Contents

1	new experiments: chemReg with cAdam 1.1 new experiments: chemReg with cAdam sorted by val MAE	2
2	MNIST Bachelorthesis new result tables 2.1 accuracy	3
3	MNIST Adam variant comparison 3.1 revised, longer experiments, 1 run 3.2 training time	5
4	MNIST with % differences 4.1 training time	7 7 9
5	ChemRegB with % differences 5.1 training time	12

1 new experiments: chemReg with cAdam

1.1 new experiments:chemReg with cAdam sorted by val MAE

parameter name		best values						
'final val loss mae avg' (avg)	0.1116	0.11208	0.11416	0.11493	0.11534			
test loss mae avg	0.11389	0.11298	0.11493	0.11445	0.11539			
test loss avg	0.012971	0.012764	0.0065903	0.0065354	0.0066426			
training time avg	44.379	566.85	577.92	80.447	44.17			
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(30, 30, 10)	(40, 20)			
activation functions	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid			
last activation function	linear	linear	linear	linear	linear			
loss function	MSE	MSE	log cosh	log cosh	log cosh			
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1			
number of epochs	500	500	500	500	500			
batch size	1000	100	100	1000	1000			
optimizer	Adam	cAdam	cAdam	cAdam	Adam			
learning rate	0.01	0.001	0.001	0.01	0.01			
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}			

 $\textbf{Table 1.1:} \ \ \text{best settings regarding} \ \ \textit{final val loss mae avg} \ \ \text{for the chemReg cAdam dataset}$

parameter name	parameter values		rameter name parameter values		win	ratios in %	avg.	differences	best value
neurons per layer	(40, 20)	(50, 10) (30, 30, 10)	29.2	50.0 20.8	0.005	0.003 0.007	(50, 10)		
activation functions	ReLU	sigmoid	50.0	50.0	0.003	0.006	ReLU		
loss function	MSE	log cosh	31.9	68.1	0.004	0.002	log cosh		
batch size	100	1000 10000	52.1	45.8 2.1	0.005	0.005 0.032	unclear		
optimizer	Adam	cAdam	41.7	58.3	0.005	0.002	cAdam		
learning rate	0.01	0.001	63.9	36.1	0.004	0.015	0.01		

Table 1.2: parameter influence regarding final val loss mae avg for the chemReg cAdam dataset

parameter name	worst values						
'final val loss mae avg' (avg)	0.19109	0.19588	0.20277	0.21157	0.22639		
test loss mae avg	0.18477	0.19365	0.19938	0.20834	0.22996		
test loss avg	0.034141	0.018634	0.019747	0.043405	0.052883		
training time avg	17.242	17.453	17.19	17.624	16.914		
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(40, 20)	(30, 30, 10)	(40, 20)		
activation functions	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid		
last activation function	linear	linear	linear	linear	linear		
loss function	MSE	log cosh	log cosh	MSE	MSE		
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1		
number of epochs	500	500	500	500	500		
batch size	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000		
optimizer	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam		
learning rate	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001		
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}		

Table 1.3: worst settings regarding final val loss mae avg for the chemReg cAdam dataset

2 MNIST Bachelorthesis new result tables

2.1 accuracy

parameter name	best values						
final val accuracy avg	0.97	0.9691	0.96905	0.96885	0.9688		
test accuracy avg	0.97006	0.96906	0.9681	0.97048	0.97084		
training time avg	46.666	43.602	86.105	86.874	23.122		
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)		
activation functions	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU		
last activation function	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	softmax	softmax		
loss function	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross		
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1		
number of epochs	50	25	50	50	25		
batch size	100	100	100	100	100		

0	ptimizer	Adam	cAdam	cAdam	cAdam	Adam
le	earning rate	0.001	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
ε		10^{-7}	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

 Table 2.1: best settings regarding final val accuracy avg for the MNIST BSc dataset

parameter name	worst values							
final val accuracy avg	0.085333	0.0852	0.085183	0.084467	0.0833			
test accuracy avg	0.0845	0.0821	0.08686	0.08748	0.08516			
training time avg	1.4319	6.3722	3.1055	3.1968	1.3563			
neurons per layer	(32,)	(20, 15, 10)	(32,)	(32,)	(50, 10)			
activation functions	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	sigmoid	ReLU			
last activation function	softmax	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid			
loss function	MSE	MSE	MSE	cat-cross	MSE			
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1			
number of epochs	5	5	25	25	5			
batch size	1000	100	10000	10000	10000			
optimizer	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam	cAdam			
learning rate	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001			
ε	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			

