

# Predicates and Quantifiers

Section 1.4

# Section Summary

- Predicates
- Variables
- Quantifiers
  - Universal Quantifier
  - Existential Quantifier
- Negating Quantifiers
  - De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers
- Translating English to Logic

# Propositional Logic Not Enough

- If we have:
  - “All men are mortal.”
  - “Socrates is a man.”
- Does it follow that “Socrates is mortal?”
- Can’t be represented in propositional logic. Need a language that talks about objects, their properties, and their relations.
- Later we’ll see how to draw inferences.

# Introducing Predicate Logic

- Predicate logic uses the following new features:
  - Variables:  $x, y, z$
  - Predicates:  $P(x), M(x)$
  - Quantifiers (*to be covered in a few slides*):
- *Propositional functions* are a generalization of propositions.
  - They contain variables and a predicate, e.g.,  $P(x)$
  - Variables can be replaced by elements from their *domain*.

# Propositional Functions

- Propositional functions become propositions (and have truth values) when their variables are each replaced by a value from the *domain* (or *bound* by a quantifier, as we will see later).
- The statement  $P(x)$  is said to be the value of the propositional function  $P$  at  $x$ .
- For example, let  $P(x)$  denote “ $x > 0$ ” and the domain be the integers. Then:
  - $P(-3)$  is false.
  - $P(0)$  is false.
  - $P(3)$  is true.
- Often the domain is denoted by  $U$ . So in this example  $U$  is the integers.

# Examples of Propositional Functions

- Let “ $x + y = z$ ” be denoted by  $R(x, y, z)$  and  $U$  (for all three variables) be the integers. Find these truth values:

$R(2, -1, 5)$

**Solution: F**

$R(3, 4, 7)$

**Solution: T**

$R(x, 3, z)$

**Solution: Not a Proposition**

- Now let “ $x - y = z$ ” be denoted by  $Q(x, y, z)$ , with  $U$  as the integers. Find these truth values:

$Q(2, -1, 3)$

**Solution: T**

$Q(3, 4, 7)$

**Solution: F**

$Q(x, 3, z)$

**Solution: Not a Proposition**

# Compound Expressions

- Connectives from propositional logic carry over to predicate logic.
- If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x > 0$ ,” find these truth values:
  - $P(3) \vee P(-1)$     **Solution:** T
  - $P(3) \wedge P(-1)$     **Solution:** F
  - $P(3) \rightarrow P(-1)$     **Solution:** F
  - $P(-1) \rightarrow P(3)$     **Solution:** T
- Expressions with variables are not propositions and therefore do not have truth values. For example,
  - $P(3) \wedge P(y)$
  - $P(x) \rightarrow P(y)$
- When used with quantifiers (to be introduced next), these expressions (propositional functions) become propositions.



Charles Peirce (1839-1914)

# Quantifiers

- We need *quantifiers* to express the meaning of English words including *all* and *some*:
  - “All men are Mortal.”
  - “Some cats do not have fur.”
- The two most important quantifiers are:
  - *Universal Quantifier*, “For all,” symbol:  $\forall$
  - *Existential Quantifier*, “There exists,” symbol:  $\exists$
- We write as in  $\forall x P(x)$  and  $\exists x P(x)$ .
- $\forall x P(x)$  asserts  $P(x)$  is true for every  $x$  in the *domain*.
- $\exists x P(x)$  asserts  $P(x)$  is true for some  $x$  in the *domain*.
- The quantifiers are said to bind the variable  $x$  in these expressions.



# Universal Quantifier

- $\forall x P(x)$  is read as “For all  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ ” or “For every  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ ”

## Examples:

- 1) If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x > 0$ ” and  $U$  is the integers, then  $\forall x P(x)$  is false.
- 2) If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x > 0$ ” and  $U$  is the positive integers, then  $\forall x P(x)$  is true.
- 3) If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x$  is even” and  $U$  is the integers, then  $\forall x P(x)$  is false.

