

# EECS 592 Homework 3

Haoming Shen

October 17, 2017

## Problem 1: Evaluating Logical Statements

- a)  $M := (A \wedge B) \models S := (A \Leftrightarrow B)$  is **true**:
1. The assignments for which  $(A \wedge B)$  is true are:  $A = 1, B = 1$ .
  2. When  $A = 1, B = 1$ ,  $(A \Leftrightarrow B)$  is true. i.e.,  $A = 1, B = 1, S = (A \Leftrightarrow B)$  is true.
  3.  $M \models S$  is true because for all models that evaluate  $M$  to be true  $S$  is also true.
- b)  $M := (A \Leftrightarrow B) \models S := (A \vee B)$  is **false**:
1. Consider  $A = 0, B = 0$  which evaluates  $M := (A \Leftrightarrow B)$  to be true.
  2. However,  $A = 0 \vee B = 0$  is evaluated to be false.
  3. Therefore  $M \models S$  is false because there exists an assignment of  $A$  and  $B$  which makes  $M$  to be true and  $S$  is false.
- c)  $M := (A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C \models S := (A \Rightarrow C) \vee (B \Rightarrow C)$  is **true**:
1.  $S = (\neg A \vee C) \vee (\neg B \vee C) = \neg A \vee \neg B \vee C$ .
  2.  $M = \neg(A \wedge B) \vee C = (\neg A \vee \neg B) \vee C = S$ .
- d)  $M := (A \vee B) \wedge (\neg C \vee \neg D \vee E) \models S := (A \vee B) \wedge (\neg D \vee E)$  is **false**.
1. Consider  $A = 1, B = 1, C = 0, D = 1, E = 0$  which evaluates  $M$  to be true.
  2. However,  $S$  is evaluated to be false.
  3. Therefore  $M \models S$  is false because there exists an assignment of  $M$  which makes  $M$  to be true but  $S$  to be false.
- e)  $M := (C \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)) \equiv S := ((A \Rightarrow C) \wedge (B \Rightarrow C))$  is **true**.
1.  $S \equiv (\neg A \vee C) \wedge (\neg B \vee C)$ .
  2.  $M \equiv (C \vee \neg A) \wedge (C \vee \neg B)$ .
  3. Therefore  $M \equiv S$ .
- f) The statement,  $\alpha \models \beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$  is valid, is **true**.
1. Denote  $M(\alpha) = \{x \mid \alpha(x) = 1\}$  to be the set of all assignment  $x$  such that  $\alpha$  is true;  $M(\beta)$  is similarly defined.
  2. If  $\alpha \models \beta$ , then  $M(\alpha) \subseteq M(\beta)$ . Consider an arbitrary assignment  $x_0$ . If  $x_0 \in M(\alpha)$  then  $x_0 \in M(\beta)$ , i.e. if  $\alpha(x_0) = 1, \beta(x_0) = 1, \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$  is true,  $\forall x_0 \in M(\alpha)$ . If  $x_0 \notin M(\alpha)$ , then  $\alpha(x_0) = 0, \forall x_0 \notin M(\alpha)$ , i.e.  $\alpha(x_0) \Rightarrow \beta(x_0)$  is true,  $\forall x_0 \notin M(\alpha)$ . Therefore  $\alpha(x_0) \Rightarrow \beta(x_0), \forall x_0$ .
  3. If  $\alpha(x_0) \Rightarrow \beta(x_0), \forall x_0$  then  $\alpha(x_0) = 1, \beta(x_0) = 1, \forall x_0 \in M(\alpha)$ . This implies that  $x_0 \in M(\beta)$ . Therefore  $M(\alpha) \subseteq M(\beta)$ , i.e.  $\alpha \models \beta$ .
- g) The statement,  $\alpha \equiv \beta \Leftrightarrow (\neg(\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta))$  is unsatisfiable) is **true**.
1.  $\alpha \equiv \beta \Leftrightarrow (\alpha \models \beta) \wedge (\beta \models \alpha)$ . By the conclusion above,  $\alpha \equiv \beta \Leftrightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta \text{ is valid}) \wedge (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ is valid})$ .
  2.  $\alpha \equiv \beta \Leftrightarrow (\alpha(x) \Leftrightarrow \beta(x), \forall x)$ . Thus  $\alpha \equiv \beta \Leftrightarrow (\neg(\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta))$  is unsatisfiable).
- h)  $(\neg B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow (\neg A \Rightarrow B)$  is **true**.
1.  $(\neg B \Rightarrow A) = \neg(\neg B) \vee A = B \vee A$ ;  $\neg A \Rightarrow B = A \vee B$ .
  2. Since for all models that make  $(\neg B \Rightarrow A)$  true,  $(\neg A \Rightarrow B)$  is also true, the above statement is

true.

