## LECTURE 8:

## Doubly stochastic matrices

Polyhedra Theory July 2013

## Implicit equalities and redundant constraints 8.1

A square matrix  $A = (\alpha_{ij})_{n \times n}$  is called doubly stochastic if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} = 1 \tag{8.0a}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} = 1$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{ij} = 1$$
(8.0a)
$$(8.0b)$$

$$\alpha_{ij} \ge 0$$
 (8.0c)

A permutation matrix is a  $\{0,1\}$ -matrix with exactly one 1 in each row and in each column.

The key idea here is to think of the  $n \times n$  matrix A given by the system ??? above as a  $n^2$ -dimensional vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ . Then the system abvoe define a polytope of doubly stochastic matrices.

**Theorem 8.1** Matrix A is doubly stochastic if and only if A is a convex combination of permutation matrices.

*Proof.* Sufficiency is obvious, as each permutation matrix is doubly stochastic.

Necessity is prove by induction on the order n of A, the case n=1 being trivial. Consider the polytope P defined by system (???) above. Clearly, P is a  $n^2$ -dimensional polytope of doubly stochastic matrices of order n. To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that each vertex of P is convex combination of permutation matrices, since each point in this polytope is a convex combination of vertices of P. Let A be a vertex of P. Then  $n^2$  linearly independent constraints among (???) are satisfied by A with equality. Notice that the first 2n constraints in ???? are linearly dependent, as the sum of all rows equals the sum of all columns. It follows that at least  $n^2 - 2n + 1$  of  $\alpha_{ij}$  are 0. So A has a row with  $n - 1 > n^2 - 2n + 1/n > n - 2$  0's and one 1. Without loss of generality, assume  $\alpha_{11}=1$ . So all the other entries in the first row and first column are 0. Then deleting the first row and first column gives a doubly stochastic matrix of order n-1, which by inducton hyperthesis is a convex combination of permutation matrices. Therefore A itself is a convex combination of permutation matrices.

The total unimodularity of matrix enables us to give an alternative proof.

*Proof.* Sufficiency is trivial.

Necessity is also to consider the polytope of doubly stochastic matrix defined by the system above. It suffices to show that each vertex of the polytope defined by system (???) is integral and thus a permutation

matrix. However, the matrix M defining system above is the node-edge incidence matrix of graph  $K_{n,n}$ .

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \dots & \alpha_{1n} & \dots & \alpha_{n1} & \alpha_{n2} & \dots & \alpha_{nn} \\ x_1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & \\ M = \begin{pmatrix} x_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ y_1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ y_2 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & \\ y_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since such a matrix is totally unimodular, the theorem follows.

Corollary 8.2 Each vertex of polytope of doubly stochastic matrices is a permutation matrix.

## References

[CW87] D. COPPERSMITH and S. WINOGRAD, "Matrix multiplication via arithmetic progressions," Proceedings of the 19th ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, 1987, pp. 1–6.