Internet Engineering Task Force Ed.

H. Flanagan,

Internet-Draft

RFC

Editor

Intended status: Informational

May 20,

Expires: November 21, 2015

The Use of Non-ASCII Characters in RFCs draft-flanagan-nonascii-05

Abstract

In order to support the internationalization of protocols and a more

diverse Internet community, the RFC Series must evolve to allow for the use of non-ASCII characters in RFCs. While English remains the required language of the Series, the encoding of future RFCs will he

in UTF-8, allowing for a broader range of characters than typically used in the English language. This document describes the RFC

requirements and guidance regarding the use of non-ASCII characters in RFCs.

This document updates RFC 7322.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-

Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months

and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any

It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 21, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

Flanagan Expires November 21, 2015 [Page 1]

Internet-Draft non-ASCII in RFCs May 2015

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

2	1.	Inti	roduction										
ے 3	2.	Basic requirements											
ა 3	3.	Rule	es for the use of non-ASCII characters										
3	3.	.1.	General usage throughout a document										
4	3.	.2.	Authors, Contributors, and Acknowledgments										
5	3.	.3.	Company Names										
5	3.	.4.	Body of the document										
6	3.	.5.	Tables										
7	3.	.6.	Code components										

7	3	.7.	Bibliog	raphic	text	•		•	•	•	•		٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
	3	.8.	Keyword	s and (Citati	on ⁻	Tags	5														
7	3	.9.	Address	Infor	mation																	
7	4.	Norr	malizati	on For	ms																	
8	5.	XML	Markup																			
8	6.	IANA	A Consid	eratio	ns																	
8	7.	Inte	ernation	alizat	ion Co	nsi	dera	ati	.on	S												
8	8.	Seci	urity Co	nsider	ations																	
8	9.	Char	nge log ·	- to b	e remo	ved	by	th	e	RF	C	Edi	to	r								
8	9	.1.	-04 to	-05 .																		
8	9	.2.	-02 to	-04 .																		
9	10.	Refe	erences																			
9	Appe	endi	x A. Ac	knowle	dgemen [.]	ts																
9	Autl	hor's	s Addres	s																		
10																						
1.	In ⁻	trodu	uction																			
	used cho: Eng (igi	or much of the history of the RFC Series, the character encoding sed for RFCs has been ASCII [ANSI.X3-4.1986]. This was a sensible hoice at the time: the language of the Series has always been nglish, a language that primarily uses ASCII-encoded characters ignoring for a moment words borrowed from more richly decorated lphabets); and, ASCII is the "lowest common denominator" for														ĎΙθ						

character encoding, making cross-platform viewing trivial.

There are limits to ASCII, however, that hinder its continued use as

the exclusive character encoding for the Series. The increasing need

for easily readable, internationalized content suggests it is time to

allow non-ASCII characters in RFCs where necessary. To support this

move away from ASCII, RFCs will switch to supporting UTF-8 as the default character encoding and allow support for a broad range of

Flanagan 21

Expires November 21, 2015

[Page

Internet-Draft non-ASCII in RFCs 2015

May

Unicode character support. [UnicodeCurrent] Note that the RFC Editor may reject any codepoint that does not render adequately in enough formats or on in enough rendering engines using the current tooling.

Given the continuing goal of maximum readability across platforms, the use of non-ASCII characters should be limited in a document to only where necessary within the text. This document describes the rules under which non-ASCII characters may be used in an RFC.

rules will be applied as the necessary changes are made to submission

checking and editorial tools.

This document updates the RFC Style Guide [RFC7322].

2. Basic requirements

Two fundamental requirements inform the guidance and examples provided in this document. They are:

- o Searches against RFC indexes and database tables need to return expected results and support appropriate Unicode string matching behaviors:
- o RFCs must be able to display correctly across a wide range of readers and browsers. People whose system does not have the fonts

needed to display a particular RFC need to be able to read the various publication formats and the XML correctly in order to understand and implement the information described in the document.

3. Rules for the use of non-ASCII characters

This section describes the guidelines for the use of non-ASCII characters in the header, body, and reference sections of an RFC. Ιf

the RFC Editor identifies areas where the use of non-ASCII characters

negatively impacts the readability of the text, they will request alternate text.

The RFC Editor may, in cases of entire words represented in non-ASCII

characters, ask for a set of reviewers to verify the meaning, spelling, characters, and grammar of the text.

3.1. General usage throughout a document

Where the use of non-ASCII characters is purely as part of an example

and not otherwise required for correct protocol operation, escaping the non-ASCII character is not required. Note, however, that as the

language of the RFC Series is English, the use of non-ASCII

Flanagan

Expires November 21, 2015

[Page

31

Internet-Draft 2015

non-ASCII in RFCs

May

characters is based on the spelling of words commonly used in the English language following the guidance in the Merriam-Webster dictionary [MerrWeb].

The RFC Editor will use the primary spelling listed in that dictionary by default.

