# MATH 131BH (Real Analysis)

April 11, 2022

- 1 3.28 Monday Week 1: Intro to the course. Review of material covered in 131AH: foundations (definition and constructions of naturals and reals), metric space convergence, continuity.
- 3.30 Wednesday Week 1: Limit of a function: definition and alternative formulations via images of balls and sequential characterization. Limit on a set, left and right limits for functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Discontinuities of first and second kind. Monotone functions have no discontinuities of second kind.

#### **Limits of functions**

**Recall:**  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be **continuous at**  $x \in X$  if

$$\forall \, \varepsilon > 0 \, \exists \, \delta > 0 \, \forall \, z \in x : \rho_X(x,z) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho(f(z),f(x)) < \varepsilon.$$

Alternatives:

•  $f(B_X(x,\delta)) \subseteq B_Y(f(x),\varepsilon)$ ;

• 
$$\forall \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}} : x_n \to x \Rightarrow f(x_n) \to f(x).$$

A function  $f: X \to Y$  is **continuous** if

 $\forall x \in X : f \text{ is continuous at } x$ ,

or, alternatively,

 $\forall O \subseteq Y \text{ open} : f^{-1}(O) \text{ open}.$ 

# **Definition 2.1**

A function  $f: X \to Y$  has limit  $y \in Y$  at  $x \in X$ , notation  $\lim_{z \to x} f(z) = y$ , if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \forall z \in X : 0 < \rho_X(x, z) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho_Y(f(z), y) < \varepsilon.$$

Alternatives:

•  $f(B_X(x,\delta) \setminus \{x\}) \subseteq B_Y(y,\varepsilon)$ ;

• 
$$\forall \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}} : (\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \neq x) \land x_n \to x \Rightarrow f(x_n) \to y;$$

• 
$$g(z) := \begin{cases} f(z) & z \neq x \\ y & z = x \end{cases}$$
 is continuous at  $x$ .

# **Definition 2.2**

*f* has a **removable discontinuity** at *x* if  $\lim_{z\to x} f(z)$  exists but  $\neq f(x)$ .

# **Definition 2.3**

Let  $A \subseteq X$  be nonempty,  $x \in \overline{A}$  be not an isolated point. Then  $\lim_{z \to x} f(z) = \lim_{z \to x} f_A(z)$  where  $f_A$  is the restriction of f to A.

# **Definition 2.4**

For  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , let  $x \in \overline{\mathrm{Dom}(f)}$  be such that  $\mathrm{Dom}(f) \cap (x, \infty) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\mathrm{Dom}(f) \cap (-\infty, x) \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\lim_{z \to x^+} f(z) := \lim_{z \to x, z \in \mathrm{Dom}(f) \cap (-\infty, x)} f(z)$  and  $\lim_{z \to x^-} f(z) := \lim_{z \to x, z \in \mathrm{Dom}(f) \cap (-\infty, x)} f(z)$  are the **right** / **left limits of** f **at** x.

Alternative notation:  $f(x^+)$ ,  $f(x^-)$ .

# Example 2.5.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

has no right or left limits.

#### Example 2.6.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & x = q_n \text{ where } \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ enumerates } \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\forall x \notin \mathbb{Q} : \lim_{z \to x} f(z) = 0$  so f is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\forall x \in \mathbb{Q} : \lim_{z \to x} f(z) = 0$  but f is not continuous at x.

# Lemma 2.7

$$\forall \, r > 0 \, \forall \, \varepsilon > 0 : \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| < r \land \left| f(x) \right| > \varepsilon \right\} \text{ finite} \Longrightarrow \forall \, x \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{z \to x} f(z) = 0.$$

#### **Definition 2.8**

A function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  has a **discontinuity of** 

- **first kind** at *x* if  $f(x^+)$  and  $f(x^-)$  exist but are not both equal to f(x);
- **second kind** at x if one or both of  $f(x^+)$  and  $f(x^-)$  don't exist.

#### Example 2.9.

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} (-1)^n & x = \frac{1}{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \text{linear} & x \in (0, \infty) \setminus \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ 0 & x \le 0. \end{cases}$$

This function has a discontinuity of second kind at 0.

# Lemma 2.10

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  (Dom $(f) = \mathbb{R}$ ) be monotone. Then  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x^+), f(x^-)$  exist and so f has no discontinuities of second kind.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and assume f is nondecreasing. We claim that  $\lim_{z \to x^+} f(z) = \inf \left\{ f(z) : z > x \right\} =: L$ . Indeed,  $\forall z > x : f(z) \ge f(x)$ , so  $L \ge f(x)$  and so  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $(\forall z > x : L \le f(z)) \land (\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists z_\varepsilon > x : f(z_\varepsilon) < L + \varepsilon)$ . Let  $\delta := z_\varepsilon - x$ . Then  $\forall z \in (x, x + \delta) : f(z) \le f(z_\varepsilon) < L + \varepsilon$ . Then  $\forall z \in (x, x + \delta) : L \le f(z) < L + \varepsilon$  and therefore  $|f(z) - L| < \varepsilon$ . Then  $\lim_{z \to x^+} f(z) = L$ .

