Biçimsel Diller ve Otomata Teorisi

Sunu I

İZZET FATİH ŞENTÜRK



Languages

- In English: Letters, words, sentences
- Group of letters -> Words
- Group of words -> Sentences
- Group of sentences -> Paragraphs -> Stories ..

 Humans (mostly) agree on which sequences are valid and which are not. How?

Computer Language

- Certain character strings are recognizable words (DO, IF, END, ..)
- Certain strings -> commands
- Certain sets of commands -> program (with/o data & that can be compiled)

Language Structure

- To construct a general theory that unifies all these examples
 - Adopt a definition of a language structure
 - Decision whether a given string of units constitues a valid larger unit
 - Not matter of guesswork
 - Based on explicitly stated rules

Purpose

- Set rules for recognizing whether an input is a valid communication
- We are not interested in what the communication means

- It is important that the program compiles
- We are not interested whether it does what the programmer intended
- If it compiles, it is a valid example in the language

Formal Rules

- Very hard to state all the rules for the spoken language
 - Slang, idiom, dialect, poetic metaphor, etc.

- To define a general theory of formal languages
 - Insist on <u>precise</u> rules
 - Computers are not forgiving imperfect commands

Formal Rules

- Formal: All the rules for the language are explicitly stated (what strings of symbols can occur)
 - No liberties are tolerated
 - No reference to any deep understanding is required
- Language
 - Symbols on paper not expression of ideas
 - Not communication among intellects but a gam of symbols with formal rules

Alphabet

- We begin with a <u>finite set</u> of fundamental units to build structures
- A certain set of strings of characters from the alphabet -> language
- Strings permissible in the language -> words
- Symbols in the alphabet do not have to be Latin letters
- Only universal requirement for a possible string: it contains only finitely many symbols

Empty/Null String

- We wish to allow a string to have no letters: empty/null string
- Denote with: A (Greek capital lambda)

- The null string is always ∧ (no matter which alphabet used)
- The null word is always ∧ (if it is a word in the language)

Comparing Words

- Two words are considered same if...
 - all their letters are the same
 - All their letters are in the same order

- There is only one possible word of no letters: Λ
- For clarity, we usually do not allow symbol Λ to be part of the alphabet for any language

The Language with no Words

- Important difference between..
 - The word that has no letters
 - \
 - The language that has no words (φ (small Greek letter phi))
- It is not true that Λ is part of φ , φ has no words
- If a language L does not contain Λ , we can add it: L+ $\{\Lambda\}$
 - L+ $\{\Lambda\} \neq L$
 - $L + \Phi = L$

The Language with no Words

- The fact that φ is a language without any words is an important distinction
- When we have a "method" to produce a language
 - The method can fail and produces nothing or...
 - The method successfully produces the language φ

Defining Alphabet

•
$$\Sigma = \{a b c d e \dots z\}$$

We can use spaces of commas to separate the elements

Specifying Valid Words

- We can list all valid words as done in a dictionary
 - Long list but finite!
- WORDS = {all the words in a standard dictionary}

 We do not allow the possibility of defining a language by an infinite dictionary

Form a Viable Sentence

- To know all the words in a finite language (English, etc) does not imply the ability to create a viable sentence
- Define a new alphabet Γ (capital gamma)
- Γ = {the entries in a standard dictionary, plus a blank space, plus the punctuation marks}
- We can never produce a complete list of all valid English sentences
 - Infinitely many words in Γ (I at one apple, two apples, three ...)
 - Finite description of an infinite language!

Grammar Rules and Meaning

- Following grammar rules of Γ only..
- I ate three Tuesdays
 - A valid word in Γ
 - We must allow this string
 - Grammatically correct
 - Meaning is ridiculous

We are interested in <u>syntax</u> alone, no semantics!

Specifying Valid Words can be Tricky

- The language MY-PET
- The alphabet is {a c d g o t}
- There is only one word in this language
 - If the Earth and Moon ever collide then MY-PET = {cat}
 - If the Earth and Moon never collide then MY-PET = {dog}
- Not certain. Not an adequate specification of the language.
 Rules must enable us to decide in a finite amount of time whether a word is /not part of the language

Defining Languages

- The set of language-defining rules can be of two kinds
 - They can tell us how to test a string is a valid word or not
 - They can tell us how to construct all the words in the language
- $\Sigma = \{x\}$ An alphabet with one letter: x
- Define L₁: Any nonempty string of alphabet characters is a word
 - $L_1 = \{x xx xxx xxxx ...\}$ alternatively $L_1 = \{x^n \text{ for } n = 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ ...\}$

Defining Languages - Concatenation

- We define the operation of concatenation
 - Two strings written side by side to form a new string
- When we concatenate xxx and xx we obtain the word xxxxx
- Analogous to addition
 - xⁿ concatenated with x^m: x^{n+m}
- More convenient to use new symbols other than the alphabet
 - xxx is a, xx is b and xxxxx is ab

Defining Languages -Concatenation

- It is not always true that when two words are concatenated, they produce another word in the language
- a = xxx and b = xxxxx are words in L_2 but ab is not
- In the examples ab=ba but this is not the case always!

```
L_{2} = \{x \quad xxx \quad xxxxx \quad xxxxxxx \dots \}
= \{x^{\text{odd}}\}
= \{x^{2n+1} \quad \text{for} \quad n = 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \dots \}
```

