

COM SCI 111 (Operating System Principles)

October 6, 2022

1 9.22 0th

```
$ ls -l big
-rw-r--r-- 1 eggert faculty 9223382036854775000 Sep 22 11:31 big
$ grep x big
$ time grep x big
real 0m0.009s
```

- grep scans at 10^{21} bytes/s (8 Zb/s) searching for an existent line in the file
- so it has to be doing smth other than sequentially searching thru the file
- “grep cheats”
 - “i know it cheats cuz i put the code in it” - eggert 2022
- “i hope you gain the intuition to know when is it ok to cheat and when is it not”
- paul eggert
- <https://web.cs.ucla.edu/classes/fall22/cs111>
- prereqs: cs32 <- c++, algs, data structurues / cs118 networking 33 <- computer org, machine code, etc.
|-> cs111 - ... 35l <- shell, python, scripting, ... / ...
- cs131 programming languages
- cs151b architecture
- textbooks
 - ad os 3ep (2018)
 - sk systems (2009)

1.1 whats a system

- *oxford english dictionary* (1928)
 - i. an organized or connected group of objects
 - ii. a set of principles, etc.; a scheme, method
 - from ancient greek *συστημα*(roots, “set up w”)
- *principle of computer science design: an introduction* (2009)
 - smth that ops in an env and the boundary betw the 2 is called the interface
 - * interface v important
 - * system built from a lot of subsystems
 - * standard design: decompose big system
 - * often time its useful to have multiple views of the same system
 - sometimes we can look at the system from a diff viewpoint and come up w a completely different set of subsystems

1.2 operating system

- *american heritage dictionary* (2000)
 - software designed to control the hardware of a specific data processing system in order to allow users and application programs to make use of it
 - * claims os is very system dependent, not true
- *encarta* (2007)
 - master control program in a computer
- *wikipedia* (2016/8)
 - system software that manages computer, hardware, software resources, and provides common services for computer programs

1.3 goals of an operating system

- protection (of apps, data, ...)
- performance (from users view)
- utilization (from check-writers view)
- robustness
 - does this operating system do well when given problems out of the ordinary
- flexibility
- simplicity / ease of use
- portability w diff hardware
- scalability
- safety

1.4 what are our main tools?

- abstraction + modularity
 - abstraction: look at the big pic of the system from a particular viewpoint and discard details to understand everything abt that aspect of the system
 - modularity: splitting up a big problem into little problems
 - * since the diff in writing a program scales worse than linearly,
 - interface vs implementation
 - mechanisms vs policy
 - * policy: high level concept in which u say what u want
 - “i want my interactive processes to have higher priority than background batches”
 - * mechanism: how u actually get that stuff to work
- measurements + monitoring
 - measurements: measure how well ur system is working
 - * performance + correctness
 - monitoring: monitor measurements, do smth w them
- *operating systems: three easy pieces*: main problems in os
 - virtualization: how to build efficient + effective virtual systems

- concurrency: interacting, simultaneous tasks
- persistence: data survive failures in hardware, software
- more
 - * security

1.5 a bad os interface

```
char* readline(int fd);
```

- assumes infinite resources

2 9.23 of

- kernel: lowest level of the os
 - decides what resources are available to apps (thus protecting the system)
 - provides layer of abstraction so that apps don't have to deal w hardware
 - todo: see notes.md.old

2.1 kernel modules

- are pieces of code that can be loaded and unloaded into the kernel upon demand
- they extend the functionality of the kernel without the need to reboot the system
- why not just add all the new functionalities into the kernel image
 - would be bloated
 - security implications
- modules are stored in `/usr/lib/modules/kernel_release`
- to see what kernel modules are currently loaded use

```
lsmod
```

```
cat /proc/modules
```

- example

```
#include <linux/module.h> /* needed by all modules */

#include <linux/kernel.h> /* needed for KERN_INFO */

int init_module(void) {
    printk(KERN_INFO "hello world 1.\n");
    return 0;
}
```

```
void cleanup_module(void) {  
    printk(KERN_INFO "goodbye world 1.\n");  
}
```

- `printk`
 - was not meant to communicate info to user
- to load a module: `sudo insmod <module_name> [args]`

```
sudo insmod proc_count.ko
```

- to unload a module: `sudo rmmod <module_name>`

```
sudo rmmod proc_count
```

3 9.27 1t

3.1 simple os architecture

- todo: insert pic
- user mode code: apps, libraries / c-lib
- kernel mode: you can execute instructions here
 - e.g. `inb`
 - kernel-app interface: “imaginary instructions” that are not real
 - * x84-64 instructions `ret`: “`rip = *(--rsp)`” in user mode
 - * `syscall 35`: “`os[35]()`” in kernel mode
 - syscalls cannot be called in user mode, kernel will figure it out

```
char *readline(int fd)
```