3 3.31 Thursday Week 1: Monotone functions have only countably many discontinuities. Functions of bounded variation. Jordan decomposition theorem. Comments on uniqueness. Rectifiability of curves. Limsup and liminf of a function.

#### Limits of functions

Last time we showed that monotone functions have no discontinuities of second time.

#### Lemma 3.1

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be monotone. Then  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x^+) \neq f(x^-)\}$  is countable.

*Proof.* Pick  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $A_{m,k} := \{x \in [-m, m] : |f(x^+) - f(x^-)| > \frac{1}{k+1}\}$ . We claim that  $A_{m,k}$  is finite. Let  $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$  be such that  $\forall i \leq n : x_i \in A_{k,m}$ . Assume (without loss of generality) that f is non-decreasing. Then

$$f(m+1) \ge f(x_n^+) = f(x_0^+) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( f(x_i^+) - f(x_{i-1}^+) \right)$$

$$\ge f(m-1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( f(x_i^+) - f(x_i^-) \right)$$

$$\ge f(-m+1) + \frac{n}{k+1}.$$
(3.1)

Then  $n \le (k+1)$ . Since  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x^+) \ne f(x^-)\} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_{k,m}$ , we are done.

Q: Can these be generalized to other functions?

# **Definition 3.2**

A **partition**  $\Pi$  of an interval [a,b] is a sequence  $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$  such that

$$a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < t_n = b$$
.

### **Definition 3.3**

Given  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ , its **total variation** on [a, b]

$$V(f, [a, b]) := \sup_{\Pi = \{t_i\}_{i=0}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})|$$

where the supremum if over the partitions of [a, b].

#### **Definition 3.4**

f is said to be of **bounded variation** on [a,b] if  $V(f,[a,b]) < \infty$ .

### Lemma 3.5

If  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is of bounded variation on [-m, m] for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , then f has only discontinuities of first kind and the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x^+) \neq f(x^-)\}$  is countable.

# Theorem 3.6: Jordan decomposition (1881)

Let  $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  obey  $V(f,[a,b]) < \infty$ . Then  $\exists h,g: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  nondecreasing such that  $\forall t \in [a,b]$ : f(t) = h(t) - g(t).

*Proof.* Define h(t) := V(f, [a, t]) and g(t) := V(f, [a, t]) - f(t). Note that h(t) - g(t) = f(t).

We need to show that h and g are nondecreasing.

Let  $a \le t < t' \le b$ . Then for any partition  $\Pi$  of [a, t],  $\Pi' = \Pi \cup \{t'\}$  is a partition of [a, t']. Then

$$V(f, [0, t']) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{m} |f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})| + |f(t') - f(t)|.$$

Taking supremum over  $\Pi$  gives

$$V(f,[a,t']) \ge V(f,[a,t]) + \left| f(t') - f(t) \right|.$$

Note that  $|f(t') - f(t)| \ge 0$  and  $|f(t') - f(t)| \ge f(t') - f(t)$ . Then  $h(t') \ge h(t)$  and  $g(t') \ge g(t)$ .

The representation of f = h - g is called a Jordan decomposition. This is not unique because a nondecreasing function can be added to both h and g.

However, there is a minimal decomposition  $f = h_0 - g_0$  such that  $g_0(a) = 0$  such that for any other Jordan decomposition f = h - g we have  $h - h_0$ ,  $g - g_0$  nondecreasing. This is then *the* Jordan decomposition.

# Rectifiability of curves

# **Definition 3.7**

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space. A curve C is Ran(f) for an  $f : \mathbb{R} \to X$  continuous such that Dom(f) is nonempty and connected. This f is called a **parametrization** of C.

# **Definition 3.8**

Assuming Dom(f) = [a, b], the **length of** C is

$$\ell(C) := \sup_{\Pi = \{t_i\}_{i=0}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(f(t_{i-1}), f(t_i)).$$

# **Definition 3.9**

A curve is **rectifiable** if  $\ell(C) < \infty$ .

