## Hello hello

#### Håkon Kvernmoen

May 11, 2023

#### Abstract

Heiie

### 1 Introduction

# 2 Theory

# 2.1 Mathematical framework and notation

In the following we will use the occupation representation, making use of creation  $a_p^\dagger$  and annihilation  $a_p$  operators. As a shorthand, we will write  $\hat{p}^\dagger \equiv a_p^\dagger$  and  $\hat{p} \equiv a_p$  when no confusion can be made. N represents the number of occupied states while L the total number of states in our calculations. The indicies  $p,q,\ldots$  are reserved for the L general states, the N occupied states are indexed by  $i,j,\ldots$ , while the L-N unoccupied (virtual) states by  $a,b,\ldots$  indicies.

Since we are treating fermionic systems, the canonical anticommutation relations are used

$$\{\hat{p}^{\dagger}, \hat{q}^{\dagger}\} = \{\hat{p}, \hat{q}\} = 0 \qquad \{\hat{p}^{\dagger}, \hat{q}\} = \delta_{pq}.$$

One and two body matrix elements are calculated using a computational basis, with explicit expressions for one body Hamiltonians h(x) and two body interaction v(x, x') here presented in position space

$$\langle p|\,\hat{h}\,|q\rangle = \int d\boldsymbol{x}\,\psi_p^*(x)\hat{h}(\boldsymbol{x})\psi_q(x)$$
$$\langle pq|\,\hat{v}\,|rs\rangle = \int d\boldsymbol{x}\,d\boldsymbol{x}'\,\psi_p^*(\boldsymbol{x})\psi_q^*(\boldsymbol{x}')\hat{v}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{x}')\psi_r(\boldsymbol{x})\psi_s(\boldsymbol{x}')$$

with  $\psi_p$  being a single particle wave function, often chosen to be the eigenfunction of  $\hat{h}$ . Note that p also contain the spin quantum number, meaning that  $\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$  implicitly contains a spin component. If  $\hat{h}$  or  $\hat{v}$  is spin independent, this simply reduces to Kronecker deltas for the spin component. It is often convenient to use antisymmetrized matrix elements, defined as

$$\langle pq | | rs \rangle = \langle pq | \hat{v} | rs \rangle - \langle pq | \hat{v} | sr \rangle$$

The shorthands  $h_{pq} \equiv \langle p | \hat{h} | q \rangle$ ,  $v_{rs}^{pq} \equiv \langle pq | \hat{v} | rs \rangle$  and  $u_{rs}^{pq} \equiv \langle pq | | rs \rangle$  will often be used. Using this formulation, a general two-body operator can be constructed

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{pq} h_{pq} \hat{p}^{\dagger} \hat{q} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} u_{rs}^{pq} \hat{p}^{\dagger} \hat{q}^{\dagger} \hat{s} \hat{r}$$

normal order, Hamiltonian, normal order Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H} |\Psi\rangle = E |\Psi\rangle \tag{1}$$

### 2.2 Hartree-Fock

## 2.3 Coupled Cluster

The exact solution  $|\Psi\rangle$  is approximated by an exponential ansatz  $|\Psi_{\rm CC}\rangle$ 

$$|\Psi\rangle \approx |\Psi_{\rm CC}\rangle \equiv e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle.$$
 (2)

The operators  $\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \dots$  acting on the ground state ansatz  $|\Phi_0\rangle$  are the so-called *cluster operators* defined as

$$\hat{T}_{m} = \frac{1}{(m!)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{ab...\\ij...}} t_{ij...}^{ab...} \{\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{i} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{j} ...\}$$
 (3)

where  $m \leq N$ . The scalars  $t_{ij...}^{ab...}$  are unknown expansion coefficients called *amplitudes*, which we need to solve for. All the creation and annihilation operators of Eq. 3 anticommute, giving the restriction that

$$t_{\hat{P}'(ij...)}^{\hat{P}(ab...)} = (-1)^{\sigma(\hat{P}) + \sigma(\hat{P}')} t_{ij...}^{ab...}.$$
 (4)

Here P and P' permutes  $\sigma(P)$  and  $\sigma(P')$  indices respectively. This is the reason for the prefactor of Eq. 3, since we have m! ways to independently permute particle and hole indices. Instead of having  $(L-N)^m N^m$  independent unknowns, we reduce this number by a factor of  $(m!)^2$ .

