

A Review of "Application of Neural Networks in Oil Refinery"

Reference paper authored by Ali Zilouchian and Khalid Bawazir

EEL5934 SUMMER 2019

Intelligent Systems

Hector Lopez

Summary of "Application of Neural Networks in Oil Refinery"

Building the Artificial Network

At the Tanura Oil Refinery the processes outputs are sent to a lab and the quality is measured. If the sample of the quality is not good enough the process needs to be "re-worked" resulting in costly expenses. The goal of applying a neural net to the process is to have the system learn the quality outcome based on the inputs. This will allow for the system to measure quality in a parallel way that can reduce the need for costly analyzers every time the outputs are sampled.

The use of soft computing methodologies; Neural Networks, Fuzzy Logic, Genetic Algorithms allow for more effective methods to help control highly nonlinear relationships. Neural Nets can be used for predictions by using mathematical coefficients that can be trained on historical data. The historical data is used to generalize the patterns that result in a desired outcome. The technique to train the neural net is called back-propagation. The data is split into training data for the model creation and test data to verify that the model is accurate enough to provide results given the known outcome. This helps to trust the model with unknown data in the real world.

Neural Nets require large data sets with a varied range to be able to accurately understand all the states it can come across during a real-world scenario. It is best that a many process variable as possible are fed into the dataset for the neural net to train on. The edge cases are best discovered by either running specific tests during plant operations to be able to capture the outcomes. The data would also need to be consistent. It is better for the data to be repeatable rather than accurate. An inaccurate sensor that measures a value consistently with an error is better than a sensor that varies its error in an unknown way. This type of noise is hard to train against since it cannot be abstracted from the true value. Systems like SCADA's or DCS's that capture sensor data and log it is more effective than handwritten logs for example. Sensors with flow or pressure can be averaged to remove noise. All the input data should be collected in a reliable manner making sure that there is no lapse in the values and that they are all in sync so that the values are all captured at the same moment that the output is measured. A 3-month steady state data set was used in the experiment. The steady state of the data was found by making sure it was at least two time constant from the beginning of signal.

Data Analysis

By coordinating a 'good' lab value result and the measured value results the ability for the model to accurately find what makes a given "lab" value becomes better. Eliminating bad values becomes a case of testing the data sets and discovering outliers. The method used in the paper was to utilize all 180 datasets except for 3 and rotate them through testing the three data sets and determining if it fell outside any repeatability tolerances found in the lab. The method identified the corrupt datasets.

Engineering knowledge is used to initially eliminate the process inputs but then the weights of the neural nets can be used to determine what other process inputs can be removed. During the backpropagation training weights are associated to each of the inputs of the neural net. These weights can be used alongside engineering understanding so they can be prioritized. Albeit a lower weight does not necessarily mean the input is not needed.

The neural net can only be scored by how well it performs against a desired outcome. The outcomes that are desired in the project is the prediction of the Reid Vapor Pressure and the Naphta 95% Cut-Point. These lab values are measured for 180 different input states. The input values are marked against the 180 samples taken. The idea would be to train a NN to provide the same lab measurement given the inputs. The results of the simulation show that there are many variables to consider when looking for its network performance.

Results

The training for the Naphta 95% cut-point consisted of 33 process variables with the sampled Naphta 95% cutpoint output. The dataset consisted of 70 for training and 15 for testing. A single hidden layer with 5 neurons was trained achieving an error of 0.01 in 10k iterations. To improve the model additional parameters were introduced and even an adaptive learning rate was added. These improvements resulting in a sum-squared error of 0.01 within only 3180 iterations. Attempts to further improve the outcome of the predictions resulting in adding hidden layers, and more neurons. Doing this resulting in memorization of the datasets and creating overfitting scenarios.

Advantage of Using Neural Network

The application of a neural net has its advantages in this situation because the project can provide consistent data from industrial control systems. The data collected is reliable even though it's not accurate. This type of data collection can be captured and then synchronized to match the same time the sampled output was taken. This creates a clean data set that can be used to train the neural net. The expert knowledge available can be used to reduce process inputs but the expert knowledge might not capture all of the highly nonlinear behaviour. The attempt to model the behaviour may result in complex computations that may not be accurate enough to compare to the lab values.

Major Drawbacks of Design

The downside to using a neural net in this application is the lack of full variance of the data. It is costly to make changes to the plant in order to test for edge-cases. The data-sets operational ranges might be normal but the nonlinear relationships may only be understood given a wider variance of the inputs. Not exposing the neural net to these edge-cases could restrict the ability of the network to capture the high dimensional patterns intrinsic to time variant behaviours. Capturing the data at synchronized time stamps helped to tie the output to known lab value results. These measurements were costly and limited the ability for larger sets of data to be captured. Only capturing 180 datasets with 33 input variables may not be enough. What ended up happening is that the neural net began to overfit the given data and was not able to generalize enough. Performing the plant tests and creating potential outages may be too costly and not justify the work compared to just installing more sensors throughout the process.

Comments and Suggestions

A possible improvement of the implementation would have been a continuous data capture could have been implemented by building a script that would synchronize all input variables given a indexed time. The script will then allow operator inputs for the lab measurement. The measurements will then be aligned automatically with the timeseries inputs captured through the DCS historian. This method would have automatically created a more robust continuous dataset that could then be used to "interpolate" gaps between the lab sampled values and the inputs that did not have a lab sample value. Different interpolation methods could have been implemented given expert engineering knowledge. The timeseries data could also be averaged, max'd, min'd, standard deviated, and added as inputs to the neural net training to capture time variant conditions such as ramp up or ramp down variability.

Another possible improvement would have been to create simulated edge-cases from engineering knowledge and feed it into a model based control system. The output of the model could be compared to the plant tests. The model could then be used to provide valid datasets and fill in the variability at the edge cases without having to incur extra plant outages and push equipment to extreme limits.