Defining Languages

- $\Sigma = \{0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8 \ 9\}$
- L₃ = {any finite string of alphabet letters that does not start with letter zero}
- L₃ looks like the set of all positive integers in base 10.
- $L_3 = \{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8 \ 9 \ 10 \ 11 \ 12 \ldots \}$
- If we wanted to define L₃ including word 0
- L₃ = {any finite string of alphabet letters, if it starts with a 0, has no more letters after the first}

Length Function

- We define function length of a string to be the number of letters in the string
- If a = xxxx, length(a) = 4
- If c = 428, length(c) = 3
- length(xxxxx) = 5
- length(Λ) = 0
- For any word w in any language, if length(w) = 0, w = Λ

Multiple Definitions for the Same Language

- L₃ = {any finite string of alphabet letters, if it starts with a 0, has no more letters after the first}
- One more definition of L₃
- L_3 = {any finite string of alphabet letters that, if it has length more than 1, does not start with a 0}
- Not necessarily a better definition of L_3 but illustrates that there are often different ways of specifying the same language

More on A

- Ambiguity in "any finite string"
 - Not clear whether Λ is part of L_3
- L_3 does not include Λ
 - We intend L₃ look like the integers
 - There is no integer with no digits
- $X^0 = \Lambda$, not $X^0 = 1$ as in albegra (x^n is n x's)

Reverse Function

- We define function reverse. If a is a word in language L, then reverse(a) is the same string of letters spelled backward
 - The backward string may not be a word in L
- reverse(xxx) = xxx
- reverse(145) = 541
- reverse(140) = 041 -> 140 is a word in L_3 but not 041!

Palindrome

- We define a new language called PALINDROME over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{a b\}$
- PALINDROME = $\{\Lambda, \text{ and all strings } x \text{ such that reverse}(x) = x\}$
- PALINDROME = {Λ a b aa bb aaa aba bab bbb aaaa abba ...}

Kleene Closure

• Given the alphabet Σ , we wish to define a language in which any string of letters from Σ is a word, even the null string. This language is called the **closure** of the alphabet

- If $\Sigma = \{x\}$ then $\Sigma^* = L_4 = \{\Lambda \times x \times x \times x \times ...\}$
- If $\Sigma = \{0 \ 1\}$ then $\Sigma^* = \{\Lambda \ 0 \ 1 \ 00 \ 01 \ 10 \ 11 \ 000 \ 001 \dots\}$
- If Σ = {a b c} then Σ^* = { Λ a b c aa ab ac ba bb bc ca cb cc aaa ...}

Kleene Closure

- Kleene star is an operation that makes an infinite language of letters out of an alphabet
- Infinite language -> infinitely many words, each of finite length

Lexicographic Order

- $\Sigma^* = \{ \Lambda \text{ a b c aa ab ac ba bb bc ca cb cc aaa } \dots \}$
- When we write the first several words in the language, we put them in size order (length) and then list all the words of the same length alphabetically
- This ordering is called lexicographic order
- In a dictionary, the word aardvark comes before cat. In lexicographic order it is the other way.
- If sorted alphabetically, the list would start {Λ a aa aaa aaaa
 ...} would not inform us the real nature of the language

- We can generalize the use of the star operator to sets of words, not just sets of alphabet letters
- If S is a set of words, then S* is set of all finite strings formed by concatenating words from S, where any word may be formed as often as we like, where null string is also included

- If $S = \{aab\}$ then
- $S^* = \{ \Lambda \text{ plus any word composed of factors of aa and b} \}$
- S* = {Λ plus all strings of a's and b's in which the a's occur in even clumps}
- S* = {Λ b aa bb aab baa bbb aaaa aabb baab bbaa bbbb aaaab aabaa aabbb baaaa baabb bbaab bbbaa bbbbb ...}
- aabaaab is not is S* since it has a clump of a's of length 3

- Let $S = \{a \ ab\} \ then$
- $S^* = \{ \Lambda \text{ plus any word composed of factors of a and ab} \}$
- S* = {Λ plus all strings of a's and b's except those that start with b and those that contain a double b}
- Double b means bb. For each word in S* every b must have an a immediately to its left. bb is impossible as it starts with a b

- To prove that a certain word is in S* we must show how it can written as a concatenate of words from the base set S
- In the last example, abaab is in S*, we can factor it as follows: (ab)(a)(ab)
- These three factors are all in S, therefore, their concatenation is in S*
- For this example, the factoring is unique. Sometimes it is not

Non-unique Factoring

- $S = \{xx xxx\}$
- $S^* = \{ \Lambda \text{ and all strings of more than one } x \}$
- $S^* = \{x^n \text{ for } n = 0 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ ...\}$
- $S^* = \{ \land xx xxx xxxx xxxxx xxxxx xxxxx ... \}$
- Note that x is not in S*
- xxxxxxx is in S* because of any of these (xx)(xx)(xxx) or (xx)(xxx)(xxx) or (xxx)(xxx)(xxx) (xxx)(xxx) (xxx)(xxx) (xxx)(xxx) (xxx)(xxx

Final Remarks

- Kleene closure of two sets can end up being the same language even if the two sets that we started with were not
- $S = \{a \ b \ ab\} \ and \ T = \{a \ b \ bb\}$
- Both S* and T* are languages of all strings of a's and b's and any string of a's and b's can factored into syllables of either (a) or (b), both are in S and T

Final Remarks

- If we want to modify the concept of closure to refer to only the concatenation of not zero strings from a set S we use the notation + instead of *
- If $\Sigma = \{x\}$, then $\Sigma + = \{x \times x \times x \times x \dots\}$
- If S is a set of strings not including Λ then S+ is the language S* without the word Λ
- If S is a language that does not contain Λ , then $S+=S^*$
- The plus operation is sometimes called positive closure