i) The claim that  $(A \wedge B) \wedge \neg(A \Rightarrow B)$  is satisfiable is **false**.

$$1. \neg(A \Rightarrow B) = \neg(\neg A \vee B) = A \wedge \neg B$$

$$2. (A \wedge B) \wedge \neg(A \Rightarrow B) = (A \wedge B) \wedge (A \wedge \neg B) = A \wedge B \wedge A \wedge \neg B = A \wedge B \wedge \neg B. \text{ This is unsatisfiable.}$$

j) The claim that  $(A \Leftrightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \vee B)$  is unsatisfiable is **false**.

$$1. (A \Leftrightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \vee B) = (A \Leftrightarrow B) \wedge (A \Rightarrow B). \text{ This is valid for all models.}$$

## Conjunctive Normal Form and Resolution

### A. Convert the following sentences into CNF

a)

$$D \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow E$$

$$\neg(D \wedge \neg B) \vee E$$

$$\neg D \vee B \vee E$$

b)

$$A \wedge C \wedge D \Rightarrow F$$

$$\neg(A \wedge C \wedge D) \vee F$$

$$\neg A \vee \neg C \vee \neg D \vee F$$

c)

$$A \wedge (D \vee F) \Rightarrow C$$

$$\neg(A \wedge (D \vee F)) \vee C$$

$$(\neg A \vee \neg(D \vee F)) \vee C$$

$$(\neg A \vee (\neg D \wedge \neg F)) \vee C$$

$$\neg A \vee C \vee (\neg D \wedge \neg F)$$

$$(\neg A \vee C \vee \neg D) \wedge (\neg A \vee C \vee \neg F)$$

d)

$$F \Leftrightarrow \neg B$$

$$(F \Rightarrow \neg B) \wedge (\neg B \Rightarrow F)$$

$$(\neg F \vee \neg B) \wedge (\neg(\neg B) \vee F)$$

$$(\neg F \vee \neg B) \wedge (B \vee F)$$

### B. Prove that $E$ is true using resolution.

$$1. \frac{(\neg A \vee C \vee \neg D) \wedge A \wedge D}{C}. \text{ } C \text{ is added to KB.}$$

$$2. \frac{(\neg A \vee \neg C \vee \neg D \vee \neg F) \wedge A \wedge D \wedge C}{F}. \text{ } F \text{ is added to KB.}$$

$$3. \frac{(\neg F \vee \neg B) \wedge F}{\neg B}. \text{ } \neg B \text{ is added to KB.}$$

$$4. \frac{(\neg D \vee \neg B \vee E) \wedge \neg B \wedge D}{E}. \text{ } E \text{ is true.}$$

## First-Order Logic Quantifiers

### Translate sentences into FOL:

- a)  $\forall y, \text{Crust}(y) \wedge \text{GoesWith}(\text{Peperoni}, y).$
- b)  $\exists x, \text{Topping}(x) \wedge \text{GoesWith}(x, \text{Stuffed}).$
- c)  $\forall y \exists x \text{ Topping}(x) \wedge \text{Crust}(y) \wedge \text{GoesWith}(x, y).$

### Translate FOL into English:

- d) Ann does not like crust mushrooms no matter what topping comes with it.
- e) Everyone likes thin pizza with some topping.
- f) There are some people love thick pizza no matter what topping comes with it.

## First-Order Logic Semantics

- a)  $\text{WorthMore}(\text{quarter}, \text{penny}) \wedge \text{WorthMore}(\text{quarter}, \text{Nickel}) \wedge \text{WorthMore}(\text{quarter}, \text{dime}).$
- b)  $\text{WorthMore}(\text{quarter}, \text{penny}) \wedge \text{WorthMore}(\text{quarter}, \text{nickel}) \wedge \text{WorthMore}(\text{quarter}, \text{dime}) \wedge \text{penny} \neq \text{nickel} \wedge \text{nickel} \neq \text{dime} \wedge \text{penny} \neq \text{dime} \wedge \forall x \text{ UScoins}(x) \wedge x \neq \text{quarter} \wedge x \neq \text{penny} \wedge x \neq \text{nickel} \wedge x \neq \text{dime} \wedge \text{WorthMore}(x, \text{quarter}).$

## Unification

- a)  $x = A, y = B, z = B.$
- b) Fail. To unify this two expressions,  $x, y$  need to satisfy:
  - $y = G(x, x)$  and  $y = G(A, B).$  Since there does not exist  $x$  such that  $G(x, x) = G(A, B)$  therefore no  $x, y$  can satisfy the above condition.
- c)  $x = A, y = A.$
- d)  $x = A, y = A, z = G(B).$

## Resolution Refutation

- 1) All wolves howl.
- 2) Anyone who has cats as pets will not have mice.
- 3) Anyone who is a light sleeper can't live near anything that howls.
- 4) Frank either has cats or lives near wolves.
- 5) If Frank is a light sleeper, Frank has mice.

