ADMM for Multi-Block Problems

Hailiang ZHAO @ ZJU-CS

http://hliangzhao.me

October 24, 2022

I Direction Extension of ADMM

II Gaussian Back Substitution ADMM-GBS

III Prediction-Correction ADMM-PC

IV Linearized ADMM with Parallel Splitting LADMM-PS LADMM-SPU

I Direction Extension of ADMM

II Gaussian Back Substitution ADMM-GBS

III Prediction-Correction ADMM-PC

IV Linearized ADMM with Parallel Splitting LADMM-PS LADMM-SPU

Multi-Block Problem

We try to extend ADMM to solve the following problem with multi-blocks:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}_i} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(\boldsymbol{x}_i), \quad s.t. \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i = \boldsymbol{b}.$$
 (1)

We define

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(\mathbf{x}_i)$$
 (2)

$$\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{A}_1, ..., \mathbf{A}_m] \tag{3}$$

$$\boldsymbol{x} = (\boldsymbol{x}_1^T, ..., \boldsymbol{x}_m^T)^T \tag{4}$$

for simplification.

Direct Extension of ADMM

The straightforward extension of the vanilla ADMM:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}^{k+1} = \underset{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L_{\beta} \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1}^{k+1}, ..., \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i-1}^{k+1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i+1}^{k}, ..., \boldsymbol{x}_{m}^{k}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k} \right), \forall i, \quad (5)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^k + \beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{b} \right), \tag{6}$$

with $\boldsymbol{x}_i^k = \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i^k$ (no correction here), where

$$L_{\beta}(\mathbf{x}_{1},...,\mathbf{x}_{m},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \sum_{i} f_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \sum_{i} \mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{b} \rangle$$
$$+ \frac{\beta}{2} \| \sum_{i} \mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} - \boldsymbol{b} \|^{2}. \tag{7}$$

However, it is proved that the above method might not convergent. Thus, we should make several modifications on the original ADMM for convergence guarantees.

I Direction Extension of ADMM

II Gaussian Back Substitution ADMM-GBS

III Prediction-Correction ADMM-PC

IV Linearized ADMM with Parallel Splitting LADMM-PS LADMM-SPU

Now we introduce the Gaussian Back Substitution scheme. It first predicts \tilde{x}_i^{k+1} for all $i \in [m]$, and then corrects \boldsymbol{x}_i^{k+1} from \tilde{x}_i^{k+1} . Denote

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{1} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{A}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{1} & \mathbf{A}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{2} & \ddots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{A}_{m}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{1} & \mathbf{A}_{m}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_{m}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{m} \end{bmatrix}$$
(8)

and

$$\mathbf{H} = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{A}_{1}^{T}\mathbf{A}_{1}, ..., \mathbf{A}_{m}^{T}\mathbf{A}_{m}), \tag{9}$$

M and **H** will be used in the following proofs frequently.

Lemma I.1

Suppose that $i \in [m][f_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \text{ is convex}]$. Then with (5) and (6), we have

$$f(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*, \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{b} \rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\beta} (\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^k - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\|^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\|^2) - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^k\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2$$

$$- \beta (\boldsymbol{x}^k - \boldsymbol{x}^*)^T \mathbf{M} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^k). \tag{10}$$

We want to make the RHS of the inequality in a form of $\phi^k - \phi^{k+1}$ such that a recursion can be established.

To this end, we need to define x^{k+1} and find some $G \succeq 0$ so

$$-\frac{\beta}{2} \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^k\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 - \beta (\boldsymbol{x}^k - \boldsymbol{x}^*)^T \mathbf{M} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^k)$$

$$= \frac{\beta}{2} (\|\boldsymbol{x}^k - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_{\mathbf{G}}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{x}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_{\mathbf{G}}^2)$$
(11)

If we let

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{x}^k + \mathbf{D}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^k), \tag{12}$$

then the RHS of (11) becomes

$$-(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^k)^T \mathbf{D}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{D} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^k) -2(\boldsymbol{x}^k - \boldsymbol{x}^*)^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{D} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^k).$$
(13)

It means D and G should satisfy that

$$\mathbf{D}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{H} \text{ and } \mathbf{G} \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{M}. \tag{14}$$

Assume that \mathbf{A}_i 's are all of full column rank. Then \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{H} are invertible. Let $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{M}^{-T}\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{H}^{-1}\mathbf{M}^T$, then (12) becomes

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{x}^k + \mathbf{M}^{-T}\mathbf{H}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{x}^k), \tag{15}$$

which can be computed by the famous Gaussian back substitution efficiently due to the lower-block-triangular structure of M.

