

CS 181 (Introduction to Formal Languages and Automata Theory)

April 8, 2022

1 Deterministic finite automata (DFAs)

1.1 Basic notions

Definition 1.1.1

An **alphabet** is any finite set of symbols.

Example 1.1.2. Binary alphabet: $\{0, 1\}$

Example 1.1.3. English alphabet: $\{a, b, \dots, c\}$

Definition 1.1.4

A **string** is any finite sequence of symbols from a given alphabet.

Example 1.1.5. 001010110101

Example 1.1.6. abracadabra

Example 1.1.7. ε (empty string)

Definition 1.1.8

A **language** is a set of strings over a given alphabet.

Example 1.1.9. \emptyset (empty language)

Example 1.1.10. $\{\varepsilon\}$

Example 1.1.11. $\{\text{acclaim}, \text{aim}, \text{brim}, \dots\}$


Example 1.1.12. $\{0, 1, 00, 11, \dots\}$

Definition 1.1.13

A **computational device** is a mechanism that inputs a string and either accepts or rejects it.

1.2 Deterministic finite automata

- Choose an alphabet: $\{a, b\}$.
- Draw states.
- Choose start state and accept states.
- Draw transitions (out of every state on every symbol).




Input	Output
ε	reject
ab	reject
aaa	accept
bb	accept

In words, this machine accepts nonempty strings of all a's or all b's.

Definition 1.2.1

The **language** of a DFA is the set of all strings it accepts.


Example 1.2.2.



Input	Output
$00\dots 0$	accept
12	accept
111	accept
20	reject
1	reject


$$\Sigma = \{0, 1, 2\}, \mathcal{L} = \{w : 3 \mid \sum w_i\}$$

Example 1.2.3.



$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}, \mathcal{L} = \{w : 2 \mid |w|\}$$

Example 1.2.4.



$$\Sigma = \{a, b\}, \mathcal{L} = \{w : w \neq \varepsilon \wedge w_1 = w_{|w|}\}$$


1.3 Designing DFAs

We will be using the binary alphabet $\{0, 1\}$.


Example 1.3.1. Design a DFA for $\mathcal{L} = \emptyset$.




Example 1.3.2. Design a DFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : \text{every odd position is a 1}\}$.




Example 1.3.3. Design a DFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ ends in } 0\}$.



Example 1.3.4. Design a DFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ begins with 1, ends with } 0\}$.




Example 1.3.5. Design a DFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : |w| \leq 4\}$.




Example 1.3.6. Design a DFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : 1000 \mid |w|\}$.

In words, each state represents a remainder modulo 1000, and only the 0 state is accepting.


Example 1.3.7. Design a DFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ contains } 0101 \text{ as a substring}\}$.




Example 1.3.8 (Week 1 Discussion). Design a DFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : |w| > 0 \wedge w \text{ contains only 1s}\}$.



Example 1.3.9 (Week 1 Discussion). Design a DFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ ends in } 1101\}$.



Example 1.3.10 (Week 1 Discussion). Design a DFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ contains } 1101\}$.




1.4 Formal definitions

Definition 1.4.1

A DFA is a tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where

- Q = set of states,
- Σ = alphabet,
- δ = transition function ($\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$),
- q_0 = start state ($q_0 \in Q$), and
- F = set of accept states ("favorable"? states, $F \subseteq Q$).

Example 1.4.2. A formal description of the DFA




is given by $(\{A, B, C\}, \{0, 1\}, \delta, A, \{B\})$ where δ is defined by the table

	0	1
A	A	B
B	C	B
C	B	B

Example 1.4.3. The graph for the DFA $(\{A, B, C, D, E\}, \{0, 1\}, \delta, C, \{C\})$ where δ is defined by the table

	0	1
A	A	B
B	A	C
C	B	D
D	C	E
E	D	E

is given by:



Example 1.4.4. A formal description for Example 1.3.6 is given by $(\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 999\}, \{0, 1\}, \delta, 0, \{0\})$ where $\delta(q, \sigma) = (q + 1) \bmod 1000$.

Definition 1.4.5

DFA $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ **accepts** a string $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n$ iff

$$\delta(\dots \delta(\delta(q_0, w_1), w_2) \dots, w_n) \in F.$$

Definition 1.4.6

DFA D **recognizes** the language \mathcal{L} iff

$$\mathcal{L} = \{w : D \text{ accepts } w\}.$$


Note.