- `fd`
 - `0` - `stdin`
 - `1` - `stdout`
 - `2` - `stderr`
 - `>2` - other files
- points to a newly allocated buffer, e.g. “`ab\0xyz\n`”
- problems
 - unbounded cost
 - allocates memory for the application
 - large potential for memory leaks & bad pointers
 - * problems with giving the job to kernel
 - force same memory mgmt on all apps
 - syscall overhead

```
ssize_t read(int fd, void *buf, size_t bufsize);
```

- it is now the applications job to figure allocation out, kernel doesn't care

- there is now a limit on read size
- comes at a cost: a program that counts the number of lines in a file becomes more complicated although it has nice properties for the kernel

3.2 problems with designing operating systems

- waterbed effect (tradeoffs): general problem in systems
- incommensurate scaling
 - economies of scale (pin factory)
 - * if u just want a few pins then just go to local blacksmith
 - * if u want 10mil pins u should create a pin factory
 - diseconomies of scale (star network)
- emergent properties (as u scale)
 - qualitative instead of quantitative changes
 - ucla in school network used for music pirating
- propagation of effects
 - 2 features that independently work may not work when combined
 - e.g. msft invented shift-jis to encode japanese characters
 - * 2-byte encoding w top bit on = 2^{15} characters
 - * other feature: file names `C:\abc\def\ghi.txt`
 - * combination doesnt work because 2nd byte of shift-jis character can be anything (could just happen to be '\')
 - * fixed by moving into kernel (complicating the os)
- complexity
 - moores law

3.3 app: count words in a file

- todo: add pic
- power button = count number of words and put it on screen
- historically called a standalone program
 - operates without benefits of an os
- modern desktop
 - todo: add pic

3.4 uefi: unified extensible firmware interface

- os-independent way to boot
- efi format for **bootloaders**
 - bootloader: find a program in 2ndary storage, copy it into ram and `jmp` to it
 - can be chained, not uncommon to see 3 or 4 chained bootloaders
 - * for portability and stuff
 - * each bootloader can be more complicated than the next one until one that knows how to boot linux

- guid: globally unique identifier
 - for partitions
 - 128-bit integer
- guid partition table
- uefi boot manager (in firmware)
 - read only but configurable via parameters in some sort of nvram (nonvolatile ram)
- can read gpt tables
- can access files in vfat format etc
- can run code in efi format
- 6 phases

3.5 coreboot

- more hardware-specific / lower level
- no large boot manager (but a small one)
- 4 phases
 - test rom (find out where it is) + flash / disk
 - test ram, early initialization of chipset
 - ram stage: init cpu, chipset, motherboard, devices, etc
 - load payload

3.6 intel core i3-9100

- supports an older, simpler way of booting
- 6 mib l3 cache, 3.6 ghz + 4 gib ddr3 sdram + 1 tb flash sata + intel uhd graphic
- sata: serial ata
 - 7-conductor connector
 - ata (pata): advance technology attachment (16-bit connector in parallel)
 - * sequentization is the bane of parallel
 - before ata = ide: integrated drive electronics (western digital, 1986)
- x86 boot procedure
 - 1 mib of physical ram cpu can access
 - * cpu starts off in real mode = no virtual memory
 - initial program counter ip points to rom @ $0xffff0 = 2^{20} - 16$
 - program in rom = bios: basic input output system
 - * “horrible misdesign”
 - * originally user apps ran in real mode, calling subroutines in bios
 - only protection is rom being read only
 - * library + kernel + mini os basically
 - * bios tries to do the 4 steps in coreboot
 - run in cache only mode
 - step 4: looks for a device containing a particular bit pattern in its first 512 bytes (sector) (mbr: master boot record, 446 bytes of x86 machine code, 64 bytes of partition table (list of 4 pieces of drive, takes role of gpt), 2 bytes of signature 0x55 0xaa or 0xaa55)

4 9.29 1th

4.1 less modular os

- one happy program
- uses function calls
- count lines in a file only via function calls + machine instructions at lowest level
- last time we got 426 of bytes of machine code into 0x7c00
- file is in flash drive
- bootloader reads word count program, say 20 sectors (of 512 bytes), into ram, say 0x1000

```
static void read_id_sector(long secno, char *addr) {
    while ((inb(0x1f7) & 0xc0) != 0x40) continue;
    outb(0x1f2, 1);
    outb(0x1f3, secno);
    outb(0x1f4, secno >> 8);
    outb(0x1f5, secno >> 16);
    outb(0x1f6, secno >> 24);
    outb(0x1f7, 0x20);
    while ((inb(0x1f7) & 0xc0) != 0x40) continue;
    insl(0x1f0, addr, 128);
}
```

