

# Hello hello

Håkon Kvernmoen

May 11, 2023

## Abstract

Heiie

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Theory

### 2.1 Mathematical framework and notation

In the following we will use the occupation representation, making use of creation  $a_p^\dagger$  and annihilation  $a_p$  operators. As a shorthand, we will write  $\hat{p}^\dagger \equiv a_p^\dagger$  and  $\hat{p} \equiv a_p$  when no confusion can be made.  $N$  represents the number of occupied states while  $L$  the total number of states in our calculations. The indices  $p, q, \dots$  are reserved for the  $L$  general states, the  $N$  occupied states are indexed by  $i, j, \dots$ , while the  $L - N$  unoccupied (virtual) states by  $a, b, \dots$  indices.

Since we are treating fermionic systems, the canonical anticommutation relations are used

$$\{\hat{p}^\dagger, \hat{q}^\dagger\} = \{\hat{p}, \hat{q}\} = 0 \quad \{\hat{p}^\dagger, \hat{q}\} = \delta_{pq}.$$

One and two body matrix elements are calculated using a computational basis, with explicit expressions for one body Hamiltonians  $h(\mathbf{x})$  and two body interaction  $v(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$  here presented in position space

$$\langle p | \hat{h} | q \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} \psi_p^*(\mathbf{x}) \hat{h}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_q(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\langle pq | \hat{v} | rs \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{x}' \psi_p^*(\mathbf{x}) \psi_q^*(\mathbf{x}') \hat{v}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \psi_r(\mathbf{x}) \psi_s(\mathbf{x}')$$

with  $\psi_p$  being a single particle wave function, often chosen to be the eigenfunction of  $\hat{h}$ . Note that  $p$  also contain the spin quantum number, meaning that  $d\mathbf{x}$  implicitly contains a spin component. If  $\hat{h}$  or  $\hat{v}$  is spin *independent*, this simply reduces to Kronecker deltas for the spin component. It is often convenient to use antisymmetrized matrix elements, defined as

$$\langle pq || rs \rangle = \langle pq | \hat{v} | rs \rangle - \langle pq | \hat{v} | sr \rangle$$

The shorthands  $h_{pq} \equiv \langle p | \hat{h} | q \rangle$ ,  $v_{rs}^{pq} \equiv \langle pq | \hat{v} | rs \rangle$  and  $u_{rs}^{pq} \equiv \langle pq || rs \rangle$  will often be used. Using this formulation, a general two-body operator can be constructed

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{pq} h_{pq} \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} u_{rs}^{pq} \hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r} \quad (1)$$

The simplest ground state ansatz

$$|\Phi_0\rangle = \hat{i}^\dagger \hat{j}^\dagger \dots |0\rangle,$$

can be evaluated to calculate the simplest energy estimate using Wicks Theorem [Molinari, 2017]

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \sum_i h_{ii} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} u_{ij}^{ij} \equiv E_{\text{ref}}, \quad (2)$$

named the *reference energy*. Commonly wicks theorem is applied to Eq. 1 to pick out the Eq. 2 contribution, defining the *normal ordered* Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_N + E_{\text{ref}} = \hat{F}_N + \hat{V}_N + E_{\text{ref}}$$

where  $\hat{F}_N$  and  $\hat{V}_N$  is the normal ordered *Fock operator* and two body interaction respectively.

$$\hat{F}_N = \sum_{pq} f_{pq} \{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}\}, \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{V}_N = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} u_{rs}^{pq} \{\hat{p}^\dagger \hat{q}^\dagger \hat{s} \hat{r}\} \quad (4)$$

The operators inside the curly brackets denotes *normal ordering*. In constructing the Fock operator, the matrix elements  $f_{pq}$  are given as

$$f_{pq} = h_{pq} + \sum_i u_{qi}^{pi}$$

One major reason for doing this is the applicability of the *Generalized Wicks Theorem*, such that we only need to consider contractions between different normal ordered strings [Ferialdi and Diósi, 2021].

$$\hat{H} |\Psi\rangle = E |\Psi\rangle \quad (5)$$

## 2.2 Hartree-Fock

The Hartree-Fock method is one of the cheapest and most commonly applied many-body methods. Using the reference energy equation Eq. 2, we perform a basis change to the Hartree-Fock basis, based on minimizing the ansatz expectation value. Using Greek letters to index the computational basis  $\alpha, \beta \dots$ , going over all states  $L$  we can change to the Hartree-Fock basis using

$$|p\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C_{\alpha p} |\alpha\rangle$$

where  $C_{\alpha p}$  are the basis coefficients. Assuming the

We are however not free to choose an arbitrary transformation, since we re

$$\mathcal{L} = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_i \epsilon_{i\alpha} (\delta_{ij} - \langle i | j \rangle) \quad (6)$$

## 2.3 Coupled Cluster

The exact solution  $|\Psi\rangle$  is approximated by an exponential ansatz  $|\Psi_{CC}\rangle$

$$|\Psi\rangle \approx |\Psi_{CC}\rangle \equiv e^{\hat{T}} |\Phi_0\rangle. \quad (7)$$

