COSC2803 2024 Semester 2 Rubric Milestones 2-4											
Milestones 2-3	Weight	Elements	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Fair (3)	Poor (1.5)	NN (0)				
JX & UI mplementation	5 marks	(1) UX/UI Implementation satisfies relevant Personas; (2) UX/UI Implementation satisfies relevant Context Scenarios tied to the relevant	The implementation of the UX/UI enables the requirements of Level 1, 2 and 3 , plus one Level 4 extension task to an outstanding quality.	The implementation of the UX/UI enables the requirements of Levels 1, 2 and 3 , to a satisfactory quality.	The implementation of the UX/UI enables the requirements of Levels 1 and 2 , to a satisfactory quality.	The implementation of the UX/UI enables the requirements of Level 1 , to a satisfactory quality.					
		Personas; (3) UX/UI Implementation satisfies Nielsen design heuristics and/or makes appropriate trade-offs; (4) UX/UI Implementation employs appropriate UI Design Patterns in (but not limited to) Navigation, Page Layout and Interaction patterns; (5) UX/UI element has been redesigned based on Usability testing feedback.	The UX/UI clearly enables all relevant Personas to accomplish all relevant Context Scenarios. All Personas and Context Scenarios are highly relevant to the social challenge.	accomplish all relevant Context Scenarios. All Personas and Context Scenarios are relevant to the social challenge.	Context Scenarios are relevant to the social challenge.	The UX/UI enables at least one relevant Personas to accomplish at least one relevant Context Scenarios, with significant issues or inconsistencies.	3				
			UX/UI implementation satisfies Nielsen design principles. Any trade-offs of design principles are fully justified .	UX/UI implementation satisfies Nielsen design principles. Any trade-offs of design principles are sufficiently justified .	UX/UI implementation satisfies Nielsen design principles, with issues or inconsistencies . Any trade-offs of design principles may not be justified.	UX/UI implementation satisfies some Nielsen design principles, but has significant issues . Any trade-offs of design principles may not be justified.					
			UX/UI implementation outstandingly and consistently applies multiple relevant UX/UI Design patterns across the entire website.	UX/UI implementation satisfactorily and consistently applies relevant UX/UI Design patterns across the entire website.	UX/UI implementation satisfactorily applies UX/UI Design patterns with inconsistencies across the entire website.	UX/UI implementation does not apply suitable UX/UI Design patterns.					
			At least one significant element of the UX/UI has been redesigned and reimplemented based on feedback from the usability testing. The redesign provides a clear improvement .	At least one significant element of the UX/UI has been redesigned and reimplemented based on feedback from the usability testing. The redesign provides a satisfactory improvement.	At least one element of the UX/UI has been redesigned and reimplemented based on feedback from the usability testing. The redesign may not provide an improvement.	The UX/UI has been may not have been changed based on feedback from the usability testing.					
Databasa Madalling	E	(1) ER Models of the	ER model of the <i>implemented</i> database is	ER Model of the implemented database is well	ER Model of the <i>implemented</i> database is	ER Model of the <i>implemented</i> database is capable	Incufficient for				
Database Modelling	marks	implemented and proposed databases are presented in the form of an ER Diagram and follows UML notation used in class, including entity, attribute, entity key, relationship and	outstandingly well suited for storing the required data for Levels 1, 2 and 3, plus one Level 4 extension task. All entities, attributes, relationships are identified with correct cardinality and	suited for storing the required data for Levels 1, 2 and 3, to a satisfactory quality. The majority of entities, attributes, relationships are identified with mostly correct cardinality and participation attributes, but there are minor errors or inconsistencies.	capable of storing the required data for Levels 1	of storing the required data for Level 1. There are major drawbacks in the design.					
		cardinality representations; (2) ER Model of the implemented database is suitable for storing the data requirements;	Relational schema of the <i>implemented</i> database is valid and built according to the ER Model, without errors. Relational Schema contains all tables, attributes, and keys.	is valid and built according to the ER Model, without errors. Relational Schema contains all tables, and attributes. There may be minor errors with the keys.	may be errors with the keys.	Relational schema of the <i>implemented</i> database has major flaws and may not be built according to the ER Model. Relational Schema contains at least one table with correct attributes and keys.					
