Problem Set 2

Hoang Nguyen, Huy Nguyen

September 16, 2019

Problem 1.

1. We know $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 1.p + 0.(1-p) = p$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = 1.p + 0.(1-p) = p$. Hence, $\mathbb{V}[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] - (\mathbb{E}[X_i])^2 = p(1-p)$.

2. Theorem: If bias $\to 0$ and se (standard error) $\to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ then $\hat{\theta}$ is consistent, that is, $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{P}} \theta$.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_{i}(1-\bar{X}_{i})] &= \mathbb{V}[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}{n} (1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}}{n})] = \mathbb{V}[\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \Big(n-\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\Big)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{4}} \mathbb{V}[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \Big(n-\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\Big)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{4}} \mathbb{V}\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1-X_{i})\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{4}} \mathbb{V}\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} (1-X_{i}) + \sum_{i\neq i} X_{i} (1-X_{j})\Big] \end{split}$$

 $X_i \in 0, 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i (1 - X_i) = 0$ Hence,

$$\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)] = \frac{1}{n^4} \mathbb{V}\Big[\sum_{i \neq j} X_i(1-X_j)\Big]$$

By X_i is IID:

$$V[\bar{X}_i(1 - \hat{\bar{X}}_i)] = \frac{1}{n^4} \sum_{i \neq j} V[X_i] V[1 - X_i]$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^4} n(n-1) p(p-1)$$

The last equality tends to 0 as $n \to \infty$. So we have $\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)] \to 0$.

Hence, se $(\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)) = \sqrt{\mathbb{V}[\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)]} \to 0.$ (1)

By similar method, we can esaily get: $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)] = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i\neq j} \mathbb{E}[X_i(1-X_j)] = \frac{n(n-1)}{n^2} p(1-p) \to p(1-p)$ as $n \to \infty$.

Hence, bias $\left(\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)\right) = \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)] - p(1-p) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$ (2)

From (1), (2) and the theorem above, we get $\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)$ is a consistent estimator of p(1-p).

3. We actually complete this exercise in previous solution.

bias
$$(\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)) = \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)] - p(1-p) = \frac{n(n-1)}{n^2}p(1-p) - p(1-p).$$

4. To find an unbiased estimator, we have to find x such that $\frac{xn(n-1)}{n^2} = 1 \Rightarrow x = \frac{n}{n-1}$. Hence, an unbiased estimator can be $\frac{n}{n-1}\bar{X}_i(1-\bar{X}_i)$

Problem 2.

- 1. $(\mathbb{N}, (Poiss(\lambda))_{\lambda>0})$. This paramter is identified.
- 2. $(\mathbb{R}_+, (Exp(\lambda))_{10>\lambda>0})$. This parameter is identified.
- 3. $(\mathbb{R}_+, (Uni(0,\theta))_{\theta>0})$. This parameter is identified.
- 4. $(\mathbb{R}, (N(\mu, \sigma^2))_{(\mu, \sigma^2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+})$. These parater are identified.

5.

$$\mathbb{P}(N(\mu, \sigma^2) > 0) = \mathbb{P}\left(N(0, 1) > \frac{-\mu}{\sigma^2}\right) = \phi(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2})$$

Hence, the statistical model is: $(\{0,1\}, (Ber(\phi(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}))_{(\mu,\sigma^2)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+})$. This model depends on $\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \Rightarrow$ these parameters are not identified.

- 6. Same for 3.
- 7. Let $X \sim Exp(\lambda) \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(X > 20) = e^{-20\lambda}$. Hence, the statistical model is:

$$(\{0,1\},(Ber(e^{-20\lambda}))_{\lambda>0})$$

This parameter is identified.

8. Let $X \sim Ber(p)$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} X_i = 1 \text{ if machine i has timelife less than 500 days} \\ X_i = 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Hence:

$$p = \mathbb{P}(X_i = 1) = 1 - e^{-500\lambda}$$

The number of machines that have stopped working before 500 days is a binominal random variable with parameter (67, $1 - e^{-500\lambda}$)

The statistical model is $(\{1, 2, 3, ..., 67\}, (Binominal(67, 1 - e^{-500\lambda}))_{\lambda > 0})$. This parameter is identified.

Problem 3.

1. By central limit theorem (CLT), we have:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim (N(0, 1))$$

Hence, $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ can be $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma}$ and $(b_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ can be μ .