# Existential Quantifier

- $\exists x P(x)$  is read as “For some  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ ”, or as “There is an  $x$  such that  $P(x)$ ,” or “For at least one  $x$ ,  $P(x)$ .”

## Examples:

1. If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x > 0$ ” and  $U$  is the integers, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is true. It is also true if  $U$  is the positive integers.
2. If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x < 0$ ” and  $U$  is the positive integers, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is false.
3. If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x$  is even” and  $U$  is the integers, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is true.

# Uniqueness Quantifier (*optional*)

- $\exists!x P(x)$  means that  $P(x)$  is true for one and only one  $x$  in the universe of discourse.
- This is commonly expressed in English in the following equivalent ways:
  - “There is a unique  $x$  such that  $P(x)$ .”
  - “There is one and only one  $x$  such that  $P(x)$ ”
- Examples:
  1. If  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x + 1 = 0$ ” and  $U$  is the integers, then  $\exists!x P(x)$  is true.
  2. But if  $P(x)$  denotes “ $x > 0$ ,” then  $\exists!x P(x)$  is false.
- The uniqueness quantifier is not really needed as the restriction that there is a unique  $x$  such that  $P(x)$  can be expressed as:

$$\exists x (P(x) \wedge \forall y (P(y) \rightarrow y = x))$$

# Thinking about Quantifiers

- When the domain of discourse is finite, we can think of quantification as looping through the elements of the domain.
- To evaluate  $\forall x P(x)$  loop through all  $x$  in the domain.
  - If at every step  $P(x)$  is true, then  $\forall x P(x)$  is true.
  - If at a step  $P(x)$  is false, then  $\forall x P(x)$  is false and the loop terminates.
- To evaluate  $\exists x P(x)$  loop through all  $x$  in the domain.
  - If at some step,  $P(x)$  is true, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is true and the loop terminates.
  - If the loop ends without finding an  $x$  for which  $P(x)$  is true, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is false.
- Even if the domains are infinite, we can still think of the quantifiers this fashion, but the loops will not terminate in some cases.

# Properties of Quantifiers

- The truth value of  $\exists x P(x)$  and  $\forall x P(x)$  depend on both the propositional function  $P(x)$  and on the domain  $U$ .
- **Examples:**
  1. If  $U$  is the positive integers and  $P(x)$  is the statement “ $x < 2$ ”, then  $\exists x P(x)$  is true, but  $\forall x P(x)$  is false.
  2. If  $U$  is the negative integers and  $P(x)$  is the statement “ $x < 2$ ”, then both  $\exists x P(x)$  and  $\forall x P(x)$  are true.
  3. If  $U$  consists of 3, 4, and 5, and  $P(x)$  is the statement “ $x > 2$ ”, then both  $\exists x P(x)$  and  $\forall x P(x)$  are true. But if  $P(x)$  is the statement “ $x < 2$ ”, then both  $\exists x P(x)$  and  $\forall x P(x)$  are false.

# Precedence of Quantifiers

- The quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  have higher precedence than all the logical operators.
- For example,  $\forall x P(x) \vee Q(x)$  means  $(\forall x P(x)) \vee Q(x)$
- $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$  means something different.
- Unfortunately, often people write  $\forall x P(x) \vee Q(x)$  when they mean  $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ .

# Translating from English to Logic

**Example 1:** Translate the following sentence into predicate logic: “Every student in this class has taken a course in Java.”

**Solution:**

First decide on the domain  $U$ .

**Solution 1:** If  $U$  is all students in this class, define a propositional function  $J(x)$  denoting “ $x$  has taken a course in Java” and translate as  $\forall x J(x)$ .

**Solution 2:** But if  $U$  is all people, also define a propositional function  $S(x)$  denoting “ $x$  is a student in this class” and translate as  $\forall x (S(x) \rightarrow J(x))$ .

$\forall x (S(x) \wedge J(x))$  is not correct. What does it mean?

# Translating from English to Logic

**Example 2:** Translate the following sentence into predicate logic: “Some student in this class has taken a course in Java.”