		(3) Relational Schema of the implemented database corresponds to the ER Model;(4) ER Model of the proposed	Database Schema of the <i>proposed</i> database (For Levels, 1, 2, 3 and 4) is correctly normalised into 3NF from the ER Model of the <i>implemented</i> database.	Database Schema of the <i>proposed</i> database (For Levels, 1, 2, and 3) is mostly correctly normalised into 3NF from the ER Model of the <i>implemented</i> database.	Database Schema of the <i>proposed</i> database (For Levels, 1, and 2) has been normalised into 2NF from the ER Model of the <i>implemented</i> database.	Database Schema of the <i>proposed</i> database has not been provided, or has significant errors .					
		database is normalised into 3NF, and functional dependencies are provided;	All Functional Dependencies are provided and show that the ER Model of the <i>proposed</i> database is in 3NF.	show that the ER Model of the proposed database		Functional Dependencies may not be provided, or a substantial number of functional dependencies are missing .					
	_	(1) 001 h D i I									
Database Implementation & Queries	5 marks	(1) SQLite Database implementation correspond to the Relational Schema; (2) Database is correctly populated with pre-processing; (3) SQL Queries (from within Java) are syntactically correct, and are well formatted; (4) SQL Queries (from within Java) execute correctly, and return correct results under all reasonable circumstances; with reasonable user input.	SQLite database is correctly implemented according to the relational schema.	SQLite database is mostly implemented according to the relational schema. There may be minor errors such as missing constraints or foreign key constraints.	according to the relational schema. There may be errors such as missing or inconsistent attributes, and additional tables that are not present in the relational schema.	SQLite database is not implemented according to the relational schema.	Insufficient for Poor category.				
			Database tables are correctly populated. Excellent pre-processing is used to prepare raw data for storage, where inconsistencies or problems in raw data are identified and rectified.	The database tables are correctly populated. Suitable pre-processing is used to prepare raw data for storage, where the majority of inconsistencies or problems in the raw data are identified and rectified.	The database tables are populated. Limited pre- processing is used to prepare raw data for storage, where inconsistencies or problems in the raw data are not rectified.	The database tables are populated. Raw data is not curated for storage in the database.					
			SQL queries have no syntactical errors that prevent the queries from executing successfully. SQL queries exceptionally formatted and structured to be easy to interpret. SQL queries do not contain unnecessary instructions or constructs.	SQL queries have no syntactical errors that prevent the queries from executing successfully. SQL queries are suitably formatted and structured. SQL queries do not contain unnecessary instructions or constructs.	SQL queries have no syntactical errors that prevent the queries from executing successfully. SQL queries are formatting or structure that makes the queries difficult to understand. SQL queries contain unnecessary instructions and/or constructions.	Some SQL queries may contain syntactical errors that prevent the queries from executing successfully. SQL queries are poorly formatted or structured. SQL queries contain unnecessary instructions and/or constructions.					
			SQL queries produce the correct result under all reasonable circumstances and user input (from the web interface)	SQL queries produce the correct result under most reasonable circumstances and user input (from the web interface)	SQL queries produce the correct result for expected circumstances and user input (from the web interface), but may have problems for unexpected input.	SQL queries produce the correct result for a minimal number of circumstances.					
Java Programming	5 marks	(1) The implementation enables the functionality of each Level; (2) The program does not	The implementation of the Java program enables all of the functionality of Levels 1, 2 and 3 , plus one Level 4 extension task to an outstanding quality.	The implementation of the Java program enables all of the functionality of Levels 1, 2 and 3 , to a satisfactory quality.	The implementation of the Java program enables all of the functionality of Levels 1 and 2 , to a satisfactory quality.	The implementation of the Java program enables all of the functionality of Level 1, to a satisfactory quality.					
		contain error, does not crash, and does not unexpectantly terminate.	Java program does not contain errors, does not crash, and does not unexpectantly terminate.	Java program does not crash or unexpectantly terminate for Levels 1, 2 and 3, but may contain minor errors.	Java program does not crash or unexpectantly terminate for Levels 1 and 2 , but may contain errors .	Java program does not crash or unexpectantly terminate for Level 1 , but may contain errors .					