We call this method, i.e., (5), (6), and (15), *ADMM-GBS*. Note that \tilde{x}_i and x_i are updated sequentially.

Ergodic Convergenece Rate of ADMM-GBS

Theorem I.1

Suppose that $i \in [m][f_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \text{ is convex}]$. Then for ADMM-GBS we have

$$|f(\mathbf{x}^{\hat{K}+1}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)| \le \frac{C}{2(K+1)} + \frac{2\sqrt{C}|\mathbf{\lambda}^*||}{\sqrt{\beta}(K+1)}$$
 (16)

$$\|\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{K+1} - \mathbf{b}\| \le \frac{2\sqrt{C}}{\sqrt{\beta}(K+1)},\tag{17}$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{K+1} = \frac{1}{K+1} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1}$$
 (18)

$$C = \frac{1}{\beta} \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^0 - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\|^2 + \beta \|\boldsymbol{x}^0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_{\mathbf{G}}^2.$$
 (19)

I Direction Extension of ADMM

II Gaussian Back Substitution ADMM-GBS

III Prediction-Correction ADMM-PC

IV Linearized ADMM with Parallel Splitting LADMM-PS LADMM-SPU

ADMM-GBS is not simple enough since it needs to solve m small-scale linear systems. In the following, we give an improved strategy, which is based on the intuition that, when solving (5), we actually only need $\mathbf{A}_i \mathbf{x}_i^k$ rather than \mathbf{x}_i^k . Thus, we don't have to compute \mathbf{x}_i^k explicitly.

Denote $\mathbf{P} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{A}_1, ..., \mathbf{A}_m)$ and

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} & \cdots & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (20)

Then $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{P}.$

Similar to (11), we need to define x^{k+1} and find $G' \succeq 0$ such that:

$$-\frac{\beta}{2} \|\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^k\|_{\mathbf{H}}^2 - \beta (\boldsymbol{x}^k - \boldsymbol{x}^*)^T \mathbf{M} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^k)$$

$$= \frac{\beta}{2} (\|\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{x}^k - \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{x}^*\|_{\mathbf{G}'}^2 - \|\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{x}^{k+1} - \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{x}^*\|_{\mathbf{G}'}^2). \tag{21}$$

If we let

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^k + \mathbf{D}'(\mathbf{P}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^k), \tag{22}$$

then the RHS of (21) becomes

$$-(\mathbf{P}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^k)^T(\mathbf{D}')^T\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{D}'(\mathbf{P}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^k)$$
$$-2(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^*)^T\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{D}'(\mathbf{P}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^k). \tag{23}$$

Note that the LHS of (21) equals to

$$-\frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{P}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^k\|^2 - \beta(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^*)^T \mathbf{L} (\mathbf{P}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}^{k+1} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}^k). \quad (24)$$

Therefore, we should choose

$$\mathbf{D}' = \mathbf{L}^{-T} \text{ and } \mathbf{G}' = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}^{T}. \tag{25}$$

Now (22) can be explicitly re-written as

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{x}_{1}^{k+1} \\
\mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{x}_{2}^{k+1} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{A}_{m-1}\mathbf{x}_{m-1}^{k+1} \\
\mathbf{A}_{m}\mathbf{x}_{m}^{k+1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{x}_{1}^{k} \\
\mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{x}_{2}^{k} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{A}_{m-1}\mathbf{x}_{m-1}^{k} \\
\mathbf{A}_{m}\mathbf{x}_{m}^{k}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{I} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{A}_{m-1}\mathbf{x}_{m-1}^{k} \\
\mathbf{A}_{m}\mathbf{x}_{m}^{k}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{I} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\mathbf{A}_{m}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{m}^{k+1} - \mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{x}_{1}^{k} \\
\mathbf{A}_{2}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{2}^{k+1} - \mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{x}_{1}^{2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\mathbf{A}_{m-1}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{m-1}^{k-1} - \mathbf{A}_{m-1}\mathbf{x}_{m-1}^{k} \\
\mathbf{A}_{m}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{m}^{k+1} - \mathbf{A}_{m}\mathbf{x}_{m}^{k}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$(26)$$

