

## Lecture 13: February 24th , 2020

Lecturer: Ondřej Lhoták

Notes By: Harsh Mistry

## 13.1 Context-sensitive analysis continued

- In Java : A2 and A3
  1. Build global environment
  2. Resolve type names
  3. Build/check class hierarchy (methods/files)
  4. Disambiguate ambiguous namespace
    - In Java you can't simply determine the namespace based on location of usage
  5. Resolve "expressions" (Variables, static fields)
  6. Type checking
  7. Resolve methods instance (non-static) fields

- (6) Type Checking Continued: Type system for Joos

- $C, L, \sigma \vdash E : \tau$   
In class C with local environment L, if method returns  $\sigma$ , expression E has type  $\tau$
- $C, L, \sigma \vdash S$   
In class C, ..., statement S is statically type correct
- Literals

\*

$$\overline{C, L, \sigma \vdash 43 : int}$$

\*

$$\overline{C, L, \sigma \vdash true : boolean}$$

\*

$$\overline{C, L, \sigma \vdash "ABC" : string}$$

\*

$$\overline{C, L, \sigma \vdash a' : char}$$

\*

$$\overline{C, L, \sigma \vdash null : null}$$

\*

$$\frac{L(x) = \gamma}{\overline{C, L, \sigma \vdash x : \tau}}$$

\*

$$\overline{C, L, \sigma \vdash this : C}$$

## – Operators (Refer to JLS 15)

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E : \text{boolean}}{C, L, \sigma \vdash !E : \text{boolean}}$$

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 : \tau_1 \quad C, L, \sigma \vdash E_2 : \tau_2 \quad \text{num}(\tau_1) \quad \text{num}(\tau_2)}{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 + E_2 : \text{int}}$$

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 : \text{string} \quad C, L, \sigma \vdash E_2 : \tau_2 \quad \tau_2 \neq \text{void}}{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 + E_2 : \text{string}}$$

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E : \tau_1 \quad C, L, \sigma \vdash E_2 : \text{string} \quad \tau_1 \neq \text{void}}{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 + E_2 : \text{string}}$$

## – Assignment

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E : \tau_2 \quad L(x) = \tau_2 \quad \tau_1 := \tau_2}{C, L, \sigma \vdash x = E : \tau_1}$$

## – Assign-ability (Refer to JLS 5)

\*

$$\frac{D \leq C}{C := D}$$

\*

$$\overline{\sigma := \sigma}$$

\*

$$\overline{\text{int} := \text{short}}$$

\*

$$\overline{\text{int} := \text{char}}$$

\*

$$\overline{C := \text{null}}$$

\*

$$\overline{\text{short} := \text{byte}}$$

\*

$$\frac{\sigma := \tau \quad \tau := P}{\sigma := P}$$

## – Statements

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E : \tau}{C, L, \sigma \vdash E}$$

\*

$$\frac{\forall i : C, L, \sigma \vdash S_i}{C, L, \sigma \vdash \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}}$$

\*

$$\frac{C, L[x \rightarrow \tau], \sigma \vdash S}{C, L, \sigma \vdash \{\tau x; S\}}$$

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E : \text{boolean} \quad C, L, \sigma \vdash S}{C, L, \sigma \vdash \text{if}(E)S}$$

## – Fields

\*

$$\frac{\text{static } \tau \ f \in \text{contain}(D)}{C, L, \sigma \vdash D.f : \tau}$$

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E : D \quad \tau f \in \text{contain}(D)}{C, L, \sigma \vdash E.f : \tau}$$

\*

$$\frac{\text{static } \tau_1 \ f \in \text{contain}(D) \quad C, L, \sigma \vdash E : \tau_2 \quad \tau_1 := \tau_2}{C, L, \sigma \vdash D.f = E}$$

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E : D \quad \tau_1 f \in \text{contain}(D) \quad C, L, \sigma \vdash E_2 : \tau_2 \quad \tau_1 := \tau_2}{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1.f = E_2 : \tau_1}$$

– Comparisons

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 : \tau_1 \quad C, L, \sigma \vdash E_2 : \tau_2 \quad \text{num}(\tau_1) \quad \text{num}(\tau_2)}{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 == E_2 : \text{boolean}}$$

· Works for other comparison operators (i.e !=, ≤, etc)

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 : \tau_1 \quad C, L, \sigma \vdash E_2 : \tau_2 \quad \tau_1 := \tau_2 \vee \tau_2 := \tau_2}{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 == E_2 : \text{boolean}}$$

· Can be used for !=, but not for less then operators

– Casts

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 : \tau_1 \quad \text{num}(\tau_1) \quad \text{num}(\tau_2)}{C, L, \sigma \vdash (\tau_2)E : \tau_2}$$

\*

$$\frac{C, L, \sigma \vdash E_1 : \tau_1 \quad \tau_1 := \tau_2 \vee \tau_2 := \tau_1}{C, L, \sigma \vdash (\tau_2)E : \tau_2}$$