- Every DFA recognizes exactly 1 language.
- A language has either 0 or ∞ DFAs recognizing it.

2 Nondeterminism

2.1 Basic notions

Example 2.1.1.



- Choose an alphabet: $\{0, 1\}$.
- Draw states.
- Choose start state and accept states. The steps so far are the same as those of a DFA.
- Draw transitions. A state may have any number of transitions on a given symbol. A state may also have transitions on ϵ .

Definition 2.1.2

An NFA **accepts** w iff there is *at least* one path to an accept state.

Example 2.1.3. The output table for Example 2.1.1 is given by

Input	Accepting path	Output
ε	-	reject
0	-	reject
1	-	reject
010110	AABCDDDD	accept
010	-	reject
11	ABCD	accept.

Then the language is the set of all strings containing 101 or 11.

2.2 Using shortcuts


Example 2.2.1. Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \emptyset$.




Example 2.2.2. Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{\varepsilon\}$.



Example 2.2.3. Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ doesn't contain } 1\}$.




Example 2.2.4. Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : |w| \geq 2 \text{ and } w \text{ starts and ends with } 0\}$.




2.3 Pattern matching


Example 2.3.1. Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : \text{contains } 0101\}$.




Example 2.3.2. Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w = \underbrace{00\dots0}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{11\dots1}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{00\dots0}_{\geq 1}\}$.




Example 2.3.3. Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ has a 1 in the 3rd position from the end}\}$.



Example 2.3.4 (Week 1 Discussion). Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ contains } 1101\}$.




Example 2.3.5 (Week 1 Discussion). Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w = \underbrace{11\dots1}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{00\dots0}_{\geq 1} \underbrace{11\dots1}_{\geq 0}\}$.




2.4 Alternatives


Example 2.4.1. Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : 2 \mid |w| \vee 3 \mid |w|\}$.




Note that the following is not valid due to side effects:




Example 2.4.2 (Week 1 Discussion). Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ contains } 1101 \vee w = \underbrace{11\dots1}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{00\dots0}_{\geq 1} \underbrace{11\dots1}_{\geq 0}\}$.



Example 2.4.3. Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ contains an even number of 0s, or exactly two 1s}\}$.



Example 2.4.4. Design an NFA for $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ does not contain both } 0 \text{ and } 1\}$.



2.5 Formal definitions

Definition 2.5.1

An NFA is a tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where

- Q = set of states,
- Σ = alphabet,
- δ = transition function ($\delta: Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Q)$),
- q_0 = start state ($q_0 \in Q$), and
- F = set of accept states ($F \subseteq Q$).

Example 2.5.2. A formal description for NFA from Example 2.1.1 is given by

$$(\{A, B, C, D\}, \{\emptyset, 1\}, \delta, A, \{D\})$$

where δ is defined by the table


	\emptyset	1	ε
A	$\{A\}$	$\{A, B\}$	\emptyset
B	$\{C\}$	\emptyset	$\{C\}$
C	\emptyset	$\{D\}$	\emptyset
D	$\{D\}$	$\{D\}$	\emptyset .

Note that as pictures are not precise, we had to make an assumption on the alphabet of the NFA. Also note that although adding transition from A to A on ε does not change the language, it does not match the drawing and therefore represents a different NFA. Make sure to transcribe the given NFA.

Example 2.5.3. The graph for the DFA $(\{A, B, C\}, \{\emptyset, 1\}, \delta, A, \{B\})$ where δ is defined by the table

	\emptyset	1	ε
A	$\{C\}$	$\{B\}$	\emptyset
B	$\{A\}$	\emptyset	\emptyset
C	$\{B\}$	$\{B, C\}$	$\{A\}$

is given by



Definition 2.5.4

NFA $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ **accepts** a string w iff

$$\begin{aligned} \exists q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m \in Q \exists \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1} \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\} : \\ q_1 \in \delta(q_0, \delta_0) \wedge q_2 \in \delta(q_1, \sigma) \wedge \dots \\ \wedge q_m \in \delta(q_{m-1}, \sigma_{m-1}) \wedge q_m \in F \\ \wedge \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{m-1} = w, \end{aligned}$$

or, in words, an accept state is reachable from q_0 via some path on input w .