#### 2.4 Doubles truncation

Considering N cluster operators in the exponential ansatz of Eq. 2 is not computationally feasible for realistic systems. The common practice is to include one or more  $\hat{T}_m$  operators, making a truncation on  $|\Psi_{CC}\rangle$  as

well. In the following we will include only the double excitation operator  $\hat{T}_2$ , know as the CCD approximation. This gives us

$$|\Psi\rangle \approx |\Psi_{\rm CC}\rangle \approx |\Psi_{\rm CCD}\rangle \equiv e^{\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle,$$
 (5)

$$\hat{T}_2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abij} t_{ij}^{ab} \{ \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{i} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{j} \}, \tag{6}$$

with the four-fold amplitude permutation symmetry <sup>1</sup>,

$$t_{ij}^{ab} = -t_{ij}^{ba} = -t_{ji}^{ab} = t_{ji}^{ba}. (7)$$

Incorporating the CCD approximation in the Schrödinger equation (Eq. 1), we see that

$$\hat{H}e^{\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle = Ee^{\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle ,$$

$$\hat{H}_N e^{\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E_{\text{CCD}} e^{\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle ,$$
(8)

where  $\Delta E_{\rm CCD} = E - E_{\rm ref}$ . Expanding both sides and taking the inner product with  $\langle \Phi_0 |$ , we in principle get an equation for the energy. However, this approach is not amenable to practical computer implementation [Bartlett et al., 1984] since the amplitude equation will be coupled with the energy equation. Therefor, we rather apply a similarity transform to Eq. 8 by multiplying by the inverse of  $e^{\hat{T}_2}$ ,

$$e^{-\hat{T}_2}\hat{H}_N e^{-\hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E_{\text{CCD}} |\Phi_0\rangle$$
$$\overline{H} |\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E_{\text{CCD}} |\Phi_0\rangle \tag{9}$$

where  $\overline{H} = e^{-\hat{T}_2} \hat{H}_{\rm N} e^{-\hat{T}_2}$  is the similarity transformed Hamiltonian. Using this reformulated eigenvalue problem, we can perform the inner product with different states to calculate both  $\Delta E_{\rm CCD}$  and  $t_{ij}^{ab}$ . Considering  $\langle \Phi_0 |$  we get

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \overline{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle = \Delta E_{\text{CCD}}, \tag{10}$$

named the *energy equation*. Considering excited states, we arrive at the *amplitude equations* 

$$\left\langle \Phi_{ij\dots}^{ab\dots} \middle| \overline{H} \middle| \Phi_0 \right\rangle,$$
 (11)

used for finding the unknown amplitudes  $t_{ij}^{ab}$ . To find explicit expressions for Eq. 10 and Eq. 11, we expand  $\overline{H}$  using the Hausdorff expansion

$$\overline{H} = \hat{H}_{\mathrm{N}} + \left[\hat{H}_{\mathrm{N}}, \hat{T}_{2}\right] + \frac{1}{2!} \left[\left[\hat{H}_{\mathrm{N}}, \hat{T}_{2}\right], \hat{T}_{2}\right] + \dots$$

fhjksd

## 3 Method

Define

R (12)

## 4 Results

## 5 Discussion

# 6 Concluding remarks

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For double amplitudes, the index permutation symmetry is equal to that of antisymmetrized two-body matrix elements  $\langle pq||rs\rangle$ .

## References

Rodney J. Bartlett, Clifford E. Dykstra, and Josef Paldus. Coupled-Cluster Methods for Molecular Calculations. In Clifford E. Dykstra, editor, Advanced Theories and Computational Approaches to the Electronic Structure of Molecules, NATO ASI Series, pages 127–159. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1984. ISBN 978-94-009-6451-8. doi: 10.1007/978-94-009-6451-8\_8. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-6451-8\_8.