The operators  $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \dots$  acting on the ground state ansatz  $|\Phi_0\rangle$  are the so-called *cluster operators* defined as

$$\hat{T}_m = \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \sum_{\substack{ab\dots \\ ij\dots}} t_{ij\dots}^{ab\dots} \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{j} \dots\} \quad (8)$$

where  $m \leq N$ . The scalars  $t_{ij\dots}^{ab\dots}$  are unknown expansion coefficients called *amplitudes*, which we need to solve for. All the creation and annihilation operators of Eq. 8 anticommute, giving the restriction that

$$t_{\hat{P}'(ij\dots)}^{\hat{P}(ab\dots)} = (-1)^{\sigma(\hat{P}) + \sigma(\hat{P}')} t_{ij\dots}^{ab\dots}. \quad (9)$$

Here  $P$  and  $P'$  permutes  $\sigma(P)$  and  $\sigma(P')$  indices respectively. This is the reason for the prefactor of Eq. 8, since we have  $m!$  ways to independently permute particle and hole indices. Instead of having  $(L-N)^m N^m$  independent unknowns, we reduce this number by a factor of  $(m!)^2$ .

## 2.4 Doubles truncation

Considering  $N$  cluster operators in the exponential ansatz of Eq. 7 is not computationally feasible for realistic systems. The common practice is to include one or more  $\hat{T}_m$  operators, making a truncation on  $|\Psi_{CC}\rangle$  as well. In the following we will include only the double excitation operator  $\hat{T}_2$ , known as the CCD approximation.

This gives us

$$|\Psi\rangle \approx |\Psi_{CC}\rangle \approx |\Psi_{CCD}\rangle \equiv e^{\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle, \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{T}_2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abij} t_{ij}^{ab} \{\hat{a}^\dagger \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{j} \hat{i}\}, \quad (11)$$

with the four-fold amplitude permutation symmetry<sup>1</sup>,

$$t_{ij}^{ab} = -t_{ij}^{ba} = -t_{ji}^{ab} = t_{ji}^{ba}. \quad (12)$$

Incorporating the CCD approximation in the Schrödinger equation (Eq. 5), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} e^{\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle &= E e^{\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle, \\ \hat{H}_N e^{\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle &= \Delta E_{CCD} e^{\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $\Delta E_{CCD} = E - E_{\text{ref}}$ . Expanding both sides and taking the inner product with  $\langle \Phi_0 |$ , we in principle get an equation for the energy. However, this approach is not amenable to practical computer implementation [Bartlett et al., 1984] since the amplitude equation will be coupled with the energy equation. Therefore, we rather apply a similarity transform to Eq. 13 by multiplying by the inverse of  $e^{\hat{T}_2}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\hat{T}_2} \hat{H}_N e^{-\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle &= \Delta E_{CCD} |\Phi_0\rangle \\ \bar{H} |\Phi_0\rangle &= \Delta E_{CCD} |\Phi_0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $\bar{H} = e^{-\hat{T}_2} \hat{H}_N e^{-\hat{T}_2}$  is the similarity transformed Hamiltonian. Using this reformulated eigenvalue problem, we can perform the inner product with different states to calculate both  $\Delta E_{CCD}$  and  $t_{ij}^{ab}$ . Considering  $\langle \Phi_0 |$  we get

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \bar{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \Delta E_{CCD}, \quad (15)$$

named the *energy equation*. Considering excited states, we arrive at the *amplitude equations*

$$\langle \Phi_{ij\dots}^{ab\dots} | \bar{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle, \quad (16)$$

used for finding the unknown amplitudes  $t_{ij}^{ab}$ . To find explicit expressions for Eq. 15 and Eq. 16, we expand  $\bar{H}$  using the Hausdorff expansion

$$\bar{H} = \hat{H}_N + [\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_2] + \frac{1}{2!} [[\hat{H}_N, \hat{T}_2], \hat{T}_2].$$

The truncation at the two-fold commutator comes from the fact that we have a two-body interaction. When evaluated with a doubly excited state, at least one creation or annihilation operator from each of the cluster operators has to be contracted with  $\hat{H}_N$ . Therefore having

<sup>1</sup>For double amplitudes, the index permutation symmetry is equal to that of antisymmetrized two-body matrix elements  $\langle pq || rs \rangle$ .

eight creation and annihilation operators in two  $\hat{T}_2$ , four of them can be contracted with the four from  $\hat{H}_N$ , while the other four with the operators from  $\langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} |$ . This gives the CCD amplitude equation calculated from

$$\langle \Phi_{ij}^{ab} | \bar{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = 0 \quad (17)$$

To make practical use of Eq. 15 and Eq. 17

### 3 Method

Define

$$R \quad (18)$$

### 4 Results

### 5 Discussion

### 6 Concluding remarks

## References

- Rodney J. Bartlett, Clifford E. Dykstra, and Josef Paldus. Coupled-Cluster Methods for Molecular Calculations. In Clifford E. Dykstra, editor, *Advanced Theories and Computational Approaches to the Electronic Structure of Molecules*, NATO ASI Series, pages 127–159. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1984. ISBN 978-94-009-6451-8. doi: 10.1007/978-94-009-6451-8\_8. URL [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-6451-8\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-6451-8_8).
- L. Ferialdi and L. Diósi. General Wick’s Theorem for bosonic and fermionic operators. *Physical Review A*, 104(5):052209, November 2021. ISSN 2469-9926, 2469-9934. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.104.052209. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/2110.02920>. arXiv:2110.02920 [hep-th, physics:math-ph, physics:quant-ph].
- Luca Guido Molinari. Notes on Wick’s theorem in many-body theory, October 2017. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1710.09248>. arXiv:1710.09248 [cond-mat, physics:math-ph].