Example of non-ASCII characters that do not require escaping [RFC4475]:

This particular response contains unreserved and non-ascii UTF-8 characters.

This response is well formed. A parser must accept this message.

Message Details : unreason

 $SIP/2.0\ 200 = 2**3 * 5**2$ но сто девяносто девять – простое

Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 192.0.2.198; branch=z9hG4bK1324923

Call-ID: unreason.1234ksdfak3j2erwedfsASdf

CSeq: 35 INVITE

From: sip:user@example.com;tag=11141343

To: sip:user@example.edu;tag=2229 Content-Length: 154

Content-Type: application/sdp

3.2. Authors, Contributors, and Acknowledgments

Person names may appear in several places within an RFC. In all cases, valid Unicode is required. For names that include non-ASCII characters, an author-provided, ASCII-only identifier is required to

assist in search and indexing of the document.

Example for the header:

Network Working Group Request for Comments: 2611 BCP: 33

Category: Best Current Practice

L. Daigle
Thinking Cat Enterprises
D. van Gulik
ISIS/CEO, JRC Ispra
R. Iannella
DSTC Pty Ltd
P. Fältström (P. Faltstrom)
Tele2/Swipnet
June 1999

Example for the Acknowledgements:

OLD: The following people contributed significant text to early versions of this draft: Patrik Faltstrom, William Chan, and Fred Baker.

Flanagan 41 Expires November 21, 2015

[Page

Internet-Draft 2015

non-ASCII in RFCs

May

PROPOSED/NEW: The following people contributed significant text to early versions of this draft: Patrik Fältström (Patrik Faltstrom), 陈智昌 (William Chan), and Fred Baker.

3.3. Company Names

Company names may appear in several places within an RFC. The rules

for company names follow similar guidance to that of person names.

Valid Unicode is required. For company names that include nonASCII

characters, an ASCII-only identifier is required to assist in search

and indexing of the document.

3.4. Body of the document

When the mention of non-ASCII characters is required for correct protocol operation and understanding, the characters' Unicode character name or code point MUST be included in the text.

- o Non-ASCII characters will require identifying the Unicode code point.
- o Use of the actual UTF-8 character (e.g., Δ) is encouraged so that a reader can more easily see what the character is, if their

device can render the text.

o The use of the Unicode character names like "INCREMENT" in addition to the use of Unicode code points is also encouraged. When used, Unicode character names should be in all capital letters.

Examples:

OLD [draft-ietf-precis-framework]:

However, the problem is made more serious by introducing the full range of Unicode code points into protocol strings. For example, the characters U+13DA U+13A2 U+13B5 U+13AC U+13A2 U+13AC U+13D2 from

the Cherokee block look similar to the ASCII characters "STPETER" as

they might appear when presented using a "creative" font family.

NEW/ALLOWED:

However, the problem is made more serious by introducing the full range of Unicode code points into protocol strings. For example, the characters U+13DA U+13A2 U+13B5 U+13AC U+13A2 U+13AC U+13D2 (STPETER) from the Cherokee block look similar to the ASCII characters "STPETER" as they might appear when presented using a "creative" font family.

Flanagan Expires November 21, 2015 [Page 5]

Internet-Draft non-ASCII in RFCs May 2015

ALSO ACCEPTABLE:

However, the problem is made more serious by introducing the full range of

Unicode code points into protocol strings. For example, the characters "STPETER" (U+13DA U+13A2 U+13B5 U+13AC U+13A2 U+13AC U+13D2) from the Cherokee block look similar to the ASCII characters "STPETER" as they might appear when presented using a "creative" font family.

Example of proper identification of Unicode characters in an RFC:

Acceptable:

o Temperature changes in the Temperature Control Protocol are indicated by the U+2206 character.

Preferred:

- 1. Temperature changes in the Temperature Control Protocol are indicated by the U+2206 character (" Δ ").
- 2. Temperature changes in the Temperature Control Protocol are indicated by the U+2206 character (INCREMENT).
- 3. Temperature changes in the Temperature Control Protocol are indicated by the U+2206 character (" Δ ", INCREMENT).
- 4. Temperature changes in the Temperature Control Protocol are indicated by the U+2206 character (INCREMENT, " Δ ").
- 5. Temperature changes in the Temperature Control Protocol are indicated by the [Delta] character " Δ " (U+2206).
- 6. Temperature changes in the Temperature Control Protocol are indicated by the character "Δ" (INCREMENT, U+2206).

Which option of (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), or (6) is preferred may depend on context and the specific character(s) in question. All are

acceptable within an RFC. BCP 137, "ASCII Escaping of Unicode Character" describes the pros and cons of different options for identifying Unicode characters in an ASCII document BCP137 [RFC5137].

