

Canada

Ronald D. Lambert, University of Waterloo
Steven D. Brown, Wilfred Laurier University
James E. Curtis, University of Waterloo
Barry J. Kay, Wilfred Laurier University
John M. Wilson, University of Waterloo

Canadian Election Study, 1984

Study Documentation

August 6, 2020

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Scholars Portal (SP) , Ontario Council of University Libraries
Production Date	August 20, 2017
Version	Version September 15, 2017 Version October 20, 2017
Identification	CES-E-1984

Table of Contents

Overview.....	4
Scope & Coverage.....	4
Producers & Sponsors.....	4
Data Collection.....	5
Accessibility.....	5
Rights & Disclaimer.....	5
Files Description.....	6
CPS&PES&MBS&WEB 2011 final.....	6
Variables Group(s).....	7
Geographic Variables.....	7
Respondent Identification.....	7
1988 Campaign Period Survey.....	7
Constituency Data.....	7
Weights.....	10
Interest in Politics.....	10
Election Issues.....	12
Federal Party Identification.....	13
The Campaign.....	14
The Vote.....	16
Parties and Leaders.....	18
Provincial Parties.....	22
Social and Political Attitudes I.....	24
Ideas About Social Class.....	25
Social and Political Ideas II.....	26
Background Information.....	27
Information Recorded by Interviewer.....	33
Ideas About Left/Right.....	33
Parties and Leaders II.....	34
Screener Information.....	36
Variables Description.....	37
CPS&PES&MBS&WEB 2011 final.....	38

Canadian Election Study, 1984 (CES 1984)

Étude électorale canadienne 1984

Overview	
Type	Canadian Election Study
Identification	CES-E-1984
Series	<p>The primary mandate of the Canadian Election Study is to provide a thorough account of the election, to underline the main reasons why people vote the way they do, to indicate what does and does not change during the campaign and from one election to another, and to highlight similarities and differences between voting and elections in Canada and in other democratic countries.</p> <p>The second mandate is to contribute to the development of scientific knowledge regarding the motivations of voters and the meanings of elections and election campaigns in democratic societies.</p> <p>The third mandate is to assemble a rich set of data about Canadians' attitudes and opinions on a wide variety of social, economic, and political issues, and to make that data publicly available to researchers in political science, sociology, economics, communications, and journalism.</p>
Abstract The Canadian Federal Election was held on September 4, 1984 and in-home, face-to-face interviewing was conducted with a national sample during the period October 1984 to February 1985.	
Kind of Data	Survey data
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
Keywords	Debate, Leaders, Candidates, Votes, Voting, Media
Topics	Election, Politics, Public Opinion
<u>Time Period(s)</u>	1984-1985
<u>Countries</u>	Canada
<u>Geographic Coverage</u> Canada, Provinces	
<u>Universe</u> Canadian Electorate	

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Ronald D. Lambert, University of Waterloo Steven D. Brown, Wilfred Laurier University James E. Curtis, University of Waterloo Barry J. Kay, Wilfred Laurier University John M. Wilson, University of Waterloo
Other Producer(s)	Institute for Social Research (ISR) , York University , Distributor
Funding Agency/ies	Elections Canada

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 1984-10 end 1985-02
Time Period(s)	single 1984

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Canadian Opinion Research Archive
<u>Citation Requirements</u> Publications based on CES data collection should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.	

Rights & Disclaimer	
<u>Disclaimer</u> The original collector of the data and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.	
Copyright	Copyright (c) Institute for Social Research, 2012

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final	
# Cases	3377
# Variable(s)	641

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 20 group(s)

Group Geographic Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var003	Region of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
2	var463	Province	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-

Group Respondent Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var001	Respondent Identification	continuous	numeric-4.0	3377	0	-
2	var456	Respondent's Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Sex

Group 1988 Campaign Period Survey

Subgroup(s)	Interest in Politics , Election Issues , Federal Party Identification , The Campaign , The Vote , Parties and Leaders , Provincial Parties , Social and Political Attitudes I , Ideas About Social Class , Social and Political Ideas II , Background Information , Information Recorded by Interviewer , Ideas About Left/Right , Parties and Leaders II , Screener Information
--------------------	--

Group Constituency Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var573	Card Number 14	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
2	var574	Number of Eligible Voters in Respondent's Riding in 1980	continuous	numeric-6.0	3377	0	-
3	var575	Number of Persons Voted in Respondent's Riding in 1980	continuous	numeric-5.0	3377	0	-
4	var576	Number of Candidates in Respondent's Riding in 1980	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
5	var577	Number of Votes for Liberal Candidate in 1980	continuous	numeric-5.0	3377	0	-
6	var578	Number of Votes for Progressive Conservative Candidate in 1980	continuous	numeric-5.0	3377	0	-
7	var579	Number of Votes for New Democratic Party in 1980	continuous	numeric-5.0	3377	0	-
8	var580	Winning Party in Respondent's Riding in 1980	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
9	var581	Winning Party By-Election 1980-1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	196	3181	-
10	var582	Incumbent Candidate in 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
11	var583	Number of Eligible Voters in Respondent's Riding in 1984	continuous	numeric-6.0	3377	0	-
12	var584	Number of Persons Who Voted in Respondent's Riding in 1984	continuous	numeric-6.0	3377	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
13	var585	Number of Candidates in Respondent's Riding in 1984	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
14	var586	Number of Votes for Liberal Candidate in 1984	continuous	numeric-5.0	3377	0	-
15	var587	Number of Votes for Progressive Conservative in 1984	continuous	numeric-5.0	3377	0	-
16	var588	Number of Votes for New Democratic Party in 1984	continuous	numeric-5.0	3377	0	-
17	var589	Winning Party in Respondent's Riding in 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
18	var590	Sex of Liberal Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
19	var591	Occupation of Liberal Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984	discrete	numeric-2.0	3343	34	-
20	var592	Sex of Progressive Conservative Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
21	var593	Occupation of Progressive Conservative Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
22	var594	Sex of New Democratic Party Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
23	var595	Occupation of New Democratic Party Candidate in Respondents's Riding in 1984	discrete	numeric-2.0	3353	24	-
24	var596	Card Number 15	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
25	var597	Percentage of People Speaking English at Home	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
26	var598	Percentage of People Speaking French at Home	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
27	var599	Percentage of People Speaking Language Other Than French or English at Home	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
28	var600	Percentage of British Origin	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
29	var601	Percentage of French Origin	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
30	var602	Percentage of Single Origin Other Than British or French	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
31	var603	Percentage With Multiple Origins	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
32	var604	Percentage Catholic	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
33	var605	Percentage Protestant	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
34	var606	Percentage Eastern Orthodox	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
35	var607	Percentage Jewish	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
36	var608	Percentage with No Religious Preference	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
37	var609	Percentage Born in Canada	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
38	var610	Percentage Born in United States of America	continuous	numeric-3.1	3377	0	-
39	var611	Percentage Born in United Kingdom	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
40	var612	Percentage Born in Europe in a Country Other Than the United Kingdom	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
41	var613	Percentage Born in Asia	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
42	var614	Percentage of Immigrants Since 1965	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
43	var615	Card Number 16	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
44	var616	Percentage with Less Than Grade 9 Education	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
45	var617	Percentage Grade 9-13 with Certificate	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
46	var618	Percentage Obtained Trade Certificate	continuous	numeric-3.1	3377	0	-
47	var619	Percentage Obtained Non-University Certificate	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
48	var620	Percentage with University Degree	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
49	var621	Participation Rates in Work Force> All Males	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
50	var622	Participation Rate in Work Force> Males Aged 15-25	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
51	var623	Participation Rate in Work Force> Males Aged 25+	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
52	var624	Participation Rate in Work Force> Married Males	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
53	var625	Percentage of Males Unemployed	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
54	var626	Percentage of Males Aged 15-24 Unemployed	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
55	var627	Percentage of Married Males Unemployed	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
56	var628	Participation Rate in Work Force> All Females	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
57	var629	Participation Rate in Work Force> Females Aged 15-24	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
58	var630	Participation Rate in Work Force> Females Aged 25+	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
59	var631	Participation Rate in Work Force> Married Females	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
60	var632	Percentage of Females Unemployed	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
61	var633	Percentage of Aged 15-24 Unemployed	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
62	var634	Card 17	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
63	var635	Percentage of Females Aged 25+ Unemployed	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
64	var636	Paid Males as a Percentage of Total Population	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
65	var637	Paid Females as a Percentage of Total Population	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
66	var638	Mean Total Income> Males	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
67	var639	Median Total Income> Males	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
68	var640	Mean Total Income> Females	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
69	var641	Median Total Income> Females	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
70	var642	Mean Census Family Income	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
71	var643	Median Census Family Income	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
72	var644	Percentage of Families Living on Low Income	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-
73	var645	Percentage of Unattached Individuals on Low Income	continuous	numeric-4.1	3377	0	-

Group Weights

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	provwt	Provincial Weight Factor	continuous	numeric-5.3	3377	0	-
2	wtfactor	National Weight Factor	continuous	numeric-5.3	3377	0	-

