

Canada

**André Blais, Université de Montréal
Elisabeth Gidengil, McGill University
Richard Nadeau, Université de Montréal
Neil Nevitte, University of Toronto**

Canadian Election Study, 1997

Study Documentation

August 6, 2020

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Scholars Portal (SP) , Ontario Council of University Libraries
Production Date	August 2, 2017
Version	Version September 15, 2017 Version October 19, 2017
Identification	CES-E-1997

Table of Contents

Overview.....	4
Scope & Coverage.....	4
Producers & Sponsors.....	4
Data Collection.....	5
Accessibility.....	5
Rights & Disclaimer.....	5
Files Description.....	6
CPS&PES&MBS&WEB 2011 final.....	6
Variables Group(s).....	7
Geographic Variables.....	7
Respondent Identification.....	7
1997 Campaign Period Survey.....	7
1997 Post Election Survey.....	7
1997 Mail Back Survey.....	7
1997 CPS/PES/MBS Respondents.....	7
Weights.....	8
Vote Intentions.....	8
Interest and Campaign Activities.....	9
Personal Finances and the Economy.....	10
Leader and Party Evaluation.....	11
Placements.....	12
Policy I.....	14
National Economic Conditions.....	16
Policy II.....	17
Party Identification and Vote History.....	18
Debate.....	19
Personal Information.....	20
The Vote.....	21
Interest and Media.....	22
Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation.....	23
Policy.....	25
Collectivities.....	28
Leader Traits.....	29
Media Habits.....	31
Personal Information.....	33
Section A- Issues I.....	33
Section B- Issues II.....	34
Section C- Societal Influences.....	34
Section D- Public Rights.....	36
Section E- Issues III.....	37
Section F- Confidence in Institutions.....	38
Section G- Issues IV.....	38
Section H- Electoral Issues.....	39
Section I- Leader and Party Evaluation.....	40
Section J- Demographics.....	41
Section K- Voting Methods.....	41
PES Sampling and Study Procedures.....	42
CPS Sampling and Study Procedures.....	43
MBS Sampling and Study Procedures.....	44
Chance of Winning.....	44
Leader Traits.....	46
Personal Information.....	48
Variables Description.....	49
CPS&PES&MBS&WEB 2011 final.....	50

Canadian Election Study, 1997 (CES 1997)

Étude électorale canadienne 1997

Overview	
Type	Canadian Election Study
Identification	CES-E-1997
Series	<p>The primary mandate of the Canadian Election Study is to provide a thorough account of the election, to underline the main reasons why people vote the way they do, to indicate what does and does not change during the campaign and from one election to another, and to highlight similarities and differences between voting and elections in Canada and in other democratic countries.</p> <p>The second mandate is to contribute to the development of scientific knowledge regarding the motivations of voters and the meanings of elections and election campaigns in democratic societies.</p> <p>The third mandate is to assemble a rich set of data about Canadians' attitudes and opinions on a wide variety of social, economic, and political issues, and to make that data publicly available to researchers in political science, sociology, economics, communications, and journalism.</p>
Abstract <p>The 1997 Canadian Election Survey (CES) included three survey components. The Campaign-Period Survey (CPS) was completed in the 36 days between the election call, on April 27th, and the last day of the campaign, June 1st. The Post-Election Survey (PES) was completed with respondents to the CPS in the eight weeks after the June 2nd election. The Mailback Survey (MBS) was completed with respondents to the PES from June 19 to October 24, 1997.</p>	
Kind of Data	Survey data
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
Keywords	Candidates, Corruption, Debate, Economic Conditions, Elections, Individual, Influences, Leaders, Media, Policies, Political Parties, Promises, Results, Seats, Taxes, Votes, Voting
Topics	Election, Politics, Public Opinion
<u>Time Period(s)</u>	1997
<u>Countries</u>	Canada
<u>Geographic Coverage</u> Canada, Provinces	
<u>Universe</u> Canadian Electorate	

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	André Blais, Université de Montréal Elisabeth Gidengil, McGill University Richard Nadeau, Université de Montréal Neil Nevitte, University of Toronto
Other Producer(s)	Institute for Social Research (ISR) , York University , Distributor
Funding Agency/ies	Elections Canada

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 1997-04-27 end 1997-10-24
Time Period(s)	single 1997
<u>Data Collection Notes</u> <p>The 1997 Canadian Election Survey (CES) included three survey components.¹ The Campaign-Period Survey (CPS) was completed in the 36 days between the election call, on April 27th, and the last day of the campaign, June 1st. The Post-Election Survey (PES) was completed with respondents to the CPS in the eight weeks after the June 2nd election. The Mailback Survey (MBS) was completed with respondents to the PES from June 19 to October 24, 1997. Approximately 110 interviews were completed each day of the CPS for a total of 3,949 interviews. Eighty percent, or 3,170, of the CPS respondents completed the PES survey, and 1,857 (59 percent) of the PES respondents completed the mailback survey. A rolling cross sectional sample release was employed for the campaign-period survey. The sample selection methodology used in the 1997 Canadian Election Survey was similar to that used in the 1988 and 1993 Canadian Election Studies (data collection for these surveys was also completed at ISR). Random digit dialling (RDD) procedures were utilized to select households, and, within households, the birthday selection method was used to select respondents. Both the English and French interviewing was completed at the Institute's centralized telephone facilities in Toronto using Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) techniques. The Institute uses software from the Computer-Assisted Survey Methods Program (CSM) at the University of California, Berkeley.</p>	

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Canadian Opinion Research Archive
<u>Citation Requirements</u> <p>Publications based on CES data collection should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.</p>	

Rights & Disclaimer	
<u>Disclaimer</u> <p>The original collector of the data and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.</p>	
Copyright	Copyright (c) Institute for Social Research, 2012

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final	
# Cases	3949
# Variable(s)	626

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 43 group(s)

Group Geographic Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	province	Province in Which Respondent Resides	discrete	numeric-2.0	3949	0	-
2	areacode	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	3949	0	-

Group Respondent Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idnum	Respondent Identification Number	continuous	numeric-5.0	3949	0	-
2	cpsrgen	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-

Group 1997 Campaign Period Survey

Subgroup(s)	Vote Intentions , Interest and Campaign Activities , Personal Finances and the Economy , Leader and Party Evaluation , Placements , Policy I , National Economic Conditions , Policy II , Party Identification and Vote History , Debate , Personal Information , CPS Sampling and Study Procedures , Chance of Winning
--------------------	---

Group 1997 Post Election Survey

Subgroup(s)	The Vote , Interest and Media , Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation , Policy , Collectivities , Leader Traits , Media Habits , Personal Information , PES Sampling and Study Procedures
--------------------	---

Group 1997 Mail Back Survey

Subgroup(s)	Section A- Issues I , Section B- Issues II , Section C- Societal Influences , Section D- Public Rights , Section E- Issues III , Section F- Confidence in Institutions , Section G- Issues IV , Section H- Electoral Issues , Section I- Leader and Party Evaluation , Section J- Demographics , Section K- Voting Methods , MBS Sampling and Study Procedures , Personal Information
--------------------	---

Group 1997 CPS/PES/MBS Respondents

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sentq	Respondents to Whom Questionnaire Mailed	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
2	rtype1	Respondent <Campaign>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
3	rtype2	Respondent <Post Election>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
4	rtype3	Respondent <Mailback>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
5	rlink	Linking CPS/PES/MBS Respondents	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
6	wave	Responded To What Wave Of The Survey	discrete	numeric-3.0	3949	0	-

Group Weights							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsghwgt	Household Weight - CES Campaign	continuous	numeric-6.4	3949	0	-
2	cpspwgt1	Provincial Weight <All of Canada> - CES Campaign	continuous	numeric-6.4	3949	0	-
3	cpspwgt2	Provincial Weight <Excluding Quebec> - CES Campaign	continuous	numeric-6.4	3949	0	-
4	cpsnwgt1	National Weight <All of Canada> - CES Campaign	continuous	numeric-6.4	3949	0	-
5	cpsnwgt2	National Weight <Excluding Quebec> - CES Campaign	continuous	numeric-6.4	3949	0	-

Group Vote Intentions							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsa1	Most Important Issue To You Personally	discrete	numeric-2.0	3103	846	What is the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this election?
2	cpsa2a	Personally> Preserving National Unity	discrete	numeric-1.0	3803	146	Preserving national unity. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
3	cpsa2b	Personally> Reducing The Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	3849	100	Reducing the deficit. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
4	cpsa2c	Personally> Creating Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3899	50	Creating jobs. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
5	cpsa2d	Personally> Cutting Taxes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3855	94	Cutting taxes. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
6	cpsa2e	Personally> Keeping Election Promises	discrete	numeric-1.0	3849	100	Keeping election promises. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
7	cpsa2f	Personally> Protecting Social Programmes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3881	68	Protecting social programmes. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
8	cpsa2g	Personally> Fighting Crime	discrete	numeric-1.0	3892	57	Fighting crime?. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
9	cpsa2h	Personally>Defending Interests Of Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	1009	2940	Defending the interests of Quebec. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
10	cpsa3	On Election Day Certain to Vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	3874	75	On election day are you CERTAIN TO VOTE, LIKELY, UNLIKELY, or CERTAIN NOT TO VOTE?
11	cpsa4	Party You Think You Will Vote For	discrete	numeric-2.0	2313	1636	Which party do you think you will vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							in the province of Quebec)/the Reform Party (all others), or another party?
12	cpsa5	Party You Are Leaning Towards	discrete	numeric-2.0	735	3214	Which party are you leaning toward now? (If respondent answered "don't know", or "undecided" for #a4) / Is there a party you are leaning towards? (If respondent answered "none" for #a4)
13	cpsa7	Which Party Would Be Your Second Choice	discrete	numeric-2.0	2643	1306	And which party would be your second choice? The Liberals, Conservatives, NDP, Reform, or Bloc Québécois? (Omit party identified in #a4/#a5)

Group Interest and Campaign Activities

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsb1	Attention Paid>News About Election on TV	discrete	numeric-2.0	3901	48	On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means no attention at all, and 10 means a great deal of attention, how much attention did you pay, over the last few days, to news about the election on TV?
2	cpsb2	Attention Paid>Election News in the Newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	3896	53	...and news about the election in the NEWSPAPER? On the same scale from 0 to 10, how much attention did you pay, over the last few days, to news about the election in the NEWSPAPER?
3	cpsb3	Attention Paid>Election News on the Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	3902	47	...and news on the RADIO about the election? On the same scale from 0 to 10, how much attention did you pay, over the last few days, to RADIO news about the election?
4	cpsb4	Rate Interest in This Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	3876	73	On the same scale, where 0 means no interest at all and 10 means a great deal of interest, how would you rate your interest in this particular election campaign?
5	cpsb5	Rate Interest in Politics Generally	discrete	numeric-2.0	3903	46	And your interest in politics generally? 0 means no interest at all and 10 means extremely interested?
6	cpsb6	Saw TV Commercials for a Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3918	31	In the last few days, did you see any TV commercials for a political party?
7	cpsb7	Heard Radio Commercials for a Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3904	45	And did you hear any radio commercials for a political party?
8	cpsb8	Talked About Election With Friends/Relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	3939	10	In the last few days, have you talked about the election with friends and relatives often, occasionally, or not at all?
9	cpsb8a	Talked About Election With Other People	discrete	numeric-1.0	3941	8	And have you talked about the election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?
10	cpsb8b	Disagreed With People You Talked With	discrete	numeric-1.0	2827	1122	Have you disagreed with the people you talked with, most of the time, some of the time, or not at all?
11	cpsb9	Satisfaction>Way Democracy Works In Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3796	153	On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	cpsb10a	Those Elected to Parliament Lose Touch With the People	discrete	numeric-1.0	3811	138	First, those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree?
13	cpsb10b	People Like Me Do Not Have Say in What the Government Does	discrete	numeric-1.0	3849	100	People like me don't have any say about what the government does. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?
14	cpsb10c	Politics and Government Seem So Complicated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3870	79	Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on? Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?
15	cpsb10d	Do Not Think Government Cares What People Think	discrete	numeric-1.0	3852	97	I don't think the government cares much what people like me think. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?
16	cpsb10e	Politicians Are Ready to Lie to Get Elected	discrete	numeric-1.0	3827	122	Politicians are ready to lie to get elected. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?

Group Personal Finances and the Economy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpssc1	Better/Worse Off Financially Than Year Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	3921	28	Financially, are you better off, WORSE off, or about the same as a year ago?
2	cpssc1a	Much/Somewhat Better Off Than Year Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	680	3269	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
3	cpssc1b	Much/Somewhat Worse Off Than Year Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	1182	2767	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?
4	cpssc2	Better/Worse Off Financially Year From Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	3636	313	Do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially, WORSE off, or about the same as now?
5	cpssc2a	Much/Somewhat Better Off Year From Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	1019	2930	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
6	cpssc2b	Much/Somewhat Worse Off Year From Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	586	3363	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?
7	cpssc3	Policies Of Federal Government Made You:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3814	135	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made you BETTER off, WORSE off, or haven't they made much of a difference?
8	cpssc4	Policies Provincial Government Made You:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3637	312	Have the policies of the [province in which respondent resides] government made you BETTER off, WORSE off, or haven't they made much of a difference?
9	cpssc5	Unemployment Since Liberals Came To Power	discrete	numeric-1.0	3606	343	Do you think unemployment in CANADA has GONE UP, GONE DOWN, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME since the Liberals came to power?
10	cpssc6	In the Next Few Years Unemployment Will Go Up	discrete	numeric-1.0	3675	274	And in the next few years, do you think unemployment will go UP, go DOWN, or STAY ABOUT THE SAME?

Group Leader and Party Evaluation							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsdr1	How Much Do You Know About> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-1.0	3914	35	First, JEAN CHRETIEN? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Jean Chretien?
2	cpsdr2	How Much Do You Know About> Jean Charest	discrete	numeric-1.0	3913	36	And what about JEAN CHAREST? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Jean Charest?
3	cpsdr3	How Much Do You Know About> Alexa McDonough	discrete	numeric-1.0	3883	66	ALEXA MCDONOUGH? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Alexa McDonough?
4	cpsdr4	How Much Do You Know About> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-1.0	2896	1053	PRESTON MANNING? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Preston Manning?
5	cpsdr5	How Much Do You Know About> Gilles Duceppe	discrete	numeric-1.0	1003	2946	GILLES DUCEPPE? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Gilles Duceppe?
6	cpsd1a	Rating> Jean Charest	discrete	numeric-3.0	2542	1407	How do you feel about JEAN CHAREST? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.
7	cpsd1b	Rating> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-3.0	3383	566	How do you feel about JEAN CHRÉTIEN? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.
8	cpsd1c	Rating> Alexa McDonough	discrete	numeric-3.0	1319	2630	How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE her and 100 means you really LIKE her
9	cpsd1d	Rating> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-3.0	2245	1704	How do you feel about PRESTON MANNING? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike him and 100 means you really like him
10	cpsd1e	Rating> Gilles Duceppe	discrete	numeric-3.0	652	3297	How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.
11	cpsd1g	Rating> Federal Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3555	394	How do you feel about... the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.
12	cpsd1h	Rating> Federal Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3657	292	On the same scale, how do you feel about...the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party
13	cpsd1i	Rating> Federal New Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3457	492	On the same scale, how do you feel about...the FEDERAL N.D.P? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.
14	cpsd1j	Rating> Reform Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3462	487	On the same scale, how do you feel about the...THE REFORM PARTY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
15	cpsd1k	Rating> Bloc Quebecois	discrete	numeric-3.0	3514	435	On the same scale, how do you feel about... the BLOC Québécois? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.
16	cpsd1l	Rating> Politicians In General	discrete	numeric-3.0	3742	207	And on the same scale, how do you feel about POLITICIANS IN GENERAL? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike them and 100 means you really like them.
17	cpsd1f	Rating> Brian Mulroney	discrete	numeric-3.0	3688	261	And how do you feel about BRIAN MULRONEY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike him and 100 means you really like him.
18	cpsd1n	Rating> Lucien Bouchard	discrete	numeric-3.0	990	2959	How do you feel about Lucien Bouchard? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike him and 100 means you really like him.

Group Placements

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpse1a	Cut Taxes and Cut Social Programmes or Improve Social Programmes and Increase Taxes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3610	339	We face tough choices. Cutting taxes means cutting social programmes and improving social programmes means increasing taxes. IF YOU HAD TO CHOOSE, would you cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?
2	cpse1b	Cut Taxes> By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	991	2958	Cut a lot, somewhat or a little? Should taxes be cut a lot, somewhat, or a little?
3	cpse1c	Increase Taxes> By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	466	3483	Increased a lot, somewhat or a little? Should taxes be increased a lot, somewhat, or a little?
4	cpse1d	Liberal Party Wants To Cut Taxes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3058	891	Remember, cutting taxes means cutting social programmes. Do you think the Liberal Party wants to cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?
5	cpse1e	Liberals Want to Cut Taxes> By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	486	3463	Cut a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Liberal Party want to cut taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?
6	cpse1f	Liberals Want to Increase Taxes> By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	834	3115	Increased a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Liberal Party want to increase taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?
7	cpse1g	Conservatives Want To Cut Taxes	discrete	numeric-1.0	2849	1100	Remember, cutting taxes means cutting social programmes. Do you think the Conservative Party wants to cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?
8	cpse1h	Conservatives Want to Cut Taxes> By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	1289	2660	Cut a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Conservative Party want to cut taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?
9	cpse1i	Conservatives Want to Increase Taxes>By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	608	3341	Increased a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Conservative Party want to increase taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?
10	cpse1j	New Democratic Party Wants To Cut Taxes	discrete	numeric-1.0	2506	1443	Remember, cutting taxes means cutting social programmes. Do you think the

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							N.D.P. wants to cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?
11	cpse1k	NDP Wants to Cut Taxes> By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	735	3214	Cut a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the N.D.P. want to cut taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?
12	cpse1l	NDP Wants to Increase Taxes> By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	945	3004	Increased a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Liberal Party want to increase taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?
13	cpse1m	Reform Party Wants To Cut Taxes	discrete	numeric-1.0	1999	1950	Remember, cutting taxes means cutting social programmes. Do you think the Reform Party wants to cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?
14	cpse1n	Reform Party Wants to Cut Taxes> By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	1143	2806	Cut a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Reform Party want to cut taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?
15	cpse1o	Reform Party Wants to Increase Taxes> By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	314	3635	Increased a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Reform Party want to increase taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?
16	cpse1p	Bloc Quebecois Wants To Cut Taxes	discrete	numeric-1.0	662	3287	Remember, cutting taxes means cutting social programmes. Do you think the Bloc Québécois wants to cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?
17	cpse1q	Bloc Quebecois Want to Cut Taxes> By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	174	3775	Cut a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Bloc Québécois want to cut taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?
18	cpse1r	Bloc Quebecois Want to Increase Taxes>By How Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	163	3786	Increased a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Bloc Québécois want to increase taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?
19	cpse3a	How Much Should Be Done For Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	3647	302	How much do you think should be done for Quebec: MORE, LESS or ABOUT THE SAME as now?
20	cpse3b	How Much More Should be Done for Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	811	3138	Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Should a lot more be done for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?
21	cpse3c	How Much Less Should be Done for Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	1068	2881	Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Should a lot less be done for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?
22	cpse3d	How Much Do Liberals Want to Do For Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	3075	874	How much does the Liberal Party want to do for Quebec: MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME as now?
23	cpse3e	Liberals Want to Do For Quebec> How Much More	discrete	numeric-1.0	1220	2729	Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Does the Liberal Party want to do a lot more for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?
24	cpse3f	Liberals Want to Do For Quebec> How Much Less	discrete	numeric-1.0	206	3743	Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Does the Liberal Party want to do a lot less for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?
25	cpse3g	How Much Do Conservatives Want to Do For Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	2825	1124	Does the Conservative Party want to do MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME for Quebec?
26	cpse3h	Conservatives Want to Do For Quebec> How Much More	discrete	numeric-1.0	975	2974	Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Does the Conservative Party want to do a lot more for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
27	cpse3i	Conservatives Want to Do For Quebec> How Much Less	discrete	numeric-1.0	323	3626	Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Does the Conservative Party want to do a lot less for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?
28	cpse3j	How Much NDP Want to Do For Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	2227	1722	What does the N.D.P. want to do for Quebec? Does the N.D.P. want to do MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME for Quebec?
29	cpse3k	NDP Want to Do For Quebec> How Much More	discrete	numeric-1.0	350	3599	Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Does the N.D.P. want to do a lot more for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?
30	cpse3l	NDP Want to Do For Quebec> How Much Less	discrete	numeric-1.0	605	3344	Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Does the N.D.P. want to do a lot less for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?
31	cpse3m	How Much Reform Party Wants Do For Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	1940	2009	What does the Reform Party want to do for Quebec? Does the Reform Party want to do MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME for Québec?
32	cpse3n	Reform Party Want to Do For Quebec> How Much More	discrete	numeric-1.0	181	3768	Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Does the Reform Party want to do a lot more for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?
33	cpse3o	Reform Party Want to Do For Quebec> How Much Less	discrete	numeric-1.0	1201	2748	Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Does the Reform Party want to do a lot less for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?
34	cpse3p	How Much Bloc Québécois Want Do For Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	868	3081	What does the Bloc Québécois want to do for Quebec? Does the Bloc Québécois want to do MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME for Québec?
35	cpse3q	Bloc Québécois Want to Do For Quebec> How Much More	discrete	numeric-1.0	676	3273	Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Does the Bloc Québécois want to do a lot more for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?
36	cpse3r	Bloc Québécois Want to Do For Quebec> How Much Less	discrete	numeric-1.0	55	3894	Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Does the Bloc Québécois want to do a lot less for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?

Group Policy I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsf1	How Much Should Be Done for Racial Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3653	296	How much do you think should be done for RACIAL MINORITIES: more, less, or about the same as now?
2	cpsf2	Only Married People Be Having Children	discrete	numeric-1.0	3801	148	Only people who are married should be having children. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
3	cpsf3	Society Would Be Better Off if Women Stayed Home With Children	discrete	numeric-1.0	3790	159	Society would be better off if more women stayed home with their children. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	cpsf4	There's Not Much Any Government Can Do to Solve Unemployment	discrete	numeric-1.0	3814	135	There's not much ANY government can do these days to solve the unemployment problem. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
5	cpsf5	To Maintain Our Social Programmes We Must Eliminate the Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	3631	318	To maintain our social programmes we must eliminate the deficit? Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
6	cpsf6	The Government Should Leave it Entirely to the Private Sector to Create Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3766	183	The government should leave it ENTIRELY to the private sector to create jobs. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
7	cpsf7	What Were Liberals Elected to Do in 1993	discrete	numeric-2.0	3475	474	What were the Liberals elected to do in 1993: ONE: Create jobs OR TWO: Fight the deficit?
8	cpsf8	Best Way To Fight Unemployment	discrete	numeric-2.0	3395	554	Which is the best way to fight unemployment: eliminate the deficit, or reduce taxes?
9	cpsf10a	Liberals> Preserving National Unity	discrete	numeric-1.0	3522	427	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Preserving national unity? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL of preserving national unity?
10	cpsf10b	Liberals> Reducing The Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	3491	458	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Reducing the deficit? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
11	cpsf10c	Liberals> Creating Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3585	364	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Creating jobs? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
12	cpsf10d	Liberals> Keeping Election Promises	discrete	numeric-1.0	3562	387	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Keeping election promises? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
13	cpsf10e	Liberals> Defending Interests Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	930	3019	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Defending the interests of Quebec? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
14	cpsf10f	Liberals> Fighting Crime	discrete	numeric-1.0	3326	623	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Fighting crime? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
15	cpsf10g	Liberals> Protecting Social Programs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3564	385	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Protecting social programs? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
16	cpsf11	Job By Reform Party In Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	2132	1817	How good a job has the Reform Party done in Parliament? Has the Reform party done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
17	cpsf12	Job By Bloc Quebecois In Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	889	3060	How good a job has the BLOC QUÉBÉCOIS done in Parliament? Has the BLOC QUÉBÉCOIS done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
18	cpsf13	Party Promising To Lower Income Taxes 10%	discrete	numeric-1.0	3942	7	Do you happen to remember which party is promising to lower personal income taxes by TEN percent?
19	cpsf14	Party Promising Cut Unemployment in Half By 2001	discrete	numeric-1.0	3443	506	Do you happen to remember which party is promising to cut unemployment in half by year 2001?
20	cpsf15	Party Against Recognizing Quebec as a Distinct Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	3435	514	And do you happen to remember which party is against recognizing Quebec as a distinct society?

Group National Economic Conditions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsg1	Over The Past Year Canada's Economy...	discrete	numeric-1.0	3776	173	Over the PAST YEAR, has CANADA's economy GOTTEN BETTER, GOTTEN WORSE, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME?
2	cpsg1a	Have the Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	1171	2778	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy better, or haven't they made much difference?
3	cpsg1b	Have the Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	762	3187	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy worse, or haven't they made much difference?
4	cpsg2	Over the Past Year Province's Economy...	discrete	numeric-1.0	3779	170	And what about the economy of [province in which respondent resides]? Would you say that over the PAST YEAR [provinces]'s economy has GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or GOTTEN WORSE?
5	cpsg2a	Have the Federal Policies Made Province's Economy Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	1097	2852	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made [province in which respondent resides]'s better, or haven't they made much difference
6	cpsg2b	Have the Federal Policies Made Province's Economy Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	1207	2742	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made [province in which respondent resides]'s economy worse, or haven't they made much difference?
7	cpsg3a	In the Next 12 Months Canada's Economy Will...	discrete	numeric-1.0	3576	373	What about the NEXT 12 months? Will CANADA's economy get BETTER,

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							GET WORSE or STAY ABOUT THE SAME?
8	cpsg3b	In the Next 12 Months Province's Economy Will...	discrete	numeric-1.0	3648	301	And in the NEXT 12 months, will [province in which respondent resides]'s economy get BETTER, GET WORSE, or STAY ABOUT THE SAME?

Group Policy II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsj1a	Party Best At> Preserving National Unity	discrete	numeric-2.0	3134	815	In your view which party would be BEST at preserving national unity?
2	cpsj1b	Party Best At> Creating Jobs	discrete	numeric-2.0	3073	876	Which party would be BEST at CREATING JOBS?
3	cpsj1c	Party Best At> Cutting Taxes	discrete	numeric-2.0	3066	883	Which party would be BEST at CUTTING TAXES?
4	cpsj1d	Party Best At> Keeping Promises	discrete	numeric-2.0	3133	816	Which party would do BEST at KEEPING PROMISES?
5	cpsj1e	Party Best At>Defending the Interests of Quebec	discrete	numeric-2.0	854	3095	Which party would be BEST at DEFENDING THE INTERESTS OF Quebec?
6	cpsj1f	Party Best At> Protecting Social Programmes	discrete	numeric-2.0	3164	785	Which party would be BEST at PROTECTING SOCIAL PROGRAMMES?
7	cpsj1g	Party Best At> Fighting Crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	2829	1120	Which party would do BEST at FIGHTING CRIME?
8	cpsj2	1993 Campaign> Liberals Promise to Do Away with the GST	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	577	In the 1993 election campaign, did the Liberals promise to do away with the GST?
9	cpsj2b	Did Liberals Really Try Keep GST Promise	discrete	numeric-1.0	2964	985	Did the Liberals REALLY TRY to keep their promise?
10	cpsj2c	How You Feel About Liberals Not Trying to Keep Promise	discrete	numeric-1.0	2474	1475	How do you feel about this? Are you VERY ANGRY, QUITE ANGRY, or NOT VERY ANGRY?
11	cpsj3	Shoud Quebec Be Recognized As Distinct Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	2713	1236	Should Québec be recognized as a distinct society?
12	cpsj3c	Would You Change Your Mind if Being Distinct Keeps Quebec in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	1777	2172	Would you change your mind if this keeps Québec in Canada?
13	cpsj3a	Favourability to Quebec Sovereignty	discrete	numeric-1.0	947	3002	Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed or very opposed to Québec sovereignty
14	cpsj4	Likeliness That Quebec Will Separate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3762	187	How likely is it that Québec will separte from Canada: VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY?
15	cpsj4a	Does Possibility of Separation Worry You	discrete	numeric-1.0	2784	1165	Does the possibility of Québec seperating worry you a lot, somewhat, a little, or not at all?
16	cpsj5	Gap Between Rich And Poor In Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3819	130	Do you think the gap between rich and poor in Canada has INCREASED, DECREASED, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME over the last few years?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
17	cpsj5a	How Much Has Gap Between Rich And Poor Increased	discrete	numeric-1.0	2706	1243	Has the gap increased A LOT, QUITE A BIT, or A LITTLE?
18	cpsj6	Have Federal Spending Cuts Been Fair/Unfair	discrete	numeric-1.0	3553	396	Would you say the federal government's spending cuts have been FAIR or UNFAIR, on the whole?
19	cpsj7	Who Has Been Hardest Hit By Spending Cuts	discrete	numeric-1.0	3814	135	Who has been hit the hardest by these cuts: THE POOR, THE MIDDLE CLASS, or THE RICH?
20	cpsj9	Aboriginal Peoples Compared to Other Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	3648	301	In general, would you say that Canada's Aboriginal peoples are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Canadians?
21	cpsj10	Federal Spending for Aboriginal Peoples	discrete	numeric-1.0	3605	344	Should the federal government spend MORE, spend LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW for Aboriginal peoples?
22	cpsj18	Canada Should Admit More Immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	3760	189	Do you think Canada should admit more immigrants, fewer immigrants or about the same as now?
23	cpsj19	Has Pollution in Canada Got Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	3763	186	Do you think that pollution in Canada has got worse, got better or stayed about the same in the last few years?
24	cpsj20	Has Crime in Canada Gone Up	discrete	numeric-1.0	3809	140	Do you think that crime in Canada has gone up, gone down or stayed about the same in the last few years?
25	cpsj21	Best Way to Deal With Young Offenders	discrete	numeric-2.0	3747	202	Which is the best way to deal with young offenders who commit violent crime: ONE: give them tougher sentences or TWO: spend more on rehabilitating them?
26	cpsj12	How the Federal Government Treats Your Provinces Compared to Other Parts	discrete	numeric-1.0	3666	283	In general, does the federal government treat your province/territory BETTER, WORSE, or ABOUT THE SAME as other provinces/parts of Canada?
27	cpsj13	Do Political Parties Keep Election Promises	discrete	numeric-1.0	3826	123	Do political parties keep their election promises MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or HARDLY EVER?
28	cpsj14	Have You Heard About The Somalia Affair	discrete	numeric-1.0	3891	58	Have you heard about the Somalia Affair?
29	cpsj14a	How Well the Federal Government Handled The Somalia Affair	discrete	numeric-1.0	2825	1124	Has the federal government handled this VERY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT VERY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?
30	cpsj15	Government's Decision Hold the Election at This Time	discrete	numeric-1.0	2725	1224	In your view, is the government's decision to hold the election at this time completely acceptable, acceptable, unacceptable, or completely unacceptable?

Group Party Identification and Vote History

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsk1	In Federal Politics Do You Think Of Yourself As...	discrete	numeric-2.0	3522	427	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P., Reform (if respondent does not reside in the province of Quebec), Bloc

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec), or none of these?
2	cpsk2	How Strongly Do You Feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	2461	1488	How strongly [party identified in #k1] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
3	cpsk3	Are You a Little Closer to One Federal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	1131	2818	Do you generally think of yourself as being a LITTLE closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
4	cpsk4	Which Federal Party Are You Closer To	discrete	numeric-2.0	421	3528	Which party is that?
5	cpsk5	Did You Vote In Last Federal Election - 1993	discrete	numeric-1.0	3872	77	Did you vote in the 1993 Federal election?
6	cpsk6	Which Party Voted For in Last Federal Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	2770	1179	Which party did you vote for?
7	cpsk13	If a Provincial Election Was Held Today, Which Party Would You Vote For:	discrete	numeric-2.0	3071	878	If a Provincial election were held today in [province in which respondent resides] which party would you vote for?
8	cpsk14	Provincial Party You Are Leaning Towards	discrete	numeric-2.0	232	3717	Which party are you leaning toward?
9	cpsk15	Did You Vote in Quebec Referendum on Sovereignty	discrete	numeric-1.0	1013	2936	Did you vote in the Québec referendum on sovereignty in 1995?
10	cpsk16	Did You Vote Yes or No In 1995 Quebec Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	833	3116	Did you vote YES, or did you vote NO?

Group Debate

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsl1	Did You See English TV Debate Among Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	2294	1655	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?
2	cpsl1a	Did You See All of The English TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	896	3053	Did you see ALL of the debate, MOST of the debate or just SOME of it?
3	cpsl1b	Which Party Leader Did Best In TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1339	2610	From what you have heard/in your opinion, which leader did the BEST in that debate?
4	cpsl1c	Which Party Leader Did Worst In TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1164	2785	And which leader did the WORST?
5	cpsl2	Did You See French TV Debate Among Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	2141	1808	Did you see the FIRST FRENCH TV debate, which took place on May 13th?
6	cpsl2a	Did You See All of The French TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	472	3477	Did you see ALL of the debate, MOST of the debate or just SOME of it?
7	cpsl2b	Which Party Leader Did Best In TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	834	3115	From what you have heard/ In your opinion, who did the BEST in that debate?
8	cpsl2c	Which Party Leader Did Worst In TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	798	3151	And who did the WORST?
9	cpsl3	In the Past Week Did You See/Hear Polls About How Well the Parties Are Doing?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3895	54	IN THE PAST WEEK, did you see or hear any polls about how well the parties are doing?
10	cpsl4	Where Do You Get Most of Your Information About the Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3831	118	Where do you get MOST of your information about the election: TV, radio, newspapers, family, or friends?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	cpsl5	Most Important Source of Election Info	discrete	numeric-1.0	241	3708	And between TV, radio, and newspapers? Which is MOST important?
12	cpsl6	Do You Recall The Name of the President of USA	discrete	numeric-1.0	3918	31	Do you recall the name of the President of the United States?
13	cpsl11	Do You Recall Name> Federal Minister Finance	discrete	numeric-1.0	3925	24	Do you recall the name of the Minister of Finance of Canada?
14	cpsl12	Do You Recall Name> Provincial Premier	discrete	numeric-2.0	3917	32	Do you recall the name of the Premier/ Government Leader (if respondent resides in Yukon) of [province in which respondent resides]
15	cpsl13	Do You Recall Name> First Woman Prime Minister of Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3920	29	Do you recall the name of the first woman to be Prime Minister of Canada?

Group Personal Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsage	Respondent's Year of Birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	3884	65	First, in what year were you born?
2	cpsm2	Respondent's Marital Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	3909	40	Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?
3	cpsm3	Highest Level of Education Completed	discrete	numeric-2.0	3894	55	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?
4	cpsm4	Present Employment Status	discrete	numeric-2.0	3897	52	Are you presently self employed, working for pay, are you unemployed, retired, a student, or a homemaker?
5	cpsm4a	Main Income Earner	discrete	numeric-1.0	1207	2742	And are you the main income earner in your household?
6	cpsm6	Occupation <Stats Canada CCDO Code>	discrete	numeric-4.0	2914	1035	What is/was your family's principal wage-earner's main occupation?
7	cpsm7	Work for Private Firm/ Public/Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3180	769	Do you/ Did you / Does he/she / Did he/she work for a private company, in the public sector, such as hospital, school or municipality, in a governmentowned company, or a Federal or Provincial government ministry?
8	cpsm7a	Work for Federal/Provincial Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	268	3681	Is that the federal or provincial government?
9	cpsm8	Out of Work/Laid Off During Last Year	discrete	numeric-1.0	2440	1509	Were you / Was the family's principal wage-earner out of work or laid off at any time during the last year?
10	cpsm8a	Are You Worried About Losing Job In The Near Future	discrete	numeric-1.0	2391	1558	How worried are you about losing your job/ your family's principle wage earner losing their job in the near future? A lot, somewhat, a little, or not at all?
11	cpsm9	Do You or a Househohld Member Belong to a Union	discrete	numeric-1.0	3881	68	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?
12	cpsm10	Religious Affiliation	discrete	numeric-2.0	3848	101	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Islam, another religion, or none?
13	cpsm10a	Church or Denomination	discrete	numeric-2.0	1275	2674	What church or denomination is that?
14	cpsm11	Respondent's Country of Birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	3917	32	In what country were you born?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
15	cpsm12	What Year Did You Come to Live in Canada	discrete	numeric-4.0	501	3448	In what year did you come to live in Canada?
16	cpsm13	To What Ethnic or Cultural Group Do You Belong	discrete	numeric-2.0	3751	198	To what ethnic or cultural group did you, or your ancestors belong on first coming to this continent?
17	cpsm14	What Language Do You Usually Speak At Home	discrete	numeric-2.0	3915	34	What language do you usually speak at home?
18	cpsm15	Language First Learned and Still Understood	discrete	numeric-2.0	3912	37	What is the first language you learned and still understand?
19	cpsm16	Total Household Income>Thousands	discrete	numeric-3.0	2631	1318	Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, what was your TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME before taxes and other deductions for 1996?
20	cpsm16a	Total Household Income>Category	discrete	numeric-2.0	797	3152	We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...
21	cpsm17	Number of Children Under 18 Living in Home	discrete	numeric-1.0	3909	40	How many children under 18 years live in your home?
22	cpsm18	Do You Have Long-Term Disability or Handicap	discrete	numeric-1.0	3899	50	Do you have any long-term disability or handicap?
23	cpsm19	Does Long-Term Disability or Handicap Affect:	discrete	numeric-1.0	329	3620	Does it affect your sight, hearing, mobility, agility, or something else?
24	cpsknow	Respondent's General Level of Knowledge and Information	discrete	numeric-1.0	3840	109	-
25	postcode	Postal Code <Forward Sortation Area>	discrete	character-3	3828	121	-
26	blish81r	Occupation:Respondent> Blishen 1981 SES	continuous	numeric-6.2	2530	1419	-
27	pinporr	Respondent> Pineo-Porter 1981 Category	discrete	numeric-2.0	2784	1165	-

Group The Vote

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesa1	Most Important Issue To You Personally	discrete	numeric-2.0	2519	1430	What was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this election?
2	pesa2a	Did You Vote in the Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	1525	2424	Did YOU vote in the election?
3	pesa2b	Did You Vote in the Election> Democracy	discrete	numeric-1.0	1638	2311	In a democracy, citizens have the right to vote. They also have the right not to. And some people who intend to vote end up not voting for one reason or another. What about you, did you vote in the election or not?
4	pesa3	Particular Reason Why You Did Not Vote	discrete	numeric-2.0	523	3426	Was there any particular reason why you didn't vote?
5	pesa4	Which Party Did You Vote For	discrete	numeric-2.0	2312	1637	Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party, the Conservative Party, the New Democratic Party, the Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							province of Quebec)/ the Reform Party (all others), or another party?
6	pesa4a	Your Preference for the Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2275	1674	Would you say that your preference for the [party specified in #a4] party was VERY STRONG, FAIRLY STRONG or NOT VERY STRONG?
7	pesa4b	Which Party Was Your Second Choice	discrete	numeric-2.0	2274	1675	And which party was your second choice? The Liberals, Conservatives, N.D.P., or Reform (if respondent does not reside in the province of Quebec)/ Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec)?
8	pesa4c	When Decide You Were Going to Vote...	discrete	numeric-1.0	1124	2825	When did you decide that you were going to vote [party identified in #a4]? Was it before the campaign began, before the T.V. debates, during or just after the debates, in the last two weeks of the campaign, or election day?
9	pesa4d	When Decide You Were Going to Vote...	discrete	numeric-2.0	1150	2799	When did you decide that you were going to vote [party identified in #a4]?
10	pesa5b	Satisfaction> The Way Democracy Works Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3073	876	On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?
11	pesa6	Having Reform As The Official Opposition	discrete	numeric-1.0	2569	1380	Do you think that having the Reform as the Official Opposition is a good thing or a bad thing for Canada?
12	pesa7a	Conservatives And Reform Joined Together	discrete	numeric-1.0	2500	1449	Do you think it would be a good thing or a bad thing if the Conservatives and Reform joined together to form one party?
13	pesa8	What Have The Liberals Been Elected to Do	discrete	numeric-2.0	2318	1631	In your view, what have the Liberals been elected to do?

Group Interest and Media

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesb1	Attention Paid>News About Election on TV	discrete	numeric-2.0	3153	796	On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means no attention at all, and 10 means a great deal of attention, how much attention did you pay to news about the election campaign on TV?
2	pesb2	Attention Paid>Election News in Newspaper	discrete	numeric-2.0	3144	805	And news about the election campaign in the NEWSPAPER? On the same scale from 0 to 10, how much attention did you pay to news about the election campaign in the NEWSPAPER?
3	pesb3	Attention Paid>Election News on the Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	3150	799	And news on the RADIO about the election campaign? On the same scale from 0 to 10, how much attention did you pay to RADIO news about the election campaign?
4	pesb4	How Would You Rate Interest In Election Campaign	discrete	numeric-2.0	3144	805	On the same scale, where 0 means no interest at all and 10 means a great deal of interest, how would you rate your interest in the election campaign?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	pesb5	Talked About Election With Friends/Relatives During Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3163	786	During the CAMPAIGN, did you talk about the election with friends and relatives often, occasionally, or not at all?
6	pesb6	Talked About Election With Other People	discrete	numeric-1.0	3162	787	During the election campaign, did you talk about the election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?

Group Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesdr1	How Much Do You Know About> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-1.0	3146	803	First, JEAN CHRETIEN? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Jean Chretien?
2	pesdr2	How Do You Know About> Jean Charest	discrete	numeric-1.0	3148	801	And what about JEAN CHAREST? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Jean Charest?
3	pesdr3	How Much Do You Know About> Alexa McDonough	discrete	numeric-1.0	3147	802	ALEXA MCDONOUGH? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Alexa McDonough?
4	pesdr4	How Much Know About> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-1.0	3150	799	PRESTON MANNING? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Preston Manning?
5	pesdr5	How Much Know About> Gilles Duceppe	discrete	numeric-1.0	790	3159	GILLES DUCEPPE? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Gilles Duceppe?
6	pesflag1	Party Leader Randomization	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
7	pesc1a	Rating> Jean Charest	discrete	numeric-3.0	2947	1002	How do you feel about JEAN CHAREST? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.
8	pesc1b	Rating> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-3.0	3064	885	How do you feel about JEAN CHRÉTIEN? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.
9	pesc1c	Rating> Alexa McDonough	discrete	numeric-3.0	2813	1136	How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE her and 100 means you really LIKE her.
10	pesc1d	Rating> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-3.0	3000	949	How do you feel about PRESTON MANNING? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike him and 100 means you really like him.
11	pesc1e	Rating> Gilles Duceppe	discrete	numeric-3.0	773	3176	How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike him and 100 means you really like him.
12	pesflag2	Political Party Randomization	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
13	pesc2a	Rating> Federal Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3002	947	How do you feel about ...the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.
14	pesc2b	Rating> Federal Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3049	900	On the same scale, how do you feel about...the FEDERAL LIBERAL

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							PARTY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.
15	pesc2c	Rating> Federal New Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	2926	1023	On the same scale, how do you feel about...the FEDERAL N.D.P.? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.
16	pesc2d	Rating> Reform Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	2972	977	On the same scale, how do you feel about... the Reform Party? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.
17	pesc2e	Rating> Bloc Quebecois	discrete	numeric-3.0	2939	1010	On the same scale, how do you feel about... the BLOC Québécois? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.
18	pesc2f	Rating> Politicians In General	discrete	numeric-3.0	3032	917	And on the same scale...POLITICIANS IN GENERAL? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike them and 100 means you really like them.
19	pesc3a	Rating> Conservative Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	2363	1586	How do you feel about...the CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate.
20	pesc3b	Rating> Liberal Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	2501	1448	How do you feel about...the LIBERAL CANDIDATE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate
21	pesc3c	Rating> NDP Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	2337	1612	How do you feel about... the NDP CANDIDATE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate.
22	pesc3d	Rating> Reform Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	1854	2095	How do you feel about...the REFORM CANDIDATE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate
23	pesc3e	Rating> Bloc Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	568	3381	How do you feel about ...the BLOC Québécois CANDIDATE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate.
24	pesc4	Rating> Paul Martin	discrete	numeric-3.0	2904	1045	First, PAUL MARTIN? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.
25	pesc5	Rating> Provincial Premier	discrete	numeric-3.0	3057	892	How do you feel about [respondent's Provincial Premier]? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.
26	pesc6	Rating> Pierre Elliott Trudeau	discrete	numeric-3.0	2966	983	How do you feel about...PIERRE ELLIOTT TRUDEAU? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him

Group Policy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pese6a	Cut Spending> Defence	discrete	numeric-1.0	3067	882	Would you cut DEFENCE spending A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?
2	pese6b	Cut Spending> Welfare	discrete	numeric-1.0	3081	868	Welfare? Would you cut WELFARE spending A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?
3	pese6c	Cut Spending> Pensions/Old Age Security	discrete	numeric-1.0	3128	821	Pensions and Old Age Security? Would you cut PENSIONS A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?
4	pese6d	Cut Spending> Health Care	discrete	numeric-1.0	3142	807	Health Care? you cut HEALTH CARE A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?
5	pese6e	Cut Spending> Unemployment Insurance	discrete	numeric-1.0	3070	879	Unemployment Insurance? Would you cut UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?
6	pese6f	Cut Spending> Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	3132	817	Education? Would you cut EDUCATION A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?
7	pese6g	Cut Spending> Aid Developing Countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	3068	881	Aid to developing countries? Would you cut AID TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?
8	pese3	How Much Power You Think Unions Should Have	discrete	numeric-1.0	3062	887	How much power do you think UNIONS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
9	pese2	How Much Should Be Done for Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	3003	946	And how much do you think should be done for BUSINESS: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
10	pese1	How Much Should Be Done for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	3036	913	How much do you think should be done for WOMEN: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
11	pese4	Canada's Ties With the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3072	877	Do you think Canada's ties with the United States should be MUCH CLOSER, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?
12	pese5a	Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1052	2897	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: One: abortion should NEVER be permitted, Two: should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor, OR Three: should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE?
13	pese5b	Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1024	2925	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							positions, which is closest to your own opinion: One: Abortion should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor, Two: should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE, or Three: should NEVER be permitted?
14	pese5c	Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1012	2937	pese5c question details
15	pese7a	Opinion> Government Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	1459	2490	Which comes closer to your own view: One: The government should not provide services to people who can pay for them out of their own pocket, or Two: We can only be sure everyone's needs are met if the government provides the same services to all?
16	pese7b	Opinion> Government Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	1512	2437	Which comes closer to your own view: One: We can only be sure everyone's needs are met if the government provides the same services to all, or Two: The government should not provide services to people who can pay for them out of their own pocket?
17	pese9a	Over the Last Five Years, the Quality of Education...	discrete	numeric-1.0	2935	1014	Over the last FIVE years, do you think that the quality of education in [province in which respondent resides] has got better, got worse, or stayed about the same?
18	pese9b	Quality of Education is How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	1515	2434	Is that much worse or a little worse?
19	pese9c	Over the Last Five Years, the Quality of Health Care...	discrete	numeric-1.0	3079	870	And what about health care? Over the last FIVE years, has the quality of health care in [province in which respondent resides] got better, got worse, or stayed about the same?
20	pese9d	Quality of Health Care is How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	2143	1806	Is that much worse or a little worse?
21	pese9e	Level of Government Most Responsible for Cuts to Health Care	discrete	numeric-1.0	2810	1139	Which level of government has been most responsible for the cuts to health care: the federal government or the provincial/territorial government?
22	pese10	Favourability To Quebec Sovereignty	discrete	numeric-1.0	746	3203	Are you VERY FAVOURABLE, SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE, SOMEWHAT OPPOSED, or VERY OPPOSED to Québec sovereignty, that is Québec is no longer a part of Canada?
23	pese11b	How Likely Will Canada Become Part Of U.S.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2267	1682	If Québec separates, how/ How likely is it that in the long-run Canada will become a part of the United States: VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY?
24	pese10a	Standard of Living if Quebec Separates	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	982	If Québec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?
25	pese10b	Standard of Living How Much Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	336	3613	A LOT better or A LITTLE better?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
26	pese10c	Standard of Living How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	933	3016	A LOT worse or A LITTLE worse?
27	pese10d	Is the French Language Threatened in Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	3166	In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Québec?
28	pese10e	If Quebec Separates, the Situation of French Language in Quebec Will....	discrete	numeric-1.0	766	3183	If Québec separates from Canada, do you think that the situation of French language in Québec will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?
29	pese10f	Language Situation Will Be How Much Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	243	3706	A LOT better or A LITTLE better?
30	pese10g	Language Situation Will Be How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	75	3874	A LOT worse or A LITTLE worse?
31	pese11d	If Quebec Separates, Will There Be A Close Economic Union Between Quebec and Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2936	1013	If Québec separates how likely is it that there will be a close economic union between Québec and Canada: VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY?
32	pese12	Only Police & Military Should Be Allowed Have Guns	discrete	numeric-1.0	3102	847	Only police officers and the military should be allowed to have guns. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
33	pese13	Capital Punishment Is Never Justified	discrete	numeric-1.0	3000	949	Capital punishment is never justified, no matter what the crime. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
34	pese15	Politicians Are Ready to Lie to Get Elected	discrete	numeric-1.0	3084	865	Politicians are ready to lie to get elected. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
35	pese16	Any Government That Accepts a High Level of Unemployment Deserves to be Defeated	discrete	numeric-1.0	2970	979	Any government that accepts a high level of unemployment deserves to be defeated. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
36	pese18	Everyone Should Be Forced Retire At 65	discrete	numeric-1.0	3130	819	Everyone should be forced to retire at age 65. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
37	pese28	Issues That Matter to Women Were Not Discussed in This Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	2427	1522	The issues that really matter to women just never got discussed in this election. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
38	pese25	It Would Be a Good Thing If Canada & USA Become One Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	3033	916	It would be a good thing if Canada and the United States became one country. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
39	pese19	People Who Do Not Get Ahead Should Blame Themselves, Not the System	discrete	numeric-1.0	3003	946	People who don't get ahead should blame themselves, not the system. Do you STRONGLY AGREE,

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
40	pese20	When Businesses Make Money, Everyone Benefits	discrete	numeric-1.0	3055	894	When businesses make a lot of money, everyone benefits, including the poor. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
41	pese21	Would Rather Trust Down-to-Earth People Than Experts	discrete	numeric-1.0	2940	1009	I'd rather put my trust in the down-to-earth thinking of ordinary people than in experts. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
42	pese22	Which Party Promised to Lower Personal Tax 10 %	discrete	numeric-1.0	3168	781	Do you happen to remember which party promised to lower personal income taxes by TEN percent?
43	pese23	Which Party Promised to Cut Unemployment in Half	discrete	numeric-1.0	3167	782	And which party promised to cut unemployment in half by year 2001?
44	pese29	Which Party Said All Provinces Should be Treated Equally	discrete	numeric-1.0	3165	784	And do you remember which party said all provinces should be treated equally?
45	pese30	What Was Meant By Provinces Being Treated Equally	discrete	numeric-2.0	1450	2499	What do you think they meant by that?
46	pese24	Which Party Was Against Recognizing Quebec as a Distinct Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	3164	785	And which party was against recognizing Québec as a distinct society?

Group Collectivities

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesf1	Rating> How Do You Feel About Big Business	discrete	numeric-3.0	3042	907	How do you feel about...BIG BUSINESS? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.
2	pesf2	Rating> How Do You Feel About Unions	discrete	numeric-3.0	3110	839	How do you feel about...UNIONS? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.
3	pesf3	Rating> How Do You Feel About Feminists	discrete	numeric-3.0	3004	945	How do you feel about...FEMINISTS? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.
4	pesf5	Rating> How Do You Feel About People On Welfare	discrete	numeric-3.0	2950	999	How do you feel about...PEOPLE ON WELFARE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.
5	pesf6	Rating>How Do You Feel About Aboriginal Peoples	discrete	numeric-3.0	2994	955	How do you feel about...ABORIGINAL PEOPLES? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.
6	pesf7	Rating> How Do You Feel About The Police	discrete	numeric-3.0	3113	836	How do you feel about...THE POLICE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.
7	pesf8	Rating> How Do You Feel About Racial Minorities	discrete	numeric-3.0	2920	1029	How do you feel about...RACIAL MINORITIES? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.
8	pesf9	Rating>How Do You Feel About Babyboomers	discrete	numeric-3.0	2911	1038	How do you feel about...the BABYBOOMER GENERATION? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.
9	pesf10	Rating> How Do You Feel About Gays & Lesbians	discrete	numeric-3.0	2962	987	How do you feel about...GAYS AND LESBIANS?
10	pesf11a	Rating> How Do You Feel About Canada	discrete	numeric-3.0	3137	812	How do you feel about CANADA? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE Canada and 100 means you really LIKE Canada.
11	pesf11b	Rating> How Do You Feel About Your Province	discrete	numeric-3.0	3140	809	How do you feel about [province in which respondent resides]? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE [province] and 100 means you really LIKE [province].
12	pesf12	Rating> How Do You Feel About Quebec	discrete	numeric-3.0	2224	1725	How do you feel about QUÉBEC? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.
13	pesf13	Rating> How Do You Feel About The United States	discrete	numeric-3.0	3080	869	How do you feel about...the UNITED STATES? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the US and 100 means you really LIKE the US.
14	pesf14a	Which Government Best Looks After the Interests of People Like You	discrete	numeric-1.0	1466	2483	In general, which government best looks after the interests of people like you: the FEDERAL government or the provincial/territorial government?
15	pesf14b	Which Government Best Looks After the Interests of People Like You	discrete	numeric-1.0	1518	2431	In general, which government best looks after the interests of people like you: the federal government, the provincial government, or is there not much difference?

