Problem Set 5

Hoang Nguyen, Huy Nguyen

December 15, 2019

Problem 1.

1. From exercise 3 in Problem 2, If $Z \sim N(0,1)$, we get: $\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma}\right| \leqslant t\right] = 2\mathbb{P}[Z \leqslant t] - 1$. $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ follow Poisson distribution. Hence, $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \lambda$ and $\mathbb{V}[X_i] = \lambda$ From CTL: $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sim N(0, 1)$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}\Big[|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}| \leqslant t\Big] = 2\mathbb{P}\Big[\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leqslant t\Big] - 1 = 1 - \alpha$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n-\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leqslant t\right] = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Therefore, $t = \phi^{-1}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})$. We have $= \mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right| \leqslant \phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\right] \to (1 - \alpha)$ as n tends to infinity. This equivalent to:

$$\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \left| \leq \phi^{-1} (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \right| \to (1 - \alpha)$$
 as n tends to infinity. This equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[-\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leqslant \phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\Big] \to 1 - \alpha$$

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\bar{X}_n - \frac{\phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{n}} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \bar{X}_n + \frac{\phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{n}}\Big] \to 1 - \alpha$$

We know: $\bar{X_n} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}[\bar{X_n}] = \lambda$ Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\bar{X_n} - \frac{\phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\bar{X_n}}}{\sqrt{n}} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \bar{X_n} + \frac{\phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\bar{X_i}}}{\sqrt{n}}\Big] \geqslant 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow L = [\bar{X}_n - \frac{\phi^{-1}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X}_n + \frac{\phi^{-1}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}]$$

2. From previous result, if $\lambda_0 \in L$, we do not reject H_0 . Otherwise, there is evidence to reject H_0 .

Problem 2.

- 1. Take derivative of Gaussian expression respect to $\mu_1, \sigma_1, \mu_2, \sigma_2$ and set it equals to 0, we get: $\hat{\mu_1} = \bar{X_{n1}}, \, \hat{\mu_2} = \bar{Y_{n2}}, \, \hat{\sigma_1}^2 = \frac{1}{n1} \sum_{i=1}^{n1} (X_i \hat{\mu_1})^2 \text{ and } \hat{\sigma_2}^2 = \frac{1}{n1} \sum_{i=1}^{n2} (Y_i \hat{\mu_2})^2.$ 2. We have: $\frac{n_1 \hat{\sigma_1}^2}{\sigma_1^2} = \frac{n_1 \frac{1}{n1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (X_i \mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (\frac{X_i \mu_1}{\sigma_1})^2.$ Since $\frac{X_i \mu_1}{\sigma_1} \sim N(0, 1)$, hence $\frac{n_1 \hat{\sigma_1}^2}{\sigma_1^2} \sim \chi_{n1}^2$. By similar method, we get $\frac{n_2 \hat{\sigma}_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \sim \chi_n^2$
- 3. From previous result, $\frac{n_1\hat{\sigma}_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{n_2\hat{\sigma}_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \sim \chi_{n1}^2 + \chi_{n2}^2 \sim \chi_{n1+n2}^2$
- 4. From CTT, $\bar{X}_{n1} \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$, $\bar{X}_{n2} \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$. Hence, $\Delta = \hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_2 = \bar{X}_{n1} \bar{X}_{n2} \sim N(\mu_1 \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$.
- 5. From the previous question, we get $\Delta = \hat{\mu_1} \hat{\mu_2} = \bar{X_{n1}} \bar{X_{n2}} \sim N(\mu_1 \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$. Under $H_0: \Delta \sim N(0, \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2})$. Hence, $\frac{\Delta}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \to \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma_1}^2 + \hat{\sigma_2}^2}} \sim N(0, 1)$.

Let's denote test statistic $T = \left| \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}} \right|$. We now $\mathbb{P}[T > c] = \alpha$, hence $c = 1 - \phi^{-1}(\frac{\alpha}{2})$.

Conclusion: If T > c, we reject H_0 , otherwise, we fail to reject H_0 .

6. In this case, from the previous queestion, we have $T = \left| \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma_1}^2 + \hat{\sigma_2}^2}} \right| = \frac{8.43 - 8.07}{\sqrt{0.22^2 + 0.17^2}} = 1.295$. Morever, $c = \phi^{-1}(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}) = \phi^{-1}(0.975) = 1.96$. Because T < c, we fail to reject H_0 which means we can conclude two machines are significantly identical.

$$\text{p-value} = \mathbb{P}[|N(0,1)| > 1.295] = 1 - \mathbb{P}[|N(0,1)| \leqslant 1.295] = 1 - (2\mathbb{P}[N(0,1) \leqslant 1.295] - 1) = 2 - 2\phi(1.295) = 0.3.$$

Problem 3.

1. By central limit theorem (CLT), we have:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim (N(0, 1))$$

Hence, $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ can be $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ can be μ .

2. We have: $Z \backsim N(0,1)$

Hence,
$$\mathbb{P}[|Z| \leqslant t] = \mathbb{P}[-t \leqslant Z \leqslant t] = \phi(t) - \phi(-t) = \phi(t) - (1 - \phi(t)) = 2\phi(t) - 1 = 2\mathbb{P}[Z \leqslant t] - 1$$
.