Group Interest in Politics

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var016	Interest in the 1984 Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3374	3	We have found that people sometimes don't pay too much attention to elections. How about yourself? Were you very interested in the recent federal election, fairly interested, slightly interested, or not at all interested in it?
2	var017	Attention to Politics Generally	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	5	Do you pay much attention to politics generally -- that is, from day to day, when there isn't a big election campaign going on? Would you say that you follow politics very closely, fairly closely, or not much at all?
3	var018	Attention to Federal/ Provincial/Local Politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	3269	108	Do you pay more attention to federal politics, provincial politics, or local politics?
4	var019	Level of Government Which Affects You the Most	discrete	numeric-1.0	3169	208	As far as you are concerned PERSONALLY, which government is more important in affecting how you and your family get on, the one in Ottawa, the provincial government here in (PROVINCE) or your local government?
5	var020	Government Which Comes to Mind First	discrete	numeric-1.0	3317	60	When you think of YOUR government, which government comes to mind first, the government of Canada or the government of (NAME PROVINCE)?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	var021	Satisfaction-Material Side	discrete	numeric-1.0	3354	23	var021 question details
7	var022	Effect of Federal Government on Family	discrete	numeric-1.0	3149	228	What has been the effect of the federal government on how you and your family are doing these days--very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative, very negative, or is there no connection?
8	var023	Effect of Provincial Government on Family	discrete	numeric-1.0	3175	202	How about the (NAME PROVINCE) government?
9	var024	Effect of Big Business on Family	discrete	numeric-1.0	3064	313	How about Big Business?
10	var025	Effect of Labour Union on Family	discrete	numeric-1.0	3135	242	And how about Labour Unions?
11	var026	Standard of Living Compared to One Year Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	3356	21	Compared to your material standard of living one year ago, would you say that you are better off, about the same, or worse off today?
12	var027	Material State in Four Years	discrete	numeric-1.0	3138	239	Still thinking about the material side of things and looking ahead over the next three or four years, do you think that you will be better off, worse off, or will things stay the same?
13	var028	Order of Reading	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
14	var029	Elected Member's of Parliament Soon Lose Touch	discrete	numeric-1.0	3224	153	Generally, those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people.
15	var030	The Federal Government Does Not Care What People Like Me Think	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	109	I don't think the Federal Government cares much about what people like me think.
16	var031	Federal Politics and Government are Too Complicated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3312	65	Sometimes, Federal politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.
17	var032	People Like Me Do Not Have Any Say	discrete	numeric-1.0	3307	70	People like me don't have any say about what the government in Ottawa does.
18	var033	It Does Not Matter Whether I Vote or Not	discrete	numeric-1.0	3320	57	So many other people vote in Federal elections that it does not matter very much whether I vote or not.
19	var034	People in the Federal Government are Dishonest	discrete	numeric-1.0	2988	389	Many people in the Federal Government are dishonest.
20	var035	The Federal Government Wastes Tax Money	discrete	numeric-1.0	3240	137	People in the Federal Government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes.
21	var036	We Can Trust the Federal Government to Do What is Right	discrete	numeric-1.0	3234	143	Most of the time we can trust people in the Federal Government to do what is right.
22	var037	Smart People Run the Federal Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3257	120	Most of the people running the Federal Government are smart people who usually know what they are doing.
23	var038	Read About Politics in Papers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3375	2	How often do you read about politics in the newspapers and magazines?
24	var039	Watch Political Programs on TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3373	4	How often do you watch programs about politics on TV?
25	var040	Discuss Politics with Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3375	2	How often do you discuss politics with other people?
26	var041	Convince Friends to Vote the Same as You	discrete	numeric-1.0	3369	8	How often do you try to convince friends to vote the same as you?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
27	var042	Attend a Political Meeting/ Rally	discrete	numeric-1.0	3370	7	How often do you attend a political meeting or rally?
28	var043	Contact Public Officials or Politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	3369	8	How often do you contact public officials or politicians?
29	var044	Working for Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3369	8	How often do you spend time working for a political party?
30	var045	Give Money to A Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3362	15	How often do you contribute money to a political party or candidate?
31	var046	Does Social Class Have Meaning	discrete	numeric-1.0	3375	2	Some people talk about social classes. Does the idea of social classes have any meaning to you?
32	var047	Religion People Belong To	discrete	numeric-1.0	3246	131	Is the religion that people belong to a very important difference between people from different social classes?
33	var048	Their Wealth	discrete	numeric-1.0	3266	111	Their wealth, how much they own.
34	var049	How Much Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	3278	99	How much education they have.
35	var050	Where Their Ancestors Came From	discrete	numeric-1.0	3250	127	Where their ancestors came from.
36	var051	How Ambitious They Are	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	109	How ambitious they are.
37	var052	The Way They Were Brought Up	discrete	numeric-1.0	3280	97	The way they were brought up.
38	var053	Born in Canada or Not	discrete	numeric-1.0	3257	120	Whether they were born in Canada or in another country.
39	var054	How Smart They Are	discrete	numeric-1.0	3272	105	How smart they are.
40	var055	Family Wealth When They Were Growing Up	discrete	numeric-1.0	3272	105	How well-off their family was when they were growing up.
41	var056	The Kind of Work They Do	discrete	numeric-1.0	3278	99	The kind of work they do.
42	var057	Their Character	discrete	numeric-1.0	3265	112	Their character.
43	var058	Their Income	discrete	numeric-1.0	3284	93	How much they earn, their income.
44	var059	Own Business or Employed	discrete	numeric-1.0	3266	111	Whether they own their own business or work for somebody else.
45	var060	Social Class> Most Important Difference	discrete	numeric-2.0	3169	208	Of those things you said were very important (IF NONE, ASK: somewhat important) differences between social classes, which one is: The most important difference?
46	var061	Social Class> Second Most Important Difference	discrete	numeric-2.0	3035	342	Which one is the second most important difference?
47	var062	Liberals Favour Working or Middle Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	2783	594	Where would you place the federal Liberal party on this scale?
48	var063	Progressive Conservatives Favour Working or Middle Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	2711	666	Where would you place the federal Progressive Conservative party on the same scale?
49	var064	New Democratic Party Favours Working or Middle Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	2641	736	Where on the same scale would you place the federal NDP?

Group Election Issues

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var065	1st Important Issue in 1984 Election	continuous	numeric-2.0	2825	552	What, in your opinion, was the most important issue in that election?
2	var066	1st Issue Important to You	discrete	numeric-1.0	2485	892	Was this an important issue for you PERSONALLY?
3	var067	1st Issue Important to You - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-3.0	1718	1659	Why was this issue important to you?
4	var068	1st Issue Important to You - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-3.0	223	3154	-
5	var069	Party Best to Deal With 1st Issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	2108	1269	Which party or parties do you think can best deal with this issue?
6	var070	Party Best Capable to Deal With 1st Issue - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	1737	1640	Why do you think the (PARTY NAMED IN B-1-d) is most capable of dealing with this issue?
7	var071	Party Best Capable to Deal With 1st Issue - Reason 2	continuous	numeric-2.0	501	2876	-
8	var072	Importance of 1st Issue to Your Vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	2426	951	How important was that issue to you in deciding how to vote in the election?
9	var073	2nd Important Issue in 1984 Election	continuous	numeric-2.0	3303	74	Was there any other issue that you think was important in the 1984 federal election?
10	var074	2nd Issue Important to You	discrete	numeric-1.0	1525	1852	Was this an important issue to you personally?
11	var075	2nd Issue Important to You - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-3.0	1175	2202	Why was this issue important to you?
12	var076	2nd Issue Important to You - Reason 2	continuous	numeric-3.0	142	3235	-
13	var077	Party Best to Deal With 2nd Issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	1343	2034	Which party do you think can best deal with this issue?
14	var078	Party Best Capable to Deal With 2nd Issue - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	1196	2181	Why do think the (PARTY NAMED IN B-2-d) is most capable of dealing with this issue?
15	var079	Party Best Capable to Deal With 1st Issue - Reason 2	continuous	numeric-2.0	265	3112	-
16	var080	2nd Issue's Importance to Your Vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	1509	1868	If you voted, how important was that issue to you in deciding how to vote in this election?
17	var565	Why Party is Best on First Issue - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
18	var566	Why Party is Best on First Issue - Reason 2	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
19	var567	Why Party is Best on Second Issue - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
20	var568	Why Party is Best on Second Issue - Reason 2	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-

Group Federal Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var081	Federal Party Identification - Preference	discrete	numeric-2.0	2929	448	Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Progressive Conservative, NDP or what?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	var082	Federal Party Identification - Intensity	discrete	numeric-1.0	2854	523	How strongly (PARTY NAMED OR "INDEPENDENT" IN C-1-a) do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
3	var083	Federal Party Identification - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-3.0	2711	666	Why do you think of yourself as a (PARTY NAMED OR "INDEPENDENT" IN C-1-a)?
4	var084	Federal Party Identification - Reason 2	continuous	numeric-3.0	778	2599	-
5	var085	Are You Closer to One Federal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	610	2767	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
6	var086	Federal Party You Feel Closer To	discrete	numeric-2.0	171	3206	Which party/parties?
7	var087	Have You Ever Felt Closer to Another Federal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3267	110	Was there ever a time when you felt closer to any other federal party? (Q.C-3a)/ Well, was there ever a time when you felt close to a federal party? (Q.C-3b)
8	var088	Party You Once Felt Close To	discrete	numeric-2.0	1121	2256	Which party/parties was that?
9	var089	Did Father Prefer a Federal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2618	759	When you were growing up, did your father have any particular preference for one of the federal political parties here in Canada?
10	var090	Federal Party Father Preferred	discrete	numeric-2.0	1913	1464	Which party was that?
11	var091	Did Mother Prefer Federal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2604	773	Did your Mother have any particular preference for one of the federal parties here in Canada?
12	var092	Federal Party Mother Preferred	discrete	numeric-2.0	1650	1727	Which party/parties was that?
13	var093	Parent's Interest in Politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	3103	274	When you were growing up, how interested were your parents in federal politics --very interested, somewhat interested, or not at all interested?

Group The Campaign

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var094	Were You Contacted During the Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3342	35	During the federal election campaign, were you personally contacted by any of the local candidates or party workers here in this riding?
2	var095	Contacted by Liberal	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
3	var096	Contacted by Progressive Conservative	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
4	var097	Contacted by New Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
5	var098	Contacted by Other Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
6	var099	Contacted by Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
7	var100	Do Not Know About Contact	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	var101	Contacted by Liberal Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which party was he/she (were they)?
9	var102	Contacted by Progressive Conservative Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
10	var103	Contacted by New Democratic Party Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
11	var1031	Other Mentions of Contact	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
12	var1032	Don't Know Contact's Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
13	var104	Contaced by Phone/Mail	discrete	numeric-1.0	3334	43	Were you contacted in any other ways by the parties during the federal election campaign, for example, by telephone or by having a pamphlet left in your mailbox?
14	var105	Liberal Contacted by Phone/Mail	discrete	numeric-1.0	2661	716	Which parties contacted you and in what way did they do it?
15	var106	Progressive Conservative Contacted by Phone/Mail Contacted by Phone/Mail	discrete	numeric-1.0	2671	706	Which parties contacted you and in what way did they do it?
16	var107	New Democratic Party Contacted by Phone/Mail	discrete	numeric-1.0	2627	750	Which parties contacted you and in what way did they do it?
17	var108	Other Contacted by Phone/Mail	discrete	numeric-1.0	2659	718	Which parties contacted you and in what way did they do it?
18	var110	When You Decided on Your Vote	continuous	numeric-2.0	3319	58	As you know, the federal election was held at the beginning of September. When did you decide how you were going to vote?
19	var111	Considered Voting Another Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3285	92	Was there any point when you thought you might vote for a different party?
20	var112	Which Other Party Considered	discrete	numeric-2.0	777	2600	Which party was that?
21	var113	Event That Influenced Your Vote	continuous	numeric-2.0	3057	320	Sometimes when we think back on a decision, we can recall a specific event that made up our mind. Did any specific event on this card (SHOW CARD 6) make up your mind for you about how you were going to vote?
22	var114	Most Important Media for Information	discrete	numeric-1.0	3355	22	Which source(s), if any, would you say was the most important to you in getting information about the federal election campaign --radio, television, or the newspapers?
23	var115	Saw Party Leaders Debate on TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3352	25	Did you see any of the debates among the federal party leaders on television?
24	var116	Saw French Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2171	1206	Did you see the general debate in French on Tuesday, July 24?
25	var117	Saw English Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2171	1206	Did you see the general debate in English on Wednesday, July 25?
26	var118	Saw Debate on Women's Issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	2119	1258	Did you see the debate on women's issues on Wednesday, August 15th?
27	var119	Turner's Performance in Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	2130	1247	First, how about Turner?
28	var120	Mulroney's Performance in Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	2136	1241	How about Mulroney?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
29	var121	Broadbent's Performance in Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	2095	1282	How about Broadbent?
30	var122	1st Thing Learned from TV Debate	continuous	numeric-3.0	2107	1270	What did you learn, if anything, that you did not already know about the leaders from the television debates?
31	var123	2nd Thing Learned From TV Debate	continuous	numeric-3.0	381	2996	-