# **Definition 3.10**

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a metric space and  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\limsup_{z \to x} f(z) := \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{z \in B(x,\delta) \setminus \{x\}} f(z)$$

and

$$\liminf_{z \to x} f(z) := \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf_{z \in B(x,\delta) \setminus \{x\}} f(z).$$

# **Lemma 3.11**

$$\lim_{z \to x} f(z) \text{ exists in } \mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow \limsup_{z \to x} f(z) = \liminf_{z \to x} f(z) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

# 4 4.1 Friday Week 1: Discussion

# **Definition 4.1**

Let  $(X, \rho_X)$ ,  $(Y, \rho_Y)$  be metric spaces,  $E \subseteq X$ ,  $f: E \to Y$ , and  $x \in \overline{E}$ . Then  $\lim_{t \to x} f(t) = \alpha$  is defined by

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : \forall x \in E \land 0 < \rho_X(t, x) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho_Y(f(t), \alpha) < \varepsilon.$$

Equivalently,

$$\forall \{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in (E \setminus \{x\})^{\mathbb{N}} : t_n \to x \Rightarrow f(t_n) \to \alpha.$$

**Note.** f need not be defined at x.

Remark.

$$\limsup_{t\to x} f(t) := \inf_{\delta>0} \sup_{t\in B(x,\delta)\setminus\{x\}} f(t) = \lim_{\delta\to 0} \sup_{t\in B(x,\delta)\setminus\{x\}} f(t).$$

lim inf is similarly defined.

Remark.

 $\limsup = \liminf \implies \limsup$ 

# **Discontiuities**

# **Definition 4.2**

Let  $f:(a,b)\to\mathbb{R}$  be not continuous at x. Then f has a **discontinuity of first kind** at x if f(x+) and f(x-) both exist. Otherwise it is of **second kind**.

Remark. Discontinuities of first kind are also known as simple discontinuities. The cases include

- $f(x+) = f(x-) \neq f(x)$ : removable discontinuity, and
- $f(x+) \neq f(x-)$ : jump discontinuity.

Example 4.3.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) & x \neq 0\\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a discontinuity of second kind at 0.

Example 4.4.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{q} & x = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

is continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and has discontinuities of first kind (removable) at every point in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Recall:** A monotone function has no discontinuity of second kind and has at most countably many discontinuities of first kind. One can deduce this from the fact that the real line is a union of countably many open intervals (indexed by rationals).

# **Definition 4.5**

A function  $f:(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex if

$$\forall x, y \in (a, b) : x \le y \Rightarrow (\forall \lambda \in [0, 1] : f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y).$$

In words, this means that for any interval, the secant line is above the graph.

5 4.4 Monday Week 2: Existence of limit is equivalent to equality and finiteness of limsup and liminf. Derivative of a real valued function of one real variable. Differentiability implies continuity. Connection with linear approximation. Sum and product rule, chain rule and inverse function rule. First-derivative test and discussion of important counterexamples.

<u>Last time</u>:  $\lim_{z \to x} f(z)$ ,  $\lim \sup_{z \to x} f(z) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{z \in B(x,\delta) \setminus \{x\}x} f(z)$ 

### Lemma 5.1

$$\lim_{z \to x} f(z) \text{ exists (in } \mathbb{R}) \Leftrightarrow \limsup_{z \to x} f(z) = \liminf_{z \to x} f(z) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* Both are equivalent:

$$\forall \, \varepsilon > 0 \,\exists \, \delta > 0 : 0 \le \sup_{z \in B(x,\delta) \setminus \{x\}} f(z) - \inf_{z \in B(x,\delta) \setminus \{x\}} f(z) \le 2\varepsilon.$$

**Definition 5.2** 

$$\lim_{z \to x} f(z) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \limsup_{z \to x} f(z) = \liminf_{z \to x} f(z) = +\infty \\ -\infty & \limsup_{z \to x} f(z) = \liminf_{z \to x} f(z) = -\infty. \end{cases}$$

**Note.** This characterization works even outside  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions:

$$\lim_{z \to x} f(z) \text{ exists} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \sup \underbrace{\left\{ \rho(f(z), f(u)) : z, u \in B(x, \delta) \setminus \{x\} \right\}}_{= \operatorname{diam}(f(B(x, \delta) \setminus \{x\}))} = 0.$$

#### The derivative

# **Definition 5.3**

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \in \text{int}(\text{Dom}(f))$ . We say that f has **derivative** or **is differentiable at** x if

$$f'(x) := \lim_{z \to x} \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x}$$
 exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We call f'(x) (Lagrange notation) the **derivative at** x, alternative notation  $\frac{df}{dx}$  (Leibniz notation).

#### Lemma 5.4

$$f'(x)$$
 exists  $\Rightarrow f$  continuous at  $x$ .

