PROBLEM SET 1

1. Mathematical Formulation

- Decision Variables
 - Space allocated for 'Cardio': xC
 - Space allocated for 'Strength': xS
 - Space allocated for 'Group Fitness': xG
 - Space allocated for 'Pool': xP
 - Space allocated for 'Café': xF
 - Space allocated for 'Waiting Area': xW
 - Space allocated for 'Locker Room': xL
- Objective Function
 - Maximize 58xC+68xS+52xG+47xP+85.5xF
- Constraints
 - Total Space Available: xC+xS+xG+xP+xF+xW+xL <= 25000
 - Minimum Space Required for Group Fitness: xG >= 800
 - Minimum Space Required for Pool: xP >= 1800
 - Minimum Combined Space Required for Cardio & Strength: (xC+xS) 0.6(xC+xS+xG+xP+xF+xW+xL) >= 0
 - Maximum Space Available for Cardio: xC 0.55(xC+xS) <= 0
 - Maximum Space Available for Strength: Xs 0.55(xC+xS) <= 0
 - Maximum Space Available for Café: xF 0.05(xC+xS+xG+xP+xF+ xW+xL) <= 0
 - Maximum Space Available for Waiting Area: xW 0.15xG <= 0
 - Maximum Space Available for Locker Room: xL 0.20xP = 0
 - Non-Negativity: xC, xS, xG, xP, xF, xW, xL ≥ 0
- 2. Linear programming model was solved using excel.
 - The total optimal value of annual revenue generated is \$1545620
 - Optimal Space Allocated to each facility type:

Facility Type	Optimal Space Allocated (sq. ft.)
Cardio	9301.5
Strength	11368.5
Group Fitness	800
Pool	1800
Café	1250
Waiting Area	120
Locker Room	360

Annual revenue generated by each facility type:

Facility Type	Annual Revenue Generated (in \$)
Cardio	539487
Strength	773058
Group Fitness	41600
Pool	84600
Café	106875

3. Additional Revenue calculation without re-solving LP (Using Shadow Price from Sensitivity Report)

Constraints

		Final	Shadow	Constraint	Allowable	Allowable
Cell	Name	Value	Price	R.H. Side	Increase	Decrease
\$I\$11	Total space LHS	25000	64.6	25000	1E+30	16200
\$I\$12	Group Fitness minimum LHS	800	-21.025	800	4930.434783	800
\$I\$13	Pool minimum LHS	1800	-29.2	1800	4725	1800
\$I\$14	Combined space for Cardio/Strength LHS	5670	0	0	5670	1E+30
\$I\$15	Cardio Max LHS	-2067	0	0	1E+30	2067
\$1\$16	Strength Max LHS	0	10	0	9301.5	2067
\$1\$17	Café Max LHS	2.30926E-13	22	0	5670	1250
\$1\$18	Waiting Area LHS	0	-63.5	0	5670	120
\$1\$19	Locker Room LHS	0	-63.5	0	5670	360

The shadow price of 64.6 shows that for every additional square foot of space, the total annual revenue increases by \$64.6 (sensitivity report snip attached above)

Additional Space = (30000-25000) sq. ft. = 5000 sq. ft.

Additional Revenue= Shadow Price * Additional Space = 64.6*5000 = 323000

New Total Revenue=Original Revenue + Additional Revenue = 1545620+323000 = 1868620

With the increased space, Summit Fitness can expect an additional \$323000 in annual revenue, bringing the new estimated total revenue to \$1868620.

4. Group Fitness Annual Revenue Analysis Variable Cells

		Final	Reduced	Objective	Allowable	Allowable
Cell	Name	Value	Cost	Coefficient	Increase	Decrease
\$B\$6	Cardio	9301.5	0	58	10	40.62801932
\$C\$6	Strength	11368.5	0	68	40	10
\$D\$6	Group Fitness	800	0	52	21.025	1E+30
\$E\$6	Pool	1800	0	47	29.2	1E+30
\$F\$6	Café	1250	0	85.5	1E+30	22
\$G\$6	Waiting Area	120	0	0	63.5	1E+30
\$H\$6	Locker Room	360	0	0	146	1E+30

The sensitivity analysis report *(snip attached above)* shows that the allowable increase for the objective coefficient of Group Fitness is 21.025. This means the optimal space allocation remains unchanged until the profit per square foot reaches 73.025 (52 + 21.025).