The RHS of (26) equals to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}_{1}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1}^{k+1} + \mathbf{A}_{2}\mathbf{x}_{2}^{k} - \mathbf{A}_{2}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{2}^{k+1} \\ \mathbf{A}_{2}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{2}^{k+1} + \mathbf{A}_{3}\mathbf{x}_{3}^{k} - \mathbf{A}_{3}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{3}^{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}_{m-1}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{m-1}^{k+1} + \mathbf{A}_{m}\mathbf{x}_{m}^{k} - \mathbf{A}_{m}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{m}^{k+1} \\ \mathbf{A}_{m}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{m}^{k+1} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{27}$$

The result shows that we can obtain $A_i x_i^{k+1}$ conveniently without solving linear systems.

However, x_i^{k+1} may not exist given $A_i x_i^{k+1}$, making the next round iteration invalid. Thus we need to revise the iterations accordingly.

Introducing variables $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{k}$, which play the role of $\boldsymbol{A}_{i}\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{k}$, we iterate as follows:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}^{k+1} = \underset{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \tilde{L}_{i}(\underbrace{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{1}^{k+1}, ..., \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i-1}^{k+1}}_{\text{calculated in seq.}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i+1}^{k}, ..., \boldsymbol{\xi}_{m}^{k}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k}), \forall i, \quad (28)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^k + \beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{b} \right)$$
 (29)

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^k + \mathbf{L}^{-T} (\mathbf{P} \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^k), \tag{30}$$

where $\tilde{L}_i(\boldsymbol{x}_1,...,\boldsymbol{x}_i,\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i+1},...,\boldsymbol{\xi}_m,\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^i f_j(oldsymbol{x}_j) + \langle oldsymbol{\lambda}, \sum_{j=1}^i \mathbf{A}_j oldsymbol{x}_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^m oldsymbol{\xi}_j - oldsymbol{b}
angle \ + rac{eta}{2} \| \sum_i \mathbf{A}_j oldsymbol{x}_j + \sum_i^m oldsymbol{\xi}_j - oldsymbol{b} \|^2, \end{aligned}$$

(31)

We call (28), (29), and (30) ADMM with Prediction-Correction (*ADMM-PC*).

For ADMM-PC, we have a similar result:

Lemma I.2

Suppose that $i \in [m][f_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \text{ is convex}]$. Then for ADMM-PC, we have

$$f(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}^{k+1}) - f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*, \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{b} \rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\beta} (\|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^k - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\|^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\|^2)$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{2} (\|\boldsymbol{\xi}^k - \mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_{\mathbf{LL}^T}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{k+1} - \mathbf{P} \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_{\mathbf{LL}^T}^2). \tag{32}$$

Ergodic Convergenece Rate of ADMM-PC

ADMM-PC have the same convergence rate to ADMM-GBS:

Theorem I.2

Suppose that $i \in [m][f_i(x_i) \text{ is convex}]$. Then for ADMM-PC (16) and (17) holds, but C changes to

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \|\boldsymbol{\lambda}^0 - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*\|^2 + \beta \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^0 - \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{x}^*\|_{\mathbf{LL}^T}^2$$
 (33)

I Direction Extension of ADMM

II Gaussian Back Substitution ADMM-GBS

III Prediction-Correction ADMM-PC

IV Linearized ADMM with Parallel Splitting LADMM-PS LADMM-SPU

ADMM-GBS and ADMM-PC have the following drawbacks:

- ► It requires to maintain two groups of variables, the predicted and the corrected, thus increasing the memory cost (at least doubled)
- \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{k} (ADMM-GBS) or $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{k}$ (ADMM-PC) could be sparse or low-rank, thus the memory consumption can be more

Nevertheless, we can use the linearized augmented Lagrangian method.