Table 2.2: worst settings regarding final val accuracy avg for the MNIST BSc dataset

parameter name	parameter values		win	win ratios in % avg.		differences	best value	
neurons per layer	(32,)	50, 10)	(20, 15, 10)	63.8	31.4 4.9	0.003	0.117 0.1	7 (32,)
activation functions	ReLU	1	sigmoid	73.1	26.9	0.062	0.114	ReLU
last activation function	softmax		sigmoid	62.3	37.7	0.007	0.069	softmax
loss function	cat-cros	s	MSE	86.7	13.3	0.001	0.221	cat-cross
number of epochs	5	25	50	6.8	19.2 74.0	0.159	0.047 0.00	6 50
batch size	100	1000	10000	74.5	19.4 6.0	0.023	0.131 0.28	3 100
optimizer	Adam		cAdam	34.6	65.4	0.031	0.01	cAdam
learning rate	0.1	0.01	0.001	46.9	35.1 18.1	0.175	0.096 0.20	2 unclear
ε	1.0	1	10^{-7}	16.2	83.8	0.437	0.058	10^{-7}

Table 2.3: parameter influence regarding final val accuracy avg for the MNIST BSc dataset

3 MNIST Adam variant comparison

- 3.1 revised, longer experiments, 1 run
- 3.2 training time

parameter name	best values							
training time avg	55.489	62.251	92.568	96.35				
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)				
activation functions	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU				
last activation function	sigmoid	softmax	sigmoid	softmax				
loss function	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross				
training data percentage	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0				
number of epochs	250	250	250	250				
batch size	100	100	100	100				
optimizer	Adam	Adam	my Adam	my Adam				
learning rate	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001				
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}				

Table 3.1: best settings regarding *training time avg* for the cadam variants dataset

parameter name	worst values							
training time avg	96.35	104.57	110.17	113.87	115.73			
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)			
activation functions	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU			
last activation function	softmax	softmax	sigmoid	softmax	sigmoid			
loss function	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross			
training data percentage	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			
number of epochs	250	250	250	250	250			
batch size	100	100	100	100	100			

- (

optimizer	my Adam	c adam hat	c adam hat	cAdam	cAdam
learning rate	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}

Table 3.2: worst settings regarding *training time avg* for the cadam variants dataset

parameter name	parameter values			win ratios in %	avg. differences	in % best value
last activation function	softmax	sigmoid	50.0	50.0	4.068 1.74	7 sigmoid
optimizer	Adam my Adam cAdam	$cAdam_h at \mid 100.0 \mid 0$	0 0 0	60.799 95.747 83.259	Adam	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

 Table 3.3: parameter influence regarding training time avg for the cadam variants dataset

3.3 accuracy

parameter name			best values		
test accuracy avg	0.1943	0.1941	0.19394	0.1939	0.1938
final val accuracy avg	0.19403	0.19362	0.19413	0.19363	0.1939
final val accuracy std	0.38807	0.38723	0.38827	0.38727	0.3878
final val accuracy min	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
final val accuracy max	0.97017	0.96808	0.97067	0.96817	0.9695
training time avg	96.35	113.87	92.568	55.489	115.73
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)
activation functions	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU
last activation function	softmax	softmax	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid
loss function	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross
training data percentage	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
number of epochs	250	250	250	250	250
batch size	100	100	100	100	100
optimizer	my Adam	cAdam	my Adam	Adam	cAdam
learning rate	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}

Table 3.4: best settings regarding test accuracy avg for the cadam variants dataset

	`

parameter name			worst valu	ies	
test accuracy avg	0.1939	0.1938	0.19372	0.13374	0.13306
final val accuracy avg	0.19363	0.1939	0.1939	0.13457	0.13483
final val accuracy std	0.38727	0.3878	0.3878	0.26913	0.26967
final val accuracy min	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
final val accuracy max	0.96817	0.9695	0.9695	0.67283	0.67417
training time avg	55.489	115.73	62.251	110.17	104.57
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)
activation functions	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU
last activation function	sigmoid	sigmoid	softmax	sigmoid	softmax
loss function	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross
training data percentage	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
number of epochs	250	250	250	250	250
batch size	100	100	100	100	100
optimizer	Adam	cAdam	Adam	c adam hat	c adam hat
learning rate	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}

