

Global progress in four points

- 1) The laws that assigns for life the roles of the Public Administrations (PAs) of our Countries are obviously undemocratic and not corresponding with the ideals of a republic. All the assignments of a Government, included the Presidency of the Republic, must return to the people after a certain number of years. On the contrary a public employee can maintain "his" role, managing and practically owning it, for all his working life. In the facts our Countries are not yet finished Republics. They are instead for a great part a property owned by the public employees for life. And it is exactly this central oligarchic system to heavily deviate the politics, the governments and the private economies out of a correct behavior.
- 2) When our Constitutions were born, long time ago, the regulation of our PAs cannot be adequately developed. The founder fathers of our Republics, aware of the necessity of a continuous evolution, often expressed deliberately the necessity "... to eliminate the obstacles of economical and social order, that, by limiting the freedom and the equality of the citizens, hinder the full development of the human being and the real participation of all the workers to the economical, political and social organization of their Country ...", like written in the Italian Constitution.
- 3) It is exactly this democratic anomaly, this heritage of a period preceding the arrive of the republican order, this oligarchic leftover of the allocation for life of a commune good, this propertization of a public resource, to create almost all the problems of today. Instead of PAs for life, we should have their roles, powers and incomes distributed among all the citizens wishing and able to work in them. In this way all the Public Institutions would be completely reinterpreted in the methods and in the purposes, under well other influences and with well other visions rather than the present ones. A Country with a democratic Public Administration for rotation would have politics, governments and a private economy perfectly correspondent to the real needs, not crossing any of those limits that today are metodically overwhelmed to loss of the citizens.
- 4) Please, let me note that a public employment for rotation does not dismisses but engages people. In fact this system would acquire in the public area many economical activities that has been

privatized. Our economies are heavily unbalanced towards the private sector justly for the undemocratic state of the public sector. Think about the caste of the public employees, think about the mafia of State, think about the corruption, think about the inadequacy of a static Public Administration composed by few privileged people respect to the dynamics of an infinitely rich and continuously changing reality. And it will be clear the reason of so many privatizations! But once returned to the citizens, the PAs will be able to gain trust and consistency by re-absorbing activities of primary importance.

This is the extreme synthesis of the complete project:

**PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT FOR ROTATION
INCLUDING ECONOMICAL ACTIVITIES
FOR A HALF OF THE WHOLE.**

Through such a composite and equilibrate central geometry, concretely and refinedly builded with the help of an always evolving software interfacing jobs and workers, a society, a Country would acquire a functionality that has never had, succeeding also to guarantee a minimum job to all its citizens and even a basic income between a job assignation and another. Contemporaneously it would be perfectly able to affirm and integrate itself among all the other Countries for the increased power deriving by its strong unity.

Let me note that such PAs for rotation would have, in fact, NO problem for as regards just requirements of professionalism and competitive power of their products and services. The complete project contemplates that the workers would move themselves essentially in two ways: they could move in a same field of skill but also in a different field. By moving themselves inside a same branch of specialization it is allowed the contribution of a larger number of experts and the corruption is anticipated. By moving themselves in different fields, we would gain the sharing of our knowledges and a spread progress, able to amplify and multiply the fruits deriving from our specializations. Both the movements would favor the open-mindedness and the capacity to communicate, as well as the rise of a strong feeling for common interest.

Danilo D'Antonio
dan@hyperlinker.com