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The test protocol relates to the following standard:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of the standard ENG** | **Name of the standard DK** | **Version** | **Type** |
| Standard: CareCommunication | Korrespondancemeddelelse | 4.0.0 | HL7 FHIR |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | | | |
| **Version** | **Initials** | **Date** | **Description** |
| 2.1.0 | KML/KRC/TMS/OVI | 31-03-2023 | First release |
| 3.0.0 | TMS/KRC | 18-01-2024 | Update of test protocol in accordance with release 3.0 of the documentation |
| 4.0.0 | KML/KRC/TMS | 28-06-2024 | Update of testprotocol in accordance with release v. 4.0.0 of the documentation. Among other things corrective actions i.e. cancellation and correction are omitted, and test step for receiving formatted text in message is removed. Test step for parallel sent CareCommunication is further described. |
| 4.0.1 | TMS | 18-07-2024 | Updated naming of test examples and added link for test examples. |
| 4.0.2 | TMS/SKS/RCH | 03-12-2024 | Clarified formulation and expectations.  Added test step 3.3.1.2-3.3.1.9 to ensure correct support for displaying information in the CareCommunication.  Updated names of test examples. |
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# Introduction

This is a test protocol for receiving a CareCommunication (DK: Korrespondancemeddelelse).

All documentation concerning CareCommunication and Governance (see [Background material](#_Baggrundsmaterialer)) will be the topic of testing, and the test protocol will be continuously updated to reflect the requirements in the best way possible.

Versioning of the test protocol will follow the major and minor versions of the standard but may have a patch version that is different from the standard’s patch version.

The test protocol will be available both in Danish and English. In case of any discrepancies between the two versions, the Danish version applies.

**As regards to sending of Acknowledgements**: To be approved, the system under test (SUT) must be approved for receiving the FHIR Acknowledgement (DK: Kvittering). This is described in the [test protocol for sending Acknowledgements](https://medcomdk.github.io/dk-medcom-acknowledgement/#2-test-and-certification).

## Purpose

The test protocol forms the basis for the tests, which must ensure that SUT complies with the established rules and requirements for the standard. The test protocol also forms the basis for the self-test that vendors carry out prior to a live test.

## Prerequisites for live test

The following prerequisites must be met prior to the live test:

1. The vendor has read the following standard documentation, including:
   * [Clinical guidelines](#_Baggrundsmaterialer_1)
   * [Use cases](#_Baggrundsmaterialer_1)
   * [Implementation Guide](#_Baggrundsmaterialer_1)
   * [Governance](#_Baggrundsmaterialer_1)
   * And other relevant materials, cf. [background material](#_Baggrundsmaterialer_1).
2. The vendor has performed self-test, approved by MedCom
3. The vendor has created relevant test persons in the system under test (SUT)
4. The vendor is using the same version of SUT during self-test and live test
5. Approval requires that the SUT is approved for sending a FHIR Acknowledgement (DK: Kvittering).

## Documentation of self-test

**Self-test**

**Prior to the test, the vendor must have performed self-test, which is approved by MedCom.**

The self-test is documented by the vendor completing this test protocol.

For self-tests, only the following column must be completed by the vendor:

* [Test data]: is filled in with the file name(s) which are uploaded and downloaded
* [Actual result]: is filled in with the results of the self-test and relevant descriptions.

Other columns are reserved for MedCom.

**During the self-test the vendor must document the test results by saving relevant files and screen dumps, and subsequently send these in a combined ZIP file (together with the completed test protocol) to [fhir@medcom.dk](mailto:fhir@medcom.dk).**

All files and screen dumps must be named with:

* Standard name
* The number of the relevant test step
* Consecutive letter
* Whether SUT is sender (S) or recipient (R) of the standard
* File type