Group Leader Traits

Notes

Section deleted June 5, 1997.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesh1	In Federal Politics Do You Usually Think of Yourself As...	discrete	numeric-2.0	1501	2448	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec)/ Reform (all others), or none of these?
2	pesh2	How Strongly Do You Feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	1142	2807	How strongly [party selected for #h1] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	pesh3	Are You a Little Closer to One Federal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	369	3580	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
4	pesh4	Which Federal Party Are You Closer To	discrete	numeric-2.0	128	3821	Which party is that?
5	pesh5	In Federal Politics Are You Close to Any Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	1530	2419	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?
6	pesh6	Which Federal Party Are You Close To	discrete	numeric-2.0	576	3373	Which party is that?
7	pesh7	How Close Do You Feel Close to This Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	574	3375	Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?
8	pesh8	Do You Feel a Little Closer to One Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	952	2997	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?
9	pesh9	Which Party Do You Feel A Little Closer To	discrete	numeric-2.0	400	3549	Which party is that?
10	pesh21	Is There Any Federal Political Party That is Too Extreme	discrete	numeric-2.0	2764	1185	Is there any Federal political party that is just too extreme?
11	pesh10	In Provincial Politics, Do You Usually Think of Yourself As...	discrete	numeric-2.0	1491	2458	pesh10 question details
12	pesh11	How Strongly Do You Feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	1111	2838	How strongly [party selected in #h10] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
13	pesh12	Are You a Little Closer to One Provincial Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	399	3550	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the provincial parties than to the others?
14	pesh13	Which Provincial Party Are You Closer To	discrete	numeric-2.0	107	3842	Which party is that?
15	pesh14	In Provincial Politics Are You Close to Any Particular Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	1536	2413	In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?
16	pesh15	Which Party Are You Close To	discrete	numeric-2.0	678	3271	Which party is that?
17	pesh16	How Close Do You Feel to This Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	675	3274	Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?
18	pesh17	Do You Feel A Little Closer to One Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	847	3102	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?
19	pesh18	Which Party Do You Feel a Little Closer To	discrete	numeric-2.0	245	3704	Which party is that?
20	pesh19	Did You Vote in the Last Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3117	832	Did you vote in the last [province in which respondent resides] election held in 19[year of last provincial election]?
21	pesh20	Party Voted For in the Last Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	2246	1703	Which party did you vote for?
22	pesa5	Is it Possible to Vote in the Federal Elections if Unable On Election Day	discrete	numeric-1.0	2887	1062	In Federal elections, is it possible for people to vote if they can not get to the poll on ELECTION DAY?
23	pesa5a	How to Vote in Federal Election if Unable On Day-1	discrete	numeric-2.0	2460	1489	How would they do that?
24	pesa5a2	How Vote Fed Election if Unable On Day-2	discrete	numeric-2.0	372	3577	How would they do that?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
25	pesa5a3	How Vote Fed Election if Unable On Day-3	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	3914	How would they do that?

Group Media Habits

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesi1	How Many Hours a Day Do You Usually Watch TV	discrete	numeric-2.0	3131	818	How many hours a day do you usually watch TV?
2	pesi2	Do You Watch Canadian Stations	discrete	numeric-1.0	2687	1262	Do you watch Canadian stations all of the time, most of the time, some of the time or none of the time?
3	pesi3	How Many Hours a Day Do You Listen to the Radio	discrete	numeric-2.0	3136	813	And how many hours a day do you listen to the radio?
4	pesi4	How Many Hours a Day Do You Read a Newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	3155	794	And how many days a week do you read a newspaper?
5	pesb7	Did You See the English TV Debate Among Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	3152	797	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?
6	pesb7a	Did You See All of the English TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1264	2685	Did you see ALL of the debate, MOST of the debate or just SOME of it?
7	pesb7b	Which Leader Did Best in the English Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1861	2088	From what you heard/ In your opinion which leader did the BEST in that debate?
8	pesb7c	Which Leader Did Worst in the English Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1611	2338	And who did the WORST?
9	pesb8	Did You See the First French TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3137	812	Did you see the FIRST FRENCH TV debate (which took place on May 13)?
10	pesb8a	Did You See All of the First French TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	712	3237	Did you see ALL of the debate, MOST of the debate or just SOME of it?
11	pesb8b	Who Did the Best in the First French Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1183	2766	From what you heard/ In your opinion which leader did the BEST in that debate?
12	pesb8c	Who Did the Worst in the First French Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1098	2851	And who did the WORST?
13	pesb9	Did You See the Second French TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1780	2169	Did you see the SECOND FRENCH TV debate (which took place on May 19 because the first one was interrupted)?
14	pesb9b	Who Did the Best in the Second French Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	665	3284	From what you heard/ In your opinion which leader did the BEST in that debate?
15	pesb9c	Who Did the Worst in the Second French Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	608	3341	And who did the WORST?
16	pese21a	Chretien Betrayed Quebec in the Constitutional Negotiations	discrete	numeric-1.0	653	3296	Jean Chretien betrayed Québec in the constitutional negotiations. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
17	pese21c	The Reform Party Only Speaks for the West	discrete	numeric-1.0	2833	1116	The Reform Party only speaks for the West. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
18	pese21d	Charest Has Style, But Not Much to Say	discrete	numeric-1.0	2739	1210	Jean Charest has lots of style, but he really doesn't have much to say. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
19	pese21e	Manning is a Threat to Canadian Unity	discrete	numeric-1.0	2758	1191	Preston Manning is a threat to Canadian unity. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGRE
20	pese21f	The NDP is Out of Touch With the Times	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	1885	The NDP is out of touch with the times. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
21	pese21g	The is No Reason to Have a Sovereignist Party in Ottawa	discrete	numeric-1.0	704	3245	There is no reason to have a sovereignist party in Ottawa. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
22	pese21i	The Best to Defend Western Interests is to Elect Reform MP's	discrete	numeric-1.0	986	2963	The best way to defend Western interests is to elect Reform MP's. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
23	pese21j	Jean Charest Was a One Man Show	discrete	numeric-1.0	667	3282	Jean Charest was a one man show. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
24	pese21k	Jean Charest is Too Close to Brian Mulroney	discrete	numeric-1.0	2433	1516	Jean Charest is too close to Brian Mulroney. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
25	pese27	If Quebec Seperates, Canada Should Have a Close Economic Union with Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	2148	1801	If Québec decides to separate, Canada SHOULD have a close economic union with Québec. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
26	pesi5a	Four Goals> Most Important	discrete	numeric-1.0	1536	2413	Here's a list of FOUR goals. Which goal is MOST important to you personally? ONE: Maintaining ORDER in the nation, TWO: Giving people more say in important government decisions, THREE: Fighting rising prices, FOUR: Protecting freedom of speech.
27	pesi5b	Four Goals> Next Most Important to You	discrete	numeric-1.0	1522	2427	pesi5b question details
28	pesi5c	Four Goals>Third Most Important to You	discrete	numeric-1.0	1496	2453	And which is the third most most important? Maintaining ORDER in the nation, giving people more say in important government decisions, fighting rising prices, protecting freedom of speech. (Omit goals selected in #i5a and #i5b)
29	pesi5d	Four Goals> Most Important	discrete	numeric-1.0	1537	2412	Here's a list of FOUR goals. Which goal is MOST important to you personally? ONE: Maintaining ORDER in the nation, TWO: Giving people more say in important government decisions, THREE: Fighting unemployment, FOUR: Protecting freedom of speech.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
30	pesi5e	Four Goals> Next Most Important to You	discrete	numeric-1.0	1516	2433	And, which is the SECOND MOST important to you, personally? Maintaining ORDER in the nation, giving people more say in important government decisions, fighting unemployment, protecting freedom of speech. (Omit goal selected in #i5d)
31	pesi5f	Four Goals> Least Most Important to You	discrete	numeric-1.0	1493	2456	And which is the third most most important? Maintaining ORDER in the nation, giving people more say in important government decisions, fighting unemployment, protecting freedom of speech. (Omit goals selected in #i5e and #i5f)

Group Personal Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesage	Respondent's Year of Birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	3138	811	First, in what year were you born?
2	pesm10b	In Your Life, Importance of Religion	discrete	numeric-1.0	3144	805	In your life, would you say religion is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?
3	pesm12	Respondent's Province/Territory of Birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	2781	1168	In what Province or Territory were you born?
4	pesm20	Number of Years Lived in Province/Territory	discrete	numeric-2.0	650	3299	For how many years have you lived in [province/territory in which respondent resides]?

Group Section A- Issues I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsa1	We Have Gone Too Far in Pushing Equal Rights in This Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	1701	2248	We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.
2	mbsa2	We Should be More Tolerant of People Who Choose to Live by Their Own Standards	discrete	numeric-1.0	1764	2185	We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own standards, even if they are very different from our own.
3	mbsa3	Companies Should First Lay Off Women Whose Husbands Have Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	1788	2161	If a company has to lay off some of its employees, the first workers to be laid off should be women whose husbands have jobs.
4	mbsa4	The Government Must do More to Reduce the Income Gap Between Rich and Poor in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	1789	2160	The government must do more to reduce the income gap between rich and poor Canadians.
5	mbsa5	Discrimination Makes it Extremely Difficult for Women to Get Jobs Equal to Their Abilities	discrete	numeric-1.0	1760	2189	Discrimination makes it extremely difficult for women to get jobs equal to their abilities.
6	mbsa6	Protecting the Environment is More Important Than Creating Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	1616	2333	Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs.
7	mbsa7	Newer Lifestyles Are Contributing to the Breakdown of Our Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	1678	2271	Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	mbsa8	We Should Adapt Our View of Moral Behaviour With the World's Changes	discrete	numeric-1.0	1769	2180	The world is always changing and we should adapt our view of moral behaviour to these changes.
9	mbsa9	This Country Would Have Fewer Problems With More Emphasis on Traditional Family Values	discrete	numeric-1.0	1764	2185	This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family values.
10	mbsa10	It is Not a Big Problem if Some People Have More of a Chance in Life Than Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	1721	2228	It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.
11	mbsa11	We Should Look After Canadians Born in This Country and Others Second	discrete	numeric-1.0	1775	2174	We should look after Canadians born in this country first and others second.
12	mbsa12	If People Really Want Work, They Can Find a Job	discrete	numeric-1.0	1753	2196	If people really want work, they can find a job.
13	mbsa13	Things Are Tough For Young Because of Babyboomers	discrete	numeric-1.0	1680	2269	Things are so tough for young people today because of the babyboom generation.
14	mbsa14	Minority Groups Need Special Rights	discrete	numeric-1.0	1741	2208	Minority groups need special rights.
15	mbsa15	More Should be Done to Protect Canadian Business From Foreign Competition	discrete	numeric-1.0	1712	2237	More should be done to protect Canadian business from foreign competition.

Group Section B- Issues II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsb1	Government Should>Standard Of Living:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1639	2310	The government should: See to it that everyone has a decent standard of living, or leave people to get ahead on their own?
2	mbsb2	Government Should>Environment:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1396	2553	The government should: Do more to protect the environment, even if this means higher taxes, or keep taxes from going up, even if this means doing less for the environment?
3	mbsb3	Workers And Management:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1619	2330	Workers and management: Have conflicting interests and are natural enemies, or share the same interests in the long run
4	mbsb4	When It Comes To Job Hiring:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1725	2224	When it comes to job hiring: Quotas should be used to increase the number of women in good jobs, or hiring should be based strictly on merit?
5	mbsb5	Which Comes Closer To Your View>People On Welfare	discrete	numeric-1.0	1653	2296	Which comes closest to your own view: People on welfare who are physically able should be required to work, or requiring people on welfare to work will end up taking jobs away from other people?
6	mbsb6	People In Government Waste Tax Money	discrete	numeric-1.0	1816	2133	Do you think that people in the government: Waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it?

Group Section C- Societal Influences

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsc1a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Unions	discrete	numeric-1.0	1764	2185	Unions
2	mbsc2a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Farmers	discrete	numeric-1.0	1733	2216	Farmers
3	mbsc3a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Big Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	1749	2200	Big Business
4	mbsc4a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Media	discrete	numeric-1.0	1757	2192	Media
5	mbsc5a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Public Sector Workers	discrete	numeric-1.0	1716	2233	Public Sector Workers
6	mbsc6a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Banks	discrete	numeric-1.0	1749	2200	Banks
7	mbsc7a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Consumers	discrete	numeric-1.0	1743	2206	Consumers
8	mbsc8a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Feminists	discrete	numeric-1.0	1725	2224	Feminists
9	mbsc9a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Aboriginal Peoples	discrete	numeric-1.0	1741	2208	Aboriginal Peoples
10	mbsc10a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Racial Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	1731	2218	Racial Minorities
11	mbsc11a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> People On Welfare	discrete	numeric-1.0	1729	2220	People On Welfare
12	mbsc12a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Small Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	1736	2213	Small Business
13	mbsc13a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Senior Citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	1746	2203	Senior Citizens
14	mbsc14a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Gays And Lesbians	discrete	numeric-1.0	1722	2227	Gays and Lesbians
15	mbsc15a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Babyboomers	discrete	numeric-1.0	1704	2245	Babyboomers
16	mbsc16a	Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Environmentalists	discrete	numeric-1.0	1728	2221	Environmentalists
17	mbsc1b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Unions	discrete	numeric-1.0	1731	2218	Unions
18	mbsc2b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Farmers	discrete	numeric-1.0	1716	2233	Farmers
19	mbsc3b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Big Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	1728	2221	Big Business
20	mbsc4b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Media	discrete	numeric-1.0	1726	2223	Media

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
21	mbsc5b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Public Sector Workers	discrete	numeric-1.0	1689	2260	Public Sector Workers
22	mbsc6b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Banks	discrete	numeric-1.0	1723	2226	Banks
23	mbsc7b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Consumers	discrete	numeric-1.0	1718	2231	Consumers
24	mbsc8b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Feminists	discrete	numeric-1.0	1702	2247	Feminists
25	mbsc9b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Aboriginal Peoples	discrete	numeric-1.0	1710	2239	Aboriginal Peoples
26	mbsc10b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Racial Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	1705	2244	Racial Minorities
27	mbsc11b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>People on Welfare	discrete	numeric-1.0	1700	2249	People On Welfare
28	mbsc12b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Small Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	1726	2223	Small Business
29	mbsc13b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Senior Citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	1728	2221	Senior Citizens
30	mbsc14b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Gays and Lesbians	discrete	numeric-1.0	1667	2282	Gays And Lesbians
31	mbsc15b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Babyboomers	discrete	numeric-1.0	1676	2273	Babyboomers
32	mbsc16b	Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Environmentalists	discrete	numeric-1.0	1719	2230	Environmentalists

Group Section D- Public Rights

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsd1	Most People Do Not Know What is Best For Them	discrete	numeric-1.0	1736	2213	The problem with democracy is that most people don't really know what's best for them.
2	mbsd2	People the Have Sense to Tell Whether the Government is Doing a Good Job	discrete	numeric-1.0	1800	2149	Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job.
3	mbsd3	We Could Solve National Problems if Decisions Could Be Brought to People at the Grass Roots	discrete	numeric-1.0	1688	2261	We could probably solve most of our big national problems if decisions could be brought back to the people at the grass roots.
4	mbsd4	The Government Should Pay the Most Attention to Citizens Who Are Well Informed	discrete	numeric-1.0	1752	2197	The government should pay the most attention to those citizens who are well informed.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	mbsd5	All Federal Parties Basically The Same	discrete	numeric-1.0	1761	2188	All federal parties are basically the same; there isn't really a choice.
6	mbsd6	Parties Spend Too Much Time Catering to Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	1697	2252	Political parties spend too much time catering to minorities.
7	mbsd7	We Have Gone Too Far in Pushing Bilingualism in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	1766	2183	We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada.
8	mbsd8	The Best Way Protect Women's Interests is to Have More In Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	1710	2239	The best way to protect women's interests is to have more women in Parliament.
9	mbsd9	The Profits Canadian Banks Are Making Are a Scandal	discrete	numeric-1.0	1708	2241	The profits Canadian banks are making these days are a scandal.
10	mbsd10	Unemployed People Should Move To Regions Where There Are Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	1670	2279	Unemployed people should move to regions where there are jobs.
11	mbsd11	International Trade Creates More Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	1340	2609	International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys.
12	mbsd12	Immigrants Make an Important Contribution to This Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	1637	2312	Immigrants make an important contribution to this country.

Group Section E- Issues III

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbse1	Your Opinion> Treatment of People:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1679	2270	No matter how we treat people, some will turn out to be better than others, OR, if we really gave everyone an equal chance, almost everyone would turn out to be equally worthwhile.
2	mbse2	Your Opinion> The Feminist Movement:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1494	2455	The feminist movement: Just tries to get equal treatment for women, OR, puts men down.
3	mbse3	Which is More Important in Democratic Society:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1552	2397	Which is more important in a democratic society: Letting the majority decide, OR protecting the needs and rights of minorities?
4	mbse4	Your View> Equality of Men & Women:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1765	2184	Which comes closest to your own view: Men and women can only be equal when they have the same responsibilities in government, business and the family, OR, equality can exist even when men and women have very different responsibilities?
5	mbse5	If a Law Conflicts With the Canadian Charter of Rights, Who Has Final Say:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1433	2516	If a law conflicts with the Canadian Charter of Rights, who should have the final say: The courts because they are in the best position to decide what is just and unjust, OR, the government because they are elected by the people?
6	mbse6	Your View> Marital Violence:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1573	2376	Which comes closest to your own view: The government must crack down on marital violence even if that means interfering in people's private lives, OR, couples should basically be left to sort out their own problems?
7	mbse7	The Feminist Movement Encourages Women:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1610	2339	The feminist movement encourages women: To be independent and speak

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							up for themselves, OR, to be selfish and think only of themselves?
8	mbse8	Your View> Aboriginal Peoples:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1568	2381	Which comes closest to your own view: If Aboriginal peoples tried harder, they could be as well off as other Canadians, OR, Social and economic conditions make it almost impossible for most Aboriginal peoples to overcome poverty?
9	mbse9	Do You Think That People Running the Government Are Crooked	discrete	numeric-1.0	1698	2251	Do you think that: Quite a few of the people running the government are a little crooked, not very many are crooked, OR hardly any of them are crooked?

Group Section F- Confidence in Institutions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsf1	Confidence in Institution> Organised Religion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1163	2786	Organized religion
2	mbsf2	Confidence in Institution> The Armed Forces	discrete	numeric-1.0	1144	2805	The armed forces
3	mbsf3	Confidence in Institution> Public Schools	discrete	numeric-1.0	1812	2137	Public schools
4	mbsf4	Confidence in Institution> The Courts	discrete	numeric-1.0	1822	2127	The courts
5	mbsf5	Confidence in Institution> The Civil Service	discrete	numeric-1.0	1793	2156	The civil service
6	mbsf6	Confidence in Institution> Unions	discrete	numeric-1.0	889	3060	Unions
7	mbsf7	Confidence in Institution> The Police	discrete	numeric-1.0	1821	2128	The police
8	mbsf8	Confidence in Institution> The Federal Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	1815	2134	The federal government
9	mbsf9	Confidence in Institution> Provincial/Territorial Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	1815	2134	Your provincial/territorial government
10	mbsf10	Confidence in Institution> Big Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	1820	2129	Big business
11	mbsf11	Confidence in Institution> The Media	discrete	numeric-1.0	1822	2127	The media

Group Section G- Issues IV

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsg1	Canada Should Participate Peacekeeping Operations Abroad Even if it Puts the Lives of Canadian Soldiers at Risk	discrete	numeric-1.0	1700	2249	Canada should participate in peacekeeping operations abroad even if it means putting the lives of Canadian soldiers at risk.
2	mbsg2	Respect for Authority is One of the Most Important Things Children Should Learn	discrete	numeric-1.0	1795	2154	Respect for authority is one of the most important things that children should learn.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	mbsg3	Homosexual Couples Should be Allowed to Legally Marry	discrete	numeric-1.0	1659	2290	Homosexual couples should be allowed to be legally married.
4	mbsg4	Too Many Recent Immigrants Do Not Want Fit Into Canadian Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	1620	2329	Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit into Canadian society.
5	mbsg5	When it Comes to Caring For Children, Men Are Less Patient and Giving Than Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	1763	2186	When it comes to caring for babies and small children, men by nature are less patient and giving than women.
6	mbsg6	Anglophones in Quebec Are Better Treated Than Francophones in the Rest of Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	1472	2477	Anglophones in Quebec are better treated than francophones in the rest of Canada.
7	mbsg7	Quebec Has the Right to Separate No Matter What the Rest of Canada Says	discrete	numeric-1.0	1744	2205	Quebec has the right to separate no matter what the rest of Canada says.
8	mbsg8	If Quebec Separates, Aboriginals Have the Right to Remain Part of Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	1649	2300	If Quebec separates, Aboriginal communities have the right to remain part of Canada.
9	mbsg9	People Have a Right to Work in the Region Where They Are Born	discrete	numeric-1.0	1723	2226	People have a right to work in the region where they are born.
10	mbsg10	Free Trade With U.S. Has Been Good for the Canadian Economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	1409	2540	Overall, free trade with the U.S. has been good for the Canadian economy.

Group Section H- Electoral Issues

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsh1	Should Political Parties and Candidates Be Allowed to Spend as Much as They Want in an Election Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	1809	2140	Do you think political parties and candidates should be allowed to spend as much as they want in an election campaign or should there be a limit on what they can spend?
2	mbsh2	Your View> Only Political Parties be Allowed to Advertise During Election Campaigns	discrete	numeric-1.0	1569	2380	Which comes closer to your own view: Only political parties and candidates should be allowed to advertise during election campaigns, OR, Advertising by other individuals and groups should also be allowed during election campaigns.
3	mbsh3	Should There Be a Limit to What Individuals/Groups Spend on Advertisements During Election Campaigns	discrete	numeric-1.0	1720	2229	If individuals and groups other than candidates and political parties are going to advertise during election campaigns, should there be a limit on what they can spend?
4	mbsh4	If Individuals/Groups Can Advertise, Should They Be Allowed to Spend:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1517	2432	Suppose individuals and groups can advertise, should they be allowed to spend:
5	mbsh5	Referendums on Important Questions Should Be Held:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1768	2181	Do you think that referendums on important questions should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?
6	mbsh6	Would it Be a Good Idea to Have Referendums the Same Time as Elections	discrete	numeric-1.0	1615	2334	Do you think it would be a good idea or a bad idea to have referendums at the same time as elections?
7	mbsh7	A Party Can Win a Majority of Seats Without Winning a Majority of Votes	discrete	numeric-1.0	1490	2459	Under our present system, a party can win a majority of seats without winning a majority of votes. Do you find this:

Group Section I- Leader and Party Evaluation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsi1	Satisfaction With the Way Democracy Works in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	1770	2179	On the whole, are you satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Canada?
2	mbsi2	Was the Last Election in Canada Conducted Fairly	discrete	numeric-1.0	1809	2140	In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the last election in Canada, where would you place it on the scale below?
3	mbsi3	Do You Usually Think of Yourself as Close to Any Particular Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	1840	2109	Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular political party?
4	mbsi3a	Which Political Party Are You Closer To	discrete	numeric-1.0	925	3024	Which party is that?
5	mbsi3b	Do You Feel Very Close To This Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	947	3002	Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?
6	mbsi4	Do Parties in Canada Care What Ordinary People Think	discrete	numeric-1.0	1818	2131	Some people say that political parties in Canada care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in Canada don't care what ordinary people think. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?
7	mbsi5	Are Parties Necessary to Make Our Political System Work	discrete	numeric-1.0	1810	2139	Some people say that political parties are necessary to make our political system work in Canada. Others think that political parties are not needed in Canada. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?
8	mbsi6	Names of Any Candidates Who Ran in Your Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	1765	2184	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election?
9	mbsi7a	Rate> Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	1789	2160	Liberal Party
10	mbsi7b	Rate> Progressive Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	1786	2163	Progressive Conservative Party
11	mbsi7c	Rate> New Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	1774	2175	New Democratic Party
12	mbsi7d	Rate> Reform Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	1772	2177	Reform Party
13	mbsi7e	Rate> Bloc Quebecois	discrete	numeric-2.0	1766	2183	Bloc Québécois
14	mbsi8a	Rate> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-2.0	1799	2150	Jean Chrétien
15	mbsi8b	Rate> Jean Charest	discrete	numeric-2.0	1784	2165	Jean Charest
16	mbsi8c	Rate> Alexa McDonough	discrete	numeric-2.0	1763	2186	Alexa McDonough
17	mbsi8d	Rate> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-2.0	1782	2167	Preston Manning
18	mbsi8e	Rate> Gilles Duceppe	discrete	numeric-2.0	1730	2219	Gilles Duceppe
19	mbsi9	The State of the Economy These Days in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	1844	2105	Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Canada has: (Please circle one.)
20	mbsi10	Over the Past Twelve Months, the State of the Economy in Canada:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1841	2108	Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Canada has: (Please circle one.)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
21	mbsi11	Do Members Parliament Know What Ordinary People Think	discrete	numeric-1.0	1613	2336	Some people say that members of Parliament know what ordinary people think. Others say that members of Parliament don't know much about what ordinary people think. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?
22	mbsi12	In the Past Twelve Months, Have You Had Any Contact With a Member of Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	1844	2105	During the past twelve months, have you had any contact with a member of Parliament in any way?
23	mbsi13	It Makes a Difference Who is in Power	discrete	numeric-1.0	1833	2116	Some people say it makes a difference who is in power. Others say that it doesn't make a difference who is in power. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?
24	mbsi14	Who People Vote For Can Make a Difference	discrete	numeric-1.0	1836	2113	Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a difference to what happens. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?
25	mbsi15	People in Canada Say They What Think About Politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	1822	2127	When people are asked to express an opinion, do you believe most people in Canada usually say what they think about politics, or do you believe most people usually hide what they really think about politics? Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?
26	mbsi16a	Scale> Where You Would Place Yourself in Politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	1260	2689	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on the scale below?
27	mbsi16b	Scale> Where You Would Place the Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	1340	2609	Using the same scale, where would you place the Liberal Party?
28	mbsi16c	Scale> Where You Would Place the Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	1334	2615	Using the same scale, where would you place the Conservative Party?
29	mbsi16d	Scale> Where You Would You Place the NDP	discrete	numeric-2.0	1305	2644	Using the same scale, where would you place the New Democratic Party?
30	mbsi16e	Scale> Where You Would Place the Reform Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	1296	2653	Using the same scale, where would you place the Reform Party?
31	mbsi16f	Scale> Where You Would Place the Bloc Quebecois	discrete	numeric-2.0	1068	2881	Using the same scale, where would you place the Bloc Québécois?

Group Section J- Demographics

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsj1	Respondent's Year of Birth	continuous	numeric-2.0	1833	2116	In what year were you born?
2	mbsj2	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	1841	2108	What is your gender?

Group Section K- Voting Methods

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsk1a	Voting From Home By Mail	discrete	numeric-1.0	1828	2121	Voting from home by mail.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	mbsk1b	Voting From Home By Telephone	discrete	numeric-1.0	1828	2121	Voting from home by telephone.
3	mbsk1c	Voting From Home By Computer	discrete	numeric-1.0	1828	2121	Voting from home by computer.
4	mbsk1d	Voting at Station: Touch Computer Screen	discrete	numeric-1.0	1828	2121	Voting at the polling station by touching a computer screen.
5	mbsk1e	Voting a Station: Ballots That Are Machine Counted	discrete	numeric-1.0	1828	2121	Voting at the polling station using paper ballots that are counted by a machine.
6	mbsk2a1	Most Likely to> Vote at Home By Mail	discrete	numeric-1.0	1796	2153	Voting from home by mail.
7	mbsk2a2	Most Likely to> Vote at Home By Telephone	discrete	numeric-1.0	1796	2153	Voting from home by telephone.
8	mbsk2a3	Most Likely to> Vote at Home By Computer	discrete	numeric-1.0	1796	2153	Voting from home by computer.
9	mbsk2a4	Most Likely to> Vote at the Polling Station With Touch Computer Screen	discrete	numeric-1.0	1796	2153	Voting at the polling station by touching a computer screen.
10	mbsk2a5	Most Likely to> Vote at Polling Station By Paper Ballots That Are Machine Counted	discrete	numeric-1.0	1796	2153	Voting at the polling station using paper ballots that are counted by a machine.
11	mbsk2b1	Least Likely to>Vote at Home By Mail	discrete	numeric-1.0	1698	2251	Voting from home by mail.
12	mbsk2b2	Least Likely to> Vote at Home By Telephone	discrete	numeric-1.0	1698	2251	Voting from home by telephone.
13	mbsk2b3	Least Likely to> Vote at Home By Computer	discrete	numeric-1.0	1698	2251	Voting from home by computer.
14	mbsk2b4	Least Likely to> Vote at Polling Station With Touch Computer Screen	discrete	numeric-1.0	1698	2251	Voting at the polling station by touching a computer screen.
15	mbsk2b5	Least Likely to> Vote at Polling Station With Ballots That Are Machine Counted	discrete	numeric-1.0	1698	2251	Voting at the polling station using paper ballots that are counted by a machine.
16	mbsk3a	Reason For Your Least Likely Choice-1st	discrete	numeric-2.0	1560	2389	Please indicate your reasons for your LEAST LIKELY choice.
17	mbsk3b	Reason For Your Least Likely Choice-2nd	discrete	numeric-2.0	434	3515	Please indicate your reasons for your LEAST LIKELY choice.

Group PES Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pessamp	Day of Sample Release	continuous	numeric-2.0	3170	779	-
2	pesrep	Sample Subsets <Replicate>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
3	pestime	Length of Interview	continuous	numeric-2.0	3135	814	-
4	pesres	Outcome of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
5	pesattem	Total Number of Call Attempts	continuous	numeric-2.0	3170	779	-
6	pescont	Total Times Respondent Contacted	discrete	numeric-2.0	3170	779	-
7	pesans	Number of Times Telephone Answered	continuous	numeric-2.0	3170	779	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	pesrefus	Number of Refusals Before Completion	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
9	pesdate	Date of Interview <MMDDYY>	continuous	numeric-5.0	3170	779	-
10	pesinum	Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)	discrete	numeric-3.0	3170	779	-
11	peslang	Language of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
12	pesrn12	Question Order/Random Delivery <A2A,A2B>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
13	pesrn2	Question Order/Random Delivery <A4C,A4D>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
14	pesrn10	Question Order/Random Delivery<E5A--E5C>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
15	pesrn11	Question Order/Random Delivery<E7A,E7B>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
16	pesrn4	Question Order/ RandomDelivery<F14A,F14B>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
17	pesrn16	Question Order/Random Delivery<H1-5H10-14>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
18	pesrn14	Question Order/Random Delivery<I5A--I5F>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3168	781	-
19	pesrn5	Randomize Party Leaders <C1A--C1E>	continuous	numeric-2.0	3170	779	-
20	pesrn6	Randomize Federal Parties <C2A--C2E>	continuous	numeric-2.0	3170	779	-
21	pesrn8	Randomize Parties in Riding <C3A--C3E>	continuous	numeric-2.0	3170	779	-
22	pesrn9	Question Order/Random Delivery<E6A--E6G>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
23	pesrn3	Question Wording <E11B>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
24	pesrgen	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3170	779	-
25	pesage	Respondent's Year of Birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	3138	811	First, in what year were you born?

Group CPS Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idnum	Respondent Identification Number	continuous	numeric-5.0	3949	0	-
2	province	Province in Which Respondent Resides	discrete	numeric-2.0	3949	0	-
3	areacode	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	3949	0	-
4	cpssamp	Day of Sample Release	continuous	numeric-2.0	3949	0	-
5	cpsrep	Sample Subsets <Replicate>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
6	cpstime	Length of Interview	continuous	numeric-2.0	3682	267	-
7	cpsres	Outcome of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
8	nadults	Number of Adults In Household	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
9	cpsattem	Total Number of Call Attempts	continuous	numeric-2.0	3949	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
10	cpscont	Total Times Respondent Contacted	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
11	cpsans	Number of Times Telephone Answered	continuous	numeric-2.0	3949	0	-
12	cpsrefus	Number of Refusals Before Completion	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
13	cpsdate	Date of Interview <MDDYY>	continuous	numeric-5.0	3949	0	-
14	cpsinum	Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)	discrete	numeric-3.0	3949	0	-
15	cpsigen	Interviewer's Gender (# of Interviews)	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
16	cpslang	Language of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
17	cpsrn1	Question Order/Random Delivery <A1A-A2H>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
18	cpsrn2	Question Order/Random Delivery <C3-C5>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
19	cpsrn3	Question Order/RandomDelivery<F10A-F10G>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
20	cpsrn4	Route Country/Riding Split <I1A-I2D>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
21	cpsrn5	Randomize Party Leaders <D1A-D1C>	continuous	numeric-2.0	3949	0	-
22	cpsrn6	Randomize Federal Parties <D1G-D1I>	continuous	numeric-2.0	3949	0	-
23	cpsrn7	Randomize Party Leaders <H1A-H4E>	continuous	numeric-2.0	3949	0	-
24	cpsrn8	Randomize Parties In Riding <I1A-I1C>	continuous	numeric-2.0	3949	0	-
25	cpsrn9	Randomize Parties In Country <I2A-I2D>	continuous	numeric-2.0	3949	0	-
26	cpsrn10	Question Order/Random Delivery <J1A-J1G>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
27	cpsrgen	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-
28	cpsjfl	Timer - Response Time Item <CPSA4>	continuous	numeric-4.0	3833	116	-

Group MBS Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsqlang	Language of Questionnaire	discrete	numeric-1.0	1851	2098	-
2	sentq	Respondents to Whom Questionnaire Mailed	discrete	numeric-1.0	3949	0	-

Group Chance of Winning

Subgroup(s)		Leader Traits					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsi1a	PC Chances> Winning In Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	3435	514	The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
2	cpsi1b	Lib Chances> Winning In Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	3455	494	The LIBERAL party's chances IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
3	cpsi1c	NDP Chances> Winning In Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	3387	562	The N.D.P. chances IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
4	cpsi1d	Reform Chances> Winning In Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	2596	1353	The REFORM party's chances IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
5	cpsi1e	Bloc Chances> Winning In Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	878	3071	The BLOC Québécois' chances IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
6	cpsi2a	PC Chances> Winning In Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3688	261	What are the CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? On a scale from 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory in the whole country.
7	cpsi2b	Lib Chances> Winning In Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3735	214	What about the LIBERAL party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? On a scale from 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory in the whole country.
8	cpsi2c	NDP Chances> Winning In Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3666	283	What about the N.D.P.'s chances? of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
9	cpsi2d	Reform Chances> Winning In Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3628	321	What about the REFORM party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? On a scale from 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory in the whole country.
10	cpsi2e	Bloc Chances>Majority Of Seats In Quebec	discrete	numeric-3.0	3476	473	The BLOC QUEBECOIS' chances of winning the MAJORITY OF SEATS in QUEBEC? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	cpsi3a	Lib Chances> Forming Official Opposition	discrete	numeric-3.0	958	2991	What are the LIBERAL party's chances of forming the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN?
12	cpsi3b	PC Chances> Forming Official Opposition	discrete	numeric-3.0	3260	689	What are the CONSERVATIVE party's chances of forming the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN?
13	cpsi3c	NDP Chances> Forming Official Opposition	discrete	numeric-3.0	3411	538	What are the N.D.P.'s chances of forming the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN?
14	cpsi3d	Reform Chances> Forming Official Opposition	discrete	numeric-3.0	3377	572	What are the REFORM party's chances of forming the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN?
15	cpsi3e	Bloc Chances>Forming Official Opposition	discrete	numeric-3.0	3442	507	What are the Bloc Québécois' chances of forming the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN?

Group Leader Traits

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsh1a	Describe> Charest> Strong Leader	discrete	numeric-1.0	2474	1475	What about... "JEAN CHAREST": Does "STRONG LEADER" describe Jean Charest: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
2	cpsh1b	Describe> Charest> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2188	1761	"TRUSTWORTHY?" Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Jean Charest: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
3	cpsh1c	Describe> Charest> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	2242	1707	"ARROGANT?" Does "ARROGANT" describe Jean Charest: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
4	cpsh1d	Describe> Charest> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2116	1833	"COMPASSIONATE?" Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Jean Charest: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
5	cpsh1e	Describe> Charest> In Touch With Times	discrete	numeric-1.0	2222	1727	"IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES?" Does "IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES" describe Jean Charest: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
6	cpsh2a	Describe> Chretien> Strong Leader	discrete	numeric-1.0	3336	613	What about...JEAN CHRÉTIEN: Does "STRONG LEADER" describe JEAN CHRÉTIEN VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	cpsh2b	Describe> Chretien> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3228	721	"TRUSTWORTHY?" Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Jean Chrétien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
8	cpsh2c	Describe> Chretien> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	3186	763	"ARROGANT?" Does "ARROGANT" describe Jean Chrétien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
9	cpsh2d	Describe> Chretien> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3106	843	"COMPASSIONATE?" Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Jean Chrétien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
10	cpsh2e	Describe> Chretien> In Touch With Times	discrete	numeric-1.0	3162	787	"IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES?" Does "IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES" describe Jean Chrétien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
11	cpsh3a	Describe> McDonough> Strong Leader	discrete	numeric-1.0	1247	2702	What about...ALEXA MCDONOUGH: Does "STRONG LEADER" describe ALEXA MCDONOUGH VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
12	cpsh3b	Describe> McDonough> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	1046	2903	"TRUSTWORTHY?" Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe ALEXA MCDONOUGH: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
13	cpsh3c	Describe> McDonough> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	1013	2936	"ARROGANT?" Does "ARROGANT" describe ALEXA MCDONOUGH: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
14	cpsh3d	Describe> McDonough> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	981	2968	"COMPASSIONATE?" Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe ALEXA MCDONOUGH: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
15	cpsh3e	Describe> McDonough> In Touch With Times	discrete	numeric-1.0	994	2955	"IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES?" Does "IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES" describe ALEXA MCDONOUGH: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
16	cpsh4a	Describe> Manning> Strong Leader	discrete	numeric-1.0	2198	1751	What about...PRESTON MANNING: Does "STRONG LEADER" describe PRESTON MANNING VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
17	cpsh4b	Describe> Manning> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2029	1920	"TRUSTWORTHY?" Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
18	cpsh4c	Describe> Manning> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	2034	1915	"ARROGANT?" Does "ARROGANT" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
19	cpsh4d	Describe> Manning> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1956	1993	"COMPASSIONATE?" Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
20	cpsh4e	Describe> Manning> In Touch With Times	discrete	numeric-1.0	1998	1951	"IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES?" Does "IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
21	cpsh5a	Describe> Duceppe> Strong Leader	discrete	numeric-1.0	627	3322	What about...GILLES DUCEPPE: Does "STRONG LEADER" describe GILLES DUCEPPE VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
22	cpsh5b	Describe> Duceppe> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	572	3377	"TRUSTWORTHY?" Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe GILLES DUCEPPE: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
23	cpsh5c	Describe> Duceppe> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	571	3378	"ARROGANT?" Does "ARROGANT" describe GILLES DUCEPPE: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
24	cpsh5d	Describe> Duceppe> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	536	3413	"COMPASSIONATE?" Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe GILLES DUCEPPE: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
25	cpsh5e	Describe> Duceppe> In Touch With Times	discrete	numeric-1.0	544	3405	"IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES?" Does "IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES" describe GILLES DUCEPPE: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

Group Personal Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	comments	Any Further Comments	discrete	numeric-1.0	622	3327	We are very interested in any further comments you may wish to make about these or related issues.

Variables Description

Dataset contains 626 variable(s)













File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

idnum: Respondent Identification Number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14387] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=6739.567 /-] [StdDev=3883.027 /-]
Universe	All respondents

province: Province in Which Respondent Resides

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-61] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Nfld	99	 2.5%
11	PEI	101	 2.6%
12	NS	101	 2.6%
13	NB	108	 2.7%
24	Quebec	1034	 26.2%
35	Ontario	951	 24.1%
46	Manitoba	203	 5.1%
47	Sask	211	 5.3%
48	Alberta	481	 12.2%
59	BC	473	 12.0%
60	Yukon	90	 2.3%
61	NWT	97	 2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

areacode: Telephone Area Code




Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 204-905] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=531.416 /-] [StdDev=199.178 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpssamp: Day of Sample Release

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-36] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=17.574 /-] [StdDev=10.004 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsrep: Sample Subsets <Replicate>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1070	 27.1%
2		1037	 26.3%
3		911	 23.1%
4		675	 17.1%
5		240	 6.1%
6		16	 0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpstime: Length of Interview

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3682 /-] [Invalid=267 /-] [Mean=36.142 /-] [StdDev=9.904 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	Units are measured in minutes.

cpsres: Outcome of Interview









Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	3949	 100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

nadults: Number of Adults In Household

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Canadian citizens.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1127	 28.5%
2		2101	 53.2%
3		479	 12.1%
4		188	 4.8%
5		36	 0.9%
6		15	 0.4%
7		2	 0.1%
9		1	 0.0%







Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsattem: Total Number of Call Attempts

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-37] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4.551 /-] [StdDev=4.309 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpscont: Total Times Respondent Contacted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2858	 72.4%
2		686	 17.4%
3		235	 6.0%
4		102	 2.6%
5		40	 1.0%
6		19	 0.5%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpscont: Total Times Respondent Contacted

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		3	0.1%
8		5	0.1%
9		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsans: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.543 /-] [StdDev=2.272 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsrefus: Number of Refusals Before Completion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3770	95.5%
1		178	4.5%
2		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsdate: Date of Interview <MDDYY>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 42797-60197] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=51356.509 /-] [StdDev=2948.814 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsinum: Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 110-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110		71	1.8%
112		68	1.7%
115		100	2.5%
116		58	1.5%
127		69	1.7%
239		101	2.6%
307		73	1.8%
375		247	6.3%
407		70	1.8%
428		28	0.7%
443		28	0.7%
445		58	1.5%
447		38	1.0%
449		43	1.1%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsinum: Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
450		76	1.9%
452		58	1.5%
456		81	2.1%
458		69	1.7%
462		62	1.6%
463		105	2.7%
465		89	2.3%
466		63	1.6%
467		52	1.3%
468		60	1.5%
469		100	2.5%
471		71	1.8%
473		24	0.6%
474		71	1.8%
475		59	1.5%
476		122	3.1%
477		54	1.4%
478		51	1.3%
552		139	3.5%
560		97	2.5%
561		10	0.3%
562		48	1.2%
563		46	1.2%
700		191	4.8%
701		57	1.4%
824		69	1.7%
825		65	1.6%
826		39	1.0%
827		51	1.3%
831		99	2.5%
832		10	0.3%
835		83	2.1%
836		138	3.5%
837		69	1.7%
838		59	1.5%
839		58	1.5%
873		65	1.6%
899		106	2.7%
999	Supervisor	131	3.3%




Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsigen: Interviewer's Gender (# of Interviews)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]



File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsigen: Interviewer's Gender (# of Interviews)

Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	588	 14.9%
5	Female	3230	 81.8%
9	Supervisor	131	 3.3%









Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpslang: Language of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	2995	 75.8%
2	French	954	 24.2%



Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrn1: Question Order/Random Delivery <A1A-A2H>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	goto A2A	479	 12.1%
2	goto A2B	524	 13.3%
3	goto A2C	506	 12.8%
4	goto A2D	557	 14.1%
5	goto A2E	464	 11.7%
6	goto A2F	449	 11.4%
7	goto A2G	464	 11.7%
8	goto A2H	506	 12.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrn2: Question Order/Random Delivery <C3-C5>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1940	 49.1%
2		2009	 50.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrn3: Question Order/RandomDelivery<F10A-F10G>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsrn3: Question Order/RandomDelivery<F10A-F10G>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	gotoF10A	651	16.5%
2	gotoF10B	560	14.2%
3	gotoF10C	604	15.3%
4	gotoF10D	534	13.5%
5	gotoF10E	575	14.6%
6	gotoF10F	480	12.2%
7	gotoF10G	545	13.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrn4: Route Country/Riding Split <I1A--I2D>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1897	48.0%
2		2052	52.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrn5: Randomize Party Leaders <D1A-D1C>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=12.535 /-] [StdDev=6.911 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsrn6: Randomize Federal Parties <D1G-D1I>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=12.53 /-] [StdDev=6.857 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsrn7: Randomize Party Leaders <H1A--H4E>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=12.535 /-] [StdDev=6.911 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsrn8: Randomize Parties In Riding <I1A-I1C>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=12.496 /-] [StdDev=6.857 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsrn9: Randomize Parties In Country <I2A-I2D>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=12.683 /-] [StdDev=6.819 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsrn10: Question Order/Random Delivery <J1A-J1G>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsrn10: Question Order/Random Delivery <J1A-J1G>

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Universe All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	goto J1A	569	14.4%
2	goto J1B	536	13.6%
3	goto J1C	584	14.8%
4	goto J1D	514	13.0%
5	goto J1E	495	12.5%
6	goto J1F	591	15.0%
7	goto J1G	660	16.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrgen: Respondent's Gender

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Universe All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1856	47.0%
5	Female	2093	53.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsjf1: Timer - Response Time Item <CPSA4>

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8211] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3833 /-] [Invalid=116 /-] [Mean=217.33 /-] [StdDev=342.359 /-]

Universe All respondents

cpsa1: Most Important Issue To You Personally

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/98/99]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3103 /-] [Invalid=846 /-]

Universe All respondents

Literal question What is the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this election?

Interviewer's instructions INTERVIEWER: One word answers, like "the economy" are NOT ACCEPTABLE, ask "and what about the economy?".

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	MoreJobs/Reduce Unem	521	16.8%
11	Jobs For Youth	125	4.0%
12	Job Security	31	1.0%
13	LackJobsResourceInds	3	0.1%
14	JobTraining/Re-Train	5	0.2%
15	General-Jobs	408	13.1%
16	FreeTradeCostJobs	2	0.1%
20	General-Finances	88	2.8%
21	Debt-Reduce/Cntl	39	1.3%
22	Debt-Eliminate	6	0.2%
23	Deficit-Reduce/Cntl	111	3.6%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa1: Most Important Issue To You Personally

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	Deficit-Eliminate	18	0.6%
25	TransferPayments	5	0.2%
26	Balance Budget	23	0.7%
30	General-Economy	83	2.7%
31	CostLive/Inflatn	1	0.0%
32	Interest Rates	3	0.1%
33	EconomicRecovery	0	
34	EconomicStimulation	20	0.6%
35	Farming/Fishing	9	0.3%
36	PromoteSmallBusiness	1	0.0%
37	FundingSmallBusiness	8	0.3%
38	StabilizeEconomy	12	0.4%
40	HighCost Govt	0	
41	Control GovSpending	19	0.6%
42	Reduce Perks/Salary	4	0.1%
43	Gov Accountable	11	0.4%
44	ImmigrationCosts	6	0.2%
45	Cut Back Welfare	6	0.2%
46	Helicopter Issue	0	
47	Early Election	14	0.5%
48	Manitoba Flood	9	0.3%
50	General-Taxes	47	1.5%
51	Abolish GST/Tax	46	1.5%
52	LowerGST/Taxes	30	1.0%
53	TaxesToo High	62	2.0%
54	TaxBreakSmallBusines	2	0.1%
55	Fairer Taxation	10	0.3%
57	General-HlthCare	205	6.6%
58	General-Cutbacks	9	0.3%
59	StopHlthCareCuts	126	4.1%
60	General-SocialPgms	43	1.4%
61	Old Age Pensions	30	1.0%
62	Childcare	11	0.4%
63	Social Pgms/Serv	7	0.2%
64	Stop SA Cuts	4	0.1%
65	General-Education	47	1.5%
66	HighCost Tuition	49	1.6%
67	EducationRestructure	11	0.4%
68	Care Of Elderly	24	0.8%
69	HlthCare Avail	58	1.9%
70	Morality/Values	6	0.2%
71	Abortion Issues	6	0.2%
72	EnvironmentIssue	17	0.5%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa1: Most Important Issue To You Personally

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
73	Minority Issues	22	0.7%
74	Poverty Issues	19	0.6%
75	Gun Control Bill	20	0.6%
76	Crime & Violence	5	0.2%
77	Harsher Penalty	21	0.7%
78	Young Offenders	12	0.4%
79	Gun Control	16	0.5%
80	General-Quebec	83	2.7%
81	General-Unity	162	5.2%
82	Maintain Unity	81	2.6%
83	Let Quebec Go	3	0.1%
84	For Sovereignty	9	0.3%
85	Against Sovereignty	2	0.1%
86	No Distinct Society	1	0.0%
87	Yes Distinct Society	2	0.1%
88	Get Rid Of Bloc	5	0.2%
89	WantBloc To Win	9	0.3%
90	GetRidOfChretien	12	0.4%
91	NeedMoreIntegrity	103	3.3%
92	Need Stable Govt	36	1.2%
93	Revamp ElecProcess	0	
94	Want Lib To Win	0	
95	Want Change Govt	19	0.6%
96	WesternProvinces	4	0.1%
97	No Specifics	16	0.5%
98	D.K.,NotCodeable	823	
99	Refused	23	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2a: Personally> Preserving National Unity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3803 /-] [Invalid=146 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How important are the following issues to YOU PERSONALLY in this election:		
Literal question	Preserving national unity. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions in this Section #a2a-#a2h, go to #a3.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	2096	55.1%
2	Somewhat	1185	31.2%
3	Not Very	517	13.6%
7	Not Plan To Vote	5	0.1%
8	D.K.	116	

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa2a: Personally> Preserving National Unity

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2b: Personally> Reducing The Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3849 /-] [Invalid=100 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Reducing the deficit. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions in this Section #a2a-#a2h, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	2319	60.2%
2	Somewhat	1269	33.0%
3	Not Very	261	6.8%
7	Not Plan To Vote	0	
8	D.K.	73	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		21	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2c: Personally> Creating Jobs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3899 /-] [Invalid=50 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Creating jobs. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions in this Section #a2a-#a2h, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	3258	83.6%
2	Somewhat	524	13.4%
3	Not Very	116	3.0%
7	Not Plan To Vote	1	0.0%
8	D.K.	28	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		17	




Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2d: Personally> Cutting Taxes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3855 /-] [Invalid=94 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Cutting taxes. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions in this Section #a2a-#a2h, go to #a3.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final




cpsa2d: Personally> Cutting Taxes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	1642	 42.6%
2	Somewhat	1545	 40.1%
3	Not Very	667	 17.3%
7	Not Plan To Vote	1	0.0%
8	D.K.	70	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		19	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2e: Personally> Keeping Election Promises




Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3849 /-] [Invalid=100 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Keeping election promises. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions in this Section #a2a-#a2h, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	2880	 74.8%
2	Somewhat	674	 17.5%
3	Not Very	293	 7.6%
7	Not Plan To Vote	2	0.1%
8	D.K.	70	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		21	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2f: Personally> Protecting Social Programmes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3881 /-] [Invalid=68 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Protecting social programmes. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions in this Section #a2a-#a2h, go to #a3.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R asks, social programmes are health, education, and welfare.




Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	2401	 61.9%
2	Somewhat	1266	 32.6%
3	Not Very	213	 5.5%
7	Not Plan To Vote	1	0.0%
8	D.K.	42	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		24	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa2g: Personally> Fighting Crime




Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3892 /-] [Invalid=57 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Fighting crime?. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions in this Section #a2a-#a2h, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	2707	 69.6%
2	Somewhat	956	 24.6%
3	Not Very	228	 5.9%
7	Not Plan To Vote	1	0.0%
8	D.K.	33	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		21	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2h: Personally>Defending Interests Of Quebec




Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1009 /-] [Invalid=2940 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Defending the interests of Quebec. To you personally, in this election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions in this Section #a2a-#a2h, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	575	 57.0%
2	Somewhat	343	 34.0%
3	Not Very	91	 9.0%
7	Not Plan To Vote	0	
8	D.K.	17	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2920	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa3: On Election Day Certain to Vote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3874 /-] [Invalid=75 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	On election day are you CERTAIN TO VOTE, LIKELY, UNLIKELY, or CERTAIN NOT TO VOTE?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: The next question is a response time item. Read the question as usual, then enter (1) as you finish reading the last word of the question. When the respondent gives you a substantive response, enter (1) again. After entering the second (1) the response catagories will be displayed.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certain	2883	 74.4%
2	Likely	733	 18.9%
3	Unlikely	143	 3.7%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa3: On Election Day Certain to Vote

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Certain Not Vote	115	3.0%
8	D.K.	74	
9	Refused	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa4: Party You Think You Will Vote For

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2313 /-] [Invalid=1636 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which party do you think you will vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec)/the Reform Party (all others), or another party?
Post-question	If respondent answers "none", "don't know", or "undecided" go to #a5. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #b1. All others go to #a7.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <1> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION!
Notes	Timed delivery and response.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	61	2.6%
1	Liberal	837	36.2%
2	PC	436	18.8%
3	NDP	278	12.0%
4	Reform	425	18.4%
5	Bloc Quebecois	245	10.6%
96	Will Not Vote	10	0.4%
97	None	21	0.9%
98	DK/Undecided	1271	
99	Refused	250	
Sysmiss		115	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa5: Party You Are Leaning Towards

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=735 /-] [Invalid=3214 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "none", "don't know", or "undecided" for #a4.
Literal question	Which party are you leaning toward now? (If respondent answered "don't know", or "undecided" for #a4) / Is there a party you are leaning towards? (If respondent answered "none" for #a4)
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #a7. All others go to #b1.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read list.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	16	2.2%
1	Liberal	233	31.7%
2	PC	164	22.3%
3	NDP	59	8.0%
4	Reform	81	11.0%
5	Bloc Quebecois	34	4.6%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final








cpsa5: Party You Are Leaning Towards

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	None	148	 20.1%
98	D.K.	534	
99	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		2657	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa7: Which Party Would Be Your Second Choice












Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2643 /-] [Invalid=1306 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And which party would be your second choice? The Liberals, Conservatives, NDP, Reform, or Bloc Québécois? (Omit party identified in #a4/#a5)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	45	 1.7%
1	Liberal	667	 25.2%
2	PC	750	 28.4%
3	NDP	417	 15.8%
4	Reform	269	 10.2%
5	Bloc Quebecois	81	 3.1%
97	None/No 2nd	414	 15.7%
98	D.K.	219	
99	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1079	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb1: Attention Paid>News About Election on TV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3901 /-] [Invalid=48 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means no attention at all, and 10 means a great deal of attention, how much attention did you pay, over the last few days, to news about the election on TV?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None At All	857	 22.0%
1		143	 3.7%
2		266	 6.8%
3		275	 7.0%
4		248	 6.4%
5		678	 17.4%
6		260	 6.7%
7		360	 9.2%
8		433	 11.1%
9		99	 2.5%
10	A Great Deal	282	 7.2%
98	D.K.	44	

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsb1: Attention Paid>News About Election on TV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Refused	4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

cpsb2: Attention Paid>Election News in the Newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3896 /-] [Invalid=53 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	...and news about the election in the NEWSPAPER? On the same scale from 0 to 10, how much attention did you pay, over the last few days, to news about the election in the NEWSPAPER?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None At All	1290	33.1%
1		156	4.0%
2		284	7.3%
3		303	7.8%
4		259	6.6%
5		560	14.4%
6		224	5.7%
7		248	6.4%
8		273	7.0%
9		99	2.5%
10	A Great Deal	200	5.1%
98	D.K.	46	
99	Refused	7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb3: Attention Paid>Election News on the Radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3902 /-] [Invalid=47 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	...and news on the RADIO about the election? On the same scale from 0 to 10, how much attention did you pay, over the last few days, to RADIO news about the election?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None At All	1236	31.7%
1		155	4.0%
2		346	8.9%
3		309	7.9%
4		248	6.4%
5		572	14.7%
6		238	6.1%
7		220	5.6%
8		292	7.5%
9		98	2.5%
10	A Great Deal	188	4.8%
98	D.K.	43	

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final












cpsb3: Attention Paid>Election News on the Radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Refused	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb4: Rate Interest in This Election












Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3876 /-] [Invalid=73 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	On the same scale, where 0 means no interest at all and 10 means a great deal of interest, how would you rate your interest in this particular election campaign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None At All	288	 7.4%
1		66	 1.7%
2		181	 4.7%
3		221	 5.7%
4		269	 6.9%
5		776	 20.0%
6		345	 8.9%
7		518	 13.4%
8		569	 14.7%
9		198	 5.1%
10	A Great Deal	445	 11.5%
98	D.K.	71	
99	Refused	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb5: Rate Interest in Politics Generally

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3903 /-] [Invalid=46 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And your interest in politics generally? 0 means no interest at all and 10 means extremely interested?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None At All	393	 10.1%
1		95	 2.4%
2		211	 5.4%
3		247	 6.3%
4		257	 6.6%
5		824	 21.1%
6		366	 9.4%
7		503	 12.9%
8		513	 13.1%
9		181	 4.6%
10	A Great Deal	313	 8.0%
98	D.K.	46	
99	Refused	0	

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsb5: Rate Interest in Politics Generally

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb6: Saw TV Commercials for a Political Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3918 /-] [Invalid=31 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the last few days, did you see any TV commercials for a political party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2437	62.2%
5	No	1481	37.8%
8	D.K.	31	
9	Refused	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb7: Heard Radio Commercials for a Political Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3904 /-] [Invalid=45 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And did you hear any radio commercials for a political party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1426	36.5%
5	No	2478	63.5%
8	D.K.	44	
9	Refused	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb8: Talked About Election With Friends/Relatives

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3939 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the last few days, have you talked about the election with friends and relatives often, occasionally, or not at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	587	14.9%
3	Occasionally	1955	49.6%
5	NotAtAll	1397	35.5%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb8a: Talked About Election With Other People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3941 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And have you talked about the election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsb8a: Talked About Election With Other People

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	375	<div></div> 9.5%
3	Occasionally	1800	<div></div> 45.7%
5	NotAtAll	1766	<div></div> 44.8%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpsb8b: Disagreed With People You Talked With			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2827 /-] [Invalid=1122 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer both #b8 and #b8a.		
Literal question	Have you disagreed with the people you talked with, most of the time, some of the time, or not at all?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Of The Time	222	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
3	Some Of The Time	1539	<div><div></div></div> 54.4%
5	NotAtAll	1066	<div><div></div></div> 37.7%
8	D.K.	55	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1060	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsb9: Satisfaction>Way Democracy Works In Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3796 /-] [Invalid=153 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Satisfied	441	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
3	Fairly Satisfied	1770	<div><div></div></div> 46.6%
5	Not Very	1124	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
7	NotAtAll	461	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
8	D.K.	135	
9	Refused	18	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsb10a: Those Elected to Parliament Lose Touch With the People			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3811 /-] [Invalid=138 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	For each of the following statements, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree.		
Literal question	First, those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	1471	<div><div></div></div> 38.6%
3	Somewhat Agree	1694	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%
5	SomewhatDisagree	476	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
7	StronglyDisagree	170	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
8	D.K.	132	
9	Refused	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsb10b: People Like Me Do Not Have Say in What the Government Does			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# cpsb10b: People Like Me Do Not Have Say in What the Government Does			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3849 /-] [Invalid=100 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People like me don't have any say about what the government does. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	1313	<div></div> 34.1%
3	Somewhat Agree	1167	<div></div> 30.3%
5	SomewhatDisagree	816	<div></div> 21.2%
7	StronglyDisagree	553	<div></div> 14.4%
8	D.K.	95	
9	Refused	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsb10c: Politics and Government Seem So Complicated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3870 /-] [Invalid=79 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on? Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	1065	<div></div> 27.5%
3	Somewhat Agree	1290	<div></div> 33.3%
5	SomewhatDisagree	831	<div></div> 21.5%
7	StronglyDisagree	684	<div></div> 17.7%
8	D.K.	75	
9	Refused	4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsb10d: Do Not Think Government Cares What People Think			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3852 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	I don't think the government cares much what people like me think. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	1387	<div></div> 36.0%
3	Somewhat Agree	1309	<div></div> 34.0%
5	SomewhatDisagree	825	<div></div> 21.4%
7	StronglyDisagree	331	<div></div> 8.6%
8	D.K.	89	
9	Refused	8	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsb10e: Politicans Are Ready to Lie to Get Elected			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3827 /-] [Invalid=122 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# cpsb10e: Politicians Are Ready to Lie to Get Elected			
Literal question		Politicians are ready to lie to get elected. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	1959	<div><div></div></div> 51.2%
3	Somewhat Agree	1283	<div><div></div></div> 33.5%
5	SomewhatDisagree	446	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
7	StronglyDisagree	139	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
8	D.K.	107	
9	Refused	15	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsc1: Better/Worse Off Financially Than Year Ago			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3921 /-] [Invalid=28 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Financially, are you better off, WORSE off, or about the same as a year ago?	
Post-question		If respondent answers "better off", go to #c1a. If respondent answers "worse off", go to #c1b. All others go to #c2.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better Off	684	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
3	Worse Off	1193	<div><div></div></div> 30.4%
5	AboutTheSame	2044	<div><div></div></div> 52.1%
8	D.K.	23	
9	Refused	5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsc1a: Much/Somewhat Better Off Than Year Ago			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=680 /-] [Invalid=3269 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who answered "better off" for #c1.	
Literal question		Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?	
Post-question		Go to #c2.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Better	230	<div><div></div></div> 33.8%
5	Somewhat Better	450	<div><div></div></div> 66.2%
8	D.K.	4	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3265	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsc1b: Much/Somewhat Worse Off Than Year Ago			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1182 /-] [Invalid=2767 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who answered "worse off" for #c1.	
Literal question		Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Worse	387	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%

# cpsc1b: Much/Somewhat Worse Off Than Year Ago			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat Worse	795	<div></div> 67.3%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2756	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsc2: Better/Worse Off Financially Year From Now			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3636 /-] [Invalid=313 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially, WORSE off, or about the same as now?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "better", go to #c2a. If respondent answers "worse", go to #c2b. All others go to #c3.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	1027	<div></div> 28.2%
3	Worse	605	<div></div> 16.6%
5	AboutTheSame	2004	<div></div> 55.1%
8	D.K.	309	
9	Refused	4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsc2a: Much/Somewhat Better Off Year From Now			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1019 /-] [Invalid=2930 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "better off" for #c2.		
Literal question	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?		
Post-question	Go to #c3.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Better	316	<div></div> 31.0%
5	Somewhat Better	703	<div></div> 69.0%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2922	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsc2b: Much/Somewhat Worse Off Year From Now			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=586 /-] [Invalid=3363 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "worse off" for #c2.		
Literal question	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Worse	158	<div></div> 27.0%
5	Somewhat Worse	428	<div></div> 73.0%
8	D.K.	19	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3344	

# cpsc2b: Much/Somewhat Worse Off Year From Now			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsc3: Policies Of Federal Government Made You:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3814 /-] [Invalid=135 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made you BETTER off, WORSE off, or haven't they made much of a difference?		
Notes	Order of #c3 and #c4 has been randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better Off	237	6.2%
3	Worse	937	24.6%
5	NotMade MuchDiff	2640	69.2%
8	D.K.	132	
9	Refused	3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsc4: Policies Provincial Government Made You:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3637 /-] [Invalid=312 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Have the policies of the [province in which respondent resides] government made you BETTER off, WORSE off, or haven't they made much of a difference?		
Notes	Order of #c3 and #c4 has been randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better Off	400	11.0%
3	Worse	1147	31.5%
5	NotMade MuchDiff	2090	57.5%
8	D.K.	128	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		179	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsc5: Unemployment Since Liberals CameToPower			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3606 /-] [Invalid=343 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think unemployment in CANADA has GONE UP, GONE DOWN, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME since the Liberals came to power?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gone Up	1443	40.0%
3	GoneDown	635	17.6%
5	Stayed AboutSame	1528	42.4%
8	D.K.	336	
9	Refused	7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# cpsc6: In the Next Few Years Unemployment Will Go Up			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3675 /-] [Invalid=274 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And in the next few years, do you think unemployment will go UP, go DOWN, or STAY ABOUT THE SAME?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Go Up	1188	<div><div></div></div> 32.3%
3	Go Down	920	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
5	Stay About Same	1346	<div><div></div></div> 36.6%
7	Depends	221	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
8	D.K.	268	
9	Refused	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsdr1: How Much Do You Know About> Jean Chretien			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3914 /-] [Invalid=35 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now I want to ask how much you know about the party leaders.		
Literal question	First, JEAN CHRETIEN? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Jean Chretien?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	1197	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
3	A Little	2317	<div><div></div></div> 59.2%
5	Nothing At All	400	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
8	D.K.	29	
9	Refused	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsdr2: How Much Do You Know About> Jean Charest			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3913 /-] [Invalid=36 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And what about JEAN CHAREST? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Jean Charest?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	673	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
3	A Little	2014	<div><div></div></div> 51.5%
5	Nothing At All	1226	<div><div></div></div> 31.3%
8	D.K.	29	
9	Refused	7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsdr3: How Much Do You Know About> Alexa McDonough			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3883 /-] [Invalid=66 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	ALEXA MCDONOUGH? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Alexa McDonough?		

# cpsdr3: How Much Do You Know About> Alexa McDonough			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	177	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
3	A Little	1290	<div><div></div></div> 33.2%
5	Nothing At All	2416	<div><div></div></div> 62.2%
8	D.K.	61	
9	Refused	5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsdr4: How Much Do You Know About> Preston Manning			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2896 /-] [Invalid=1053 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	PRESTON MANNING? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Preston Manning?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	658	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
3	A Little	1709	<div><div></div></div> 59.0%
5	Nothing At All	529	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
8	D.K.	15	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1034	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsdr5: How Much Do You Know About> Gilles Duceppe			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1003 /-] [Invalid=2946 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	GILLES DUCEPPE? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Gilles Duceppe?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	319	<div><div></div></div> 31.8%
3	A Little	380	<div><div></div></div> 37.9%
5	Nothing At All	304	<div><div></div></div> 30.3%
8	D.K.	26	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2915	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsd1a: Rating> Jean Charest			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2542 /-] [Invalid=1407 /-]		
Universe	Asked only if respondent did not state that they "know nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to answer how much they know about Jean Charest for #dr2.		
Pre-question	Now we're going to ask you how you feel about the party leaders using a scale from 0 to 100. 0 means you really DISLIKE the leader and 100 means you really LIKE the leader. You can use any number from 0 to 100.		
Literal question	How do you feel about JEAN CHAREST? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.		
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.		

cpsd1a: Rating> Jean Charest

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	88	<div></div> 3.5%
1		7	<div></div> 0.3%
2		4	<div></div> 0.2%
3		6	<div></div> 0.2%
4		6	<div></div> 0.2%
5		26	<div></div> 1.0%
6		5	<div></div> 0.2%
7		2	<div></div> 0.1%
8		5	<div></div> 0.2%
9		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		71	<div></div> 2.8%
11		1	<div></div> 0.0%
15		12	<div></div> 0.5%
20		92	<div></div> 3.6%
22		1	<div></div> 0.0%
23		1	<div></div> 0.0%
24		1	<div></div> 0.0%
25		67	<div></div> 2.6%
26		2	<div></div> 0.1%
28		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30		95	<div></div> 3.7%
32		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		29	<div></div> 1.1%
37		2	<div></div> 0.1%
38		2	<div></div> 0.1%
39		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		157	<div></div> 6.2%
42		3	<div></div> 0.1%
45		38	<div></div> 1.5%
46		1	<div></div> 0.0%
48		5	<div></div> 0.2%
50		490	<div></div> 19.3%
51		2	<div></div> 0.1%
52		3	<div></div> 0.1%
55		28	<div></div> 1.1%
56		1	<div></div> 0.0%
58		1	<div></div> 0.0%
59		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		297	<div></div> 11.7%
62		1	<div></div> 0.0%
63		1	<div></div> 0.0%
65		81	<div></div> 3.2%
66		1	<div></div> 0.0%
67		2	<div></div> 0.1%
68		3	<div></div> 0.1%

# cpsd1a: Rating> Jean Charest			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
69		1	0.0%
70		254	10.0%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		205	8.1%
78		5	0.2%
80		213	8.4%
82		3	0.1%
83		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		49	1.9%
87		1	0.0%
88		2	0.1%
90		75	3.0%
91		1	0.0%
95		18	0.7%
96		1	0.0%
98		4	0.2%
99		8	0.3%
100	Really Like	30	1.2%
997	DK WhoIs	22	0.9%
998	DK HowTo Rate	112	
999	Refused	33	
Sysmiss		1262	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1b: Rating> Jean Chretien			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3383 /-] [Invalid=566 /-]		
Universe	Asked only if respondent did not state that they "know nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to answer how much they know about Jean Chretien for #dr1.		
Literal question	How do you feel about JEAN CHRÉTIEN? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.		
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	229	6.8%
1		9	0.3%
2		18	0.5%
3		9	0.3%
4		6	0.2%
5		54	1.6%
6		7	0.2%
7		5	0.1%
8		3	0.1%
9		1	0.0%

cpsd1b: Rating> Jean Chretien







































Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		139	4.1%
12		4	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		15	0.4%
17		2	0.1%
20		113	3.3%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		95	2.8%
26		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		124	3.7%
32		1	0.0%
33		2	0.1%
35		29	0.9%
39		3	0.1%
40		204	6.0%
41		1	0.0%
42		3	0.1%
45		38	1.1%
48		2	0.1%
49		5	0.1%
50		545	16.1%
51		4	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		33	1.0%
56		3	0.1%
57		3	0.1%
60		329	9.7%
61		1	0.0%
62		3	0.1%
64		2	0.1%
65		104	3.1%
66		3	0.1%
67		3	0.1%
68		6	0.2%
69		1	0.0%
70		311	9.2%
72		5	0.1%
73		2	0.1%
75		286	8.5%
76		4	0.1%
77		4	0.1%
78		3	0.1%

# cpsd1b: Rating> Jean Chretien			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
79		2	0.1%
80		301	8.9%
82		2	0.1%
83		1	0.0%
84		2	0.1%
85		74	2.2%
86		2	0.1%
87		2	0.1%
88		1	0.0%
89		2	0.1%
90		104	3.1%
92		2	0.1%
95		24	0.7%
97		1	0.0%
98		2	0.1%
99		12	0.4%
100	Really Like	57	1.7%
997	DK WhoIs	9	0.3%
998	DK HowTo Rate	90	
999	Refused	41	
Sysmiss		435	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1c: Rating> Alexa McDonough			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1319 /-] [Invalid=2630 /-]		
Universe	Asked only if respondent did not state that they "know nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to answer how much they know about Alexa McDonough for #dr3.		
Literal question	How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE her and 100 means you really LIKE her		
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	66	5.0%
1		3	0.2%
2		8	0.6%
3		3	0.2%
4		1	0.1%
5		19	1.4%
7		3	0.2%
8		3	0.2%
10		62	4.7%
12		1	0.1%
15		10	0.8%
18		1	0.1%
20		65	4.9%
22		1	0.1%

cpsd1c: Rating> Alexa McDonough

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25		68	5.2%
27		2	0.2%
30		82	6.2%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
35		16	1.2%
39		1	0.1%
40		96	7.3%
41		1	0.1%
45		16	1.2%
49		1	0.1%
50		273	20.7%
52		2	0.2%
53		1	0.1%
55		16	1.2%
57		2	0.2%
58		1	0.1%
59		2	0.2%
60		121	9.2%
62		1	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
65		43	3.3%
66		1	0.1%
67		1	0.1%
68		3	0.2%
69		1	0.1%
70		87	6.6%
72		2	0.2%
75		63	4.8%
76		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
80		63	4.8%
85		15	1.1%
86		1	0.1%
90		22	1.7%
91		1	0.1%
95		4	0.3%
98		1	0.1%
99		3	0.2%
100	Really Like	18	1.4%
997	DK WhoIs	37	2.8%
998	DK HowTo Rate	130	
999	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		2482	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpsd1d: Rating> Preston Manning			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2245 /-] [Invalid=1704 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only if respondent did not state that they "know nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to answer how much they know about Preston Manning for #dr4.		
Literal question	How do you feel about PRESTON MANNING? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike him and 100 means you really like him		
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	205	 9.1%
1		12	 0.5%
2		20	 0.9%
3		9	 0.4%
4		5	 0.2%
5		39	 1.7%
6		2	 0.1%
7		2	 0.1%
8		3	 0.1%
9		1	 0.0%
10		123	 5.5%
14		1	 0.0%
15		17	 0.8%
18		2	 0.1%
20		117	 5.2%
23		2	 0.1%
24		1	 0.0%
25		89	 4.0%
27		1	 0.0%
28		1	 0.0%
29		1	 0.0%
30		138	 6.1%
32		1	 0.0%
35		23	 1.0%
37		1	 0.0%
38		3	 0.1%
40		195	 8.7%
42		2	 0.1%
43		1	 0.0%
45		39	 1.7%
48		2	 0.1%
49		7	 0.3%
50		384	 17.1%
51		1	 0.0%
52		3	 0.1%
54		1	 0.0%
55		29	 1.3%
56		2	 0.1%

# cpsd1d: Rating> Preston Manning			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60		197	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
63		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
65		65	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
66		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
67		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
68		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
69		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
70		119	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
72		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
73		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
75		118	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
76		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
77		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
78		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
79		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
80		101	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
85		29	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
88		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
90		47	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
92		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
93		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
95		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
100	Really Like	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
997	DK WhoIs	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
998	DK HowTo Rate	102	
999	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		1582	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1e: Rating> Gilles Duceppe			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=652 /-] [Invalid=3297 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only if respondent did not state that they "know nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to answer how much they know about Gilles Duceppe for #dr5.		
Literal question	How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.		
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	65	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
1		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
3		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
5		10	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%

# cpsd1e: Rating> Gilles Duceppe			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		1	0.2%
10		24	3.7%
15		5	0.8%
20		33	5.1%
22		3	0.5%
25		33	5.1%
30		48	7.4%
35		11	1.7%
39		1	0.2%
40		55	8.4%
45		12	1.8%
46		1	0.2%
49		2	0.3%
50		110	16.9%
51		1	0.2%
53		1	0.2%
55		9	1.4%
56		1	0.2%
60		61	9.4%
65		11	1.7%
66		1	0.2%
68		2	0.3%
70		36	5.5%
73		1	0.2%
75		30	4.6%
76		1	0.2%
79		1	0.2%
80		26	4.0%
85		7	1.1%
90		11	1.7%
95		4	0.6%
99		3	0.5%
100	Really Like	4	0.6%
997	DK WhoIs	15	2.3%
998	DK HowTo Rate	34	
999	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		3250	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1g: Rating> Federal Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3555 /-] [Invalid=394 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now we're going to ask you how you feel about each political party on the same scale. The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means an extremely bad rating and 100 means an extremely good rating.		

# cpsd1g: Rating> Federal Conservative Party			
Literal question		How do you feel about... the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.	
Notes		Order of party delivery is randomized.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	269	<div></div> 7.6%
1		13	<div></div> 0.4%
2		20	<div></div> 0.6%
3		8	<div></div> 0.2%
4		7	<div></div> 0.2%
5		59	<div></div> 1.7%
6		6	<div></div> 0.2%
7		5	<div></div> 0.1%
8		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		161	<div></div> 4.5%
15		32	<div></div> 0.9%
18		1	<div></div> 0.0%
20		185	<div></div> 5.2%
21		1	<div></div> 0.0%
22		2	<div></div> 0.1%
23		1	<div></div> 0.0%
24		1	<div></div> 0.0%
25		153	<div></div> 4.3%
26		1	<div></div> 0.0%
29		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30		208	<div></div> 5.9%
32		3	<div></div> 0.1%
35		57	<div></div> 1.6%
38		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		372	<div></div> 10.5%
41		1	<div></div> 0.0%
42		3	<div></div> 0.1%
45		52	<div></div> 1.5%
46		1	<div></div> 0.0%
47		2	<div></div> 0.1%
48		3	<div></div> 0.1%
49		4	<div></div> 0.1%
50		733	<div></div> 20.6%
51		2	<div></div> 0.1%
52		2	<div></div> 0.1%
55		44	<div></div> 1.2%
56		1	<div></div> 0.0%
59		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		386	<div></div> 10.9%
62		2	<div></div> 0.1%
63		2	<div></div> 0.1%

# cpsd1g: Rating> Federal Conservative Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
64		1	0.0%
65		76	2.1%
67		1	0.0%
68		4	0.1%
70		234	6.6%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		174	4.9%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		135	3.8%
81		1	0.0%
82		1	0.0%
85		23	0.6%
90		41	1.2%
91		1	0.0%
93		1	0.0%
95		11	0.3%
98		4	0.1%
99		5	0.1%
100	Really Like	26	0.7%
998	D.K.	343	
999	Refused	51	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1h: Rating> Federal Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3657 /-] [Invalid=292 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	On the same scale, how do you feel about...the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party		
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	233	6.4%
1		14	0.4%
2		8	0.2%
3		10	0.3%
4		1	0.0%
5		49	1.3%
6		4	0.1%
7		4	0.1%
8		2	0.1%

cpsd1h: Rating> Federal Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		3	0.1%
10		117	3.2%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
15		29	0.8%
17		1	0.0%
18		2	0.1%
20		141	3.9%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		102	2.8%
26		2	0.1%
28		3	0.1%
29		1	0.0%
30		140	3.8%
33		1	0.0%
35		45	1.2%
36		3	0.1%
38		2	0.1%
39		2	0.1%
40		239	6.5%
42		4	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
45		48	1.3%
46		2	0.1%
47		1	0.0%
48		3	0.1%
49		5	0.1%
50		740	20.2%
51		5	0.1%
52		4	0.1%
55		38	1.0%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		389	10.6%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		106	2.9%
66		2	0.1%
67		2	0.1%
68		6	0.2%
70		315	8.6%
72		3	0.1%
73		3	0.1%

# cpsd1h: Rating> Federal Liberal Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
74		2	0.1%
75		265	7.2%
76		1	0.0%
77		2	0.1%
78		1	0.0%
80		279	7.6%
83		2	0.1%
85		62	1.7%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		100	2.7%
95		17	0.5%
98		2	0.1%
99		9	0.2%
100	Really Like	69	1.9%
998	D.K.	240	
999	Refused	52	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1i: Rating> Federal New Democratic Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3457 /-] [Invalid=492 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	On the same scale, how do you feel about...the FEDERAL N.D.P? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.		
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	474	13.7%
1		16	0.5%
2		17	0.5%
3		11	0.3%
4		6	0.2%
5		81	2.3%
6		2	0.1%
7		3	0.1%
8		5	0.1%
9		3	0.1%
10		222	6.4%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		45	1.3%
16		1	0.0%
17		1	0.0%

cpsd1i: Rating> Federal New Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18		3	0.1%
20		274	7.9%
22		2	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
25		193	5.6%
26		1	0.0%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		291	8.4%
33		2	0.1%
35		53	1.5%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		2	0.1%
40		317	9.2%
43		1	0.0%
45		47	1.4%
46		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		7	0.2%
50		604	17.5%
51		1	0.0%
52		4	0.1%
55		46	1.3%
57		2	0.1%
58		2	0.1%
60		216	6.2%
63		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		52	1.5%
67		1	0.0%
68		3	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		178	5.1%
72		2	0.1%
75		83	2.4%
78		2	0.1%
80		78	2.3%
81		1	0.0%
85		15	0.4%
86		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		21	0.6%
95		6	0.2%

# cpsd1i: Rating> Federal New Democratic Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98		2	0.1%
99		5	0.1%
100	Really Like	35	1.0%
998	D.K.	442	
999	Refused	50	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1j: Rating> Reform Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3462 /-] [Invalid=487 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	On the same scale, how do you feel about the...THE REFORM PARTY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.		
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	666	19.2%
1		21	0.6%
2		33	1.0%
3		15	0.4%
4		3	0.1%
5		78	2.3%
6		7	0.2%
8		4	0.1%
9		3	0.1%
10		245	7.1%
11		2	0.1%
12		1	0.0%
15		39	1.1%
18		2	0.1%
20		234	6.8%
22		4	0.1%
25		153	4.4%
26		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		287	8.3%
31		1	0.0%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		36	1.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		289	8.3%
42		2	0.1%
45		42	1.2%
47		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%

# cpsd1j: Rating> Reform Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49		2	0.1%
50		482	<div></div> 13.9%
51		2	0.1%
52		3	0.1%
55		27	<div></div> 0.8%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		215	<div></div> 6.2%
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		51	<div></div> 1.5%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		129	<div></div> 3.7%
72		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		107	<div></div> 3.1%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		111	<div></div> 3.2%
84		1	0.0%
85		28	<div></div> 0.8%
90		63	<div></div> 1.8%
91		1	0.0%
92		1	0.0%
95		7	<div></div> 0.2%
98		2	0.1%
99		7	<div></div> 0.2%
100	Really Like	35	<div></div> 1.0%
998	D.K.	434	
999	Refused	53	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1k: Rating> Bloc Quebecois			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3514 /-] [Invalid=435 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	On the same scale, how do you feel about... the BLOC Québécois? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.		
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	1511	<div></div> 43.0%
1		47	<div></div> 1.3%
2		44	<div></div> 1.3%

cpsd1k: Rating> Bloc Quebecois

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		15	0.4%
4		5	0.1%
5		95	2.7%
6		3	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
8		5	0.1%
9		3	0.1%
10		310	8.8%
12		3	0.1%
15		29	0.8%
20		219	6.2%
21		1	0.0%
22		1	0.0%
25		116	3.3%
28		3	0.1%
29		1	0.0%
30		150	4.3%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		25	0.7%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		2	0.1%
40		191	5.4%
42		1	0.0%
45		25	0.7%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		1	0.0%
50		298	8.5%
51		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
55		7	0.2%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		102	2.9%
62		1	0.0%
65		12	0.3%
66		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
70		72	2.0%
72		1	0.0%
75		59	1.7%
80		66	1.9%
85		9	0.3%

# cpsd1k: Rating> Bloc Quebecois			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90		25	<div></div> 0.7%
95		6	<div></div> 0.2%
98		2	<div></div> 0.1%
99		10	<div></div> 0.3%
100	Really Like	23	<div></div> 0.7%
998	D.K.	381	
999	Refused	54	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1l: Rating> Politicians In General			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3742 /-] [Invalid=207 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And on the same scale, how do you feel about POLITICIANS IN GENERAL? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike them and 100 means you really like them.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	259	<div></div> 6.9%
1		18	<div></div> 0.5%
2		23	<div></div> 0.6%
3		15	<div></div> 0.4%
4		7	<div></div> 0.2%
5		69	<div></div> 1.8%
6		3	<div></div> 0.1%
7		9	<div></div> 0.2%
8		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9		3	<div></div> 0.1%
10		182	<div></div> 4.9%
12		3	<div></div> 0.1%
14		2	<div></div> 0.1%
15		41	<div></div> 1.1%
18		1	<div></div> 0.0%
20		167	<div></div> 4.5%
23		1	<div></div> 0.0%
24		1	<div></div> 0.0%
25		149	<div></div> 4.0%
28		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30		232	<div></div> 6.2%
31		1	<div></div> 0.0%
32		1	<div></div> 0.0%
33		3	<div></div> 0.1%
35		47	<div></div> 1.3%
36		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		321	<div></div> 8.6%
42		2	<div></div> 0.1%
45		50	<div></div> 1.3%

# cpsd1f: Rating> Politicians In General			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		7	0.2%
50		1018	27.2%
51		5	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		46	1.2%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		403	10.8%
62		1	0.0%
64		3	0.1%
65		133	3.6%
66		2	0.1%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		185	4.9%
72		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		165	4.4%
80		91	2.4%
85		13	0.3%
90		17	0.5%
95		2	0.1%
98		4	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	Really Like	20	0.5%
998	D.K.	179	
999	Refused	28	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1f: Rating> Brian Mulroney			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3688 /-] [Invalid=261 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And how do you feel about BRIAN MULRONEY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike him and 100 means you really like him.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	1085	29.4%
1		48	1.3%
2		40	1.1%
3		11	0.3%
4		10	0.3%
5		125	3.4%
6		2	0.1%

cpsd1f: Rating> Brian Mulroney

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		1	0.0%
8		3	0.1%
10		301	8.2%
11		1	0.0%
12		6	0.2%
15		52	1.4%
16		2	0.1%
18		1	0.0%
20		232	6.3%
25		133	3.6%
26		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		243	6.6%
32		1	0.0%
33		2	0.1%
35		43	1.2%
40		271	7.3%
41		1	0.0%
42		1	0.0%
45		50	1.4%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		3	0.1%
50		430	11.7%
52		4	0.1%
55		24	0.7%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		209	5.7%
61		1	0.0%
65		54	1.5%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		95	2.6%
72		1	0.0%
75		64	1.7%
77		1	0.0%
80		53	1.4%
85		12	0.3%
89		2	0.1%
90		12	0.3%
95		5	0.1%
98		1	0.0%

# cpsd1f: Rating> Brian Mulroney			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99		4	0.1%
100	Really Like	12	0.3%
997	DK WhoIs	25	0.7%
998	DK HowTo Rate	197	
999	Refused	64	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsd1n: Rating> Lucien Bouchard			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=990 /-] [Invalid=2959 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about Lucien Bouchard? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike him and 100 means you really like him.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	140	14.1%
1		6	0.6%
2		5	0.5%
3		3	0.3%
4		3	0.3%
5		15	1.5%
6		1	0.1%
8		3	0.3%
10		32	3.2%
12		1	0.1%
15		11	1.1%
20		34	3.4%
23		1	0.1%
25		25	2.5%
26		1	0.1%
30		30	3.0%
33		1	0.1%
35		7	0.7%
40		57	5.8%
45		10	1.0%
47		1	0.1%
50		152	15.4%
51		1	0.1%
55		8	0.8%
58		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
60		96	9.7%
61		1	0.1%
65		20	2.0%
70		78	7.9%
75		59	6.0%




# cpsd1n: Rating> Lucien Bouchard			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78		1	0.1%
80		74	7.5%
85		20	2.0%
87		1	0.1%
90		43	4.3%
92		1	0.1%
95		11	1.1%
99		5	0.5%
100	Really Like	24	2.4%
997	DK WhoIs	6	0.6%
998	DK HowTo Rate	29	
999	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		2915	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1a: Cut Taxes and Cut Social Programmes or Improve Social Programmes and Increase Taxes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9/7]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3610 /-] [Invalid=339 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	We face tough choices. Cutting taxes means cutting social programmes and improving social programmes means increasing taxes. IF YOU HAD TO CHOOSE, would you cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "cut taxes", go to #e1b. If respondent answers "increase taxes", go to #e1c. All others go to #e1d.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CutTaxes	1010	28.0%
2	Increase Taxes	478	13.2%
3	Keep As They Are	2122	58.8%
7	Cannot Answer	200	
8	D.K.	120	
9	Refused	19	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1b: Cut Taxes> By How Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=991 /-] [Invalid=2958 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "cut taxes" for #e1a.		
Literal question	Cut a lot, somewhat or a little? Should taxes be cut a lot, somewhat, or a little?		
Post-question	Go to #e1d.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	261	26.3%
2	Somewhat	426	43.0%
3	A Little	304	30.7%
8	D.K.	18	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2939	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpse1c: Increase Taxes> By How Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=466 /-] [Invalid=3483 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "increase taxes" for #e1a.		
Literal question	Increased a lot, somewhat or a little? Should taxes be increased a lot, somewhat, or a little?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	29	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
2	Somewhat	227	<div><div></div></div> 48.7%
3	A Little	210	<div><div></div></div> 45.1%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3471	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1d: Liberal Party Wants To Cut Taxes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3058 /-] [Invalid=891 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Remember, cutting taxes means cutting social programmes. Do you think the Liberal Party wants to cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "cut taxes", go to #e1e. If respondent answers "increase taxes", go to #e1f. All others go to #e1g.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CutTaxes	501	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
2	Increase Taxes	853	<div><div></div></div> 27.9%
3	Keep As They Are	1704	<div><div></div></div> 55.7%
8	D.K.	544	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		339	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1e: Liberals Want to Cut Taxes> By How Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=486 /-] [Invalid=3463 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "cut taxes" for #e1d.		
Literal question	Cut a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Liberal Party want to cut taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?		
Post-question	Go to #e1g.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	70	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
2	Somewhat	204	<div><div></div></div> 42.0%
3	A Little	212	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
8	D.K.	15	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3448	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1f: Liberals Want to Increase Taxes> By How Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# cpse1f: Liberals Want to Increase Taxes> By How Much			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=834 /-] [Invalid=3115 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "increase taxes" for #e1d.		
Literal question	Increased a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Liberal Party want to increase taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	150	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
2	Somewhat	413	<div><div></div></div> 49.5%
3	A Little	271	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
8	D.K.	19	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3096	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1g: Conservatives Want To Cut Taxes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2849 /-] [Invalid=1100 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Remember, cutting taxes means cutting social programmes. Do you think the Conservative Party wants to cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "cut taxes", go to #e1h. If respondent answers "increase taxes", go to #e1i. All others go to #e1j.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CutTaxes	1334	<div><div></div></div> 46.8%
2	Increase Taxes	617	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
3	Keep As They Are	898	<div><div></div></div> 31.5%
8	D.K.	746	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		339	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1h: Conservatives Want to Cut Taxes> By How Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1289 /-] [Invalid=2660 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "cut taxes" for #e1g.		
Literal question	Cut a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Conservative Party want to cut taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?		
Post-question	Go to #e1j.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	253	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
2	Somewhat	521	<div><div></div></div> 40.4%
3	A Little	515	<div><div></div></div> 40.0%
8	D.K.	43	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2615	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1i: Conservatives Want to Increase Taxes>By How Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=608 /-] [Invalid=3341 /-]		

# cpse1i: Conservatives Want to Increase Taxes>By How Much			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "increase taxes" for #e1g.		
Literal question	Increased a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Conservative Party want to increase taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	130	<div></div> 21.4%
2	Somewhat	299	<div></div> 49.2%
3	A Little	179	<div></div> 29.4%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3332	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1j: New Democratic Party Wants To Cut Taxes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2506 /-] [Invalid=1443 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Remember, cutting taxes means cutting social programmes. Do you think the N.D.P. wants to cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "cut taxes", go to #e1k. If respondent answers "increase taxes", go to #e1l. All others go to #e1m.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CutTaxes	750	<div></div> 29.9%
2	Increase Taxes	957	<div></div> 38.2%
3	Keep As They Are	799	<div></div> 31.9%
8	D.K.	1088	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		339	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1k: NDP Wants to Cut Taxes> By How Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=735 /-] [Invalid=3214 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "cut taxes" for #e1j.		
Literal question	Cut a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the N.D.P. want to cut taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	148	<div></div> 20.1%
2	Somewhat	315	<div></div> 42.9%
3	A Little	272	<div></div> 37.0%
8	D.K.	14	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3199	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1l: NDP Wants to Increase Taxes> By How Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=945 /-] [Invalid=3004 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "increase taxes" for #e1j.		
Literal question	Increased a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Liberal Party want to increase taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?		

cpse1l: NDP Wants to Increase Taxes> By How Much

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	320	 33.9%
2	Somewhat	399	 42.2%
3	A Little	226	 23.9%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2992	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpse1m: Reform Party Wants To Cut Taxes




Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1999 /-] [Invalid=1950 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Remember, cutting taxes means cutting social programmes. Do you think the Reform Party wants to cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?
Post-question	If respondent answers "cut taxes", go to #e1n. If respondent answers "increase taxes", go to #e1o. All others go to #e1p.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cut Taxes	1162	 58.1%
2	Increase Taxes	316	 15.8%
3	Keep As They Are	521	 26.1%
8	D.K.	665	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1276	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpse1n: Reform Party Wants to Cut Taxes> By How Much

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1143 /-] [Invalid=2806 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "cut taxes" for #e1m.
Literal question	Cut a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Reform Party want to cut taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	427	 37.4%
2	Somewhat	452	 39.5%
3	A Little	264	 23.1%
8	D.K.	19	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2787	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpse1o: Reform Party Wants to Increase Taxes> By How Much

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=314 /-] [Invalid=3635 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "increase taxes" for #e1m.
Literal question	Increased a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Reform Party want to increase taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?

cpse1o: Reform Party Wants to Increase Taxes> By How Much

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	90	<div></div> 28.7%
2	Somewhat	149	<div></div> 47.5%
3	A Little	75	<div></div> 23.9%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpse1p: Bloc Quebecois Wants To Cut Taxes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=662 /-] [Invalid=3287 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	Remember, cutting taxes means cutting social programmes. Do you think the Bloc Québécois wants to cut taxes, increase taxes, or keep taxes as they are?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "cut taxes", go to #e1q. If respondent answers "increase taxes", go to #e1r. All others go to #e3a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cut Taxes	175	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
2	Increase Taxes	163	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
3	Keep As They Are	324	<div><div></div></div> 48.9%
8	D.K.	265	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		3012	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1q: Bloc Quebecois Want to Cut Taxes> By How Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=174 /-] [Invalid=3775 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "cut taxes" for #e1p.		
Literal question	Cut a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Bloc Québécois want to cut taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?		
Post-question	Go to #e3a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	15	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
2	Somewhat	54	<div><div></div></div> 31.0%
3	A Little	105	<div><div></div></div> 60.3%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3774	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse1r: Bloc Quebecois Want to Increase Taxes>By How Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=163 /-] [Invalid=3786 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "increase taxes" for #e1p.		
Literal question	Increased a lot, somewhat, or a little? Does the Bloc Québécois want to increase taxes a lot, somewhat or a little?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	40	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
2	Somewhat	60	<div><div></div></div> 36.8%
3	A Little	63	<div><div></div></div> 38.7%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3786	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpse3a: How Much Should Be Done For Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3647 /-] [Invalid=302 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for Quebec: MORE, LESS or ABOUT THE SAME as now?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "more", got to #e3b. If respondent answers "less", go to #e3c. If respondent answers "about the same as now", go to #e3d. All others go to #f1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	821	<div></div> 22.5%
2	Less	1088	<div></div> 29.8%
3	AboutTheSame	1738	<div></div> 47.7%
8	D.K.	258	
9	Refused	44	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse3b: How Much More Should be Done for Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=811 /-] [Invalid=3138 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "more" for #e3a.		
Literal question	Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Should a lot more be done for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?		
Post-question	Go to #e3d.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot More	292	<div></div> 36.0%
2	Somewhat More	220	<div></div> 27.1%
3	A Little More	299	<div></div> 36.9%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3128	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse3c: How Much Less Should be Done for Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1068 /-] [Invalid=2881 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "less" for #e3a.		
Literal question	Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Should a lot less be done for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot Less	526	<div></div> 49.3%
2	Somewhat Less	353	<div></div> 33.1%
3	A Little Less	189	<div></div> 17.7%
8	D.K.	20	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2861	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse3d: How Much Do Liberals Want to Do For Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3075 /-] [Invalid=874 /-]		

# cpse3d: How Much Do Liberals Want to Do For Quebec			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much does the Liberal Party want to do for Quebec: MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME as now?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	1244	<div></div> 40.5%
2	Less	208	<div></div> 6.8%
3	AboutTheSame	1623	<div></div> 52.8%
8	D.K.	555	
9	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		302	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse3e: Liberals Want to Do For Quebec> How Much More			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1220 /-] [Invalid=2729 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "more" for #e3d.		
Literal question	Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Does the Liberal Party want to do a lot more for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?		
Post-question	Go to #e3g.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot More	420	<div></div> 34.4%
2	Somewhat More	482	<div></div> 39.5%
3	A Little More	318	<div></div> 26.1%
8	D.K.	24	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2705	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse3f: Liberals Want to Do For Quebec> How Much Less			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=206 /-] [Invalid=3743 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "less" for #e3d.		
Literal question	Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Does the Liberal Party want to do a lot less for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot Less	55	<div></div> 26.7%
2	Somewhat Less	58	<div></div> 28.2%
3	A Little Less	93	<div></div> 45.1%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3741	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse3g: How Much Do Conservatives Want to Do For Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2825 /-] [Invalid=1124 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# cpse3g: How Much Do Conservatives Want to Do For Quebec			
Literal question	Does the Conservative Party want to do MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME for Quebec?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "more", go to #e3h. If respondent answers "less", go to #e3i. All others go to #e3j.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	984	<div><div></div></div> 34.8%
2	Less	324	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
3	AboutTheSame	1517	<div><div></div></div> 53.7%
8	D.K.	804	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		302	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpse3h: Conservatives Want to Do For Quebec> How Much More			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=975 /-] [Invalid=2974 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "more" for #e3g.		
Literal question	Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Does the Conservative Party want to do a lot more for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot More	239	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
2	Somewhat More	358	<div><div></div></div> 36.7%
3	A Little More	378	<div><div></div></div> 38.8%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2965	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpse3i: Conservatives Want to Do For Quebec> How Much Less			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=323 /-] [Invalid=3626 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "less" for #e3g.		
Literal question	Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Does the Conservative Party want to do a lot less for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot Less	66	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
2	Somewhat Less	125	<div><div></div></div> 38.7%
3	A Little Less	132	<div><div></div></div> 40.9%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3625	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpse3j: How Much NDP Want to Do For Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2227 /-] [Invalid=1722 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What does the N.D.P. want to do for Quebec? Does the N.D.P. want to do MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME for Quebec?		

# cpse3j: How Much NDP Want to Do For Quebec			
Post-question	If respondent answers "more", go to #e3k. If respondent answers "less", go to #e3l. All others go to #e3m.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	353	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
2	Less	607	<div><div></div></div> 27.3%
3	AboutTheSame	1267	<div><div></div></div> 56.9%
8	D.K.	1400	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		302	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse3k: NDP Want to Do For Quebec> How Much More			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=350 /-] [Invalid=3599 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "more" for #e3j.		
Literal question	Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Does the N.D.P. want to do a lot more for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?		
Post-question	Go to #e3m.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot More	72	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
2	Somewhat More	139	<div><div></div></div> 39.7%
3	A Little More	139	<div><div></div></div> 39.7%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3596	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse3l: NDP Want to Do For Quebec> How Much Less			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=605 /-] [Invalid=3344 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "less" for #e3j.		
Literal question	Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Does the N.D.P. want to do a lot less for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot Less	143	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
2	Somewhat Less	246	<div><div></div></div> 40.7%
3	A Little Less	216	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3342	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpse3m: How Much Reform Party Wants Do For Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1940 /-] [Invalid=2009 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	What does the Reform Party want to do for Quebec? Does the Reform Party want to do MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME for Québec?		

# cpse3m: How Much Reform Party Wants Do For Quebec			
Post-question		If respondent answers "more", go to #e3n. If respondent answers "less", go to #e3o. All others go to #e3p.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	184	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
2	Less	1212	<div><div></div></div> 62.5%
3	AboutTheSame	544	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
8	D.K.	721	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		1276	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpse3n: Reform Party Want to Do For Quebec> How Much More			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=181 /-] [Invalid=3768 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "more" for #e3m.	
Literal question		Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Does the Reform Party want to do a lot more for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot More	73	<div><div></div></div> 40.3%
2	Somewhat More	67	<div><div></div></div> 37.0%
3	A Little More	41	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3765	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpse3o: Reform Party Want to Do For Quebec> How Much Less			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1201 /-] [Invalid=2748 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "less" for #e3m.	
Literal question		Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Does the Reform Party want to do a lot less for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot Less	632	<div><div></div></div> 52.6%
2	Somewhat Less	387	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
3	A Little Less	182	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
8	D.K.	10	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2737	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpse3p: How Much Bloc Quebecois Want Do For Quebec			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=868 /-] [Invalid=3081 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.	
Literal question		What does the Bloc Québécois want to do for Quebec? Does the Bloc Québécois want to do MORE, LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME for Québec?	

# cpse3p: How Much Bloc Quebecois Want Do For Quebec			
Post-question		If respondent answers "more", go to #e3q. If respondent answers "less", go to #e3r. All others go to #f1.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	680	<div><div></div></div> 78.3%
2	Less	58	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
3	AboutTheSame	130	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
8	D.K.	97	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2975	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpse3q: Bloc Quebecois Want to Do For Quebec> How Much More			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=676 /-] [Invalid=3273 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "more" for #e3p.	
Literal question		Is that a lot more, somewhat more, or a little more? Does the Bloc Québécois want to do a lot more for Quebec, somewhat more, or a little more?	
Post-question		Go to #f1.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot More	426	<div><div></div></div> 63.0%
2	Somewhat More	97	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
3	A Little More	153	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3269	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpse3r: Bloc Quebecois Want to Do For Quebec> How Much Less			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=55 /-] [Invalid=3894 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "less" for #e3p.	
Literal question		Is that a lot less, somewhat less, or a little less? Does the Bloc Québécois want to do a lot less for Quebec, somewhat less, or a little less?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lot Less	19	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
2	Somewhat Less	16	<div><div></div></div> 29.1%
3	A Little Less	20	<div><div></div></div> 36.4%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3891	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsf1: How Much Should Be Done for Racial Minorities			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3653 /-] [Invalid=296 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		How much do you think should be done for RACIAL MINORITIES: more, less, or about the same as now?	

# cpsf1: How Much Should Be Done for Racial Minorities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	1100	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
2	Less	581	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
3	AboutTheSame	1972	<div><div></div></div> 54.0%
8	D.K.	260	
9	Refused	36	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf2: Only Married People Be Having Children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3801 /-] [Invalid=148 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the following statements:		
Literal question	Only people who are married should be having children. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R asks, "common law" does NOT count as married.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	825	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
3	Somewhat Agree	566	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
5	SomewhatDisagree	888	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
7	StronglyDisagree	1522	<div><div></div></div> 40.0%
8	D.K.	113	
9	Refused	35	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf3: Society Would Be Better Off if Women Stayed Home With Children			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3790 /-] [Invalid=159 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Society would be better off if more women stayed home with their children. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	923	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
3	Somewhat Agree	858	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
5	SomewhatDisagree	701	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
7	StronglyDisagree	1308	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
8	D.K.	122	
9	Refused	37	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf4: There's Not Much Any Government Can Do to Solve Unemployment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3814 /-] [Invalid=135 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	There's not much ANY government can do these days to solve the unemployment problem. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		

# cpsf4: There's Not Much Any Government Can Do to Solve Unemployment			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	366	<div></div> 9.6%
3	Somewhat Agree	702	<div></div> 18.4%
5	SomewhatDisagree	1085	<div></div> 28.4%
7	StronglyDisagree	1661	<div></div> 43.6%
8	D.K.	130	
9	Refused	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf5: To Maintain Our Social Programmes We Must Eliminate the Deficit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3631 /-] [Invalid=318 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	To maintain our social programmes we must eliminate the deficit? Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	1109	<div></div> 30.5%
3	Somewhat Agree	1261	<div></div> 34.7%
5	SomewhatDisagree	826	<div></div> 22.7%
7	StronglyDisagree	435	<div></div> 12.0%
8	D.K.	303	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf6: The Government Should Leave it Entirely to the Private Sector to Create Jobs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3766 /-] [Invalid=183 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The government should leave it ENTIRELY to the private sector to create jobs. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	602	<div></div> 16.0%
3	Somewhat Agree	929	<div></div> 24.7%
5	SomewhatDisagree	1205	<div></div> 32.0%
7	StronglyDisagree	1030	<div></div> 27.3%
8	D.K.	174	
9	Refused	9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf7: What Were Liberals Elected to Do in 1993			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3475 /-] [Invalid=474 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What were the Liberals elected to do in 1993: ONE: Create jobs OR TWO: Fight the deficit?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Create Jobs	1401	<div></div> 40.3%

# cpsf7: What Were Liberals Elected to Do in 1993			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	FightTheDeficit	1120	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
3	Cut GST (Vol)	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
5	BothJobs&Deficit	891	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
6	Neither	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
7	Other	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
98	D.K.	463	
99	Refused	11	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf8: Best Way To Fight Unemployment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3395 /-] [Invalid=554 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which is the best way to fight unemployment: eliminate the deficit, or reduce taxes?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	EliminateDeficit	1304	<div><div></div></div> 38.4%
2	Reduce Taxes	1495	<div><div></div></div> 44.0%
3	Create Jobs(Vol)	32	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
5	BothDef&Taxes	329	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
6	Neither	195	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
7	Other	40	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
98	D.K.	530	
99	Refused	24	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf10a: Liberals> Preserving National Unity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3522 /-] [Invalid=427 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer three or more questions in this section.		
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Preserving national unity? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL of preserving national unity?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #f10a-#f10f, go to #f11.		
Notes	Order of #f10a-#f10f is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryGood Job	319	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3	Fairly Good	1593	<div><div></div></div> 45.2%
5	Not Very Good	1227	<div><div></div></div> 34.8%
7	Not Good At All	383	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
8	D.K.	309	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		107	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf10b: Liberals> Reducing The Deficit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# cpsf10b: Liberals> Reducing The Deficit			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3491 /-] [Invalid=458 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer three or more questions in this section.		
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Reducing the deficit? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #f10a-#f10f, go to #f11.		
Notes	Order of #f10a-#f10f is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryGood Job	531	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
3	Fairly Good	1647	<div><div></div></div> 47.2%
5	Not Very Good	1049	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
7	Not Good At All	264	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
8	D.K.	353	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		93	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf10c: Liberals> Creating Jobs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3585 /-] [Invalid=364 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer three or more questions in this section.		
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Creating jobs? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #f10a-#f10f, go to #f11.		
Notes	Order of #f10a-#f10f is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryGood Job	90	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
3	Fairly Good	916	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
5	Not Very Good	2014	<div><div></div></div> 56.2%
7	Not Good At All	565	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
8	D.K.	245	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		107	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf10d: Liberals> Keeping Election Promises			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3562 /-] [Invalid=387 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer three or more questions in this section.		
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Keeping election promises? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #f10a-#f10f, go to #f11.		
Notes	Order of #f10a-#f10f is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryGood Job	108	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
3	Fairly Good	927	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
5	Not Very Good	1759	<div><div></div></div> 49.4%

# cpsf10d: Liberals> Keeping Election Promises			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Not Good At All	768	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
8	D.K.	250	
9	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf10e: Liberals> Defending Interests Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=930 /-] [Invalid=3019 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer three or more questions in this section.		
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Defending the interests of Quebec? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #f10a-#f10f, go to #f11.		
Notes	Order of #f10a-#f10f is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryGood Job	46	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
3	Fairly Good	284	<div><div></div></div> 30.5%
5	Not Very Good	401	<div><div></div></div> 43.1%
7	Not Good At All	199	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
8	D.K.	58	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2952	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf10f: Liberals> Fighting Crime			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3326 /-] [Invalid=623 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer three or more questions in this section.		
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Fighting crime? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #f10a-#f10f, go to #f11.		
Notes	Order of #f10a-#f10f is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryGood Job	208	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
3	Fairly Good	1343	<div><div></div></div> 40.4%
5	Not Very Good	1311	<div><div></div></div> 39.4%
7	Not Good At All	464	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
8	D.K.	500	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		105	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf10g: Liberals> Protecting Social Programs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3564 /-] [Invalid=385 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer three or more questions from #f10a-#f10f.		

# cpsf10g: Liberals> Protecting Social Programs			
Literal question		How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done in: Protecting social programs? Has the Liberal government done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryGood Job	205	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
3	Fairly Good	1464	<div><div></div></div> 41.1%
5	Not Very Good	1531	<div><div></div></div> 43.0%
7	Not Good At All	364	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
8	D.K.	253	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		117	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsf11: Job By Reform Party In Parliament			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2132 /-] [Invalid=1817 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.	
Literal question		How good a job has the Reform Party done in Parliament? Has the Reform party done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryGood Job	173	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
3	Fairly Good	819	<div><div></div></div> 38.4%
5	Not Very Good	826	<div><div></div></div> 38.7%
7	Not Good At All	314	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
8	D.K.	768	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		1034	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsf12: Job By Bloc Quebecois In Parliament			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=889 /-] [Invalid=3060 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.	
Literal question		How good a job has the BLOC QUÉBÉCOIS done in Parliament? Has the BLOC QUÉBÉCOIS done A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryGood Job	61	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
3	Fairly Good	420	<div><div></div></div> 47.2%
5	Not Very Good	272	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
7	Not Good At All	136	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
8	D.K.	133	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2915	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsf13: Party PromisingTo Lower Income Taxes 10%			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3942 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]	

# cpsf13: Party PromisingTo Lower Income Taxes 10%			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you happen to remember which party is promising to lower personal income taxes by TEN percent?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC Party Only	1078	<div></div> 27.3%
3	PC & Any Other	67	<div></div> 1.7%
5	AnyOther Mention	775	<div></div> 19.7%
7	No,DoNotRemember	1359	<div></div> 34.5%
8	D.K.	663	<div></div> 16.8%
9	Refused	7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf14: Party Promising Cut Unemployment in Half By 2001			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3443 /-] [Invalid=506 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you happen to remember which party is promising to cut unemployment in half by year 2001?		
Notes	Question effective May 2, 1997 at 5:30pm.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NDP Only	339	<div></div> 9.8%
3	NDP&Any Other	26	<div></div> 0.8%
5	AnyOther Mention	1237	<div></div> 35.9%
7	No,DoNotRemember	1203	<div></div> 34.9%
8	D.K.	638	<div></div> 18.5%
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		500	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsf15: Party Against Recognizing Quebec as a Distinct Society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3435 /-] [Invalid=514 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And do you happen to remember which party is against recognizing Quebec as a distinct society?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reform Only	1605	<div></div> 46.7%
3	Reform &AnyOther	21	<div></div> 0.6%
5	AnyOther Mention	613	<div></div> 17.8%
7	No,DoNotRemember	732	<div></div> 21.3%
8	D.K.	464	<div></div> 13.5%
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		500	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsg1: Over The Past Year Canada's Economy...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3776 /-] [Invalid=173 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# cpsg1: Over The Past Year Canada's Economy...			
Pre-question	Now, I want to ask you about the economy.		
Literal question	Over the PAST YEAR, has CANADA's economy GOTTEN BETTER, GOTTEN WORSE, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "gotten better", go to #g1a. If respondent answers "gotten worse", go to #g1b. All others go to #g2.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten Better	1227	32.5%
3	Gotten Worse	833	22.1%
5	AboutTheSame	1716	45.4%
8	D.K.	170	
9	Refused	3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsg1a: Have the Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy Better			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1171 /-] [Invalid=2778 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten better" for #g1.		
Literal question	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy better, or haven't they made much difference?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	650	55.5%
5	NotMade MuchDiff	519	44.3%
7	Worse	2	0.2%
8	D.K.	55	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2722	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsg1b: Have the Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy Worse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=762 /-] [Invalid=3187 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten worse" for #g1.		
Literal question	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy worse, or haven't they made much difference?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worse	294	38.6%
5	NotMade MuchDiff	468	61.4%
7	Better	0	
8	D.K.	69	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3116	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsg2: Over the Past Year Province's Economy...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3779 /-] [Invalid=170 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And what about the economy of [province in which respondent resides]? Would you say that over the PAST YEAR [provinces]'s economy has GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or GOTTEN WORSE?		