*Proof.* The existence of f'(x) implies that  $\exists \delta_0 > 0 \ \forall z \in \mathbb{R} : 0 < |z - x| < \delta_0 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} \right| \le 1 + \left| f'(x) \right|$ . Then, choosing  $\varepsilon > 0$  and letting  $\delta := \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \left| f'(x) \right|}$ , we get

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R}: 0 < |z-x| < \delta \Rightarrow \left| f(z) - f(x) \right| \leq (1 + \left| f'(x) \right|) \left| z - x \right| < (1 + \left| f'(x) \right|) \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \left| f'(x) \right|} = \epsilon.$$

Since f(z) - f(x) = 0 for z = x, we are done (in fact, we have shown that f is lipschitz continuous).  $\Box$  Another way to write existence of f'(x):

$$f(z) - f(x) = (f'(x) + u_x(z))(z - x)$$

where  $\lim_{z\to x} u_x(z) = 0$ . (Just define:  $u_x(z) := \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} - f'(x)$  for  $z \neq x$ )

# Lemma 5.5: Linear approximation

$$f'(x)$$
 exists  $\Leftrightarrow \exists L \in \mathbb{R} : \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \sup_{|z-x| < \delta} \frac{1}{\delta} \left| f(z) - f(x) - L(z-x) \right| = 0.$ 

# Lemma 5.6: Sum & product rule

Let f, g be differentiable at x. Then so are f + g and  $f \cdot g$  and

$$(f+g)'(x) = f'(x) + g'(x)$$
  

$$(f \cdot g)'(x) = f'(x)g(x) + g'(x)f(x)$$
 (Leibniz rule).

*Proof.* For product rule, note that

$$f(z)g(z) - f(x)g(x) = (f(z) - f(x))g(z) + (g(z) - g(x))f(z).$$

Then

$$\frac{f(z)g(z)-f(x)g(x)}{z-x}=\frac{f(z)-f(x)}{z-x}g(z)+\frac{g(z)-g(x)}{z-x}f(z).$$

Since  $g(z) \to g(x)$  by continuity of g, formula follows by sum & product rule for limit.

# Lemma 5.7: Chain rule

Let f be differentiable at x and g at f(x). Then  $g \circ f$  is differentiable at x and

$$(g \circ f)'(x) = g'(f(x))f'(x) \quad \left(\frac{dg}{df}\frac{df}{dx}\right)$$

*Proof.* Define  $v_{f(x)}$  such that  $g(y) - g(f(x)) = (g'(f(x))) + v_{f(x)}(y))(y - f(x))$  and  $u_x$  such that  $f(z) - f(x) = (f'(x) + u_x(z))(z - x)$ .

$$(g \circ f)(z) - (g \circ f)(x) = [g'(f(x)) + v_{f(x)}(f(z))](f(z) - f(x))$$
$$= [g'(f(x)) + v_{f(x)}(f(z))][f'(x) + u_x(z)](z - x)$$

Dividing by  $z - x \neq 0$ , note that  $f(z) \to f(x)$  implies  $v_{f(x)}(f(z)) \to 0$  as  $z \to x$ , we are done.

#### Lemma 5.8

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be injective on Dom(f) and differentiable at  $x \in \text{int}(\text{Dom}(f))$ . Assume  $f'(x) \neq 0$  and  $f(x) \in \text{int}(\text{Ran}(f))$ . Then  $f^{-1}$  is differentiable at f(x) and

$$(f^{-1})'(f(x)) = \frac{1}{f'(x)}.$$

In Leibniz notation:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{1}{\frac{dx}{dy}}.$$

#### Lemma 5.9: First derivative test

Let  $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on [a,b] and differentiable on (a,b). Then if  $x \in (a,b)$  is a local maximum of f (i.e.  $\exists \delta > 0 \forall z \in \mathbb{R} : |z-x| < \delta \Rightarrow f(x) \ge f(z)$ ) then f'(x) = 0.

Proof.

$$z > x \land |z - x| < \delta \Rightarrow \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} \le 0 \Rightarrow f'(x) \le 0$$

and

$$z < x \land |z - x| < \delta \Rightarrow \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{z - x} \ge 0 \Rightarrow f'(x) \ge 0.$$

# 6 4.6 Wednesday Week 2: Discussion

**Recall:** For,  $x: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ , the total variation

$$V(f, [a, b]) = \sup_{\Pi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|$$

where  $\Pi = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$ . We say  $f \in BV([a, b])$  if  $V(f, [a, b]) < \infty$ .

# Theorem 6.1: Jordan decomposition

$$\forall f \in BV([a,b]) \exists h, g : [a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ nondecreasing } : f = h - g.$$

# Corollary 6.2

 $f \in BV([a,b])$  can only have discontinuities of first kind and countably many of them.