*Example: CareCommunication \_3.4\_A\_R.xml*

## Background material

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Version** | **Link/reference** | **Description** |
| CareCommunication – documentation site |  | <https://medcomdk.github.io/dk-medcom-carecommunication/> | Documentation site with references to all relevant documentation, including:   * Clinical guidelines for application (Sundhedsfaglige retningslinjer for anvendelse) * Use cases * Technical specifications |
| Implementation Guide |  | <https://medcomfhir.dk/ig/carecommunication/> | The FHIR technical guidelines for the standard. |
| Governance for MedCom FHIR |  | <https://medcomdk.github.io/MedCom-FHIR-Communication/> | Governance for MedCom’s FHIR standards, which describes general rules for all MedCom standards and specific rules for this standard, as well as for sending. |
| SOP for MedCom’s test and certification |  | <http://svn.medcom.dk/svn/qms/Offentlig/SOPer/SOP-7.2-MedComs%20test%20og%20certificering_godkendelse.docx> | Description of test and certification of MedCom standards and other tests courses. |
| Conversion between formats |  | <https://medcomdk.github.io/dk-medcom-carecommunication/#3-conversion-service> | Is under clarification but is planned in the context of the VANS cooperation. The plan is to ensure conversion from FHIR to OIOXML and OIOXML to FHIR. Attached files and Acknowledgements are also handled via the conversion service. |

## Test examples and test persons

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Link/reference** | **Description** |
| Test examples | <https://medcomfhir.dk/ig/carecommunicationtestscripts/testexamples.html> | Test examples used during the test and certification. |
| Overview of test persons | <https://www.medcom.dk/opslag/koder-tabeller-ydere/tabeller/nationale-test-cpr-numre> | Overview of national test CPR numbers which can be used during the test.  **Please notice**: During the test, the vendor must be able to use any of the test persons on the list. |

## Test tools

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Link/reference** | **Description** |
| FHIR server with MedCom profiles | <https://fhir.medcom.dk/fhir/swagger-ui/> | Public server that validates against MedCom's FHIR profiles. It is permitted to use the server for testing the upload/download of FHIR resources.  Vendors can get access by inquiry this at [fhir@medcom.dk](mailto:fhir@medcom.dk). |

## Test result

The result for each test step is categorised based on the table below:

| **Marking** | **F1** | **F2** | **F3** | **F4** | **OK** | **Not relevant** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation** | **Critical** | **Serious** | **Significant** | **Less significant** | **Approved** | **Not an error** |

To get the test and certification approved, the test protocol must consist exclusively of [F4] as well as [OK] results. Thus, all [F1], [F2] and [F3] must, be fixed prior to final approval.

When a test step isn’t relevant for the test course, it is noted with ‘Not relevant’.

Approval requires that SUT is approved for sending a FHIR acknowledgement (DK: Kvittering). For further information, please read: [MedCom’s test and](#TestCertificering) certification.

# Information about vendor, system under test (SUT) and test result information

## Information about the vendor

This table must be completed by **the vendor** prior to the test.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Completed by vendor |
| Address | Completed by vendor |
| Contact person | Completed by vendor |
| Telephone | Completed by vendor |
| E-mail | Completed by vendor |

## Information about the system under test (SUT)

This table must be completed by **the vendor** prior to the test.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| System | Completed by vendor |
| Version | Completed by vendor |
| Description | Completed by vendor |
| Test type | Self-test  Final test/certification |

## Information about test results

This table must be completed by MedCom when the test has been completed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Test date | 2023-01-01 |
| Test location | Completed by MedCom |
| Approved | Yes  No |
| Remarks | Completed by MedCom |
| Carried out by | Completed by MedCom. The name of the MedCom responsible (initials) for this test is inserted |

# The test

This section describes the requirements which SUT must meet before final approval.

The test is divided into three sections:

1. Test of TouchStone test scripts
2. Test of requirements for content and flow/workflows
3. Test of general technical requirements

Test participants will be asked to complete tests as described in the tables.

## Documentation of the test

**Documentation of the test**

As valid documentation, the test participant or the test manager must document completion by continuous screen dumps (.png/.jpeg) and/or files/log files (.xml/.json). **Before the test, it is agreed on who is responsible for this.**

The following applies:

* The files must be viewable in a standard tool and must not require further processing by MedCom
* All files and screen dumps must be named with:
  + The name of the standard
  + The number of the relevant test setup
  + Consecutive letter
  + Whether SUT is the sender (S) or recipient (R) of the standard
  + File type

*Example:* *CareCommunication\_3.4\_A\_S.xml, CareCommunication\_ 3.4\_B \_S.xml*

If the vendor has documented the test themselves, the files must be sent in a ZIP file to [fhir@medcom.dk](mailto:fhir@medcom.dk).