# cpsg2: Over the Past Year Province's Economy...			
Post-question		If respondent answers "gotten better", go to #g2a. If respondent answers "gotten worse", go to #g2b. All others go to #g3a.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gotten Better	1165	<div><div></div></div> 30.8%
3	AboutTheSame	1314	<div><div></div></div> 34.8%
5	Gotten Worse	1300	<div><div></div></div> 34.4%
8	D.K.	164	
9	Refused	6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsg2a: Have the Federal Policies Made Province's Economy Better			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1097 /-] [Invalid=2852 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten better" for #g2.	
Literal question		Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made [province in which respondent resides]'s better, or haven't they made much difference	
Post-question		Go to #g3a.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	218	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
5	NotMade MuchDiff	832	<div><div></div></div> 75.8%
7	Worse	47	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
8	D.K.	67	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2784	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsg2b: Have the Federal Policies Made Province's Economy Worse			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1207 /-] [Invalid=2742 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten worse" for #g2.	
Literal question		Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made [province in which respondent resides]'s economy worse, or haven't they made much difference?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worse	451	<div><div></div></div> 37.4%
5	NotMade MuchDiff	745	<div><div></div></div> 61.7%
7	Better	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
8	D.K.	73	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2669	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsg3a: In the Next 12 Months Canada's Economy Will...			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3576 /-] [Invalid=373 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		What about the NEXT 12 months? Will CANADA's economy get BETTER, GET WORSE or STAY ABOUT THE SAME?	

# cpsg3a: In the Next 12 Months Canada's Economy Will...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get Better	1296	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
3	GetWorse	324	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
5	Stay The Same	1730	<div><div></div></div> 48.4%
7	Depends Who Wins	226	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
8	D.K.	366	
9	Refused	7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsg3b: In the Next 12 Months Province's Economy Will...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3648 /-] [Invalid=301 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And in the NEXT 12 months, will [province in which respondent resides]'s economy get BETTER, GET WORSE, or STAY ABOUT THE SAME?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get Better	1366	<div><div></div></div> 37.4%
3	GetWorse	595	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
5	Stay The Same	1575	<div><div></div></div> 43.2%
7	Depends Who Wins	112	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
8	D.K.	295	
9	Refused	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh1a: Describe> Charest> Strong Leader			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2474 /-] [Invalid=1475 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Jean Charest for #d1a. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Jean Charest for #dr2.		
Pre-question	Now, we'd like to get your impressions of the party leaders. I would like you to tell me how well the following words fit each leader.		
Literal question	What about... "JEAN CHAREST": Does "STRONG LEADER" describe Jean Charest: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Charest well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	144	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
1	VeryWell	700	<div><div></div></div> 28.3%
3	Fairly Well	1127	<div><div></div></div> 45.6%
5	Not Very Well	387	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
7	NotAtAll	116	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
8	D.K.	42	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1429	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpsh1b: Describe> Charest> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2188 /-] [Invalid=1761 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Charest well enough to rate him" for #h1a. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Jean Charest for #d1a. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Jean Charest for #dr2.		
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Jean Charest: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Charest well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	43	2.0%
1	VeryWell	441	20.2%
3	Fairly Well	1140	52.1%
5	Not Very Well	425	19.4%
7	NotAtAll	139	6.4%
8	D.K.	182	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		1573	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh1c: Describe> Charest> Arrogant			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2242 /-] [Invalid=1707 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Charest well enough to rate him" for #h1a-#h1b. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Jean Charest for #d1a. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Jean Charest for #dr2.		
Literal question	"ARROGANT?" Does "ARROGANT" describe Jean Charest: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Charest well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	19	0.8%
1	VeryWell	200	8.9%
3	Fairly Well	504	22.5%
5	Not Very Well	821	36.6%
7	NotAtAll	698	31.1%
8	D.K.	83	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1616	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh1d: Describe> Charest> Compassionate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2116 /-] [Invalid=1833 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Charest well enough to rate him" for #h1a-#h1c. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Jean Charest for #d1a. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Jean Charest for #dr2.		














# cpsh1d: Describe> Charest> Compassionate			
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE?" Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Jean Charest: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Charest well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	16	0.8%
1	VeryWell	434	20.5%
3	Fairly Well	1167	55.2%
5	Not Very Well	384	18.1%
7	NotAtAll	115	5.4%
8	D.K.	192	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		1635	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsh1e: Describe> Charest> In Touch With Times			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2222 /-] [Invalid=1727 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Charest well enough to rate him" for #h1a-#h1d. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Jean Charest for #d1a. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Jean Charest for #dr2.		
Literal question	"IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES?" Does "IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES" describe Jean Charest: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Charest well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	4	0.2%
1	VeryWell	609	27.4%
3	Fairly Well	1181	53.2%
5	Not Very Well	312	14.0%
7	NotAtAll	116	5.2%
8	D.K.	72	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1651	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsh2a: Describe> Chretien> Strong Leader			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3336 /-] [Invalid=613 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Jean Chretien for #d1b. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Jean Chretien for #dr1.		
Literal question	What about...JEAN CHRÉTIEN: Does "STRONG LEADER" describe JEAN CHRÉTIEN VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Chretien well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	57	1.7%

# cpsh2a: Describe> Chretien> Strong Leader			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryWell	844	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
3	Fairly Well	1527	<div><div></div></div> 45.8%
5	Not Very Well	665	<div><div></div></div> 19.9%
7	NotAtAll	243	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
8	D.K.	32	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		575	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh2b: Describe> Chretien> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3228 /-] [Invalid=721 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Chretien well enough to rate him" for #h2a. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Jean Chretien for #d1b. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Jean Chretien for #dr1.		
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Jean Chrétien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Chretien well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
1	VeryWell	449	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
3	Fairly Well	1271	<div><div></div></div> 39.4%
5	Not Very Well	1004	<div><div></div></div> 31.1%
7	NotAtAll	483	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
8	D.K.	83	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		632	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh2c: Describe> Chretien> Arrogant			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3186 /-] [Invalid=763 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Chretien well enough to rate him" for #h2a-#h2b. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Jean Chretien for #d1b. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Jean Chretien for #dr1.		
Literal question	"ARROGANT?" Does "ARROGANT" describe Jean Chrétien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Chretien well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
1	VeryWell	704	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
3	Fairly Well	975	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
5	Not Very Well	919	<div><div></div></div> 28.8%
7	NotAtAll	576	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%

# cpsh2c: Describe> Chretien> Arrogant			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	99	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		653	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh2d: Describe> Chretien> Compassionate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3106 /-] [Invalid=843 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Chretien well enough to rate him" for #h2a-#h2c. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Jean Chretien for #d1b. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Jean Chretien for #dr1.		
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE?" Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Jean Chrétien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Chretien well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	14	0.5%
1	VeryWell	484	15.6%
3	Fairly Well	1375	44.3%
5	Not Very Well	853	27.5%
7	NotAtAll	380	12.2%
8	D.K.	168	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		665	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh2e: Describe> Chretien> In Touch With Times			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3162 /-] [Invalid=787 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Chretien well enough to rate him" for #h2a-#h2d. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Jean Chretien for #d1b. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Jean Chretien for #dr1.		
Literal question	"IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES?" Does "IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES" describe Jean Chrétien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Chretien well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	6	0.2%
1	VeryWell	535	16.9%
3	Fairly Well	1330	42.1%
5	Not Very Well	845	26.7%
7	NotAtAll	446	14.1%
8	D.K.	101	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		679	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			











# cpsh3a: Describe> McDonough> Strong Leader			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1247 /-] [Invalid=2702 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate McDonough for #d1c. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate McDonough for #dr3.		
Literal question	What about...ALEXA MCDONOUGH: Does "STRONG LEADER" describe ALEXA MCDONOUGH VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know McDonough well enough to rate her for anything", go to next leader		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	165	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
1	VeryWell	175	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
3	Fairly Well	486	<div><div></div></div> 39.0%
5	Not Very Well	325	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
7	NotAtAll	96	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
8	D.K.	32	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2667	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh3b: Describe> McDonough> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1046 /-] [Invalid=2903 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know McDonough well enough to rate her" for #h3a. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who she is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate McDonough for #d1c. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate McDonough for #dr3.		
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe ALEXA MCDONOUGH: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know McDonough well enough to rate her for anything", go to next leader		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	60	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
1	VeryWell	266	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
3	Fairly Well	533	<div><div></div></div> 51.0%
5	Not Very Well	136	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
7	NotAtAll	51	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
8	D.K.	69	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2832	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh3c: Describe> McDonough> Arrogant			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1013 /-] [Invalid=2936 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know McDonough well enough to rate her" for #h3a-#h3b. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who she is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate McDonough for #d1c. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate McDonough for #dr3.		
Literal question	"ARROGANT?" Does "ARROGANT" describe ALEXA MCDONOUGH: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		

# cpsh3c: Describe> McDonough> Arrogant			
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know McDonough well enough to rate her for anything", go to next leader		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
1	VeryWell	62	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
3	Fairly Well	181	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
5	Not Very Well	347	<div><div></div></div> 34.3%
7	NotAtAll	416	<div><div></div></div> 41.1%
8	D.K.	41	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2892	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh3d: Describe> McDonough> Compassionate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=981 /-] [Invalid=2968 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know McDonough well enough to rate her" for #h3a-#h3c. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who she is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate McDonough for #d1c. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate McDonough for #dr3.		
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE?" Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe ALEXA MCDONOUGH: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know McDonough well enough to rate her for anything", go to next leader		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
1	VeryWell	349	<div><div></div></div> 35.6%
3	Fairly Well	497	<div><div></div></div> 50.7%
5	Not Very Well	95	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
7	NotAtAll	35	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
8	D.K.	67	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2899	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh3e: Describe> McDonough> In Touch With Times			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=994 /-] [Invalid=2955 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know McDonough well enough to rate her" for #h3a-#h3d. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who she is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate McDonough for #d1c. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate McDonough for #dr3.		
Literal question	"IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES?" Does "IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES" describe ALEXA MCDONOUGH: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know McDonough well enough to rate her for anything", go to next leader		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
1	VeryWell	248	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%

# cpsh3e: Describe> McDonough> In Touch With Times			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Fairly Well	464	 46.7%
5	Not Very Well	188	 18.9%
7	NotAtAll	88	 8.9%
8	D.K.	48	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2904	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsh4a: Describe> Manning> Strong Leader			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2198 /-] [Invalid=1751 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Manning for #d1d. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Manning for #dr4.		
Literal question	What about...PRESTON MANNING: Does "STRONG LEADER" describe PRESTON MANNING VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Manning well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	77	 3.5%
1	VeryWell	382	 17.4%
3	Fairly Well	862	 39.2%
5	Not Very Well	624	 28.4%
7	NotAtAll	253	 11.5%
8	D.K.	30	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1718	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsh4b: Describe> Manning> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2029 /-] [Invalid=1920 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Manning well enough to rate him" for #h4a. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who ehe is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Manning for #d1d. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Manning for #dr4.		
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Manning well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	50	 2.5%
1	VeryWell	378	 18.6%
3	Fairly Well	851	 41.9%
5	Not Very Well	516	 25.4%
7	NotAtAll	234	 11.5%
8	D.K.	121	
9	Refused	4	

# cpsh4b: Describe> Manning> Trustworthy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1795	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh4c: Describe> Manning> Arrogant			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2034 /-] [Invalid=1915 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Manning well enough to rate him" for #h4a-#h4b. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Manning for #d1d. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Manning for #dr4.		
Literal question	"ARROGANT?" Does "ARROGANT" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Manning well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	15	0.7%
1	VeryWell	467	23.0%
3	Fairly Well	571	28.1%
5	Not Very Well	620	30.5%
7	NotAtAll	361	17.7%
8	D.K.	67	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1845	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh4d: Describe> Manning> Compassionate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1956 /-] [Invalid=1993 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Manning well enough to rate him" for #h4a-#h4c. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Manning for #d1d. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Manning for #dr4.		
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE?" Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Manning well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	14	0.7%
1	VeryWell	265	13.5%
3	Fairly Well	736	37.6%
5	Not Very Well	670	34.3%
7	NotAtAll	271	13.9%
8	D.K.	130	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1860	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh4e: Describe> Manning> In Touch With Times			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# cpsh4e: Describe> Manning> In Touch With Times			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1998 /-] [Invalid=1951 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Manning well enough to rate him" for #h4a-#h4d. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Manning for #d1d. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Manning for #dr4.		
Literal question	"IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES?" Does "IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Manning well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	4	0.2%
1	VeryWell	457	22.9%
3	Fairly Well	847	42.4%
5	Not Very Well	471	23.6%
7	NotAtAll	219	11.0%
8	D.K.	74	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1874	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh5a: Describe> Duceppe> Strong Leader			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=627 /-] [Invalid=3322 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Duceppe for #d1e. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Duceppe for #dr5.		
Literal question	What about...GILLES DUCEPPE: Does "STRONG LEADER" describe GILLES DUCEPPE VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Duceppe well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	33	5.3%
1	VeryWell	39	6.2%
3	Fairly Well	150	23.9%
5	Not Very Well	248	39.6%
7	NotAtAll	157	25.0%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3312	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh5b: Describe> Duceppe> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=572 /-] [Invalid=3377 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Manning well enough to rate him" for #h5a. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Duceppe for #d1e. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Duceppe for #dr5.		

# cpsh5b: Describe> Duceppe> Trustworthy			
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe GILLES DUCEPPE: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Duceppe well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	9	 1.6%
1	VeryWell	55	 9.6%
3	Fairly Well	251	 43.9%
5	Not Very Well	139	 24.3%
7	NotAtAll	118	 20.6%
8	D.K.	30	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3345	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh5c: Describe> Duceppe> Arrogant			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=571 /-] [Invalid=3378 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Duceppe well enough to rate him" for #h5a-#h5b. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Duceppe for #d1e. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Duceppe for #dr5.		
Literal question	"ARROGANT?" Does "ARROGANT" describe GILLES DUCEPPE: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Duceppe well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	6	 1.1%
1	VeryWell	94	 16.5%
3	Fairly Well	160	 28.0%
5	Not Very Well	163	 28.5%
7	NotAtAll	148	 25.9%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3354	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh5d: Describe> Duceppe> Compassionate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=536 /-] [Invalid=3413 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Duceppe well enough to rate him" for #h5a-#h5c. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Duceppe for #d1e. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Duceppe for #dr5.		
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE?" Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe GILLES DUCEPPE: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Duceppe well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		







































# cpsh5d: Describe> Duceppe> Compassionate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	6	1.1%
1	VeryWell	43	8.0%
3	Fairly Well	261	48.7%
5	Not Very Well	132	24.6%
7	NotAtAll	94	17.5%
8	D.K.	51	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3360	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsh5e: Describe> Duceppe> In Touch With Times			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=544 /-] [Invalid=3405 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Not asked to respondents who stated they "don't know Duceppe well enough to rate him" for #h5a-#h5d. Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know who he is", "don't know how to rate", or refused to rate Duceppe for #d1e. Not asked to respondents who answered "nothing at all", "don't know", or refused to rate Duceppe for #dr5.		
Literal question	"IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES?" Does "IN TOUCH WITH THE TIMES" describe GILLES DUCEPPE: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know Duceppe well enough to rate him for anything", go to next leader.		
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	D.K.Well Enough	1	0.2%
1	VeryWell	52	9.6%
3	Fairly Well	195	35.8%
5	Not Very Well	149	27.4%
7	NotAtAll	147	27.0%
8	D.K.	35	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		3366	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi1a: PC Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/997/999/996]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3435 /-] [Invalid=514 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know anything about riding" for #i1b, #i1c, #i1d, #i1e, if previously asked.		
Pre-question	Now let's talk about how the parties are doing. We'll be using a scale from 0 to 100. 0 means a party has NO CHANCE AT ALL of winning, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means the party is CERTAIN to win. You can use ANY number from 0 to 100. And what about IN YOUR RIDING?		
Literal question	The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about riding", go to party chances if not already asked. Otherwise go to #i3a.		
Notes	Order of chances of winning in riding and chances of winning in country is randomized. Order of party delivery is randomized.		

cpsi1a: PC Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	450	<div></div> 13.1%
1		8	<div></div> 0.2%
2		7	<div></div> 0.2%
3		2	<div></div> 0.1%
4		3	<div></div> 0.1%
5		35	<div></div> 1.0%
6		2	<div></div> 0.1%
7		1	<div></div> 0.0%
8		4	<div></div> 0.1%
9		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		182	<div></div> 5.3%
12		2	<div></div> 0.1%
15		46	<div></div> 1.3%
16		2	<div></div> 0.1%
17		1	<div></div> 0.0%
20		238	<div></div> 6.9%
24		1	<div></div> 0.0%
25		129	<div></div> 3.8%
28		1	<div></div> 0.0%
29		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30	Even Chance	293	<div></div> 8.5%
32		1	<div></div> 0.0%
33		1	<div></div> 0.0%
34		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		68	<div></div> 2.0%
37		1	<div></div> 0.0%
38		4	<div></div> 0.1%
39		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		360	<div></div> 10.5%
43		2	<div></div> 0.1%
45		42	<div></div> 1.2%
47		1	<div></div> 0.0%
48		3	<div></div> 0.1%
49		3	<div></div> 0.1%
50		727	<div></div> 21.2%
52		3	<div></div> 0.1%
53		1	<div></div> 0.0%
54		1	<div></div> 0.0%
55		35	<div></div> 1.0%
58		1	<div></div> 0.0%
59		2	<div></div> 0.1%
60		220	<div></div> 6.4%
63		1	<div></div> 0.0%
65		35	<div></div> 1.0%
66		1	<div></div> 0.0%








































# cpsi1a: PC Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70		121	<div></div> 3.5%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
75		80	<div></div> 2.3%
77		1	0.0%
78		3	0.1%
80		109	<div></div> 3.2%
85		8	<div></div> 0.2%
89		1	0.0%
90		21	<div></div> 0.6%
95		5	0.1%
99		4	0.1%
100	Certain Victory	50	<div></div> 1.5%
998	DKAnythingRiding	106	<div></div> 3.1%
996	No Candidate	2	
997	D.K.WhatChances Are	96	
999	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		398	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi1b: Lib Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/997/999/996]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3455 /-] [Invalid=494 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know anything about riding" for #i1a, #i1c, #i1d, #i1e, if previously asked.		
Literal question	The LIBERAL party's chances IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about riding", go to party chances if not already asked. Otherwise go to #i3a.		
Notes	Order of chances of winning in riding and chances of winning in country is randomized. Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	220	<div></div> 6.4%
1		2	0.1%
3		2	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		20	<div></div> 0.6%
7		1	0.0%
8		5	0.1%
10		76	<div></div> 2.2%
15		11	<div></div> 0.3%
18		1	0.0%
20		109	<div></div> 3.2%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		72	<div></div> 2.1%

# cpsi1b: Lib Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		142	<div></div> 4.1%
33		1	0.0%
34		1	0.0%
35		39	<div></div> 1.1%
40		240	<div></div> 6.9%
42		2	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
45		37	<div></div> 1.1%
47		1	0.0%
48		3	0.1%
49		3	0.1%
50	Even Chance	803	<div></div> 23.2%
51		3	0.1%
52		4	0.1%
55		53	<div></div> 1.5%
56		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		333	<div></div> 9.6%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
65		57	<div></div> 1.6%
70		240	<div></div> 6.9%
73		2	0.1%
75		200	<div></div> 5.8%
76		1	0.0%
78		2	0.1%
80		258	<div></div> 7.5%
82		2	0.1%
85		43	<div></div> 1.2%
88		1	0.0%
90		115	<div></div> 3.3%
95		26	<div></div> 0.8%
97		1	0.0%
98		4	0.1%
99		8	0.2%
100	Certain Victory	217	<div></div> 6.3%
998	DKAnythingRiding	86	<div></div> 2.5%
996	No Candidate	0	
997	D.K.WhatChances Are	70	
999	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		407	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi1c: NDP Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/997/999/996]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3387 /-] [Invalid=562 /-]		

# cps1c: NDP Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know anything about riding" for #1a, #1b, #1d, #1e, if previously asked.		
Literal question	The N.D.P. chances IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about riding", go to party chances if not already asked. Otherwise go to #13a.		
Notes	Order of chances of winning in riding and chances of winning in country is randomized. Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	974	 28.8%
1		15	 0.4%
2		24	 0.7%
3		3	 0.1%
4		1	 0.0%
5		88	 2.6%
6		1	 0.0%
7		4	 0.1%
8		8	 0.2%
10		312	 9.2%
12		6	 0.2%
14		1	 0.0%
15		53	 1.6%
17		2	 0.1%
18		2	 0.1%
20		320	 9.4%
22		1	 0.0%
23		2	 0.1%
25		134	 4.0%
29		2	 0.1%
30		250	 7.4%
33		2	 0.1%
35		43	 1.3%
38		1	 0.0%
40		255	 7.5%
42		2	 0.1%
43		2	 0.1%
44		1	 0.0%
45		45	 1.3%
47		1	 0.0%
49		1	 0.0%
50	Even Chance	357	 10.5%
51		1	 0.0%
52		2	 0.1%
53		1	 0.0%
54		1	 0.0%
55		20	 0.6%
58		1	 0.0%

# cps1c: NDP Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59		1	0.0%
60		115	3.4%
65		23	0.7%
70		57	1.7%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		52	1.5%
78		1	0.0%
80		59	1.7%
85		5	0.1%
90		21	0.6%
95		3	0.1%
97		1	0.0%
99		2	0.1%
100	Certain Victory	34	1.0%
998	DKAnythingRiding	72	2.1%
996	No Candidate	13	
997	D.K.WhatChances Are	103	
999	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		433	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps1d: Reform Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/997/999/996]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2596 /-] [Invalid=1353 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know anything about riding" for #11a, #11b, #11c, #11e, if previously asked.		
Literal question	The REFORM party's chances IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about riding", go to party chances if not already asked. Otherwise go to #13a.		
Notes	Order of chances of winning in riding and chances of winning in country is randomized. Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	493	19.0%
1		10	0.4%
2		12	0.5%
3		3	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		60	2.3%
6		1	0.0%
7		3	0.1%
8		5	0.2%
9		2	0.1%
10		197	7.6%
15		29	1.1%

# cps1d: Reform Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		1	0.0%
17		1	0.0%
20		215	8.3%
23		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		99	3.8%
30		204	7.9%
32		1	0.0%
35		27	1.0%
40		223	8.6%
42		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		28	1.1%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		1	0.0%
50	Even Chance	351	13.5%
51		2	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		22	0.8%
60		134	5.2%
61		1	0.0%
65		27	1.0%
68		3	0.1%
70		90	3.5%
75		71	2.7%
80		99	3.8%
82		1	0.0%
85		9	0.3%
90		37	1.4%
95		9	0.3%
99		3	0.1%
100	Certain Victory	59	2.3%
998	DKAnythingRiding	54	2.1%
996	No Candidate	29	
997	D.K.WhatChances Are	84	
999	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1231	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps1e: Bloc Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/997/999/996]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=878 /-] [Invalid=3071 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know anything about riding" for #1a, #1b, #1c, #1d, if previously asked.		

# cps1e: Bloc Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Literal question	The BLOC Québécois' chances IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about riding", go to party chances if not already asked. Otherwise go to #i3a.		
Notes	Order of chances of winning in riding and chances of winning in country is randomized. Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	104	 11.8%
1		2	 0.2%
3		2	 0.2%
4		2	 0.2%
5		7	 0.8%
6		1	 0.1%
8		1	 0.1%
10		18	 2.1%
14		1	 0.1%
15		3	 0.3%
19		1	 0.1%
20		30	 3.4%
25		20	 2.3%
30		32	 3.6%
33		1	 0.1%
35		9	 1.0%
36		1	 0.1%
38		1	 0.1%
40		71	 8.1%
45		12	 1.4%
48		3	 0.3%
50	Even Chance	211	 24.0%
51		1	 0.1%
52		2	 0.2%
55		11	 1.3%
58		2	 0.2%
60		73	 8.3%
62		2	 0.2%
65		5	 0.6%
70		41	 4.7%
75		39	 4.4%
79		1	 0.1%
80		52	 5.9%
85		5	 0.6%
90		19	 2.2%
95		5	 0.6%
99		2	 0.2%
100	Certain Victory	54	 6.2%
998	DKAnythingRiding	31	 3.5%

# cps1e: Bloc Chances> Winning In Your Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	No Candidate	0	
997	D.K.WhatChances Are	18	
999	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		3046	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cps2a: PC Chances> Winning In Whole Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3688 /-] [Invalid=261 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know about any parties chances" for #i2b, #i2c, #i2d, #i2e, if previously asked.		
Pre-question	And what about winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?		
Literal question	What are the CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? On a scale from 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory in the whole country.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know about any parties chances", go to riding chances if not already asked. Otherwise go to #i3a.		
Notes	Order of chances of winning in riding and chances of winning in country is randomized. Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	354	<div></div> 9.6%
1		6	<div></div> 0.2%
2		7	<div></div> 0.2%
3		3	<div></div> 0.1%
4		2	<div></div> 0.1%
5		36	<div></div> 1.0%
6		1	<div></div> 0.0%
7		1	<div></div> 0.0%
8		4	<div></div> 0.1%
9		3	<div></div> 0.1%
10		145	<div></div> 3.9%
12		2	<div></div> 0.1%
13		1	<div></div> 0.0%
15		45	<div></div> 1.2%
16		1	<div></div> 0.0%
17		1	<div></div> 0.0%
18		1	<div></div> 0.0%
20		232	<div></div> 6.3%
21		1	<div></div> 0.0%
22		1	<div></div> 0.0%
25		151	<div></div> 4.1%
27		2	<div></div> 0.1%
30		368	<div></div> 10.0%
32		2	<div></div> 0.1%
33		2	<div></div> 0.1%
35		80	<div></div> 2.2%

# cpsi2a: PC Chances> Winning In Whole Country			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
37		1	0.0%
38		5	0.1%
39		3	0.1%
40		463	12.6%
42		5	0.1%
45		89	2.4%
46		2	0.1%
48		7	0.2%
49		6	0.2%
50	Even Chance	809	21.9%
52		3	0.1%
53		3	0.1%
54		2	0.1%
55		37	1.0%
56		1	0.0%
57		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		311	8.4%
62		2	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
65		54	1.5%
68		1	0.0%
70		129	3.5%
72		3	0.1%
75		117	3.2%
78		1	0.0%
80		82	2.2%
85		8	0.2%
89		1	0.0%
90		13	0.4%
95		5	0.1%
98		1	0.0%
99		2	0.1%
100	Certain Victory	18	0.5%
997	DKAnyPartyChances	48	1.3%
998	D.K. PC Chances	105	
999	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		133	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi2b: Lib Chances> Winning In Whole Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3735 -/] [Invalid=214 -/]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know about any parties chances" for #i2a, #i2c, #i2d, #i2e, if previously asked.		

# cpsi2b: Lib Chances> Winning In Whole Country			
Literal question	What about the LIBERAL party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? On a scale from 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory in the whole country.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know about any parties chances", go to riding chances if not already asked. Otherwise go to #i3a.		
Notes	Order of chances of winning in riding and chances of winning in country is randomized. Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	34	0.9%
1		3	0.1%
3		1	0.0%
4		1	0.0%
5		4	0.1%
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
8		1	0.0%
10		26	0.7%
15		3	0.1%
20		20	0.5%
25		17	0.5%
30		46	1.2%
32		2	0.1%
34		1	0.0%
35		16	0.4%
37		1	0.0%
38		2	0.1%
40		114	3.1%
41		1	0.0%
42		2	0.1%
45		43	1.2%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		7	0.2%
49		6	0.2%
50	Even Chance	703	18.8%
51		14	0.4%
52		9	0.2%
53		3	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		82	2.2%
56		1	0.0%
57		2	0.1%
58		2	0.1%
59		2	0.1%
60		492	13.2%
62		4	0.1%
63		1	0.0%

# cpsi2b: Lib Chances> Winning In Whole Country			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65		104	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
67		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
68		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
70		394	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
72		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
75		318	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
76		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
77		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
78		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
79		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
80		402	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
83		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
85		78	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
86		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
89		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
90		210	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
92		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
93		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
95		42	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
97		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
98		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
99		14	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
100	Certain Victory	419	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
997	DKAnyPartyChances	53	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
998	D.K.Lib Chances	65	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		128	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi2c: NDP Chances> Winning In Whole Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3666 /-] [Invalid=283 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know about any parties chances" for #i2a, #i2b, #i2d, #i2e, if previously asked.		
Literal question	What about the N.D.P.'s chances? of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know about any parties chances", go to riding chances if not already asked. Otherwise go to #i3a.		
Notes	Order of chances of winning in riding and chances of winning in country is randomized. Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	922	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
1		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2		33	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
3		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

cpsi2c: NDP Chances> Winning In Whole Country






































Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		130	<div></div> 3.5%
6		5	<div></div> 0.1%
7		4	<div></div> 0.1%
8		6	<div></div> 0.2%
9		2	<div></div> 0.1%
10		378	<div></div> 10.3%
12		6	<div></div> 0.2%
15		92	<div></div> 2.5%
16		1	<div></div> 0.0%
17		3	<div></div> 0.1%
18		5	<div></div> 0.1%
19		2	<div></div> 0.1%
20		387	<div></div> 10.6%
21		2	<div></div> 0.1%
22		5	<div></div> 0.1%
23		1	<div></div> 0.0%
25		219	<div></div> 6.0%
26		2	<div></div> 0.1%
29		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30		372	<div></div> 10.1%
32		2	<div></div> 0.1%
35		63	<div></div> 1.7%
36		1	<div></div> 0.0%
38		2	<div></div> 0.1%
40		284	<div></div> 7.7%
43		1	<div></div> 0.0%
45		53	<div></div> 1.4%
47		1	<div></div> 0.0%
48		3	<div></div> 0.1%
49		3	<div></div> 0.1%
50	Even Chance	339	<div></div> 9.2%
51		1	<div></div> 0.0%
52		1	<div></div> 0.0%
54		1	<div></div> 0.0%
55		19	<div></div> 0.5%
56		1	<div></div> 0.0%
58		1	<div></div> 0.0%
59		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		96	<div></div> 2.6%
61		1	<div></div> 0.0%
64		1	<div></div> 0.0%
65		22	<div></div> 0.6%
68		1	<div></div> 0.0%
70		34	<div></div> 0.9%
75		19	<div></div> 0.5%

# cpsi2c: NDP Chances> Winning In Whole Country			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80		23	0.6%
85		5	0.1%
90		6	0.2%
99		3	0.1%
100	Certain Victory	14	0.4%
997	DKAnyPartyChances	52	1.4%
998	D.K.NDP Chances	131	
999	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		129	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi2d: Reform Chances> Winning In Whole Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3628 /-] [Invalid=321 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know about any parties chances" for #i2a, #i2b, #i2c, #i2e, if previously asked.		
Literal question	What about the REFORM party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? On a scale from 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory in the whole country.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know about any parties chances", go to riding chances if not already asked. Otherwise go to #i3a.		
Notes	Order of chances of winning in riding and chances of winning in country is randomized. Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	811	22.4%
1		15	0.4%
2		17	0.5%
3		11	0.3%
4		2	0.1%
5		85	2.3%
6		3	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
8		4	0.1%
9		2	0.1%
10		260	7.2%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		69	1.9%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		349	9.6%
22		2	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%

cpsi2d: Reform Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25		181	<div></div> 5.0%
27		1	<div></div> 0.0%
28		2	<div></div> 0.1%
29		3	<div></div> 0.1%
30		408	<div></div> 11.2%
31		1	<div></div> 0.0%
32		3	<div></div> 0.1%
33		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		66	<div></div> 1.8%
36		1	<div></div> 0.0%
37		1	<div></div> 0.0%
38		1	<div></div> 0.0%
39		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		435	<div></div> 12.0%
42		3	<div></div> 0.1%
43		2	<div></div> 0.1%
45		73	<div></div> 2.0%
46		1	<div></div> 0.0%
48		5	<div></div> 0.1%
49		2	<div></div> 0.1%
50	Even Chance	447	<div></div> 12.3%
51		6	<div></div> 0.2%
52		1	<div></div> 0.0%
54		1	<div></div> 0.0%
55		26	<div></div> 0.7%
58		2	<div></div> 0.1%
60		135	<div></div> 3.7%
65		16	<div></div> 0.4%
68		1	<div></div> 0.0%
70		48	<div></div> 1.3%
73		1	<div></div> 0.0%
74		1	<div></div> 0.0%
75		26	<div></div> 0.7%
80		20	<div></div> 0.6%
85		5	<div></div> 0.1%
86		1	<div></div> 0.0%
90		5	<div></div> 0.1%
95		2	<div></div> 0.1%
99		2	<div></div> 0.1%
100	Certain Victory	11	<div></div> 0.3%
997	DKAnyPartyChances	41	<div></div> 1.1%
998	DKReform Chances	165	
999	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		134	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpsi2e: Bloc Chances>Majority Of Seats In Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3476 /-] [Invalid=473 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know about any parties chances" for #i2a, #i2b, #i2c, #i2d, if previously asked.		
Literal question	The BLOC QUEBECOIS' chances of winning the MAJORITY OF SEATS in QUEBEC? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.		
Notes	Order of chances of winning in riding and chances of winning in country is randomized. Order of party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	163	 4.7%
1		5	 0.1%
2		3	 0.1%
4		1	 0.0%
5		12	 0.3%
7		2	 0.1%
8		2	 0.1%
9		1	 0.0%
10		70	 2.0%
12		1	 0.0%
15		18	 0.5%
16		1	 0.0%
20		75	 2.2%
22		1	 0.0%
25		57	 1.6%
27		1	 0.0%
29		1	 0.0%
30		131	 3.8%
35		35	 1.0%
38		2	 0.1%
39		2	 0.1%
40		277	 8.0%
41		2	 0.1%
42		1	 0.0%
43		2	 0.1%
44		2	 0.1%
45		84	 2.4%
46		2	 0.1%
47		4	 0.1%
48		8	 0.2%
49	Even Chance	12	 0.3%
50		854	 24.6%
51		8	 0.2%
52		2	 0.1%
53		1	 0.0%
54		2	 0.1%
55		49	 1.4%

# cpsi2e: Bloc Chances>Majority Of Seats In Quebec			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
56		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%
60		365	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
64		2	0.1%
65		55	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
66		1	0.0%
70		230	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
72		1	0.0%
75		207	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		302	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
82		1	0.0%
83		1	0.0%
85		27	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
86		1	0.0%
90		144	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
95		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
97		1	0.0%
99		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
100	Certain Victory	206	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
997	DKAnyPartyChances	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
998	DK Bloc Chances	258	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		194	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi3a: Lib Chances> Forming Official Opposition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=958 /-] [Invalid=2991 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know any party chances" for #i2a-#i2e.		
Pre-question	The party that comes in second gets to form the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION. Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN VICTORY.		
Literal question	What are the LIBERAL party's chances of forming the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN?		
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any party chances", go to Section J- Policy II.		
Notes	Opposition questions are not randomized, but are always included after chances of winning in country. The party that the respondent rates as having the chances of winning in the whole country is dropped from the following set of questions #i3a-#i3e.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	47	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
1		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
7		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

# cpsi3a: Lib Chances> Forming Official Opposition			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		1	0.1%
10		8	0.8%
15		5	0.5%
20		17	1.8%
25		9	0.9%
30		38	4.0%
33		1	0.1%
35		4	0.4%
40		64	6.7%
45		5	0.5%
49		4	0.4%
50	Even Chance	360	37.6%
51		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		7	0.7%
60		74	7.7%
63		1	0.1%
65		8	0.8%
70		65	6.8%
75		47	4.9%
80		65	6.8%
85		3	0.3%
90		21	2.2%
95		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
100	Certain Victory	40	4.2%
997	DKAnyPartyChances	54	5.6%
998	D.K.Lib Chances	95	
999	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		2877	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi3b: PC Chances> Forming Official Opposition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3260 /-] [Invalid=689 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know any party chances" for #i2a-#i2e, or for #i3a.		
Literal question	What are the CONSERVATIVE party's chances of forming the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN?		
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any party chances", go to Section J- Policy II.		
Notes	Opposition questions are not randomized, but are always included after chances of winning in country. The party that the respondent rates as having the chances of winning in the whole country is dropped from the following set of questions #i3a-#i3e.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	240	7.4%
1		3	0.1%
2		6	0.2%

cpsi3b: PC Chances> Forming Official Opposition

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		1	0.0%
4		2	0.1%
5		15	0.5%
6		2	0.1%
8		3	0.1%
10		112	3.4%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		23	0.7%
18		1	0.0%
20		197	6.0%
22		2	0.1%
25		105	3.2%
27		1	0.0%
30		232	7.1%
33		3	0.1%
35		40	1.2%
36		1	0.0%
37		1	0.0%
38		3	0.1%
40		357	11.0%
45		46	1.4%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		7	0.2%
50	Even Chance	917	28.1%
51		1	0.0%
52		1	0.0%
54		2	0.1%
55		23	0.7%
58		1	0.0%
60		246	7.5%
62		1	0.0%
65		26	0.8%
68		2	0.1%
70		159	4.9%
75		113	3.5%
80		142	4.4%
85		11	0.3%
90		58	1.8%
92		1	0.0%
95		4	0.1%
98		2	0.1%
99		3	0.1%

# cpsi3b: PC Chances> Forming Official Opposition			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Certain Victory	89	<div></div> 2.7%
997	DKAnyPartyChances	50	<div></div> 1.5%
998	D.K. PC Chances	126	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		542	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi3c: NDP Chances> Forming Official Opposition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3411 /-] [Invalid=538 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know any party chances" for #i2a-#i2e, or for #i3a-#i3b.		
Literal question	What are the N.D.P.'s chances of forming the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN?		
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any party chances", go to Section J- Policy II.		
Notes	Opposition questions are not randomized, but are always included after chances of winning in country. The party that the respondent rates as having the chances of winning in the whole country is dropped from the following set of questions #i3a-#i3e.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	858	<div></div> 25.2%
1		15	<div></div> 0.4%
2		20	<div></div> 0.6%
3		3	<div></div> 0.1%
4		2	<div></div> 0.1%
5		82	<div></div> 2.4%
7		4	<div></div> 0.1%
8		3	<div></div> 0.1%
9		2	<div></div> 0.1%
10		385	<div></div> 11.3%
12		8	<div></div> 0.2%
13		1	<div></div> 0.0%
14		1	<div></div> 0.0%
15		66	<div></div> 1.9%
16		1	<div></div> 0.0%
18		1	<div></div> 0.0%
20		398	<div></div> 11.7%
21		2	<div></div> 0.1%
22		1	<div></div> 0.0%
25		183	<div></div> 5.4%
28		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30		354	<div></div> 10.4%
32		2	<div></div> 0.1%
33		2	<div></div> 0.1%
35		56	<div></div> 1.6%
36		1	<div></div> 0.0%
37		1	<div></div> 0.0%
38		2	<div></div> 0.1%

# cpsi3c: NDP Chances> Forming Official Opposition			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
40		301	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
41		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
42		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
43		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
45		35	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
46		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
48		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
49		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
50	Even Chance	362	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
55		14	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
56		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
59		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
60		95	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
61		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
63		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
65		18	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
70		41	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
75		23	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
80		22	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
85		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
90		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
95		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
99		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
100	Certain Victory	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
997	DKAnyPartyChances	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
998	D.K.NDP Chances	130	
999	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		385	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi3d: Reform Chances> Forming Official Opposition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=572 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know any party chances" for #i2a-#i2e, or for #i3a-#i3c.		
Literal question	What are the REFORM party's chances of forming the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN?		
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any party chances", go to Section J- Policy II.		
Notes	Opposition questions are not randomized, but are always included after chances of winning in country. The party that the respondent rates as having the chances of winning in the whole country is dropped from the following set of questions #i3a-#i3e.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	517	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
1		14	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
3		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
4		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

cpsi3d: Reform Chances> Forming Official Opposition

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		63	1.9%
6		2	0.1%
7		3	0.1%
8		6	0.2%
9		2	0.1%
10		201	6.0%
12		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		54	1.6%
18		1	0.0%
20		224	6.6%
24		1	0.0%
25		119	3.5%
27		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		316	9.4%
33		2	0.1%
35		71	2.1%
36		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		3	0.1%
40		341	10.1%
43		2	0.1%
45		75	2.2%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		5	0.1%
50	Even Chance	700	20.7%
51		4	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		46	1.4%
58		2	0.1%
60		202	6.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		27	0.8%
68		2	0.1%
70		96	2.8%
74		1	0.0%
75		75	2.2%
80		84	2.5%
85		9	0.3%
90		25	0.7%

# cpsi3d: Reform Chances> Forming Official Opposition			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95		4	0.1%
97		1	0.0%
98		2	0.1%
100	Certain Victory	43	1.3%
997	DKAnyPartyChances	8	0.2%
998	DKReform Chances	126	
999	Refused	25	
Sysmiss		421	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsi3e: Bloc Chances>Forming Official Opposition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3442 /-] [Invalid=507 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they "don't know any party chances" for #i2a-#i2e, or for #i3a-#i3d.		
Literal question	What are the Bloc Québécois' chances of forming the OFFICIAL OPPOSITION? Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN?		
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any party chances", go to Section J- Policy II.		
Notes	Opposition questions are not randomized, but are always included after chances of winning in country. The party that the respondent rates as having the chances of winning in the whole country is dropped from the following set of questions #i3a-#i3e.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Chance	590	17.1%
1		13	0.4%
2		14	0.4%
3		7	0.2%
5		73	2.1%
6		2	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
8		3	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		221	6.4%
11		1	0.0%
12		2	0.1%
15		36	1.0%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		245	7.1%
25		131	3.8%
28		3	0.1%
30		328	9.5%
33		3	0.1%
35		70	2.0%
37		1	0.0%
38		5	0.1%
40		384	11.2%
42		1	0.0%

# cpsi3e: Bloc Chances>Forming Official Opposition			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
44		2	0.1%
45		77	2.2%
46		1	0.0%
47		3	0.1%
48		8	0.2%
49		10	0.3%
50	Even Chance	664	19.3%
51		3	0.1%
52		2	0.1%
55		28	0.8%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		172	5.0%
62		2	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
65		28	0.8%
68		1	0.0%
70		78	2.3%
73		1	0.0%
75		76	2.2%
79		1	0.0%
80		64	1.9%
82		1	0.0%
83		1	0.0%
85		9	0.3%
88		2	0.1%
90		22	0.6%
95		6	0.2%
99		1	0.0%
100	Certain Victory	35	1.0%
997	DKAnyPartyChances	2	0.1%
998	D.K. BQ Chances	154	
999	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		329	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj1a: Party Best At> Preserving National Unity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3134 /-] [Invalid=815 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g.		
Literal question	In your view which party would be BEST at preserving national unity?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g, go to #j2.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.		
Notes	Order of policy issue is randomized.		




# cpsj1a: Party Best At> Preserving National Unity			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	136	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
1	Liberal	1637	<div><div></div></div> 52.2%
2	PC	809	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
3	NDP	181	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
4	Reform	236	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
5	Bloc	99	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
6	Other	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
7	All Of Them	0	
98	D.K.	546	
99	Refused	28	
Sysmiss		241	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj1b: Party Best At> Creating Jobs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3073 /-] [Invalid=876 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g.		
Literal question	Which party would be BEST at CREATING JOBS?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g, go to #j2.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.		
Notes	Order of policy issue is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	299	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
1	Liberal	1023	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
2	PC	702	<div><div></div></div> 22.8%
3	NDP	443	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
4	Reform	410	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
5	Bloc	163	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
6	Other	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
7	All Of Them	0	
98	D.K.	582	
99	Refused	30	
Sysmiss		264	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj1c: Party Best At> Cutting Taxes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3066 /-] [Invalid=883 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g.		
Literal question	Which party would be BEST at CUTTING TAXES?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g, go to #j2.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.		
Notes	Order of policy issue is randomized.		

# cpsj1c: Party Best At> Cutting Taxes			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	305	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
1	Liberal	592	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
2	PC	962	<div><div></div></div> 31.4%
3	NDP	291	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
4	Reform	777	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
5	Bloc	118	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
6	Other	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	All Of Them	0	
98	D.K.	553	
99	Refused	26	
Sysmiss		304	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj1d: Party Best At> Keeping Promises			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3133 /-] [Invalid=816 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g.		
Literal question	Which party would do BEST at KEEPING PROMISES?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g, go to #j2.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.		
Notes	Order of policy issue is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	821	<div><div></div></div> 26.2%
1	Liberal	692	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
2	PC	500	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
3	NDP	336	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
4	Reform	574	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
5	Bloc	187	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
6	Other	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	All Of Them	0	
98	D.K.	430	
99	Refused	40	
Sysmiss		346	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj1e: Party Best At>Defending the Interests of Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=854 /-] [Invalid=3095 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g.		
Literal question	Which party would be BEST at DEFENDING THE INTERESTS OF Quebec?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g, go to #j2.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.		
Notes	Order of policy issue is randomized.		

# cpsj1e: Party Best At>Defending the Interests of Quebec				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None	34	<div><div></div></div>	4.0%
1	Liberal	129	<div><div></div></div>	15.1%
2	PC	101	<div><div></div></div>	11.8%
3	NDP	3	<div><div></div></div>	0.4%
4	Reform	1	<div><div></div></div>	0.1%
5	Bloc	581	<div><div></div></div>	68.0%
6	Other	5	<div><div></div></div>	0.6%
7	All Of Them	0		
98	D.K.	57		
99	Refused	7		
Sysmiss		3031		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# cpsj1f: Party Best At> Protecting Social Programmes				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3164 /-] [Invalid=785 /-]			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g.			
Literal question	Which party would be BEST at PROTECTING SOCIAL PROGRAMMES?			
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g, go to #j2.			
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.			
Notes	Order of policy issue is randomized.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None	168	<div><div></div></div>	5.3%
1	Liberal	952	<div><div></div></div>	30.1%
2	PC	513	<div><div></div></div>	16.2%
3	NDP	1050	<div><div></div></div>	33.2%
4	Reform	263	<div><div></div></div>	8.3%
5	Bloc	195	<div><div></div></div>	6.2%
6	Other	23	<div><div></div></div>	0.7%
7	All Of Them	0		
98	D.K.	476		
99	Refused	20		
Sysmiss		289		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# cpsj1g: Party Best At> Fighting Crime				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2829 /-] [Invalid=1120 /-]			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g.			
Literal question	Which party would do BEST at FIGHTING CRIME?			
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #j1a-#j1g, go to #j2.			
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.			
Notes	Order of policy issue is randomized.			

# cpsj1g: Party Best At> Fighting Crime			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	254	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
1	Liberal	927	<div><div></div></div> 32.8%
2	PC	477	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
3	NDP	206	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
4	Reform	802	<div><div></div></div> 28.3%
5	Bloc	115	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
6	Other	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
7	All Of Them	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
98	D.K.	838	
99	Refused	33	
Sysmiss		249	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj2: 1993 Campaign> Liberals Promise to Do Away with the GST			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In the 1993 election campaign, did the Liberals promise to do away with the GST?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j2b. All others go to #j3.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3112	<div><div></div></div> 92.3%
5	No	260	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
8	D.K.	558	
9	Refused	19	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj2b: Did Liberals Really Try Keep GST Promise			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2964 /-] [Invalid=985 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j2.		
Literal question	Did the Liberals REALLY TRY to keep their promise?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "no", go to #j2c. All others go to #j3.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	418	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
5	No	2546	<div><div></div></div> 85.9%
8	D.K.	143	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		837	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj2c: How You Feel About Liberals Not Trying to Keep Promise			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2474 /-] [Invalid=1475 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "no" for #j2b.		
Literal question	How do you feel about this? Are you VERY ANGRY, QUITE ANGRY, or NOT VERY ANGRY?		




cpsj2c: How You Feel About Liberals Not Trying to Keep Promise

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Angry	708	 28.6%
3	Quite Angry	777	 31.4%
5	Not Very Angry	989	 40.0%
8	D.K.	65	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1403	













Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj3: Should Quebec Be Recognized As Distinct Society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2713 /-] [Invalid=1236 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Should Québec be recognized as a distinct society?
Post-question	If respondent answers "no", or "depends", go to #j3c. All others go to #j4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	850	 31.3%
5	No	1759	 64.8%
7	Depends	104	 3.8%
8	D.K.	173	
9	Refused	29	
Sysmiss		1034	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpsj3c: Would You Change Your Mind if Being Distinct Keeps Quebec in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1777 /-] [Invalid=2172 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Would you change your mind if this keeps Québec in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	515	 29.0%
5	No	1148	 64.6%
7	Depends	114	 6.4%
8	D.K.	81	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2086	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj3a: Favourability to Quebec Sovereignty			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=947 /-] [Invalid=3002 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed or very opposed to Québec sovereignty		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Neither	29	 3.1%
1	Very Favourable	204	 21.5%
2	Somewhat Favourable	244	 25.8%
3	Somewhat Opposed	179	 18.9%
4	Very Opposed	291	 30.7%
8	D.K.	63	
9	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		2915	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj4: Likelihood That Quebec Will Separate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3762 /-] [Invalid=187 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How likely is it that Québec will separate from Canada: VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "very likely", "somewhat likely", or "somewhat unlikely", go to #j4a. All others go to #j5.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryLikely	414	 11.0%
2	Somewhat Likely	1091	 29.0%
3	SomewhatUnlikely	1301	 34.6%
4	Very Unlikely	956	 25.4%
8	D.K.	154	
9	Refused	33	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpsj4a: Does Possibility of Separation Worry You			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2784 /-] [Invalid=1165 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "very likely", "somewhat likely", or "somewhat unlikely", for #j4.		
Literal question	Does the possibility of Québec seperating worry you a lot, somewhat, a little, or not at all?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	640	<div></div> 23.0%
2	Somewhat	753	<div></div> 27.0%
3	A Little	529	<div></div> 19.0%
4	NotAtAll	862	<div></div> 31.0%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1143	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj5: Gap Between Rich And Poor In Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3819 /-] [Invalid=130 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think the gap between rich and poor in Canada has INCREASED, DECREASED, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME over the last few years?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "increased", go to #j5a. All others go to #j6.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increased	2725	<div></div> 71.4%
2	Decreased	125	<div></div> 3.3%
3	Stayed The Same	969	<div></div> 25.4%
8	D.K.	111	
9	Refused	19	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj5a: How Much Has Gap Between Rich And Poor Increased			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2706 /-] [Invalid=1243 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "increased" for #j5.		
Literal question	Has the gap increased A LOT, QUITE A BIT, or A LITTLE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	1049	<div></div> 38.8%
3	Quite A Lot	1078	<div></div> 39.8%
5	A Little	579	<div></div> 21.4%
8	D.K.	19	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj6: Have Federal Spending Cuts Been Fair/Unfair			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3553 /-] [Invalid=396 /-]		









# cpsj6: Have Federal Spending Cuts Been Fair/Unfair				
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Would you say the federal government's spending cuts have been FAIR or UNFAIR, on the whole?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Fair	1747	<div></div>	49.2%
5	Unfair	1705	<div></div>	48.0%
7	Both	101	<div></div>	2.8%
8	D.K.	361		
9	Refused	35		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# cpsj7: Who Has Been Hardest Hit By Spending Cuts				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3814 /-] [Invalid=135 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Who has been hit the hardest by these cuts: THE POOR, THE MIDDLE CLASS, or THE RICH?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	The Poor	1403	<div></div>	36.8%
3	Middle Class	2065	<div></div>	54.1%
5	The Rich	37	<div></div>	1.0%
7	Poor And Middle	309	<div></div>	8.1%
8	D.K.	112		
9	Refused	23		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# cpsj9: Aboriginal Peoples Compared to Other Canadians				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3648 /-] [Invalid=301 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		In general, would you say that Canada's Aboriginal peoples are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Canadians?		
Interviewer's instructions		INTERVIEWER: If asked, Aboriginal people are; Indians, Inuit (Eskimo) and Metis.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Better Off	1081	<div></div>	29.6%
3	Worse Off	1336	<div></div>	36.6%
5	AboutTheSame	1231	<div></div>	33.7%
8	D.K.	256		
9	Refused	45		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# cpsj10: Federal Spending for Aboriginal Peoples				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3605 /-] [Invalid=344 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Should the federal government spend MORE, spend LESS, or ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW for Aboriginal peoples?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	More	798	<div></div>	22.1%






















# cpsj10: Federal Spending for Aboriginal Peoples			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Less	1115	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
5	AboutTheSame	1692	<div><div></div></div> 46.9%
8	D.K.	294	
9	Refused	50	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj18: Canada Should Admit More Immigrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3760 /-] [Invalid=189 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think Canada should admit more immigrants, fewer immigrants or about the same as now?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	336	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
3	Fewer	1803	<div><div></div></div> 48.0%
5	AboutTheSame	1621	<div><div></div></div> 43.1%
8	D.K.	152	
9	Refused	37	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj19: Has Pollution in Canada Got Worse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3763 /-] [Invalid=186 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think that pollution in Canada has got worse, got better or stayed about the same in the last few years?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GotWorse	1668	<div><div></div></div> 44.3%
3	Got Better	690	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
5	AboutTheSame	1405	<div><div></div></div> 37.3%
8	D.K.	165	
9	Refused	21	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj20: Has Crime in Canada Gone Up			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3809 /-] [Invalid=140 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think that crime in Canada has gone up, gone down or stayed about the same in the last few years?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gone Up	2366	<div><div></div></div> 62.1%
3	GoneDown	290	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
5	AboutTheSame	1153	<div><div></div></div> 30.3%
8	D.K.	116	
9	Refused	24	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpsj21: Best Way to Deal With Young Offenders			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3747 /-] [Invalid=202 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which is the best way to deal with young offenders who commit violent crime: ONE: give them tougher sentences or TWO: spend more on rehabilitating them?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tougher Sentences	2136	<div><div></div></div> 57.0%
2	Rehabilitating	1276	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
3	Both	155	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4	ProActive	56	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5	Restitution	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
6	Change Y.O. Act	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	Other	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
8	Depends	33	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
9	Corporal Punishment	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98	D.K.	164	
99	Refused	38	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj12: How the Federal Government Treats Your Provinces Compared to Other Parts			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3666 /-] [Invalid=283 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In general, does the federal government treat your province/territory BETTER, WORSE, or ABOUT THE SAME as other provinces/parts of Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	423	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
3	Worse	1098	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
5	AboutTheSame	2145	<div><div></div></div> 58.5%
8	D.K.	253	
9	Refused	30	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj13: Do Political Parties Keep Election Promises			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3826 /-] [Invalid=123 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do political parties keep their election promises MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or HARDLY EVER?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Of The Time	349	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3	Some Of The Time	2189	<div><div></div></div> 57.2%
5	Hardly Ever	1271	<div><div></div></div> 33.2%
7	Depends Which Party	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
8	D.K.	93	
9	Refused	30	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpsj14: Have You Heard About The Somalia Affair			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3891 /-] [Invalid=58 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Have you heard about the Somalia Affair?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j14a. All others go to #j15.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3123	<div><div></div></div> 80.3%
5	No	768	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
8	D.K.	36	
9	Refused	22	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj14a: How Well the Federal Government Handled The Somalia Affair			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2825 /-] [Invalid=1124 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have heard of the Somolia Affair, as indicated in #j14.		
Literal question	Has the federal government handled this VERY WELL, QUITE WELL, NOT VERY WELL, or NOT WELL AT ALL?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryWell	120	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
3	Quite Well	697	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
5	Not Well	1180	<div><div></div></div> 41.8%
7	Not Well At All	828	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
8	D.K.	280	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		826	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsj15: Government's Decision Hold the Election at This Time			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2725 /-] [Invalid=1224 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In your view, is the government's decision to hold the election at this time completely acceptable, acceptable, unacceptable, or completely unacceptable?		
Notes	Question effective May 8, 1997.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CompletelyAcceptable	172	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
3	Acceptable	1521	<div><div></div></div> 55.8%
5	Unacceptable	726	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
7	CompletelyUnacceptab	306	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
8	D.K.	137	
9	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		1063	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsk1: In Federal Politics Do You Think Of Yourself As...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		