Group The Vote

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var124	Did You Vote in 1984 Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3375	2	Now, thinking about this year's federal election, we find that a lot of people weren't able to vote because they were sick, or didn't have time, or had some other reasons for not voting. How about you? Did you vote this time, or did something happen to keep you away from voting?
2	var125	Which Party Did You Vote For	discrete	numeric-2.0	2635	742	For which party did you vote?
3	var126	Reason That You Did Not Vote	continuous	numeric-2.0	474	2903	Was there any particular reason that you didn't vote in the September federal election?
4	var127	Whom You Would Have Voted For	discrete	numeric-2.0	401	2976	If you had voted, who would you have voted for?
5	var128	Most Important Component in 1984 Vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	3145	232	In deciding how you would vote/would have voted in the 1984 federal election, which was the most important to you: the party leaders, the candidates here in this constituency, or the parties taken as a whole?
6	var129	Least Important Component in 1984 Vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	2740	637	Which would you say was the least important?
7	var130	Most Importance Issue> Personal Qualities or Stand on Issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	1540	1837	When you say that (PARTY LEADER OR CANDIDATE MENTIONED) was the most important to you, are you thinking of this person's personal qualities or this person's stand on certain issues?
8	var131	Which Issues You Are Thinking of Specifically -1	continuous	numeric-2.0	737	2640	Which issues are you thinking of specifically?
9	var132	Which Issues You Are Thinking of Specifically - 2	continuous	numeric-2.0	328	3049	-
10	var133	1st Personal Qualities You are Thinking of Specifically	continuous	numeric-2.0	743	2634	When you say personal qualities, what are you thinking of specifically?
11	var134	2nd Personal Qualities You are Thinking of Specifically	continuous	numeric-2.0	405	2972	-
12	var135	Party Most Important Component> General Approach to Government or Position on Issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	1426	1951	When you say that party was the most important to you, are you thinking of the party's general approach to government or its position on certain issues?
13	var136	Which Party Issues Are You Thinking of Specifically -1	continuous	numeric-2.0	491	2886	Which issues are you thinking of specifically?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
14	var137	Which Party Issues Are You Thinking of Specifically - 2	continuous	numeric-2.0	271	3106	-
15	var138	Other Household Persons Eligible to Vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	3357	20	Was there anybody else living in this household at the time of the 1984 federal election who was eligible to vote in it?
16	var139	How Spouse Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	1827	1550	How are they related to you? Can you tell me how each of them voted?
17	var140	How Father Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	139	3238	-
18	var141	How Mother Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	178	3199	-
19	var142	How 1st Family Member Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	414	2963	-
20	var143	How 2nd Family Member Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	152	3225	-
21	var144	How 3rd Family Member Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	49	3328	-
22	var145	How 4th Family Member Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	15	3362	-
23	var146	How 1st Relative Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	56	3321	-
24	var147	How 2nd Relative Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	14	3363	-
25	var148	How 1st Non-Relative Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	88	3289	-
26	var149	How 2nd Non-Relative Voted	discrete	numeric-1.0	29	3348	-
27	var150	Reason 1 Did Not Vote For Preferred Party	continuous	numeric-2.0	335	3042	var150 question details
28	var151	Reason 2 Did Not Vote For Preferred Party	continuous	numeric-2.0	141	3236	Any other reasons?
29	var152	Vote if the Party You Voted for Had Not Run a Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2960	417	Suppose the party you voted for hadn't run a candidate in this constituency in the 1984 federal election--what would you have been most likely to do--vote for another party or not vote at all?
30	var153	2nd Preferred Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	1342	2035	Which other party would you have been most likely to vote for?
31	var154	Least Preferred Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2751	626	Which other party would you have been most likely to vote for?
32	var156	Did You Vote in the 1980 Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3274	103	The last federal election before this one was in February, 1980. Do you remember for sure whether or not you voted in that election?
33	var157	Which Party You Voted for in 1980	discrete	numeric-2.0	2365	1012	Which party did you vote for then?
34	var158	Reason 1 You Changed Party Vote	continuous	numeric-2.0	591	2786	I notice that you changed your vote from 1980 to 1984. Was there any special reason for changing the party you voted for?
35	var159	Reason 2 You Changed Party Vote	continuous	numeric-2.0	236	3141	Were there any other reasons?
36	var160	Did You Vote in 1979 Vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	3109	268	The federal election before that was in 1979. Do you remember for sure whether you voted in that election?
37	var161	Which Party You Voted for in 1979	discrete	numeric-2.0	2111	1266	Which party did you vote for then?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
38	var569	Not Voted for Preference - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
39	var570	Not Voted for Preference - Reason 2	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
40	var571	Difference Between 1980 and 1984 Vote - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
41	var572	Difference Between 1980 and 1984 Vote - Reason 2	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-

Group Parties and Leaders

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var162	Controlling Inflation - Best Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2811	566	-
2	var163	Controlling Inflation - Worst Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2618	759	Controlling inflation - worst?
3	var164	Dealing with the Provincial Governments - Best Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2777	600	Dealing with the provincial governments - best?
4	var165	Dealing with the Provincial Governments - Worst Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2535	842	Dealing with the provincial governments - worst?
5	var166	Dealing with the United States - Best Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2726	651	Dealing with the U.S. - best?
6	var167	Dealing with the United States - Worst Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2379	998	Dealing with the U.S. - worst?
7	var168	Handling Relations with Quebec - Best Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2821	556	Handling relations with Quebec - best?
8	var169	Handling Relations with Quebec - Worst Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2447	930	Handling relations with Quebec - worst?
9	var170	Running the Government Competently - Best	discrete	numeric-1.0	2763	614	Running the government competently - best?
10	var171	Running the Government Competently - Worst	discrete	numeric-1.0	2482	895	Running the government competently - worst?
11	var172	Dealing with Unemployment - Best	discrete	numeric-1.0	2798	579	Dealing with unemployment - best?
12	var173	Dealing with Unemployment - Worst	discrete	numeric-1.0	2497	880	Dealing with unemployment - worst?
13	var174	Providing Social Welfare Measures - Best	discrete	numeric-1.0	2748	629	Providing social welfare measures - best?
14	var175	Providing Social Welfare Measures - Worst	discrete	numeric-1.0	2281	1096	Providing social welfare measures - worst?
15	var176	Protecting the Environment - Best	discrete	numeric-1.0	2424	953	Protecting the environment - best?
16	var177	Protecting the Environment - Worst	discrete	numeric-1.0	2075	1302	Protecting the environment - worst?
17	var178	Limiting the Size of Government - Best	discrete	numeric-1.0	2458	919	Limiting the size of government - best?
18	var179	Limiting the Size of Government - Worst	discrete	numeric-1.0	2298	1079	Limiting the size of government - worst?
19	var180	Dealing with Women's Issues - Best	discrete	numeric-1.0	2573	804	Dealing with women's issues - best?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
20	var181	Dealing with Women's Issues - Worst	discrete	numeric-1.0	2168	1209	Dealing with women's issues - worst?
21	var182	Working for World Peace - Best	discrete	numeric-1.0	2781	596	Working for world peace - best?
22	var183	Working for World Peace - Worst	discrete	numeric-1.0	2063	1314	Working for world peace - worst?
23	var184	Handling the Deficit - Best	discrete	numeric-1.0	2654	723	Handling the deficit - best?
24	var185	Handling the Deficit - Worst	discrete	numeric-1.0	2421	956	Handling the deficit - worst?
25	var186	Most Important Task of Government	discrete	numeric-2.0	3208	169	(SHOW CARD 8) Which of these tasks is the most important to you and which is the second most important in how you personally judge the parties?
26	var187	Second Most Important Task of Government	discrete	numeric-2.0	3192	185	-
27	var188	Order of Reading Image Questions	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
28	var189	Turner: Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	2852	525	-
29	var190	Turner: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2916	461	Turner: competent
30	var191	Turner: Ruthless	discrete	numeric-1.0	2567	810	Turner: ruthless
31	var192	Turner: Commands Respect	discrete	numeric-1.0	2911	466	Turner: commands respect
32	var193	Turner: Nervous	discrete	numeric-1.0	2949	428	Turner: nervous
33	var194	Turner: Decent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2915	462	Turner: decent
34	var195	Turner: Slick	discrete	numeric-1.0	2738	639	Turner: slick
35	var196	Turner: Sincere	discrete	numeric-1.0	2973	404	Turner: sincere
36	var197	Turner: Shallow	discrete	numeric-1.0	2538	839	Turner: shallow
37	var198	Turner: Sure of Himself	discrete	numeric-1.0	3047	330	Turner: sure of himself
38	var199	Turner: Dull	discrete	numeric-1.0	2903	474	Turner: dull
39	var200	Turner: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	2865	512	Turner: warm
40	var201	Turner: Represents Change	discrete	numeric-1.0	2824	553	Turner: represents change
41	var202	Turner: Listens to Views	discrete	numeric-1.0	2676	701	Listens to the views of people in (NAME PROVINCE)
42	var203	Mulroney: Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	2897	480	Mulroney: arrogant
43	var204	Mulroney: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2954	423	Mulroney: competent
44	var205	Mulroney: Ruthless	discrete	numeric-1.0	2615	762	Mulroney: ruthless
45	var206	Mulroney: Commands Respect	discrete	numeric-1.0	2953	424	Mulroney: commands respect
46	var207	Mulroney: Nervous	discrete	numeric-1.0	2974	403	Mulroney: nervous
47	var208	Mulroney: Decent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2955	422	Mulroney: decent
48	var209	Mulroney: Slick	discrete	numeric-1.0	2763	614	Mulroney: slick
49	var210	Mulroney: Sincere	discrete	numeric-1.0	2987	390	Mulroney: sincere
50	var211	Mulroney: Shallow	discrete	numeric-1.0	2551	826	Mulroney: shallow
51	var212	Mulroney: Sure of Himself	discrete	numeric-1.0	3053	324	Mulroney: sure of himself
52	var213	Mulroney: Dull	discrete	numeric-1.0	2925	452	Mulroney: dull
53	var214	Mulroney: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	2879	498	Mulroney: warm

