

## **Assignment 2: Syntax, Semantics, and Memory Management**

Haeri Kyoung

University of the Cumberlands

MSCS-632-A01 – Advanced Programming Languages

Professor Jay Thom

Aug 30, 2025

## Part 1: Analyzing Syntax and Semantics

### Section 1: Syntax Errors

For this section, I modified simple programs in Python, JavaScript, and C++ to introduce syntax mistakes on purpose. The goal was to observe how each language reports errors.

#### Python (`py_sum.py`)

- I left out a colon in the function definition and used `o` instead of `0`.
- The interpreter immediately stopped with a `SyntaxError: expected ':'`.
- Once the colon was fixed, it reached runtime and reported `NameError: name 'o' is not defined`.
- This shows that Python halts execution at the very first parse problem and only exposes runtime issues afterward.

#### JavaScript (`js_sum.js`)

- I intentionally broke the function call identifier with a space (`calculate Sum`) and left out a closing brace.
- Running the code in Node.js gave `SyntaxError: Unexpected identifier at the bad call`.
- After fixing that, the engine then complained about the missing brace with `SyntaxError: Unexpected end of input`.
- JavaScript's parser is strict, and like Python, it reports only the first blocking error at a time.

#### C++ (`cpp_sum.cpp`)

- I replaced `0` with `o` and left out a semicolon after a return statement.
- Compiling with `g++` reported two errors:

- 'o' was not declared in this scope
- expected ';' before '}' token
- Unlike Python or JavaScript, C++ tends to produce multiple messages at once, and one small mistake can cause cascading errors until the compiler recovers.

## Comparison

Python and JavaScript stop at the first fatal parse issue and give short `SyntaxError` messages. C++ compilers are more verbose, often printing multiple related errors with caret pointers.

## Section 2: Scopes, Closures, and Semantics

Here I wrote small closure-based programs in Python, JavaScript, and C++ to analyze scoping and typing.

### Python (`py_closure.py`)

- Functions inside a loop capture names by reference, so without adjustments, all closures would use the final loop variable.
- By setting `k=k` as a default argument, I pinned the value for each closure.
- The result was correct: 10 11 12.

### JavaScript (`js_closure.js`)

- With `let`, each iteration of the loop creates a new block scope, so closures capture the correct value.
- The output was also 10 11 12.
- If I had used `var`, all closures would have shared one scope and produced 12 12 12.

### C++ (`cpp_closure.cpp`)

- I used lambdas with capture by value `[k]`.

- This produced the expected 10 11 12.
- If captured by reference [&k], all lambdas would have seen the same final value.

### **Key Semantic Differences**

1. Typing – Python and JavaScript are dynamically typed, catching type errors only at run-time. C++ is statically typed and enforces checks at compile time.
2. Closures – Python requires workarounds like default arguments to avoid late binding, while JavaScript with let and C++ with explicit capture behave more predictably.
3. Performance – C++ compiles ahead of time and optimizes aggressively. Python and JavaScript rely on interpreters or JITs, which trade some performance for flexibility.

## **Part 2: Memory Management**

### **Section 3: Dynamic Allocation and Freeing Memory**

I created short programs in Rust, Java, and C++ to show how each handles memory.

#### **Rust (rs\_memory.rs)**

- Rust uses ownership and borrowing to enforce safety.
- In my example, a vector and a boxed integer are created, and ownership is transferred.
- When I tried to reuse a moved value, the compiler refused to compile, preventing a dangling pointer at runtime.
- Memory is freed automatically when variables go out of scope.

#### **Java (JavaMemory.java)**

- Objects are allocated on the heap with new.
- When I nulled out the list reference and requested System.gc(), the garbage collector reclaimed space.

- Java relies on garbage collection, which simplifies memory safety but makes the exact time of freeing unpredictable.

### **C++ (cpp\_memory.cpp)**

- First I allocated an array with `new` and had to remember to call `delete[]`. Forgetting this would leak memory.
- Then I rewrote the code using `unique_ptr`. This freed memory automatically at scope exit, showing the advantage of RAII (Resource Acquisition Is Initialization).
- C++ provides full control, but mistakes like mismatched `new[]/delete` can cause leaks or corruption.

