Note that this is problems: Section 14.2 #1,3,5,7,10.

1. Define

$$f(x,y) = e^{xy} + x^2 + 2xy \qquad \text{for } (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

- (a) Define $\phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\phi(t) = f(2t, 3t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Calculate $\phi''(0)$ directly.
- (b) Find the Hessian matrix of the function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ at the point (0,0) and use formula (14.11) to calculate

$$\phi''(0) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [f(2t, 3t)] \Big|_{t=0}$$

Solution:

(a) A quick calculation shows that

$$\phi(t) = e^{6t^2} + 16t^2.$$

Hence $\phi''(t) = 12e^{6t^2} + 144t^2e^{6t^2} + 32$, and in particular $\phi''(0) = 44$.

(b) We calculate

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y) = ye^{xy} + 2x + 2y,\\ &\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y) = xe^{xy} + 2x,\\ &\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x,y) = y^2e^{xy} + 2,\\ &\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(x,y) = e^{xy} + xye^{xy} + 2,\\ &\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(x,y) = x^2e^{xy}, \end{split}$$

We observe that the value **h** associated to $\phi(t)$ is $\mathbf{h} = (2,3)$ while $\mathbf{x} = (0,0)$. Since we are interested in t = 0, we then should calculate:

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = 44,$$

which agrees with what we have above.

3. Suppose that the function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ has continuous second-partial derivatives, and at the origin (0,0) suppose that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) = 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) = 0$.

Let **h** be a nonzero point in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 and suppose that

$$\langle \nabla^2 f(0,0)\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle > 0.$$

Use the single-variable theory to prove that there is some positive number r such that

$$f(t\mathbf{h}) > f(0,0)$$
 if $0 < |t| < r$.

Solution: Define $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi(t) := f(t\mathbf{h}).$$

1

In particular, $\phi(0) = f(0,0)$. It follows from Theorem 14.12 that

$$\phi'(t) = \langle \nabla f(t\mathbf{h}), \mathbf{h} \rangle.$$

Since $\nabla f(0,0) = (0,0)$ then $\phi'(0) = 0$, so t = 0 is a critical point of ϕ . It also follows from Theorem 14.12 that

$$\phi''(t) = \langle \nabla^2 f(t\mathbf{h})\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h} \rangle.$$

By assumption then $\phi''(0) > 0$. It follows from the second derivative test then that t = 0 is a local minimizer of ϕ . Thus there is some r > 0 such that for all $t \in B_r(0)$,

$$\phi(t) > \phi(0)$$
.

Translating this from ϕ to f then gives the result.

- 5. Let a, b, and c be real numbers with $a \neq 0$, and define $p(t) = at^2 + 2bt + c$.
 - (a) Show that p(t) > 0 for every number t if and only if a > 0 and $ac b^2 > 0$.
 - (b) Show that p(t) < 0 for every number t if and only if a < 0 and $ac b^2 > 0$.

Solution: Set

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$$

and observe that if $\mathbf{x} = (t, 1)$ then $\langle A\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = p(t)$. Hence p(t) > 0 if A is positive definite, which is equivalent to the conditions a > 0 and $ac - b^2 > 0$. This shows one direction. To show that p(t) > 0 implies that a > 0 and $ac - b^2 > 0$, suppose for a contradiction that either a < 0 (since we assume $a \neq 0$) or $ac - b^2 \leq 0$. If a < 0 then for sufficiently large t, p(t) < 0, a contradiction. If $ac - b^2 < 0$, then p(t) has real roots so that there are $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that p(t) = 0, a contradiction. Hence we must have a > 0 and $ac - b^2 > 0$.

The second part is completely analogous.

- 7. For each of the following quadratic functions, find a 2×2 matrix with which it is associated.
 - (a) $h(x,y) = x^2 y^2$,
 - (b) $g(x,y) = x^2 + 8xy + y^2$.

Solution:

(a) Choose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Choose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

10. Define the function $Q: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $Q(x) = x^4$. Observe that

$$Q(x) > 0$$
 for all $x \neq 0$.

Show that there is no positive number c such that

$$Q(x) \ge cx^2$$
 for all $x \ne 0$.

Explain why this does not contradict Proposition 14.16.

Solution: It is clear that if $x \neq 0$ then $x^4 > 0$, and thus the same is true for Q(x). Suppose that there were such a number c > 0. Then we would have

$$x^4 \geqslant cx^2$$

for all $x \neq 0$. Hence

$$x^2 \geqslant c > 0.$$

But setting $x = \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2}$ then leads to a contradiction. This example does not contradict Proposition 14.16 since Q as defined above is not actually a quadratic form.