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
54	var215	Mulroney: Represents Change	discrete	numeric-1.0	2886	491	Mulroney: represents change
55	var216	Mulroney: Listens to Views	discrete	numeric-1.0	2718	659	Mulroney: listens to the views of people in (NAME PROVINCE)
56	var218	Broadbent: Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	2742	635	Broadbent: arrogant
57	var219	Broadbent: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2790	587	Broadbent: competent
58	var220	Broadbent: Ruthless	discrete	numeric-1.0	2486	891	Broadbent: ruthless
59	var221	Broadbent: Commands Respect	discrete	numeric-1.0	2803	574	Broadbent: commands respect
60	var222	Broadbent: Nervous	discrete	numeric-1.0	2832	545	Broadbent: nervous
61	var223	Broadbent: Decent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2834	543	Broadbent: decent
62	var224	Broadbent: Slick	discrete	numeric-1.0	2640	737	Broadbent: slick
63	var225	Broadbent: Sincere	discrete	numeric-1.0	2866	511	Broadbent: sincere
64	var226	Broadbent: Shallow	discrete	numeric-1.0	2439	938	Broadbent: shallow
65	var227	Broadbent: Sure of Himself	discrete	numeric-1.0	2911	466	Broadbent: sure of himself
66	var228	Broadbent: Dull	discrete	numeric-1.0	2795	582	Broadbent: dull
67	var229	Broadbent: Warm	discrete	numeric-1.0	2754	623	Broadbent: warm
68	var230	Broadbent: Represents Change	discrete	numeric-1.0	2724	653	Broadbent: represents change
69	var231	Broadbent: Listens to Views	discrete	numeric-1.0	2594	783	Broadbent: listens to the views of people in (NAME PROVINCE)
70	var232	Liberal: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2990	387	Competent
71	var233	Liberal: Listen to Atlantic	discrete	numeric-1.0	2295	1082	Listens to Atlantic Canada
72	var234	Liberal: Listen to Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	520	Listens to Quebec
73	var235	Liberal: Listen to Ontario	discrete	numeric-1.0	2707	670	Listens to Ontario
74	var236	Liberal: Listen to West	discrete	numeric-1.0	2732	645	Listens to Western Canada
75	var237	Liberal: Ethnic Minority	discrete	numeric-1.0	2370	1007	Concerned with the Interests of Ethnic Minority Groups
76	var238	Liberal: Women's Issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	2592	785	Committed to Women's issues
77	var239	Progressive Conservative: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2903	474	Competent
78	var240	Progressive Conservative: Listen to Atlantic	discrete	numeric-1.0	2265	1112	Listens to Atlantic Canada
79	var241	Progressive Conservative: Listen to Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	2794	583	Listens to Quebec
80	var242	Progressive Conservative: Listen to Ontario	discrete	numeric-1.0	2670	707	Listens to Ontario
81	var243	Progressive Conservative: Listen to West	discrete	numeric-1.0	2681	696	Listens to Western Canada
82	var244	Progressive Conservative: Ethnic Minority	discrete	numeric-1.0	2323	1054	Concerned with the Interests of Ethnic Minority Groups
83	var245	Progressive Conservative: Women's Issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	2569	808	Committed to Women's issues
84	var246	New Democratic Party: Competent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2723	654	Competent
85	var247	New Democratic Party: Listen to Atlantic	discrete	numeric-1.0	2102	1275	Listens to Atlantic Canada

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
86	var248	New Democratic Party: Listen to Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	2506	871	Listens to Quebec
87	var249	New Democratic Party: Listen to Ontario	discrete	numeric-1.0	2509	868	Listens to Ontario
88	var250	New Democratic Party: Listen to West	discrete	numeric-1.0	2560	817	Listens to Western Canada
89	var251	New Democratic Party: Ethnic Minority	discrete	numeric-1.0	2234	1143	Concerned with the Interests of Ethnic Minority Groups
90	var252	New Democratic Party: Women's Issue	discrete	numeric-1.0	2493	884	Committed to Women's issues
91	var465	Likes About Turner - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3108	269	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about Mr. Turner?
92	var466	Likes About Turner - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	1519	1858	Anything else?
93	var467	Likes About Turner - 3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	708	2669	Anything else?
94	var468	Dislikes About Turner - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3143	234	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about Mr. Turner?
95	var469	Dislikes About Turner - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	1820	1557	Anything else?
96	var470	Dislikes About Turner -3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	827	2550	Anything else?
97	var471	Likes About Mulroney -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3191	186	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about Mr. Mulroney?
98	var472	Likes About Mulroney - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	2187	1190	Anything else?
99	var473	Likes About Mulroney - 3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	1324	2053	Anything else?
100	var474	Dislikes About Mulroney -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3161	216	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about Mr. Mulroney?
101	var475	Dislikes About Muloney - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	1500	1877	Anything else?
102	var476	Dislikes About Mulroney - 3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	487	2890	Anything else?
103	var477	Likes About Broadbent -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3132	245	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about Mr. Broadbent?
104	var478	Likes About Broadbent - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	2035	1342	Anything else?
105	var479	Likes About Broadbent - 3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	1192	2185	Anything else?
106	var480	Dislikes About Broadbent - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3111	266	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about Mr. Broadbent?
107	var481	Dislikes About Broadbent -2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	285	3092	Anything else?
108	var482	Dislikes About Broadbent -3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	48	3329	Anything else?
109	var483	Likes About Liberals -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3020	357	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the federal Liberal party?
110	var484	Likes About Liberals -2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	460	2917	Anything else?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
111	var485	Dislikes About Liberals -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3099	278	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about the federal Liberal party?
112	var486	Dislikes About Liberals - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	810	2567	Anything else?
113	var487	Likes About Progressive Conservatives -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3070	307	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the federal Progressive Conservative party?
114	var488	Likes About Progressive Conservatives- 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	745	2632	Anything else?
115	var489	Dislikes About Progressive Conservatives -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3068	309	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about the federal Progressive Conservative party?
116	var490	Dislikes About Progressive Conservatives - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	333	3044	Anything else?
117	var491	Likes About New Democratic Party - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3025	352	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the federal NDP?
118	var492	Likes About New Democratic Party - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	520	2857	Anything else?
119	var493	Dislikes About New Democratic Party -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3028	349	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about the federal NDP?
120	var494	Dislikes About New Democratic Party - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	259	3118	Anything else?

Group Provincial Parties

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var253	Provincial Party Identification - Preference	discrete	numeric-2.0	2902	475	Thinking of provincial politics here in (NAME PROVINCE), generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as: a Liberal, Progressive Conservative, NDP, Social Credit, or what?
2	var254	Provincial Party Identification - Intensity	discrete	numeric-1.0	2847	530	How strongly (PARTY NAMED OR "INDEPENDENT" IN G-1-a) do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
3	var255	Provincial Party Identification - Reason 1	continuous	numeric-3.0	3377	0	Why do you think of yourself as a (PARTY NAMED OR "INDEPENDENT" IN G-1-a) here in (NAME PROVINCE)?
4	var256	Provincial Party Identification - Reason 2	continuous	numeric-3.0	3377	0	Any other reasons?
5	var257	Closer to One Provincial Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	482	2895	-
6	var258	Provincial Party Feels Closer to	discrete	numeric-2.0	113	3264	Which party is that?
7	var259	Ever Closer to Another Provincial Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3203	174	(G-3-a) Was there ever a time when you felt closer to any other provincial party? / (G-3-b) Well, was there ever a

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							time when you felt close to a provincial party?
8	var260	Provincial Party Felt Close To	discrete	numeric-2.0	955	2422	Which party was that?
9	var261	Did You Vote in Next-to-Last Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3264	113	Now, thinking about the previous provincial election in (SPECIFY APPROPRIATE MONTH AND YEAR) Did you vote in that election or did something keep you away from voting?
10	var262	Party Voted in Next-to-Last Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	2352	1025	For which party did you vote?
11	var263	Did You Vote in 1984 Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	108	3269	Did you vote in the 1984 (NAME PROVINCE) provincial election?
12	var264	Party Voted for in 1984 Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	78	3299	For which party did you vote?
13	var265	Vote in Provincial Election Today	discrete	numeric-2.0	2542	835	If a provincial general election were being held today here in (NAME PROVINCE), for which party would you vote?
14	var266	Provincial Party Leaning Towards	discrete	numeric-2.0	367	3010	Well, would you say that you lean toward one of the prov parties here in (NAME PROVINCE)? Which party is that?
15	var267	Second Party You Would Vote for in Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	2283	1094	Which party would be your second choice?
16	var268	Party You Would Now Vote in Federal Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	2920	457	Let's go back for a moment to federal politics. If a federal election were being held today in Canada, for which party would you vote?
17	var269	Federal Party Leaning Towards	discrete	numeric-2.0	143	3234	Well, would you say that you lean towards one of the parties? Which party is that?
18	var495	Why Provincial and Federal Votes Differ - 1st Reason	continuous	numeric-3.0	791	2586	Many people vote differently in provincial and federal elections. Is there any particular reason why you would vote for different parties provincially and federally?
19	var496	Why Provincial and Federal Votes Differ - 2nd Reason	continuous	numeric-3.0	714	2663	Is there any other reason?
20	var497	Same Vote in Provincial and Federal Elections - 1st Reason	continuous	numeric-3.0	1829	1548	Many people vote the same way in provincial and federal elections. Is there any particular reason why you would vote for the same party provincially and federally?
21	var498	Same Vote in Provincial and Federal Elections - 2nd Reason	continuous	numeric-3.0	1446	1931	Is there any other reason?
22	var499	Voted in 1980 Quebec Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	745	2632	Did you vote in the 1980 Quebec referendum on sovereignty-association?
23	var500	Vote in Sovereignty-Association	discrete	numeric-1.0	541	2836	Did you vote yes to negotiate sovereignty-association or did you vote no against negotiating sovereignty-association?