# cpsk1: In Federal Politics Do You Think Of Yourself As...			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3522 /-] [Invalid=427 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, Reform (if respondent does not reside in the province of Quebec), Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec), or none of these?		
Post-question	If respondent identifies main party, go to #k2. If respondent selects "other", or refuses to answer, go to #k5. All others go to #k3.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	1001	<div><div></div></div> 28.4%
1	Liberal	1076	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
2	PC	546	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
3	NDP	290	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
4	Reform	284	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
5	Bloc	287	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
6	Other	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
98	D.K.	241	
99	Refused	186	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsk2: How Strongly Do You Feel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2461 /-] [Invalid=1488 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who identified a main party for #k1.		
Literal question	How strongly [party identified in #k1] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?		
Post-question	Go to #k5.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Strongly	553	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
3	Fairly Strongly	1350	<div><div></div></div> 54.9%
5	NotVery Strongly	558	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
8	D.K.	17	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		1469	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsk3: Are You a Little Closer to One Federal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1131 /-] [Invalid=2818 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "none", or "don't know" for #k1.		
Literal question	Do you generally think of yourself as being a LITTLE closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes" go to #k4. All others go to #k5.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	458	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
5	No	673	<div><div></div></div> 59.5%
8	D.K.	105	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2707	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpsk4: Which Federal Party Are You Closer To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=3528 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #k3.		
Literal question	Which party is that?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	6	 1.4%
1	Liberal	161	 38.2%
2	PC	97	 23.0%
3	NDP	57	 13.5%
4	Reform	62	 14.7%
5	Bloc	29	 6.9%
6	Other/MoreThan1	9	 2.1%
98	D.K.	26	
99	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		3491	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsk5: Did You Vote In Last Federal Election - 1993			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3872 /-] [Invalid=77 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did you vote in the 1993 Federal election?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #k6. All others go to #k13.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3278	 84.7%
5	No	554	 14.3%
7	Not Eligible	40	 1.0%
8	D.K.	54	
9	Refused	23	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsk6: Which Party Voted For in Last Federal Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2770 /-] [Invalid=1179 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the 1993 election, as indicated in #k5.		
Literal question	Which party did you vote for?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	35	 1.3%
1	Liberal	1336	 48.2%
2	PC	442	 16.0%
3	NDP	316	 11.4%
4	Reform	318	 11.5%
5	Bloc	323	 11.7%
98	D.K.	229	
99	Refused	279	

# cpsk6: Which Party Voted For in Last Federal Election			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		671	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsk13: If a Provincial Election Was Held Today, Which Party Would You Vote For:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3071 /-] [Invalid=878 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If a Provincial election were held today in [province in which respondent resides] which party would you vote for?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", go to #k14. All others go to #k15.		
Notes	Parties provided depend on province in which respondent resides.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	73	 2.4%
1	Liberal	1020	 33.2%
2	PC	734	 23.9%
3	NDP	451	 14.7%
4	Social Credit	34	 1.1%
5	Parti Quebecois	373	 12.1%
6	Confederation	2	 0.1%
7	Yukon Party	15	 0.5%
8	Reform (BC)	226	 7.4%
9	L'Action Democrat	35	 1.1%
96	WillSpoil Ballot	24	 0.8%
97	Will Not Vote	84	 2.7%
98	D.K.	677	
99	Refused	201	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsk14: Provincial Party You Are Leaning Towards			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=232 /-] [Invalid=3717 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "don't know" for #k13.		
Literal question	Which party are you leaning toward?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	3	 1.3%
1	Liberal	83	 35.8%
2	PC	53	 22.8%
3	NDP	34	 14.7%
4	Social Credit	2	 0.9%
5	Parti Quebecois	24	 10.3%
6	Confederation	0	
7	Yukon Party	1	 0.4%
8	Reform (BC)	30	 12.9%
9	L'Action Democrat	2	 0.9%
98	D.K.	411	
99	Refused	11	

# cpsk14: Provincial Party You Are Leaning Towards			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		3295	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsk15: Did You Vote in Quebec Referendum on Sovereignty			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1013 /-] [Invalid=2936 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	Did you vote in the Québec referendum on sovereignty in 1995?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #k16. All others go to #l1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	909	89.7%
5	No	104	10.3%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2915	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsk16: Did You Vote Yes or No In 1995 Quebec Referendum			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=833 /-] [Invalid=3116 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the Québec referendum on sovereignty in 1995, as indicated in #k15.		
Literal question	Did you vote YES, or did you vote NO?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voted Yes	404	48.5%
5	Voted No	422	50.7%
7	Spoiled Ballot	7	0.8%
8	D.K.	16	
9	Refused	60	
Sysmiss		3040	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl1: Did You See English TV Debate Among Party Leaders			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2294 /-] [Invalid=1655 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #l1a. All others go to #l1b.		
Notes	Effective May 13, 1997.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	897	39.1%
5	No	1397	60.9%
8	D.K.	15	
9	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		1617	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpsl1a: Did You See All of The English TV Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=896 /-] [Invalid=3053 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who saw the English TV debate among party leaders, as indicated in #11.		
Literal question	Did you see ALL of the debate, MOST of the debate or just SOME of it?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All	198	<div><div></div></div> 22.1%
3	Most	213	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
5	Some	485	<div><div></div></div> 54.1%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3052	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl1b: Which Party Leader Did Best In TV Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1339 /-] [Invalid=2610 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	From what you have heard/in your opinion, which leader did the BEST in that debate?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read list.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	110	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
1	Charest	811	<div><div></div></div> 60.6%
2	Chretien	257	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
3	McDonough	45	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
4	Manning	100	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
5	Duceppe	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
8	D.K.	951	
9	Refused	42	
Sysmiss		1617	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl1c: Which Party Leader Did Worst In TV Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1164 /-] [Invalid=2785 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And which leader did the WORST?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read list.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	62	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
1	Charest	47	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2	Chretien	176	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
3	McDonough	215	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
4	Manning	278	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
5	Duceppe	386	<div><div></div></div> 33.2%
8	D.K.	1123	

# cpsl1c: Which Party Leader Did Worst In TV Debate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	45	
Sysmiss		1617	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl2: Did You See French TV Debate Among Party Leaders			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2141 /-] [Invalid=1808 /-]		
Universe	Asked only after May 14, 1997. Otherwise go to #13.		
Literal question	Did you see the FIRST FRENCH TV debate, which took place on May 13th?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #12a. All others go to #12b.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	474	<div></div> 22.1%
5	No	1667	<div></div> 77.9%
8	D.K.	28	
9	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		1759	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl2a: Did You See All of The French TV Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=472 /-] [Invalid=3477 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who saw the French TV debate among party leaders, as indicated in #12.		
Literal question	Did you see ALL of the debate, MOST of the debate or just SOME of it?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All	114	<div></div> 24.2%
3	Most	102	<div></div> 21.6%
5	Some	256	<div></div> 54.2%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3475	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl2b: Which Party Leader Did Best In TV Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=834 /-] [Invalid=3115 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	From what you have heard/ In your opinion, who did the BEST in that debate?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	92	<div></div> 11.0%
1	Charest	489	<div></div> 58.6%
2	Chretien	162	<div></div> 19.4%
3	McDonough	11	<div></div> 1.3%
4	Manning	44	<div></div> 5.3%
5	Duceppe	36	<div></div> 4.3%

# cpsl2b: Which Party Leader Did Best In TV Debate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	1312	
9	Refused	44	
Sysmiss		1759	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl2c: Which Party Leader Did Worst In TV Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=798 /-] [Invalid=3151 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And who did the WORST?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	61	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
1	Charest	19	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
2	Chretien	90	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
3	McDonough	135	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
4	Manning	375	<div><div></div></div> 47.0%
5	Duceppe	118	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
8	D.K.	1343	
9	Refused	49	
Sysmiss		1759	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl3: In the Past Week Did You See/Hear Polls About How Well the Parties Are Doing?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3895 /-] [Invalid=54 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	IN THE PAST WEEK, did you see or hear any polls about how well the parties are doing?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1673	<div><div></div></div> 43.0%
5	No	2222	<div><div></div></div> 57.0%
8	D.K.	31	
9	Refused	23	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl4: Where Do You Get Most of Your Information About the Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3831 /-] [Invalid=118 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Where do you get MOST of your information about the election: TV, radio, newspapers, family, or friends?		
Post-question	If respondents answers "family", or "friends", go to #15. All others go to #16.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TV	2192	<div><div></div></div> 57.2%
2	Radio	599	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
3	Newspapers	776	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%

# cpsl4: Where Do You Get Most of Your Information About the Election			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Family	128	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
5	Friends	136	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
8	D.K.	91	
9	Refused	27	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl5: Most Important Source of Election Info			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=241 /-] [Invalid=3708 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "family", or "friends" for #l4.		
Literal question	And between TV, radio, and newspapers? Which is MOST important?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TV	164	<div><div></div></div> 68.0%
3	Radio	32	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
5	Newspapers	45	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
8	D.K.	23	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3685	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl6: Do You Recall The Name of the President of USA			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3918 /-] [Invalid=31 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	We would like to see how widely known some political figures are.		
Literal question	Do you recall the name of the President of the United States?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Clinton	3273	<div><div></div></div> 83.5%
5	AnyOther Name	75	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
8	D.K.	570	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
9	Refused	31	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl11: Do You Recall Name> Federal Minister Finance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3925 /-] [Invalid=24 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you recall the name of the Minister of Finance of Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Martin	1458	<div><div></div></div> 37.1%
5	AnyOther Name	244	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
8	D.K.	2223	<div><div></div></div> 56.6%
9	Refused	24	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpsl12: Do You Recall Name> Provincial Premier			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3917 /-] [Invalid=32 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you recall the name of the Premier/ Government Leader (if respondent resides in Yukon) of [province in which respondent resides]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Brian Tobin	87	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
11	Patrick Binns	86	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
12	John Savage	74	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
13	Frank McKenna	95	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
14	Lucien Bouchard	852	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
15	Mike Harris	674	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
16	Gary Filmon	174	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
17	Roy Romanow	155	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
18	Ralph Klein	430	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
19	Glenn Clark	341	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
20	Don Morin	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
21	Piers McDonald	51	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
97	AnyOther Name	111	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
98	D.K.	733	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
99	Refused	32	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsl13: Do You Recall Name> First Woman Prime Minister of Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3920 /-] [Invalid=29 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you recall the name of the first woman to be Prime Minister of Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kim Campbell	1583	<div><div></div></div> 40.4%
5	AnyOther Name	207	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
8	D.K.	2130	<div><div></div></div> 54.3%
9	Refused	29	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsage: Respondent's Year of Birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1896-9999] [Missing=*/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3884 /-] [Invalid=65 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians, we need to get a little information about your background		
Literal question	First, in what year were you born?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1896		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1900		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1902		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%

cpsage: Respondent's Year of Birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1903		1	0.0%
1906		2	0.1%
1907		5	0.1%
1908		4	0.1%
1909		3	0.1%
1910		5	0.1%
1911		8	0.2%
1912		10	0.3%
1913		10	0.3%
1914		12	0.3%
1915		16	0.4%
1916		16	0.4%
1917		14	0.4%
1918		24	0.6%
1919		13	0.3%
1920		34	0.9%
1921		25	0.6%
1922		30	0.8%
1923		21	0.5%
1924		25	0.6%
1925		29	0.7%
1926		37	1.0%
1927		47	1.2%
1928		36	0.9%
1929		32	0.8%
1930		34	0.9%
1931		44	1.1%
1932		45	1.2%
1933		32	0.8%
1934		30	0.8%
1935		47	1.2%
1936		45	1.2%
1937		32	0.8%
1938		48	1.2%
1939		54	1.4%
1940		47	1.2%
1941		50	1.3%
1942		41	1.1%
1943		55	1.4%
1944		59	1.5%
1945		58	1.5%
1946		75	1.9%
1947		69	1.8%
1948		74	1.9%
1949		71	1.8%

# cpsage: Respondent's Year of Birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1950		83	2.1%
1951		83	2.1%
1952		76	2.0%
1953		80	2.1%
1954		94	2.4%
1955		97	2.5%
1956		82	2.1%
1957		97	2.5%
1958		113	2.9%
1959		101	2.6%
1960		111	2.9%
1961		126	3.2%
1962		99	2.5%
1963		98	2.5%
1964		99	2.5%
1965		85	2.2%
1966		73	1.9%
1967		72	1.9%
1968		73	1.9%
1969		69	1.8%
1970		90	2.3%
1971		67	1.7%
1972		65	1.7%
1973		58	1.5%
1974		64	1.6%
1975		70	1.8%
1976		61	1.6%
1977		62	1.6%
1978		54	1.4%
1979		10	0.3%
9997	1979 Or Later	0	
9999	Refused	65	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm2: Respondent's Marital Status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3909 /-] [Invalid=40 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married	1966	50.3%
2	Partner	355	9.1%
3	Divorced	292	7.5%
4	Separated	157	4.0%
5	Widowed	300	7.7%

# cpsm2: Respondent's Marital Status			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Never Married	839	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	35	
Sysmiss		3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm3: Highest Level of Education Completed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3894 /-] [Invalid=55 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No Schooling	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2	Some Elementary	88	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
3	Complete Elem.	146	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
4	SomeSoc/H.S.	669	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
5	Complete Sec/HS	917	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
6	SomeTech/CAAT	311	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
7	CompleteTechCAAT	628	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
8	Some University	307	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
9	B.A.	618	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
10	M.A.	133	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
11	Profesnl/PhD	67	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
98	D.K.	8	
99	Refused	46	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm4: Present Employment Status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3897 /-] [Invalid=52 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Are you presently self employed, working for pay, are you unemployed, retired, a student, or a homemaker?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "retired", "disabled", "student", or "homemaker", go to #m4a. All others go to #m6.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working Now	1980	<div><div></div></div> 50.8%
2	Laid Off	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
3	Unemployed	228	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
4	Retired	709	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
5	Disabled	40	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
6	Student	211	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
7	Homemaker	271	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
8	Self-Employed	449	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
98	D.K.	20	
99	Refused	32	

# cpsm4: Present Employment Status			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsm4a: Main Income Earner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1207 /-] [Invalid=2742 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are retired, disabled, students, or homemakers, as indicated in #m4.		
Literal question	And are you the main income earner in your household?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes" or "no", go to #m6. All others go to #m9.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	625	<div><div></div></div> 51.8%
5	No	582	<div><div></div></div> 48.2%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2718	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsm6: Occupation <Stats Canada CCDO Code>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2914 /-] [Invalid=1035 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer #m4a.		
Literal question	What is/was your family's principal wage-earner's main occupation?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Response Given	0	
1113		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1116		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1119		34	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
1130		26	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
1131		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1133		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1135		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
1136		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1137		21	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
1141		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1142		15	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
1143		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1145		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1149		67	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
1151		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
1152		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1153		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1154		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1155		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
1156		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1157		24	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
1171		48	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
1173		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%

cpsm6: Occupation <Stats Canada CCDO Code>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1175		1	0.0%
1179		10	0.3%
2111		5	0.2%
2112		6	0.2%
2133		5	0.2%
2135		1	0.0%
2141		3	0.1%
2142		1	0.0%
2143		10	0.3%
2144		19	0.7%
2145		2	0.1%
2147		13	0.4%
2153		2	0.1%
2157		1	0.0%
2159		15	0.5%
2161		3	0.1%
2163		9	0.3%
2164		3	0.1%
2165		38	1.3%
2181		1	0.0%
2183		70	2.4%
2189		1	0.0%
2311		9	0.3%
2319		1	0.0%
2331		37	1.3%
2333		1	0.0%
2341		1	0.0%
2343		24	0.8%
2351		9	0.3%
2391		1	0.0%
2399		8	0.3%
2511		8	0.3%
2711		14	0.5%
2719		5	0.2%
2731		30	1.0%
2733		27	0.9%
2792		2	0.1%
2793		1	0.0%
2795		6	0.2%
2799		73	2.5%
3111		15	0.5%
3113		2	0.1%
3115		1	0.0%
3130		2	0.1%
3131		55	1.9%

cpsm6: Occupation <Stats Canada CCDO Code>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3134		2	0.1%
3135		31	1.1%
3137		8	0.3%
3138		3	0.1%
3139		12	0.4%
3151		11	0.4%
3152		2	0.1%
3153		1	0.0%
3154		1	0.0%
3155		1	0.0%
3156		14	0.5%
3158		6	0.2%
3161		1	0.0%
3169		2	0.1%
3311		9	0.3%
3313		7	0.2%
3314		4	0.1%
3315		4	0.1%
3330		2	0.1%
3332		4	0.1%
3335		1	0.0%
3337		1	0.0%
3339		2	0.1%
3351		17	0.6%
3355		2	0.1%
3360		1	0.0%
3370		4	0.1%
3373		1	0.0%
4111		110	3.8%
4113		4	0.1%
4131		58	2.0%
4133		32	1.1%
4135		14	0.5%
4139		1	0.0%
4143		13	0.4%
4151		1	0.0%
4153		8	0.3%
4155		8	0.3%
4159		3	0.1%
4161		4	0.1%
4171		19	0.7%
4172		5	0.2%
4173		11	0.4%
4175		4	0.1%
4177		1	0.0%

cpsm6: Occupation <Stats Canada CCDO Code>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4190		1	0.0%
4191		2	0.1%
4192		1	0.0%
4193		5	0.2%
4194		2	0.1%
4197		75	2.6%
4199		17	0.6%
5130		28	1.0%
5131		1	0.0%
5133		7	0.2%
5135		185	6.3%
5141		1	0.0%
5149		2	0.1%
5170		18	0.6%
5171		9	0.3%
5172		15	0.5%
5173		3	0.1%
5174		2	0.1%
5177		1	0.0%
5191		2	0.1%
5193		2	0.1%
5199		1	0.0%
6111		12	0.4%
6112		11	0.4%
6113		2	0.1%
6115		19	0.7%
6116		3	0.1%
6117		8	0.3%
6119		1	0.0%
6120		6	0.2%
6121		35	1.2%
6123		3	0.1%
6125		51	1.8%
6129		9	0.3%
6130		5	0.2%
6133		1	0.0%
6141		1	0.0%
6142		6	0.2%
6143		18	0.6%
6144		1	0.0%
6145		5	0.2%
6147		10	0.3%
6149		2	0.1%
6162		2	0.1%
6191		28	1.0%

cpsm6: Occupation <Stats Canada CCDO Code>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6198		3	0.1%
7113		13	0.4%
7115		8	0.3%
7119		62	2.1%
7183		1	0.0%
7185		2	0.1%
7195		6	0.2%
7199		11	0.4%
7313		12	0.4%
7319		1	0.0%
7510		2	0.1%
7511		3	0.1%
7513		10	0.3%
7519		9	0.3%
7710		1	0.0%
7717		9	0.3%
7718		5	0.2%
7719		6	0.2%
8141		1	0.0%
8149		6	0.2%
8151		2	0.1%
8159		2	0.1%
8160		1	0.0%
8165		2	0.1%
8179		10	0.3%
8213		10	0.3%
8215		12	0.4%
8217		1	0.0%
8228		1	0.0%
8229		5	0.2%
8236		2	0.1%
8239		2	0.1%
8258		1	0.0%
8259		4	0.1%
8295		1	0.0%
8311		3	0.1%
8313		15	0.5%
8333		7	0.2%
8334		1	0.0%
8335		23	0.8%
8339		1	0.0%
8513		3	0.1%
8529		4	0.1%
8531		1	0.0%
8533		1	0.0%










cpsm6: Occupation <Stats Canada CCDO Code>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8534		1	0.0%
8537		1	0.0%
8541		1	0.0%
8549		1	0.0%
8551		1	0.0%
8553		1	0.0%
8561		1	0.0%
8562		1	0.0%
8563		2	0.1%
8579		1	0.0%
8580		2	0.1%
8581		48	1.6%
8582		5	0.2%
8584		24	0.8%
8588		2	0.1%
8590		2	0.1%
8591		2	0.1%
8592		1	0.0%
8595		12	0.4%
8599		2	0.1%
8711		17	0.6%
8715		5	0.2%
8719		4	0.1%
8731		5	0.2%
8733		24	0.8%
8735		4	0.1%
8780		19	0.7%
8781		19	0.7%
8782		2	0.1%
8784		7	0.2%
8785		3	0.1%
8787		6	0.2%
8791		13	0.4%
8795		1	0.0%
8796		1	0.0%
8798		30	1.0%
8799		1	0.0%
9111		3	0.1%
9113		1	0.0%
9130		1	0.0%
9133		1	0.0%
9155		2	0.1%
9170		2	0.1%
9171		10	0.3%
9173		4	0.1%

cpsm6: Occupation <Stats Canada CCDO Code>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9175		49	<div></div> 1.7%
9179		5	<div></div> 0.2%
9190		1	<div></div> 0.0%
9311		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9313		6	<div></div> 0.2%
9315		6	<div></div> 0.2%
9317		1	<div></div> 0.0%
9318		3	<div></div> 0.1%
9512		12	<div></div> 0.4%
9519		1	<div></div> 0.0%
9530		1	<div></div> 0.0%
9531		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9539		3	<div></div> 0.1%
9551		1	<div></div> 0.0%
9553		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9555		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9557		1	<div></div> 0.0%
9591		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9910		1	<div></div> 0.0%
9918		87	<div></div> 3.0%
9919		11	<div></div> 0.4%
9991	Gov't Assistance	19	<div></div> 0.7%
9992	Unemployed	20	<div></div> 0.7%
9993	Self-Employed	65	<div></div> 2.2%
9994	Homemaker	51	<div></div> 1.8%
9995	Student	49	<div></div> 1.7%
9996	Disabled	8	<div></div> 0.3%
9997	Retired	18	<div></div> 0.6%
9998	D.K.	2	
9999	Refused	269	
Sysmiss		764	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpsm7: Work for Private Firm/Public/Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3180 /-] [Invalid=769 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who are self-employed.		
Literal question	Do you/ Did you / Does he/she / Did he/she work for a private company, in the public sector, such as hospital, school or municipality, in a governmentowned company, or a Federal or Provincial government ministry?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "Federal/Provincial government", go to #m7a. If respondent answers "not working", go to #m9. All others go to #m8.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Working	132	 4.2%
1	Private Company	1914	 60.2%
3	Public Sector	741	 23.3%
5	GovOwned Company	119	 3.7%
7	Fed/ProvMinistry	274	 8.6%
8	D.K.	133	
9	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		612	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm7a: Work for Federal/Provincial Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=268 /-] [Invalid=3681 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "Federal/Provincial government" for #m7.		
Literal question	Is that the federal or provincial government?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal	122	 45.5%
5	Provincial	146	 54.5%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3675	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm8: Out of Work/Laid Off During Last Year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2440 /-] [Invalid=1509 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who are laid off, unemployed, retired, or self-employed as indicated in #m4.		
Literal question	Were you / Was the family's principal wage-earner out of work or laid off at any time during the last year?		
Notes	Not asked to respondents who stated that they are "not working" for #m7.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	459	 18.8%
5	No	1981	 81.2%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1483	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpsm8a: Are You Worried About Losing Job In The Near Future			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2391 /-] [Invalid=1558 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How worried are you about losing your job/ your family's principle wage earner losing their job in the near future? A lot, somewhat, a little, or not at all?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	395	<div></div> 16.5%
3	Somewhat	418	<div></div> 17.5%
5	A Little	424	<div></div> 17.7%
7	NotAtAll	1154	<div></div> 48.3%
8	D.K.	64	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		1482	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm9: Do You or a Househohld Member Belong to a Union			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3881 /-] [Invalid=68 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1353	<div></div> 34.9%
5	No	2528	<div></div> 65.1%
8	D.K.	35	
9	Refused	33	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm10: Religious Affiliation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3848 /-] [Invalid=101 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Islam, another religion, or none?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "Protestant", go to #m10a. All others go to #m10b.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	582	<div></div> 15.1%
1	Protestant	1376	<div></div> 35.8%
2	Catholic	1667	<div></div> 43.3%
3	Jewish	41	<div></div> 1.1%
4	Islam	30	<div></div> 0.8%
5	Other	152	<div></div> 4.0%
98	D.K.	17	
99	Refused	84	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm10a: Church or Denomination			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		

# cpsm10a: Church or Denomination			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1275 /-] [Invalid=2674 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who are Protestant, as indicated in #m10.	
Literal question		What church or denomination is that?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	122	9.6%
1	Anglican	315	24.7%
2	United	490	38.4%
3	Presbyterian	80	6.3%
4	Baptist	91	7.1%
5	Lutheran	93	7.3%
6	ChristianReformed	23	1.8%
7	Pentecostal,etc.	61	4.8%
98	D.K.	53	
99	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2612	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm11: Respondent's Country of Birth			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3917 /-] [Invalid=32 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		In what country were you born?	
Post-question		If respondent answers "Canada", got to #m13.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	3	0.1%
1	Canada	3405	86.9%
2	Asia-Central	1	0.0%
3	Asia-S. Pacific	5	0.1%
4	USA	43	1.1%
5	South America	7	0.2%
6	Central America	0	
7	Caribbean/W.I.	1	0.0%
8	Baltic	4	0.1%
9	Other Europe	5	0.1%
10	Austria	3	0.1%
11	Britain	77	2.0%
12	France	10	0.3%
13	Germany	30	0.8%
14	Greece	4	0.1%
15	Ireland	9	0.2%
16	Italy	28	0.7%
17	Netherlands	16	0.4%
18	Portugal	19	0.5%
19	Scotland	15	0.4%
20	Spain	1	0.0%

cpsm11: Respondent's Country of Birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	Wales	3	0.1%
25	Denmark	2	0.1%
26	Finland	2	0.1%
27	Norway	1	0.0%
28	Sweden	2	0.1%
30	Bulgaria	2	0.1%
31	Czechoslovakia	8	0.2%
32	Hungary	3	0.1%
33	Poland	19	0.5%
34	Romania	4	0.1%
35	Russia	7	0.2%
36	Slovakia	1	0.0%
37	Ukraine	2	0.1%
40	Albania	0	
41	Bosnia	0	
42	Croatia	1	0.0%
43	Macedonia	2	0.1%
44	Serbia	0	
45	Slovenia	2	0.1%
46	Yugoslavia	3	0.1%
50	Belize	0	
51	Chile	4	0.1%
52	Colombia	1	0.0%
53	Costa Rica	0	
54	El Salvador	1	0.0%
55	Guatemala	1	0.0%
56	Guyana	8	0.2%
57	Honduras	0	
58	Mexico	5	0.1%
59	Nicaragua	0	
60	Venezuala	0	
65	Bahamas	0	
66	Barbados	2	0.1%
67	Bermuda	0	
68	Dominica	1	0.0%
69	Grenada	0	
70	Haiti	13	0.3%
71	Jamaica	10	0.3%
72	Trinidad	5	0.1%
75	China	11	0.3%
76	HongKong	10	0.3%
77	Japan	2	0.1%
78	Korea	1	0.0%
79	Philippines	14	0.4%
80	Taiwan	4	0.1%

# cpsm11: Respondent's Country of Birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
81	Vietnam	2	0.1%
85	Bangladesh	1	0.0%
86	India	30	0.8%
87	Pakistan	2	0.1%
88	SriLanka	3	0.1%
90	Iran	3	0.1%
91	Iraq	3	0.1%
92	Israel	3	0.1%
93	Lebanon	5	0.1%
94	Middle East	3	0.1%
96	Nigeria	0	
97	Africa	19	0.5%
98	D.K.	1	
99	Refused	31	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm12: What Year Did You Come to Live in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1902-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=501 /-] [Invalid=3448 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who were not born in Canada, as indicated in #m11.		
Literal question	In what year did you come to live in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1902		1	0.2%
1909		2	0.4%
1910		1	0.2%
1913		2	0.4%
1919		1	0.2%
1920		1	0.2%
1921		1	0.2%
1922		1	0.2%
1923		2	0.4%
1924		2	0.4%
1925		2	0.4%
1926		1	0.2%
1927		2	0.4%
1928		3	0.6%
1929		2	0.4%
1935		2	0.4%
1939		1	0.2%
1940		1	0.2%
1944		2	0.4%
1945		1	0.2%
1946		8	1.6%
1947		3	0.6%
1948		7	1.4%

cpsm12: What Year Did You Come to Live in Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1949		4	0.8%
1950		9	1.8%
1951		10	2.0%
1952		8	1.6%
1953		5	1.0%
1954		9	1.8%
1955		8	1.6%
1956		18	3.6%
1957		18	3.6%
1958		10	2.0%
1959		7	1.4%
1960		7	1.4%
1961		5	1.0%
1962		10	2.0%
1963		6	1.2%
1964		5	1.0%
1965		14	2.8%
1966		16	3.2%
1967		12	2.4%
1968		9	1.8%
1969		12	2.4%
1970		12	2.4%
1971		9	1.8%
1972		22	4.4%
1973		10	2.0%
1974		21	4.2%
1975		7	1.4%
1976		14	2.8%
1977		13	2.6%
1978		9	1.8%
1979		18	3.6%
1980		11	2.2%
1981		8	1.6%
1982		8	1.6%
1983		5	1.0%
1984		6	1.2%
1985		12	2.4%
1986		8	1.6%
1987		5	1.0%
1988		18	3.6%
1989		9	1.8%
1990		11	2.2%
1991		14	2.8%
9998	D.K.	11	
9999	Refused	38	

# cpsm12: What Year Did You Come to Live in Canada			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		3399	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm13: To What Ethnic or Cultural Group Do You Belong			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3751 /-] [Invalid=198 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	To what ethnic or cultural group did you, or your ancestors belong on first coming to this continent?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R is not clear, say "Are you Scottish, Chinese, Greek, or something else?"		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	22	0.6%
1	English	670	17.9%
2	Irish	324	8.6%
3	Scottish	380	10.1%
4	Welsh	19	0.5%
5	Chinese	42	1.1%
6	Czech/Slovak/Serb	28	0.7%
7	Finnish/Baltic	20	0.5%
8	French/Quebecois	931	24.8%
9	German/Austrian	254	6.8%
10	Greek/Macedonian	18	0.5%
11	Hungarian	25	0.7%
12	Indian/Pakistani,etc	59	1.6%
13	Italian	103	2.7%
14	Japanese	6	0.2%
15	Jewish	24	0.6%
16	Native / Inuit	97	2.6%
17	Black	28	0.7%
18	Dutch/Belgian	97	2.6%
19	Polish	70	1.9%
20	Portuguese	27	0.7%
21	Russian	41	1.1%
22	Scandinavian	77	2.1%
23	Ukrainian	102	2.7%
24	West Indian	21	0.6%
25	Oceanic	1	0.0%
26	Islamic/Arab/MidEast	19	0.5%
27	Hispanic	25	0.7%
28	Cdn	20	0.5%
29	Asian/S.Pacific	23	0.6%
30	Other Europe	39	1.0%
31	British (+2)	73	1.9%
97	Unknown	66	1.8%
98	D.K.	149	
99	Refused	49	

# cpsm13: To What Ethnic or Cultural Group Do You Belong			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsm14: What Language Do You Usually Speak At Home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3915 /-] [Invalid=34 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What language do you usually speak at home?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	69	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
1	French	984	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
5	English	2705	<div><div></div></div> 69.1%
10	Arabic	0	
11	Chinese	25	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
12	Eng&Fr	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
13	First Nation	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
20	Oth West Europe	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
21	German	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
22	Italian	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
23	Spanish/Portuguese	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
24	Dutch	0	
25	Oth East Europe	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
26	Polish	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
27	Ukrainian	0	
98	D.K.	1	
99	Refused	33	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# cpsm15: Language First Learned and Still Understood			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3912 /-] [Invalid=37 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is the first language you learned and still understand?		
Notes	The option to specify language added May 2, 1997.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	143	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
1	French	1040	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
5	English	2367	<div><div></div></div> 60.5%
10	Arabic	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
11	Chinese	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
12	Eng&Fr	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
13	First Nation	27	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
20	Oth West Europe	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
21	German	74	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
22	Italian	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
23	Spanish/Portuguese	33	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
24	Dutch	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%

# cpsm15: Language First Learned and Still Understood			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	Oth East Europe	32	0.8%
26	Polish	27	0.7%
27	Ukrainian	30	0.8%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	35	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm16: Total Household Income>Thousands			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2631 /-] [Invalid=1318 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, what was your TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME before taxes and other deductions for 1996?		
Post-question	If respondent provides income, go to #m17. All others go to #m16a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		39	1.5%
2		4	0.2%
3		5	0.2%
4		4	0.2%
5		6	0.2%
6		12	0.5%
7		11	0.4%
8		13	0.5%
9		9	0.3%
10		39	1.5%
11		26	1.0%
12		60	2.3%
13		16	0.6%
14		30	1.1%
15		43	1.6%
16		27	1.0%
17		19	0.7%
18		24	0.9%
19		15	0.6%
20		72	2.7%
21		12	0.5%
22		25	1.0%
23		23	0.9%
24		28	1.1%
25		75	2.9%
26		32	1.2%
27		15	0.6%
28		28	1.1%
29		11	0.4%
30		134	5.1%

cpsm16: Total Household Income>Thousands

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31		10	0.4%
32		31	1.2%
33		10	0.4%
34		13	0.5%
35		87	3.3%
36		21	0.8%
37		16	0.6%
38		18	0.7%
39		2	0.1%
40		146	5.5%
41		9	0.3%
42		22	0.8%
43		6	0.2%
44		15	0.6%
45		82	3.1%
46		10	0.4%
47		7	0.3%
48		17	0.6%
49		6	0.2%
50		136	5.2%
51		4	0.2%
52		16	0.6%
53		9	0.3%
54		11	0.4%
55		59	2.2%
56		7	0.3%
57		2	0.1%
58		7	0.3%
59		5	0.2%
60		159	6.0%
61		3	0.1%
62		11	0.4%
63		8	0.3%
64		3	0.1%
65		68	2.6%
66		2	0.1%
67		5	0.2%
68		6	0.2%
70		104	4.0%
72		5	0.2%
73		2	0.1%
74		5	0.2%
75		62	2.4%
76		4	0.2%
77		1	0.0%

cpsm16: Total Household Income>Thousands

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78		2	0.1%
80		106	4.0%
81		1	0.0%
82		6	0.2%
84		5	0.2%
85		31	1.2%
86		2	0.1%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		57	2.2%
92		1	0.0%
94		1	0.0%
95		17	0.6%
96		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%
98		3	0.1%
99		12	0.5%
100		92	3.5%
102		1	0.0%
103		1	0.0%
105		10	0.4%
106		1	0.0%
108		1	0.0%
109		1	0.0%
110		29	1.1%
112		2	0.1%
115		5	0.2%
117		1	0.0%
120		28	1.1%
125		6	0.2%
128		1	0.0%
130		14	0.5%
134		1	0.0%
135		8	0.3%
140		11	0.4%
150		29	1.1%
160		6	0.2%
170		3	0.1%
175		1	0.0%
180		6	0.2%
200		12	0.5%
210		1	0.0%
225		1	0.0%
230		1	0.0%
250		7	0.3%

# cpsm16: Total Household Income>Thousands			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
255		1	0.0%
300		7	0.3%
400		2	0.1%
500		1	0.0%
800		1	0.0%
997		2	0.1%
998	D.K.	703	
999	Refused	614	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm16a: Total Household Income>Category			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=797 /-] [Invalid=3152 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to rsepondents who did not provide their household income for #m16.		
Literal question	We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	<\$20,000	198	24.8%
2	\$20,000-\$29,999	141	17.7%
3	\$30,000-\$39,999	122	15.3%
4	\$40,000-\$49,999	90	11.3%
5	\$50,000-\$59,999	77	9.7%
6	\$60,000-\$69,999	46	5.8%
7	\$70,000-\$79,999	27	3.4%
8	\$80,000-\$89,999	13	1.6%
9	\$90,000-\$99,999	19	2.4%
10	>100,000	64	8.0%
98	D.K.	153	
99	Refused	371	
Sysmiss		2628	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm17: Number of Children Under 18 Living in Home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3909 /-] [Invalid=40 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How many children under 18 years live in your home?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	2391	61.2%
1		609	15.6%
2		625	16.0%
3		211	5.4%
4		53	1.4%
5		13	0.3%
6		6	0.2%

# cpsm17: Number of Children Under 18 Living in Home			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		1	0.0%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	40	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm18: Do You Have Long-Term Disability or Handicap			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3899 /-] [Invalid=50 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you have any long-term disability or handicap?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", got to #m19.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	353	9.1%
5	No	3546	90.9%
8	D.K.	14	
9	Refused	36	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsm19: Does Long-Term Disability or Handicap Affect:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=329 /-] [Invalid=3620 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Does it affect your sight, hearing, mobility, agility, or something else?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sight	28	8.5%
2	Hearing	26	7.9%
3	Mobility	148	45.0%
4	Agility	44	13.4%
5	Something Else	83	25.2%
8	D.K.	27	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3593	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# cpsknow: Respondent's General Level of Knowledge and Information			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3840 /-] [Invalid=109 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryHigh	343	8.9%
2	Fairly High	1077	28.0%
3	Average	1546	40.3%
4	Fairly Low	552	14.4%
5	Very Low	322	8.4%
8	D.K.	70	

# cpsknow: Respondent's General Level of Knowledge and Information			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		39	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# postcode: Postal Code <Forward Sortation Area>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*/ref]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3828 /-] [Invalid=121 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ref	refused/unknown		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# blish81r: Occupation:Respondent> Blishen 1981 SES			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20.38-101.74] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=1419 /-] [Mean=44.348 /-] [StdDev=14.289 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# pinporr: Respondent> Pineo-Porter 1981 Category			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*/19/20]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2784 /-] [Invalid=1165 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Answer	0	
1	Self-Emp Profs	45	<div></div> 1.6%
2	Employed Profs	289	<div></div> 10.4%
3	Hi-Level Managers	43	<div></div> 1.5%
4	Semi-Profs	295	<div></div> 10.6%
5	Technicians	62	<div></div> 2.2%
6	Mid-Managers	211	<div></div> 7.6%
7	Supervisors	59	<div></div> 2.1%
8	Foremen/women	32	<div></div> 1.1%
9	Sk.Clerical+Sales	228	<div></div> 8.2%
10	Skilled Crafts	271	<div></div> 9.7%
11	Farmers	83	<div></div> 3.0%
12	Semi-Sk.Cl.+Sales	389	<div></div> 14.0%
13	Semi-Skilled Manual	185	<div></div> 6.6%
14	Unsk.Cler.+Sales	172	<div></div> 6.2%
15	Unskilled Manual	300	<div></div> 10.8%
16	Farm Labourers	20	<div></div> 0.7%
17	Student	49	<div></div> 1.8%
18	Homemaker	51	<div></div> 1.8%
19	D.K.	2	
20	Not Codeable,NA	399	
Sysmiss		764	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# cpshhwtg: Household Weight - CES Campaign			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.5056-4.5501] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.442 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# cpspwgt1: Provincial Weight <All of Canada> - CES Campaign			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.0441-1.508] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.377 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# cpspwgt2: Provincial Weight <Excluding Quebec> - CES Campaign			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1.5103] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.738 /-] [StdDev=0.58 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# cpsnwgt1: National Weight <All of Canada> - CES Campaign			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.02229696-5.336812] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.999 /-] [StdDev=0.609 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# cpsnwgt2: National Weight <Excluding Quebec> - CES Campaign			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5.3449517] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.741 /-] [StdDev=0.717 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# pessamp: Day of Sample Release			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-] [Mean=16.769 /-] [StdDev=9.373 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# pesrep: Sample Subsets <Replicate>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		864	<div></div> 27.3%
2		819	<div></div> 25.8%
3		749	<div></div> 23.6%
4		536	<div></div> 16.9%
5		190	<div></div> 6.0%
6		12	<div></div> 0.4%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pestime: Length of Interview			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12-90] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3135 /-] [Invalid=814 /-] [Mean=27.702 /-] [StdDev=8.455 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# pestime: Length of Interview			
Notes	Units are in minutes.		
# pesres: Outcome of Interview			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	3163	<div><div></div></div> 99.8%
2	Partial	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesattem: Total Number of Call Attempts			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-55] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-] [Mean=6.702 /-] [StdDev=7.203 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# pescont: Total Times Respondent Contacted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2678	<div><div></div></div> 84.5%
2		251	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
3		107	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
4		83	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
5		21	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
6		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
8		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
10		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesans: Number of Times Telephone Answered			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-] [Mean=3.61 /-] [StdDev=3.81 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# pesrefus: Number of Refusals Before Completion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3052	<div><div></div></div> 96.3%
1		116	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%

# pesrefus: Number of Refusals Before Completion			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		2	0.1%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesdate: Date of Interview <MMDDYY>			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 60497-73097] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-] [Mean=62823.467 /-] [StdDev=3408.048 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# pesinum: Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 110-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
110		92	<div></div> 2.9%
112		106	<div></div> 3.3%
115		55	<div></div> 1.7%
116		82	<div></div> 2.6%
127		91	<div></div> 2.9%
239		64	<div></div> 2.0%
307		39	<div></div> 1.2%
375		178	<div></div> 5.6%
407		78	<div></div> 2.5%
427		35	<div></div> 1.1%
447		35	<div></div> 1.1%
449		71	<div></div> 2.2%
450		68	<div></div> 2.1%
452		60	<div></div> 1.9%
458		70	<div></div> 2.2%
462		40	<div></div> 1.3%
463		100	<div></div> 3.2%
465		65	<div></div> 2.1%
467		74	<div></div> 2.3%
468		59	<div></div> 1.9%
469		85	<div></div> 2.7%
471		80	<div></div> 2.5%
474		54	<div></div> 1.7%
475		60	<div></div> 1.9%
476		58	<div></div> 1.8%
477		36	<div></div> 1.1%
478		74	<div></div> 2.3%
552		124	<div></div> 3.9%
561		42	<div></div> 1.3%
563		13	<div></div> 0.4%
700		194	<div></div> 6.1%

# pesinum: Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
824		84	<div></div> 2.6%
825		33	<div></div> 1.0%
826		23	<div></div> 0.7%
831		99	<div></div> 3.1%
835		78	<div></div> 2.5%
836		221	<div></div> 7.0%
839		47	<div></div> 1.5%
873		103	<div></div> 3.2%
899		106	<div></div> 3.3%
999	Supervisor	94	<div></div> 3.0%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# peslang: Language of Interview			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	2442	<div></div> 77.0%
2	French	728	<div></div> 23.0%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesrn12: Question Order/Random Delivery <A2A,A2B>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	goto A2A	1527	<div></div> 48.2%
2	goto A2B	1643	<div></div> 51.8%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesrn2: Question Order/Random Delivery <A4C,A4D>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	goto A4C	1556	<div></div> 49.1%
2	goto A4D	1614	<div></div> 50.9%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesrn10: Question Order/Random Delivery<E5A--E5C>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		

# pesrn10: Question Order/Random Delivery<E5A--E5C>				
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	goto E5A	1076	<div></div>	33.9%
2	goto E5B	1054	<div></div>	33.2%
3	goto E5C	1040	<div></div>	32.8%
Sysmiss		779		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pesrn11: Question Order/Random Delivery<E7A,E7B>				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	goto E7A	1543	<div></div>	48.7%
2	goto E7B	1627	<div></div>	51.3%
Sysmiss		779		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pesrn4: Question Order/RandomDelivery<F14A,F14B>				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	gotoF14A	1589	<div></div>	50.1%
2	gotoF14B	1581	<div></div>	49.9%
Sysmiss		779		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pesrn16: Question Order/Random Delivery<H1-5H10-14>				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	goto H1/goto H10	1600	<div></div>	50.5%
2	goto H5/goto H14	1570	<div></div>	49.5%
Sysmiss		779		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pesrn14: Question Order/Random Delivery<I5A--I5F>				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3168 /-] [Invalid=781 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	goto I5A	1585	<div></div>	50.0%
2	goto I5D	1583	<div></div>	50.0%
Sysmiss		781		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# pesrn5: Randomize Party Leaders <C1A--C1E>			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-] [Mean=12.425 /-] [StdDev=6.869 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
# pesrn6: Randomize Federal Parties <C2A--C2E>			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-] [Mean=12.601 /-] [StdDev=6.996 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
# pesrn8: Randomize Parties in Riding <C3A--C3E>			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-] [Mean=12.57 /-] [StdDev=6.993 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
# pesrn9: Question Order/Random Delivery<E6A--E6G>			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		509	<div></div> 16.1%
2		397	<div></div> 12.5%
3		449	<div></div> 14.2%
4		411	<div></div> 13.0%
5		499	<div></div> 15.7%
6		463	<div></div> 14.6%
7		442	<div></div> 13.9%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesrn3: Question Wording <E11B>			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1568	<div></div> 49.5%
2		1602	<div></div> 50.5%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesrgen: Respondent's Gender			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Interviewer's instructions		INTERVIEWER: Enter respondent's gender please.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1539	<div></div> 48.5%

# pesrgen: Respondent's Gender			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Female	1631	<div></div> 51.5%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pesa1: Most Important Issue To You Personally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2519 /-] [Invalid=1430 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this election?		
Notes	Question deleted May 28, 1997.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	MoreJobs/Reduce Unem	479	19.0%
11	Jobs For Youth	70	2.8%
12	Job Security	24	1.0%
13	LackJobsResourceInds	1	0.0%
14	JobTraining/Re-Train	0	
15	General-Jobs	380	15.1%
16	FreeTradeCostJobs	0	
20	General-Finances	76	3.0%
21	Debt-Reduce/Cntl	26	1.0%
22	Debt-Eliminate	4	0.2%
23	Deficit-Reduce/Cntl	117	4.6%
24	Deficit-Eliminate	16	0.6%
25	TransferPayments	6	0.2%
26	Balance Budget	13	0.5%
30	General-Economy	79	3.1%
31	CostLive/Inflatn	3	0.1%
32	Interest Rates	4	0.2%
33	EconomicRecovery	3	0.1%
34	EconomicStimulation	18	0.7%
35	Farming/Fishing	5	0.2%
36	PromoteSmallBusiness	1	0.0%
37	FundingSmallBusiness	1	0.0%
38	StabilizeEconomy	8	0.3%
40	HighCost Govt	1	0.0%
41	Control GovSpending	9	0.4%
42	Reduce Perks/Salary	2	0.1%
43	Gov Accountable	5	0.2%
44	ImmigrationCosts	3	0.1%
45	Cut Back Welfare	0	
46	Helicopter Issue	0	
47	Early Election	4	0.2%
48	Manitoba Flood	1	0.0%
50	General-Taxes	39	1.5%
51	Abolish GST/Tax	5	0.2%
52	LowerGST/Taxes	65	2.6%
53	TaxesToo High	17	0.7%
54	TaxBreakSmallBusines	0	
55	Fairer Taxation	6	0.2%
57	General-HlthCare	106	4.2%

pesa1: Most Important Issue To You Personally

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
58	General-Cutbacks	6	0.2%
59	StopHlthCareCuts	58	2.3%
60	General-SocialPgms	50	2.0%
61	Old Age Pensions	13	0.5%
62	Childcare	0	
63	Social Pgms/Serv	4	0.2%
64	Stop SA Cuts	0	
65	General-Education	19	0.8%
66	HighCost Tuition	28	1.1%
67	EducationRestructure	0	
68	Care Of Elderly	9	0.4%
69	HlthCare Avail	39	1.5%
70	Morality/Values	6	0.2%
71	Abortion Issues	1	0.0%
72	EnvironmentIssue	9	0.4%
73	Minority Issues	13	0.5%
74	Poverty Issues	6	0.2%
75	Gun Control Bill	24	1.0%
76	Crime & Violence	13	0.5%
77	Harsher Penalty	6	0.2%
78	Young Offenders	5	0.2%
79	Gun Control	27	1.1%
80	General-Quebec	60	2.4%
81	General-Unity	181	7.2%
82	Maintain Unity	36	1.4%
83	Let Quebec Go	1	0.0%
84	For Sovereignty	2	0.1%
85	Against Sovereignty	6	0.2%
86	No Distinct Society	5	0.2%
87	Yes Distinct Society	0	
88	Get Rid Of Bloc	22	0.9%
89	WantBloc To Win	24	1.0%
90	GetRidOfChretien	12	0.5%
91	NeedMoreIntegrity	62	2.5%
92	Need Stable Govt	34	1.3%
93	Revamp ElecProcess	2	0.1%
94	Want Lib To Win	25	1.0%
95	Want Change Govt	25	1.0%
96	WesternProvinces	10	0.4%
97	No Specifics	79	3.1%
98	D.K.,NotCodeable	643	
99	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		779	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pesa2a: Did You Vote in the Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1525 /-] [Invalid=2424 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample experiment. Randomized sample of respondents are asked #a2a, the others are asked #a2b.		
Literal question	Did YOU vote in the election?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #a4. If respondent answers "no", go to #a3. All others go to #a5b.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1253	<div></div> 82.2%
5	No	272	<div></div> 17.8%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2423	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa2b: Did You Vote in the Election> Democracy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1638 /-] [Invalid=2311 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample experiment. Randomized sample of respondents are asked #a2a, the others are asked #a2b.		
Literal question	In a democracy, citizens have the right to vote. They also have the right not to. And some people who intend to vote end up not voting for one reason or another. What about you, did you vote in the election or not?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #a4. If respondent answers "no", go to #a3. All others go to #a5b.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1340	<div></div> 81.8%
5	No	298	<div></div> 18.2%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2306	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa3: Particular Reason Why You Did Not Vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=523 /-] [Invalid=3426 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Was there any particular reason why you didn't vote?		
Post-question	Go to #a5b.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	No Time/Busy	75	<div></div> 14.3%
11	Work	64	<div></div> 12.2%
12	Family /Personal	9	<div></div> 1.7%
13	Absent	55	<div></div> 10.5%
14	Vacation	5	<div></div> 1.0%
21	Forgot	13	<div></div> 2.5%
22	Sick	25	<div></div> 4.8%
23	Not Want To Vote	11	<div></div> 2.1%
24	Religion	7	<div></div> 1.3%
25	Political View	8	<div></div> 1.5%

# pesa3: Particular Reason Why You Did Not Vote			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	D.K. Who Vote For	59	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
41	Cynicism	114	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
55	Other	78	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
98	D.K.	45	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3378	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa4: Which Party Did You Vote For			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2312 /-] [Invalid=1637 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the election, as indicated in #a2a/#a2b.		
Literal question	Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party, the Conservative Party, the New Democratic Party, the Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec)/ the Reform Party (all others), or another party?		
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #a4a. All others go to #a5b.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	45	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1	Liberal	811	<div><div></div></div> 35.1%
2	PC	415	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
3	NDP	277	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
4	Reform	518	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
5	Bloc Quebecois	235	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
97	None	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
98	D.K.	6	
99	Refused	276	
Sysmiss		1355	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa4a: Your Preference for the Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2275 /-] [Invalid=1674 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #a4.		
Literal question	Would you say that your preference for the [party specified in #a4] party was VERY STRONG, FAIRLY STRONG or NOT VERY STRONG?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Strong	790	<div><div></div></div> 34.7%
3	Fairly Strong	1088	<div><div></div></div> 47.8%
5	Not Very Strong	397	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
8	D.K.	27	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1647	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa4b: Which Party Was Your Second Choice			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2274 /-] [Invalid=1675 /-]		

# pesa4b: Which Party Was Your Second Choice			
Universe		Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #a4.	
Literal question		And which party was your second choice? The Liberals, Conservatives, N.D.P., or Reform (if respondent does not reside in the province of Quebec)/ Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec)?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	19	0.8%
1	Liberal	522	23.0%
2	PC	636	28.0%
3	NDP	344	15.1%
4	Reform	185	8.1%
5	Bloc Quebecois	66	2.9%
97	None	502	22.1%
98	D.K.	27	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1647	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa4c: When Decide You Were Going to Vote...			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1124 /-] [Invalid=2825 /-]	
Universe		Split-sample design. Randomized sample of respondents are asked #a4b, the others are asked #a4c. Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #a4.	
Literal question		When did you decide that you were going to vote [party identified in #a4]? Was it before the campaign began, before the T.V. debates, during or just after the debates, in the last two weeks of the campaign, or election day?	
Post-question		Go to #a5b.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Before Campaign	576	51.2%
2	Before Debates	75	6.7%
3	During/After Debates	98	8.7%
4	Last2WksCampaign	221	19.7%
5	Election Day	154	13.7%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2822	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa4d: When Decide You Were Going to Vote...			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1150 /-] [Invalid=2799 /-]	
Universe		Split-sample design. Randomized sample of respondents are asked #a4b, the others are asked #a4c. Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #a4.	
Literal question		When did you decide that you were going to vote [party identified in #a4]?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Codeable	131	11.4%
1	NoMaking Up Mind	120	10.4%
2	Years Ago	122	10.6%
3	Year PriorToCall	135	11.7%
4	When ElectCalled	103	9.0%

# pesa4d: When Decide You Were Going to Vote...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	BeforeMay12/13th	70	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
6	Time Of Debates	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
7	After May12/13th	147	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
8	Last/2ndLast Day	82	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
9	Election Day	149	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
10	During Campaign	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
11	Other,n.e.c.	55	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
98	D.K.	24	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2774	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa5b: Satisfaction> The Way Democracy Works Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3073 /-] [Invalid=876 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Satisfied	490	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
3	Fairly Satisfied	1763	<div><div></div></div> 57.4%
5	Not Very	639	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
7	NotAtAll	181	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
8	D.K.	90	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa6: Having Reform As The Official Opposition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2569 /-] [Invalid=1380 /-]		
Universe	Asked only if Reform Party forms official opposition.		
Literal question	Do you think that having the Reform as the Official Opposition is a good thing or a bad thing for Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good Thing	1642	<div><div></div></div> 63.9%
5	Bad Thing	927	<div><div></div></div> 36.1%
8	D.K.	575	
9	Refused	26	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa7a: Conservatives And Reform Joined Together			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2500 /-] [Invalid=1449 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think it would be a good thing or a bad thing if the Conservatives and Reform joined together to form one party?		

pesa7a: Conservatives And Reform Joined Together

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good Thing	931	37.2%
5	Bad Thing	1569	62.8%
8	D.K.	650	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		779	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa8: What Have The Liberals Been Elected to Do

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2318 /-] [Invalid=1631 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In your view, what have the Liberals been elected to do?
Notes	Question to be designed on reactions to the outcome.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	CreateJobs/ReduceUn	230	9.9%
11	Jobs For Youth	3	0.1%
12	Job Security	1	0.0%
13	Lack Of JobsEast	2	0.1%
14	More JobTraining	0	
15	Control Unemployment	50	2.2%
20	General-Debt/Deficit	20	0.9%
21	Reducing Debt	44	1.9%
22	Eliminate Debt	4	0.2%
23	Reducing Deficit	352	15.2%
24	EliminateDeficit	15	0.6%
25	Fiscal Restraint	15	0.6%
26	Balance Budget	28	1.2%
27	Both 10 & 23	274	11.8%
30	General-Economy	24	1.0%
31	Fight Inflation	2	0.1%
32	InterestRateDown	1	0.0%
33	Improve Economy	21	0.9%
34	StimulateEconomy	6	0.3%
35	Economy & Jobs	27	1.2%
36	StabilizeEconomy	4	0.2%
40	NegativeComments	105	4.5%
41	Nothing/Not Much	78	3.4%
42	GotIn By Default	40	1.7%
43	Cater To Quebec	4	0.2%
44	Cater To Ontario	13	0.6%
45	Elected ByQueOnt	14	0.6%
46	Try KeepPromises	80	3.5%
47	CalledEarlyElection	1	0.0%
48	Beat Oth Parties	8	0.3%
49	ToChange/NewIdeas	7	0.3%

# pesa8: What Have The Liberals Been Elected to Do			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	General-Taxes	4	0.2%
51	Abolish GST/Taxes	5	0.2%
52	Cut GST/Taxes	32	1.4%
53	Keep Taxes Down	3	0.1%
54	Jobs And Taxes	47	2.0%
55	Fairer Taxation	0	
57	General-Health	4	0.2%
58	ContinueCutbacks	6	0.3%
59	MaintainHlthCare	5	0.2%
60	Protect SocialPgms	11	0.5%
61	Protect OAP	0	
62	Protect Childcare	1	0.0%
63	Jobs&SocialPgms	11	0.5%
64	Jobs&HealthCare	36	1.6%
65	HlthCare&Budget	4	0.2%
66	Deficit&HlthCare	9	0.4%
68	Care Of Elderly	0	
69	HlthCareAvail/Afford	2	0.1%
70	To Help People	29	1.3%
71	Crime & Violence	3	0.1%
72	Poverty	2	0.1%
80	General-Quebec	2	0.1%
81	National Unity	28	1.2%
82	Maintain Unity	56	2.4%
83	StopQue Independence	2	0.1%
84	Unity & Economy	20	0.9%
85	Unity & Jobs	57	2.5%
86	Unity & Deficit	41	1.8%
87	Unity & HlthCare	3	0.1%
88	Unity & SocPgms	0	
90	General-Carry On	227	9.8%
91	Give Honest Gov	29	1.3%
92	Form MajorityGov	2	0.1%
93	Maintain StatusQuo	50	2.2%
94	Continue Mandate	37	1.6%
96	ToGovern Country	64	2.8%
97	Represent People	13	0.6%
98	D.K.,NotCodeable	836	
99	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb1: Attention Paid>News About Election on TV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3153 /-] [Invalid=796 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# pesb1: Attention Paid>News About Election on TV			
Literal question		On a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means no attention at all, and 10 means a great deal of attention, how much attention did you pay to news about the election campaign on TV?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None At All	483	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
1		118	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
2		262	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
3		260	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
4		259	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
5		569	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
6		251	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
7		333	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
8		343	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
9		109	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
10	A Great Deal	166	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
98	D.K.	17	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb2: Attention Paid>Election News in Newspaper			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3144 /-] [Invalid=805 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		And news about the election campaign in the NEWSPAPER? On the same scale from 0 to 10, how much attention did you pay to news about the election campaign in the NEWSPAPER?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None At All	801	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
1		118	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2		303	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
3		310	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
4		252	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
5		470	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
6		198	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
7		237	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
8		265	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
9		85	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
10	A Great Deal	105	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
98	D.K.	23	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb3: Attention Paid>Election News on the Radio			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3150 /-] [Invalid=799 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	

# pesb3: Attention Paid>Election News on the Radio				
Literal question		And news on the RADIO about the election campaign? On the same scale from 0 to 10, how much attention did you pay to RADIO news about the election campaign?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None At All	863	<div></div> 27.4%	
1		163	<div></div> 5.2%	
2		359	<div></div> 11.4%	
3		261	<div></div> 8.3%	
4		223	<div></div> 7.1%	
5		473	<div></div> 15.0%	
6		182	<div></div> 5.8%	
7		217	<div></div> 6.9%	
8		219	<div></div> 7.0%	
9		95	<div></div> 3.0%	
10	A Great Deal	95	<div></div> 3.0%	
98	D.K.	18		
99	Refused	2		
Sysmiss		779		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pesb4: How Would You Rate Interest In Election Campaign				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3144 /-] [Invalid=805 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		On the same scale, where 0 means no interest at all and 10 means a great deal of interest, how would you rate your interest in the election campaign?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None At All	270	<div></div> 8.6%	
1		80	<div></div> 2.5%	
2		173	<div></div> 5.5%	
3		253	<div></div> 8.0%	
4		239	<div></div> 7.6%	
5		593	<div></div> 18.9%	
6		318	<div></div> 10.1%	
7		387	<div></div> 12.3%	
8		444	<div></div> 14.1%	
9		150	<div></div> 4.8%	
10	A Great Deal	237	<div></div> 7.5%	
98	D.K.	24		
99	Refused	2		
Sysmiss		779		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pesb5: Talked About Election With Friends/Relatives During Campaign				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3163 /-] [Invalid=786 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		During the CAMPAIGN, did you talk about the election with friends and relatives often, occasionally, or not at all?		