**Example 6.3.**  $f(x) = \sin x \in BV([-1,1])$  since f is nondecreasing on [-1,1] and hence V(f,[a,b]) = f(b) - f(a).

**Example 6.4.**  $f(x) = \sin x \in BV([-M, M])$  by additive property of V.

**Q.** Does BV([a,b]) imply bounded on [a,b]?

Yes. By triangle inequality,

$$\left|f(x)\right| \le \left|f(a)\right| + \left|f(a) - f(x)\right| \le \left|f(a)\right| + V(f, [a, b]) < \infty.$$

**Q.** Does being bounded on [a, b] imply BV([a, b]).

No. A counterexample is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \sin\frac{1}{x} & x \neq 0\\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

on [0, 1].

Choose  $x_n = 1/(n\pi/2)$  such that  $\sin(1/x_n) = \sin(n\pi/2)$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^{2n} |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(x_{2k+1})| = n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### Example 6.5. Is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin \frac{1}{x} & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

on [0, 1] of bounded variation?

No. Choose the same  $x_n$  as above. Note that  $f(x_n) = \frac{2}{n\pi} \sin(n\pi/2)$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \left| f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}) \right| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2}{(2k-1)\pi} \to \infty$ .

# Example 6.6. Is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x} & x \neq 0\\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

on [0, 1] of bounded variation?

Yes. Note that

$$f'(0) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{t^2 \sin \frac{1}{t} - 0}{t} = \lim_{t \to 0} t \sin \frac{1}{t} = 0.$$

Note that for  $x \neq 0$ ,

$$f'(x) = 2x \sin \frac{1}{x} + x^2 \left( -\frac{1}{x^2} \right) \cos \frac{1}{x} = 2x \sin \frac{1}{x} - \cos \frac{1}{x}$$

is bounded:  $|f'(x)| \le 2|x| + 1 \le 3$ .

Note that by mean value theorem, we have

$$\sum \left|f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})\right| \leq \sum \left|f'(\xi)\right| (x_i - x_{i-1}) \leq M(b-a) < \infty$$

where  $|f'(\xi)| \leq M$ .

Then f is of bounded variation on [0, 1].

#### Theorem 6.7

If f' exists and is bounded on [a, b] then f is of bounded variation.

- **Q.** Does the existence f' on [a,b] and f being of bounded variation on [a,b] imply f' is bounded on [a,b]?
- 4.7 Thursay Week 2: Mean-Value Theorems of Rolle, Lagrange and Cauchy. Applications: Monotone differentiable functions have derivative of one sign. Derivative of a differentiable function has no discontinuities of first kind (but those of second kind can occur densely). L'Hospital's Rule and its proof from Cauchy's MVT.

# Mean value theorems

**Last time:** f'(x) = derivative is linked to the local maxima and minima (first derivative test).

# Theorem 7.1: Mean value theorem

Let  $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on [a,b] and differentiable on (a,b). Then

- 1. (Rolle's theorem, 1691)  $f(a) = f(b) \Rightarrow \exists x \in (a, b) : f'(x) = 0$ ,
- 2. (Lagrange's mean value theorem)  $\exists x \in (a, b) : f'(x) = \frac{f(b) f(a)}{b a}$ , and
- 3. (Cauchy mean value theorem, 1823) if also  $g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on [a,b] and differentiable on (a,b), then

$$\forall x \in (a,b) : g'(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow g(a) \neq g(b) \land \exists x \in (a,b) : \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{g(b) - g(a)}.$$

Proof.

- 1.  $f(a) = f(b) \land$  continuous function on [a,b] achieves one of maximum and minimum on (a,b)  $\Rightarrow \exists x \in (a,b) : x$  is local maximum or local minimum of f. Then f'(x) = 0.
- 2. Let  $h(x) = f(x) \frac{f(b) f(a)}{b a}(x a)$ . Then h(a) = f(a),  $h(b) = f(b) \frac{f(b) f(a)}{b a}(b a) = f(a)$ . Then, by 1.,  $\exists x \in (a, b) : h'(x) = f'(x) \frac{f(b) f(a)}{b a} = 0$ .
- 3. Let  $h(x) = f(x) \frac{f(b) f(a)}{g(b) g(a)}(g(x) g(a))$ . Note that this is well defined since by 1. we have  $g(b) \neq g(x)$ . Then h(a) = f(a) = h(b) so by 1. we have  $\exists x \in (a,b) : h'(x) = f'(x) \frac{f(b) f(a)}{g(b) g(a)}g'(x) = 0$ .