2. We have: $Z \backsim N(0,1)$

Hence,
$$\mathbb{P}[|Z| \leqslant t] = \mathbb{P}[-t \leqslant Z \leqslant t] = \phi(t) - \phi(-t) = \phi(t) - (1 - \phi(t)) = 2\phi(t) - 1 = 2\mathbb{P}[Z \leqslant t] - 1$$
.

3. From part 1 we get:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma} \sim (N(0, 1))$$

from part 2 we get:

$$\mathbb{P}[|Z| \leqslant t] = 2\mathbb{P}[Z \leqslant t] - 1$$

Substitution:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma}\right| \leqslant t\right] = 2\mathbb{P}[Z \leqslant t] - 1$$

We have $2\mathbb{P}[Z \leqslant t] - 1 = 0.95 \Rightarrow t = \phi^{-1}(\frac{0.95 + 1}{2}) = 1.96$. Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[|\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma}| \leqslant 1.96\Big] = 0.95$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[-\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma} \leqslant 1.96 \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \mu)}{\sigma}\right] = 0.95$$

Because X_i is Poisson random variable with parameter λ , so $\mu = \lambda$ and $\sigma = \sqrt{\lambda}$ We get:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[-\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \leqslant 1.96 \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_i - \lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\Big] = 0.95$$

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\bar{X}_i - \frac{1.96\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{n}} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \bar{X}_i + \frac{1.96\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{n}}\Big] = 0.95$$

We know: $\bar{X}_i \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}_i] = \lambda$ Hence,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\bar{X}_i - \frac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}} \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \bar{X}_i + \frac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}\Big] \geqslant 0.95$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}{=}[\bar{X}_i - \tfrac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{X}_i + \tfrac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}]$$

4. We can easily see $\min(X_i) \leq \bar{X}_i \leq \max(X_i)$. Hence, a new interval can be:

$$L = [min(X_i) - \frac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}, max(X_i) + \frac{1.96\sqrt{\bar{X}_i}}{\sqrt{n}}]$$

Problem 4. We have X_i is IID. Hence, $\mathbb{P}(M_n \leq t) = \prod_{n=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_i \leq t)$. By uniform distribution, the CDF of M_n :

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n \leqslant t) = F(t) = \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^n$$

Hence, the PDF of M_n is:

$$f(t) = \frac{dF}{dt} = n\theta^{-n}t^{n-1}$$

We can easily get:

$$\mathbb{E}[M_n] = \int_0^\theta t n \theta^{-n} t^{n-1} dt = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta \to \theta \text{ as } \mathbf{n} \to \infty$$

By Markov's Inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[|M_n - \theta| > \epsilon\Big] \leqslant \mathbb{P}[M_n - \theta > \epsilon] \leqslant \frac{\mathbb{E}[M_n - \theta]}{\epsilon} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[M_n] - \theta}{\epsilon} \to 0$$

Hence, M_n converages in probility to θ .

2. From part 1 we get: M_n : $\mathbb{P}[M_n \leq t] = \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^n$. Hence, CDF of $n(1 - \frac{M_n}{\theta})$ is:

$$P\left[n(1-\frac{M_n}{\theta})\leqslant t\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[M_n\geqslant \frac{(n-t)\theta}{n}\right] = 1-\left(\frac{n-t}{n}\right)^n \to 1-e^{-t} \text{ as } \mathbf{n} \to \infty$$

Hence, $n(1-\frac{M_n}{\theta})$ converages in distribution to an exponential random variable with parameter 1.

3. Let A is an exponential random variable with parameter 1. Because $n(1 - \frac{M_n}{\theta})$ converages in distribution to X, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[n(1-\frac{M_n}{\theta}) \leqslant t\Big] \to \mathbb{P}[X \leqslant t] = 1 - e^{-t}$$

 $1 - e^{-t} = 0.95 \Rightarrow t = 3$. We have:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[n(1-\frac{M_n}{\theta})\leqslant 3\Big]\to 0.95$$

which is:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[\theta \leqslant \frac{nM_n}{n-3}\Big] \to 0.95$$

On the other hand, we always have $\theta \geqslant M_n$ (uniform distribution). Hence, we get:

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[M_n\leqslant \theta\leqslant \frac{nM_n}{n-3}\Big] \to 0.95 \text{ as } \mathbf{n} \to \infty$$

We conclude
$$L = \left[M_n, \frac{nM_n}{n-3}\right] = \left[M_n, M_n + \frac{3M_n}{n-3}\right].$$

4. $bias(M_n) = \mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{K}} - \theta = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta - \theta \neq 0$. Hence, M_n is biased.