Additional Revenue Required=(New Profit per Sq. Ft. – Old Profit per Sq. Ft.) × Current Group Fitness Space =(73.025–52)*800 =16820

Summit Fitness should increase Group Fitness revenue per square foot from \$52 to at least \$73.025 before expanding its space. This means the total revenue from Group Fitness must rise by about \$16820 per year for a space change to be beneficial.

5. Pool Size Requirement Analysis

Constraints

		Final	Shadow	Constraint	Allowable	Allowable
Cell	Name	Value	Price	R.H. Side	Increase	Decrease
\$I\$11	Total space LHS	25000	64.6	25000	1E+30	16200
\$I\$12	Group Fitness minimum LHS	800	-21.025	800	4930.434783	800
\$I\$13	Pool minimum LHS	1800	-29.2	1800	4725	1800
\$I\$14	Combined space for Cardio/Strength LHS	5670	0	0	5670	1E+30
\$I\$15	Cardio Max LHS	-2067	0	0	1E+30	2067
\$I\$16	Strength Max LHS	0	10	0	9301.5	2067
\$I\$17	Café Max LHS	0	22	0	5670	1250
\$I\$18	Waiting Area LHS	0	-63.5	0	5670	120
\$1\$19	Locker Room LHS	0	-63.5	0	5670	360

From the sensitivity report, the shadow price for the Pool Minimum with Locker Room constraint is -29.2, which means that reducing the pool size requirement by 1 sq. ft. increases total profit by \$29.2.

Total reduction in required pool space = 1800 -1500 sq. ft. = 300 sq. ft.

Profit Increase = Shadow Price * Reduction in Pool Requirement

$$=(-29.2)\times(-300) = 8760$$

Hence, if Summit Fitness reduces the minimum pool size requirement from 1,800 sq. ft. to 1,500 sq. ft., the optimal annual profit will increase by \$8760.

6. Mathematical Formulation

- Decision Variables
 - Amount spent on 'Social Media' (in \$): xSM
 - Amount spent on 'TV'(in \$): xTV
 - Amount spent on 'Email'(in \$): xE
 - Amount spent on 'Print'(in \$): xP
- Objective Function
 - Maximize 10xSM+6xTV+8xE+5xP
- Constraints
 - Total Budget Availability: xSM+xTV+xE+xP <= 100000
 - Minimum Budget Constraint for TV: xTV 0.2(xSM+xTV+xE+xP) >= 0
 - Maximum Budget Availability for Print: xP − 0.3(xSM+xTV+xE+xP) <= 0
 - Minimum Budget Constraint for Email: xE 0.5(xSM) >= 0
 - Maximum Total Reach Constraint for Social Media: 10xSM 0.5(10xSM+6xTV+8xE+5xP) <= 0
 - Maximum Total Reach Constraint for TV: 6xTV 0.5(10xSM+6xTV+8xE+5xP) <= 0
 - Maximum Total Reach Constraint for Email: 8xE 0.5(10xSM+6xTV+8xE+5xP) <= 0
 - Maximum Total Reach Constraint for Print: 5xP 0.5(10xSM+6xTV+8xE+5xP) <= 0
 - Minimum Spending Constraint for Social Media: xSM >= 15000
 - Minimum Spending Constraint for TC: xTV >= 15000
 - Minimum Spending Constraint for Email: xE >= 15000
 - Minimum Spending Constraint for Print: xP >= 15000
 - Non-Negativity: xSM, xTV, xE, xP \geq 0
- 7. Linear programming model was solved using R.
 - o The total optimal value of reach is \$794444.44
 - Amount spent on each channel in optimal solution (in \$) is shown in table below:

Channel	Amount Spent (in \$)
Social Media	39722.22
TV	20000

Email	25277.78
Print	15000

8. Solution for addition \$10000 on Total Reach

From the *lp1.solution\$duals* in R-Console Output (*snip attached above*), we can see that the shadow price for total budget constraint is \$8.444444 (~8.4), which means that for every additional \$1 added to the budget, the total reach increases by 8.4444.

```
For extra 10000$ budget, the additional reach = shadow price * additional budget = 8.4444 * 10000 = 84444.44
```

Therefore, an extra \$10,000 would increase reach by 84444.44.