Similar to (46) and (47) of *ADMM Slide: Part 2*, we use the following iterations:

$$\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}^k, \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{b} \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{b}\|^2 + D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^k) \right), \quad (34)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^k + \beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{b} \right), \tag{35}$$

where $\mathbf{L} = \operatorname{diag}(L_1\mathbf{I}_{n_1}, ..., L_m\mathbf{I}_{n_m})$, in which n_i is the dimension of \mathbf{x}_i and L_i is to be determined.

Note that we hope each x_i^k can be calculated separately for all $i \in [m]$.

If we set

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{L}}^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|^2, \tag{36}$$

then with $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{b}) + (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^k)$, we have

$$\frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + D_{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^k)$$

$$= \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + \beta \langle \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^k\|_{\mathbf{L}}^2.$$

If we choose $L_i \geq m \|\mathbf{A}_i\|^2$, then $\forall \mathbf{x}$,

$$\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{\mathbf{L}}^{2} = \sum_{i} L_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \geq m \sum_{i} \|\mathbf{A}_{i}\|^{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\|^{2} \geq m \sum_{i} \|\mathbf{A}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\|^{2}$$

$$\geq \|\sum_{i} \mathbf{A}_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\|^{2} \geq \|\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{x}\|^{2}. \tag{37}$$

In the above slide, we get $\|\mathbf{x}\|_L^2 \ge \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|^2$. Now (34) becomes separable and the subproblem for each \mathbf{x}_i is

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k+1} = \underset{\mathbf{x}_{i}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(f_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k}, \mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{A}_{i}^{T} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{j} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{k} - \boldsymbol{b} \right), \mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{k} \rangle + \frac{\beta L_{i}}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}\|^{2} \right),$$
(38)

which can be solved in parallel for $i \in [m]$.

We call (34) and (38) linearized ADMM with parallel splitting (LADMM-PS). Similar to Bregman ADMM, LADMM-PS has the $\mathcal{O}(1/K)$ and the linear convergence rates under certain conditions.

LADMM-SPU

LADMM-PS works by replacing the serial update order in linearized ADMM by the parallel update order in the linearized augmented Lagrangian method.

Since for the two-block case, serial update order is faster than parallel update order, we may combine them for faster convergence when dealing with the multi-block case. That is, divide the *m* blocks into two partitions:

$$1, ..., m'$$
 and $m' + 1, ..., m$ (39)

and then update the two partitions in serial, while updating the blocks in the same partition in parallel.

LADMM-SPU

The detailed iterates are:

$$\mathbf{x}_{i}^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin} \left(f_{i}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k}, \mathbf{A}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{A}_{i}^{T} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t}^{k} - \boldsymbol{b} \right), \mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{k} \rangle + \frac{m'\beta \|\mathbf{A}_{i}\|^{2}}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}^{k}\|^{2} \right), \quad \forall i \in [1, m'],$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin} \left(f_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}) + \langle \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k}, \mathbf{A}_{j} \mathbf{x}_{j} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{A}_{j}^{T} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t}^{k+1} + \sum_{t=m'+1}^{m} \mathbf{A}_{t} \mathbf{x}_{t}^{k} - \boldsymbol{b} \right), \mathbf{x}_{j} - \mathbf{x}_{j}^{k} \rangle + \frac{(m - m')\beta \|\mathbf{A}_{j}\|^{2}}{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{j} - \mathbf{x}_{j}^{k}\|^{2} \right), \forall i \in [m' + 1, m].$$

$$(41)$$

LADMM-SPU

The detailed iterates are (cont'd):

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{k+1} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^k + \beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}_i \boldsymbol{x}_i^{k+1} - \boldsymbol{b} \right). \tag{42}$$

We call (40), (41), and (42) ADMM with the Serial and the Parallel Update Orders (LADMM-SPU).

LADMM-SPU has exactly the same form of Bregman ADMM. Thus we can also have the sublinear and the linear convergence rates under different conditions, which is faster than making the *m* blocks parallel.

I Direction Extension of ADMM

II Gaussian Back Substitution ADMM-GBS

III Prediction-Correction ADMM-PC

IV Linearized ADMM with Parallel Splitting LADMM-PS LADMM-SPU

- Lin, Zhouchen, Huan Li, and Cong Fang. Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers for Machine Learning. Springer Nature, 2022.
- 2. Boyd, Stephen, Stephen P. Boyd, and Lieven Vandenberghe. *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.