

Problem Set 5

Hoang Nguyen, Huy Nguyen

December 19, 2019

Problem 1.

1. From exercise 3 in Problem 2, If $Z \sim N(0, 1)$, we get: $\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma}\right| \leq t\right] = 2\mathbb{P}[Z \leq t] - 1$.
 X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n follow Poisson distribution. Hence, $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \lambda$ and $\mathbb{V}[X_i] = \lambda$
 From CTL: $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sim N(0, 1)$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right| \leq t\right] = 2\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leq t\right] - 1 = 1 - \alpha$.
 Hence, $\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leq t\right] = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Therefore, $t = \phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})$.
 We have $= \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right| \leq \phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\right] \rightarrow (1 - \alpha)$ as n tends to infinity. This equivalent to:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[-\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leq \phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right] \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bar{X}_n - \frac{\phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \lambda \leq \bar{X}_n + \frac{\phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{n}}\right] \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$$

We know: $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_n] = \lambda$
 Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bar{X}_n - \frac{\phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\bar{X}_n}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \lambda \leq \bar{X}_n + \frac{\phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\bar{X}_n}}{\sqrt{n}}\right] \geq 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow L = [\bar{X}_n - \frac{\phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\bar{X}_n}}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X}_n + \frac{\phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\bar{X}_n}}{\sqrt{n}}]$$

2. From previous result, if $\lambda_0 \in L$, we do not reject H_0 . Otherwise, there is evidence to reject H_0 .

Problem 2.

1. Take derivative of Gaussian expression respect to $\mu_1, \sigma_1, \mu_2, \sigma_2$ and set it equals to 0, we get:
 $\hat{\mu}_1 = \bar{X}_{n1}, \hat{\mu}_2 = \bar{X}_{n2}, \hat{\sigma}_1^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \hat{\mu}_1)^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = \frac{1}{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (Y_i - \hat{\mu}_2)^2$.

2. We have: $\frac{n_1 \hat{\sigma}_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} = \frac{n_1 \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i - \mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \left(\frac{X_i - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}\right)^2$. Since $\frac{X_i - \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \sim N(0, 1)$, hence $\frac{n_1 \hat{\sigma}_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \sim \chi_{n_1}^2$.

By similar method, we get $\frac{n_2 \hat{\sigma}_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \sim \chi_{n_2}^2$

3. From previous result, $\frac{n_1 \hat{\sigma}_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{n_2 \hat{\sigma}_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \sim \chi_{n_1}^2 + \chi_{n_2}^2 \sim \chi_{n_1+n_2}^2$.

4. From CTT, $\bar{X}_{n1} \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$, $\bar{X}_{n2} \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$. Hence, $\Delta = \hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2 = \bar{X}_{n1} - \bar{X}_{n2} \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$.

5. From the previous question, we get $\Delta = \hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_2 = \bar{X}_{n1} - \bar{X}_{n2} \sim N(\mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$.

Under H_0 : $\Delta \sim N(0, \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2})$. Hence, $\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}} \rightarrow \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}} \sim N(0, 1)$.

Let's denote test statistic $T = \left|\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}}\right|$. We now $\mathbb{P}[T > c] = \alpha$, hence $c = 1 - \phi^{-1}(\frac{\alpha}{2})$.

Conclusion: If $T > c$, we reject H_0 , otherwise, we fail to reject H_0 .

6. In this case, from the previous question, we have $T = \left|\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}}\right| = \frac{8.43 - 8.07}{\sqrt{0.22^2 + 0.17^2}} = 1.295$. Moreover,
 $c = \phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) = \phi^{-1}(0.975) = 1.96$. Because $T < c$, we fail to reject H_0 which means we can conclude two machines are significantly identical.

p-value = $\mathbb{P}[|N(0, 1)| > 1.295] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[|N(0, 1)| \leq 1.295] = 1 - (2\mathbb{P}[N(0, 1) \leq 1.295] - 1) = 2 - 2\phi(1.295) = 0.3$.

Problem 3. Let denote the function $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : g(\mu, \sigma^2) = \mu - \sqrt{\sigma^2}$. We have:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma}$$

Let denote $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}_n$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \hat{\mu})^2$. Hence, by this result:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu} - \mu) \rightarrow N(0, \sigma^2).$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2) \rightarrow N(0, 2\sigma^4)$$

Hence $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ is asymptotically normal with covariance matrix:

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using Delta method we have:

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) - g(\mu, \sigma^2)) \rightarrow N(0, \nabla_{\mu, \sigma^2}^T \Sigma \nabla_{\mu, \sigma^2})$$

Where $\nabla_{\mu, \sigma^2} = \nabla g(\mu, \sigma^2) = [1 - \frac{1}{2\sigma}]^T$. Hence $\nabla_{\mu, \sigma^2}^T \Sigma \nabla_{\mu, \sigma^2} = [1 - \frac{1}{2\sigma}] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sigma^4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2\sigma} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{3}{2}\sigma^2$.