Table 3.5: worst settings regarding test accuracy avg for the cadam variants dataset

parameter name	parameter	win	ratios in %	avg. differ	best value		
last activation function	softmax	sigmoid	50.0	50.0	0.15	0.085	sigmoid
optimizer	Adam my Adam cAdam	$cAdam_h at \mid 0 \mid 100.0$	0 0 0.16	0 0.088 31.279	my Adam		

Table 3.6: parameter influence regarding test accuracy avg for the cadam variants dataset

4 MNIST with % differences

4.1 training time

parameter name	best values						
training time avg	1.0347	1.0368	1.0398	1.0412	1.0446		
neurons per layer	(32,)	(32,)	(32,)	(32,)	(32,)		

activation functions	sigmoid	sigmoid	ReLU	ReLU	sigmoid	
last activation function	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	
loss function	MSE	MSE	MSE	MSE	MSE	
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1	
number of epochs	5	5	5	5	5	
batch size	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	
optimizer	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam	
learning rate	0.001	0.01	0.001	0.1	0.1	
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	1.0	1.0	

Table 4.1: best settings regarding training time avg for the MNIST revised dataset

parameter name			worst values		
training time avg	106.16	106.61	106.64	106.92	106.93
neurons per layer	(20, 15, 10)	(20, 15, 10)	(20, 15, 10)	(20, 15, 10)	(20, 15, 10)
activation functions	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid
last activation function	softmax	softmax	softmax	softmax	softmax
loss function	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1
number of epochs	50	50	50	50	50
batch size	100	100	100	100	100
optimizer	cAdam	cAdam	cAdam	cAdam	cAdam
learning rate	0.001	0.01	0.1	0.01	0.1
ε	1.0	1.0	1.0	10^{-7}	10^{-7}

 Table 4.2: worst settings regarding training time avg for the MNIST revised dataset

parameter name	para	meter values	win ı	ratios in %	avg. d	lifferences in %	best value
neurons per layer	(32,) (50	0, 10) (20, 15, 10)	98.6	1.2 0.2	0.036	12.017 22.725	(32,)
activation functions	ReLU	sigmoid	49.9	50.1	0.716	0.602	sigmoid
last activation function	softmax	sigmoid	24.8	75.2	1.296	0.506	sigmoid
loss function	cat-cross	MSE	52.6	47.4	1.241	4.097	cat-cross
number of epochs	5	25 50	100.0	0 0	0	264.684 596.88	5

c		-
	٠	

batch size	100	1000	10000	0	0 100.0	779.878	64.515 0	10000
optimizer	Adam	į	cAdam	100.0	0	0	39.945	Adam
learning rate	0.1	0.01	0.001	34.7	30.9 34.4	0.914	1.126 1.094	0.1
ε	1.0	!	10^{-7}	54.9	45.1	0.666	0.686	1.0

Table 4.3: parameter influence regarding training time avg for the MNIST revised dataset

4.2 accuracy

parameter name	best values							
final val accuracy avg	0.97	0.9691	0.96905	0.96885	0.9688			
test accuracy avg	0.97006	0.96906	0.9681	0.97048	0.97084			
training time avg	46.666	43.602	86.105	86.874	23.122			
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)			
activation functions	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU			
last activation function	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	softmax	softmax			
loss function	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross	cat-cross			
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1			
number of epochs	50	25	50	50	25			
batch size	100	100	100	100	100			
optimizer	Adam	cAdam	cAdam	cAdam	Adam			
learning rate	0.001	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1			
ε	10^{-7}	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0			

Table 4.4: best settings regarding final val accuracy avg for the MNIST revised dataset

parameter name		worst values						
final val accuracy avg	0.085333	0.0852	0.085183	0.084467	0.0833			
test accuracy avg	0.0845	0.0821	0.08686	0.08748	0.08516			
training time avg	1.4319	6.3722	3.1055	3.1968	1.3563			
neurons per layer	(32,)	(20, 15, 10)	(32,)	(32,)	(50, 10)			
activation functions	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	sigmoid	ReLU			
last activation function	softmax	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid			

loss function	MSE	MSE	MSE	cat-cross	MSE
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1
number of epochs	5	5	25	25	5
batch size	1000	100	10000	10000	10000
optimizer	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam	cAdam
learning rate	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
ε	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