### Write all the sentences above in FOL

- 1)  $\forall w \text{ Wolf}(w) \Rightarrow \text{Howl}(w).$
- 2)  $\forall x (\exists y \text{ Cat}(y) \wedge \text{Have}(x, y) \Rightarrow \neg (\exists z \text{ Mouse}(z) \wedge \text{Have}(x, z))).$
- 3)  $\forall x \text{ LS}(x) \Rightarrow \neg (\exists y \text{ Howl}(y) \wedge \text{Near}(x, y)).$
- 4)  $\exists x \text{ Cat}(x) \wedge \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, x) \vee \exists w \text{ Wolf}(w) \wedge \text{Near}(\text{Frank}, w).$
- 5)  $\text{LS}(\text{Frank}) \Rightarrow \exists m \text{ Mouse}(m) \wedge \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, m).$

## Convert all the FOL to CNF

- 1)  $\sim \text{Wolf}(w) \vee \text{Howl}(w)$ .
- 2)  $\sim \text{Cat}(y) \vee \sim \text{Have}(x, y) \vee \sim \text{Mouse}(z) \vee \sim \text{Have}(x, z)$ .
- 3)  $\sim \text{LS}(x) \vee \sim \text{Howl}(y) \vee \sim \text{Near}(x, y)$ .
- 4)  $(\text{Cat}(X_1) \vee \text{Wolf}(W_0)) \wedge (\text{Cat}(X_1) \vee \text{Near}(\text{Frank}, W_0)) \wedge (\text{Have}(\text{Frank}, X_1) \vee \text{Wolf}(W_0)) \wedge (\text{Have}(\text{Frank}, X_1) \vee \text{Near}(\text{Frank}, W_0))$ .
- 5)  $(\sim \text{LS}(\text{Frank}) \vee \text{Mouse}(M)) \wedge (\sim \text{LS}(\text{Frank}) \vee \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, M))$ .

## Prove “Frank is not a light sleeper” using Resolution Refutation.

- 1) Convert “Frank is not a light sleeper” to CNF:  $\sim \text{LS}(\text{Frank})$ .
- 2) Add  $\text{LS}(\text{Frank})$  to KB and try to find controversy.
- 3) 
$$\frac{(\sim \text{LS}(F) \vee \text{Mouse}(M)) \wedge \text{LS}(\text{Frank})}{\text{Mouse}(M)}$$
. Add  $\text{Mouse}(M)$  to KB. 
$$\frac{(\sim \text{LS}(F) \vee \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, M)) \wedge \text{LS}(\text{Frank})}{\text{Have}(\text{Frank}, M)}$$
.  
Add  $\text{Have}(\text{Frank}, M)$  to KB.
- 4) 
$$\frac{(\sim \text{Cat}(y) \vee \sim \text{Have}(x, y) \vee \sim \text{Mouse}(z) \vee \sim \text{Have}(x, z)) \wedge \text{Mouse}(M) \wedge \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, M)}{\sim \text{Cat}(y) \vee \sim \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, y)}$$
. Add  $\sim \text{Cat}(y) \vee \sim \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, y)$  to KB.
- 5) 
$$\frac{(\text{Cat}(X_1) \vee \text{Wolf}(W_0)) \wedge (\sim \text{Cat}(y) \vee \sim \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, y))}{\text{Wolf}(W_0) \vee \sim \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, X_1)}$$
. Add  $\text{Wolf}(W_0) \vee \sim \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, X_1)$  to KB.
- 6) 
$$\frac{(\text{Have}(\text{Frank}, X_1) \vee \text{Near}(\text{Frank}, W_0)) \wedge (\text{Wolf}(W_0) \vee \sim \text{Have}(\text{Frank}, X_1))}{\text{Near}(\text{Frank}, W_0) \vee \text{Wolf}(W_0)}$$
. Add  $\text{Near}(\text{Frank}, W_0) \vee \text{Wolf}(W_0)$  to KB.
- 7) 
$$\frac{(\sim \text{Wolf}(w) \vee \text{Howl}(w)) \wedge (\text{Near}(\text{Frank}, W_0) \vee \text{Wolf}(W_0))}{\text{Near}(\text{Frank}, W_0) \vee \text{Howl}(w)}$$
. Add  $\text{Near}(\text{Frank}, W_0) \vee \text{Howl}(w)$  to KB.
- 8) 
$$\frac{(\sim \text{LS}(x) \vee \sim \text{Howl}(y) \vee \sim \text{Near}(x, y)) \wedge (\text{Near}(\text{Frank}, W_0) \vee \text{Howl}(W_0))}{\sim \text{LS}(\text{Frank})}$$
.  $\sim \text{LS}(\text{Frank})$  contradict with our assumption  $\text{LS}(\text{Frank})$ . Therefore Frank is not a light sleeper.