# HETEROGENOUS AGENT MODELS

**QUANTITATIVE ECONOMICS 2024** 

Piotr Żoch

January 22, 2025

#### MOTIVATION

- We introduce a class of models with heterogeneous agents.
- We focus on models with household heterogeneity and incomplete markets: Aiyagari-Bewley-Hugget-Imrohoroglu models.
  - Infinitely lived households face idiosyncratic shocks.
  - Markets are incomplete households cannot trade all assets they would like to trade.
- We will find general equilibrium of these models.

### **AGENTS**

- In all these models there is a continuum of agents/households indexed by  $i \in [0,1]$ .
- Agents are infinitely lived, have a standard period utility function u(c), where c is consumption, discount future at  $\beta \in (0,1)$ .
- Labor endowment (productivity) is stochastic and follows a stationary Markov process (same process for all *i*), with a finite set of states Z and transition matrix *P*.
- Agents consume and trade a single asset a that pays (net) return r. Wage per unit of labor is
   w.
- Agents face a borrowing constraint,  $a \ge -\phi$ .

### **AGENTS**

- State variables: beginning of period assets a and labor endowment z.
- Recursive formulation of a problem of an agent with assets a and labor endowment z is

$$V(a,z) = \max_{c,a'} \left\{ u(c) + \beta \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{Z}} P(z,z') V(a',z') \right\}$$
  
subject to  $c + a' = zw + (1+r)a$ ,  
 $a' \ge -\phi$ .

- The solution consists of the value function V(a,z) and the policy functions a'(a,z),c(a,z).
- Note: everything (e.g. V) depends on (r, w), we supress it in notation.

### DISTRIBUTION

- Suppose that there a finite grid of asset levels  $(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  and define the unconditional distribution  $\lambda_t(a, z) := \mathbb{P}(a_t = a, z_t = z)$ .
- Given the policy function a'(a,z) and P we have

$$\lambda_{t+1}(a',z') = \sum_{a,z} \lambda_t(a,z) \cdot P(z,z') \cdot \Im(a' = a'(a,z)),$$

where I is the indicator function.

- What if assets are not restricted to a grid? Similar logic, but a more messy formula (+ measure theory).
- We will need to discretize the state space and action space anyway.

## **AGGREGATION**

- Given a distribution  $\lambda_t$  and policy functions a'(a,z) and c(a,z) we can calculate aggregate variables.
- We have asset demand and consumption

$$A'_{t} = \int a'(a,z) \cdot d\lambda_{t}(a,z),$$

$$C_{t} = \int c(a,z) \cdot d\lambda_{t}(a,z).$$

I switched notation again, for a finite grid we have  $A'_t = \sum_{a,z} a'(a,z) \cdot \lambda_t(a,z)$  and  $C_t = \sum_{a,z} c(a,z) \cdot \lambda_t(a,z)$ .

### THE REST OF THE ECONOMY

- How are r and w determined?
- What/who supplies goods?
- What/who supplies assets?
- We will start with the Hugget model:
  - Endowment economy: w exogenous, wz is the amount of goods received by an agent.
  - Nothing/nobody else in the economy. Supply of assets is zero.
- Market clearning conditions:
  - Goods market:  $C_t = w \int z_i di$ .
  - Asset market:  $A'_t = 0$ .

### **HUGGET MODEL**

- Assets are loans from/to agents.
- Asset market clears if the total amount of loans (negative *a*) is equal to the total amount of savings (positive *a*).
- We will be looking for a stationary equilibrium: the distribution of agents and prices (here only *r*) are constant over time.
- This was already anticipated by how we wrote the Bellman equation no time subscripts anywhere.

### RCE IN HUGGET MODEL

# Definition (Stationary recursive competitive equilibrium (RCE))

A stationary recursive competitive equilibrium is a rate of return r, a value function V(a,z), policy functions a'(a,z) and c(a,z), and a distribution  $\lambda(a,z)$  such that

- 1. Given r, the value function V(a,z) satisfies the Bellman equation, and associated policy functions a'(a,z), c(a,z), solve the agent's maximization problem;
- 2. The probability distribution  $\lambda(a,z)$  is the stationary distribution of the Markov process  $(a_t,z_t)$  induced by P and a'(a,z);
- 3. Markets clears:

$$\int a'(a,z) \cdot d\lambda(a,z) = 0, \qquad \int c(a,z) \cdot d\lambda(a,z) = w \int z d\lambda(a,z).$$

#### COMMENTS

- We now need to find *r* such that aggregate asset demand resulting from optimal decisions of agents is zero.
- Alternatively, we can find r such that the goods market clears.
- Recall: the Walras law says that if there are N markets and N 1 clear, the N-th market also clears.

### COMMENTS

- The usual procedure is:
  - 1. Guess *r*.
  - 2. Solve the Bellman equation for V(a,z) and a'(a,z).
  - 3. Find the stationary distribution  $\lambda(a,z)$ .
  - 4. Calculate aggregate asset demand A'.
  - 5. If A' > 0, decrease r, if A' < 0, increase r.
- This combines several things:
  - solving the Bellman equation
  - finding the stationary distribution
  - (new) finding the equilibrium price *r*.

### COMPUTATION

- When we solve the model on a computer we discretize the state space and have a finite grid of points for assets:  $(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  and for productivity  $(z_1, \ldots, z_M)$ .
- We usually want to allow maximizers of the RHS of the Bellman equation to not necessarily belong to the grid.
- We cannot use the formula

$$\lambda(a',z') = \sum_{a,z} \lambda(a,z) \cdot P(z,z') \cdot \Im(a' = a'(a,z)),$$

because a'(a, z) might not belong to the grid.