3. From part 1 we get:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim (N(0, 1))$$

from part 2 we get:

$$\mathbb{P}[|Z| \leqslant t] = 2\mathbb{P}[Z \leqslant t] - 1$$

Substitution:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma}\right| \leqslant t\right] = 2\mathbb{P}[Z \leqslant t] - 1$$

We have $2\mathbb{P}[Z\leqslant t]-1=0.95\Rightarrow t=\phi^{-1}(\frac{0.95+1}{2})=1.96$ Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma}\right| \leqslant 1.96\right] = 0.95$$

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[-\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma} \leqslant 1.96 \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma}\Big] = 0.95$$

Because X_i is Poisson random variable with parameter λ , so $\mu = \lambda$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{\lambda}$ We get:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[-\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leqslant 1.96 \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\Big] = 0.95$$

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\bar{X}_i - \frac{1.96\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{n}} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \bar{X}_i + \frac{1.96\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{n}}\Big] = 0.95$$

We know: $\bar{X}_i \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_i] = \lambda$ Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\bar{X}_i - \frac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \bar{X}_i + \frac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}\Big] \geqslant 0.95$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{L}{=}[\bar{X}_i - \tfrac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X}_i + \tfrac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}]$$

4. We can easily see $\min(X_i) \leqslant \bar{X}_i \leqslant \max(X_i)$. Hence, a new interval can be:

$$L = \left[min(X_i) - \frac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}, max(X_i) + \frac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$$

Problem 4. We have X_i is IID. Hence, $\mathbb{P}(M_n \leq t) = \prod_{n=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq t)$. By uniform distribution, the CDF of M_n :

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n \leqslant t) = F(t) = \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^n$$

Hence, the PDF of M_n is:

$$f(t) = \frac{dF}{dt} = n\theta^{-n}t^{n-1}$$

We can easily get:

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n] = \int_0^\theta t n \theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta \to \theta \text{ as } \mathbf{n} \to \infty$$

By Markov's Inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[|M_n - \theta| > \epsilon\Big] \leqslant \mathbb{P}[M_n - \theta > \epsilon] \leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}[M_n - \theta]}{\epsilon} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[M_n] - \theta}{\epsilon} \to 0$$

Hence, M_n converages in probility to θ .

2. From part 1 we get: M_n : $\mathbb{P}[M_n \leq t] = \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^n$. Hence, CDF of $n(1 - \frac{M_n}{\theta})$ is:

$$P\left[n(1-\frac{M_n}{\theta})\leqslant t\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[M_n\geqslant \frac{(n-t)\theta}{n}\right] = 1-\left(\frac{n-t}{n}\right)^n \to 1-e^{-t} \text{ as } \mathbf{n} \to \infty$$

Hence, $n(1-\frac{M_n}{\theta})$ converages in distribution to an exponential random variable with parameter 1.

3. Let A is an exponential random variable with parameter 1. Because $n(1-\frac{M_n}{\theta})$ converages in distribution to X, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[n(1-\frac{M_n}{\theta}) \leqslant t\Big] \to \mathbb{P}[X \leqslant t] = 1 - e^{-t}$$

 $1 - e^{-t} = 0.95 \Rightarrow t = 3$. We have:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[n(1-\frac{M_n}{\theta})\leqslant 3\Big]\to 0.95$$

which is:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\theta \leqslant \frac{nM_n}{n-3}\Big] \to 0.95$$

On the other hand, we always have $\theta \geqslant M_n$ (uniform distribution). Hence, we get:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[M_n \leqslant \theta \leqslant \frac{nM_n}{n-3}\Big] \to 0.95 \text{ as } \mathbf{n} \to \infty$$

We conclude $L = \left[M_n, \frac{nM_n}{n-3} \right] = \left[M_n, M_n + \frac{3M_n}{n-3} \right]$.

4. $bias(M_n) = \mathbb{M}_{\ltimes} - \theta = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta - \theta \neq 0$. Hence, M_n is biased. As described in the doc, \boldsymbol{y} is a one-hot vector with a 1 for the true outside word o, that means y_i is 1 if and only if i == o, so the proof could be below: $i! - \sum_{w \in V \text{ ocab}} y_w \log(\hat{y}_o) = -i$

$$-\sum_{w \in V \, ocab} y_w \log(\hat{y}_w) = -[y_1 \log(\hat{y}_1) + \dots + y_o \log(\hat{y}_o) + \dots + y_w \log(\hat{y}_w)]$$

$$= -y_o \log(\hat{y}_o)$$

$$= -\log(\hat{y}_o)$$

$$= -\log P(O = o|C = c)$$

(b) we know this deravatives:

$$\therefore J = CE(y, \hat{y})\hat{y} = softmax(\theta) \therefore \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} = (\hat{y} - y)^T$$

y is a column vector in the above equation. So, we can use chain rules to solve the deravitive:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial v_c} \\ &= (\hat{y} - y) \frac{\partial U^T v_c}{\partial v_c} \\ &= U^T (\hat{y} - y)^T \end{split}$$

(c) similar to the equation above.

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c} &= \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial U} \\ &= (\hat{y} - y) \frac{\partial U^T v_c}{\partial U} \\ &= v_c (\hat{y} - y)^T \end{split}$$