Group Social and Political Attitudes I							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var271	Number of Groups with Power	discrete	numeric-1.0	2818	559	What do you think?
2	var272	First Power Group in Canada	continuous	numeric-2.0	1670	1707	Which group(s) do you have in mind?
3	var273	Second Power Group in Canada	continuous	numeric-2.0	960	2417	-
4	var274	Third Power Group in Canada	continuous	numeric-2.0	454	2923	-
5	var275	Most Powerful Group in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3199	178	Which of the groups of people on this list (SHOW CARD 12) has the most power in Canada today?
6	var276	Second Most Powerful Group in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3155	222	Which is the second most powerful group?
7	var277	Third Most Powerful Group in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2994	383	Which is the least powerful group, as you see it?
8	var278	Unemployment: People Not Willing to Work Hard	discrete	numeric-1.0	3281	96	People are not willing to work hard enough.
9	var279	Unemployment: Government Discouraged Job Creation	discrete	numeric-1.0	3112	265	Government policies have discouraged business from creating jobs.
10	var280	Unemployment: Expect Too Much Pay	discrete	numeric-1.0	3289	88	People expect to be paid too much.
11	var281	Unemployment: Government is Spending Too Much	discrete	numeric-1.0	3230	147	Governments have been spending too much.
12	var282	Unemployment: People Not Willing to Relocate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3175	202	People are not willing to move to where the jobs are.
13	var283	Unemployment: Financial Problems Outside of Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2865	512	Financial problems outside of Canada
14	var284	Thermometer: Left-Wingers	continuous	numeric-3.0	1578	1799	Left-wingers
15	var285	Thermometer: Right-Wingers	continuous	numeric-3.0	1606	1771	Right-wingers
16	var286	Thermometer: French Canadians	continuous	numeric-3.0	3008	369	French-Canadians
17	var287	Thermometer: English Canadians	continuous	numeric-3.0	3057	320	English Canadians
18	var288	Thermometer: Jews	continuous	numeric-3.0	2690	687	Jews
19	var289	Thermometer: Whites	continuous	numeric-3.0	2958	419	Whites
20	var290	Thermometer: Non-Whites	continuous	numeric-3.0	2916	461	Non-Whites
21	var291	Thermometer: Women's Movement	continuous	numeric-3.0	2952	425	The Women's Movement
22	var292	Thermometer: Those Who Run Churches	continuous	numeric-3.0	2943	434	The people who run the churches
23	var293	Thermometer: Those Who Run Schools	continuous	numeric-3.0	3032	345	The people who run the school systems
24	var294	Thermometer: Those Who Run Unions	continuous	numeric-3.0	3026	351	The people who run the labour unions
25	var295	Thermometer: Those Who Run the Federal Government	continuous	numeric-3.0	3070	307	The people who run the federal government
26	var296	Thermometer: Those Who Run Large Corporations	continuous	numeric-3.0	2895	482	The people who run the large business corporations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
27	var297	Thermometer: Those Who Run Provincial Government	continuous	numeric-3.0	3056	321	The people who run your provincial government
28	var298	Thermometer: Those Who Run Newspapers	continuous	numeric-3.0	2936	441	The people who run the newspapers
29	var299	Thermometer: Those Who Run T.V.	continuous	numeric-3.0	2982	395	The people who run television
30	var301	Thermometer: John Turner	continuous	numeric-3.0	2950	427	John Turner
31	var302	Thermometer: Brian Mulroney	continuous	numeric-3.0	3029	348	Brian Mulroney
32	var303	Thermometer: Ed Broadbent	continuous	numeric-3.0	2924	453	Ed Broadbent
33	var304	Thermometer: Pierre Trudeau	continuous	numeric-3.0	3069	308	Pierre Trudeau
34	var305	Thermometer: Joe Clark	continuous	numeric-3.0	2993	384	Joe Clark

Group Ideas About Social Class

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var306	Social Class Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3259	118	One hears a lot about different social classes. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging to a social class?
2	var307	Social Class Belongs To	discrete	numeric-1.0	1507	1870	Which of the following social classes would you say you were in? (SHOW CARD 14 AND READ OPTIONS)
3	var308	Social Class Belongs To	discrete	numeric-1.0	1673	1704	Well, if you had to make a choice, which of these social classes would you say you were in? (SHOW CARD 14 AND READ OPTIONS)
4	var309	Feels Close to Social Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	3012	365	var309 question details
5	var310	Conflict Between Classes Inevitable	discrete	numeric-1.0	3135	242	On the whole, do you think that there is bound to be conflict between different social classes, or do you think they can get along together without any conflict?
6	var311	Do Federal Liberals Favour One Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	410	Where would you place the federal Liberal party on this scale?
7	var312	Do Federal Progressive Conservatives Favour One Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	2932	445	Where would you place the federal Progressive Conservative party?
8	var313	Does the Federal New Democratic Party Favour One Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	2802	575	Where would you place the federal NDP?
9	var314	Do Provincial Liberals Favour One Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	2512	865	First, how much does the provincial Liberal party favour the lower or the higher social classes?
10	var315	Do Provincial Progressive Conservatives Favour One Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	2060	1317	The provincial Progressive Conservatives?
11	var316	Does the Provincial New Democratic Party Favour One Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	2099	1278	The provincial NDP?
12	var317	Does the Provincial Social Credit Party Favour One Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	446	2931	The provincial Social Credit party?
13	var318	Does Union Nationale Favour One Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	487	2890	The Union Nationale?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
14	var319	Does Parti Quebecois Favour One Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	645	2732	The Parti Quebecois?
15	var320	Do Creditistes Favour One Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	389	2988	The Creditistes?

Group Social and Political Ideas II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var321	Order of Reading of K-1	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
2	var322	Government Should Ensure Adequate Housing	discrete	numeric-1.0	3296	81	The government should see that everyone has adequate housing.
3	var323	Doctors and Hospitals: No Extra Billing	discrete	numeric-1.0	3282	95	Doctors and hospitals should not be allowed to extra bill or charge patients more than what the government health plans pay them.
4	var324	Too Great a Difference Between Rich and Poor	discrete	numeric-1.0	3223	154	The difference between the rich and poor is too great in Canada.
5	var325	Government Employee Has No Right to Strike	discrete	numeric-1.0	3190	187	Government employees should not have the right to strike.
6	var326	Management Should Not Replace Strikers	discrete	numeric-1.0	3164	213	During a strike, management should not be allowed to hire workers to take the place of strikers.
7	var327	Government is Not Responsible for Unemployed	discrete	numeric-1.0	3245	132	It is not the responsibility of government to assure jobs for unemployed Canadians.
8	var328	Increase Contribution to NATO	discrete	numeric-1.0	2757	620	Canada should increase its military contributions to NATO.
9	var329	High Incomes Should Mean Greater Taxes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3207	170	People with high incomes should pay a greater share of the taxes than they do now.
10	var330	Government Should Ensure Retired Have Enough Money	discrete	numeric-1.0	3313	64	The government should see to it that older and retired people have enough money to live on.
11	var331	United States Should Aim for Nuclear Superiority	discrete	numeric-1.0	2925	452	The U.S. and its allies should aim for superiority in nuclear weapons.
12	var332	More Jobs for Women from Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3186	191	The government should increase the employment opportunities available to women.
13	var333	Capital Punishment for Murder	discrete	numeric-1.0	3162	215	There should be capital punishment for anyone convicted of murder.
14	var334	Censor Pornographic Magazines/Movies	discrete	numeric-1.0	3212	165	Pornographic magazines and movies should be censored.
15	var335	Pregnant Women Should Decide Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	3204	173	The decision to have an abortion should be the responsibility of the pregnant woman.
16	var336	Homosexual Teachers Permitted	discrete	numeric-1.0	3029	348	People who are homosexuals should be permitted to teach school.
17	var337	Power of Labour Unions	discrete	numeric-1.0	3112	265	The Labour unions
18	var338	Power of Federal Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3087	290	The Federal Government
19	var339	Power of Large Corporations	discrete	numeric-1.0	3010	367	The large business corporations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
20	var340	Power of Provincial Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3076	301	Your Provincial Government
21	var341	Power of Newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	2975	402	The newspapers
22	var342	Power of Television	discrete	numeric-1.0	3021	356	Television
23	var343	Power of the Churches	discrete	numeric-1.0	2956	421	The Churches
24	var344	Power of School Systems	discrete	numeric-1.0	2942	435	The school systems
25	var345	Order of Reading	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
26	var346	Members of Legislative Assembly Lose Touch	discrete	numeric-1.0	3175	202	Generally, those elected to the (PROVINCE NAME) legislature (IN QUEBEC SAY: National Assembly) soon lose touch with the people.
27	var347	Provincial Government Does Not Care What I Think	discrete	numeric-1.0	3220	157	I don't think the (NAME PROVINCE) government cares much about what people like me think.
28	var348	Provincial Politics are Too Complicated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3253	124	Sometimes, (NAME PROVINCE) politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.
29	var349	Have No Say About Provincial Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3245	132	People like me don't have any say about what the government in (NAME PROVINCE) does.
30	var350	Vote Does Not Matter in Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3250	127	So many other people vote in (NAME PROVINCE) provincial elections that it doesn't matter very much whether I vote or not.
31	var351	Those in Provincial Government are Dishonest	discrete	numeric-1.0	2879	498	Many people in the government here in (NAME PROVINCE) are dishonest.
32	var352	Those in Provincial Government Waste Tax Money	discrete	numeric-1.0	3136	241	People in the (NAME PROVINCE) government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes.
33	var353	Can Trust Provincial Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3165	212	Most of the time we can trust people in the (NAME PROVINCE) government to do what is right.
34	var354	Provincial Government is Run by Smart People	discrete	numeric-1.0	3155	222	Most of the people running the government here in (NAME PROVINCE) are smart people who usually know what they are doing.
35	var355	Provincial Vote is Not Based on Religion	discrete	numeric-1.0	3157	220	People should not base their vote on their religion in (NAME PROVINCE) provincial elections.
36	var356	Provincial Vote Not Based on Social Class	discrete	numeric-1.0	3151	226	People should not base their vote on their social class in (NAME PROVINCE) provincial elections.
37	var357	Provincial Vote Not Based on Ethnic Origin	discrete	numeric-1.0	3118	259	People should not base their vote on their ethnic origins in (NAME PROVINCE) provincial elections.

