Problem Set 4

Hoang Nguyen, Huy Nguyen

December 27, 2019

Problem 1.

1. We have the log-likelihood function:

2. From previous result, if $\lambda_0 \in L$, we do not reject H_0. Otherwise, there is evidence to reject H_0.

Problem 2.

1. Take derivative of Gaussian expression respect to $\mu_1, \sigma_1, \mu_2, \sigma_2$ and set it equals to 0, we get:
$\hat{\mu_1} = \bar{X_{n1}}$, $\hat{\mu_2} = \bar{Y_{n2}}$, $\hat{\sigma_1}^2 = \frac{1}{n1}\sum_{i=1}^{n1}(X_i - \hat{\mu_1})^2$ and $\hat{\sigma_2}^2 = \frac{1}{n1}\sum_{i=1}^{n2}(Y_i - \hat{\mu_2})^2$.

2. We have: $\frac{n_1\hat{\sigma_1}^2}{\sigma_1^2} = \frac{n_1\frac{1}{n1}\sum_{i=1}^{n_1}(X_i-\mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1}(\frac{X_i-\mu_1}{\sigma_1})^2$. Since $\frac{X_i-\mu_1}{\sigma_1} \sim N(0,1)$, hence $\frac{n_1\hat{\sigma_1}^2}{\sigma_1^2} \sim \chi^2_{n1}$.
By similar method, we get $\frac{n_2\hat{\sigma_2}^2}{\sigma_2^2} \sim \chi^2_{n2}$

3. From previous result, $\frac{n_1\hat{\sigma_1}^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{n_2\hat{\sigma_2}^2}{\sigma_2^2} \sim \chi^2_{n1} + \chi^2_{n2} \sim \chi^2_{n1+n2}$.

4. From CTT, $\bar{X_{n1}} \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$, $\bar{X_{n2}} \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$. Hence, $\Delta = \hat{\mu_1} - \hat{\mu_2} = \bar{X_{n1}} - \bar{X_{n2}} \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$.

5. From the previous question, we get $\Delta = \hat{\mu_1} - \hat{\mu_2} = \bar{X_{n1}} - \bar{X_{n2}} \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$.
Under H_0: $\Delta \sim N(0, \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2})$. Hence, $\frac{\Delta}{\sigma_1^2+\sigma_2^2} \to \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma_1}^2+\hat{\sigma_2}^2}} \sim N(0,1)$.

Let's denote test statistic $T = \left|\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma_1}^2+\hat{\sigma_2}^2}}\right|$. We now $\mathbb{P}[T > c] = \alpha$, hence $c = 1 - \phi^{-1}(\frac{\alpha}{2})$.
Conclusion: If $T > c$, we reject H_0, otherwise, we fail to reject H_0.

6. In this case, from the previous qeuestion, we have $T = \left|\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma_1}^2+\hat{\sigma_2}^2}}\right| = \frac{8.43-8.07}{\sqrt{0.22^2+0.17^2}} = 1.295$. Morever, $c = \phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) = \phi^{-1}(0.975) = 1.96$. Because $T < c$, we fail to reject H_0 which means we can conclude two machines are significantly identical.
p-value=$\mathbb{P}[|N(0,1)| > 1.295] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[|N(0,1)| \leqslant 1.295] = 1 - (2\mathbb{P}[N(0,1) \leqslant 1.295] - 1) = 2 - 2\phi(1.295) = 0.3$.

Problem 3. Let denote the function $\mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} : g(\mu, \sigma^2) = \mu - \sqrt{\sigma^2}$. We have:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma}$$

Let denote $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X_n}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum(X_i - \hat{\mu})^2$. Hence, by this result:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu} - \mu) \longrightarrow N(0, \sigma^2).$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma^2} - \sigma^2) \longrightarrow N(0, 2\sigma^4)$$

Hence $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma^2})$ is asymptotically normal with covariance matrix:

$$\sum = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using Delta method we have:

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^2) - g(\mu,\sigma^2)) \longrightarrow N(0, \nabla^T_{\mu,\sigma^2}\Sigma\nabla_{\mu,\sigma^2}))$$

Where $\nabla_{\mu,\sigma^2} = \nabla g(\mu,\sigma^2) = [1 - \frac{1}{2\sigma}]^T$. Hence $\nabla^T_{\mu,\sigma^2}\Sigma\nabla_{\mu,\sigma^2} = [1 - \frac{1}{2\sigma}] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2\sigma} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{3}{2}\sigma^2$.

Hence $\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^2) - g(\mu,\sigma^2)) \longrightarrow N(0, \frac{3}{2}\sigma^2))$ which equivalents to $\sqrt{n}\frac{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}}{\sigma}(g(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^2) - g(\mu,\sigma^2)) \longrightarrow N(0,1)$.
Computing second order Delta method, we have:

$$ng(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^2)^T \frac{2}{3\sigma^2} g(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^2) \longrightarrow \chi^2_1$$

Let denote c is $(1-\alpha)$-quantile of Kai-square with 1 degree of fredom. Because this is one-sided test statistic, if $T > c$ we reject null hypothesis, othewise, we fail to reject null hypothesis.
Consider null hypothesis $H_0 : \mu = \sigma$
In our case, under null hypothesis, $T = ng(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^2)^T \frac{2}{3\sigma^2} g(\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}^2) = 100 \times (2.41 - \sqrt{5.2}) \times \frac{2}{3\times 5.2} \times (2.41 - \sqrt{5.2}) = 0.215$ and $c = 3.841$. Since $T < c$, we fail to reject H_0: $\mu = \sigma$. Hence, we also fail to reject H_0: $\mu < \sigma$.
If the sample size is n = 100, the sample average is 3.28 and the sample variance is 15.95, by similar method, we have $T = 2.13$. At level 0.05 we know c=3.841, hence $T < c =$¿ we fail to reject null hypothesis
At level 0.1, we know $c = 2.706$. Since $T < c$, we still fail to reject null hypothesis.