参考资料:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Profile-guided_optimization https://doc.rust-lang.org/rustc/profile-guided-optimization.html

PGO具有一定优化效果的原因之一是TiKV-Server在运行期间有严重的iTLB-Cache-Miss现象(elf中的TEXT段比较大,30M左右)。TiDB-Server也存在同样的问题(更严重一些),但由于目前Golang编译器不支持PGO优化,所以当前TiDB-Server无法使用PGO。理论上PGO/LTO等优化方法同样适用于TiFlash。

```
Performance counter stats for process id '451919':
                                                   7.879 CPUs utilized
       448760.61 msec task-clock
        12166261
                     context-switches
                                                   0.027 M/sec
         2218012
                      cpu-migrations
                                               #
                                                   0.005 M/sec
                     page-faults
          472371
                                                   0.001 M/sec
                     cycles
                                                  2.827 GHz
   1268494342888
                                                                                  (38.51\%)
    737296495089
                     instructions
                                                   0.58 insn per cycle
                                                                                  (46.23\%)
                                              # 306.645 M/sec
    137610215118
                                                                                  (46.17\%)
                      branches
                     branch-misses
                                                  4.61% of all branches
                                              #
                                                                                  (46.20\%)
      6346687936
                     L1-dcache-loads
                                             # 510.153 M/sec
    228936583589
                                                                                  (46.16\%)
                     L1-dcache-load-misses # 10.44% of all L1-dcache hits
     23890653366
                                                                                  (46.14\%)
                                                  11.802 M/sec
      5296318340
                     LLC-loads
                                                                                  (30.68\%)
                                                    7.51% of all LL-cache hits
       397583073
                     LLC-load-misses
                                                                                  (30.70\%)
                     L1-icache-loads
 <not supported>
     60633380770
                     L1-icache-load-misses
                                                                                  (30.74\%)
                                               # 512.969 M/sec
    230200405316
                     dTLB-loads
                                                                                  (30.82\%)
      1731712817
                      dTLB-load-misses
                                                   0.75% of all dTLB cache hits
                                                                                  (30.84\%)
                     iTLB-loads
                                                   4.878 M/sec
                                                                                  (30.80\%)
      2189054185
      1333416784
                     iTLB-load-misses
                                               # 60.91% of all iTLB cache hits
                                                                                  (30.79\%)
 <not supported>
                     L1-dcache-prefetches
  <not supported>
                     L1-dcache-prefetch-misses
    56.953296497 seconds time elapsed
```

TiKV-Server Perf Stat

```
Performance counter stats for process id '10132':
                                                    21.221 CPUs utilized
        680558.81 msec task-clock
                       context-switches
                                                #
                                                     0.001 M/sec
                                                     0.057 K/sec
            38920
                       cpu-migrations
           11874
                      page-faults
                                                     0.017 K/sec
    2017017112602
                       cycles
                                                    2.964 GHz
                                                                                     (30.76\%)
                                                     0.70 insn per cycle
    1406430854248
                       instructions
                                                                                     (38.47\%)
                                               # 400.750 M/sec
                                                                                     (38.46\%)
    272733697678
                      branches
                                                     3.38% of all branches
      9224156383
                      branch-misses
                                                                                     (38.46\%)
                      L1-dcache-loads
                      L1-dcache-loads
L1-dcache-load-misses #
                                                # 556.113 M/sec
     378467268082
                                                                                     (38.47\%)
     25702325044
                                                     6.79% of all L1-dcache hits
                                                                                     (38.46\%)
      6434961362
                                                   9.455 M/sec
                                                                                     (30.76\%)
      1006236409
                      LLC-load-misses
                                                # 15.64% of all LL-cache hits
                                                                                     (30.76\%)
  <not supported>
                      L1-icache-loads
     59798936928
                      L1-icache-load-misses
                                                                                     (30.76\%)
     378785890952
                      dTLB-loads
                                                # 556.581 M/sec
                                                                                     (30.78\%)
                      dTLB-load-misses
                                                    0.61% of all dTLB cache hits
      2312187735
                                                                                     (30.77\%)
                       iTLB-loads
      1560219553
                                                     2.293 M/sec
                                                                                     (30.78\%)
                                                     79.62% of all iTLB cache hits
                      iTLB-load-misses
      1242308896
                                                                                    (30.77\%)
  <not supported>
                      L1-dcache-prefetches
                      L1-dcache-prefetch-misses
  <not supported>
     32.070733246 seconds time elapsed
```

TiDB-Server Perf Stat

某混合负载下测试结果显示,TiKV-ServerPGO优化会带来一些性能提升:集群TPS提升约3.0%整体延迟降低约3.4%,TiKV-Server CPU节省约7.1%,TiKV-Server CPU IPC(inst per cycle)指标提升约6.6%。除了PGO LTO以及将elf中的TEXT段单独使用HugePage加载等方法(此方法针对TiDB-Server同样适用)均值得继续探索,另外,有第三方测试结果显示针<u>对核使用LTO</u>优化对IO和Context Switch有比较显著的性能提升:用户空间的应用leveldb吞吐提升了8.4%,延迟降低5.9%(猜测此处吞吐与延迟指标的改善同样至少部分适用于TiKV),Context Switch速度变为原版的2.85倍。

下面描述如何给TiKV-Server开启PGO优化(以TiKV 5.1.0为例)。

- 1. 完成编译TiKV-Server前的准备工作
- 2. 构建拥有运行时PGO Profiler的TiKV-Server Binary文件,后面称之为tikv-server-with-PGO-profiler。构建过程中会需要比较多的内存资源。
 - 2.1. 将scripts/run-cargo.sh的第78行更改成如下:

```
```bash
```

RUSTFLAGS="-Cprofile-generate=/tmp/tikv-pgo-data" cargo \$args \$packages --target=x86\_64-unknown-linux-gnu --features="\$features" \$X\_CARGO\_ARGS

注:如果是arm64架构, target需要改成aarch64-unknown-linux-gnu

## 2.2. 编译:

```bash

ROCKSDB_SYS_STATIC=1 make dist_release

3. 将tikv-server-with-PGO-profiler作为一个TiKV实例的二进制映像运行在一个集群中,对整体集群施加负载X持续性压测,压测完毕时将上述TiKV进程退出,tikv-server-with-PGO-profiler会将运行时的profile数据dump到`/tmp/tikv-pgo-data`目录下,然后使用工具llvm-profdata对profile文件进行二次处理。

3.1. 安装工具llvm-profdata

```bash

rustup component add Ilvm-tools-preview

定位绝对路径(一般在`~/.rustup/toolchains/<toolchain>/lib/rustlib/<target-triple>/bin/`)

3.2. Ilvm-profdata merge

```bash \$mypath/llvm-profdata merge -o /tmp/tikv-pgo-data/merged.profdata /tmp/tikv-pgo-data ```

- 4. 编译最终PGO优化版本的TiKV,简称tikv-server-PGO。
 - 4.1. 将scripts/run-cargo.sh的第78行更改成如下:

```
```bash
RUSTFLAGS="-Cprofile-use=/tmp/tikv-pgo-data/merged.profdata" cargo $args
$packages --target=x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu --features="$features"
```

\$X\_CARGO\_ARGS

注:如果是arm64架构, target需要改成aarch64-unknown-linux-gnu

## 4.2. 编译:

```bash

ROCKSDB_SYS_STATIC=1 make dist_release

最终得到针对负载X场景PGO优化版本的tikv-server-PGO。