## Test of requirements to content and flow/workflows

The purpose of these tests is to ensure that the standard is implemented with satisfactory quality, i.e. that implementation meets the business requirements for flow and content as described in the clinical guidelines and the [use case material](#_Baggrundsmaterialer). These test steps are mainly for the user interface.

The table below lists the use cases which are tested in relation to content and flow/workflows. The table also shows the direct references to the use cases in the [use case material](#_Baggrundsmaterialer).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [**Use case**](#_Baggrundsmaterialer) | **Description** | **Section** |
| R1 | Receive a CareCommunication | 3.2.1 |
| R2 | Receive a reply to a CareCommunication | 3.2.2 |
| R3 | Receive a forwarded CareCommunication | 3.2.3 |

Table 1: Table listing the use cases which must be tested

### R1: Receive a CareCommunication

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Load the CareCommunication test example. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_A-new | CareCommunication test example is loaded. |  | Choose |
|  | Describe how the SUT notifies the user that a CareCommunication has been received. |  | User is notified that a new CareCommunication has been received. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT clearly indicates that the message is a new message.  *It is recommended that the SUT clearly indicates whether the message is new, a reply or a forwarded message.* |  | It is clearly indicated in the user interface that the message is a new message. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the user opens the received CareCommunication. |  | The user has opened the received CareCommunication. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT shows relevant information to the user. |  | The user can see the following information from the message.   * patient id and name, * category, * message segment including message text and signature, * sender, * receiver.   If included:   * specific sender * specific recipient * topic * priority * attachments |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT shows message segments with attached files, including titles of the files and name of author and time of creation of the attached files. |  | The user can read all content of the message, including attached files. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT can load and show all allowed file types attached to the message. |  | The user can open and read all attached file types which are allowed. The list of [allowed file types can be accessed via the IG](https://medcomfhir.dk/ig/terminology/ValueSet-medcom-core-attachmentMimeTypes.html). |  | Choose |

### R2: Receive a reply to a CareCommunication

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Load the test example for receiving a reply to a CareCommunication. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_B-reply | CareCommunication test example is loaded. |  | Choose |
|  | Describe how the SUT notifies the user that a CareCommunication has been received. |  | User is notified that a new CareCommunication has been received. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT clearly indicates to the user that a reply to a previously sent message has been received.  *It is recommended that the SUT clearly indicates to the user that the message is a new reply.* |  | It is clearly indicated in the user interface that a new reply has been received. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the user opens the received message. |  | The user has opened the received message. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT shows relevant information for the user. |  | The user can see the following information from the message.   * patient id and name, * category, * new message segment including message text and signature, * previously send message segment including message text and signature, * sender, * receiver.   If included:   * specific sender * specific recipient * topic * priority * attachments |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT shows message segments with attached files, including titles of the files. |  | The user can read all content of the message, including attached files. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT can load and show all allowed file types attached to the message. |  | The user can open and read all attached file types which are allowed. The list of [allowed file types can be accessed via the IG](https://medcomfhir.dk/ig/terminology/ValueSet-medcom-core-attachmentMimeTypes.html). |  | Choose |

### R3: Receive a forwarded CareCommunication

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Load the test example for receiving a forwarded CareCommunication. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_C-forward | CareCommunication test example is loaded. |  | Choose |
|  | Describe how the SUT notifies the user that a CareCommunication has been received. |  | User is notified that a new CareCommunication has been received. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT clearly indicates to the user that the message is a forwarded message.  *It is recommended that the SUT clearly indicates to the user that the message is a forwarded message.* |  | It is clearly indicated in the user interface that a forwarded message has been received. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the user opens the received CareCommunication. |  | The user has opened the received CareCommunication. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT shows relevant information to the user. |  | The user can see the following information from the message.   * patient id and name, * category, * new message segment including message text and signature, * previously send message segment including message text and signature, * sender, * receiver.   If included:   * specific sender * specific recipient * topic * priority * attachments |  | Choose |

## Test of general technical requirements

The purpose of these test steps is to ensure that the technical sending of a CareCommunication is implemented with satisfactory quality, i.e., that it meets the governance for message communication on a general level as well as governance for CareCommunication as described in 1.4.