**Solution:**

First decide on the domain  $U$ .

**Solution 1:** If  $U$  is all students in this class, translate as

$$\exists x J(x)$$

**Solution 2:** But if  $U$  is all people, then translate as

$$\exists x (S(x) \wedge J(x))$$

$\exists x (S(x) \rightarrow J(x))$  is not correct. What does it mean?



# Returning to the Socrates Example

- Introduce the propositional functions  $Man(x)$  denoting “ $x$  is a man” and  $Mortal(x)$  denoting “ $x$  is mortal.” Specify the domain as all people.
- The two premises are:  $\forall x Man(x) \rightarrow Mortal(x)$   
 $Man(Socrates)$
- The conclusion is:  $Mortal(Socrates)$
- Later we will show how to prove that the conclusion follows from the premises.

# Equivalences in Predicate Logic

- Statements involving predicates and quantifiers are *logically equivalent* if and only if they have the same truth value
  - for every predicate substituted into these statements and
  - for every domain of discourse used for the variables in the expressions.
- The notation  $S \equiv T$  indicates that  $S$  and  $T$  are logically equivalent.
- **Example:**  $\forall x \neg \neg S(x) \equiv \forall x S(x)$

# Thinking about Quantifiers as Conjunctions and Disjunctions

- If the domain is finite, a universally quantified proposition is equivalent to a conjunction of propositions without quantifiers and an existentially quantified proposition is equivalent to a disjunction of propositions without quantifiers.
- If  $U$  consists of the integers 1,2, and 3:

$$\forall x P(x) \equiv P(1) \wedge P(2) \wedge P(3)$$

$$\exists x P(x) \equiv P(1) \vee P(2) \vee P(3)$$

- Even if the domains are infinite, you can still think of the quantifiers in this fashion, but the equivalent expressions without quantifiers will be infinitely long.

# Negating Quantified Expressions

- Consider  $\forall x J(x)$   
“Every student in your class has taken a course in Java.”  
Here  $J(x)$  is “x has taken a course in Java” and  
the domain is students in your class.
- Negating the original statement gives “It is not the case that every student in your class has taken Java.”  
This implies that “There is a student in your class who has not taken Java.”  
Symbolically  $\neg \forall x J(x)$  and  $\exists x \neg J(x)$  are equivalent

# Negating Quantified Expressions (continued)

- Now Consider  $\exists x J(x)$

“There is a student in this class who has taken a course in Java.”

Where  $J(x)$  is “x has taken a course in Java.”

- Negating the original statement gives “It is not the case that there is a student in this class who has taken Java.” This implies that “Every student in this class has not taken Java”

Symbolically  $\neg \exists x J(x)$  and  $\forall x \neg J(x)$  are equivalent

# De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers

- The rules for negating quantifiers are:

TABLE 2 De Morgan's Laws for Quantifiers.			
<i>Negation</i>	<i>Equivalent Statement</i>	<i>When Is Negation True?</i>	<i>When False?</i>
$\neg \exists x P(x)$	$\forall x \neg P(x)$	For every $x$ , $P(x)$ is false.	There is an $x$ for which $P(x)$ is true.
$\neg \forall x P(x)$	$\exists x \neg P(x)$	There is an $x$ for which $P(x)$ is false.	$P(x)$ is true for every $x$ .

- The reasoning in the table shows that:

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$$

- These are important. You will use these.

# Translation from English to Logic

## Examples:

1. “Some student in this class has visited Mexico.”

**Solution:** Let  $M(x)$  denote “ $x$  has visited Mexico” and  $S(x)$  denote “ $x$  is a student in this class,” and  $U$  be all people.

$$\exists x (S(x) \wedge M(x))$$

2. “Every student in this class has visited Canada or Mexico.”

**Solution:** Add  $C(x)$  denoting “ $x$  has visited Canada.”

$$\forall x (S(x) \rightarrow (M(x) \vee C(x)))$$