Group Background Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var359	Financial Situation Now	discrete	numeric-2.0	1747	1630	Your present financial situation?
2	var360	Financial Situation Expected in 5 Years	discrete	numeric-2.0	1680	1697	The financial situation you expect to have 5 years from now?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	var361	Financial Situation Should Have	discrete	numeric-2.0	1719	1658	The financial situation you should have right now, all things considered?
4	var362	Education Level	discrete	numeric-1.0	3371	6	What is the highest grade or level of school you reached?
5	var363	Degree Obtained	discrete	numeric-1.0	429	2948	What was the highest degree you obtained?
6	var364	Educated in Religious System	discrete	numeric-1.0	3348	29	Was any of your schooling obtained in a religious or parochial school system?
7	var365	Total Family Member in Household	discrete	numeric-2.0	3377	0	How many people live in this household, including only yourself and other members of your family.
8	var366	Family Members Aged 25+	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
9	var367	Family Members Aged 18-24	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	No. 18 years to 24 years
10	var368	Family Members Aged 13-17	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	No. 13 years to 17 years
11	var369	Family Members Aged 6-12	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	No. 6 to 12 years
12	var370	Family Members Aged Under 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	No. 5 years or younger
13	var371	Religious Affiliation	continuous	numeric-2.0	3339	38	What is your religious affiliation?
14	var372	Frequency of Church Attendance	discrete	numeric-1.0	3314	63	About how often do you go to church (synagogue)? At least once a week, two or three times a month, once a month, a few times a year or less, or never?
15	var373	How Religious Are You	discrete	numeric-1.0	3313	64	Do you consider yourself a very religious person, a fairly religious person, or not a very religious person?
16	var374	Mother-Tongue	continuous	numeric-2.0	3377	0	What language did you first learn as a child that you still speak or understand?
17	var375	Language Spoken at Home	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	What language do you usually speak at home?
18	var376	Other Languages	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Do you speak any other languages than those you have just mentioned?
19	var377	Which Other Language	discrete	numeric-1.0	926	2451	Which ones?
20	var378	Belong to Labour Union	discrete	numeric-1.0	3371	6	Do you belong to a trade or labour union?
21	var379	Which Labour Union Belonging To	continuous	numeric-2.0	609	2768	Which one?
22	var380	Frequency of Union Meeting Attendance	discrete	numeric-1.0	598	2779	How many times in the past year have you attended a union meeting?
23	var381	Family Member in Labour Union	discrete	numeric-1.0	3287	90	Does any member of your family (other than yourself) belong to a trade or labour union?
24	var382	Professional Association - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3366	11	Business, professional, or occupational associations, other than labour unions.
25	var383	Religious Organization - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3371	6	Religious or church-related organizations, such as Knights of Columbus/ a woman's auxiliary.
26	var384	Entertainment/Social Clubs - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	5	Entertainment and social groups such as card clubs or dance groups.
27	var385	Charitable Organization - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3371	6	Charitable organizations, such as United Appeal or Cancer Society.
28	var386	Ethnic Clubs - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3371	6	Ethnic clubs or organizations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
29	var387	Fraternal/Service Organization - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3370	7	Fraternal or service organizations, such as Rotary.
30	var388	Volunteer Organization - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	5	Volunteer organizations, such as hospital auxiliary groups.
31	var389	Sport/Fitness Groups - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3371	6	Sport or fitness groups such as a softball or exercise group.
32	var390	Hobby Groups - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3371	6	Hobby groups, such as a photography or gardening club.
33	var391	Educational Organization - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	5	Education or school-related organizations, such as a Parent Teachers group.
34	var392	Youth Groups - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	5	Youth groups such as boy scouts/girl guides.
35	var393	Neighbourhood Organization - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3372	5	Neighbourhood organizations, such as a ratepayers association.
36	var394	Political Organization - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3371	6	Political organizations, such as a party association.
37	var395	Public Interest Groups - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3371	6	Public interest groups, such as a consumer group.
38	var396	Credit Unions or Co-op Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3370	7	Credit unions or co-ops.
39	var397	Veterans Organizations - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3371	6	Regimental or veterans organizations, such as the Canadian Legion?
40	var398	Women's Organizations - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Women's Organizations
41	var399	All Other Organizations - Member	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	All Other Organizations
42	var400	Country of Birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	3376	1	In what country were you born?
43	var401	Spouse's Country of Birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	2676	701	In what country was your spouse born?
44	var402	Year Came to Live in Canada	continuous	numeric-2.0	442	2935	In what year did you come to live in Canada?
45	var403	Amount of Life Lived in Province	discrete	numeric-1.0	3374	3	How much of your life have you lived in (NAME PROVINCE)?
46	var404	Ever Lived in Other Provinces	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Have you ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada?
47	var405	Ever Lived in Newfoundland	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
48	var406	Ever Lived in Prince Edward Island	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
49	var407	Ever Lived in Nova Scotia	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
50	var408	Ever Lived in New Brunswick	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
51	var409	Ever Lived in Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
52	var410	Ever Lived in Ontario	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
53	var411	Ever Lived in Manitoba	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
54	var412	Ever Lived in Saskatchewan	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
55	var413	Ever Lived in Alberta	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
56	var414	Ever Lived in British Columbia	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
57	var415	Ever Lived in Yukon/North Western Territories	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Which ones?
58	var416	Number of Years in Neighbourhood	continuous	numeric-2.0	3374	3	About how long have you lived in this neighbourhood?
59	var418	Own or Rent Home	discrete	numeric-1.0	3354	23	Do you own the home you live in, or do you rent?
60	var419	Mortgage on Home	discrete	numeric-1.0	2406	971	Do you have a mortgage on your home or not?
61	var420	Father Born in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3364	13	Was your father born in Canada?
62	var421	Father's Father Born in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3206	171	Was your father's father born in Canada?
63	var422	Father's Mother Born in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3184	193	Was your father's mother born in Canada?
64	var423	Mother Born in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3370	7	Was your mother born in Canada?
65	var424	Mother's Father Born in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3231	146	Was your mother's father born in Canada?
66	var425	Mother's Mother Born in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3211	166	Was your mother's mother born in Canada?
67	var426	Ancestor Born in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2793	584	Does any of your family, on either side, go farther back in Canada than any of your grandparents?
68	var427	Father's Country of Birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	971	2406	In which country was your father born?
69	var428	Mother's Country of Birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	930	2447	In what country was your mother born?
70	var429	Father's Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	2853	524	What is the highest grade or level of school your father reached?
71	var430	Mother's Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	2900	477	What is the highest grade or level of school your mother reached?
72	var431	Parent's Occupation When You Were Aged 16	discrete	numeric-2.0	3357	20	What was the occupation or your father or the principal wage earner in your family when you were 16?
73	var432	Parent's Occupation When You Were Aged 16> Statistics Canada Location	continuous	numeric-4.0	3357	20	What did he/she do?
74	var433	Father's Occupation	discrete	numeric-1.0	3244	133	-
75	var434	Ethnic Origin of Male Ancestor	continuous	numeric-2.0	2938	439	What ethnic or cultural group did you or your ancestor on the male side belong to on first coming to North America?
76	var435	Grew Up in City, Suburb, Etc	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	When you were growing up, where did you live--mostly in a city or suburb, mostly in a village or small town, or mostly on a farm or in a rural area?
77	var436	Grew Up Near Large City	discrete	numeric-1.0	2250	1127	Was the (SUBURB, TOWN, VILLAGE, FARM) that you lived in near a large city, or not very near?
78	var437	Respondent's Age - Reported	continuous	numeric-2.0	3377	0	What was your exact age on your last birthday?
79	var438	Respondent's Age - Estimated	continuous	numeric-2.0	79	3298	-
80	var439	Income in 1983	discrete	numeric-2.0	2976	401	(SHOW CARD 18) In which of these letter groups did YOUR total PERSONAL income in 1983 fall, before taxes?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
81	var440	1983 Income Deserved	discrete	numeric-2.0	1508	1869	What in your opinion was the income you deserved in 1983, all things considered?
82	var441	Spouse's 1983 Income	discrete	numeric-2.0	2172	1205	(SHOW CARD 18) In which or these letter groups did the total income of (SPOUSE ASKED ABOUT IN L-3) in 1983 fall, before taxes.
83	var442	1983 Total Family Income - Reported	discrete	numeric-2.0	2712	665	In which of these letter groups did the total income of the family in 1983 fall-- that is, the income of all the family members living here, added together, from all sources and before taxes?
84	var443	1983 Total Family Income - Estimated	discrete	numeric-2.0	454	2923	-
85	var444	Income/ Inflation Over Past 5 Years	discrete	numeric-1.0	3234	143	Over the past five years, has your PERSONAL income kept ahead of increases in the cost of living, stayed the same, or fallen behind some or a lot?
86	var445	Vote for United States President	discrete	numeric-1.0	2586	791	Here are two final questions about politics. Suppose you were able to vote in this year's American presidential election, for whom would you vote--for Mr. Reagan or for Mr. Mondale?
87	var446	Newfoundland Premier Name Stated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
88	var447	Nova Scotia Premier Name Stated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
89	var448	Prince Edward Island Premier Name Stated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
90	var449	New Brunswick Premier Name Stated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
91	var450	Quebec Premier Name Stated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
92	var451	Ontario Premier Name Stated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
93	var452	Manitoba Premier Name Stated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
94	var453	Saskatchewan Premier Name Stated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
95	var454	Alberta Premier Name Stated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
96	var455	British Columbia Premier Name Stated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
97	var524	Employment	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	What is your occupation?
98	var525	Occupation Code - Short List	discrete	numeric-2.0	3356	21	What exactly do you do?
99	var526	Occupation Code - Statistics Canada	continuous	numeric-4.0	3364	13	What exactly do you do?
100	var527	Occupation Type	discrete	numeric-1.0	2554	823	-
101	var528	Received Training for the Job	discrete	numeric-1.0	1070	2307	Did you have any special training for your job?
102	var529	Type of Training Received	discrete	numeric-1.0	437	2940	What sort of training?
103	var530	Company's Line of Business	discrete	numeric-2.0	2338	1039	What does your company do?
104	var531	Farm's Main Product	discrete	numeric-2.0	206	3171	What is the main product?
105	var532	Farm Size in Acres	discrete	numeric-2.0	185	3192	How many acres is the farm?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
106	var533	Self-Employed or Works for Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	2554	823	Are you self-employed or do you work for someone else?
107	var534	Employment Size in Company	discrete	numeric-1.0	2377	1000	How many people, besides yourself, does your company (farm) employ?
108	var535	Number of Subordinates	discrete	numeric-1.0	2252	1125	How many are working under you?
109	var536	Supervises Employees	discrete	numeric-1.0	868	2509	Do you supervise their work?
110	var537	Say in Staff Hiring, Etc	discrete	numeric-1.0	806	2571	How much say do you have in their hiring, firing, pay or promotions? Would you say...
111	var538	Saying in Own Job	discrete	numeric-1.0	2539	838	How much say do you have in what you, yourself, do in your work? Would you say. .
112	var539	Years in Same Career	continuous	numeric-2.0	3330	47	How many years have you been doing this kind or work?
113	var540	Employment Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	2552	825	Are you working full-time or part-time?
114	var541	Marital Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Are you married, widowed, separated, divorced, or are you single?
115	var542	Spouse's Occupation - Short Code	discrete	numeric-2.0	2745	632	What is (was) his/her occupation? (SPOUSE OR FORMER SPOUSE FROM L-2)
116	var543	Spouse's Occupation - Statistics Canada Code	continuous	numeric-4.0	3337	40	What is (was) his/her occupation? (SPOUSE OR FORMER SPOUSE FROM L-2)
117	var544	Spouse's Occupation Type	discrete	numeric-1.0	2169	1208	-
118	var545	Did Spouse Receive Job Training	discrete	numeric-1.0	1017	2360	Did he/she have any special training for his/her job?
119	var546	Type of Training Spouse Received	discrete	numeric-1.0	443	2934	What sort of training?
120	var547	Spouse Company's Business	discrete	numeric-2.0	1969	1408	What does (did) his/her company do?
121	var548	Spouse's Main Farm Product	discrete	numeric-2.0	178	3199	What is (was) the main product?
122	var549	Spouse's Farm Size in Acres	discrete	numeric-2.0	160	3217	How many acres is (was) the farm?
123	var550	Spouse Self-Employed or Not	discrete	numeric-1.0	2169	1208	Is (was) he/she self-employed or does (did) he/she work for someone else?
124	var551	Employment Size of Spouse's Company	discrete	numeric-1.0	1835	1542	How many people, besides him/her does (did) his/her company (farm) employ?
125	var552	Number of Subordinates for Spouse	discrete	numeric-1.0	1811	1566	How many are (were) working under him/her?
126	var553	Spouse Supervises Employees	discrete	numeric-1.0	797	2580	Does (did) he/she supervise their work?
127	var554	Spouse's Say in Hiring, Etc	discrete	numeric-1.0	685	2692	How much say does (did) he/she have in their hiring, firing, pay or promotions? Would you say...
128	var555	Spouse's Say in Job	discrete	numeric-1.0	2120	1257	How much say does (did) he/she have in what he/she does (did) in his/her own work? Would you say...
129	var556	Years Spouse Has Been in Same Career	continuous	numeric-2.0	3282	95	How many years has (had) he/she been doing this kind or work?
130	var557	Spouse's Employment Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	2158	1219	Is he/she working full-time or part-time?
131	var558	Unemployed in Last Five Years	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Have you been laid-off or looking for work at some time during the past five years?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
132	var559	Unemployed in Past Year	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	During the past year?
133	var560	Spouse Unemployed in Last Five Years	discrete	numeric-1.0	2749	628	Has your spouse been laid-off or looking for work at some time during the past five years?
134	var561	Spouse Unemployed in Past Year	discrete	numeric-1.0	2746	631	During the past year?
135	var562	Family Member Unemployed in Past Year	discrete	numeric-1.0	3317	60	Has any other member of your family (other than your spouse) been laid-off or looking for work within the past year?
136	var563	Friends Unemployed in Past Year	discrete	numeric-1.0	3244	133	Have any of your friends been laid-off or looking for work within the past year?
137	var564	Concerns About Lay-Off	discrete	numeric-1.0	1756	1621	How concerned are you that you might be laid-off--very concerned, quite concerned, a little concerned, or not at all concerned?