Table 4.5: worst settings regarding final val accuracy avg for the MNIST revised dataset

parameter name	parameter values			ameter name paran		win	ratios in %	avg. d	ifferences in %	best value
neurons per layer	(32,) (50, 10) (20, 15, 10)		63.8	31.4 4.9	1.091	20.613 27.61	4 (32,)			
activation functions	ReLl	J	sigmoid	73.1	26.9	8.66	20.247	ReLU		
last activation function	softm	ax	sigmoid	62.3	37.7	2.423	10.791	softmax		
loss function	cat-cross MSE		86.7	13.3	0.401	33.215	cat-cross			
number of epochs	5	25	50	6.8	19.2 74.0	25.91	9.915 1.916	5 50		
batch size	100	1000	10000	74.5	19.4 6.0	4.087	21.393 38.93	7 100		
optimizer	Adar	n	cAdam	34.6	65.4	7.61	2.37	cAdam		
learning rate	0.1	0.01	0.001	46.9	35.1 18.1	20.242	16.717 30.16	1 unclear		
ε	1.0	i i	10^{-7}	16.2	83.8	52.679	7.421	10^{-7}		

Table 4.6: parameter influence regarding final val accuracy avg for the MNIST revised dataset

5 ChemRegB with % differences

5.1 training time

parameter name	best values							
training time avg	16.508	16.508						
neurons per layer	(40, 20)	(40, 20)	(40, 20)	(40, 20)	(40, 20)			
activation functions	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	ReLU	sigmoid			
last activation function	linear	linear	linear	linear	linear			
loss function	MSE	MSE	log cosh	log cosh	MSE			

number of epochs batch size	500 10000	500 10000	500 10000	500 10000	500 10000
optimizer	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam
learning rate	0.001	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.001
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}

Table 5.1: best settings regarding *training time avg* for the chemReg Adam dataset

parameter name		worst values							
training time avg	678.56	691.95	692.12	696.0	697.49				
neurons per layer	(30, 30, 10)	(30, 30, 10)	(30, 30, 10)	(30, 30, 10)	(30, 30, 10)				
activation functions	sigmoid	ReLU	ReLU	sigmoid	sigmoid				
last activation function	linear	linear	linear	linear	linear				
loss function	MSE	log cosh	log cosh	log cosh	log cosh				
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1				
number of epochs	500	500	500	500	500				
batch size	100	100	100	100	100				
optimizer	cAdam	cAdam	cAdam	cAdam	cAdam				
learning rate	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.001	0.01				
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}				

 Table 5.2: worst settings regarding training time avg for the chemReg Adam dataset

parameter name	parameter values		ter name parameter values win ratios in %		avg. di	best value	
neurons per layer	(40, 20)	(50, 10) (30, 30, 10)	87.5	12.5 0	0.151	1.948 11.851	(40, 20)
activation functions	ReLU	sigmoid	51.4	48.6	0.63	0.614	unclear
loss function	MSE	log cosh	87.5	12.5	0.126	2.565	MSE
batch size	100	1000 10000	0	0 100.0	2448.099	214.332 0	10000
optimizer	Adam	cAdam	100.0	0	0	47.609	Adam
learning rate	0.01	0.001	43.1	56.9	0.527	0.577	unclear

Table 5.3: parameter influence regarding training time avg for the chemReg Adam dataset

parameter name	best values							
'final val loss mae avg' (avg)	0.1116	0.11208	0.11416	0.11493	0.11534			
test loss mae avg	0.11389	0.11298	0.11493	0.11445	0.11539			
test loss avg	0.012971	0.012764	0.0065903	0.0065354	0.0066426			
training time avg	44.379	566.85	577.92	80.447	44.17			
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(30, 30, 10)	(40, 20)			
activation functions	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid			
last activation function	linear	linear	linear	linear	linear			
loss function	MSE	MSE	log cosh	log cosh	log cosh			
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1			
number of epochs	500	500	500	500	500			
batch size	1000	100	100	1000	1000			
optimizer	Adam	cAdam	cAdam	cAdam	Adam			
learning rate	0.01	0.001	0.001	0.01	0.01			
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}			