- inb reads from io registers
- 0x1f7: status + control
- first while loop waits for drive to be ready
- insl: “insert long”, read 128 words (512 bytes) from 1f0 to addr

```
static unsigned char inb(unsigned short port) {
    unsigned char data;
    asm volatile("inb %0, %1" : "=a" (data) : "dN" (port));
    return data;
}
```

4.2 i/o

- programmed i/o (pio): special instructions for io
- memory-mapped i/o: more popular, use ordinary load / store instructions mov_ _
- intel: flash drive uses pio, monitor uses memory-mapped


```

void write_integer_to_console(long n) {
    unsigned short *p = (unsigned short*) 0xb8014;
    while (n) {
        *p-- = (7 << 8) | ('0' + n % 10);
        n /= 10;
    }
}

```

- at 0xb8000, 2 bytes per char, 1st byte being format (7: gray on black), 2nd byte being ascii, 80 × 25 grid
- code above prints

```

static int isalpha(int x) { return 'a' <= x && x <= 'z' || 'A' <= x && x <= 'Z'; }

```

```

void main(void) {
    long words = 0;
    bool in_word = false;
    long secno = 100'000;
    for (;;) ++secno) {
        char buf[512];
        read_ide_sector(secno, buf);
        for (int j = 0; j < 512; ++j) {
            if (!buf[j]) {
                write_integer_to_console(words + in_word);
                while (true);
            }
            bool this_alpha = isalpha((unsigned char) buf[j]);
            words += in_word & ~this_alpha;
            in_word = this_alpha;
        }
    }
}

```

- performance issues
 - read 1 sector at a time: change to 255 sectors
 - bus contention (controller ↔ cpu, cpu ↔ ram): dma (direct memory access), controller ↔ ram, cpu sends instruction to controller telling where to copy data to
 - cpu and controller doing work disjointly: double buffering—cpu issues command to read sector $n + 1$ then cpu counts words in sector n = overlapping work

5 10.4 2t

5.1 how not to have an os standalone program

- standalone program

- pro: embedded systems
- con: double buffering api is more complex
- con: multitasking

```
read_id_sector(...) {  
    while (inb(...) & ...) {  
        --> schedule(); <--  
    }  
}
```

- con: dma (direct memory access)
- want separation of concern, guy writing word count program only focuses on counting words
- need better modularity

5.2 what's wrong with function call modularity for apps

- too much pain to change implementation
- too much pain to reuse parts of os in other apps
- too much pain to run simultaneous apps
- too much pain to recover from faults
- not enough insulation between apps

5.3 advantages of modularity

- assume bugs $\propto (k)\text{loc}$ ((thousand) lines of code)
- assume cost to find & fix a bug $\propto \text{kloc}$
- cost to fix all bugs: $O(\text{kloc}^2)$
 - not accurate: more bugs may appear, bugs appear superlinearly to kloc, bugs get harder to fix, etc
- modular: assume k modules, bugs are isolated $\rightarrow O\left(k \cdot \left(\frac{\text{kloc}}{k}\right)^2\right) = O\left(\frac{\text{kloc}}{k}\right)$

5.4 how can we tell whether our modularization is good or bad?

- performance
 - usually willing to sacrifice, say, 5% to 10%
- robustness: tolerance of faults / failures / errors
 - error: mistake in ur head
 - fault: potential problem in code
 - failure: observable behavior that is wrong
 - * e.g. dereferencing a null pointer
- neutrality / flexibility / lack of assumptions
- simplicity (of use / to learn)

5.5 mechanism for modularity

0. no modularity
1. function call modularity (call and return instructions)
 - callee can modify
 - callee can loop forever
 - callee can overflow the stack
 - callee can mess w wrong devices
 - callee can execute invalid instruction
 - soft modularity: if callee and caller are both well-behaved / bug-free
 - we want hard modularity: barrier

5.6 3 fundamental system abstractions

1. memory
 - `write(addr, value)`
 - `value = read(addr)`
 - ram, secondary storage
 - issues: thruput, latency, word size, volatility, coherence with caches
2. interpreter
 - ip instructor pointer + ep environment pointer + repertoire (instruction set)
 - in x86: rip and rsp
 - above for normal execution
 - interrupts: when normal execution is disturbed
3. link
 - think of 2 modules as different devices, send signals from one to another thru a link

5.7 2 major ways to get hard modularity

1. client / service
 - client and server w a link
 - if client wants work done, send signal thru link and wait
 - client

```
send(factn, {"!", 5});
receive(factn, response);
if response.code == ok:
    print(response.val)
else:
    print("error", response.err)
```
 - service

```
for (;;) {
    receive(factn, req);
    if (req.op.code == "!") {
```

```

    for (int i = 1; i <= req.n; ++i) {
        a *= i;
    }
    response = { "ok", a };
} else {
    response = { "bad", 0 };
}
send(factn, response);
}