Group Information Recorded by Interviewer

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var456	Respondent's Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Sex
2	var457	Interviewing Language	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Language of Interview
3	var458	Length of Interview	continuous	numeric-3.0	3377	0	Length of interview in minutes
4	var459	Presence of Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	Others present during interview
5	var460	Duration of Others Present	discrete	numeric-1.0	1709	1668	Were others present during:
6	var461	Month of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
7	var462	Date of Interview	continuous	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
8	var463	Province	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
9	var464	City Size	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-

Group Ideas About Left/Right

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var501	Meaning of Left-Wing - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	1235	2142	First, what does the idea "left" or "left-wing" mean to you?
2	var502	Meaning of Left-Wing - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	476	2901	Anything else?
3	var503	Meaning of Right-Wing - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	1210	2167	What does the idea "right" or "right-wing" mean to you?
4	var504	Meaning of Right-Wing - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	1194	2183	Anything else?
5	var505	Difference Between Left/Right - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	1005	2372	What do you think is the main difference between "left" and "right" or between "left-wing" and "right-wing"?
6	var506	Difference Between Left/Right - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	961	2416	Anything else?
7	var507	Left-Right Self Placement	discrete	numeric-1.0	1869	1508	When you think of your own political opinions, where would you put yourself on this scale?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	var508	Left-Right Self Placement 5 Years Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	1789	1588	Thinking back five or so years ago, where would you have put yourself on this scale at that time?
9	var509	Federal Liberal Party on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1809	1568	Now, where would you place the federal Liberal party?
10	var510	Federal Progressive Conservatives on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1797	1580	Where would you place the federal Progressive Conservative party?
11	var511	Federal New Democratic Party on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1750	1627	And where would you place the federal New Democratic Party?
12	var512	Turner on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1733	1644	Where would you place John Turner?
13	var513	Mulroney on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1790	1587	What about Brian Mulroney?
14	var514	Broadbent on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1757	1620	And Ed Broadbent?
15	var515	Trudeau on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1782	1595	Pierre Trudeau?
16	var516	Clark on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1704	1673	Joe Clark?
17	var517	Provincial Liberal Party on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1587	1790	First, how would you describe the provincial Liberal party on this scale?
18	var518	Provincial Progressive Conservatives on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1311	2066	Where would you place the provincial Progressive Conservatives?
19	var519	Provincial New Democratic Party on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	1338	2039	The provincial NDP?
20	var520	Provincial Social Credit Party on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	310	3067	The provincial Social Credit party?
21	var521	Union Nationale on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	344	3033	Where would you place the Union Nationale?
22	var522	Parti Quebecois on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	421	2956	The Parti Quebecois?
23	var523	Creditistes on Left-Right Scale	discrete	numeric-1.0	286	3091	The Creditistes?

Group Parties and Leaders II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var465	Likes About Turner - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3108	269	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about Mr. Turner?
2	var466	Likes About Turner - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	1519	1858	Anything else?
3	var467	Likes About Turner - 3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	708	2669	Anything else?
4	var468	Dislikes About Turner - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3143	234	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about Mr. Turner?
5	var469	Dislikes About Turner - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	1820	1557	Anything else?
6	var470	Dislikes About Turner -3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	827	2550	Anything else?
7	var471	Likes About Mulroney -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3191	186	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about Mr. Mulroney?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	var472	Likes About Mulroney - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	2187	1190	Anything else?
9	var473	Likes About Mulroney - 3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	1324	2053	Anything else?
10	var474	Dislikes About Mulroney -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3161	216	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about Mr. Mulroney?
11	var475	Dislikes About Muloney - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	1500	1877	Anything else?
12	var476	Dislikes About Mulroney - 3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	487	2890	Anything else?
13	var477	Likes About Broadbent -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3132	245	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about Mr. Broadbent?
14	var478	Likes About Broadbent - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	2035	1342	Anything else?
15	var479	Likes About Broadbent - 3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	1192	2185	Anything else?
16	var480	Dislikes About Broadbent - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	3111	266	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about Mr. Broadbent?
17	var481	Dislikes About Broadbent -2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	285	3092	Anything else?
18	var482	Dislikes About Broadbent -3rd Mention	continuous	numeric-2.0	48	3329	Anything else?
19	var483	Likes About Liberals -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3020	357	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the federal Liberal party?
20	var484	Likes About Liberals -2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	460	2917	Anything else?
21	var485	Dislikes About Liberals -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3099	278	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about the federal Liberal party?
22	var486	Dislikes About Liberals - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	810	2567	Anything else?
23	var487	Likes About Progressive Conservatives -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3070	307	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the federal Progressive Conservative party?
24	var488	Likes About Progressive Conservatives- 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	745	2632	Anything else?
25	var489	Dislikes About Progressive Conservatives -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3068	309	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about the federal Progressive Conservative party?
26	var490	Dislikes About Progressive Conservatives - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	333	3044	Anything else?
27	var491	Likes About New Democratic Party - 1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3025	352	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the federal NDP?
28	var492	Likes About New Democratic Party - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	520	2857	Anything else?
29	var493	Dislikes About New Democratic Party -1st Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	3028	349	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about the federal NDP?
30	var494	Dislikes About New Democratic Party - 2nd Mention	continuous	numeric-3.0	259	3118	Anything else?