### Display content of a CareCommunication

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Priority:**  Load the test data and demonstrate that SUT displays priority, when it is included in the message. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-A\_new\_priority | Priority is displayed for the user. |  | Choose |
|  | **Attachments:**  Load the test data and demonstrate that SUT displays all attachments including the attachment with the URL. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-B\_new\_attachment | All attachments, associated structured information, and the link is displayed and can be accessed by the user. |  | Choose |
|  | **Timezone:**  Load the test data and demonstrate that SUT display correct timestamps when no timezone (zulutime) is included. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-C\_zulutime | The displayed timestamps are adjusted to the actual date and time of the activities in the message. |  | Choose |
|  | Load the test data and demonstrate that SUT display correct timestamps when a timezone is included. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-D\_new\_timezone | The displayed timestamps are adjusted to the actual date and time of the activities in the message. |  | Choose |
|  | **EpisodeOfCare-identifier:**  *If relevant for the user, display the included episodeOfCare-identifier*.  Load the test data and demonstrate that episodeOfCare-identifier is displayed correctly. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-E\_new\_EpisodeOfCare-identifier | The episodeOfCare-identifier is displayed correctly. |  | Choose |
|  | **Deceased patient:**  Load the test data and demonstrate that SUT displays that the patient is marked as deceased. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-F\_new\_deceased | The test patient appears as deceased. |  | Choose |
|  | **Replacement CPR:**  Load the test data and demonstrate that SUT displays the CareCommunication for a patient with a replacement-CPR-number.  Please notice, that a replacement-CPR-number can have different structures, as described [here](https://medcomfhir.dk/ig/core/StructureDefinition-medcom-core-patient#patient-identifiers). | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-G\_new\_replacementCPR | The CareCommunication is displayed for a patient with a replacement-CPR-number. |  | Choose |
|  | **Instance IDs:**  Load the test data and demonstrate that SUT displays the message, even though the instances in the message doesn’t have UUIDs. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-H\_New\_ID | The CareCommunication is loaded and display correctly. |  | Choose |
|  | **More information**:  Load the test data and demonstrate that SUT can handle that more information than specified in the CareCommunication standard is included. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-I\_New\_moreInfo | The CareCommunicaion is loaded and displayed correctly. SUT ignores the added information. |  | Choose |

### Display organization name for sender and receiver

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *In the CareCommunication message it is not required to include the Organization.name for the sender and receiver. Therefore, SUT must use SOR to get this information.*  Load the test data without Organization.name on sender and receiver and show that SUT get the names from SOR. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-J-new-noOrgName | SUT uses SOR to get the sender and receiver name based on the SOR-id. SUT displays correct name for the sender and receiver. |  | Choose |

### Support of XML and JSON

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | *FHIR messages may be send in XML or JSON. SUT must be able to handle both.*  Load the test data in XML-format and show that SUT can display the content. | CareCommunication\_ \_receive\_Tek-K-new-xml | SUT displays the CareCommunication received in XML format. |  | Choose |
|  | Load the test data in JSON-format and show that SUT can display the content. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-L-new-json | SUT displays the CareCommunication received in JSON format. |  | Choose |

### Parallel sent CareCommunications

The following test step concerns correct handling of parallel sent replies. Parallel means that two corresponding parties replies to the same CareCommunication more or less synchronous and/or due to delays in the systems or on the VANS network the CareCommunications.

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | ***Parallel sent replies:***  Load test data and send a reply simultaneously. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-M-new-parallel CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_N-tek-reply-parallel | SUT has loaded the test data and sent a reply. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that SUT makes it visible to the user that a CareCommunication has been received and is unread. |  | It is visible to the user that there is an unread CareCommunication. |  | Choose |
|  | SUT must display the messages in one message thread with same id.  SUT must clearly display the correlation between replies in the user interface, so the user can see which CareCommunication the messages refer to. |  | SUT creates one message threads, including both replies.  SUT demonstrates how a correlation between replies is clearly displayed for the user. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT displays the messages in correct order, based on the timestamp in message segments with the message text or alternatively the initiator of the communication must appear as the first, followed by the message send by the replier, when loading the parallel messages. |  | The user sees the replies in correct order in the user interface. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the user can continue the communication in the message thread by sending or receiving a new reply to the most recently received message. |  | The user can continue the communication in the thread. |  | Choose |