**Applications** 

# Lemma 7.2

Let  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on [a,b] and differentiable on (a,b). Then

$$\forall \, x \in (a,b): f'(x) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall \, x,y \in [a,b]: x \leq y \Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(y).$$

*Proof.* The  $\Leftarrow$  direction is immediate from the definition of limit  $\left(\frac{f(y)-f(x)}{y-x} \ge 0\right)$ .

For the  $\Rightarrow$  direction, if  $\exists x \ge y : f(y) < f(x)$  then by the mean value theorem  $\exists z \in (x,y) : f'(z) = \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} < 0$ .

4.8 Friday Week 2: Taylor's theorem via Mean Value Theorem (Rolle suffices). Riemann integral: motivation, definitions of marked partition, mesh of partition and Riemann sum. Notion of a function being Riemann integrable. Linearity of integral.

# Taylor's theorem

# **Definition 8.1: Higher order derivatites**

Define  $f^{(0)} := f$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $f^{(n+1)}(x) := (f^{(n)})'(x)$  assuming the derivatives exist. We call  $f^{(n)}$  the n-th derivative of f.

# Theorem 8.2: Taylor's theorem (Taylor 1715, Gregory 1671)

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f:(a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$  an (n+1)-times differentiable function. Then

$$\forall x_0 \in (a,b) \, \forall x \in (x_0,b) \, \exists \, \xi \in (x_0,x) : f(x) = \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (x-x_0)^k}_{} + \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} (x-x_0)^{n+1}.$$

n-th order Taylor polynomial at  $x_0$ 

Proof. Based on MVT.

Denote

$$P_n(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (z - x_0)^k.$$

Pick  $x \in (x_0, b)$  and denote

$$A := \frac{f(x) - P_n(x)}{(x - x_0)^{n+1}}.$$

Set

$$h(z) := f(z) - P_n(z) - A(z - x_0)^{n+1}$$
.

Note that

$$\forall\,k\in\mathbb{N}:k\leq n\Rightarrow f^{(k)}(x_0)=0.$$

We claim that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le k \le n + 1 \Rightarrow \exists \, \xi_k \in (x_0, x) : h^{(k)}(\xi_k) = 0.$$

For k = 1, the choice of A implies h(x) = 0 so since  $h(x_0) = 0$ , by Rolle's theorem

$$\exists \, \xi_1 \in (x_0, x) : h'(\xi) = 0.$$

Assume true for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1 \le k \le n$ . Then  $h^{(k)}(x_0) = 0$  and  $h^{(k)}(\xi_k) = 0$  for  $\xi_k \in (x_0, x)$ . Then by Rolle's theorem

$$\exists \, \xi_{k+1} \in (x_0, \xi_k) : h^{(n+1)}(\xi_{k+1}) = 0.$$

Now observe that  $P_n^{(n+1)} = 0$ . Then  $0 = h^{(n+1)}(\xi_{n+1}) = f^{(n+1)}(\xi_{n+1}) - A(n+1)!$ . Then

$$f(x) - P_n(x) = A(x - x_0)^{n+1} = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi_{n+1})}{(n+1)!} (x - x_0)^{n+1}.$$

Riemann integral (Riemann 1854)

**Goal:** Given  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ , assign meaning to the area under the graph of f on [a,b]; namely to the set

$$\left\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2:x\in[a,b]\land 0\leq y\leq f(x)\right\}\quad (\text{for }f\geq 0).$$

**Idea:** Approximate *f* with a piecewise constant function and use that the area of a rectangle is "known."

#### **Definition 8.3**

Given [a, b], a **marked partition**  $\Pi$  of [a, b] is two sequences  $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^n$ ,  $\{t_i^*\}_{i=1}^n$  such that

- $a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{n-1} < t_n = b$  and
- $\forall i = 1, ..., n : t_i^* \in [t_{i-1}, t_i].$

#### **Definition 8.4**

The **mesh of**  $\Pi$  is defined by  $||\Pi|| := \max_{i=1,...,n} |t_i - t_{i-1}|$ .

# **Definition 8.5**

Given  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  and a marked partition  $\Pi$ , the associated **Riemann sum** is

$$R(f,\Pi) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(t_i^*)(t_i - t_{i-1}).$$

# **Definition 8.6**

A function  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be **Riemann integrable** (on [a,b]) if there exists  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\forall \, \varepsilon > 0 \,\exists \, \delta > 0 \,\forall \, \Pi = \text{marked partition of } [a, b] : ||\Pi|| < \delta \Rightarrow |R(f, \Pi) - L| < \varepsilon.$$

We sometimes write this as  $\lim_{|\Pi|\to 0} R(f,\Pi) = L$  (this L is unique). Notation for L is  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ .

# Lemma 8.7: Additivity and homogeneity of Reimann integral

Let f, g:  $[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be Riemann integrable on [a,b]. Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\alpha f + \beta g$  is Riemann integrable on [a,b] and

$$\int_a^b (\alpha f(x) + \beta g(x)) \ dx = \alpha \int_a^b f(x) \ dx + \beta \int_a^b g(x) \ dx.$$

*Proof.* Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , pick  $\delta > 0$  such that  $||\Pi|| < \delta$  implies

$$\left| R(f,\Pi) - \int_a^b f(x) \, dx \right| < \varepsilon \wedge \left| R(g,\Pi) - \int_a^b g(x) \, dx \right|.$$

Since  $R(\alpha f + \beta g, \Pi) = \alpha R(f, \Pi) + \beta R(g, \Pi)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left| R(\alpha f + \beta g, \Pi) - \alpha \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx - \beta \int_{a}^{b} g(x) \, dx &\leq |\alpha| \right| \\ &\leq \alpha \left| R(f, \Pi) - \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx \right| + \left| \beta \right| \left| R(g, \Pi) - \int_{a}^{b} g(x) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq (|\alpha| + |\beta|) \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

# Corollary 8.8

Let  $f, g : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on  $[0, \infty)$  and differentiable on  $(0, \infty)$ . Then

$$f(0) \le g(0) \land \forall x \in (0, \infty) : f'(x) \le g'(x) \Longrightarrow \forall x \in [0, \infty] : f(x) \le g(x).$$

**Example 8.9.**  $\forall x \ge 0 : e^x \ge 1 + x$ .

#### Lemma 8.10

Let  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous on [a,b] and differentiable on (a,b). Then f' has the intermediate value property.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality assume f' exists on  $[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}]$  such that  $\tilde{a} < a < b < \tilde{b}$ . Without loss of generality assume f'(a) < f'(b). Let  $t \in (f'(a), f'(b))$ . Let h(x) := f(x) - tx. Then

$$h'(a) < 0 \Rightarrow \exists x \in (a, b) : h(x) < h(a).$$

With the same reasoning, we have

$$h'(b) > 0 \Rightarrow \exists y \in (a, b) : h(y) < h(b).$$

Then

 $\exists z \in (a, b) \text{ local minimum} \Rightarrow h'(z) = f(z) - t = 0.$ 

# Corollary 8.11

The derivative of a differentiable function does not have discontinuities of first kind.

# Example 8.12. Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin(1/x) & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\forall x \neq 0$ :  $f'(x) = x \sin(1/x) - \cos(1/x)$ .  $\lim_{x \to 0^{\pm}} f'(x)$  does not exist.

Also note that

$$\frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = x \sin(1/x) \xrightarrow[x \to 0]{} 0$$

so f'(0) = 0.

# Theorem 8.13: L'Hopital's rule, proved by Bernoulli 1694

Let  $f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and differentiable on  $(a - \delta, a + \delta)$  where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta > 0$ . Assume

$$f(a) = 0 = g(a) \land \forall x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta) \setminus \{a\} : g(x) \neq 0 \land g'(x) \neq 0.$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} \text{ exists} \Rightarrow \lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \text{ exists} \land \lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{x \to a} \frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in (a - \delta, a + \delta) \setminus \{a\}$ . Then for x > a we have

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{f(a) = 0, g(a) = 0} = \frac{f(x) - f(a)}{g(x) - g(a)} = \frac{\exists z_x \in (a, x)}{\text{Cauchy MVT}} = \frac{f'(z_x)}{g'(z_x)}.$$

Since  $x \to a$  implies  $z_x \to a$ , existence of  $\lim_{z \to a} \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)}$  gives

$$\lim_{x \to a} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{z \to a} \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)}.$$

**Example 8.14.**  $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\sin x}{x} = \lim_{x\to 0} \frac{\cos x}{1} = 1$ .

# 9 4.11 Monday Week 3

**Last time:**  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  is Riemann integrable (RI) if

 $\exists L \in \mathbb{R} \,\forall \, \varepsilon > 0 \,\exists \, \delta > 0 \,\forall \, \Pi = \text{marked partition of } [a,b] : ||\Pi|| < \delta \Rightarrow \left| R(f,\Pi) - L \right| < \varepsilon.$ 

Notation:  $L = \int_a^b f(x) dx$ .

We proved linearity:

$$\int_a^b (\alpha f(x) + \beta f(x)) \, dx = \alpha \int_a^b f(x) \, dx + \beta \int_a^b g(x) \, dx.$$

#### Lemma 9.1

If f is RI on [a, b] then f is bounded on [a, b].