Hence $\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) - g(\mu, \sigma^2)) \rightarrow N(0, \frac{3}{2}\sigma^2)$ which equivalent to $\sqrt{n}\frac{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sigma}(g(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) - g(\mu, \sigma^2)) \rightarrow N(0, 1)$. Computing second order Delta method, we have:

$$ng(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)^T \frac{2}{3\sigma^2} g(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) \rightarrow \chi_1^2$$

Let denote c is $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of Kai-square with 1 degree of freedom. Because this is one-sided test statistic, if $T > c$ we reject null hypothesis, otherwise, we fail to reject null hypothesis.

In our case, under null hypothesis, $T = ng(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)^T \frac{2}{3\sigma^2} g(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) > 100 \times (2.41 - \sqrt{5.2}) \times \frac{2}{3 \times 5.2} \times (2.41 - \sqrt{5.2}) = 0.215$ and $c = 3.841$

Problem 4. We have X_i is IID. Hence, $\mathbb{P}(M_n \leq t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq t)$.

By uniform distribution, the CDF of M_n :

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n \leq t) = F(t) = \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^n$$

Hence, the PDF of M_n is:

$$f(t) = \frac{dF}{dt} = n\theta^{-n}t^{n-1}$$

We can easily get:

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n] = \int_0^\theta tn\theta^{-n}t^{n-1}dt = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta \rightarrow \theta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

By Markov's Inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}[|M_n - \theta| > \epsilon] \leq \mathbb{P}[M_n - \theta > \epsilon] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[M_n - \theta]}{\epsilon} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[M_n] - \theta}{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$$

Hence, M_n converges in probability to θ .

2. From part 1 we get: $M_n : \mathbb{P}[M_n \leq t] = \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^n$. Hence, CDF of $n(1 - \frac{M_n}{\theta})$ is:

$$P\left[n\left(1 - \frac{M_n}{\theta}\right) \leq t\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[M_n \geq \frac{(n-t)\theta}{n}\right] = 1 - \left(\frac{n-t}{n}\right)^n \rightarrow 1 - e^{-t} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence, $n(1 - \frac{M_n}{\theta})$ converges in distribution to an exponential random variable with parameter 1.

3. Let A is an exponential random variable with parameter 1. Because $n(1 - \frac{M_n}{\theta})$ converges in distribution to X , we have:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[n\left(1 - \frac{M_n}{\theta}\right) \leq t\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}[X \leq t] = 1 - e^{-t}$$

$1 - e^{-t} = 0.95 \Rightarrow t = 3$. We have:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[n\left(1 - \frac{M_n}{\theta}\right) \leq 3\right] \rightarrow 0.95$$

which is:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\theta \leq \frac{nM_n}{n-3}\right] \rightarrow 0.95$$

On the other hand, we always have $\theta \geq M_n$ (uniform distribution). Hence, we get:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[M_n \leq \theta \leq \frac{nM_n}{n-3}\right] \rightarrow 0.95 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

We conclude $L = \left[M_n, \frac{nM_n}{n-3}\right] = \left[M_n, M_n + \frac{3M_n}{n-3}\right]$.

4. $bias(M_n) = \mathbb{M}_X - \theta = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta - \theta \neq 0$. Hence, M_n is biased.

As described in the doc, \mathbf{y} is a one-hot vector with a 1 for the true outside word o , that means y_i is 1 if and only if $i = o$. so the proof could be below:

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{w \in Vocab} y_w \log(\hat{y}_w) &= -[y_1 \log(\hat{y}_1) + \dots + y_o \log(\hat{y}_o) + \dots + y_w \log(\hat{y}_w)] \\ &= -y_o \log(\hat{y}_o) \\ &= -\log(\hat{y}_o) \\ &= -\log P(O = o | C = c) \end{aligned}$$

** (b) ** we know this derivatives:

$$\because J = CE(y, \hat{y}) \hat{y} = softmax(\theta) \therefore \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} = (\hat{y} - y)^T$$

y is a column vector in the above equation. So, we can use chain rules to solve the derivative:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial v_c} \\ &= (\hat{y} - y) \frac{\partial U^T v_c}{\partial v_c} \\ &= U^T (\hat{y} - y)^T \end{aligned}$$

** (c) ** similar to the equation above.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial U} \\ &= (\hat{y} - y) \frac{\partial U^T v_c}{\partial U} \\ &= v_c (\hat{y} - y)^T \end{aligned}$$