

EECS 592 Homework 3

Haoming Shen

October 17, 2017

Problem 1: Evaluating Logical Statements

- a) $M := (A \wedge B) \models S := (A \Leftrightarrow B)$ is **true**:
1. The assignments for which $(A \wedge B)$ is true are: $A = 1, B = 1$.
 2. When $A = 1, B = 1$, $(A \Leftrightarrow B)$ is true. i.e., $A = 1, B = 1, S = (A \Leftrightarrow B)$ is true.
 3. $M \models S$ is true because for all models that evaluate M to be true S is also true.
- b) $M := (A \Leftrightarrow B) \models S := (A \vee B)$ is **false**:
1. Consider $A = 0, B = 0$ which evaluates $M := (A \Leftrightarrow B)$ to be true.
 2. However, $A = 0 \vee B = 0$ is evaluated to be false.
 3. Therefore $M \models S$ is false because there exists an assignment of A and B which makes M to be true and S is false.
- c) $M := (A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C \models S := (A \Rightarrow C) \vee (B \Rightarrow C)$ is **true**:
1. $S = (\neg A \vee C) \vee (\neg B \vee C) = \neg A \vee \neg B \vee C$.
 2. $M = \neg(A \wedge B) \vee C = (\neg A \vee \neg B) \vee C = S$.
- d) $M := (A \vee B) \wedge (\neg C \vee \neg D \vee E) \models S := (A \vee B) \wedge (\neg D \vee E)$ is **false**.
1. Consider $A = 1, B = 1, C = 0, D = 1, E = 0$ which evaluates M to be true.
 2. However, S is evaluated to be false.
 3. Therefore $M \models S$ is false because there exists an assignment of M which makes M to be true but S to be false.
- e) $M := (C \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)) \equiv S := ((A \Rightarrow C) \wedge (B \Rightarrow C))$ is **true**.
1. $S \equiv (\neg A \vee C) \wedge (\neg B \vee C)$.
 2. $M \equiv (C \vee \neg A) \wedge (C \vee \neg B)$.
 3. Therefore $M \equiv S$.
- f) The statement, $\alpha \models \beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is valid, is **true**.
1. Denote $M(\alpha) = \{x \mid \alpha(x) = 1\}$ to be the set of all assignment x such that α is true; $M(\beta)$ is similarly defined.
 2. If $\alpha \models \beta$, then $M(\alpha) \subseteq M(\beta)$. Consider an arbitrary assignment x_0 . If $x_0 \in M(\alpha)$ then $x_0 \in M(\beta)$, i.e. if $\alpha(x_0) = 1, \beta(x_0) = 1, \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is true, $\forall x_0 \in M(\alpha)$. If $x_0 \notin M(\alpha)$, then $\alpha(x_0) = 0, \forall x_0 \notin M(\alpha)$, i.e. $\alpha(x_0) \Rightarrow \beta(x_0)$ is true, $\forall x_0 \notin M(\alpha)$. Therefore $\alpha(x_0) \Rightarrow \beta(x_0), \forall x_0$.
 3. If $\alpha(x_0) \Rightarrow \beta(x_0), \forall x_0$ then $\alpha(x_0) = 1, \beta(x_0) = 1, \forall x_0 \in M(\alpha)$. This implies that $x_0 \in M(\beta)$. Therefore $M(\alpha) \subseteq M(\beta)$, i.e. $\alpha \models \beta$.
- g) The statement, $\alpha \equiv \beta \Leftrightarrow (\neg(\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta))$ is unsatisfiable) is **true**.
1. $\alpha \equiv \beta \Leftrightarrow (\alpha \models \beta) \wedge (\beta \models \alpha)$. By the conclusion above, $\alpha \equiv \beta \Leftrightarrow (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta \text{ is valid}) \wedge (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha \text{ is valid})$.
 2. $\alpha \equiv \beta \Leftrightarrow (\alpha(x) \Leftrightarrow \beta(x), \forall x)$. Thus $\alpha \equiv \beta \Leftrightarrow (\neg(\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta))$ is unsatisfiable).
- h) $(\neg B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow (\neg A \Rightarrow B)$ is **true**.
1. $(\neg B \Rightarrow A) = \neg(\neg B) \vee A = B \vee A; \neg A \Rightarrow B = A \vee B$.
 2. Since for all models that make $(\neg B \Rightarrow A)$ true, $(\neg A \Rightarrow B)$ is also true, the above statement is true.
- i) The claim that $(A \wedge B) \wedge \neg(A \Rightarrow B)$ is satisfiable is **false**.
1. $\neg(A \Rightarrow B) = \neg(\neg A \vee B) = A \wedge \neg B$
 2. $(A \wedge B) \wedge \neg(A \Rightarrow B) = (A \wedge B) \wedge (A \wedge \neg B) = A \wedge B \wedge A \wedge \neg B = A \wedge B \wedge \neg B$. This is unsatisfiable.
- j) The claim that $(A \Leftrightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \vee B)$ is unsatisfiable is **false**.
1. $(A \Leftrightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \vee B) = (A \Leftrightarrow B) \wedge (A \Rightarrow B)$. This is valid for all models.

