S04E01 Second quantization

Liqin Huang

1 The many-particle Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}^0 \oplus \mathcal{H}^1 \oplus \mathcal{H}^2 \oplus \mathcal{H}^3 \oplus \cdots$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \Psi &= \Psi^0 + \Psi^1 + \Psi^2 + \Psi^3 + \cdots \\ &= \Psi^0 + C_i |\psi_i\rangle + C_{ij} |\psi_i\rangle |\psi_j\rangle + C_{ijk} |\psi_i\rangle |\psi_j\rangle |\psi_k\rangle + \cdots \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_{12}C_{ij}...|\psi_{i}\rangle|\psi_{j}\rangle\cdots=C_{ij}...|\psi_{j}\rangle|\psi_{i}\rangle\cdots$$

$$=C_{ii}...|\psi_{i}\rangle|\psi_{i}\rangle\cdots$$

which means that

$$C_{ji...} = \zeta C_{ij...}$$

Therefore, we define

$$|\psi_i\psi_j\cdots\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}\sum_{\mathcal{D}}\zeta_{\mathcal{D}}|\psi_{\mathcal{D}i}\rangle|\psi_{\mathcal{D}j}\rangle\cdots$$

which is an over-complete basis of the n-particle Hilbert space

$$\begin{split} \langle \phi_i \phi_j \cdots | \psi_k \psi_l \cdots \rangle &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{\mathcal{Q}} \zeta_{\mathcal{P}} \zeta_{\mathcal{Q}} \langle \phi_{\mathcal{P}i} | \psi_{\mathcal{Q}k} \rangle \langle \phi_{\mathcal{P}j} | \psi_{\mathcal{Q}l} \rangle \cdots \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{R}} \zeta_{\mathcal{R}} \langle \phi_i | \psi_{\mathcal{R}k} \rangle \langle \phi_j | \psi_{\mathcal{R}l} \rangle \cdots \qquad \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{R} \mathcal{P} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \langle \phi_i | \psi_k \rangle & \langle \phi_i | \psi_l \rangle & \cdots \\ \langle \phi_j | \psi_k \rangle & \langle \phi_j | \psi_l \rangle & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

In particular, we have

$$\langle \psi_i \psi_i \cdots \psi_i \psi_i \psi_i \cdots \psi_i \cdots | \psi_i \psi_i \cdots \psi_i \psi_i \psi_i \cdots \psi_i \cdots \rangle = n_i! n_i! \cdots$$

A natural complete orthonormal basis of the n-particle subspace \mathcal{H}^n is the occupation number basis

$$|n_i n_j \cdots\rangle = \frac{|\psi_i \psi_i \cdots \psi_i \psi_j \psi_j \cdots \psi_j \cdots\rangle}{\sqrt{n_i! n_j! \cdots}}$$

2 Creation and annihilation operators

We define

$$a^{\dagger}(\psi)|\psi_i\psi_j\cdots\rangle\equiv|\psi\psi_i\psi_j\cdots\rangle$$

such that using

$$\langle \phi_i \phi_j \cdots | a(\psi) | \psi_k \psi_l \cdots \rangle = \langle \psi_k \psi_l \cdots | a^{\dagger}(\psi) | \phi_i \phi_j \cdots \rangle^*$$

$$= \langle \psi_k \psi_l \cdots | \psi_i \phi_j \cdots \rangle^*$$

$$= \langle \psi_i \phi_j \cdots | \psi_k \psi_l \cdots \rangle$$

one can prove that

$$a^{\dagger}(\phi) a^{\dagger}(\psi) - \zeta a^{\dagger}(\psi) a^{\dagger}(\phi) = 0$$

and its conjugate, as well as

$$a(\phi) a^{\dagger}(\psi) - \zeta a(\psi) a^{\dagger}(\phi) = \langle \phi | \psi \rangle$$

3 Second quantized operators

In the Fock space, *n*-body operators \widetilde{O}_n lifted from $O_n : \mathcal{H}^n \to \mathcal{H}^n$ take the form