Table 5.4: best settings regarding final val loss mae avg for the chemReg Adam dataset

parameter name			worst valu	ies	
'final val loss mae avg' (avg)	0.19109	0.19588	0.20277	0.21157	0.22639
test loss mae avg	0.18477	0.19365	0.19938	0.20834	0.22996
test loss avg	0.034141	0.018634	0.019747	0.043405	0.052883
training time avg	17.242	17.453	17.19	17.624	16.914
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(40, 20)	(30, 30, 10)	(40, 20)
activation functions	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid
last activation function	linear	linear	linear	linear	linear
loss function	MSE	log cosh	log cosh	MSE	MSE
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1
number of epochs	500	500	500	500	500
batch size	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000
optimizer	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam

learning rate	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	

Table 5.5: worst settings regarding final val loss mae avg for the chemReg Adam dataset

parameter name	parameter values		win ratios in %		lifferences in %	best value
neurons per layer	(40, 20)	(50, 10) (30, 30, 10)	29.2 50.0 20.8	3.395	2.134 5.689	(50, 10)
activation functions	ReLU	sigmoid	50.0 50.0	2.743	4.037	ReLU
loss function	MSE	log cosh	31.9 68.1	2.961	1.155	log cosh
batch size	100	1000 10000	52.1 45.8 2.1	4.485	4.275 26.929	unclear
optimizer	Adam	cAdam	41.7 58.3	3.458	1.781	cAdam
learning rate	0.01	0.001	63.9 36.1	3.241	11.151	0.01

Table 5.6: parameter influence regarding final val loss mae avg for the chemReg Adam dataset

5.3 test loss

parameter name	best values					
'test loss avg' (avg)	0.11298	0.11379	0.11389	0.11409	0.11445	
test loss mae avg	0.11298	0.11379	0.11389	0.11409	0.11445	
final val loss avg	0.012562	0.013544	0.012455	0.0066663	0.0065895	
training time avg	566.85	43.583	44.379	576.57	80.447	
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(40, 20)	(50, 10)	(40, 20)	(30, 30, 10)	
activation functions	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	
last activation function	linear	linear	linear	linear	linear	
loss function	MSE	MSE	MSE	log cosh	log cosh	
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1	
number of epochs	500	500	500	500	500	
batch size	100	1000	1000	100	1000	
optimizer	cAdam	Adam	Adam	cAdam	cAdam	
learning rate	0.001	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.01	
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	

Table 5.7: best settings regarding test loss avg for the chemReg Adam dataset

parameter name	worst values				
'test loss avg' (avg)	0.18477	0.19365	0.19938	0.20834	0.22996
test loss mae avg	0.18477	0.19365	0.19938	0.20834	0.22996
final val loss avg	0.036515	0.019063	0.020418	0.044762	0.051253
training time avg	17.242	17.453	17.19	17.624	16.914
neurons per layer	(50, 10)	(50, 10)	(40, 20)	(30, 30, 10)	(40, 20)
activation functions	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid	sigmoid
last activation function	linear	linear	linear	linear	linear
loss function	MSE	log cosh	log cosh	MSE	MSE
training data percentage	1	1	1	1	1
number of epochs	500	500	500	500	500
batch size	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000
optimizer	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam	Adam
learning rate	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
ε	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}

Table 5.8: worst settings regarding test loss avg for the chemReg Adam dataset

parameter name	parameter values		win ratios in %	avg. differences in %	best value
neurons per layer	(40, 20)	(50, 10) (30, 30, 10)	27.1 50.0 22.9	3.242 1.677 5.055	(50, 10)
activation functions	ReLU	sigmoid	55.6 44.4	2.313 4.219	ReLU
loss function	MSE	log cosh	37.5 62.5	2.93 1.147	log cosh
batch size	100	1000 10000	50.0 47.9 2.1	4.201 4.151 25.616	unclear
optimizer	Adam	cAdam	45.8 54.2	3.551 1.499	cAdam
learning rate	0.01	0.001	65.3 34.7	3.06 10.756	0.01

Table 5.9: parameter influence regarding test loss avg for the chemReg Adam dataset