Conjunctive Normal Form and Resolution

A. Convert the following sentences into CNF

a)

$$\begin{aligned} D \wedge \neg B &\Rightarrow E \\ \neg(D \wedge \neg B) \vee E \\ \neg D \vee B \vee E \end{aligned}$$

b)

$$\begin{aligned} A \wedge C \wedge D &\Rightarrow F \\ \neg(A \wedge C \wedge D) \vee F \\ \neg A \vee \neg C \vee \neg D \vee F \end{aligned}$$

c)

$$\begin{aligned} A \wedge (D \vee F) &\Rightarrow C \\ \neg(A \wedge (D \vee F)) \vee C \\ (\neg A \vee \neg(D \vee F)) \vee C \\ (\neg A \vee (\neg D \wedge \neg F)) \vee C \\ \neg A \vee C \vee (\neg D \wedge \neg F) \\ (\neg A \vee C \vee \neg D) \wedge (\neg A \vee C \vee \neg F) \end{aligned}$$

d)

$$\begin{aligned} F &\Leftrightarrow \neg B \\ (F \Rightarrow \neg B) \wedge (\neg B \Rightarrow F) \\ (\neg F \vee \neg B) \wedge (\neg(\neg B) \vee F) \\ (\neg F \vee \neg B) \wedge (B \vee F) \end{aligned}$$

B. Prove that E is true using resolution.

1. $\frac{(\neg A \vee C \vee \neg D) \wedge A \wedge D}{C}$. C is added to KB.
2. $\frac{(\neg A \vee \neg C \vee \neg D \vee \neg F) \wedge A \wedge D \wedge C}{F}$. F is added to KB.
3. $\frac{(\neg F \vee \neg B) \wedge F}{\neg B}$. $\neg B$ is added to KB.
4. $\frac{(\neg D \vee \neg B \vee E) \wedge \neg B \wedge D}{E}$. E is true.

First-Order Logic Quantifiers

Translate sentences into FOL:

- a) $\forall y, \text{Crust}(y) \wedge \text{GoesWith}(\text{Peperoni}, y)$.
- b) $\exists x, \text{Topping}(x) \wedge \text{GoesWith}(x, \text{Stuffed})$.
- c) $\forall y \exists x \text{Topping}(x) \wedge \text{Crust}(y) \wedge \text{GoesWith}(x, y)$.

Translate FOL into English:

- d) Ann does not like curst mushrooms no matter what topping comes with it.
- e) Everyone likes thin pizza with some topping.
- f) There are some people love thick pizza no matter what topping comes with it.

First-Order Logic Semantics

- a) $WorthMore(quarter, penny) \wedge WorthMore(quarter, Nickel) \wedge WorthMore(quarter, dime)$.
- b) $WorthMore(quarter, penny) \wedge WorthMore(quarter, nickel) \wedge WorthMore(quarter, dime) \wedge penny \neq nickel \wedge nickel \neq dime \wedge penny \neq dime \wedge \forall x UCoins(x) \wedge x \neq quarter \wedge x \neq penny \wedge x \neq nickel \wedge x \neq dime \wedge WorthMore(x, quarter)$.