3.5. Tables

Tables follow the same rules for identifiers and characters as in

"Section 3.4. Body of the document". If it is sensible (i.e., more

understandable for a reader) for a given document to have two tables

-- one including the identifiers and non-ASCII characters and a

Flanagan

Expires November 21, 2015

[Page

61

Internet-Draft

non-ASCII in RFCs

May

2015

second with just the non-ASCII characters -- that will be allowed on a case-by-case basis.

Example: TBD

3.6. Code components

The RFC Editor encourages the use of the U+ notation except within а code component where you must follow the rules of the programming language in which you are writing the code.

Example: TBD

3.7. Bibliographic text

The reference entry must be in English; whatever subfields are present must be available in ASCII-encoded characters. As long as good sense is used, the reference entry may also include non-ASCII characters at the author's discretion and as provided by the

The RFC Editor may request a review of the non-ASCII reference

This applies to both normative and informative references.

Example:

[GOST3410] "Information technology. Cryptographic data security. Signature and verification processes of [electronic] digital signature.", GOST R 34.10-2001, Gosudarstvennyi Standard of Russian Federation, Government Committee of Russia for Standards, 2001. (In Russian)

Allowable addition to the above citation:

"Информационная технология. Криптографическая защита информации. Процессы формирования и проверки электронной цифровой подписи", GOST R 34.10-2001, Государственный стандарт Российской Федерации, 2001.

3.8. Keywords and Citation Tags

Keywords and citation tags must be ASCII only.

3.9. Address Information

The purpose of providing address information, either postal or e-mail, is to assist readers of an RFC to contact the author or authors. Authors may include the official postal address as recognized by their company or local postal service without additional non-ASCII character escapes. If the email address

Flanagan 71

Expires November 21, 2015

[Page

2015

Internet-Draft non-ASCII in RFCs

May

includes non-ASCII characters and is a valid email address at the time of publication, non-ASCII character escapes are not required.

4. Normalization Forms

Authors should not expect normalization forms to be preserved. If particular normalization form is expected, note that in the text of the RFC.

5. XML Markup

As described above, use of non-ASCII characters in areas such as email, company name, addresses, and name is allowed. In order to make it easier for code to identify the appropriate ASCII alternatives, authors must include an "ascii" attribute to their XML

markup. See [I-D.hoffman-xml2rfc] for more detail.

6. IANA Considerations

This document makes no request of IANA.

Note to RFC Editor: this section may be removed on publication as an $\ensuremath{\mathsf{RFC}}\xspace$

7. Internationalization Considerations

The ability to use non-ASCII characters in RFCs in a clear and consistent manner will improve the ability to describe internationalized protocols and will recognize the diversity of authors. However, the goal of readability will override the use of non-ASCII characters within the text.

8. Security Considerations

Valid Unicode that matches the expected text must be verified in order to preserve expected behavior and protocol information.

9. Change log — to be removed by the RFC Editor

9.1. -04 to -05

Keywords: expanded section to include citation tags.

Internationalization considerations: reiterated that the use of non-

ASCII characters is not automatically guaranteed.

Flanagan Expires November 21, 2015 [Page 8]

Internet-Draft non-ASCII in RFCs May 2015

9.2. -02 to -04

Introduction and Abstract: change to be clearer about what/why non-ASCII characters are being allowed.

XML Markup: section added.

10. References

[ANSI.X3-4.1986]

American National Standards Institute, "Coded Character Set - 7-bit American Standard Code for Information Interchange", ANSI X3.4, 1986.

[I-D.hoffman-xml2rfc]

Hoffman, P., "The 'XML2RFC' version 3 Vocabulary",

draft-

hoffman-xml2rfc-17 (work in progress), April 2015.

- [MerrWeb] Merriam-Webster, Inc., "Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, 11th Edition", 2009.
- [RFC3550] Schulzrinne, H., Casner, S., Frederick, R., and V. Jacobson, "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", STD 64, RFC 3550, July 2003.
- [RFC4475] Sparks, R., Hawrylyshen, A., Johnston, A., Rosenberg,
 J.,
 and H. Schulzrinne, "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)
 Torture Test Messages", RFC 4475, May 2006.
 - [RFC5137] Klensin, J., "ASCII Escaping of Unicode Characters", BCP 137, RFC 5137, February 2008.
- [RFC6949] Flanagan, H. and N. Brownlee, "RFC Series Format Requirements and Future Development", RFC 6949, May 2013.
 - [RFC7322] Flanagan, H. and S. Ginoza, "RFC Style Guide", RFC 7322, September 2014.

[UnicodeCurrent]

The Unicode Consortium, "The Unicode Standard", 2014-present, http://www.unicode.org/versions/latest/.

Appendix A. Acknowledgements

With many thanks to the members of the IAB i18n program and the RFC Format Design Team.

Internet-Draft 2015

non-ASCII in RFCs

May

Author's Address

Heather Flanagan (editor) RFC Editor

Email: rse@rfc-editor.org
URI: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2647-2220

Flanagan 10]

Expires November 21, 2015 [Page