### **Comparison**

- Rust prevents misuse at compile time, rejecting invalid memory access before the code runs.
- Java delegates responsibility to the garbage collector, which prevents most leaks but cannot stop all retention issues.
- C++ gives direct control, rewarding careful programmers with high performance but punishing mistakes with leaks or crashes.

### **Conclusion**

Across both parts of this assignment, I observed how programming languages differ in error handling, closures, typing, and memory management. Python and JavaScript provide concise error feedback and flexible closures but defer type checks until runtime. C++ is stricter, verbose in its diagnostics, and gives fine-grained control at the cost of potential mistakes. Rust,

Java, and C++ illustrate three distinct philosophies for memory management: compile-time safety, automatic garbage collection, and manual control with optional RAI.

This exercise reinforced how language design choices directly shape developer experience, program safety, and performance. By comparing across paradigms, I gained a clearer understanding of why some languages trade speed for safety and why others demand more discipline from developers.

**GitHub Repository:** [https://github.com/hkyoung38554/MSCS632\\_assignment2](https://github.com/hkyoung38554/MSCS632_assignment2)

**Screenshots:**

```
haerikyoung@MacBook-Air-3 Assignment2 % python3 part1/py_sum.py

File "/Users/haerikyoung/Desktop/Doc/UC/MSCS632/Assignment2/part1/py_sum.py", line 4
def calculate_sum(arr)    # <- missing colon
                        ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
SyntaxError: expected ':'

haerikyoung@MacBook-Air-3 Assignment2 % python3 part1/py_sum.py

File "/Users/haerikyoung/Desktop/Doc/UC/MSCS632/Assignment2/part1/py_sum.py", line 4
def calculate_sum(arr)    # <- missing colon
                        ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
SyntaxError: expected ':'

haerikyoung@MacBook-Air-3 Assignment2 % node part1/js_sum.js

/Users/haerikyoung/Desktop/Doc/UC/MSCS632/Assignment2/part1/js_sum.js:12
let result = calculate Sum(numbers); // broken identifier
                      ^^^
SyntaxError: Unexpected identifier
    at Object.compileFunction (node:vm:352:18)
    at wrapSafe (node:internal/modules/cjs/loader:1032:15)
    at Module._compile (node:internal/modules/cjs/loader:1067:27)
    at Object.Module._extensions..js (node:internal/modules/cjs/loader:1157:10)
    at Module.load (node:internal/modules/cjs/loader:981:32)
    at Function.Module._load (node:internal/modules/cjs/loader:822:12)
    at Function.executeUserEntryPoint [as runMain] (node:internal/modules/run_main:77:12)
    at node:internal/main/run_main_module:17:47
```

```

haerikyoung@MacBook-Air-3 Assignment2 % /opt/homebrew/bin/g++-15 -std=c++17 part1/cpp_sum.cpp -o cpp_sum

part1/cpp_sum.cpp: In function 'int calculateSum(int*, int)':
part1/cpp_sum.cpp:7:17: error: 'o' was not declared in this scope
   7 |     int total = o;           // 'o' not declared
     |                  ^
part1/cpp_sum.cpp:11:17: error: expected ';' before '}' token
  11 |     return total           // <- missing semicolon
     |                  ^
  12 | }

```

```

haerikyoung@MacBook-Air-3 Assignment2 % python3 part1/py_closure.py
10 11 12

```

```

haerikyoung@MacBook-Air-3 Assignment2 % node part1/js_closure.js
10 11 12

```

```

haerikyoung@MacBook-Air-3 Assignment2 % /opt/homebrew/bin/g++-15 -std=c++17 part1/cpp_closure.cpp -o cpp_closure && ./cpp_closure
10 11 12

```

```

haerikyoung@MacBook-Air-3 Assignment2 % rustc part2/rs_memory.rs -o rs_memory
./rs_memory

len=5 first=0
boxed=42
moved=42

```

```

haerikyoung@MacBook-Air-3 Assignment2 % javac part2/JavaMemory.java # compiles to part2/JavaMemory.class
java -cp part2 JavaMemory # run with classpath pointing to part2

error: invalid flag: #
Usage: javac <options> <source files>
use --help for a list of possible options
Done

```

```

haerikyoung@MacBook-Air-3 Assignment2 % /opt/homebrew/bin/g++-15 -std=c++17 part2/cpp_memory.cpp -o cpp_memory
./cpp_memory

ok

```