# pesb5: Talked About Election With Friends/Relatives During Campaign			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	760	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
3	Occasionally	1868	<div><div></div></div> 59.1%
5	NotAtAll	535	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb6: Talked About Election With Other People			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3162 /-] [Invalid=787 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	During the election campaign, did you talk about the election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	468	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
3	Occasionally	1823	<div><div></div></div> 57.7%
5	NotAtAll	871	<div><div></div></div> 27.5%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesdr1: How Much Do You Know About> Jean Chretien			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3146 /-] [Invalid=803 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now I want to ask about the party leaders.		
Literal question	First, JEAN CHRETIE? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Jean Chretien?		
Notes	Keep the knowledge questions as in the campaign questionnaire but they are not used as screens. Even those who say "nothing at all" are asked to rate leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	1062	<div><div></div></div> 33.8%
3	A Little	1882	<div><div></div></div> 59.8%
5	Nothing At All	202	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8	D.K.	18	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesdr2: How Do You Know About> Jean Charest			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3148 /-] [Invalid=801 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And what about JEAN CHAREST? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Jean Charest?		

# pesdr2: How Do You Know About> Jean Charest			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	643	<div></div> 20.4%
3	A Little	1773	<div></div> 56.3%
5	Nothing At All	732	<div></div> 23.3%
8	D.K.	16	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesdr3: How Much Do You Know About> Alexa McDonough			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3147 /-] [Invalid=802 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	ALEXA MCDONOUGH? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Alexa McDonough?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	216	<div></div> 6.9%
3	A Little	1380	<div></div> 43.9%
5	Nothing At All	1551	<div></div> 49.3%
8	D.K.	17	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesdr4: How Much Know About> Preston Manning			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3150 /-] [Invalid=799 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	PRESTON MANNING? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Preston Manning?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	771	<div></div> 24.5%
3	A Little	1760	<div></div> 55.9%
5	Nothing At All	619	<div></div> 19.7%
8	D.K.	14	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesdr5: How Much Know About> Gilles Duceppe			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=790 /-] [Invalid=3159 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	GILLES DUCEPPE? Do you know a lot, a little, or nothing at all about Gilles Duceppe?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	334	<div></div> 42.3%
3	A Little	316	<div></div> 40.0%
5	Nothing At All	140	<div></div> 17.7%

# pesdr5: How Much Know About> Gilles Duceppe			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3148	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesflag1: Party Leader Randomization			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rest Of Canada	2369	<div></div> 74.7%
2	Quebec	801	<div></div> 25.3%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc1a: Rating> Jean Charest			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2947 /-] [Invalid=1002 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now we're going to ask you how you feel about the party leaders using a scale from 0 to 100. 0 means you really DISLIKE the leader and 100 means you really LIKE the leader. You can use any number from 0 to 100.		
Literal question	How do you feel about JEAN CHAREST? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.		
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	140	<div></div> 4.8%
1		8	<div></div> 0.3%
2		11	<div></div> 0.4%
3		6	<div></div> 0.2%
4		5	<div></div> 0.2%
5		38	<div></div> 1.3%
6		1	<div></div> 0.0%
7		3	<div></div> 0.1%
8		5	<div></div> 0.2%
9		2	<div></div> 0.1%
10		120	<div></div> 4.1%
11		1	<div></div> 0.0%
13		1	<div></div> 0.0%
15		22	<div></div> 0.7%
20		146	<div></div> 5.0%
22		1	<div></div> 0.0%
23		1	<div></div> 0.0%
24		1	<div></div> 0.0%
25		87	<div></div> 3.0%
27		1	<div></div> 0.0%
29		1	<div></div> 0.0%

pesc1a: Rating> Jean Charest

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		160	<div></div> 5.4%
33		1	<div></div> 0.0%
34		2	<div></div> 0.1%
35		30	<div></div> 1.0%
37		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		247	<div></div> 8.4%
42		2	<div></div> 0.1%
43		1	<div></div> 0.0%
45		41	<div></div> 1.4%
47		2	<div></div> 0.1%
48		1	<div></div> 0.0%
49		1	<div></div> 0.0%
50		523	<div></div> 17.7%
51		5	<div></div> 0.2%
52		1	<div></div> 0.0%
55		29	<div></div> 1.0%
60		307	<div></div> 10.4%
61		1	<div></div> 0.0%
62		1	<div></div> 0.0%
64		1	<div></div> 0.0%
65		93	<div></div> 3.2%
68		1	<div></div> 0.0%
69		1	<div></div> 0.0%
70		246	<div></div> 8.3%
71		1	<div></div> 0.0%
72		2	<div></div> 0.1%
74		1	<div></div> 0.0%
75		169	<div></div> 5.7%
77		1	<div></div> 0.0%
78		3	<div></div> 0.1%
80		215	<div></div> 7.3%
82		1	<div></div> 0.0%
85		54	<div></div> 1.8%
89		1	<div></div> 0.0%
90		63	<div></div> 2.1%
94		1	<div></div> 0.0%
95		11	<div></div> 0.4%
98		3	<div></div> 0.1%
99		6	<div></div> 0.2%
100	Really Like	25	<div></div> 0.8%
995	No Candidate	0	
996	DK AnythingAbout	0	
997	DK WhoIs	91	<div></div> 3.1%
998	DK HowTo Rate	203	
999	Refused	20	

# pesc1a: Rating> Jean Charest			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc1b: Rating> Jean Chretien			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3064 /-] [Invalid=885 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about JEAN CHRÉTIEN? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.		
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	172	<div></div> 5.6%
1		8	<div></div> 0.3%
2		10	<div></div> 0.3%
3		4	<div></div> 0.1%
4		6	<div></div> 0.2%
5		35	<div></div> 1.1%
6		6	<div></div> 0.2%
7		4	<div></div> 0.1%
8		5	<div></div> 0.2%
9		2	<div></div> 0.1%
10		94	<div></div> 3.1%
12		1	<div></div> 0.0%
15		24	<div></div> 0.8%
18		2	<div></div> 0.1%
20		97	<div></div> 3.2%
25		65	<div></div> 2.1%
27		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30		115	<div></div> 3.8%
32		1	<div></div> 0.0%
33		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		25	<div></div> 0.8%
37		1	<div></div> 0.0%
39		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		196	<div></div> 6.4%
42		1	<div></div> 0.0%
45		39	<div></div> 1.3%
46		1	<div></div> 0.0%
47		1	<div></div> 0.0%
48		2	<div></div> 0.1%
49		3	<div></div> 0.1%
50		547	<div></div> 17.9%
51		4	<div></div> 0.1%
52		6	<div></div> 0.2%
55		36	<div></div> 1.2%

# pesc1b: Rating> Jean Chretien			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59		1	0.0%
60		328	10.7%
62		2	0.1%
63		2	0.1%
65		93	3.0%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		310	10.1%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
73		3	0.1%
74		2	0.1%
75		229	7.5%
78		1	0.0%
80		286	9.3%
83		1	0.0%
85		70	2.3%
87		1	0.0%
89		2	0.1%
90		106	3.5%
95		20	0.7%
97		1	0.0%
98		5	0.2%
99		2	0.1%
100	Really Like	52	1.7%
995	No Candidate	0	
996	DK AnythingAbout	0	
997	DK WhoIs	25	0.8%
998	DK HowTo Rate	86	
999	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc1c: Rating> Alexa McDonough			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2813 /-] [Invalid=1136 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE her and 100 means you really LIKE her.		
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	210	7.5%
1		11	0.4%

pesc1c: Rating> Alexa McDonough

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		9	0.3%
3		6	0.2%
4		4	0.1%
5		47	1.7%
6		6	0.2%
7		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		148	5.3%
11		1	0.0%
12		2	0.1%
15		11	0.4%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		173	6.2%
23		1	0.0%
25		115	4.1%
28		1	0.0%
30		200	7.1%
33		1	0.0%
34		1	0.0%
35		20	0.7%
40		232	8.2%
45		28	1.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		1	0.0%
50		482	17.1%
51		1	0.0%
52		2	0.1%
53		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		27	1.0%
57		1	0.0%
60		241	8.6%
61		2	0.1%
62		1	0.0%
65		53	1.9%
67		2	0.1%
68		5	0.2%
70		151	5.4%
75		121	4.3%
78		2	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		109	3.9%
81		1	0.0%

pesc1c: Rating> Alexa McDonough

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
82		1	0.0%
85		21	0.7%
89		1	0.0%
90		41	1.5%
95		8	0.3%
99		3	0.1%
100	Really Like	20	0.7%
995	No Candidate	0	
996	DK AnythingAbout	0	
997	DK WhoIs	278	9.9%
998	DK HowTo Rate	337	
999	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		779	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc1d: Rating> Preston Manning

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3000 /-] [Invalid=949 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about PRESTON MANNING? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike him and 100 means you really like him.
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	412	13.7%
1		16	0.5%
2		28	0.9%
3		8	0.3%
4		7	0.2%
5		51	1.7%
6		5	0.2%
7		5	0.2%
8		4	0.1%
9		2	0.1%
10		187	6.2%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
15		20	0.7%
17		1	0.0%
20		174	5.8%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		114	3.8%
27		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%

pesc1d: Rating> Preston Manning

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		201	<div></div> 6.7%
32		1	<div></div> 0.0%
33		4	<div></div> 0.1%
35		38	<div></div> 1.3%
39		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		274	<div></div> 9.1%
41		1	<div></div> 0.0%
42		1	<div></div> 0.0%
45		43	<div></div> 1.4%
47		1	<div></div> 0.0%
48		1	<div></div> 0.0%
49		4	<div></div> 0.1%
50		426	<div></div> 14.2%
51		2	<div></div> 0.1%
53		2	<div></div> 0.1%
55		26	<div></div> 0.9%
58		2	<div></div> 0.1%
59		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		241	<div></div> 8.0%
62		2	<div></div> 0.1%
63		1	<div></div> 0.0%
64		1	<div></div> 0.0%
65		52	<div></div> 1.7%
67		1	<div></div> 0.0%
68		2	<div></div> 0.1%
69		1	<div></div> 0.0%
70		143	<div></div> 4.8%
72		1	<div></div> 0.0%
75		127	<div></div> 4.2%
76		1	<div></div> 0.0%
77		1	<div></div> 0.0%
78		1	<div></div> 0.0%
79		2	<div></div> 0.1%
80		121	<div></div> 4.0%
81		1	<div></div> 0.0%
83		1	<div></div> 0.0%
85		35	<div></div> 1.2%
88		1	<div></div> 0.0%
90		46	<div></div> 1.5%
95		15	<div></div> 0.5%
98		3	<div></div> 0.1%
99		3	<div></div> 0.1%
100	Really Like	36	<div></div> 1.2%
995	No Candidate	0	
996	DK AnythingAbout	0	

# pesc1d: Rating> Preston Manning			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
997	DK WhoIs	91	<div></div> 3.0%
998	DK HowTo Rate	148	
999	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc1e: Rating> Gilles Duceppe			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=773 /-] [Invalid=3176 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike him and 100 means you really like him.		
Notes	Not included in randomization of party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	94	<div></div> 12.2%
1		4	<div></div> 0.5%
2		2	<div></div> 0.3%
3		2	<div></div> 0.3%
5		9	<div></div> 1.2%
6		1	<div></div> 0.1%
7		2	<div></div> 0.3%
8		2	<div></div> 0.3%
10		44	<div></div> 5.7%
15		2	<div></div> 0.3%
20		42	<div></div> 5.4%
22		1	<div></div> 0.1%
25		35	<div></div> 4.5%
30		62	<div></div> 8.0%
32		1	<div></div> 0.1%
35		7	<div></div> 0.9%
36		1	<div></div> 0.1%
40		61	<div></div> 7.9%
45		10	<div></div> 1.3%
48		1	<div></div> 0.1%
50		137	<div></div> 17.7%
51		1	<div></div> 0.1%
55		7	<div></div> 0.9%
59		1	<div></div> 0.1%
60		70	<div></div> 9.1%
61		1	<div></div> 0.1%
65		17	<div></div> 2.2%
70		52	<div></div> 6.7%
75		30	<div></div> 3.9%
77		1	<div></div> 0.1%
79		1	<div></div> 0.1%

# pesc1e: Rating> Gilles Duceppe			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80		30	<div></div> 3.9%
85		7	<div></div> 0.9%
90		6	<div></div> 0.8%
95		2	<div></div> 0.3%
100	Really Like	3	<div></div> 0.4%
995	No Candidate	0	
996	DK AnythingAbout	0	
997	DK WhoIs	24	<div></div> 3.1%
998	DK HowTo Rate	24	
999	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		3148	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesflag2: Political Party Randomization			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3170 /-] [Invalid=779 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rest Of Canada	2369	<div></div> 74.7%
2	Quebec	801	<div></div> 25.3%
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc2a: Rating> Federal Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3002 /-] [Invalid=947 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now we're going to ask you how you feel about each political party on the same scale. The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means an extremely bad rating and 100 means an extremely good rating.		
Literal question	How do you feel about ...the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.		
Notes	Order of political party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	176	<div></div> 5.9%
1		5	<div></div> 0.2%
2		15	<div></div> 0.5%
3		5	<div></div> 0.2%
4		4	<div></div> 0.1%
5		33	<div></div> 1.1%
6		1	<div></div> 0.0%
7		5	<div></div> 0.2%
8		1	<div></div> 0.0%
9		4	<div></div> 0.1%
10		128	<div></div> 4.3%
12		3	<div></div> 0.1%
15		27	<div></div> 0.9%

pesc2a: Rating> Federal Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		216	<div></div> 7.2%
21		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		113	<div></div> 3.8%
29		1	0.0%
30		237	<div></div> 7.9%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		31	<div></div> 1.0%
36		1	0.0%
40		328	<div></div> 10.9%
41		1	0.0%
43		2	0.1%
45		36	<div></div> 1.2%
47		2	0.1%
48		1	0.0%
49		2	0.1%
50		598	<div></div> 19.9%
51		2	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		43	<div></div> 1.4%
58		1	0.0%
60		349	<div></div> 11.6%
62		1	0.0%
65		80	<div></div> 2.7%
68		3	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		205	<div></div> 6.8%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
75		132	<div></div> 4.4%
79		1	0.0%
80		119	<div></div> 4.0%
81		1	0.0%
85		13	<div></div> 0.4%
90		41	<div></div> 1.4%
95		6	<div></div> 0.2%
98		3	0.1%
99		5	<div></div> 0.2%
100	Really Like	13	<div></div> 0.4%
998	D.K.	145	
999	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		779	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pesc2b: Rating> Federal Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3049 /-] [Invalid=900 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	On the same scale, how do you feel about...the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.		
Notes	Order of political party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	137	4.5%
1		6	0.2%
2		5	0.2%
3		5	0.2%
4		4	0.1%
5		32	1.0%
6		2	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
8		5	0.2%
9		3	0.1%
10		88	2.9%
11		2	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
15		18	0.6%
19		1	0.0%
20		111	3.6%
21		1	0.0%
22		1	0.0%
25		76	2.5%
28		2	0.1%
30		134	4.4%
32		2	0.1%
33		1	0.0%
35		20	0.7%
38		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		229	7.5%
42		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		30	1.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		3	0.1%
49		2	0.1%
50		588	19.3%
51		2	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
55		48	1.6%

# pesc2b: Rating> Federal Liberal Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
58		2	0.1%
60		376	12.3%
62		3	0.1%
65		83	2.7%
67		2	0.1%
70		295	9.7%
71		2	0.1%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
75		212	7.0%
76		1	0.0%
80		276	9.1%
82		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		55	1.8%
87		1	0.0%
90		93	3.1%
95		15	0.5%
98		4	0.1%
99		4	0.1%
100	Really Like	53	1.7%
998	D.K.	98	
999	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc2c: Rating> Federal New Democratic Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2926 /-] [Invalid=1023 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	On the same scale, how do you feel about...the FEDERAL N.D.P? The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.		
Notes	Order of political party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	285	9.7%
1		11	0.4%
2		20	0.7%
3		7	0.2%
4		3	0.1%
5		44	1.5%
6		2	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
8		3	0.1%
9		2	0.1%
10		170	5.8%

pesc2c: Rating> Federal New Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12		3	0.1%
15		29	1.0%
18		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		227	7.8%
22		1	0.0%
25		144	4.9%
27		1	0.0%
30		303	10.4%
31		1	0.0%
35		42	1.4%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		335	11.4%
42		2	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
45		33	1.1%
48		1	0.0%
49		2	0.1%
50		512	17.5%
51		1	0.0%
52		1	0.0%
55		28	1.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		238	8.1%
61		1	0.0%
65		59	2.0%
67		1	0.0%
68		3	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		138	4.7%
75		84	2.9%
76		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		88	3.0%
85		17	0.6%
90		38	1.3%
95		6	0.2%
96		1	0.0%
99		3	0.1%
100	Really Like	25	0.9%
998	D.K.	222	
999	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		779	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pesc2d: Rating> Reform Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2972 /-] [Invalid=977 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	On the same scale, how do you feel about... the Reform Party? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.		
Notes	Order of political party delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	451	15.2%
1		13	0.4%
2		18	0.6%
3		5	0.2%
4		6	0.2%
5		59	2.0%
6		3	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
8		3	0.1%
9		3	0.1%
10		207	7.0%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
15		25	0.8%
18		1	0.0%
20		252	8.5%
23		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		98	3.3%
28		1	0.0%
30		224	7.5%
32		2	0.1%
33		1	0.0%
35		34	1.1%
36		2	0.1%
38		1	0.0%
40		256	8.6%
42		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		48	1.6%
49		1	0.0%
50		387	13.0%
51		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		33	1.1%
56		1	0.0%
60		246	8.3%

# pesc2d: Rating> Reform Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
62		1	0.0%
65		43	1.4%
66		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		135	4.5%
75		110	3.7%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		133	4.5%
81		1	0.0%
85		22	0.7%
90		70	2.4%
95		10	0.3%
98		2	0.1%
99		7	0.2%
100	Really Like	42	1.4%
998	D.K.	176	
999	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc2e: Rating> Bloc Quebecois			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2939 /-] [Invalid=1010 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	On the same scale, how do you feel about... the BLOC Québécois? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike the party and 100 means you really like the party.		
Notes	Not included in randomization of political parties.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	1197	40.7%
1		29	1.0%
2		25	0.9%
3		7	0.2%
4		7	0.2%
5		85	2.9%
7		1	0.0%
8		2	0.1%
9		3	0.1%
10		278	9.5%
12		3	0.1%
15		25	0.9%
20		226	7.7%
22		2	0.1%
25		92	3.1%

# pesc2e: Rating> Bloc Quebecois			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		184	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
33		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
35		16	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
36		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
37		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
40		146	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
41		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
42		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
44		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
45		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
50		233	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
51		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
55		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
57		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
59		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
60		100	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
61		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
62		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
65		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
70		72	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
71		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
75		44	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
80		57	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
81		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
85		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
90		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
91		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
95		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
100	Really Like	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
998	D.K.	205	
999	Refused	25	
Sysmiss		780	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc2f: Rating> Politicians In General			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3032 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And on the same scale...POLITICIANS IN GENERAL? The scale runs from 0 to 100, 0 means you really dislike them and 100 means you really like them.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	197	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
1		19	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%

pesc2f: Rating> Politicians In General

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		21	0.7%
3		9	0.3%
4		6	0.2%
5		49	1.6%
6		5	0.2%
7		3	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		164	5.4%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
15		26	0.9%
20		193	6.4%
22		2	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
25		151	5.0%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		213	7.0%
33		7	0.2%
34		1	0.0%
35		43	1.4%
39		2	0.1%
40		296	9.8%
42		1	0.0%
45		31	1.0%
49		7	0.2%
50		763	25.2%
51		2	0.1%
52		2	0.1%
55		44	1.5%
59		3	0.1%
60		313	10.3%
61		1	0.0%
65		87	2.9%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
70		148	4.9%
75		107	3.5%
77		1	0.0%
80		59	1.9%
85		8	0.3%
89		1	0.0%
90		12	0.4%

# pesc2f: Rating> Politicians In General			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95		3	0.1%
98		2	0.1%
99		3	0.1%
100	Really Like	16	0.5%
998	D.K.	117	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc3a: Rating> Conservative Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2363 /-] [Invalid=1586 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know anything about candidates in riding" for #c3b, #c3c, #c3d, #c3e, if previously asked.		
Pre-question	Now the local candidates in your riding. 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate. If you don't have an opinion, feel free to say so.		
Literal question	How do you feel about...the CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about candidates in riding", go to #c4.		
Notes	Delivery of candidates in local riding is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	159	6.7%
1		5	0.2%
2		7	0.3%
3		3	0.1%
5		24	1.0%
6		3	0.1%
8		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		86	3.6%
12		3	0.1%
15		13	0.6%
20		145	6.1%
25		47	2.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		145	6.1%
35		25	1.1%
40		179	7.6%
45		18	0.8%
47		1	0.0%
50		286	12.1%
51		1	0.0%
52		2	0.1%
53		1	0.0%
55		27	1.1%
57		1	0.0%

pesc3a: Rating> Conservative Candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60		164	6.9%
65		33	1.4%
70		97	4.1%
72		1	0.0%
75		55	2.3%
80		59	2.5%
85		11	0.5%
90		32	1.4%
95		9	0.4%
97		2	0.1%
98		1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
100	Really Like	23	1.0%
995	No Candidate	0	
996	DK AnythingAbout	188	8.0%
997	DK WhoIs	503	21.3%
998	DK HowTo Rate	294	
999	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		1269	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc3b: Rating> Liberal Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2501 /-] [Invalid=1448 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know anything about candidates in riding" for #c3a, #c3c, #c3d, #c3e, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about...the LIBERAL CANDIDATE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about candidates in riding", go to #c4.
Notes	Delivery of candidates in local riding is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	159	6.4%
1		5	0.2%
2		9	0.4%
3		2	0.1%
4		6	0.2%
5		30	1.2%
6		3	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		90	3.6%
11		1	0.0%
12		2	0.1%
15		10	0.4%
19		1	0.0%

# pesc3b: Rating> Liberal Candidate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		101	4.0%
22		1	0.0%
25		65	2.6%
29		1	0.0%
30		138	5.5%
32		1	0.0%
35		24	1.0%
40		177	7.1%
45		18	0.7%
49		1	0.0%
50		345	13.8%
54		2	0.1%
55		27	1.1%
60		201	8.0%
65		55	2.2%
69		1	0.0%
70		167	6.7%
72		3	0.1%
75		133	5.3%
77		1	0.0%
80		150	6.0%
85		28	1.1%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		64	2.6%
95		17	0.7%
98		2	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	Really Like	51	2.0%
995	No Candidate	0	
996	DK AnythingAbout	161	6.4%
997	DK WhoIs	242	9.7%
998	DK HowTo Rate	158	
999	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		1273	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc3c: Rating> NDP Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2337 /-] [Invalid=1612 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know anything about candidates in riding" for #c3a, #c3b, #c3d, #c3e, if previously asked.		
Literal question	How do you feel about... the NDP CANDIDATE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about candidates in riding", go to #c4.		
Notes	Delivery of candidates in local riding is randomized.		

pesc3c: Rating> NDP Candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	219	9.4%
1		4	0.2%
2		5	0.2%
3		4	0.2%
4		1	0.0%
5		33	1.4%
6		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
10		106	4.5%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		17	0.7%
18		1	0.0%
20		124	5.3%
25		44	1.9%
30		118	5.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		21	0.9%
40		130	5.6%
45		22	0.9%
50		204	8.7%
51		2	0.1%
52		2	0.1%
55		14	0.6%
60		127	5.4%
65		20	0.9%
70		89	3.8%
75		51	2.2%
80		62	2.7%
85		12	0.5%
90		27	1.2%
95		6	0.3%
97		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		3	0.1%
100	Really Like	24	1.0%
995	No Candidate	0	
996	DK AnythingAbout	175	7.5%
997	DK WhoIs	663	28.4%
998	DK HowTo Rate	301	
999	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		1291	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc3d: Rating> Reform Candidate

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]

# pesc3d: Rating> Reform Candidate			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1854 /-] [Invalid=2095 /-]	
Universe		Asked onlt to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to candidates who did not state that they "don't know anything about candidates in riding" for #c3a, #c3b, #c3c, #c3e, if previously asked.	
Literal question		How do you feel about...the REFORM CANDIDATE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate	
Post-question		If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about candidates in riding", go to #c4.	
Notes		Delivery of candidates in local riding is randomized.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	191	<div></div> 10.3%
1		4	<div></div> 0.2%
2		7	<div></div> 0.4%
3		1	<div></div> 0.1%
4		3	<div></div> 0.2%
5		23	<div></div> 1.2%
6		1	<div></div> 0.1%
10		97	<div></div> 5.2%
12		2	<div></div> 0.1%
15		11	<div></div> 0.6%
20		76	<div></div> 4.1%
25		22	<div></div> 1.2%
30		89	<div></div> 4.8%
34		1	<div></div> 0.1%
35		16	<div></div> 0.9%
40		100	<div></div> 5.4%
42		1	<div></div> 0.1%
45		11	<div></div> 0.6%
50		185	<div></div> 10.0%
52		1	<div></div> 0.1%
55		8	<div></div> 0.4%
60		112	<div></div> 6.0%
65		36	<div></div> 1.9%
67		1	<div></div> 0.1%
70		95	<div></div> 5.1%
75		63	<div></div> 3.4%
78		1	<div></div> 0.1%
80		82	<div></div> 4.4%
85		13	<div></div> 0.7%
90		44	<div></div> 2.4%
92		1	<div></div> 0.1%
95		16	<div></div> 0.9%
97		1	<div></div> 0.1%
99		1	<div></div> 0.1%
100	Really Like	42	<div></div> 2.3%
995	No Candidate	65	<div></div> 3.5%
996	DK AnythingAbout	122	<div></div> 6.6%
997	DK WhoIs	309	<div></div> 16.7%

# pesc3d: Rating> Reform Candidate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	DK HowTo Rate	234	
999	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		1842	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc3e: Rating> Bloc Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=568 /-] [Invalid=3381 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to candidates who did not state that they "don't know anything about candidates in riding" for #c3a, #c3b, #c3c, #c3d, if previously asked.		
Literal question	How do you feel about ...the BLOC Québécois CANDIDATE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the candidate and 100 means you really LIKE the candidate.		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about candidates in riding", go to #c4.		
Notes	Delivery of candidates in local riding is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	64	<div></div> 11.3%
1		1	<div></div> 0.2%
2		1	<div></div> 0.2%
3		1	<div></div> 0.2%
5		2	<div></div> 0.4%
7		1	<div></div> 0.2%
10		9	<div></div> 1.6%
15		6	<div></div> 1.1%
20		14	<div></div> 2.5%
25		14	<div></div> 2.5%
30		28	<div></div> 4.9%
32		1	<div></div> 0.2%
35		8	<div></div> 1.4%
40		26	<div></div> 4.6%
45		3	<div></div> 0.5%
50		49	<div></div> 8.6%
55		6	<div></div> 1.1%
60		44	<div></div> 7.7%
65		11	<div></div> 1.9%
70		41	<div></div> 7.2%
75		23	<div></div> 4.0%
80		27	<div></div> 4.8%
85		4	<div></div> 0.7%
90		10	<div></div> 1.8%
95		2	<div></div> 0.4%
100	Really Like	11	<div></div> 1.9%
995	No Candidate	0	
996	DK AnythingAbout	82	<div></div> 14.4%
997	DK WhoIs	79	<div></div> 13.9%
998	DK HowTo Rate	21	

# pesc3e: Rating> Bloc Candidate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
999	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3360	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc4: Rating> Paul Martin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2904 /-] [Invalid=1045 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Using the same scale, we are going to ask about some political figures.		
Literal question	First, PAUL MARTIN? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	95	<div></div> 3.3%
1		7	<div></div> 0.2%
2		5	<div></div> 0.2%
3		2	<div></div> 0.1%
5		24	<div></div> 0.8%
6		1	<div></div> 0.0%
7		1	<div></div> 0.0%
8		3	<div></div> 0.1%
10		62	<div></div> 2.1%
15		13	<div></div> 0.4%
20		91	<div></div> 3.1%
25		62	<div></div> 2.1%
30		112	<div></div> 3.9%
32		1	<div></div> 0.0%
33		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		22	<div></div> 0.8%
37		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		185	<div></div> 6.4%
45		16	<div></div> 0.6%
49		2	<div></div> 0.1%
50		427	<div></div> 14.7%
55		34	<div></div> 1.2%
58		1	<div></div> 0.0%
59		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		292	<div></div> 10.1%
65		89	<div></div> 3.1%
67		2	<div></div> 0.1%
68		1	<div></div> 0.0%
69		1	<div></div> 0.0%
70		242	<div></div> 8.3%
72		1	<div></div> 0.0%
75		185	<div></div> 6.4%
78		1	<div></div> 0.0%

# pesc4: Rating> Paul Martin			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80		228	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
81		1	0.0%
82		1	0.0%
85		51	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		113	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
95		18	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
97		2	0.1%
98		1	0.0%
99		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
100	Really Like	47	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
997	DK WhoIs	449	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
998	DK HowTo Rate	245	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc5: Rating> Provincial Premier			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3057 /-] [Invalid=892 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about [respondent's Provincial Premier]? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	316	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
1		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2		19	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
3		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5		51	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
7		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		125	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
11		1	0.0%
12		2	0.1%
14		1	0.0%
15		24	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
16		1	0.0%
20		142	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		89	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
30		143	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%

# pesc5: Rating> Provincial Premier			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35		26	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
37		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
40		189	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
42		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
45		31	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
46		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
48		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
49		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
50		372	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
52		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
55		38	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
59		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
60		246	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
65		82	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
70		244	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
72		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
73		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
75		178	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
77		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
78		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
79		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
80		228	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
82		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
85		74	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
88		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
89		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
90		151	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
91		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
92		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
95		37	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
96		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
98		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
99		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
100	Really Like	73	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
997	DK WhoIs	117	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
998	DK HowTo Rate	93	
999	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesc6: Rating> Pierre Elliott Trudeau			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2966 /-] [Invalid=983 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about...PIERRE ELLIOTT TRUDEAU? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE him and 100 means you really LIKE him		

# pesc6: Rating> Pierre Elliott Trudeau			
Interviewer's instructions		INTERVIEWER: If asked, Pierre Elliott Trudeau is Pierre Trudeau.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	322	<div></div> 10.9%
1		9	<div></div> 0.3%
2		16	<div></div> 0.5%
3		1	<div></div> 0.0%
4		4	<div></div> 0.1%
5		33	<div></div> 1.1%
6		1	<div></div> 0.0%
7		2	<div></div> 0.1%
8		3	<div></div> 0.1%
9		2	<div></div> 0.1%
10		122	<div></div> 4.1%
12		2	<div></div> 0.1%
14		1	<div></div> 0.0%
15		23	<div></div> 0.8%
18		2	<div></div> 0.1%
20		117	<div></div> 3.9%
25		73	<div></div> 2.5%
30		139	<div></div> 4.7%
31		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		23	<div></div> 0.8%
40		203	<div></div> 6.8%
43		1	<div></div> 0.0%
45		23	<div></div> 0.8%
47		1	<div></div> 0.0%
49		3	<div></div> 0.1%
50		370	<div></div> 12.5%
51		1	<div></div> 0.0%
52		2	<div></div> 0.1%
55		28	<div></div> 0.9%
59		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		263	<div></div> 8.9%
62		1	<div></div> 0.0%
63		2	<div></div> 0.1%
65		70	<div></div> 2.4%
67		1	<div></div> 0.0%
70		231	<div></div> 7.8%
71		1	<div></div> 0.0%
72		1	<div></div> 0.0%
73		1	<div></div> 0.0%
75		185	<div></div> 6.2%
76		1	<div></div> 0.0%
78		2	<div></div> 0.1%
80		247	<div></div> 8.3%
85		49	<div></div> 1.7%

# pesc6: Rating> Pierre Elliott Trudeau			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		157	<div></div> 5.3%
95		34	<div></div> 1.1%
98		4	<div></div> 0.1%
99		6	<div></div> 0.2%
100	Really Like	110	<div></div> 3.7%
997	DK WhoIs	68	<div></div> 2.3%
998	DK HowTo Rate	182	
999	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese6a: Cut Spending> Defence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3067 /-] [Invalid=882 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	If you HAD TO make cuts, would you cut spending in the following areas A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?		
Literal question	Would you cut DEFENCE spending A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	Order of policy delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	819	<div></div> 26.7%
3	Some	1513	<div></div> 49.3%
5	NotAtAll	735	<div></div> 24.0%
8	D.K.	97	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese6b: Cut Spending> Welfare			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3081 /-] [Invalid=868 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Welfare? Would you cut WELFARE spending A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	Order of policy delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	498	<div></div> 16.2%
3	Some	1433	<div></div> 46.5%
5	NotAtAll	1150	<div></div> 37.3%
8	D.K.	78	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pese6c: Cut Spending> Pensions/Old Age Security			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3128 /-] [Invalid=821 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Pensions and Old Age Security? Would you cut PENSIONS A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	Order of policy delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	64	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
3	Some	498	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
5	NotAtAll	2566	<div><div></div></div> 82.0%
8	D.K.	32	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese6d: Cut Spending> Health Care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3142 /-] [Invalid=807 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Health Care? you cut HEALTH CARE A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	Order of policy delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	71	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
3	Some	507	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
5	NotAtAll	2564	<div><div></div></div> 81.6%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese6e: Cut Spending> Unemployment Insurance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3070 /-] [Invalid=879 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Unemployment Insurance? Would you cut UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	Order of policy delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	205	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
3	Some	1386	<div><div></div></div> 45.1%
5	NotAtAll	1479	<div><div></div></div> 48.2%
8	D.K.	91	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pese6f: Cut Spending> Education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3132 /-] [Invalid=817 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Education? Would you cut EDUCATION A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	Order of policy delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	66	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
3	Some	555	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
5	NotAtAll	2511	<div><div></div></div> 80.2%
8	D.K.	30	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese6g: Cut Spending> Aid Developing Countries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3068 /-] [Invalid=881 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Aid to developing countries? Would you cut AID TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Developing means poor.		
Notes	Order of policy delivery is randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	902	<div><div></div></div> 29.4%
3	Some	1652	<div><div></div></div> 53.8%
5	NotAtAll	514	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
8	D.K.	92	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese3: How Much Power You Think Unions Should Have			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3062 /-] [Invalid=887 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much power do you think UNIONS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MuchMore	115	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2	Somewhat More	278	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3	AboutTheSame	1173	<div><div></div></div> 38.3%
4	Somewhat Less	826	<div><div></div></div> 27.0%
5	MuchLess	670	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
8	D.K.	101	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		779	

# pese3: How Much Power You Think Unions Should Have			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese2: How Much Should Be Done for Business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3003 /-] [Invalid=946 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And how much do you think should be done for BUSINESS: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If Asked: we mean business in general.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MuchMore	459	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
2	Somewhat More	896	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
3	AboutTheSame	1052	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
4	Somewhat Less	416	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
5	MuchLess	180	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
8	D.K.	149	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese1: How Much Should Be Done for Women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3036 /-] [Invalid=913 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for WOMEN: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MuchMore	755	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
2	Somewhat More	1090	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
3	AboutTheSame	1029	<div><div></div></div> 33.9%
4	Somewhat Less	115	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
5	MuchLess	47	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
8	D.K.	122	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese4: Canada's Ties With the United States			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3072 /-] [Invalid=877 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think Canada's ties with the United States should be MUCH CLOSER, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Closer	300	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
2	Somewhat Closer	540	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
3	AboutTheSame	1700	<div><div></div></div> 55.3%

# pese4: Canada's Ties With the United States			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	More Distant	408	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
5	MuchMore Distant	124	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
8	D.K.	94	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese5a: Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1052 /-] [Invalid=2897 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Random Number 10 determines whether #e5a, #e5b, or #e5c is asked.		
Literal question	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: One: abortion should NEVER be permitted, Two: should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor, OR Three: should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE?		
Post-question	Go to #e7a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never Permitted	88	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
2	After Need	352	<div><div></div></div> 33.5%
3	Personal Choice	612	<div><div></div></div> 58.2%
8	D.K.	13	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		2873	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese5b: Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1024 /-] [Invalid=2925 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Random Number 10 determines whether #e5a, #e5b, or #e5c is asked.		
Literal question	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: One: Abortion should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor, Two: should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE, or Three: should NEVER be permitted?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	After Need	300	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
2	Personal Choice	622	<div><div></div></div> 60.7%
3	Never Permitted	102	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
8	D.K.	15	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		2895	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese5c: Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1012 /-] [Invalid=2937 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Random Number 10 determines whether #e5a, #e5b, or #e5c is asked.		
Literal question	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: One: Abortion should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE, Two: abortion should NEVER be permitted, or Three: should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor?		

# pese5c: Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Personal Choice	602	<div></div> 59.5%
2	Never Permitted	74	<div></div> 7.3%
3	After Need	336	<div></div> 33.2%
8	D.K.	16	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2909	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese7a: Opinion> Government Services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1459 /-] [Invalid=2490 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Random Number 11 determines whether #e7a or #e6b is asked.		
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: One: The government should not provide services to people who can pay for them out of their own pocket, or Two: We can only be sure everyone's needs are met if the government provides the same services to all?		
Post-question	Go to #e9a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not Provide	457	<div></div> 31.3%
2	Same To Everyone	1002	<div></div> 68.7%
8	D.K.	72	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2406	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese7b: Opinion> Government Services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1512 /-] [Invalid=2437 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Random Number 11 determines whether #e7a or #e6b is asked.		
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: One: We can only be sure everyone's needs are met if the government provides the same services to all, or Two: The government should not provide services to people who can pay for them out of their own pocket?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Same To Everyone	868	<div></div> 57.4%
2	Not Provide	644	<div></div> 42.6%
8	D.K.	101	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		2322	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese9a: Over the Last Five Years, the Quality of Education...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2935 /-] [Invalid=1014 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Over the last FIVE years, do you think that the quality of education in [province in which respondent resides] has got better, got worse, or stayed about the same?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "worse", go to #e9b. All others go to #e9c.		

# pese9a: Over the Last Five Years, the Quality of Education...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	271	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
3	Worse	1530	<div><div></div></div> 52.1%
5	AboutTheSame	1134	<div><div></div></div> 38.6%
8	D.K.	233	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese9b: Quality of Education is How Much Worse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1515 /-] [Invalid=2434 /-]		
Universe	Aseked only to respondents who answered "worse" for #e9a.		
Literal question	Is that much worse or a little worse?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much	666	<div><div></div></div> 44.0%
3	A Little	849	<div><div></div></div> 56.0%
8	D.K.	14	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2419	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese9c: Over the Last Five Years, the Quality of Health Care...			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3079 /-] [Invalid=870 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And what about health care? Over the last FIVE years, has the quality of health care in [province in which respondent resides] got better, got worse, or stayed about the same?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "worse", go to #e9d. All others go to #e9e.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	135	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
3	Worse	2159	<div><div></div></div> 70.1%
5	AboutTheSame	785	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
8	D.K.	90	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese9d: Quality of Health Care is How Much Worse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2143 /-] [Invalid=1806 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "worse" for #e9c.		
Literal question	Is that much worse or a little worse?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much	1191	<div><div></div></div> 55.6%
3	A Little	952	<div><div></div></div> 44.4%

# pese9d: Quality of Health Care is How Much Worse			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	18	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1788	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese9e: Level of Government Most Responsible for Cuts to Health Care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2810 /-] [Invalid=1139 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which level of government has been most responsible for the cuts to health care: the federal government or the provincial/ territorial government?		
Interviewer's instructions	Do not read response "both".		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal Gov	1053	<div><div></div></div> 37.5%
3	<Prov>Gov	1314	<div><div></div></div> 46.8%
5	Both	443	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
8	D.K.	354	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese10: Favourability To Quebec Sovereignty			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=746 /-] [Invalid=3203 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	Are you VERY FAVOURABLE, SOMEWHAT FAVOURABLE, SOMEWHAT OPPOSED, or VERY OPPOSED to Québec sovereignty, that is Québec is no longer a part of Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Favourable	166	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
3	Somewhat Favourable	167	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
5	Somewhat Opposed	125	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
7	Very Opposed	288	<div><div></div></div> 38.6%
8	D.K.	45	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		3148	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese11b: How Likely Will Canada Become Part Of U.S.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2267 /-] [Invalid=1682 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	If Québec seperates,how/ How likely is it that in the long-run Canada will become a part of the United States: VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY?		
Notes	Split-sample wording design, randomized wording.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Likely	201	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%

# pese11b: How Likely Will Canada Become Part Of U.S.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat Likely	392	<div></div> 17.3%
5	Somewhat Unlikely	480	<div></div> 21.2%
7	Very Unlikely	1194	<div></div> 52.7%
8	D.K.	93	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1580	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese10a: Standard of Living if Quebec Separates			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=982 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If Québec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "get better", go to #e10b. If respondent answers "get worse", go to #e10c. Otherwise, if respondent resides in the province of Quebec, go to #e10d. All others go to #e11d.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get Better	343	<div></div> 11.6%
3	Get Worse	960	<div></div> 32.4%
5	Stay The Same	1664	<div></div> 56.1%
8	D.K.	187	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese10b: Standard of Living How Much Better			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=336 /-] [Invalid=3613 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "get better" for #10a.		
Literal question	A LOT better or A LITTLE better?		
Post-question	If respondent resides in the province of Quebec, go to #e10d. All others go to #e11d.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	126	<div></div> 37.5%
3	A Little	210	<div></div> 62.5%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese10c: Standard of Living How Much Worse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=933 /-] [Invalid=3016 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "get worse" for #10a.		
Literal question	A LOT worse or A LITTLE worse?		
Post-question	If respondent resides in the province of Quebec, go to #e10d. All others go to #e11d.		