```

- pro: limits error propagation
 - client / server dying doesn't affect the other
- pro: no shared state
- pro: even if service loops forever, client can still make progress
 - timeout on receive
- con: more setup hassle (configuration overhead)
- con: more resources (in the simplest case, need 2 cpus)
- con: latency / throughput / reliability (thru network)

2. virtualization

- using interpreters
- simplest way: write an x86 emulator

```

int epi, eax;

for (;;) {
    char i = *epi++;
    switch (i) {
        case ...:
            add(eax, ...);
    }
}

```

- "client" code: interpreted
- "service" code: functions called by interpreter
- pro: can put whatever checking code for safety
 - e.g. checking pointers before dereferencing
- con: performance (2x - 10x)
- for better speed
 - virtualizable hardware
 - * user-mode instructions: addq, call, ret, jlt, ...
 - run at full speed in a virtualized (hw interpreter) program
 - kernel-mode instructions: inb, outb, insl, reti, ...
 - * need them to be rare

- we need **protected trasfer of control** for hard modularity via virtualization
- int, 0x80: interrupt, traps in user mode
 - * call kernel w
 - `eax` = syscall number
 - args 1..n: `ebx`, `ecx`, `edx`, `esi`, `edi`, `ebp`
 - * hw pushes onto kernel stack
 - `ss`: stack segment
 - `esp`: (user) stack pointer
 - `eflags`
 - `cs`: code segment
 - `cip` (code) stack pointer
 - error code: type of trap
 - * trap table: goes to code in kernel

6 10.6 2th

6.1 orthogonality

- processes, races
- how you handle files, stream / network io, processes, should not interfere with each other
- api / interfaces should be
 - simple
 - complete
 - composable

6.2 last time

- INT 0x80 “meta instruction” → pushes onto kernel stack `ip` and `ep`, goes into kernel mode
 - kernel code does the syscall in question
 - `ret` instruction: inverse, pops `ip` and `ep` off the stack into register, gets out of kernel mode
 - `ret` can go to anywhere or run some other process
- seasnet: x86-64
 - usermode does not use INT instruction
 - uses SYSCALL: uses INT but the idea is that it's faster
 - * INT is slower than a function call
 - pushing 6 words instead of 1
 - have to access ram (kernel stack)
 - make sure cache is right (context switch)
 - * attempts to streamline, transfer to kernel mode and left kernel figure out what to use and what to restore
 - * `rax` = syscall #
 - * args: `rdi`, `rsi`, `rdx`, `r10`, `r8`, `r9`
 - * sets `rip`, etc. to model specific registers

- one way to implement “syscalls”
- getpid()
- vdso: virtual dynamically-linked shared object
 - ldd /bin/sh
 - libc.so.6 \Rightarrow /lib64/libc.so.6 shared object code
 - * more complicated things such as open()
 - linux-vdso.1 \Rightarrow kernel memory, readonly for users
 - * getpid() in here

6.3 processes

- built from virtualizable processor + os = program in execution in an isolated domain
 - safety
 - simplicity
- processes need to access
 - registers: give cpu 1 process at a time
- cr0 points at page table, maps virtual to physical addresses
- access registers (fast)
- access primary memory: each process has its own page table
- access io devices (less common): syscall, devices do differ
 - storage: flash, hard drive
 - * request / response
 - * random access
 - * finite
 - stream: keyboard, network
 - * spontaneous data generation
 - * infinite
 - graphics: high performance
 - * kind of a mix
 - need a set of syscall primitives
 - * fd = open("/usr/lib/libc.so", O_WRONLY | O_NOFOLLOW | ..., 0644)
 - puts a pointer (file descriptor = index) to file in file descriptor table in process table
 - “opaque handle”
 - * close(12)
 - * read(12, buf, 512)
 - will return -1, errno = EBADF bad file descriptor
 - * write(12, buf, 1024)
 - * n = dup(12) \rightarrow 15
 - same file descriptor
 - by convention, file descriptors 0, 1, 2 are stdin, stdout, stderr
 - int fd = open(...); close(0); int fd1 = dup(fd); close(fd);
 - limitations
 - * access is sequential

- potential fix: have a different flavor of read for storage devices taking an extra argument
- orthogonality → `lseek(12, 192308, 0)` position read / write pointer at 192308 from file start (`lseek(fd, offset, whence)`), whence: 1 = from file start `SEEK_SET`, 2 = from current location `SEEK_CUR`, 3 = from file end `SEEK_END`
- `lseek` read / `lseek` write hurts performance → `pread` / `pwrite`: positioning read / write