• How to find the stationary distribution  $\lambda(a,z)$  in this case?

### COMPUTATION

- When we solve the model on a computer we discretize the state space and have a finite grid of points for assets:  $(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$  and for productivity  $(z_1, \ldots, z_M)$ .
- We usually want to allow maximizers of the RHS of the Bellman equation to not necessarily belong to the grid.
- We cannot use the formula

$$\lambda(a',z') = \sum_{a,z} \lambda(a,z) \cdot P(z,z') \cdot \Im(a' = a'(a,z)),$$

because a'(a, z) might not belong to the grid.

• How to find the stationary distribution  $\lambda(a,z)$  in this case?

# YOUNG (2010)

Let

$$q(a,z,a_n) = \Im(a'(a,z) \in [a_{n-1},a_n]) \frac{a'(a,z) - a_{n-1}}{a_n - a_{n-1}} + \Im(a'(a,z) \in [a_n,a_{n+1}]) \frac{a_{n+1} - a'(a,z)}{a_{n+1} - a_n}$$

be the distribution of agents with assets  $a_n$  and  $a_{n+1}$ .

Then

$$\lambda(a',z') = \sum_{a,z} \lambda(a,z) \cdot P(z,z') \cdot q(a,z,a').$$

# YOUNG (2010)

- This the same as saying that agent with assets a and productivity z will choose  $a_n$  with probability  $q(a, z, a_n, )$ .
- Given there is a continuum of agents, this is the same as saying that the fraction  $q(a, z, a_n, )$  of agents with assets a and productivity z will choose  $a_n$ .
- This approach (due to Young (2010)) is useful because it makes aggregates unbiased.

# AGGREGATION AND EQUILIBRIUM

- Once we have the distribution  $\lambda(a,z)$  we can calculate aggregates.
- For example:

$$A' = \sum_{\alpha, z} \alpha'(\alpha, z) \cdot \lambda(\alpha, z).$$

- We can repeat the same procedure for various r to find the equilibrium r, such that A' = 0.
- Better: write a function that takes r as an input and returns A' as an output. Then use a root finding algorithm to find the equilibrium r.

### **DISCUSSION**

- Suppose we want to use a bracketing method to find the equilibrium r.
- What are the appropriate bounds? Probably r < -1 does not make sense (nobody would save). The upper bound is more tricky.
- We can show that  $r < \beta^{-1} 1$  in equilibrium for the Hugget model (just see what happens if  $r > \beta^{-1} 1$ ).

### DISCUSSION

- Here you need to solve the Bellman equation for each r: possibly many times.
- This can be costly try to optimize the code.
  - Use HPI or OPI.
  - Use EGM.
  - Get the transition matrix for (a, z) and use it to find the stationary distribution (do not simulate anything!)
  - Check out Simon Mongey's slides general notation but similar models.

- In Aiyagari model there is a representative firm that hires labor and rents capital from households.
- The firm has a constant returns to scale production function F(K, L), where K is capital and L is labor.
- The firm is competitive, so it takes *r* and *w* as given.
- The firm's problem is

$$\max_{K,L} F(K,L) - (r+\delta) K - wL.$$

- Assets accumulated by households are capital and loans to other agents.
- Labor market clearing:  $L = \int z \cdot d\lambda(a, z)$ .
- Asset market clearing:  $K = \int a \cdot d\lambda(a, z)$ .
- Goods market clearing:  $F(K, L) = C + \delta K$ .

Notice that here we have

$$r = F_K(K, L) - \delta$$
,  $w = F_L(K, L)$ .

Because of the constant returns to scale

$$r = F_K\left(\frac{K}{L}, 1\right) - \delta, \quad w = F_L\left(\frac{K}{L}, 1\right).$$

- We can solve for K/L as a function of r. This also allows us to solve for w as a function of r.
- We know L (it is exogenous) so we have K(r)

- This suggests a following strategy:
  - Guess r. Repeat all the steps from the Hugget model to find A'.
  - Calculate K.
  - Check if A' = K. If yes, we found the equilibrium r. If not, adjust (how?) r and repeat.
- We can simply find the root of A'(r) K(r) = 0.

- In many applications we are interested in the effects of some government policies.
- Example: how does an increase in taxation affect the wealth distribution?
- Example: how does an increase in government debt crowd out capital accumulation?
- We will now consider a simple extension of the Aiyagari model with government.

The intertemporal budget constraint of the government is

$$B_{t+1} = (1+r)B_t + T_t - G_t.$$

where  $B_t$  is the government debt,  $T_t$  is tax revenue net of transfers and  $G_t$  is government purchases of goods.

• The government collects taxes on labor and capital income. Linear tax system with rates  $\tau^{w}$ ,  $\tau^{r}$ . Tax revenue net of transfers is

$$T = \int \tau^{W} w z_{i} di + \int \tau^{r} r a_{i} - d.$$

In a stationary equilibrium the government budget constraint becomes

$$rB = T - G$$
.

- Asset market clearing:  $K + B = \int a \cdot d\lambda(a, z)$ .
- Goods market clearing:  $F(K, L) = C + \delta K + G$ .
- Key difference: assets available in the economy are K + B, not just K.

- We also need to modify the household problem.
- Recursive formulation of a problem of an agent with assets a and labor endowment z is

$$V(a,z) = \max_{c,a'} \left\{ u(c) + \beta \sum_{z' \in \mathbb{Z}} P(z,z') V(a',z') \right\}$$
  
subject to  $c + a' = (1 - \tau^{w}) zw + (1 + (1 - \tau^{r}) r) a + d,$   
$$a' \ge -\phi.$$

Note: dependence on government policies, we supress it in notation.