# pese10c: Standard of Living How Much Worse				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	A Lot	453	<div></div>	48.6%
3	A Little	480	<div></div>	51.4%
8	D.K.	27		
9	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		2989		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pese10d: Is the French Language Threatened in Quebec				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=3166 /-]			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.			
Literal question	In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Québec?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes,Threatened	368	<div></div>	47.0%
3	No,NotThreatened	415	<div></div>	53.0%
8	D.K.	18		
9	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		3148		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pese10e: If Quebec Separates, the Situation of French Language in Quebec Will....				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=766 /-] [Invalid=3183 /-]			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.			
Literal question	If Québec separates from Canada, do you think that the situation of French language in Québec will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?			
Post-question	If respondent answers "get better", go to #e10f. If respondent answers "get worse", go to #e10g. All others go to #e11d.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Get Better	245	<div></div>	32.0%
3	GetWorse	75	<div></div>	9.8%
5	Stay The Same	446	<div></div>	58.2%
8	D.K.	33		
9	Refused	2		
Sysmiss		3148		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# pese10f: Language Situation Will Be How Much Better				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=243 /-] [Invalid=3706 /-]			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec, and who answered "get better" for #e10e.			
Literal question	A LOT better or A LITTLE better?			
Post-question	Go to #e11d.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	A Lot	123	<div></div>	50.6%
3	A Little	120	<div></div>	49.4%

# pese10f: Language Situation Will Be How Much Better			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3704	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese10g: Language Situation Will Be How Much Worse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=75 /-] [Invalid=3874 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec, and who answered "get worse" for #e10e.		
Literal question	A LOT worse or A LITTLE worse?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Lot	51	68.0%
3	A Little	24	32.0%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3874	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese11d: If Quebec Separates, Will There Be A Close Economic Union Between Quebec and Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2936 /-] [Invalid=1013 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If Québec separates how likely is it that there will be a close economic union between Québec and Canada: VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Likely	709	24.1%
3	Somewhat Likely	1031	35.1%
5	SomewhatUnlikely	631	21.5%
7	Very Unlikely	565	19.2%
8	D.K.	214	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese12: Only Police & Military Should Be Allowed Have Guns			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3102 /-] [Invalid=847 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE with the following statements:		
Literal question	Only police officers and the military should be allowed to have guns. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	1101	35.5%
3	Somewhat Agree	571	18.4%
5	SomewhatDisagree	597	19.2%

# pese12: Only Police & Military Should Be Allowed Have Guns			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	StronglyDisagree	833	<div></div> 26.9%
8	D.K.	59	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese13: Capital Punishment Is Never Justified			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3000 /-] [Invalid=949 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Capital punishment is never justified, no matter what the crime. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	574	<div></div> 19.1%
3	Somewhat Agree	538	<div></div> 17.9%
5	SomewhatDisagree	786	<div></div> 26.2%
7	StronglyDisagree	1102	<div></div> 36.7%
8	D.K.	151	
9	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese15: Politicians Are Ready to Lie to Get Elected			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3084 /-] [Invalid=865 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Politicians are ready to lie to get elected. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	1644	<div></div> 53.3%
3	Somewhat Agree	1064	<div></div> 34.5%
5	SomewhatDisagree	254	<div></div> 8.2%
7	StronglyDisagree	122	<div></div> 4.0%
8	D.K.	72	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese16: Any Government That Accepts a High Level of Unemployment Deserves to be Defeated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2970 /-] [Invalid=979 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Any government that accepts a high level of unemployment deserves to be defeated. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	1171	<div></div> 39.4%

# pese16: Any Government That Accepts a High Level of Unemployment Deserves to be Defeated			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat Agree	964	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
5	SomewhatDisagree	645	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
7	StronglyDisagree	190	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8	D.K.	178	
9	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese18: Everyone Should Be Forced Retire At 65			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3130 /-] [Invalid=819 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Everyone should be forced to retire at age 65. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	649	<div><div></div></div> 20.7%
3	Somewhat Agree	463	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
5	SomewhatDisagree	869	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
7	StronglyDisagree	1149	<div><div></div></div> 36.7%
8	D.K.	30	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese28: Issues That Matter to Women Were Not Discussed in This Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2427 /-] [Invalid=1522 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The issues that really matter to women just never got discussed in this election. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	793	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
3	Somewhat Agree	1047	<div><div></div></div> 43.1%
5	SomewhatDisagree	426	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
7	StronglyDisagree	161	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
8	D.K.	720	
9	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese25: It Would Be a Good Thing If Canada & USA Become One Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3033 /-] [Invalid=916 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	It would be a good thing if Canada and the United States became one country. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		

# pese25: It Would Be a Good Thing If Canada & USA Become One Country			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	171	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
3	Somewhat Agree	226	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
5	SomewhatDisagree	412	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
7	StronglyDisagree	2224	<div><div></div></div> 73.3%
8	D.K.	127	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese19: People Who Do Not Get Ahead Should Blame Themselves, Not the System			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3003 /-] [Invalid=946 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People who don't get ahead should blame themselves, not the system. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	932	<div><div></div></div> 31.0%
3	Somewhat Agree	1096	<div><div></div></div> 36.5%
5	SomewhatDisagree	625	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
7	StronglyDisagree	350	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
8	D.K.	144	
9	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese20: When Businesses Make Money, Everyone Benefits			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3055 /-] [Invalid=894 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	When businesses make a lot of money, everyone benefits, including the poor. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	452	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
3	Somewhat Agree	704	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
5	SomewhatDisagree	937	<div><div></div></div> 30.7%
7	StronglyDisagree	962	<div><div></div></div> 31.5%
8	D.K.	106	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese21: Would Rather Trust Down-to-Earth People Than Experts			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2940 /-] [Invalid=1009 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# pese21: Would Rather Trust Down-to-Earth People Than Experts			
Literal question		I'd rather put my trust in the down-to-earth thinking of ordinary people than in experts. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	788	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
3	Somewhat Agree	1125	<div><div></div></div> 38.3%
5	SomewhatDisagree	789	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
7	StronglyDisagree	238	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
8	D.K.	195	
9	Refused	35	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese22: Which Party Promised to Lower Personal Tax 10 %			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3168 /-] [Invalid=781 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Do you happen to remember which party promised to lower personal income taxes by TEN percent?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC Party Only	1234	<div><div></div></div> 39.0%
2	PC & Any Other	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
3	AnyOther Mention	642	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
7	No,DoNotRemember	764	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
8	D.K.	474	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese23: Which Party Promised to Cut Unemployment in Half			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3167 /-] [Invalid=782 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		And which party promised to cut unemployment in half by year 2001?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NDP Only	399	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
2	NDP&Any Other	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
3	AnyOther Mention	1340	<div><div></div></div> 42.3%
7	No,DoNotRemember	792	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
8	D.K.	600	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese29: Which Party Said All Provinces Should be Treated Equally			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3165 /-] [Invalid=784 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	

# pese29: Which Party Said All Provinces Should be Treated Equally			
Literal question		And do you remember which party said all provinces should be treated equally?	
Post-question		If respondent mentions "Reform Party" in their response, go to #e30. All others go to #e24.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reform Only	1439	<div><div></div></div> 45.5%
2	Reform &AnyOther	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
3	AnyOther Mention	518	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
7	No,DoNotRemember	672	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
8	D.K.	523	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese30: What Was Meant By Provinces Being Treated Equally			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1450 /-] [Invalid=2499 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		What do you think they meant by that?	
Interviewer's instructions		INTERVIEWER: Probe for details.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All People Equal	171	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
2	All Prov Equal	342	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
3	N.Unity (Vague)	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
4	Decentralization	30	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
5	Talking AboutQue	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
6	Negative Quebec	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
7	NoSpecialStatusQ	422	<div><div></div></div> 29.1%
8	NoDistinctQuebec	180	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
9	CampaignRhetoric	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
96	OtherNotCodeable	136	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
98	D.K.	111	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
99	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2497	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese24: Which Party Was Against Recognizing Quebec as a Distinct Society			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3164 /-] [Invalid=785 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		And which party was against recognizing Québec as a distinct society?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reform Only	1865	<div><div></div></div> 58.9%
2	Reform &AnyOther	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
3	AnyOther Mention	481	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
7	No,DoNotRemember	364	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
8	D.K.	418	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%

# pes24: Which Party Was Against Recognizing Quebec as a Distinct Society			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf1: Rating> How Do You Feel About Big Business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3042 /-] [Invalid=907 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now a few questions about groups using the 0 to 100 scale. 0 means you really DISLIKE the group and 100 means you really LIKE the group. You can use any number from 0 to 100.		
Literal question	How do you feel about...BIG BUSINESS? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	42	<div></div> 1.4%
1		5	<div></div> 0.2%
2		2	<div></div> 0.1%
3		3	<div></div> 0.1%
4		1	<div></div> 0.0%
5		20	<div></div> 0.7%
7		1	<div></div> 0.0%
8		4	<div></div> 0.1%
9		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		66	<div></div> 2.2%
12		1	<div></div> 0.0%
15		7	<div></div> 0.2%
20		85	<div></div> 2.8%
22		2	<div></div> 0.1%
25		56	<div></div> 1.8%
28		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30		105	<div></div> 3.5%
35		19	<div></div> 0.6%
37		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		211	<div></div> 6.9%
45		24	<div></div> 0.8%
48		1	<div></div> 0.0%
49		1	<div></div> 0.0%
50		747	<div></div> 24.6%
52		1	<div></div> 0.0%
54		1	<div></div> 0.0%
55		23	<div></div> 0.8%
59		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		438	<div></div> 14.4%
62		1	<div></div> 0.0%
65		75	<div></div> 2.5%
69		2	<div></div> 0.1%
70		297	<div></div> 9.8%

# pesf1: Rating> How Do You Feel About Big Business			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
75		247	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
80		318	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
85		32	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
90		84	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
95		11	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
98		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
100	Really Like	98	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
998	D.K.	108	
999	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf2: Rating> How Do You Feel About Unions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3110 /-] [Invalid=839 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about...UNIONS? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	203	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
1		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
3		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5		31	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
8		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
10		157	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
11		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
12		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
15		20	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
18		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
20		171	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
22		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
25		119	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
28		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
29		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
30		238	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
33		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
35		43	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
40		310	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
41		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%

# pesf2: Rating> How Do You Feel About Unions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45		49	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
47		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
50		746	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
51		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
52		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
55		30	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
60		332	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
62		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
65		63	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
66		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
68		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
70		179	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
73		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
75		134	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
80		132	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
85		22	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
90		38	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
95		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
98		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
100	Really Like	42	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
998	D.K.	50	
999	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf3: Rating> How Do You Feel About Feminists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3004 /-] [Invalid=945 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about...FEMINISTS? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	180	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
1		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2		16	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
3		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
4		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
5		41	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
6		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10		143	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
11		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
12		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%

# pesf3: Rating> How Do You Feel About Feminists			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15		16	0.5%
18		1	0.0%
20		196	6.5%
25		109	3.6%
30		251	8.4%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
34		1	0.0%
35		36	1.2%
38		1	0.0%
40		309	10.3%
45		33	1.1%
48		3	0.1%
49		2	0.1%
50		760	25.3%
52		1	0.0%
55		28	0.9%
60		277	9.2%
65		70	2.3%
70		158	5.3%
75		115	3.8%
80		106	3.5%
83		1	0.0%
85		8	0.3%
87		1	0.0%
90		43	1.4%
93		1	0.0%
95		5	0.2%
98		2	0.1%
99		2	0.1%
100	Really Like	63	2.1%
998	D.K.	146	
999	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf5: Rating> How Do You Feel About People On Welfare			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2950 /-] [Invalid=999 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about...PEOPLE ON WELFARE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	104	3.5%
1		6	0.2%

pesf5: Rating> How Do You Feel About People On Welfare

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		8	0.3%
3		2	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		21	0.7%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
8		5	0.2%
9		2	0.1%
10		103	3.5%
15		12	0.4%
19		1	0.0%
20		158	5.4%
25		103	3.5%
30		222	7.5%
33		2	0.1%
35		36	1.2%
36		1	0.0%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		309	10.5%
42		1	0.0%
45		36	1.2%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		1	0.0%
50		991	33.6%
52		1	0.0%
55		30	1.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		304	10.3%
62		1	0.0%
65		66	2.2%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
70		154	5.2%
75		90	3.1%
80		89	3.0%
85		9	0.3%
88		1	0.0%
90		28	0.9%
95		5	0.2%
98		2	0.1%
99		1	0.0%

# pesf5: Rating> How Do You Feel About People On Welfare			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Really Like	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
998	D.K.	181	
999	Refused	36	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf6: Rating>How Do You Feel About Aboriginal Peoples			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2994 /-] [Invalid=955 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about...ABORIGINAL PEOPLES? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If required, Aboriginal People are Indians, Inuit (Eskimo) and Metis.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	60	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
1		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
3		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
4		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
5		14	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
6		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10		60	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
12		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
15		19	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
18		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
20		71	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
24		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
25		66	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
30		132	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
32		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
33		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
35		21	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
40		193	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
45		17	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
48		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
49		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
50		737	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
55		25	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
60		346	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
65		78	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
68		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
69		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
70		310	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%

# pesf6: Rating>How Do You Feel About Aboriginal Peoples			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
75		192	<div></div> 6.4%
80		258	<div></div> 8.6%
82		1	0.0%
85		38	<div></div> 1.3%
87		1	0.0%
90		115	<div></div> 3.8%
95		19	<div></div> 0.6%
99		6	<div></div> 0.2%
100	Really Like	185	<div></div> 6.2%
998	D.K.	134	
999	Refused	39	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf7: Rating> How Do You Feel About The Police			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3113 /-] [Invalid=836 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about...THE POLICE? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Police in general.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	34	<div></div> 1.1%
1		2	0.1%
2		1	0.0%
3		2	0.1%
5		4	0.1%
6		1	0.0%
8		2	0.1%
10		24	<div></div> 0.8%
15		6	<div></div> 0.2%
20		42	<div></div> 1.3%
25		21	<div></div> 0.7%
30		63	<div></div> 2.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		8	<div></div> 0.3%
38		1	0.0%
40		94	<div></div> 3.0%
42		1	0.0%
45		16	<div></div> 0.5%
50		458	<div></div> 14.7%
51		2	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		21	<div></div> 0.7%
60		340	<div></div> 10.9%

# pesf7: Rating> How Do You Feel About The Police			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65		80	<div></div> 2.6%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
70		416	<div></div> 13.4%
71		1	0.0%
75		330	<div></div> 10.6%
76		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		506	<div></div> 16.3%
82		1	0.0%
85		73	<div></div> 2.3%
89		1	0.0%
90		236	<div></div> 7.6%
92		1	0.0%
95		45	<div></div> 1.4%
98		1	0.0%
99		8	0.3%
100	Really Like	264	<div></div> 8.5%
998	D.K.	38	
999	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf8: Rating> How Do You Feel About Racial Minorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2920 /-] [Invalid=1029 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about...RACIAL MINORITIES? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	59	<div></div> 2.0%
1		2	0.1%
2		5	0.2%
3		1	0.0%
4		1	0.0%
5		9	0.3%
6		1	0.0%
8		5	0.2%
9		2	0.1%
10		50	<div></div> 1.7%
12		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		11	0.4%
20		72	<div></div> 2.5%
25		50	<div></div> 1.7%









































# pesf8: Rating> How Do You Feel About Racial Minorities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28		1	0.0%
30		100	3.4%
35		17	0.6%
38		1	0.0%
40		152	5.2%
45		18	0.6%
50		750	25.7%
51		1	0.0%
55		27	0.9%
57		1	0.0%
60		310	10.6%
64		1	0.0%
65		57	2.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		314	10.8%
72		1	0.0%
75		203	7.0%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		293	10.0%
85		47	1.6%
90		143	4.9%
95		23	0.8%
97		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		5	0.2%
100	Really Like	180	6.2%
998	D.K.	192	
999	Refused	55	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf9: Rating>How Do You Feel About Babyboomers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2911 /-] [Invalid=1038 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about...the BABYBOOMER GENERATION? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: babyboomer generation means people in their 40's and early 50's.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	35	1.2%
1		1	0.0%
3		1	0.0%
4		1	0.0%
5		6	0.2%

# pesf9: Rating>How Do You Feel About Babyboomers			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		7	0.2%
9		1	0.0%
10		24	0.8%
12		1	0.0%
15		11	0.4%
20		29	1.0%
25		30	1.0%
30		58	2.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		14	0.5%
40		129	4.4%
45		26	0.9%
49		2	0.1%
50		670	23.0%
51		1	0.0%
55		30	1.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		349	12.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		81	2.8%
66		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		345	11.9%
72		3	0.1%
75		256	8.8%
80		345	11.9%
83		1	0.0%
85		56	1.9%
86		1	0.0%
90		121	4.2%
95		30	1.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		7	0.2%
100	Really Like	233	8.0%
998	D.K.	215	
999	Refused	41	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf10: Rating> How Do You Feel About Gays & Lesbians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2962 /-] [Invalid=987 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about...GAYS AND LESBIANS?		

pesf10: Rating> How Do You Feel About Gays & Lesbians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	334	<div></div> 11.3%
1		9	<div></div> 0.3%
2		12	<div></div> 0.4%
3		7	<div></div> 0.2%
5		44	<div></div> 1.5%
6		1	<div></div> 0.0%
8		6	<div></div> 0.2%
9		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		123	<div></div> 4.2%
15		15	<div></div> 0.5%
20		137	<div></div> 4.6%
25		74	<div></div> 2.5%
27		1	<div></div> 0.0%
28		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30		146	<div></div> 4.9%
35		20	<div></div> 0.7%
40		164	<div></div> 5.5%
45		11	<div></div> 0.4%
50		776	<div></div> 26.2%
52		1	<div></div> 0.0%
55		19	<div></div> 0.6%
59		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		196	<div></div> 6.6%
65		44	<div></div> 1.5%
70		233	<div></div> 7.9%
75		118	<div></div> 4.0%
78		1	<div></div> 0.0%
80		191	<div></div> 6.4%
85		32	<div></div> 1.1%
90		87	<div></div> 2.9%
95		14	<div></div> 0.5%
98		2	<div></div> 0.1%
99		4	<div></div> 0.1%
100	Really Like	137	<div></div> 4.6%
998	D.K.	153	
999	Refused	52	
Sysmiss		782	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pesf11a: Rating> How Do You Feel About Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3137 /-] [Invalid=812 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about CANADA? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE Canada and 100 means you really LIKE Canada.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	18	 0.6%
1		1	 0.0%
5		4	 0.1%
7		1	 0.0%
10		12	 0.4%
15		2	 0.1%
20		15	 0.5%
25		8	 0.3%
30		31	 1.0%
35		6	 0.2%
39		1	 0.0%
40		45	 1.4%
45		4	 0.1%
50		198	 6.3%
51		2	 0.1%
55		2	 0.1%
60		134	 4.3%
65		27	 0.9%
66		2	 0.1%
69		1	 0.0%
70		200	 6.4%
73		1	 0.0%
74		2	 0.1%
75		207	 6.6%
77		1	 0.0%
78		1	 0.0%
80		457	 14.6%
82		1	 0.0%
85		95	 3.0%
88		2	 0.1%
89		1	 0.0%
90		426	 13.6%
91		2	 0.1%
92		2	 0.1%
93		1	 0.0%
95		131	 4.2%
97		3	 0.1%
98		10	 0.3%
99		27	 0.9%
100	Really Like	1053	 33.6%

# pesf11a: Rating> How Do You Feel About Canada			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	D.K.	20	
999	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf11b: Rating> How Do You Feel About Your Province			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3140 /-] [Invalid=809 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about [province in which respondent resides]? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE [province] and 100 means you really LIKE [province].		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	11	0.4%
1		3	0.1%
3		1	0.0%
5		5	0.2%
7		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
9		2	0.1%
10		8	0.3%
15		2	0.1%
20		14	0.4%
25		6	0.2%
30		16	0.5%
35		2	0.1%
40		36	1.1%
45		8	0.3%
50		160	5.1%
51		1	0.0%
55		7	0.2%
60		158	5.0%
61		2	0.1%
64		1	0.0%
65		37	1.2%
68		1	0.0%
70		212	6.8%
72		2	0.1%
75		259	8.2%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		510	16.2%
81		1	0.0%
82		1	0.0%
85		111	3.5%
88		1	0.0%

# pesf11b: Rating> How Do You Feel About Your Province			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89		1	0.0%
90		452	<div></div> 14.4%
91		1	0.0%
92		4	0.1%
94		1	0.0%
95		154	<div></div> 4.9%
96		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%
98		9	0.3%
99		30	<div></div> 1.0%
100	Really Like	904	<div></div> 28.8%
998	D.K.	20	
999	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf12: Rating> How Do You Feel About Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2224 /-] [Invalid=1725 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	How do you feel about QUÉBEC? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE them and 100 means you really LIKE them.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	119	<div></div> 5.4%
1		6	<div></div> 0.3%
2		10	<div></div> 0.4%
4		1	0.0%
5		24	<div></div> 1.1%
7		1	0.0%
8		3	0.1%
10		112	<div></div> 5.0%
15		9	<div></div> 0.4%
20		105	<div></div> 4.7%
25		66	<div></div> 3.0%
30		109	<div></div> 4.9%
35		16	<div></div> 0.7%
40		163	<div></div> 7.3%
42		1	0.0%
45		22	<div></div> 1.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
50		426	<div></div> 19.2%
52		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		17	<div></div> 0.8%

# pesf12: Rating> How Do You Feel About Quebec			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60		158	<div></div> 7.1%
65		38	<div></div> 1.7%
67		2	<div></div> 0.1%
68		1	<div></div> 0.0%
70		166	<div></div> 7.5%
72		1	<div></div> 0.0%
75		126	<div></div> 5.7%
76		1	<div></div> 0.0%
80		180	<div></div> 8.1%
85		35	<div></div> 1.6%
86		1	<div></div> 0.0%
88		2	<div></div> 0.1%
90		91	<div></div> 4.1%
92		1	<div></div> 0.0%
93		1	<div></div> 0.0%
95		19	<div></div> 0.9%
98		2	<div></div> 0.1%
99		3	<div></div> 0.1%
100	Really Like	182	<div></div> 8.2%
998	D.K.	124	
999	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		1583	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf13: Rating> How Do You Feel About The United States			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3080 /-] [Invalid=869 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about...the UNITED STATES? On a scale from 0 to 100, where 0 means you really DISLIKE the US and 100 means you really LIKE the US.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really Dislike	73	<div></div> 2.4%
1		5	<div></div> 0.2%
2		4	<div></div> 0.1%
3		4	<div></div> 0.1%
5		16	<div></div> 0.5%
8		1	<div></div> 0.0%
9		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		61	<div></div> 2.0%
15		8	<div></div> 0.3%
18		1	<div></div> 0.0%
20		78	<div></div> 2.5%
25		63	<div></div> 2.0%
30		110	<div></div> 3.6%
32		1	<div></div> 0.0%

# pesf13: Rating> How Do You Feel About The United States			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
33		1	0.0%
35		17	0.6%
40		204	6.6%
43		2	0.1%
44		1	0.0%
45		32	1.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		1	0.0%
49		4	0.1%
50		791	25.7%
52		3	0.1%
55		37	1.2%
59		1	0.0%
60		384	12.5%
62		1	0.0%
65		81	2.6%
66		3	0.1%
68		1	0.0%
70		317	10.3%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
75		268	8.7%
77		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		240	7.8%
85		25	0.8%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		77	2.5%
95		17	0.6%
98		3	0.1%
99		3	0.1%
100	Really Like	131	4.3%
998	D.K.	75	
999	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		782	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf14a: Which Government Best Looks After the Interests of People Like You			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1466 /-] [Invalid=2483 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Based on Random Number 4, half of respondents are asked #f14a, the other half are asked #f14b.		
Literal question	In general, which government best looks after the interests of people like you: the FEDERAL government or the provincial/ territorial government?		
Post-question	Go to #h1.		

# pesf14a: Which Government Best Looks After the Interests of People Like You			
Interviewer's instructions		Do not read the option "not much difference".	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal Gov	467	<div></div> 31.9%
3	Provincial Gov	807	<div></div> 55.0%
5	Not Much Diff	192	<div></div> 13.1%
8	D.K.	115	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2360	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesf14b: Which Government Best Looks After the Interests of People Like You			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1518 /-] [Invalid=2431 /-]	
Universe		Split-sample design. Based on Random Number 4, half of respondents are asked #f14a, the other half are asked #f14b.	
Literal question		In general, which government best looks after the interests of people like you: the federal government, the provincial government, or is there not much difference?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal Gov	205	<div></div> 13.5%
3	Provincial Gov	360	<div></div> 23.7%
5	Not Much Diff	953	<div></div> 62.8%
8	D.K.	57	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh1: In Federal Politics Do You Usually Think of Youself As...			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1501 /-] [Invalid=2448 /-]	
Universe		Split-sample experiment. Half of random sample are asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4), the other half are asked Version 2(#h5-#h9).	
Literal question		In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec)/ Reform (all others), or none of these?	
Post-question		If respondent answers "none of these", or "don't know", go to #h3. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #h21. All others go to #h2.	
Notes		Section deleted June 5, 1997.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	8	<div></div> 0.5%
1	Liberal	508	<div></div> 33.8%
2	PC	210	<div></div> 14.0%
3	NDP	123	<div></div> 8.2%
4	Reform	184	<div></div> 12.3%
5	Bloc	116	<div></div> 7.7%
97	None Of These	352	<div></div> 23.5%
98	D.K.	37	
99	Refused	60	
Sysmiss		2351	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pesh2: How Strongly Do You Feel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1142 /-] [Invalid=2807 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample experiment. Half of random sample are asked Version 1(#h1-#h4), the other half are asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9). Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #h1.		
Literal question	How strongly [party selected for #h1] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?		
Post-question	Go to #h21.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Strongly	309	<div><div></div></div> 27.1%
3	Fairly Strongly	625	<div><div></div></div> 54.7%
5	NotVery Strongly	208	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2800	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh3: Are You a Little Closer to One Federal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=369 /-] [Invalid=3580 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample experiment. Half of random sample are asked Version 1(#h1-#h4), the other half are asked Version 2(#h5-#h9). Asked only to respondents who answered "none of these", or "don't know" for #h1.		
Literal question	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #h4. All others go to #h21.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	132	<div><div></div></div> 35.8%
5	No	237	<div><div></div></div> 64.2%
8	D.K.	17	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3560	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh4: Which Federal Party Are You Closer To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=128 /-] [Invalid=3821 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample experiment. Half of random sample are asked Version 1(#h1-#h4), the other half are asked Version 2(#h5-#h9). Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #h3.		
Literal question	Which party is that?		
Post-question	Go to #h21.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
1	Liberal	48	<div><div></div></div> 37.5%
2	PC	25	<div><div></div></div> 19.5%
3	NDP	18	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
4	Reform	28	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
5	Bloc	7	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
97	None	0	
98	D.K.	2	

# pesh4: Which Federal Party Are You Closer To			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3817	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh5: In Federal Politics Are You Close to Any Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1530 /-] [Invalid=2419 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample experiment. Half of random sample are asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4), the other half are asked Version 2(#h5-#h9).		
Literal question	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #h6. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #h21. All others go to #h8.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	592	<div><div></div></div> 38.7%
5	No	938	<div><div></div></div> 61.3%
8	D.K.	33	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2380	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh6: Which Federal Party Are You Close To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=576 /-] [Invalid=3373 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample experiment. Half of random sample are asked Version 1(#h1-#h4), the other half are asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9). Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #h5.		
Literal question	Which party is that?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #h21. All others go to #h7.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
1	Liberal	253	<div><div></div></div> 43.9%
2	PC	108	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
3	NDP	84	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
4	Reform	76	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
5	Bloc	51	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
97	None	0	
98	D.K.	1	
99	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		3358	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh7: How Close Do You Feel Close to This Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=574 /-] [Invalid=3375 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample experiment. Half of random sample are asked Version 1(#h1-#h4), the other half are asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9). Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #h6.		
Literal question	Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?		
Post-question	Go to #h21.		

# pesh7: How Close Do You Feel Close to This Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Close	158	<div><div></div></div> 27.5%
3	Somewhat Close	368	<div><div></div></div> 64.1%
5	Not Very Close	48	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3373	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh8: Do You Feel a Little Closer to One Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=952 /-] [Invalid=2997 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample experiment. Half of random sample are asked Version 1(#h1-#h4), the other half are asked Version 2(#h5-#h9). Asked only to respondents who answered "no", or "don't know" for #h5.		
Literal question	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #h9. All others go to #h21.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	409	<div><div></div></div> 43.0%
5	No	543	<div><div></div></div> 57.0%
8	D.K.	16	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2978	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh9: Which Party Do You Feel A Little Closer To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=400 /-] [Invalid=3549 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample experiment. Half of random sample are asked Version 1(#h1-#h4), the other half are asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9). Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #h8.		
Literal question	Which party is that?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
1	Liberal	150	<div><div></div></div> 37.5%
2	PC	72	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
3	NDP	43	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
4	Reform	102	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
5	Bloc	28	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
97	None	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
98	D.K.	3	
99	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		3538	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh21: Is There Any Federal Political Party That is Too Extreme			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2764 /-] [Invalid=1185 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# pesh21: Is There Any Federal Political Party That is Too Extreme			
Literal question		Is there any Federal political party that is just too extreme?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other/Combinations	86	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
1	Liberal	54	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2	PC	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
3	NDP	149	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
4	Reform	754	<div><div></div></div> 27.3%
5	Bloc	643	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
6	Bloc And Reform	175	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
7	Reform & Other	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	Bloc And Other	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
9	Reform & Bloc&Other	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
97	No	819	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
98	D.K.	370	
99	Refused	32	
Sysmiss		783	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# pesh10: In Provincial Politics, Do You Usually Think of Yourself As...			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1491 /-] [Invalid=2458 /-]	
Universe		Repeat of split-sample experiment from #h1-#h9. Respondents who were asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4) are asked #h10-#h13. Respondents who were asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9) are asked #h14-#h18.	
Literal question		In Territorial (if respondent resides in Yukon or the Northwest Territories)/Provincial (all others) politics, do you usually think of yourself as a: Liberal, Conservative, or NDP? (If respondent resides in the province of Newfoundland, Prince Edward Island, or Nova Scotia) Liberal, Conservative, NDP, or Confederation of Regions? (If respondent resides in the province of New Brunswick) Liberal, Parti Québécois, or Action Démocratique? (If respondent resides in the province of Quebec) Liberal, Conservative, or NDP? (If respondent resides in the province of Ontario, Manitoba, or Saskatchewan) Liberal, Conservative, NDP, or Social Credit? (If respondent resides in the province of Alberta) Liberal, Reform, Social Credit, or NDP? (If respondent resides in the province of British Columbia) Liberal, Yukon Party, or NDP? (If respondent resides in the territory of Yukon or Northwest Territories)	
Post-question		If respondent answers "none of these", or "don't know", go to #h12. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #h19. All others go to #h11.	
Notes		Parties provided vary according to province in which respondent resides.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
1	Liberal	444	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
2	PC	279	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
3	NDP	159	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
4	Social Credit	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
5	Parti Quebecois	160	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
6	Confederation	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
7	Yukon Party	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8	Reform (BC)	40	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
9	L'Action Democrat	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
97	None Of These	371	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
98	D.K.	54	

# pesh10: In Provincial Politics, Do You Usually Think of Yourself As...			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Refused	53	
Sysmiss		2351	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh11: How Strongly Do You Feel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1111 /-] [Invalid=2838 /-]		
Universe	Repeat of split-sample experiment from #h1-#h9. Respondents who were asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4) are asked #h10-#h13. Respondents who were asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9) are asked #h14-#h18. Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #h10.		
Literal question	How strongly [party selected in #h10] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?		
Post-question	Go to #h19.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Strongly	295	<div></div> 26.6%
3	Fairly Strongly	628	<div></div> 56.5%
5	NotVery Strongly	188	<div></div> 16.9%
8	D.K.	10	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2827	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh12: Are You a Little Closer to One Provincial Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=399 /-] [Invalid=3550 /-]		
Universe	Repeat of split-sample experiment from #h1-#h9. Respondents who were asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4) are asked #h10-#h13. Respondents who were asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9) are asked #h14-#h18. Asked only to respondents who answered "none of these", or "don't know" for #h11.		
Literal question	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the provincial parties than to the others?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #h13. All others go to #h19.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	110	<div></div> 27.6%
5	No	289	<div></div> 72.4%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3526	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh13: Which Provincial Party Are You Closer To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=107 /-] [Invalid=3842 /-]		
Universe	Repeat of split-sample experiment from #h1-#h9. Respondents who were asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4) are asked #h10-#h13. Respondents who were asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9) are asked #h14-#h18. Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #h12.		
Literal question	Which party is that?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	4	<div></div> 3.7%
1	Liberal	40	<div></div> 37.4%

# pesh13: Which Provincial Party Are You Closer To			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	PC	18	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
3	NDP	14	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
4	Social Credit	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
5	Parti Quebecois	14	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
6	Confederation	0	
7	Yukon Party	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
8	Reform (BC)	13	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
9	L'Action Democrat	0	
97	None Of These	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
98	D.K.	1	
99	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3839	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh14: In Provincial Politics Are You Close to Any Particular Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1536 /-] [Invalid=2413 /-]		
Universe	Repeat of split-sample experiment from #h1-#h9. Respondents who were asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4) are asked #h10-#h13. Respondents who were asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9) are asked #h14-#h18.		
Literal question	In provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #h15. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #h19. All others go to #h17.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	690	<div><div></div></div> 44.9%
5	No	846	<div><div></div></div> 55.1%
8	D.K.	27	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2381	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh15: Which Party Are You Close To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=678 /-] [Invalid=3271 /-]		
Universe	Repeat of split-sample experiment from #h1-#h9. Respondents who were asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4) are asked #h10-#h13. Respondents who were asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9) are asked #h14-#h18. Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #h14.		
Literal question	Which party is that?		
Post-question	If respondent specifies a party, go to #h16. All others go to #h19.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
1	Liberal	186	<div><div></div></div> 27.4%
2	PC	178	<div><div></div></div> 26.3%
3	NDP	121	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
4	Social Credit	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
5	Parti Quebecois	143	<div><div></div></div> 21.1%
6	Confederation	0	
7	Yukon Party	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%

# pesh15: Which Party Are You Close To			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Reform (BC)	34	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
9	L'Action Democrat	0	
97	None Of These	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	D.K.	1	
99	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		3259	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh16: How Close Do You Feel to This Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=675 /-] [Invalid=3274 /-]		
Universe	Repeat of split-sample experiment from #h1-#h9. Respondents who were asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4) are asked #h10-#h13. Respondents who were asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9) are asked #h14-#h18. Asked to respondents who identified a party for #h15.		
Literal question	Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?		
Post-question	Go to #h19.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Close	158	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
3	Somewhat Close	444	<div><div></div></div> 65.8%
5	Not Very Close	73	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3272	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh17: Do You Feel A Little Closer to One Political Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=847 /-] [Invalid=3102 /-]		
Universe	Repeat of split-sample experiment from #h1-#h9. Respondents who were asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4) are asked #h10-#h13. Respondents who were asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9) are asked #h14-#h18. Asked only to respondents who answered "no", or "don't know" for #h14.		
Literal question	Do you feel yourself a little closer to one of the political parties than the others?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #h18. All others go to #h19.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	250	<div><div></div></div> 29.5%
5	No	597	<div><div></div></div> 70.5%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3077	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh18: Which Party Do You Feel a Little Closer To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=245 /-] [Invalid=3704 /-]		
Universe	Repeat of split-sample experiment from #h1-#h9. Respondents who were asked Version 1 (#h1-#h4) are asked #h10-#h13. Respondents who were asked Version 2 (#h5-#h9) are asked #h14-#h18. Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #h17.		

# pesh18: Which Party Do You Feel a Little Closer To			
Literal question		Which party is that?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	5	2.0%
1	Liberal	101	41.2%
2	PC	61	24.9%
3	NDP	38	15.5%
4	Social Credit	2	0.8%
5	Parti Quebecois	16	6.5%
6	Confederation	0	
7	Yukon Party	0	
8	Reform (BC)	19	7.8%
9	L'Action Democrat	1	0.4%
97	None Of These	2	0.8%
98	D.K.	3	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3698	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh19: Did You Vote in the Last Provincial Election			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3117 /-] [Invalid=832 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Did you vote in the last [province in which respondent resides] election held in 19[year of last provincial election]?	
Post-question		If respondent answers "yes", go to #h20. All others go to #a5.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2595	83.3%
5	No	522	16.7%
8	D.K.	46	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		783	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesh20: Party Voted For in the Last Provincial Election			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2246 /-] [Invalid=1703 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who voted in their last provincial election, as indicated in #h19.	
Literal question		Which party did you vote for?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	50	2.2%
1	Liberal	788	35.1%
2	PC	551	24.5%
3	NDP	377	16.8%
4	Social Credit	15	0.7%
5	Parti Quebecois	329	14.6%
6	Confederation	2	0.1%
7	Yukon Party	11	0.5%

# pesh20: Party Voted For in the Last Provincial Election			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Reform (BC)	107	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
9	L'Action Democrat	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
96	Spoiled Ballot	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
97	Did Not Vote	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
98	D.K.	180	
99	Refused	170	
Sysmiss		1353	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa5: Is it Possible to Vote in the Federal Elections if Unable On Election Day			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2887 /-] [Invalid=1062 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In Federal elections, is it possible for people to vote if they can not get to the poll on ELECTION DAY?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #a5a. All others go to #i1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2620	<div><div></div></div> 90.8%
5	No	267	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
8	D.K.	277	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		783	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa5a: How to Vote in Federal Election if Unable On Day-1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2460 /-] [Invalid=1489 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #a5.		
Literal question	How would they do that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Record verbatim, UP TO three mentions.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Advance Polling	1745	<div><div></div></div> 70.9%
20	Proxy	132	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
30	Special VotePoll	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
41	Institution Poll	41	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
42	MilitaryOverseas	0	
44	Transported	136	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
55	MailPost	92	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
66	Phone	84	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
88	Other	198	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
97	Not Codeable	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
98	D.K.	169	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1319	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pesa5a2: How Vote Fed Election if Unable On Day-2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-98] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=372 /-] [Invalid=3577 /-]		
Universe	Entered only for respondents who provided a second mention for #a5a.		
Literal question	How would they do that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Record verbatim, UP TO three mentions.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Advance Polling	89	<div></div> 23.9%
20	Proxy	37	<div></div> 9.9%
30	Special VotePoll	12	<div></div> 3.2%
41	Institution Poll	26	<div></div> 7.0%
42	MilitaryOverseas	4	<div></div> 1.1%
44	Transported	61	<div></div> 16.4%
55	MailPost	60	<div></div> 16.1%
66	Phone	26	<div></div> 7.0%
88	Other	57	<div></div> 15.3%
98	D.K.	10	
Sysmiss		3567	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesa5a3: How Vote Fed Election if Unable On Day-3			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-98] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35 /-] [Invalid=3914 /-]		
Universe	Entered only for respondents who provided a third mention for #a5a.		
Literal question	How would they do that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Record verbatim, UP TO three mentions.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Advance Polling	5	<div></div> 14.3%
20	Proxy	4	<div></div> 11.4%
30	Special VotePoll	0	
41	Institution Poll	4	<div></div> 11.4%
42	MilitaryOverseas	0	
44	Transported	1	<div></div> 2.9%
55	MailPost	6	<div></div> 17.1%
66	Phone	4	<div></div> 11.4%
88	Other	11	<div></div> 31.4%
98	D.K.	1	
Sysmiss		3913	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesi1: How Many Hours a Day Do You Usually Watch TV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3131 /-] [Invalid=818 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How many hours a day do you usually watch TV?		
Post-question	If respondent provides a number, go to #i2. All others go to #i3.		

# pesi1: How Many Hours a Day Do You Usually Watch TV			
Interviewer's instructions		INTERVIEWER: On an average day in an average week.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None/LT HalfHour	416	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
1		701	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
2		897	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
3		577	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
4		276	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
5		130	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
6		70	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
7		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
8		24	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
9		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
10		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
12		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
13		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
14		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
15		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
16		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
18		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
20		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
21		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
23		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
98	D.K.	27	
99	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		789	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesi2: Do You Watch Canadian Stations			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2687 /-] [Invalid=1262 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who provided the number of hours of TV watched in a week for #1.	
Literal question		Do you watch Canadian stations all of the time, most of the time, some of the time or none of the time?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	AllOfThe Time	448	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
2	Most Of The Time	849	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
3	Some Of The Time	1325	<div><div></div></div> 49.3%
4	None Of The Time	65	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
8	D.K.	31	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1230	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesi3: How Many Hours a Day Do You Listen to the Radio			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3136 /-] [Invalid=813 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	

pesi3: How Many Hours a Day Do You Listen to the Radio

Literal question And how many hours a day do you listen to the radio?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Zero/LT HalfHour	736	23.5%
1		790	25.2%
2		548	17.5%
3		227	7.2%
4		178	5.7%
5		150	4.8%
6		130	4.1%
7		48	1.5%
8		180	5.7%
9		17	0.5%
10		68	2.2%
11		4	0.1%
12		33	1.1%
13		2	0.1%
14		4	0.1%
15		3	0.1%
16		2	0.1%
18		4	0.1%
20		2	0.1%
22		1	0.0%
24		9	0.3%
98	D.K.	29	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesi4: How Many Hours a Day Do You Read a Newspaper

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3155 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]

Universe All respondents

Literal question And how many days a week do you read a newspaper?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	406	12.9%
1		543	17.2%
2		399	12.6%
3		274	8.7%
4		143	4.5%
5		205	6.5%
6		238	7.5%
7		947	30.0%
8	D.K.	10	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pesb7: Did You See the English TV Debate Among Party Leaders			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3152 /-] [Invalid=797 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b, go to #e21a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1259	<div></div> 39.9%
5	No	1893	<div></div> 60.1%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb7a: Did You See All of the English TV Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1264 /-] [Invalid=2685 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who saw English TV debate among party leaders, as indicated in #b7.		
Literal question	Did you see ALL of the debate, MOST of the debate or just SOME of it?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b, go to #e21a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All	264	<div></div> 20.9%
3	Most	312	<div></div> 24.7%
5	Some	688	<div></div> 54.4%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2684	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb7b: Which Leader Did Best in the English Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1861 /-] [Invalid=2088 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	From what you heard/ In your opinion which leader did the BEST in that debate?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b, go to #e21a.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Reading counts as hearing.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	131	<div></div> 7.0%
1	Charest/PC	1139	<div></div> 61.2%
2	Chretien/Lib	327	<div></div> 17.6%
3	McDonough/NDP	77	<div></div> 4.1%
4	Duceppe/Bloc	12	<div></div> 0.6%
5	Manning/Reform	175	<div></div> 9.4%
8	D.K.	1280	
9	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		786	

# pesb7b: Which Leader Did Best in the English Debate			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb7c: Which Leader Did Worst in the English Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1611 /-] [Invalid=2338 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b.		
Literal question	And who did the WORST?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b, go to #e21a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	93	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
1	Charest/PC	77	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
2	Chretien/Lib	247	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
3	McDonough/NDP	257	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
4	Duceppe/Bloc	589	<div><div></div></div> 36.6%
5	Manning/Reform	348	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
8	D.K.	1528	
9	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		787	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb8: Did You See the First French TV Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3137 /-] [Invalid=812 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b.		
Literal question	Did you see the FIRST FRENCH TV debate (which took place on May 13)?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b, go to #e21a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	712	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
5	No	2425	<div><div></div></div> 77.3%
8	D.K.	24	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		786	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb8a: Did You See All of the First French TV Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=712 /-] [Invalid=3237 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b. Asked only to respondents who saw first French TV debate, as indicated in #b8.		
Literal question	Did you see ALL of the debate, MOST of the debate or just SOME of it?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b, go to #e21a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All	191	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
3	Most	158	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
5	Some	363	<div><div></div></div> 51.0%
8	D.K.	2	

# pesb8a: Did You See All of the First French TV Debate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3235	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb8b: Who Did the Best in the First French Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1183 /-] [Invalid=2766 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b.		
Literal question	From what you heard/ In your opinion which leader did the BEST in that debate?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b, go to #e21a.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Reading counts as hearing.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	116	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
1	Charest/PC	670	<div><div></div></div> 56.6%
2	Chretien/Lib	273	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
3	McDonough/NDP	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
4	Duceppe/Bloc	81	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
5	Manning/Reform	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
8	D.K.	1967	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb8c: Who Did the Worst in the First French Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1098 /-] [Invalid=2851 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b.		
Literal question	And who did the WORST?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b, go to #e21a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	74	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
1	Charest/PC	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
2	Chretien/Lib	100	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3	McDonough/NDP	165	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
4	Duceppe/Bloc	162	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
5	Manning/Reform	568	<div><div></div></div> 51.7%
8	D.K.	980	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1867	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb9: Did You See the Second French TV Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1780 /-] [Invalid=2169 /-]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b.		

# pesb9: Did You See the Second French TV Debate			
Literal question	Did you see the SECOND FRENCH TV debate (which took place on May 19 because the first one was interrupted)?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b, go to #e21a.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	281	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
5	No	1499	<div><div></div></div> 84.2%
8	D.K.	14	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2155	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb9b: Who Did the Best in the Second French Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=665 -/] [Invalid=3284 -/]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b.		
Literal question	From what you heard/ In your opinion which leader did the BEST in that debate?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b, go to #e21a.		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Reading counts as hearing.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	125	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
1	Charest/PC	304	<div><div></div></div> 45.7%
2	Chretien/Lib	150	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
3	McDonough/NDP	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
4	Duceppe/Bloc	62	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
5	Manning/Reform	15	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
8	D.K.	1121	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2157	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesb9c: Who Did the Worst in the Second French Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=608 -/] [Invalid=3341 -/]		
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer 3 or more questions from #b7-#b9b.		
Literal question	And who did the WORST?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	101	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
1	Charest/PC	22	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
2	Chretien/Lib	62	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
3	McDonough/NDP	81	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
4	Duceppe/Bloc	92	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
5	Manning/Reform	250	<div><div></div></div> 41.1%
8	D.K.	521	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2819	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pese21a: Chretien Betrayed Quebec in the Constitutional Negotiations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=653 /-] [Invalid=3296 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Pre-question	Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE with the following statements:		
Literal question	Jean Chretien betrayed Québec in the constitutional negotiations. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	184	<div><div></div></div> 28.2%
3	Somewhat Agree	206	<div><div></div></div> 31.5%
5	SomewhatDisagree	159	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
7	StronglyDisagree	104	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
8	D.K.	143	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		3148	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese21c: The Reform Party Only Speaks for the West			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2833 /-] [Invalid=1116 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The Reform Party only speaks for the West. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: The west means Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	836	<div><div></div></div> 29.5%
3	Somewhat Agree	944	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
5	SomewhatDisagree	635	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
7	StronglyDisagree	418	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
8	D.K.	322	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese21d: Charest Has Style, But Not Much to Say			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2739 /-] [Invalid=1210 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Jean Charest has lots of style, but he really doesn't have much to say. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	651	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
3	Somewhat Agree	1130	<div><div></div></div> 41.3%
5	SomewhatDisagree	663	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
7	StronglyDisagree	295	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
8	D.K.	410	

# pese21d: Charest Has Style, But Not Much to Say			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese21e: Manning is a Threat to Canadian Unity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2758 /-] [Invalid=1191 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Preston Manning is a threat to Canadian unity. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGRE		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	741	<div></div> 26.9%
3	Somewhat Agree	791	<div></div> 28.7%
5	SomewhatDisagree	634	<div></div> 23.0%
7	StronglyDisagree	592	<div></div> 21.5%
8	D.K.	386	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# pese21f: The NDP is Out of Touch With the Times			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=1885 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	The NDP is out of touch with the times. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	477	<div></div> 23.1%
3	Somewhat Agree	714	<div></div> 34.6%
5	SomewhatDisagree	577	<div></div> 28.0%
7	StronglyDisagree	296	<div></div> 14.3%
8	D.K.	286	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		1586	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese21g: The is No Reason to Have a Sovereignist Party in Ottawa			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=704 /-] [Invalid=3245 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	There is no reason to have a sovereignist party in Ottawa. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	197	<div></div> 28.0%
3	Somewhat Agree	162	<div></div> 23.0%
5	SomewhatDisagree	190	<div></div> 27.0%
7	StronglyDisagree	155	<div></div> 22.0%
8	D.K.	92	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		3149	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese21i: The Best to Defend Western Interests is to Elect Reform MP's			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=986 /-] [Invalid=2963 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the provinces of Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, and British Columbia.		
Literal question	The best way to defend Western interests is to elect Reform MP's. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: The West means Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	197	<div></div> 20.0%
3	Somewhat Agree	276	<div></div> 28.0%
5	SomewhatDisagree	245	<div></div> 24.8%
7	StronglyDisagree	268	<div></div> 27.2%
8	D.K.	104	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2854	

# pese21i: The Best to Defend Western Interests is to Elect Reform MP's			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese21j: Jean Charest Was a One Man Show			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=667 /-] [Invalid=3282 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	Jean Charest was a one man show. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	184	27.6%
3	Somewhat Agree	347	52.0%
5	SomewhatDisagree	108	16.2%
7	StronglyDisagree	28	4.2%
8	D.K.	131	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3149	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese21k: Jean Charest is Too Close to Brian Mulroney			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2433 /-] [Invalid=1516 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Jean Charest is too close to Brian Mulroney. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	689	28.3%
3	Somewhat Agree	816	33.5%
5	SomewhatDisagree	652	26.8%
7	StronglyDisagree	276	11.3%
8	D.K.	711	
9	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		786	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pese27: If Quebec Seperates, Canada Should Have a Close Economic Union with Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2148 /-] [Invalid=1801 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	If Québec decides to separate, Canada SHOULD have a close economic union with Québec. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	532	24.8%
3	Somewhat Agree	746	34.7%
5	SomewhatDisagree	382	17.8%
7	StronglyDisagree	488	22.7%
8	D.K.	195	
9	Refused	20	

# pese27: If Quebec Seperates, Canada Should Have a Close Economic Union with Quebec			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1586	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesi5a: Four Goals> Most Important			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1536 /-] [Invalid=2413 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #i5a-#i5c (fighting rising prices), the other half are asked #i5d-#i5f (fighting unemployment).		
Literal question	Here's a list of FOUR goals. Which goal is MOST important to you personally? ONE: Maintaining ORDER in the nation, TWO: Giving people more say in important government decisions, THREE: Fighting rising prices, FOUR: Protecting freedom of speech.		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to anwser, go to #age.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MaintainingOrder	355	<div></div> 23.1%
2	People More Say	602	<div></div> 39.2%
3	Fighting Rising\$	281	<div></div> 18.3%
4	Freedom OfSpeech	298	<div></div> 19.4%
8	D.K.	40	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2365	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesi5b: Four Goals> Next Most Important to You			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1522 /-] [Invalid=2427 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #i5a-#i5c (fighting rising prices), the other half are asked #i5d-#i5f (fighting unemployment). Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #i5a.		
Literal question	And, which is the SECOND MOST important to you, personally? Maintaining ORDER in the nation, giving people more say in important government decisions, fighting rising prices, protecting freedom of speech. (Omit goal selected in #i5a) Maintaining order in the nation, fighting rising prices, protecting freedom of speech? (If respondent answered "giving people more say in government decisions" for #i5a) Maintaining order in the nation, givin people more sau in government decisions, protecting freedom of speech? (If respondent answered "fighting rising prices" for #i5a)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MaintainingOrder	368	<div></div> 24.2%
2	People More Say	348	<div></div> 22.9%
3	Fighting Rising\$	349	<div></div> 22.9%
4	Freedom OfSpeech	457	<div></div> 30.0%
8	D.K.	13	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2413	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesi5c: Four Goals>Third Most Important to You			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1496 /-] [Invalid=2453 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #i5a-#i5c (fighting rising prices), the other half are asked #i5d-#i5f (fighting unemployment). Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #i5a.		

# pesi5c: Four Goals>Third Most Important to You			
Literal question		And which is the third most most important? Maintaining ORDER in the nation, giving people more say in important government decisions, fighting rising prices, protecting freedom of speech. (Omit goals selected in #i5a and #i5b)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MaintainingOrder	409	<div></div> 27.3%
2	People More Say	318	<div></div> 21.3%
3	Fighting Rising\$	416	<div></div> 27.8%
4	Freedom OfSpeech	353	<div></div> 23.6%
8	D.K.	35	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2413	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# pesi5d: Four Goals> Most Important			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1537 /-] [Invalid=2412 /-]	
Universe		Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #i5a-#i5c (fighting rising prices), the other half are asked #i5d-#i5f (fighting unemployment).	
Literal question		Here's a list of FOUR goals. Which goal is MOST important to you personally? ONE: Maintaining ORDER in the nation, TWO: Giving people more say in important government decisions, THREE: Fighting unemployment, FOUR: Protecting freedom of speech.	
Post-question		If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #age.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MaintainingOrder	247	<div></div> 16.1%
2	People More Say	400	<div></div> 26.0%
3	FightingUnemployment	655	<div></div> 42.6%
4	Freedom OfSpeech	235	<div></div> 15.3%
8	D.K.	35	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2370	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# pesi5e: Four Goals> Next Most Important to You			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1516 /-] [Invalid=2433 /-]	
Universe		Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #i5a-#i5c (fighting rising prices), the other half are asked #i5d-#i5f (fighting unemployment). Asked only to respondents who selected a goal for #i5d.	
Literal question		And, which is the SECOND MOST important to you, personally? Maintaining ORDER in the nation, giving people more say in important government decisions, fighting unemployment, protecting freedom of speech. (Omit goal selected in #i5d)	
Post-question		If respondent answers "don't know", of refuses to answer, go to #age.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MaintainingOrder	357	<div></div> 23.5%
2	People More Say	382	<div></div> 25.2%
3	FightingUnemployment	384	<div></div> 25.3%
4	Freedom OfSpeech	393	<div></div> 25.9%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2412	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# pesi5f: Four Goals> Least Most Important to You			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1493 /-] [Invalid=2456 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #i5a-#i5c (fighting rising prices), the other half are asked #i5d-#i5f (fighting unemployment). Asked only to respondents who selected a goal for #i5d and #i5e.		
Literal question	And which is the third most most important? Maintaining ORDER in the nation, giving people more say in important government decisions, fighting unemployment, protecting freedom of speech. (Omit goals selected in #i5e and #i5f)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MaintainingOrder	438	<div></div> 29.3%
2	People More Say	343	<div></div> 23.0%
3	FightingUnemployment	303	<div></div> 20.3%
4	Freedom OfSpeech	409	<div></div> 27.4%
8	D.K.	20	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2433	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesage: Respondent's Year of Birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1896-9999] [Missing=*/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3138 /-] [Invalid=811 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians, we need to get a little information about your background		
Literal question	First, in what year were you born?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1896		1	<div></div> 0.0%
1900		1	<div></div> 0.0%
1902		1	<div></div> 0.0%
1903		1	<div></div> 0.0%
1906		1	<div></div> 0.0%
1907		3	<div></div> 0.1%
1908		3	<div></div> 0.1%
1909		2	<div></div> 0.1%
1910		3	<div></div> 0.1%
1911		7	<div></div> 0.2%
1912		7	<div></div> 0.2%
1913		6	<div></div> 0.2%
1914		10	<div></div> 0.3%
1915		8	<div></div> 0.3%
1916		11	<div></div> 0.4%
1917		11	<div></div> 0.4%
1918		16	<div></div> 0.5%
1919		12	<div></div> 0.4%
1920		25	<div></div> 0.8%
1921		19	<div></div> 0.6%
1922		23	<div></div> 0.7%
1923		12	<div></div> 0.4%
1924		24	<div></div> 0.8%

# pesage: Respondent's Year of Birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1925		22	0.7%
1926		34	1.1%
1927		37	1.2%
1928		30	1.0%
1929		23	0.7%
1930		25	0.8%
1931		32	1.0%
1932		38	1.2%
1933		23	0.7%
1934		21	0.7%
1935		37	1.2%
1936		38	1.2%
1937		24	0.8%
1938		40	1.3%
1939		43	1.4%
1940		42	1.3%
1941		41	1.3%
1942		31	1.0%
1943		43	1.4%
1944		49	1.6%
1945		46	1.5%
1946		60	1.9%
1947		59	1.9%
1948		67	2.1%
1949		60	1.9%
1950		66	2.1%
1951		65	2.1%
1952		60	1.9%
1953		70	2.2%
1954		76	2.4%
1955		79	2.5%
1956		69	2.2%
1957		77	2.5%
1958		94	3.0%
1959		86	2.7%
1960		96	3.1%
1961		111	3.5%
1962		76	2.4%
1963		86	2.7%
1964		83	2.6%
1965		67	2.1%
1966		62	2.0%
1967		57	1.8%
1968		54	1.7%
1969		52	1.7%

# pesage: Respondent's Year of Birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1970		70	<div></div> 2.2%
1971		54	<div></div> 1.7%
1972		53	<div></div> 1.7%
1973		47	<div></div> 1.5%
1974		47	<div></div> 1.5%
1975		59	<div></div> 1.9%
1976		48	<div></div> 1.5%
1977		50	<div></div> 1.6%
1978		45	<div></div> 1.4%
1979		7	<div></div> 0.2%
9997	1979 Or Later	0	
9999	Refused	25	
Sysmiss		786	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesm10b: In Your Life, Importance of Religion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3144 /-] [Invalid=805 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In your life, would you say religion is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Important	989	<div></div> 31.5%
3	Somewhat	1241	<div></div> 39.5%
5	Not Very	571	<div></div> 18.2%
7	NotAtAll	343	<div></div> 10.9%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		786	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesm12: Respondent's Province/Territory of Birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2781 /-] [Invalid=1168 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In what Province or Territory were you born?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Nfld	98	<div></div> 3.5%
11	PEI	67	<div></div> 2.4%
12	NS	117	<div></div> 4.2%
13	NB	101	<div></div> 3.6%
14	Quebec	796	<div></div> 28.6%
15	Ontario	670	<div></div> 24.1%
16	Manitoba	196	<div></div> 7.0%
17	Sask	233	<div></div> 8.4%

# pesm12: Respondent's Province/Territory of Birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Alberta	291	<div></div> 10.5%
19	BC	183	<div></div> 6.6%
20	Yukon	11	<div></div> 0.4%
21	NWT	18	<div></div> 0.6%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1166	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pesm20: Number of Years Lived in Province/Territory			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=650 /-] [Invalid=3299 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	For how many years have you lived in [province/territory in which respondent resides]?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	LessThan OneYear	11	<div></div> 1.7%
1		12	<div></div> 1.8%
2		17	<div></div> 2.6%
3		19	<div></div> 2.9%
4		20	<div></div> 3.1%
5		24	<div></div> 3.7%
6		8	<div></div> 1.2%
7		28	<div></div> 4.3%
8		22	<div></div> 3.4%
9		17	<div></div> 2.6%
10		25	<div></div> 3.8%
11		11	<div></div> 1.7%
12		5	<div></div> 0.8%
13		10	<div></div> 1.5%
14		10	<div></div> 1.5%
15		22	<div></div> 3.4%
16		13	<div></div> 2.0%
17		15	<div></div> 2.3%
18		16	<div></div> 2.5%
19		10	<div></div> 1.5%
20		41	<div></div> 6.3%
21		8	<div></div> 1.2%
22		16	<div></div> 2.5%
23		9	<div></div> 1.4%
24		6	<div></div> 0.9%
25		22	<div></div> 3.4%
26		12	<div></div> 1.8%
27		21	<div></div> 3.2%
28		9	<div></div> 1.4%
29		6	<div></div> 0.9%

pesm20: Number of Years Lived in Province/Territory

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		26	4.0%
31		3	0.5%
32		10	1.5%
33		6	0.9%
34		3	0.5%
35		16	2.5%
36		4	0.6%
37		9	1.4%
38		4	0.6%
39		5	0.8%
40		14	2.2%
41		6	0.9%
42		3	0.5%
44		2	0.3%
45		5	0.8%
46		7	1.1%
47		9	1.4%
48		1	0.2%
49		3	0.5%
50		11	1.7%
51		3	0.5%
52		4	0.6%
53		3	0.5%
54		2	0.3%
55		3	0.5%
57		3	0.5%
58		1	0.2%
59		1	0.2%
60		2	0.3%
61		1	0.2%
64		2	0.3%
65		2	0.3%
69		2	0.3%
70		2	0.3%
72		3	0.5%
77		1	0.2%
78		1	0.2%
80		1	0.2%
83		1	0.2%
97	97+Years	0	
98	D.K.	4	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3292	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# mbsqlang: Language of Questionnaire				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1851 /-] [Invalid=2098 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	English	1441	<div></div>	77.8%
2	French	410	<div></div>	22.2%
Sysmiss		2098		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbsa1: We Have Gone Too Far in Pushing Equal Rights in This Country				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1701 /-] [Invalid=2248 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree. Please write the number that best reflects your opinion in the space at the right of each statement.		
Literal question		We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly Agree	218	<div></div>	12.8%
2	Agree	561	<div></div>	33.0%
3	Disagree	644	<div></div>	37.9%
4	StronglyDisagree	278	<div></div>	16.3%
8	Not Sure	128		
Sysmiss		2120		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbsa2: We Should be More Tolerant of People Who Choose to Live by Their Own Standards				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1764 /-] [Invalid=2185 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own standards, even if they are very different from our own.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly Agree	254	<div></div>	14.4%
2	Agree	933	<div></div>	52.9%
3	Disagree	458	<div></div>	26.0%
4	StronglyDisagree	119	<div></div>	6.7%
8	Not Sure	73		
Sysmiss		2112		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbsa3: Companies Should First Lay Off Women Whose Husbands Have Jobs				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1788 /-] [Invalid=2161 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		If a company has to lay off some of its employees, the first workers to be laid off should be women whose husbands have jobs.		