Definition 2.5.5


NFA N **recognizes** the language \mathcal{L} iff

$$\mathcal{L} = \{w : N \text{ accepts } w\}.$$

3 Equivalence of DFAs and NFAs

3.1 Example

Does this NFA accept the string 0110?



Recall that the NFA accepts 0110 iff an accept state is reachable from the start state via some path


$$\underbrace{\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{0 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{1 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{1 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{0 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon}_{\geq 0}.$$

Path	Possible end states
$\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon$	A, C
$\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon 0 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon$	A, C
$\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon 0 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon 1 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon$	B
$\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon 0 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon 1 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon 1 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon$	C
$\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon 0 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon 1 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon 1 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon 0 \varepsilon \dots \varepsilon$	A, C


Since C is an accept state, the NFA accepts the string 0110.

Can we convert this to a DFA? Yes.


Step 1 Use subsets of the states of the NFA as the states of the DFA. Accept states are subsets that contain accept states of the NFA. The start state is the subset of all reachable states from the start state of the NFA via ϵ . For subsets containing more than one element, the transition is the union of all transitions for each individual element.



Step 2 Clean up.



Step 3 Optionally drop unreachable states.



3.2 General theorem

Theorem 3.2.1

Every NFA N can be converted to a DFA D that recognizes the same language.

Proof. Given NFA $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ define DFA $D = (\mathcal{P}(Q), \Sigma, \Delta, S_0, \mathcal{F})$ where

- $S_0 = \{q \in Q : q \text{ is reachable from } q_0 \text{ via a path } \underbrace{\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon}_{\geq 0}\}$,
- $\Delta(S, \sigma) = \{q \in Q : q \text{ is reachable from a state in } S \text{ via a path } \delta \underbrace{\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon}_{\geq 0}\}$, and
- $\mathcal{F} = \{S \subseteq Q : S \text{ contains a state in } F\}$.

Then N accepts a string $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n \Leftrightarrow$ a state in F is reachable via a path $\underbrace{\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon}_{\geq 0} w_1 \underbrace{\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon}_{\geq 0} w_2 \dots w_n \underbrace{\varepsilon \dots \varepsilon}_{\geq 0}$
 \Leftrightarrow a state in \mathcal{F} is reachable from S_0 via the path $w_1 w_2 \dots w_n \Leftrightarrow D$ accepts w . \square

3.3 Blow-up in size

Definition 3.3.1

A language \mathcal{L} is called **regular** iff it is recognized by some NFA or, equivalently, some DFA.

Last time: If \mathcal{L} has an NFA with k states then \mathcal{L} has a DFA with 2^k states.

We will show that this conclusion is the best possible.


Theorem 3.3.2

Define $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$, $k = \text{any positive integer}$, $\mathcal{L}_k = \{w : w \text{ has a } 0 \text{ in the } k\text{-th position from the end}\}$.

- \mathcal{L}_k has a NFA of size $k + 1$, and
- \mathcal{L}_k does not have a DFA of size $< 2^k$.

Proof.

(a)



(b) Let D be any DFA with $< 2^k$ states.

Input	End state of D
$000\dots 00$	q_i
$000\dots 01$	q_j
\vdots	\vdots
$111\dots 11$	q_k

If the left hand side of the table enumerates all 2^k strings of length k , then the right hand side cannot be all distinct due to the pigeonhole principle. Then there exists strings $u \neq v$ of length k such that

$$\text{end state on } u = \text{end state on } v.$$

Say $u_i = 0, v_i = 1$. Then

$$\text{end state on } u \underbrace{00\dots 0}_{i-1} = \text{end state on } v \underbrace{00\dots 0}_{i-1}.$$


However, note that the left hand side has a 0 in the k -th position from the end while the right hand side has a 1 in the k -th position from the end.

Then we conclude that D does not recognize \mathcal{L}_k .

□

4 Closure

4.1 Complement



Definition 4.1.1

The **complement** of the language \mathcal{L} is

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \{w : w \notin \mathcal{L}\}.$$

Theorem 4.1.2

If \mathcal{L} is regular then $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is regular. In other words, regular languages are closed under complement.