*Proof.* RI  $\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0 \,\forall \Pi = \text{marked partition}: R(f,\Pi) \leq L+1.$  Then  $\forall i=1,\ldots,n \,\forall \, \tilde{t}_i: f(\tilde{t}_i)(t_i-t_{i-1})+\sum_{j=1,\ldots,n,j\neq i} f(t_j^*)(t_j-t_{j-1}) \leq L+1,$  which means  $\sup_{\tilde{t}_i\in[t_{i-1},t_i]} f(\tilde{t}_i) < \infty$ . Then  $\sup_{x\in[a,b]} f(x) < \infty$ .

### Lemma 9.2: Additivity

Let a < c < b be reals. If f is RI on [a, c] and on [c, b], then it is RI on [a, b] and

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^c f(x) dx + \int_c^b f(x) dx.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $\delta > 0$  be such that  $\forall \Pi = \text{marked partition of } [a, c]$  and  $\forall \Pi' = \text{marked partition of } [c, b]$  such that  $||\Pi|| < \delta \wedge ||\Pi'|| < \delta$  we have

$$\left| R(f,\Pi) - \int_a^c f(x) \, dx \right| < \varepsilon \quad \wedge \quad \left| R(f,\Pi') - \int_c^b f(x) \, dx \right| < \varepsilon.$$

If  $\tilde{\Pi}$  is a marked partition of [a, b] with  $||\tilde{\Pi}|| < \delta$  containing c then

$$\left|R(f,\Pi)-\int_a^c f(x)\;dx-\int_c^b f(x)\;dx\right|<2\varepsilon.$$

Suppose  $\tilde{\Pi}$  does not contain c. Then adding c to  $\tilde{\Pi}$  changes  $R(f,\tilde{\Pi})$  by at most  $2 \cdot 3\delta \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|$ .

#### Lemma 9.3

If f is RI on [a, b] then

$$\left| \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx \right| \le (b - a) \underbrace{\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|}_{\le \infty}$$

Proof. Note that

$$|R(f,\Pi)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(t_{i}^{*})(t_{i} - t_{i-1}) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(t_{i}^{*})(t_{i} - t_{i-1})| = R(|f|,\Pi) \leq \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{i} - t_{i-1})}_{=b-a}$$

**Note.** If we knew that |f| is RI, then this gives

$$\left| \int_a^b f(x) \ dx \right| \le \int_a^b \left| f(x) \right| \ dx.$$

Q: Sufficient conditions for RI?

A: We will answer this using Darboux's version of Riemann integral.

# **Definition 9.4**

Let  $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be bounded. Given an unmarked partition  $\Pi = \{t_i\}_{i=1}^n$  of [a,b], set

$$U(f,\Pi) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sup \{f(x) : x \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]\} (t_i - t_{i-1})$$

and

$$L(f,\Pi) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \inf \left\{ f(x) : x \in [t_{i-1}, t_i] \right\} (t_i - t_{i-1})$$

to be the upper and lower Darboux sums.

**Note.**  $L(f,\Pi) \leq R(f,\Pi) \leq U(f,\Pi)$  for any marked partition  $\Pi$ .

#### Lemma 9.5

For all unmarked partitions  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi'$  of [a, b] we have

$$L(f,\Pi) \leq U(f,\Pi').$$

*Proof.* Assume first  $\Pi$  is a subset of  $\Pi'$ , meaning that all points of  $\Pi$  are included in  $\Pi'$ . We claim that  $U(f,\Pi') \leq U(f,\Pi)$  and  $L(f,\Pi') \geq L(f,\Pi)$ .

Note that if  $\Pi' = \Pi \cup \{t\}$ , let  $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$  be the interval containing t. Then

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{x \in [t_{i-1},t]} f(x), \sup_{x \in [t,t_i]} f(x) \right\} \sup_{x \in [t_{i-1},t_i]} f(x),$$

resulting in  $U(f,\Pi') \leq U(f,\Pi)$ .

Now let  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi'$  be arbitrary and  $\Pi \cup \Pi'$  be their common refinement. Then

$$L(f,\Pi) \le L(f,\Pi \cup \Pi') \le U(f,\Pi \cup \Pi') \le U(f,\Pi').$$

# **Definition 9.6**

Set

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx := \sup \{ L(f, \Pi) : \Pi = \text{partition of } [a, b] \}$$

and

$$\overline{\int_a^b} f(x) dx := \inf \{ U(f, \Pi) : \Pi = \text{partition of } [a, b] \}$$

to be the lower and upper Darboux integrals.

Note.

$$\underline{\int_a^b f(x)\ dx} \leq \overline{\int_a^b f(x)\ dx}.$$

# **Definition 9.7**

We say that a bounded f is **Darboux integrable on** [a,b] if

$$\underline{\int_a^b} f(x) \ dx = \overline{\int_a^b} f(x) \ dx.$$