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{O}}_n = \widetilde{\mathfrak{O}}_n|_{\mathcal{H}0} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{O}}_n|_{\mathcal{H}1} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{O}}_n|_{\mathcal{H}2} \oplus \widetilde{\mathfrak{O}}_n|_{\mathcal{H}3} \oplus \cdots$$

3.1 One-body operators

are familiar: their restrictions are like the total angular momentum operator of n particles, namely

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1|_{\mathcal{H}n} = \left(\mathcal{O}_1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1\right) + \left(1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1\right) + \cdots + \left(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}_1\right)$$

It is then easy to verify that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{1} = \mathcal{O}_{1i} a^{\dagger}(\psi_{i}) a(\psi_{i})$$

$$= \mathcal{O}_{1i} \langle \phi_{j} | \psi_{i} \rangle \langle \psi_{i} | \phi_{k} \rangle a^{\dagger}(\phi_{j}) a(\phi_{k})$$

$$= \langle \phi_{i} | \mathcal{O}_{1} | \phi_{k} \rangle a^{\dagger}(\phi_{i}) a(\phi_{k})$$

since the lifted one-body operator is invariant (symmetric) under permutations.

3.2 Two-body operators

Notice that we may always write

$$\mathcal{O}_2 = \sum_{I < I} C_{IJ} \left[\left(\mathcal{O}_{1I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{1J} \right) + \left(\mathcal{O}_{1J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{1I} \right) \right]$$

since the original two-body operator is (always) symmetric under permutations. For concreteness, take the three-particle Hilbert space as an example,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{2}|_{\mathcal{H}3} = C_{IJ} \left[\left(\mathcal{O}_{1I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{1J} \otimes 1 \right) + \left(\mathcal{O}_{1I} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{1J} \right) + \left(1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{1I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{1J} \right) \right] \\
+ C_{IJ} \left[\left(\mathcal{O}_{1J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{1I} \otimes 1 \right) + \left(\mathcal{O}_{1J} \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{1J} \right) + \left(1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{1J} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{1J} \right) \right]$$

where we have suppressed the $\sum_{I \leq I}$ symbol. Notice that this can be written as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2|_{\mathcal{H}3} = C_{IJ} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{1I}|_{\mathcal{H}3} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{1J}|_{\mathcal{H}3} - C_{IJ} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{1I} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{1J}|_{\mathcal{H}3}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{1I}\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_{1I}|_{\mathcal{H}_3} = \left(\mathcal{O}_{1I}\mathcal{O}_{1I}\otimes 1\otimes 1\right) + \left(1\otimes\mathcal{O}_{1I}\mathcal{O}_{1I}\otimes 1\right) + \left(1\otimes 1\otimes\mathcal{O}_{1I}\mathcal{O}_{1I}\right)$$

The two-body operator can now be written as

$$\widetilde{\mathbb{O}}_{2} = C_{IJ} \langle \phi_{i} | \mathbb{O}_{1I} | \phi_{j} \rangle \langle \phi_{k} | \mathbb{O}_{1J} | \phi_{l} \rangle a^{\dagger}(\phi_{i}) a(\phi_{j}) a^{\dagger}(\phi_{k}) a(\phi_{l})
- C_{IJ} \langle \phi_{i} | \mathbb{O}_{1I} \mathbb{O}_{1J} | \phi_{l} \rangle a^{\dagger}(\phi_{i}) a(\phi_{l})
= C_{IJ} \langle \phi_{i} | \mathbb{O}_{1I} | \phi_{j} \rangle \langle \phi_{k} | \mathbb{O}_{1J} | \phi_{l} \rangle a^{\dagger}(\phi_{i}) a(\phi_{j}) a^{\dagger}(\phi_{k}) a(\phi_{l})
- C_{IJ} \langle \phi_{i} | \mathbb{O}_{1I} | \phi_{j} \rangle \langle \phi_{k} | \mathbb{O}_{1J} | \phi_{l} \rangle a^{\dagger}(\phi_{i}) a(\phi_{l}) \delta_{jk}
= C_{IJ} \langle \phi_{i} | \mathbb{O}_{1I} | \phi_{j} \rangle \langle \phi_{k} | \mathbb{O}_{1J} | \phi_{l} \rangle a^{\dagger}(\phi_{i}) a^{\dagger}(\phi_{k}) a(\phi_{l}) a(\phi_{j})$$
 or
= $C_{IJ} \langle \phi_{k} | \mathbb{O}_{1J} | \phi_{l} \rangle \langle \phi_{i} | \mathbb{O}_{1J} | \phi_{i} \rangle a^{\dagger}(\phi_{k}) a^{\dagger}(\phi_{i}) a(\phi_{i}) a(\phi_{l})$ as well