Proof. Swap the accept and reject states of the DFA for \mathcal{L} to get a DFA for $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$.


Formally, if DFA $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ recognizes \mathcal{L} then DFA $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, Q \setminus F)$ recognizes $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$. \square

Example 4.1.3. $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \neq 0, 1\}$.

Note that $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \{w : w = 0 \vee w = 1\}$. The DFA for $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by:




Then the DFA for \mathcal{L} is given by




Example 4.1.4. $\mathcal{L} = \{w : w \text{ does not contain } 0101\}$.



Note that $\overline{\mathcal{L}} = \{w : w \text{ contains } 0101\}$. The DFA for $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ is given by:



Then the DFA for \mathcal{L} is given by



4.2 Union and intersection



Definition 4.2.1

The **union** of languages \mathcal{L}' and \mathcal{L}'' is

$$\mathcal{L}' \cup \mathcal{L}'' = \{w : w \in \mathcal{L}' \vee w \in \mathcal{L}''\}.$$

Definition 4.2.2

The **intersection** of languages \mathcal{L}' and \mathcal{L}'' is

$$\mathcal{L}' \cap \mathcal{L}'' = \{w : w \in \mathcal{L}' \wedge w \in \mathcal{L}''\}.$$

Theorem 4.2.3

If \mathcal{L}' , \mathcal{L}'' are regular, then so are

- (a) $\mathcal{L}' \cup \mathcal{L}''$ and
- (b) $\mathcal{L}' \cap \mathcal{L}''$.

In other words, regular languages are closed under union and intersection.

Proof.

(a) Connect an initial state to the initial states for the NFAs for \mathcal{L}' and \mathcal{L}'' via ε .



(b) If \mathcal{L}' and \mathcal{L}'' are regular then $\overline{\mathcal{L}'}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{L}''}$ are regular. Then $\overline{\mathcal{L}'} \cup \overline{\mathcal{L}''}$ is regular. Then, by De Morgan's law, $\mathcal{L}' \cap \mathcal{L}'' = \overline{\overline{\mathcal{L}'} \cup \overline{\mathcal{L}''}}$ is regular.

□


*Proof. Idea:*¹

$\mathcal{L}' = \{w : w \text{ has an even number of 0s}\}.$

$\mathcal{L}'' = \{w : \text{every } 0 \text{ is immediately followed by a } 1\}.$




Consider the construction:



¹Here "E" stands for "EVEN", "O" stands for "ODD", "H" stands for "HAPPY", "W" stands for "WORRIED", and "S" stands for "SAD".

Note that we can create DFAs for either union or intersection by assigning appropriate accept states:



Note that this construction is better than that in the first proof since the number of nodes in this construction is $O(n^2)$ while that in the first proof is $O(4^n)$.

Formally, write the DFA for \mathcal{L}' as $(Q', \Sigma, \delta', q'_0, F')$ and that for \mathcal{L}'' as $(Q'', \Sigma, \delta'', q''_0, F'')$.

Then the DFA for $\mathcal{L}' \cup \mathcal{L}''$ is given by $(Q' \times Q'', \Sigma, \delta, (q'_0, q''_0), (F' \times Q'') \cup (Q' \times F''))$ where $\delta((q', q''), \sigma) := (\delta'(q', \sigma), \delta''(q'', \sigma))$ for all $q' \in Q', q'' \in Q'',$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Similarly, the DFA for $\mathcal{L}' \cap \mathcal{L}''$ is given by $(Q' \times Q'', \Sigma, \delta, (q'_0, q''_0), F' \times F'')$ where $\delta((q', q''), \sigma) := (\delta'(q', \sigma), \delta''(q'', \sigma))$ for all $q' \in Q', q'' \in Q'',$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$. □

Corollary 4.2.4

If $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_n$ are regular, so are

- $\mathcal{L}_1 \cup \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{L}_n$ and
- $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{L}_n$.

Proof. Induction. □

Corollary 4.2.5

Every finite language is regular.

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be finite. Then we can write

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{w \in \mathcal{L}} \{w\},$$

that is, as a union of singleton languages.

Note that an NFA can be easily found for any singleton language, that is, singleton languages are regular. Then \mathcal{L} is regular by Corollary 4.2.4. □