

✓ Congratulations! You passed!

TO PASS 80% or higher

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE

Keep Learning

GRADE 100%


Optimization Algorithms

1	00%	
1.	Which notation would you use to denote the 3rd layer's activations when the input is the 7th example from the 8th minibatch?	1/1 point
	$igcap a^{[8]\{7\}(3)}$	
	$igo a^{[3]\{8\}(7)}$	
	$\bigcirc \ a^{[3]\{7\}\{8)}$	
	$igcap a^{[8]\{3\}(7)}$	
	✓ Correct	
2.	Which of these statements about mini-batch gradient descent do you agree with?	1/1 point
	You should implement mini-batch gradient descent without an explicit for-loop over different mini-batches, so that the algorithm processes all mini-batches at the same time (vectorization).	
	One iteration of mini-batch gradient descent (computing on a single mini-batch) is faster than one iteration of batch gradient descent.	
	Training one epoch (one pass through the training set) using mini-batch gradient descent is faster than training one epoch using batch gradient descent.	
	✓ Correct	
2	Why is the best value is better size usually not 4 and not as but instead acceptabling in between	
3.	Why is the best mini-batch size usually not 1 and not m, but instead something in-between?	1 / 1 point
	If the mini-batch size is 1, you lose the benefits of vectorization across examples in the mini-batch.	
	✓ Correct	
	If the mini-batch size is m, you end up with stochastic gradient descent, which is usually slower than mini-batch gradient descent.	
	If the mini-batch size is m, you end up with batch gradient descent, which has to process the whole training set before making progress.	

 $4. \quad \text{Suppose your learning algorithm's cost } J, \text{ plotted as a function of the number of iterations, looks like this:} \\$

If the mini-batch size is 1, you end up having to process the entire training set before making any progress.

✓ Correct



Which of the following do you agree with?

- Olf you're using mini-batch gradient descent, something is wrong. But if you're using batch gradient descent, this looks acceptable.
- Whether you're using batch gradient descent or mini-batch gradient descent, this looks acceptable.
- Whether you're using batch gradient descent or mini-batch gradient descent, something is wrong.
- (6) If you're using mini-batch gradient descent, this looks acceptable. But if you're using batch gradient descent, something is wrong.



5. Suppose the temperature in Casablanca over the first two days of January are the same:

Jan 1st:
$$heta_1=10^oC$$

Jan 2nd: $heta_2 10^o C$

(We used Fahrenheit in lecture, so will use Celsius here in honor of the metric world.)

Say you use an exponentially weighted average with $\beta=0.5$ to track the temperature: $v_0=0, v_t=\beta v_{t-1}+(1-\beta)\theta_t$. If v_2 is the value computed after day 2 without bias correction, and $v_2^{corrected}$ is the value you compute with bias correction. What are these values? (You might be able to do this without a calculator, but you don't actually need one. Remember what bias correction is doing.)


- $v_2 = 10, v_2^{corrected} = 7.5$
- $v_2 = 7.5, v_2^{corrected} = 7.5$
- $\bigcirc v_2 = 10, v_2^{corrected} = 10$
- $v_2 = 7.5, v_2^{corrected} = 10$

✓ Correct

6. Which of these is NOT a good learning rate decay scheme? Here, t is the epoch number.

- $\alpha = 0.95^t \alpha_0$
- $\bigcap \alpha = \frac{1}{1+2*t}\alpha_0$
- $\bigcap \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\alpha_0$

✓ Correct



- \square Decreasing β will shift the red line slightly to the right.
- Increasing β will shift the red line slightly to the right.


✓ Correct

True, remember that the red line corresponds to $\beta=0.9$. In lecture we had a green line \$\beta = 0.98) that is slightly shifted to the right.

 $\hfill \Box$ Decreasing β will create more oscillation within the red line.

True, remember that the red line corresponds to $\beta=0.9$. In lecture we had a yellow line \$\$\beta=0.98\$ that had a lot of oscillations.

8. Consider this figure:



These plots were generated with gradient descent; with gradient descent with momentum (β = 0.5) and gradient descent with momentum (β = 0.9). Which curve corresponds to which algorithm?

- \bigcirc (1) is gradient descent. (2) is gradient descent with momentum (large β) . (3) is gradient descent with momentum
- \bigcirc (1) is gradient descent with momentum (small β). (2) is gradient descent. (3) is gradient descent with momentum
- (1) is gradient descent. (2) is gradient descent with momentum (small β). (3) is gradient descent with momentum (large β)
- \bigcirc (1) is gradient descent with momentum (small β), (2) is gradient descent with momentum (small β), (3) is gradient

9.	Suppose batch gradient descent in a deep network is taking excessively long to find a value of the parameters that achieves a small value for the cost function $\mathcal{J}(W^{[1]},b^{[1]},,W^{[L]},b^{[L]})$. Which of the following techniques could help find parameter values that attain a small value for \mathcal{J} ? (Check all that apply)	1/1 point
	Try initializing all the weights to zero	
	✓ Try using Adam	
	✓ Correct	
	✓ Try mini-batch gradient descent	
	✓ Correct	
	✓ Try better random initialization for the weights	
	✓ Correct	
	ightharpoonup Try tuning the learning rate $lpha$	
	✓ Correct	
10.	. Which of the following statements about Adam is False?	1/1 point
	igcirc The learning rate hyperparameter $lpha$ in Adam usually needs to be tuned.	
	Adam combines the advantages of RMSProp and momentum	
	Adam should be used with batch gradient computations, not with mini-batches.	
	We usually use "default" values for the hyperparameters eta_1,eta_2 and $arepsilon$ in Adam ($eta_1=0.9,eta_2=0.999,arepsilon=10^{-8}$)	

✓ Correct

✓ Correct