### Receive CareCommunication and send FHIR Acknowledgement

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Describe how FHIR messages are loaded into the SUT. For example, how is data loaded into the SUT – via mapping to internal format or to own FHIR infrastructure? |  | For example: FHIR messages are used directly or processed. |  | Choose |
|  | **Acknowledgement**  Load test data and demonstrate that the SUT sends a FHIR Acknowledgement in return (DK: Kvittering). | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-O-new | SUT returns a FHIR Acknowledgement (DK: Kvittering) |  | Choose |

### Loading of FHIR messages where the received messages are not in the same order as they were sent

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **A reply is received before the new message.**  Load test data, which is a reply to a new CareCommunication, and demonstrate that the message appears as replied in the SUT. SUT makes it visible for the user that an unread message has been received.  *A CareCommunication does not arrive, and a message with an unknown message segment and communication identifier is included in a received CareCommunication.* | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-P-reply-order | The new and replied message appears as replied in the user interface ordered by time stamp from the message segment in the same message thread as the reply. |  | Choose |
|  | Load test data for a new CareCommunication and demonstrate that the message appears as replied in the SUT.  *A new CareCommunication arrives after the reply and is included in the message thread with the same communication identifier.* | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-Q-new-order | The new and replied message appears as replied in the user interface ordered by time stamp from the message segment in the same message thread as the reply. |  | Choose |

### Handling of doublets

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Positive doublet – identical Bundle.id:**  Load test data and demonstrate that the CareCommunication is loaded and accessible to the SUT user. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-R-new-dublicate | The SUT user can see that a CareCommunication has been received. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT has sent a positive FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering). |  | The SUT has acknowledged positively for the CareCommunication message and sent a FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering) to the correct receiver. |  | Choose |
|  | Load test data again and demonstrate that the CareCommunication, which is a duplet, is ignored and that the SUT user still only sees one CareCommunication message. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_ Tek-S-new-dublicate | The SUT user still only sees that one CareCommunication message has been received. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT has sent a positive FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering) for the duplet. |  | The SUT has acknowledged positively for the doublet and sent a FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering) to the correct receiver. |  | Choose |
|  | **Positive doublet – different Bundle.id:**  Load test data and demonstrate that the CareCommunication is loaded and accessible to the SUT user. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_ Tek-T-new-dublicate | The SUT user can see that a CareCommunication has been received. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT has sent a positive FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering). |  | The SUT has acknowledged positively for the CareCommunication message and sent a FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering) to the correct receiver. |  | Choose |
|  | Load test data again and demonstrate that the CareCommunication, which is a duplet, is ignored and that the SUT user still only sees one CareCommunication message. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_ Tek-U-new-dublicate | The SUT user still only sees that one CareCommunication message has been received. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT has sent a positive FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering) for the duplet. |  | The SUT has acknowledged positively for the doublet and sent a FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering) to the correct receiver. |  | Choose |
|  | **Negative doublet:**  Load test data and demonstrate that the CareCommunication is loaded. | CareCommunication\_Ex\_ Tek-V-new-duplicate | The CareCommunication has been loaded. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT has sent a negative FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering). |  | The SUT has acknowledged negatively for the CareCommunication message and sent a FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering) to the correct receiver. |  | Choose |
|  | Load test data again and demonstrate that the CareCommunication, which is a doublet is loaded. | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-X-new-dublicate | The CareCommunication has been loaded. |  | Choose |
|  | Demonstrate that the SUT has sent a negative FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering) for the duplet. |  | The SUT has acknowledged negatively for the doublet and sent a FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering) to the correct receiver. |  | Choose |

### Handling of invalid messages

| **Test step #** | **Action** | **Test data/test person** | **Expected result** | **Actual result** | **MedCom assessment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Load test data and demonstrate how the SUT handles invalid messages, e.g. missing valid “Communcation.category” | CareCommunication\_ Ex\_receive\_Tek-Y-new-invalid | The SUT returns a FHIR Acknowledgement (Kvittering) where the error is described. |  | Choose |
|  | SUT does not display the invalid CareCommunication. |  | The SUT does not show the invalid message. |  | Choose |