**“Continuous Integration is Dead,” Article Review**
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When researching articles evaluating the continuous integration system, I read a blog by Yegor Bugayenko. In the blog, the author claimed that CI ([continuous integration](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Continuous_integration)) is “dead” because it restricts programming creativity. Programmers worry about committing new code because the CI system relies on a path or failure system to evaluate each commit. With fewer commits, the code development would slow down. It is critical to inform the developers about how continuous integration error messages are supposed to help to improve the code.

Rerunning the code for each commit may make it more difficult for the developers to include other commits. A developer may have to wait until others solve the previous commits’ errors before making any new edits. Trivial errors would have delayed the program instead of designing new functionalities. Existing version control systems can help with allowing the developers to work on each procedure in isolated environments. The authors’ questions about continuous integration are resolvable with [existing version control systems](https://github.com/) and education for the developers.
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