# mbsa3: Companies Should First Lay Off Women Whose Husbands Have Jobs			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	73	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
2	Agree	188	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
3	Disagree	598	<div><div></div></div> 33.4%
4	Strongly Disagree	929	<div><div></div></div> 52.0%
8	Not Sure	57	
Sysmiss		2104	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa4: The Government Must do More to Reduce the Income Gap Between Rich and Poor in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1789 /-] [Invalid=2160 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The government must do more to reduce the income gap between rich and poor Canadians.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	713	<div><div></div></div> 39.9%
2	Agree	650	<div><div></div></div> 36.3%
3	Disagree	303	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
4	Strongly Disagree	123	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
8	Not Sure	54	
Sysmiss		2106	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa5: Discrimination Makes it Extremely Difficult for Women to Get Jobs Equal to Their Abilities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1760 /-] [Invalid=2189 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Discrimination makes it extremely difficult for women to get jobs equal to their abilities.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	295	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
2	Agree	757	<div><div></div></div> 43.0%
3	Disagree	596	<div><div></div></div> 33.9%
4	Strongly Disagree	112	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8	Not Sure	83	
Sysmiss		2106	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa6: Protecting the Environment is More Important Than Creating Jobs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1616 /-] [Invalid=2333 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	136	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
2	Agree	583	<div><div></div></div> 36.1%
3	Disagree	719	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%

# mbsa6: Protecting the Environment is More Important Than Creating Jobs			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	StronglyDisagree	178	<div></div> 11.0%
8	Not Sure	213	
Sysmiss		2120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa7: Newer Lifestyles Are Contributing to the Breakdown of Our Society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1678 /-] [Invalid=2271 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	288	<div></div> 17.2%
2	Agree	646	<div></div> 38.5%
3	Disagree	563	<div></div> 33.6%
4	StronglyDisagree	181	<div></div> 10.8%
8	Not Sure	161	
Sysmiss		2110	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa8: We Should Adapt Our View of Moral Behaviour With the World's Changes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1769 /-] [Invalid=2180 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The world is always changing and we should adapt our view of moral behaviour to these changes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	178	<div></div> 10.1%
2	Agree	706	<div></div> 39.9%
3	Disagree	582	<div></div> 32.9%
4	StronglyDisagree	303	<div></div> 17.1%
8	Not Sure	69	
Sysmiss		2111	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa9: This Country Would Have Fewer Problems With More Emphasis on Traditional Family Values			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1764 /-] [Invalid=2185 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family values.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	576	<div></div> 32.7%
2	Agree	740	<div></div> 42.0%
3	Disagree	367	<div></div> 20.8%
4	StronglyDisagree	81	<div></div> 4.6%
8	Not Sure	75	
Sysmiss		2110	

# mbsa9: This Country Would Have Fewer Problems With More Emphasis on Traditional Family Values			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa10: It is Not a Big Problem if Some People Have More of a Chance in Life Than Others			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1721 /-] [Invalid=2228 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	88	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2	Agree	645	<div><div></div></div> 37.5%
3	Disagree	732	<div><div></div></div> 42.5%
4	StronglyDisagree	256	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
8	Not Sure	114	
Sysmiss		2114	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa11: We Should Look After Canadians Born in This Country and Others Second			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1775 /-] [Invalid=2174 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	We should look after Canadians born in this country first and others second.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	344	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
2	Agree	588	<div><div></div></div> 33.1%
3	Disagree	616	<div><div></div></div> 34.7%
4	StronglyDisagree	227	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
8	Not Sure	61	
Sysmiss		2113	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa12: If People Really Want Work, They Can Find a Job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1753 /-] [Invalid=2196 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If people really want work, they can find a job.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	334	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
2	Agree	855	<div><div></div></div> 48.8%
3	Disagree	444	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
4	StronglyDisagree	120	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
8	Not Sure	89	
Sysmiss		2107	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa13: Things Are Tough For Young Because of Babyboomers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1680 /-] [Invalid=2269 /-]		

# mbsa13: Things Are Tough For Young Because of Babyboomers			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Things are so tough for young people today because of the babyboom generation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	64	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2	Agree	405	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
3	Disagree	934	<div><div></div></div> 55.6%
4	StronglyDisagree	277	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
8	Not Sure	156	
Sysmiss		2113	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa14: Minority Groups Need Special Rights			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1741 /-] [Invalid=2208 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Minority groups need special rights.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	40	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
2	Agree	259	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
3	Disagree	840	<div><div></div></div> 48.2%
4	StronglyDisagree	602	<div><div></div></div> 34.6%
8	Not Sure	98	
Sysmiss		2110	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsa15: More Should be Done to Protect Canadian Business From Foreign Competition			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1712 /-] [Invalid=2237 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	More should be done to protect Canadian business from foreign competition.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	388	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
2	Agree	836	<div><div></div></div> 48.8%
3	Disagree	423	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
4	StronglyDisagree	65	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
8	Not Sure	124	
Sysmiss		2113	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsb1: Government Should>Standard Of Living:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1639 /-] [Invalid=2310 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	The government should: See to it that everyone has a decent standard of living, or leave people to get ahead on their own?		

# mbsb1: Government Should>Standard Of Living:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	See Everyone Has	1200	<div><div></div></div> 73.2%
2	GetAhead On Own	439	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
8	Not Sure	187	
Sysmiss		2123	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsb2: Government Should>Environment:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1396 /-] [Invalid=2553 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The government should: Do more to protect the environment, even if this means higher taxes, or keep taxes from going up, even if this means doing less for the environment?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Protect=High Tax	901	<div><div></div></div> 64.5%
2	SameTax,Do Less	495	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%
8	Not Sure	426	
Sysmiss		2127	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsb3: Workers And Management:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1619 /-] [Invalid=2330 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Workers and management: Have conflicting interests and are natural enemies, or share the same interests in the long run		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Natural Enemies	251	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
2	ShareSameInterests	1368	<div><div></div></div> 84.5%
8	Not Sure	214	
Sysmiss		2116	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsb4: When It Comes To Job Hiring:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1725 /-] [Invalid=2224 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	When it comes to job hiring: Quotas should be used to increase the number of women in good jobs, or hiring should be based strictly on merit?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	QuotasUpForWomen	143	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
2	Based On Merit	1582	<div><div></div></div> 91.7%
8	Not Sure	111	
Sysmiss		2113	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsb5: Which Comes Closer To Your View>People On Welfare			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		

# mbsb5: Which Comes Closer To Your View>People On Welfare			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1653 /-] [Invalid=2296 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which comes closest to your own view: People on welfare who are physically able should be required to work, or requiring people on welfare to work will end up taking jobs away from other people?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Able To ShldWork	1566	 94.7%
2	TakeJobsFromOthers	87	 5.3%
8	Not Sure	171	
Sysmiss		2125	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsb6: People In Government Waste Tax Money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1816 /-] [Invalid=2133 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think that people in the government: Waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, or don't waste very much of it?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Waste A Lot	1301	 71.6%
2	Waste Some Of It	486	 26.8%
3	NotWasteVeryMuch	29	 1.6%
8	Not Sure	25	
Sysmiss		2108	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc1a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Unions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1764 /-] [Invalid=2185 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 means "very little influence" and 7 means "very influential."		
Literal question	Unions		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	27	 1.5%
2		69	 3.9%
3		188	 10.7%
4		327	 18.5%
5		408	 23.1%
6		406	 23.0%
7	Very Influential	339	 19.2%
Sysmiss		2185	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc2a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Farmers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1733 /-] [Invalid=2216 /-]		

# mbsc2a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Farmers				
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question		Farmers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very Little	204	<div></div>	11.8%
2		445	<div></div>	25.7%
3		424	<div></div>	24.5%
4		325	<div></div>	18.8%
5		197	<div></div>	11.4%
6		76	<div></div>	4.4%
7	Very Influential	62	<div></div>	3.6%
Sysmiss		2216		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbsc3a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Big Business				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1749 /-] [Invalid=2200 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question		Big Business		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very Little	13	<div></div>	0.7%
2		13	<div></div>	0.7%
3		40	<div></div>	2.3%
4		98	<div></div>	5.6%
5		200	<div></div>	11.4%
6		527	<div></div>	30.1%
7	Very Influential	858	<div></div>	49.1%
Sysmiss		2200		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbsc4a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Media				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1757 /-] [Invalid=2192 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question		Media		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very Little	24	<div></div>	1.4%
2		15	<div></div>	0.9%
3		47	<div></div>	2.7%
4		103	<div></div>	5.9%
5		218	<div></div>	12.4%
6		495	<div></div>	28.2%
7	Very Influential	855	<div></div>	48.7%

# mbsc4a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Media			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2192	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc5a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Public Sector Workers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1716 /-] [Invalid=2233 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Public Sector Workers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	75	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2		167	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
3		283	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
4		415	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
5		386	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
6		243	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
7	Very Influential	147	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
Sysmiss		2233	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc6a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Banks			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1749 /-] [Invalid=2200 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Banks		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2		19	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
3		37	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
4		122	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
5		245	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
6		458	<div><div></div></div> 26.2%
7	Very Influential	854	<div><div></div></div> 48.8%
Sysmiss		2200	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc7a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Consumers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1743 /-] [Invalid=2206 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Consumers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	114	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%

# mbsc7a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Consumers			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		218	<div></div> 12.5%
3		292	<div></div> 16.8%
4		389	<div></div> 22.3%
5		327	<div></div> 18.8%
6		224	<div></div> 12.9%
7	Very Influential	179	<div></div> 10.3%
Sysmiss		2206	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc8a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Feminists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1725 /-] [Invalid=2224 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Feminists		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	159	<div></div> 9.2%
2		293	<div></div> 17.0%
3		404	<div></div> 23.4%
4		402	<div></div> 23.3%
5		264	<div></div> 15.3%
6		149	<div></div> 8.6%
7	Very Influential	54	<div></div> 3.1%
Sysmiss		2224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc9a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Aboriginal Peoples			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1741 /-] [Invalid=2208 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Aboriginal Peoples		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	295	<div></div> 16.9%
2		332	<div></div> 19.1%
3		303	<div></div> 17.4%
4		281	<div></div> 16.1%
5		241	<div></div> 13.8%
6		182	<div></div> 10.5%
7	Very Influential	107	<div></div> 6.1%
Sysmiss		2208	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc10a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Racial Minorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		

# mbsc10a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Racial Minorities			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1731 /-] [Invalid=2218 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Racial Minorities		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	267	<div></div> 15.4%
2		352	<div></div> 20.3%
3		339	<div></div> 19.6%
4		287	<div></div> 16.6%
5		244	<div></div> 14.1%
6		162	<div></div> 9.4%
7	Very Influential	80	<div></div> 4.6%
Sysmiss		2218	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc11a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> People On Welfare			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1729 /-] [Invalid=2220 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	People On Welfare		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	536	<div></div> 31.0%
2		355	<div></div> 20.5%
3		284	<div></div> 16.4%
4		216	<div></div> 12.5%
5		176	<div></div> 10.2%
6		95	<div></div> 5.5%
7	Very Influential	67	<div></div> 3.9%
Sysmiss		2220	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc12a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Small Business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1736 /-] [Invalid=2213 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Small Business		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	170	<div></div> 9.8%
2		406	<div></div> 23.4%
3		509	<div></div> 29.3%
4		414	<div></div> 23.8%
5		157	<div></div> 9.0%
6		59	<div></div> 3.4%

mbsc12a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Small Business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Very Influential	21	 1.2%
Sysmiss		2213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# mbsc13a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Senior Citizens			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1746 /-] [Invalid=2203 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Senior Citizens		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	306	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
2		424	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
3		370	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
4		343	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
5		179	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
6		80	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
7	Very Influential	44	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
Sysmiss		2203	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc14a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Gays And Lesbians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1722 /-] [Invalid=2227 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Gays and Lesbians		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	441	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
2		379	<div><div></div></div> 22.0%
3		300	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
4		250	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
5		188	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
6		104	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
7	Very Influential	60	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
Sysmiss		2227	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc15a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Babyboomers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=2245 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Babyboomers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	89	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
2		110	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
3		183	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
4		452	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
5		403	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%

# mbsc15a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Babyboomers			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		320	<div></div> 18.8%
7	Very Influential	147	<div></div> 8.6%
Sysmiss		2245	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc16a: Influence Group Has on Canadian Life> Environmentalists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1728 /-] [Invalid=2221 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they actually have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Environmentalists		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	112	<div></div> 6.5%
2		235	<div></div> 13.6%
3		346	<div></div> 20.0%
4		398	<div></div> 23.0%
5		348	<div></div> 20.1%
6		198	<div></div> 11.5%
7	Very Influential	91	<div></div> 5.3%
Sysmiss		2221	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc1b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Unions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1731 /-] [Invalid=2218 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Unions		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	133	<div></div> 7.7%
2		228	<div></div> 13.2%
3		394	<div></div> 22.8%
4		567	<div></div> 32.8%
5		224	<div></div> 12.9%
6		101	<div></div> 5.8%
7	Very Influential	84	<div></div> 4.9%
Sysmiss		2218	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc2b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Farmers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1716 /-] [Invalid=2233 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Farmers		

mbasc2b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Farmers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	33	1.9%
2		82	4.8%
3		226	13.2%
4		537	31.3%
5		422	24.6%
6		266	15.5%
7	Very Influential	150	8.7%
Sysmiss		2233	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbasc3b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Big Business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1728 /-] [Invalid=2221 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life
Literal question	Big Business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	55	3.2%
2		88	5.1%
3		275	15.9%
4		650	37.6%
5		410	23.7%
6		147	8.5%
7	Very Influential	103	6.0%
Sysmiss		2221	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbasc4b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1726 /-] [Invalid=2223 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life
Literal question	Media








Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	150	8.7%
2		220	12.7%
3		356	20.6%
4		555	32.2%
5		285	16.5%
6		79	4.6%
7	Very Influential	81	4.7%
Sysmiss		2223	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# mbsc5b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life>Public Sector Workers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1689 /-] [Invalid=2260 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Public Sector Workers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	124	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
2		201	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
3		420	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
4		563	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
5		245	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
6		93	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
7	Very Influential	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
Sysmiss		2260	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc6b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Banks			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1723 /-] [Invalid=2226 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Banks		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	98	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
2		167	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
3		365	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
4		606	<div><div></div></div> 35.2%
5		338	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
6		82	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
7	Very Influential	67	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
Sysmiss		2226	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc7b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Consumers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1718 /-] [Invalid=2231 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Consumers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
3		58	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
4		279	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
5		420	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%

# mbsc7b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Consumers			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Very Influential	492	<div></div> 28.6%
7		447	<div></div> 26.0%
Sysmiss		2231	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc8b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Feminists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1702 /-] [Invalid=2247 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Feminists		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	294	<div></div> 17.3%
2		315	<div></div> 18.5%
3		385	<div></div> 22.6%
4		463	<div></div> 27.2%
5		147	<div></div> 8.6%
6		62	<div></div> 3.6%
7	Very Influential	36	<div></div> 2.1%
Sysmiss		2247	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc9b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Aboriginal Peoples			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1710 /-] [Invalid=2239 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Aboriginal Peoples		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	206	<div></div> 12.0%
2		243	<div></div> 14.2%
3		383	<div></div> 22.4%
4		547	<div></div> 32.0%
5		209	<div></div> 12.2%
6		82	<div></div> 4.8%
7	Very Influential	40	<div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		2239	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc10b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Racial Minorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1705 /-] [Invalid=2244 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Racial Minorities		








mbsc10b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Racial Minorities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	285	 16.7%
2		300	 17.6%
3		421	 24.7%
4		476	 27.9%
5		150	 8.8%
6		47	 2.8%
7	Very Influential	26	 1.5%
Sysmiss		2244	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc11b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> People on Welfare








Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1700 /-] [Invalid=2249 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life
Literal question	People On Welfare

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	412	 24.2%
2		392	 23.1%
3		375	 22.1%
4		362	 21.3%
5		93	 5.5%
6		41	 2.4%
7	Very Influential	25	 1.5%
Sysmiss		2249	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc12b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Small Business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1726 /-] [Invalid=2223 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life
Literal question	Small Business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	22	 1.3%
2		33	 1.9%
3		140	 8.1%
4		490	 28.4%
5		502	 29.1%
6		371	 21.5%
7	Very Influential	168	 9.7%
Sysmiss		2223	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# mbsc13b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Senior Citizens			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1728 /-] [Invalid=2221 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Senior Citizens		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	47	2.7%
2		102	5.9%
3		219	12.7%
4		607	35.1%
5		394	22.8%
6		235	13.6%
7	Very Influential	124	7.2%
Sysmiss		2221	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc14b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Gays and Lesbians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1667 /-] [Invalid=2282 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Gays And Lesbians		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	651	39.1%
2		313	18.8%
3		254	15.2%
4		313	18.8%
5		75	4.5%
6		35	2.1%
7	Very Influential	26	1.6%
Sysmiss		2282	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc15b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Babyboomers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1676 /-] [Invalid=2273 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Babyboomers		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	106	6.3%
2		106	6.3%
3		260	15.5%
4		694	41.4%
5		318	19.0%

# mbsc15b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Babyboomers			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Very Influential	137	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
7		55	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
Sysmiss		2273	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsc16b: Influence Group Should Have on Canadian Life> Environmentalists			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1719 /-] [Invalid=2230 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How much influence they should have over Canadian life		
Literal question	Environmentalists		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Little	69	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2		124	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
3		234	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
4		492	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
5		358	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
6	Very Influential	259	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
7		183	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
Sysmiss		2230	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd1: Most People Do Not Know What is Best For Them			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1736 /-] [Invalid=2213 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree. Please write the number that best reflects your opinion in the space at the right of each statement.		
Literal question	The problem with democracy is that most people don't really know what's best for them.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	135	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
2	Agree	642	<div><div></div></div> 37.0%
3	Disagree	721	<div><div></div></div> 41.5%
4	StronglyDisagree	238	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
8	Not Sure	99	
Sysmiss		2114	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd2: People the Have Sense to Tell Whether the Government is Doing a Good Job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1800 /-] [Invalid=2149 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	233	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%

# mbsd2: People the Have Sense to Tell Whether the Government is Doing a Good Job			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	1022	<div><div></div></div> 56.8%
3	Disagree	458	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
4	StronglyDisagree	87	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
8	Not Sure	37	
Sysmiss		2112	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd3: We Could Solve National Problems if Decisions Could Be Brought to People at the Grass Roots			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1688 /-] [Invalid=2261 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	We could probably solve most of our big national problems if decisions could be brought back to the people at the grass roots.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	379	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
2	Agree	781	<div><div></div></div> 46.3%
3	Disagree	448	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
4	StronglyDisagree	80	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
8	Not Sure	146	
Sysmiss		2115	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd4: The Government Should Pay the Most Attention to Citizens Who Are Well Informed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1752 /-] [Invalid=2197 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The government should pay the most attention to those citizens who are well informed.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	151	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
2	Agree	603	<div><div></div></div> 34.4%
3	Disagree	770	<div><div></div></div> 43.9%
4	StronglyDisagree	228	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
8	Not Sure	82	
Sysmiss		2115	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd5: All Federal Parties Basically The Same			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1761 /-] [Invalid=2188 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	All federal parties are basically the same; there isn't really a choice.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	227	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
2	Agree	625	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%
3	Disagree	738	<div><div></div></div> 41.9%

# mbsd5: All Federal Parties Basically The Same			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	StronglyDisagree	171	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
8	Not Sure	76	
Sysmiss		2112	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd6: Parties Spend Too Much Time Catering to Minorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1697 /-] [Invalid=2252 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Political parties spend too much time catering to minorities.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	247	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
2	Agree	669	<div><div></div></div> 39.4%
3	Disagree	682	<div><div></div></div> 40.2%
4	StronglyDisagree	99	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
8	Not Sure	141	
Sysmiss		2111	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd7: We Have Gone Too Far in Pushing Bilingualism in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1766 /-] [Invalid=2183 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	545	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
2	Agree	539	<div><div></div></div> 30.5%
3	Disagree	489	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%
4	StronglyDisagree	193	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
8	Not Sure	70	
Sysmiss		2113	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd8: The Best Way Protect Women's Interests is to Have More In Parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1710 /-] [Invalid=2239 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The best way to protect women's interests is to have more women in Parliament.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	198	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
2	Agree	806	<div><div></div></div> 47.1%
3	Disagree	597	<div><div></div></div> 34.9%
4	StronglyDisagree	109	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8	Not Sure	126	
Sysmiss		2113	

# mbsd8: The Best Way Protect Women's Interests is to Have More In Parliament			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd9: The Profits Canadian Banks Are Making Are a Scandal			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1708 /-] [Invalid=2241 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The profits Canadian banks are making these days are a scandal.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	831	<div><div></div></div> 48.7%
2	Agree	629	<div><div></div></div> 36.8%
3	Disagree	195	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
4	StronglyDisagree	53	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
8	Not Sure	130	
Sysmiss		2111	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd10: Unemployed People Should Move To Regions Where There Are Jobs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1670 /-] [Invalid=2279 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Unemployed people should move to regions where there are jobs.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	167	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
2	Agree	666	<div><div></div></div> 39.9%
3	Disagree	698	<div><div></div></div> 41.8%
4	StronglyDisagree	139	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
8	Not Sure	166	
Sysmiss		2113	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd11: International Trade Creates More Jobs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1340 /-] [Invalid=2609 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	140	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
2	Agree	703	<div><div></div></div> 52.5%
3	Disagree	393	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
4	StronglyDisagree	104	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
8	Not Sure	492	
Sysmiss		2117	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsd12: Immigrants Make an Important Contribution to This Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1637 /-] [Invalid=2312 /-]		

# mbsd12: Immigrants Make an Important Contribution to This Country				
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Immigrants make an important contribution to this country.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly Agree	272	<div></div>	16.6%
2	Agree	945	<div></div>	57.7%
3	Disagree	298	<div></div>	18.2%
4	Strongly Disagree	122	<div></div>	7.5%
8	Not Sure	194		
Sysmiss		2118		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbse1: Your Opinion> Treatment of People:				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1679 /-] [Invalid=2270 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		For each of the following, please circle the number that comes closest to your opinion.		
Literal question		No matter how we treat people, some will turn out to be better than others, OR, if we really gave everyone an equal chance, almost everyone would turn out to be equally worthwhile.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Some Turn Out Better	1228	<div></div>	73.1%
2	=Chance= Worthwhile	451	<div></div>	26.9%
8	Not Sure	145		
Sysmiss		2125		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbse2: Your Opinion> The Feminist Movement:				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1494 /-] [Invalid=2455 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		The feminist movement: Just tries to get equal treatment for women, OR, puts men down.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Tries Get Equal	937	<div></div>	62.7%
2	Puts Men Down	557	<div></div>	37.3%
8	Not Sure	338		
Sysmiss		2117		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbse3: Which is More Important in Democratic Society:				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1552 /-] [Invalid=2397 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Which is more important in a democratic society: Letting the majority decide, OR protecting the needs and rights of minorities?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Majority Decide	1113	<div></div>	71.7%
2	Protect Minority	439	<div></div>	28.3%

# mbse3: Which is More Important in Democratic Society:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Not Sure	282	
Sysmiss		2115	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbse4: Your View> Equality of Men & Women:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1765 /-] [Invalid=2184 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which comes closest to your own view: Men and women can only be equal when they have the same responsibilities in government, business and the family, OR, equality can exist even when men and women have very different responsibilities?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Same Responsibility	393	<div></div> 22.3%
2	Different Responsib.	1372	<div></div> 77.7%
8	Not Sure	68	
Sysmiss		2116	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbse5: If a Law Conflicts With the Canadian Charter of Rights, Who Has Final Say:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1433 /-] [Invalid=2516 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If a law conflicts with the Canadian Charter of Rights, who should have the final say: The courts because they are in the best position to decide what is just and unjust, OR, the government because they are elected by the people?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Courts	1003	<div></div> 70.0%
2	Government	430	<div></div> 30.0%
8	Not Sure	401	
Sysmiss		2115	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbse6: Your View> Marital Violence:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1573 /-] [Invalid=2376 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which comes closest to your own view: The government must crack down on marital violence even if that means interfering in people's private lives, OR, couples should basically be left to sort out their own problems?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GovCrack Down On	1246	<div></div> 79.2%
2	Couples Sort Out	327	<div></div> 20.8%
8	Not Sure	262	
Sysmiss		2114	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbse7: The Feminist Movement Encourages Women:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1610 /-] [Invalid=2339 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# mbse7: The Feminist Movement Encourages Women:			
Literal question		The feminist movement encourages women: To be independent and speak up for themselves, OR, to be selfish and think only of themselves?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Independent	1245	<div></div> 77.3%
2	Selfish	365	<div></div> 22.7%
8	Not Sure	219	
Sysmiss		2120	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# mbse8: Your View> Aboriginal Peoples:			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1568 /-] [Invalid=2381 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Which comes closest to your own view: If Aboriginal peoples tried harder, they could be as well off as other Canadians, OR, Social and economic conditions make it almost impossible for most Aboriginal peoples to overcome poverty?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TryHard Well-Off	860	<div></div> 54.8%
2	HardOvercomePoor	708	<div></div> 45.2%
8	Not Sure	260	
Sysmiss		2121	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# mbse9: Do You Think That People Running the Government Are Crooked			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1698 /-] [Invalid=2251 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Do you think that: Quite a few of the people running the government are a little crooked, not very many are crooked, OR hardly any of them are crooked?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite A Few Are	939	<div></div> 55.3%
2	Not Very Many	577	<div></div> 34.0%
3	Hardly Any Are	182	<div></div> 10.7%
8	Not Sure	136	
Sysmiss		2115	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# mbsf1: Confidence in Institution> Organised Religion			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/3/8]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1163 /-] [Invalid=2786 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Pre-question		Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.	
Literal question		Organized religion	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	251	<div></div> 21.6%
2	Quite A Lot	685	<div></div> 58.9%
4	None At All	227	<div></div> 19.5%

# mbsf1: Confidence in Institution> Organised Religion			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not Very Much	655	
Sysmiss		2131	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsf2: Confidence in Institution> The Armed Forces			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/3]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1144 /-] [Invalid=2805 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	The armed forces		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	176	<div></div> 15.4%
2	Quite A Lot	848	<div></div> 74.1%
4	None At All	120	<div></div> 10.5%
3	Not Very Much	675	
Sysmiss		2130	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsf3: Confidence in Institution> Public Schools			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1812 /-] [Invalid=2137 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	Public schools		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	236	<div></div> 13.0%
2	Quite A Lot	1055	<div></div> 58.2%
3	Not Very Much	467	<div></div> 25.8%
4	None At All	54	<div></div> 3.0%
Sysmiss		2137	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsf4: Confidence in Institution> The Courts			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1822 /-] [Invalid=2127 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	The courts		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	189	<div></div> 10.4%
2	Quite A Lot	881	<div></div> 48.4%
3	Not Very Much	644	<div></div> 35.3%
4	None At All	108	<div></div> 5.9%

# mbsf4: Confidence in Institution> The Courts			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2127	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsf5: Confidence in Institution> The Civil Service			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1793 /-] [Invalid=2156 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	The civil service		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	53	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
2	Quite A Lot	745	<div><div></div></div> 41.6%
3	Not Very Much	862	<div><div></div></div> 48.1%
4	None At All	133	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
Sysmiss		2156	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsf6: Confidence in Institution> Unions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/3]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=889 /-] [Invalid=3060 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	Unions		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	65	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
2	Quite A Lot	474	<div><div></div></div> 53.3%
4	None At All	350	<div><div></div></div> 39.4%
3	Not Very Much	924	
Sysmiss		2136	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsf7: Confidence in Institution> The Police			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1821 /-] [Invalid=2128 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	The police		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	397	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
2	Quite A Lot	1108	<div><div></div></div> 60.8%
3	Not Very Much	271	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
4	None At All	45	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
Sysmiss		2128	

# mbsf7: Confidence in Institution> The Police			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsf8: Confidence in Institution> The Federal Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1815 /-] [Invalid=2134 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	The federal government		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	81	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2	Quite A Lot	651	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
3	Not Very Much	852	<div><div></div></div> 46.9%
4	None At All	231	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
Sysmiss		2134	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsf9: Confidence in Institution> Provincial/Territorial Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1815 /-] [Invalid=2134 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	Your provincial/territorial government		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	121	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
2	Quite A Lot	672	<div><div></div></div> 37.0%
3	Not Very Much	769	<div><div></div></div> 42.4%
4	None At All	253	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
Sysmiss		2134	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# mbsf10: Confidence in Institution> Big Business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1820 /-] [Invalid=2129 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	Big business		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	64	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
2	Quite A Lot	665	<div><div></div></div> 36.5%
3	Not Very Much	852	<div><div></div></div> 46.8%
4	None At All	239	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
Sysmiss		2129	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsf11: Confidence in Institution> The Media			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1822 /-] [Invalid=2127 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	The media		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	70	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2	Quite A Lot	593	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
3	Not Very Much	907	<div><div></div></div> 49.8%
4	None At All	252	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
Sysmiss		2127	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsg1: Canada Should Participate Peacekeeping Operations Abroad Even if it Puts the Lives of Canadian Soldiers at Risk			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1700 /-] [Invalid=2249 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree. Please write the number that best reflects your opinion in the space at the right of each statement.		
Literal question	Canada should participate in peacekeeping operations abroad even if it means putting the lives of Canadian soldiers at risk.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	163	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
2	Agree	893	<div><div></div></div> 52.5%
3	Disagree	473	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
4	StronglyDisagree	171	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
8	Not Sure	133	
Sysmiss		2116	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# mbsg2: Respect for Authority is One of the Most Important Things Children Should Learn			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1795 /-] [Invalid=2154 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Respect for authority is one of the most important things that children should learn.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	790	<div><div></div></div> 44.0%
2	Agree	809	<div><div></div></div> 45.1%
3	Disagree	173	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
4	StronglyDisagree	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
8	Not Sure	42	
Sysmiss		2112	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsg3: Homosexual Couples Should be Allowed to Legally Marry			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1659 /-] [Invalid=2290 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Homosexual couples should be allowed to be legally married.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	158	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
2	Agree	527	<div><div></div></div> 31.8%
3	Disagree	351	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
4	StronglyDisagree	623	<div><div></div></div> 37.6%
8	Not Sure	179	
Sysmiss		2111	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsg4: Too Many Recent Immigrants Do Not Want Fit Into Canadian Society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1620 /-] [Invalid=2329 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit into Canadian society.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	360	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
2	Agree	653	<div><div></div></div> 40.3%
3	Disagree	504	<div><div></div></div> 31.1%
4	StronglyDisagree	103	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8	Not Sure	214	
Sysmiss		2115	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsg5: When it Comes to Caring For Children, Men Are Less Patient and Giving Than Women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1763 /-] [Invalid=2186 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	When it comes to caring for babies and small children, men by nature are less patient and giving than women.		

# mbsg5: When it Comes to Caring For Children, Men Are Less Patient and Giving Than Women			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	140	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
2	Agree	629	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
3	Disagree	754	<div><div></div></div> 42.8%
4	Strongly Disagree	240	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
8	Not Sure	77	
Sysmiss		2109	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsg6: Anglophones in Quebec Are Better Treated Than Francophones in the Rest of Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1472 /-] [Invalid=2477 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anglophones in Quebec are better treated than francophones in the rest of Canada.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	189	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
2	Agree	279	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
3	Disagree	605	<div><div></div></div> 41.1%
4	Strongly Disagree	399	<div><div></div></div> 27.1%
8	Not Sure	363	
Sysmiss		2114	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsg7: Quebec Has the Right to Separate No Matter What the Rest of Canada Says			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1744 /-] [Invalid=2205 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Quebec has the right to separate no matter what the rest of Canada says.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	171	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
2	Agree	279	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
3	Disagree	460	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
4	Strongly Disagree	834	<div><div></div></div> 47.8%
8	Not Sure	97	
Sysmiss		2108	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsg8: If Quebec Separates, Aboriginals Have the Right to Remain Part of Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1649 /-] [Invalid=2300 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If Quebec separates, Aboriginal communities have the right to remain part of Canada.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	734	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%
2	Agree	666	<div><div></div></div> 40.4%
3	Disagree	160	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%

# mbsg8: If Quebec Separates, Aboriginals Have the Right to Remain Part of Canada			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	StronglyDisagree	89	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
8	Not Sure	188	
Sysmiss		2112	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsg9: People Have a Right to Work in the Region Where They Are Born			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1723 /-] [Invalid=2226 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People have a right to work in the region where they are born.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	452	<div><div></div></div> 26.2%
2	Agree	838	<div><div></div></div> 48.6%
3	Disagree	358	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
4	StronglyDisagree	75	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
8	Not Sure	112	
Sysmiss		2114	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsg10: Free Trade With U.S. Has Been Good for the Canadian Economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1409 /-] [Invalid=2540 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Overall, free trade with the U.S. has been good for the Canadian economy.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	143	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
2	Agree	735	<div><div></div></div> 52.2%
3	Disagree	380	<div><div></div></div> 27.0%
4	StronglyDisagree	151	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
8	Not Sure	430	
Sysmiss		2110	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsh1: Should Political Parties and Candidates Be Allowed to Spend as Much as They Want in an Election Campagin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1809 /-] [Invalid=2140 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please circle the number that comes closest to your own view.		
Literal question	Do you think political parties and candidates should be allowed to spend as much as they want in an election campaign or should there be a limit on what they can spend?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	As Much As Want	65	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
2	ShouldBe A Limit	1744	<div><div></div></div> 96.4%
8	Not Sure	34	

# mbsh1: Should Political Parties and Candidates Be Allowed to Spend as Much as They Want in an Election Campagin			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2106	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsh2: Your View> Only Political Parties be Allowed to Advertise During Election Campaigns			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1569 /-] [Invalid=2380 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: Only political parties and candidates should be allowed to advertise during election campaigns, OR, Advertising by other individuals and groups should also be allowed during election campaigns.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Parties/Candidates	650	41.4%
2	Individs/Groups	919	58.6%
8	Not Sure	269	
Sysmiss		2111	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsh3: Should There Be a Limit to What Individuals/Groups Spend on Advertisments During Election Campaigns			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1720 /-] [Invalid=2229 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If individuals and groups other than candidates and political parties are going to advertise during election campaigns, should there be a limit on what they can spend?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1547	89.9%
2	No	173	10.1%
8	Not Sure	120	
Sysmiss		2109	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsh4: If Individuals/Groups Can Advertise, Should They Be Allowed to Spend:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1517 /-] [Invalid=2432 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Suppose individuals and groups can advertise, should they be allowed to spend:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LessThan Candidates	585	38.6%
2	Same As Candidates	874	57.6%
3	MoreThan Candidates	58	3.8%
8	Not Sure	313	
Sysmiss		2119	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsh5: Referendums on Important Questions Should Be Held:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1768 /-] [Invalid=2181 /-]		

# mbsh5: Referendums on Important Questions Should Be Held:			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think that referendums on important questions should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Regularly	552	<div></div> 31.2%
2	Occasionally	796	<div></div> 45.0%
3	Rarely	369	<div></div> 20.9%
4	Never	51	<div></div> 2.9%
8	Not Sure	70	
Sysmiss		2111	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsh6: Would it Be a Good Idea to Have Referendums the Same Time as Elections			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1615 /-] [Invalid=2334 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think it would be a good idea or a bad idea to have referendums at the same time as elections?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GoodIdea	868	<div></div> 53.7%
2	Bad Idea	747	<div></div> 46.3%
8	Not Sure	224	
Sysmiss		2110	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsh7: A Party Can Win a Majority of Seats Without Winning a Majority of Votes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1490 /-] [Invalid=2459 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Under our present system, a party can win a majority of seats without winning a majority of votes. Do you find this:		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Acceptable	512	<div></div> 34.4%
2	Unacceptable	978	<div></div> 65.6%
8	Not Sure	346	
Sysmiss		2113	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi1: Satisfaction With the Way Democracy Works in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1770 /-] [Invalid=2179 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	In this section, please circle the number that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	On the whole, are you satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Satisfied	239	<div></div> 13.5%
2	Fairly Satisfied	1052	<div></div> 59.4%
3	NotVery Satisfied	414	<div></div> 23.4%

# mbsi1: Satisfaction With the Way Democracy Works in Canada			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	NotAtAll Satisfied	65	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
Sysmiss		2179	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi2: Was the Last Election in Canada Conducted Fairly			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1809 /-] [Invalid=2140 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the last election in Canada, where would you place it on the scale below?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fairly	626	<div><div></div></div> 34.6%
2		666	<div><div></div></div> 36.8%
3		411	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
4		81	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
5	Unfairly	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
Sysmiss		2140	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi3: Do You Usually Think of Yourself as Close to Any Particular Political Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1840 /-] [Invalid=2109 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular political party?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #i3a. All others go to #i4.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	959	<div><div></div></div> 52.1%
2	No	881	<div><div></div></div> 47.9%
Sysmiss		2109	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi3a: Which Political Party Are You Closer To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=925 /-] [Invalid=3024 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #i3.		
Literal question	Which party is that?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal Party	348	<div><div></div></div> 37.6%
2	PC Party	160	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
3	NDP	140	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
4	Reform	165	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
5	Bloc Quebecois	112	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
Sysmiss		3024	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# mbsi3b: Do You Feel Very Close To This Political Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=947 /-] [Invalid=3002 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #a3.		
Literal question	Do you feel very close to this party, somewhat close, or not very close?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Close	175	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
2	Somewhat Close	636	<div><div></div></div> 67.2%
3	Not Very Close	136	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
Sysmiss		3002	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi4: Do Parties in Canada Care What Ordinary People Think			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1818 /-] [Invalid=2131 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Some people say that political parties in Canada care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in Canada don't care what ordinary people think. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Care	51	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2		366	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
3		755	<div><div></div></div> 41.5%
4		478	<div><div></div></div> 26.3%
5	Don't Care	168	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
Sysmiss		2131	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi5: Are Parties Necessary to Make Our Political System Work			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1810 /-] [Invalid=2139 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Some people say that political parties are necessary to make our political system work in Canada. Others think that political parties are not needed in Canada. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Necessary	451	<div><div></div></div> 24.9%
2		724	<div><div></div></div> 40.0%
3		465	<div><div></div></div> 25.7%
4		128	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
5	Are Not Needed	42	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		2139	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi6: Names of Any Candidates Who Ran in Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1765 /-] [Invalid=2184 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election?		

mbsi6: Names of Any Candidates Who Ran in Your Riding

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Named 1	405	22.9%
2	Named 2	377	21.4%
3	Named 3	474	26.9%
8	Do Not Remember	509	28.8%
Sysmiss		2184	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsi7a: Rate> Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1789 /-] [Invalid=2160 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Using the scale below, please rate each party. Just write in the number that best reflects your opinion of each party.
Literal question	Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly Dislike	121	6.8%
1		77	4.3%
2		135	7.5%
3		154	8.6%
4		187	10.5%
5		315	17.6%
6		252	14.1%
7		220	12.3%
8		198	11.1%
9		70	3.9%
10	Strongly Like	60	3.4%
Sysmiss		2160	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsi7b: Rate> Progressive Conservative Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1786 /-] [Invalid=2163 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Using the scale below, please rate each party. Just write in the number that best reflects your opinion of each party.
Literal question	Progressive Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly Dislike	148	8.3%
1		105	5.9%
2		207	11.6%
3		233	13.0%
4		243	13.6%
5		357	20.0%
6		203	11.4%
7		148	8.3%
8		86	4.8%
9		26	1.5%

# mbsi7b: Rate> Progressive Conservative Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Strongly Like	30	<div></div> 1.7%
Sysmiss		2163	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi7c: Rate> New Democratic Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1774 /-] [Invalid=2175 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Using the scale below, please rate each party. Just write in the number that best reflects your opinion of each party.		
Literal question	New Democratic Party		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly Dislike	226	<div></div> 12.7%
1		149	<div></div> 8.4%
2		257	<div></div> 14.5%
3		241	<div></div> 13.6%
4		248	<div></div> 14.0%
5		271	<div></div> 15.3%
6		144	<div></div> 8.1%
7		101	<div></div> 5.7%
8		79	<div></div> 4.5%
9		24	<div></div> 1.4%
10	Strongly Like	34	<div></div> 1.9%
Sysmiss		2175	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi7d: Rate> Reform Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1772 /-] [Invalid=2177 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Using the scale below, please rate each party. Just write in the number that best reflects your opinion of each party.		
Literal question	Reform Party		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly Dislike	466	<div></div> 26.3%
1		186	<div></div> 10.5%
2		196	<div></div> 11.1%
3		165	<div></div> 9.3%
4		149	<div></div> 8.4%
5		156	<div></div> 8.8%
6		123	<div></div> 6.9%
7		106	<div></div> 6.0%
8		113	<div></div> 6.4%
9		54	<div></div> 3.0%
10	Strongly Like	58	<div></div> 3.3%
Sysmiss		2177	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# mbsi7e: Rate> Bloc Quebecois			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1766 /-] [Invalid=2183 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Using the scale below, please rate each party. Just write in the number that best reflects your opinion of each party.		
Literal question	Bloc Québécois		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly Dislike	969	<div><div></div></div> 54.9%
1		215	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
2		153	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
3		87	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
4		59	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
5		81	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
6		50	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
7		48	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
8		50	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
9		20	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
10	Strongly Like	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
Sysmiss		2183	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi8a: Rate> Jean Chretien			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1799 /-] [Invalid=2150 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Using the same scale, please rate each party leader.		
Literal question	Jean Chrétien		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly Dislike	148	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
1		93	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
2		121	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
3		142	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
4		189	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
5		300	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
6		222	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
7		231	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
8		200	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
9		82	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
10	Strongly Like	71	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
Sysmiss		2150	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi8b: Rate> Jean Charest			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1784 /-] [Invalid=2165 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# mbsi8b: Rate> Jean Charest			
Pre-question	Using the same scale, please rate each party leader.		
Literal question	Jean Charest		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly Dislike	121	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
1		86	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
2		170	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
3		180	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
4		248	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
5		303	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
6		210	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
7		221	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
8		149	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
9		62	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
10	Strongly Like	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
Sysmiss		2165	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi8c: Rate> Alexa McDonough			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1763 /-] [Invalid=2186 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Using the same scale, please rate each party leader.		
Literal question	Alexa McDonough		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly Dislike	170	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
1		131	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
2		221	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
3		230	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
4		231	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
5		333	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
6		174	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
7		112	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8		91	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
9		34	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
10	Strongly Like	36	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
Sysmiss		2186	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi8d: Rate> Preston Manning			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1782 /-] [Invalid=2167 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Using the same scale, please rate each party leader.		
Literal question	Preston Manning		

# mbsi8d: Rate> Preston Manning			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly Dislike	419	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
1		206	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
2		208	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
3		187	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
4		133	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
5		209	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
6		138	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
7		98	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
8		101	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
9		48	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
10	Strongly Like	35	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
Sysmiss		2167	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi8e: Rate> Gilles Duceppe			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1730 /-] [Invalid=2219 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Using the same scale, please rate each party leader.		
Literal question	Gilles Duceppe		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Strongly Dislike	743	<div><div></div></div> 42.9%
1		260	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
2		212	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
3		142	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
4		114	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
5		127	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
6		53	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
7		36	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
8		26	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
9		8	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	Strongly Like	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
Sysmiss		2219	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi9: The State of the Economy These Days in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1844 /-] [Invalid=2105 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Canada has: (Please circle one.)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VeryGood	71	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
2	Good	615	<div><div></div></div> 33.4%
3	Neither	770	<div><div></div></div> 41.8%
4	Bad	315	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%








# mbsi9: The State of the Economy These Days in Canada			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Very Bad	73	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
Sysmiss		2105	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi10: Over the Past Twelve Months, the State of the Economy in Canada:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1841 /-] [Invalid=2108 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Would you say that over the past twelve months, the state of the economy in Canada has: (Please circle one.)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GottenMuchBetter	93	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2	Somewhat Better	708	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
3	AboutTheSame	813	<div><div></div></div> 44.2%
4	Somewhat Worse	189	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
5	Gotten A Lot Worse	38	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
Sysmiss		2108	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi11: Do Members Parliament Know What Ordinary People Think			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/5]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1613 /-] [Invalid=2336 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Some people say that members of Parliament know what ordinary people think. Others say that members of Parliament don't know much about what ordinary people think. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KnowWhat Think	41	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2		293	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
3		699	<div><div></div></div> 43.3%
4		580	<div><div></div></div> 36.0%
5	Don't Know	187	
Sysmiss		2149	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi12: In the Past Twelve Months, Have You Had Any Contact With a Member of Parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1844 /-] [Invalid=2105 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	During the past twelve months, have you had any contact with a member of Parliament in any way?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	397	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
2	No	1447	<div><div></div></div> 78.5%
Sysmiss		2105	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi13: It Makes a Difference Who is in Power			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		

# mbsi13: It Makes a Difference Who is in Power			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1833 /-] [Invalid=2116 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Some people say it makes a difference who is in power. Others say that it doesn't make a difference who is in power. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Makes A Difference	336	18.3%
2		686	37.4%
3		428	23.3%
4		257	14.0%
5	DoesNot MakeDiff	126	6.9%
Sysmiss		2116	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# mbsi14: Who People Vote For Can Make a Difference			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1836 /-] [Invalid=2113 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a difference to what happens. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WillNot MakeDiff	91	5.0%
2		211	11.5%
3		407	22.2%
4		825	44.9%
5	Can Make A Diff	302	16.4%
Sysmiss		2113	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# mbsi15: People in Canada Say They What Think About Politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1822 /-] [Invalid=2127 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	When people are asked to express an opinion, do you believe most people in Canada usually say what they think about politics, or do you believe most people usually hide what they really think about politics? Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SayWhatTheyThink	260	14.3%
2		691	37.9%
3		498	27.3%
4		307	16.8%
5	HideWhat Think	66	3.6%
Sysmiss		2127	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# mbsi16a: Scale> Where You Would Place Yourself in Politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1260 /-] [Invalid=2689 /-]		

# mbsi16a: Scale> Where You Would Place Yourself in Politics			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on the scale below?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
1		24	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2		50	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
3		138	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
4		119	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
5		410	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
6		140	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
7		199	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
8		111	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
9		27	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
10	Right	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
99	Not Sure	540	
Sysmiss		2149	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi16b: Scale> Where You Would Place the Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1340 /-] [Invalid=2609 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Using the same scale, where would you place the Liberal Party?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1		34	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2		58	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
3		91	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
4		188	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
5		319	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
6		240	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
7		181	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
8		110	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
9		39	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
10	Right	54	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
99	Not Sure	154	
Sysmiss		2455	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi16c: Scale> Where You Would Place the Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1334 /-] [Invalid=2615 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Using the same scale, where would you place the Conservative Party?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%

# mbsi16c: Scale> Where You Would Place the Conservative Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		30	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2		61	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
3		66	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
4		114	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
5		234	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
6		240	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
7		236	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
8		201	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
9		75	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
10	Right	53	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
99	Not Sure	158	
Sysmiss		2457	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi16d: Scale> Where You Would You Place the NDP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1305 /-] [Invalid=2644 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Using the same scale, where would you place the New Democratic Party?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	108	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
1		132	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
2		244	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
3		283	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
4		194	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
5		161	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
6		64	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
7		55	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
8		31	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
9		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
10	Right	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
99	Not Sure	174	
Sysmiss		2470	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsi16e: Scale> Where You Would Place the Reform Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1296 /-] [Invalid=2653 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Using the same scale, where would you place the Reform Party?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	100	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
1		68	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
2		102	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
3		90	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%












mbsi16e: Scale> Where You Would Place the Reform Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		93	 7.2%
5		107	 8.3%
6		67	 5.2%
7		107	 8.3%
8		167	 12.9%
9		160	 12.3%
10	Right	235	 18.1%
99	Not Sure	185	
Sysmiss		2468	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsi16f: Scale> Where You Would Place the Bloc Quebecois

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1068 /-] [Invalid=2881 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Using the same scale, where would you place the Bloc Québécois?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Left	248	 23.2%
1		130	 12.2%
2		102	 9.6%
3		90	 8.4%
4		89	 8.3%
5		134	 12.5%
6		67	 6.3%
7		41	 3.8%
8		53	 5.0%
9		39	 3.7%
10	Right	75	 7.0%
99	Not Sure	348	
Sysmiss		2533	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# mbsj1: Respondent's Year of Birth			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-96] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1833 /-] [Invalid=2116 /-] [Mean=51.105 /-] [StdDev=15.8 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In what year were you born?		
# mbsj2: Respondent's Gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1841 /-] [Invalid=2108 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is your gender?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	890	48.3%
2	Female	951	51.7%
Sysmiss		2108	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk1a: Voting From Home By Mail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1828 /-] [Invalid=2121 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	There are several different methods of voting that can be used. Supposing that each way is accurate and secure, which would you be willing to use? (Circle all that apply.)		
Literal question	Voting from home by mail.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1259	68.9%
1	Willing To Use	569	31.1%
Sysmiss		2121	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk1b: Voting From Home By Telephone			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1828 /-] [Invalid=2121 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	There are several different methods of voting that can be used. Supposing that each way is accurate and secure, which would you be willing to use? (Circle all that apply.)		
Literal question	Voting from home by telephone.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1186	64.9%
1	Willing To Use	642	35.1%
Sysmiss		2121	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk1c: Voting From Home By Computer			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1828 /-] [Invalid=2121 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# mbsk1c: Voting From Home By Computer			
Pre-question	There are several different methods of voting that can be used. Supposing that each way is accurate and secure, which would you be willing to use? (Circle all that apply.)		
Literal question	Voting from home by computer.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1319	<div></div> 72.2%
1	Willing To Use	509	<div></div> 27.8%
Sysmiss		2121	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk1d: Voting at Station: Touch Computer Screen			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1828 /-] [Invalid=2121 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	There are several different methods of voting that can be used. Supposing that each way is accurate and secure, which would you be willing to use? (Circle all that apply.)		
Literal question	Voting at the polling station by touching a computer screen.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	878	<div></div> 48.0%
1	Willing To Use	950	<div></div> 52.0%
Sysmiss		2121	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk1e: Voting a Station: Ballots That Are Machine Counted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1828 /-] [Invalid=2121 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	There are several different methods of voting that can be used. Supposing that each way is accurate and secure, which would you be willing to use? (Circle all that apply.)		
Literal question	Voting at the polling station using paper ballots that are counted by a machine.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	591	<div></div> 32.3%
1	Willing To Use	1237	<div></div> 67.7%
Sysmiss		2121	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk2a1: Most Likely to> Vote at Home By Mail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1796 /-] [Invalid=2153 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Please circle which one of these methods you would be MOST LIKELY to use, and then which one you would be LEAST LIKELY to use.		
Literal question	Voting from home by mail.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1440	<div></div> 80.2%
1	Yes	356	<div></div> 19.8%
Sysmiss		2153	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# mbsk2a2: Most Likely to> Vote at Home By Telephone				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1796 /-] [Invalid=2153 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		Method most likely to use.		
Literal question		Voting from home by telephone.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	1341	<div></div>	74.7%
1	Yes	455	<div></div>	25.3%
Sysmiss		2153		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbsk2a3: Most Likely to> Vote at Home By Computer				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1796 /-] [Invalid=2153 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		Method most likely to use.		
Literal question		Voting from home by computer.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	1493	<div></div>	83.1%
1	Yes	303	<div></div>	16.9%
Sysmiss		2153		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbsk2a4: Most Likely to> Vote at the Polling Station With Touch Computer Screen				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1796 /-] [Invalid=2153 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		Method most likely to use.		
Literal question		Voting at the polling station by touching a computer screen.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	1149	<div></div>	64.0%
1	Yes	647	<div></div>	36.0%
Sysmiss		2153		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mbsk2a5: Most Likely to> Vote at Polling Station By Paper Ballots That Are Machine Counted				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1796 /-] [Invalid=2153 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		Method most likely to use.		
Literal question		Voting at the polling station using paper ballots that are counted by a machine.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No	819	<div></div>	45.6%
1	Yes	977	<div></div>	54.4%
Sysmiss		2153		

# mbsk2a5: Most Likely to> Vote at Polling Station By Paper Ballots That Are Machine Counted			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk2b1: Least Likely to>Vote at Home By Mail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1698 /-] [Invalid=2251 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Method least likely to use.		
Literal question	Voting from home by mail.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	948	55.8%
1	Yes	750	44.2%
Sysmiss		2251	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk2b2: Least Likely to> Vote at Home By Telephone			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1698 /-] [Invalid=2251 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Method least likely to use.		
Literal question	Voting from home by telephone.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1002	59.0%
1	Yes	696	41.0%
Sysmiss		2251	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk2b3: Least Likely to> Vote at Home By Computer			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1698 /-] [Invalid=2251 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Method least likely to use.		
Literal question	Voting from home by computer.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	963	56.7%
1	Yes	735	43.3%
Sysmiss		2251	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk2b4: Least Likely to> Vote at Polling Station With Touch Computer Screen			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1698 /-] [Invalid=2251 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Method least likely to use.		
Literal question	Voting at the polling station by touching a computer screen.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1430	84.2%

# mbsk2b4: Least Likely to> Vote at Polling Station With Touch Computer Screen			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	268	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
Sysmiss		2251	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk2b5: Least Likely to> Vote at Polling Station With Ballots That Are Machine Counted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1698 /-] [Invalid=2251 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Method least likely to use.		
Literal question	Voting at the polling station using paper ballots that are counted by a machine.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1447	<div><div></div></div> 85.2%
1	Yes	251	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
Sysmiss		2251	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk3a: Reason For Your Least Likely Choice-1st			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-98] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1560 /-] [Invalid=2389 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Please indicate your reasons for your LEAST LIKELY choice.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Risk Fraud/Tampering	203	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
20	Lack Confidentiality	450	<div><div></div></div> 28.8%
30	Lack Of Accuracy	82	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
40	Too Slow/Delays	166	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
50	Loses Importance	86	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
60	ProbWith Access	270	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
65	Tech/Computer Issues	32	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
70	Inconvenience	114	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
90	Other	157	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
98	D.K.	3	
Sysmiss		2386	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# mbsk3b: Reason For Your Least Likely Choice-2nd			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-98] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=434 /-] [Invalid=3515 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Please indicate your reasons for your LEAST LIKELY choice.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Risk Fraud/Tampering	60	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
20	Lack Confidentiality	117	<div><div></div></div> 27.0%
30	Lack Of Accuracy	45	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
40	Too Slow/Delays	30	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%

# mbsk3b: Reason For Your Least Likely Choice-2nd			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	Loses Importance	19	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
60	ProbWith Access	79	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
65	Tech/Computer Issues	25	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
70	Inconvenience	18	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
90	Other	41	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
98	D.K.	0	
Sysmiss		3515	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# comments: Any Further Comments			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=622 /-] [Invalid=3327 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	We are very interested in any further comments you may wish to make about these or related issues.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Comments Given	622	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		3327	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# sentq: Respondents to Whom Questionnaire Mailed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Mailback NotSent	1322	<div><div></div></div> 33.5%
1	Mailback Q Sent	2627	<div><div></div></div> 66.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# rtype1: Respondent <Campaign>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes-CPS	3949	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# rtype2: Respondent <Post Election>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	779	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
1	Yes-PES	3170	<div><div></div></div> 80.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# rtype3: Respondent <Mailback>			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2098	<div></div> 53.1%
1	Yes-MBS	1851	<div></div> 46.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# rlink: Linking CPS/PES/MBS Respondents			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GoodLink/OnlyCPS	3878	<div></div> 98.2%
2	ProbableGoodLink	30	<div></div> 0.8%
3	Probable BadLink	25	<div></div> 0.6%
4	Mailback BadLink	16	<div></div> 0.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# wave: Responded To What Wave Of The Survey			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-111] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3949 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	CPS Only	779	<div></div> 19.7%
110	CPS&PES	1319	<div></div> 33.4%
111	AllWaves	1851	<div></div> 46.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			