Unification

- a) $x = A, y = B, z = B$.
- b) Fail. To unify this two expressions, x, y need to satisfy:
 - $y = G(x, x)$ and $y = G(A, B)$. Since there does not exist x such that $G(x, x) = G(A, B)$ therefore no x, y can satisfy the above condition.
- c) $x = A, y = A$.
- d) $x = A, y = A, z = G(B)$.

Resolution Refutation

- 1) All wolves howl.
- 2) Anyone who has cats as pets will not have mice.
- 3) Anyone who is a light sleeper can't live near anything that howls.
- 4) Frank either has cats or lives near wolves.
- 5) If Frank is a light sleeper, Frank has mice.

Write all the sentences above in FOL

- 1) $\forall w Wolf(w) \Rightarrow Howl(w)$.
- 2) $\forall x (\exists y Cat(y) \wedge Have(x, y) \Rightarrow \sim (\exists z Mouse(z) \wedge Have(x, z)))$.
- 3) $\forall x LS(x) \Rightarrow \sim (\exists y Howl(y) \wedge Near(x, y))$.
- 4) $\exists x Cat(x) \wedge Have(Frank, x) \vee \exists w Wolf(w) \wedge Near(Frank, w)$.
- 5) $LS(Frank) \Rightarrow \exists m Mouse(m) \wedge Have(Frank, m)$.

Convert all the FOL to CNF

- 1) $\sim Wolf(w) \vee Howl(w)$.
- 2) $\sim Cat(y) \vee \sim Have(x, y) \vee \sim Mouse(z) \vee \sim Have(x, z)$.
- 3) $\sim LS(x) \vee \sim Howl(y) \vee \sim Near(x, y)$.
- 4) $(Cat(X_1) \vee Wolf(W_0)) \wedge (Cat(X_1) \vee Near(Frank, W_0)) \wedge (Have(Frank, X_1) \vee Wolf(W_0)) \wedge (Have(Frank, X_1) \vee Near(Frank, W_0))$.
- 5) $(\sim LS(Frank) \vee Mouse(M)) \wedge (\sim LS(Frank) \vee Have(Frank, M))$.

Prove “Frank is not a light sleeper” using Resolution Refutation.

- 1) Convert “Frank is not a light sleeper” to CNF: $\sim LS(Frank)$.
- 2) Add $LS(Frank)$ to KB and try to find controversy.
- 3) $\frac{(\sim LS(F) \vee Mouse(M)) \wedge LS(Frank)}{Mouse(M)}$. Add $Mouse(M)$ to KB. $\frac{(\sim LS(F) \vee Have(Frank, M)) \wedge LS(Frank)}{Have(Frank, M)}$.
Add $Have(Frank, M)$ to KB.
- 4) $\frac{(\sim Cat(y) \vee \sim Have(x, y) \vee \sim Mouse(z) \vee \sim Have(x, z)) \wedge Mouse(M) \wedge Have(Frank, M)}{\sim Cat(y) \vee \sim Have(Frank, y)}$. Add $\sim Cat(y) \vee \sim Have(Frank, y)$ to KB.
- 5) $\frac{(Cat(X_1) \vee Wolf(W_0)) \wedge (\sim Cat(y) \vee \sim Have(Frank, y))}{Wolf(W_0) \vee \sim Have(Frank, X_1)}$. Add $Wolf(W_0) \vee \sim Have(Frank, X_1)$ to KB.
- 6) $\frac{(Have(Frank, X_1) \vee Near(Frank, W_0)) \wedge (Wolf(W_0) \vee \sim Have(Frank, X_1))}{Near(Frank, W_0) \vee Wolf(W_0)}$. Add $Near(Frank, W_0) \vee Wolf(W_0)$ to KB.
- 7) $\frac{(\sim Wolf(w) \vee Howl(w)) \wedge (Near(Frank, W_0) \vee Wolf(W_0))}{Near(Frank, W_0) \vee Howl(w)}$. Add $Near(Frank, W_0) \vee Howl(w)$ to KB.
- 8) $\frac{(\sim LS(x) \vee \sim Howl(y) \vee \sim Near(x, y)) \wedge (Near(Frank, W_0) \vee Howl(W_0))}{\sim LS(Frank)}$. $\sim LS(Frank)$ contradict with our assumption $LS(Frank)$. Therefore Frank is not a light sleeper.