	5 marks	(1) Usability tests are conducted; (2) Usability tests are tied to Persona(s) and Context Scenarios; (3) Usability tests have completed instructions; (4) PIFs are prepared and inform the participants of their activity and rights during the usability test(s); (5) Participants have completed PIFs; (6) Survey for the participants to complete captures evidence from which to re-design at least one element of the web application.	Context Scenario; (3) have complete instructions for the participant to follow. PIFs fully inform the participant of their activity and rights for the usability testing. All participants have completed PIFs. Participants have completed an outstanding survey that captures all relevant evidence from	to at least one Persona of the website; (2) tied to at least one Context Scenario for the website; (3) have complete instructions for the participant to follow. PIFs suitably inform the participant of their activity and rights for the usability testing. All participants have completed PIFs. Participants have completed a satisfactory survey	tied to at least one Context Scenario for the website; (3) have instructions for the participant to follow.	with a satisfactory set of tasks that cover Level 1. At least one usability test (for Level 1): (1) is tied to at least one Persona of the website; (2) tied to at least one Context Scenario for the website; (3) have instructions for the participant to follow. PIFs are prepared. All participants have completed PIFs. Participants of the usability tests have completed	Insufficient for Poor category.
Milestone 4	Weight	Elements	Excellent (5)	Good (4)	Fair (3)	Poor (1.5)	NN (0)
Presentation Skills		Engagement; (2) Use of slides, diagrams, code examples, and other visualisations; (3) Preparation of the presentation	The demonstration presents the functionality of all implemented levels (Levels 1-4) and sub-tasks, including the (1) the UX/UI implementation, the (2) Database implementation; and (3) the Java program.	functionality of all implemented levels (Levels 1-4) and sub-tasks, including the (1) the UX/UI	functionality of all implemented levels (Levels 1-4) and sub-tasks, including the (1) the UX/UI	The demonstration presents the minimal functionality of all implemented levels (Levels 1-4) and sub-tasks, including the (1) the UX/UI implementation, the (2) Database implementation; and (3) the Java program.	Insufficient for Poor category.
			Presentation is exceptionally well structured, engaging, and clear to follow.	Presentation is well structured and engaging with little confusion.	Presentation is adequately structured but does not flow well at times.	Presentation is difficult to follow and doesn't flows well. It is barely structured.	
			Excellent use of visualisations which are easy to follow and interpret.	Sufficient use visualisations which can be followed.	Visualisations are difficult to follow at times.	Use of appropriate visualisations is absent .	
			Presentation is fully prepared, with all material readily at hand.	Presentation is sufficiently prepared.	Presentation is prepared , but the presenters must find material to present, leaving the audience waiting.	Presentation is not adequately prepared	
			Audience is given an outstanding summary of how the presenter's website addresses the social challenge.	Audience is given a satisfactory summary of how the presenter's website addresses the social challenge.	Audience is given a summary of how the presenter's website addresses the social challenge, with missing elements, or inconsistencies.	Audience is given a limited summary of how the presenter's website addresses the social challenge.	
	5 marks	(1) Teamwork Peer Assessment and Contribution Document; (2) Organisation: regularity of activity, timeframe of completion of tasks; (3) Contribution: distribution, quality, and regularity; (4) Communication: regularity and suitability; (5) Teamwork is conducted over the entire course of the assessment.	Team member has completed the Teamwork Contribution and Peer Review Form.	Team member has completed the Teamwork Contribution and Peer Review Form.	Team member has completed the Teamwork Contribution and Peer Review Form.	Team member may not have completed the Teamwork Contribution and Peer Review Form.	Insufficient for Poor category.
			Team member has significant activity, and regular completion of tasks over the entire course of the assessment as evident through the team's Canvas Group Page and Git repository. Team member has significant and regular		Team member has some lack of regular activity, and/or late completion of tasks at times during the assessment, as evident through the team's Canvas Group Page and Git repository. Team member has some lack of regular	Team member has sporadic or late activity, and/or an untimely completion of tasks, throughout the assessment as evident through the team's Canvas Group Page and Git repository. Team member has some contribution to project,	
			contribution to all components of the project over the entire course of the assessment as evident on	the majority of the components of the project for	contribution to components of the project, and some lack of regular contribution at times during	but the contributions are minimally sufficient and on an irregular basis throughout the assessment as evident on the team's Canvas Group Page and Git repository, and their Contribution Form.	
			Team member maintains regular communication with the other team member(s) over the entire course of the assessment as evident on the team's Canvas Group Page.	Team member has satisfactory communication with the other team member(s) for the majority of the assessment as evident on the team's Canvas Group Page.	Team member has some lack of regular communication with the other team member(s) at times during the assessment as evident on the team's Canvas Group Page.	Team member has minimal, inconsistent and/or irregular communication with the other team member(s) throughout the assessment as evident on the team's Canvas Group Page.	