and we finally conclude that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum \langle \phi_i | \langle \phi_k | \mathcal{O}_2 | \phi_j \rangle | \phi_l \rangle \, a^\dagger(\phi_i) \, a^\dagger(\phi_k) \, a(\phi_l) \, a(\phi_j)$$

4 The number operator

is the identity operator $1: \mathcal{H}^1 \to \mathcal{H}^1$ lifted to the Fock space: $\mathcal{N} = a^{\dagger}(\phi_i) \, a(\phi_i)$.

5 Quantum statistical mechanics

is governed by

$$\rho = Z^{-1}e^{-\beta\mathcal{H} + \beta\mu\mathcal{N}}$$

6 The Hartree-Fock approximation

The Hamiltonian is

$$H = \sum \langle \phi_i | \mathcal{O}_1 | \phi_j \rangle \, a^\dagger(\phi_i) \, a(\phi_j) + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum \langle \phi_i | \langle \phi_k | \mathcal{O}_2 | \phi_j \rangle | \phi_l \rangle \, a^\dagger(\phi_i) \, a^\dagger(\phi_k) \, a(\phi_l) \, a(\phi_j)$$

and the Hartree-Fock variational ground state is a Fermi sea:

$$|\Omega\rangle = \prod_{\text{occ.}} a^{\dagger}(\phi_i) |\text{vac.}\rangle$$

Therefore, the expectation value of the ground state energy is

$$\langle \Omega | H | \Omega \rangle = \sum_{\text{occ.}} \langle \phi_i | \mathcal{O}_1 | \phi_i \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{occ.}} \langle \phi_i | \langle \phi_k | \mathcal{O}_2 | \phi_i \rangle | \phi_k \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{occ.}} \langle \phi_i | \langle \phi_k | \mathcal{O}_2 | \phi_k \rangle | \phi_i \rangle$$

If we vary the states ϕ_i under the normalization constraint

$$\langle \phi_i | \phi_i \rangle = 1$$

we obtain the Hartree-Fock equation

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_{i}|\phi_{i}\rangle &= \sum_{\text{occ.}} \mathcal{O}_{1}|\phi_{i}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\text{occ.}} \langle \cdot|\langle\phi_{k}|\mathcal{O}_{2}|\phi_{i}\rangle|\phi_{k}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\text{occ.}} \langle\phi_{k}|\langle \cdot|\mathcal{O}_{2}|\phi_{k}\rangle|\phi_{i}\rangle \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\text{occ.}} \langle \cdot|\langle\phi_{k}|\mathcal{O}_{2}|\phi_{k}\rangle|\phi_{i}\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\text{occ.}} \langle\phi_{k}|\langle \cdot|\mathcal{O}_{2}|\phi_{i}\rangle|\phi_{k}\rangle \quad \text{simplify} \\ \varepsilon_{i}|\phi_{i}\rangle &= \sum_{\text{occ.}} \mathcal{O}_{1}|\phi_{i}\rangle + \sum_{\text{occ.}} \langle \cdot|\langle\phi_{k}|\mathcal{O}_{2}|\phi_{i}\rangle|\phi_{k}\rangle - \sum_{\text{occ.}} \langle \cdot|\langle\phi_{k}|\mathcal{O}_{2}|\phi_{k}\rangle|\phi_{i}\rangle \end{split}$$

since the two-body operator is symmetric under permutations.