBERT: Financial Language Model
- Jaidan: Racial Disparities in Police Respect
- Vanny: Decoding the Voynich Manuscript
- Sam: Evaluating Code-Switching with LLMs
- Jackie: Emotion Arcs in Literary Texts
- Jake: Detecting AI-Written Essays (GPTZero)

Check the slides here:
hksung.github.io/Fall25_LING351/project/