Group Screener Information

Notes

VAR001 to VAR015 pertain to information that was recorded on the "Screener Sheet" for each respondent when the field staff first made contact with that person.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	var001	Respondent Identification	continuous	numeric-4.0	3377	0	-
2	var003	Region of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
3	var004	City Size of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
4	var005	Location Number of Interview	continuous	numeric-2.0	3377	0	-
5	var006	Constituency of Interview	discrete	character-3	3377	0	-
6	var007	Selection Grid Number	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
7	var008	Number of Canadian Citizens Aged 18+	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
8	var009	Number of Women Aged 18+	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
9	var010	Result of Call Back Number 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-
10	var011	Result of Call Back Number 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	1933	1444	-
11	var012	Result of Call Back Number 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	917	2460	-
12	var013	Result of Call Back Number 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	360	3017	-
13	var014	Result of Call Back Number 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	100	3277	-
14	var015	Language of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3377	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 641 variable(s)

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var001: Respondent Identification

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3377] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1689 /-] [StdDev=975 /-]
Universe	All respondents

var003: Region of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Newfoundland	134	4.0%
1	Prince edward isl.	112	3.3%
2	Nova scotia	132	3.9%
3	New brunswick	136	4.0%
4	Quebec	779	23.1%
5	Ontario	967	28.6%
6	Manitoba	251	7.4%
7	Saskatchewan	252	7.5%
8	Alberta	263	7.8%
9	British columbia	351	10.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var004: City Size of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Over 500m	1176	34.8%
2	100m to 500m	362	10.7%
3	30m to 99.9m	247	7.3%
4	10m to 29.9m	271	8.0%
5	1m to 9.9m	370	11.0%
6	Rural	951	28.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var005: Location Number of Interview

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=16.92 /-] [StdDev=21.764 /-]
Universe	All respondents

var006: Constituency of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
001	Bonavista-trinity	0	

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var006: Constituency of Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
003	Gander-twillingate	0	
004	Grand falls-w. Bay	0	
005	Humber-port au port	0	
006	St. John's east	0	
007	St. John's west	0	
1		22	0.7%
101	Cardigan	20	0.6%
102	Egmont	16	0.5%
103	Hillsborough	25	0.7%
104	Malpeque	51	1.5%
201	Annapolis valley	0	
202	Cape bret-e richmond	4	0.1%
203	Cape breton highland	7	0.2%
204	Cape breton-sydneys	3	0.1%
205	Central nova	16	0.5%
206	Cumberland	20	0.6%
207	Darmouth-halifax e.	17	0.5%
208	Halifax	19	0.6%
209	Halifax west	7	0.2%
210	South shore	31	0.9%
211	South west nova	8	0.2%
3		15	0.4%
301	Carleton-charlotte	12	0.4%
302	Fundy-royal	30	0.9%
304	Madawaska-victoria	37	1.1%
305	Moncton	17	0.5%
307	Restigouche	11	0.3%
308	Saint john	9	0.3%
309	Westmorland-kent	14	0.4%
310	York-sunbury	6	0.2%
4		24	0.7%
401	Abitibi	7	0.2%
403	Beauce	20	0.6%
404	Beauharnois	16	0.5%
405	Bellechasse	6	0.2%
406	Berthier-maskinonge	12	0.4%
407	Bonaventure	0	
408	Bourassa	2	0.1%
409	Chambly	19	0.6%
410	Champlain	14	0.4%
411	Charlesbourg	16	0.5%
412	Charlevoix	10	0.3%
413	Chateauguay	14	0.4%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var006: Constituency of Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
414	Chicoutimi	6	0.2%
415	Megantic	8	0.2%
416	Blainville	20	0.6%
417	Dollard	25	0.7%
418	Drummond	10	0.3%
419	Duvernay	5	0.1%
420	Frontenac	9	0.3%
421	Gamelin	8	0.2%
422	Gaspé	10	0.3%
423	Gatineau	14	0.4%
424	Montreal-st. Marie	15	0.4%
425	Hull	8	0.2%
426	Joliette	24	0.7%
427	Jonquière	6	0.2%
428	Kamouraska	8	0.2%
429	Labelle	10	0.3%
430	Lachine	27	0.8%
431	Lac-saint-jean	19	0.6%
432	Langelier	19	0.6%
433	La prairie	8	0.2%
434	Lasalle	9	0.3%
435	Laurier	4	0.1%
436	Laval des rapides	6	0.2%
437	Levis	22	0.7%
438	Longueuil	5	0.1%
439	Lotbinière	8	0.2%
440	Louis hebert	12	0.4%
442	Manicouagan	6	0.2%
444	Montreal-mercier	10	0.3%
445	Laval	7	0.2%
446	Missisquoi	6	0.2%
447	Montmorency	14	0.4%
448	Mount royal	14	0.4%
449	Notre dame de grace	5	0.1%
450	Outremont	12	0.4%
451	Papineau	6	0.2%
452	Pontiac-gatineau	7	0.2%
453	Portneuf	12	0.4%
454	Quebec-est	8	0.2%
455	Richelieu	8	0.2%
457	Rimouski	19	0.6%
460	Saint-denis	14	0.4%
461	Saint-jacques	9	0.3%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var006: Constituency of Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
462	Saint-hyacinthe	10	0.3%
463	Saint-jean	23	0.7%
464	Saint-leonard	6	0.2%
465	Saint-maurice	9	0.3%
466	Saint-michel	9	0.3%
467	Shefford	14	0.4%
468	Sherbrooke	14	0.4%
470	Terrebonne	16	0.5%
471	Trois-rivieres	10	0.3%
472	Vaudreuil	26	0.8%
473	Vercheres	14	0.4%
474	Verdun-saint paul	2	0.1%
475	Saint-henri	8	0.2%
5		16	0.5%
501	Algoma	4	0.1%
502	Beaches	14	0.4%
503	Brampton-georgetown	2	0.1%
504	Brant	12	0.4%
505	Broadview-greenwood	14	0.4%
507	Burlington	12	0.4%
508	Cambridge	6	0.2%
510	Davenport	17	0.5%
511	Don valley east	8	0.2%
512	Don valley west	10	0.3%
513	Wellington-dufferin	23	0.7%
514	Durham	5	0.1%
515	Eglinton-lawrence	18	0.5%
516	Elgin	11	0.3%
517	Erie	13	0.4%
518	Essex-kent	9	0.3%
519	Essex-windsor	9	0.3%
520	Etobicoke centre	8	0.2%
521	Etobicoke lakeshore	1	0.0%
522	Etobicoke north	6	0.2%
523	Glengarry-prescott	26	0.8%
524	Grey-simcoe	25	0.7%
525	Guelph	3	0.1%
526	Haldimand-norfolk	9	0.3%
527	Halton	14	0.4%
528	Hamilton east	0	
529	Hamilton mountain	8	0.2%
530	Hamilton-wentworth	9	0.3%
531	Hamilton west	26	0.8%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var006: Constituency of Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
532	Hastings-frontenac	16	0.5%
533	Huron-bruce	11	0.3%
535	Kent	18	0.5%
536	Kingston & islands	7	0.2%
537	Kitchener	21	0.6%
538	Lambton-middlesex	17	0.5%
539	Lanark-renfrew-crltn	6	0.2%
540	Leeds-grenville	15	0.4%
541	Lincoln	8	0.2%
542	London east	5	0.1%
543	London west	16	0.5%
544	London-middlesex	5	0.1%
545	Mississauga north	16	0.5%
546	Mississauga south	10	0.3%
547	Nepean-carleton	5	0.1%
548	Niagara falls	25	0.7%
549	Nickel belt	10	0.3%
550	Nipissing	5	0.1%
551	Northumberland	0	
552	Ontario	6	0.2%
553	Oshawa	8	0.2%
554	Ottawa-carleton	16	0.5%
555	Ottawa centre	10	0.3%
556	Ottawa-vanier	11	0.3%
557	Ottawa west	11	0.3%
559	Parkdale-high park	14	0.4%
560	Parry sound-muskoka	18	0.5%
561	Perth	5	0.1%
562	Peterborough	20	0.6%
563	Pr. Edward-hastings	9	0.3%
564	Renfrew-nipissing	9	0.3%
565	Rosedale	11	0.3%
566	St catharines	14	0.4%
567	St. Paul's	22	0.7%
568	Sarnia-lambton	8	0.2%
569	Sault ste. Marie	0	
570	Scarborough centre	9	0.3%
571	Scarborough east	9	0.3%
572	Scarborough west	8	0.2%
573	Simcoe north	8	0.2%
574	Simcoe south	5	0.1%
575	Spadina	8	0.2%
576	Stormont-dundas	10	0.3%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var006: Constituency of Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
577	Sudbury	20	0.6%
578	Thunder bay-atikokan	14	0.4%
579	Thunder bay-nipigon	7	0.2%
581	Timmins-chapleau	12	0.4%
582	Trinity	0	
583	Victoria-haliburton	5	0.1%
584	Waterloo	10	0.3%
585	Welland	0	
586	Willowdale	15	0.4%
587	Windsor-walkerville	10	0.3%
588	Windsor-west	13	0.4%
589	York centre	3	0.1%
590	York east	15	0.4%
591	York north	19	0.6%
592	York-peel	6	0.2%
593	York-scarborough	24	0.7%
594	York south-weston	4	0.1%
595	York west	3	0.1%
6		32	0.9%
601	Brandon-souris	29	0.9%
604	Lisgar	25	0.7%
605	Portage-marquette	42	1.2%
606	Provencher	20	0.6%
607	Selkirk-interlake	21	0.6%
608	St. Boniface	20	0.6%
609	Winn.-assiniboine	14	0.4%
610	Winn.-birds hill	12	0.4%
611	Winn.-fort garry	23	0.7%
612	Winn. North	13	0.4%
613	Winn. North centre	24	0.7%
614	Winn.-st. James	8	0.2%
7		25	0.7%
701	Assiniboia	19	0.6%
702	Humboldt-lake centre	22	0.7%
703	Kindersley-lloydmin.	20	0.6%
705	Moose jaw	17	0.5%
706	Prince albert	29	0.9%
707	Quappelle-moose mt.	7	0.2%
708	Regina east	14	0.4%
709	Regina west	18	0.5%
710	Saskatoon east	18	0.5%
711	Saskatoon west	20	0.6%
712	Swift current-maple	30	0.9%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var006: Constituency of Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
713	Battlefords-meadow	10	0.3%
714	Yorkton-melville	28	0.8%
801	Athabasca	6	0.2%
802	Bow river	15	0.4%
803	Calgary centre	9	0.3%
804	Calgary east	19	0.6%
805	Calgary north	7	0.2%
806	Calgary south	16	0.5%
807	Calgary west	14	0.4%
808	Crowfoot	6	0.2%
809	Edmonton east	13	0.4%
810	Edmonton north	17	0.5%
811	Edmonton south	12	0.4%
812	Edmonton-strathcona	20	0.6%
813	Edmonton west	6	0.2%
814	Lethbridge-foothill	13	0.4%
815	Medicine hat	22	0.7%
816	Peace river	0	
817	Pembina	18	0.5%
818	Red deer	15	0.4%
819	Vegreville	30	0.9%
821	Yellowhead	5	0.1%
901	Burnaby	22	0.7%
902	Capilano	12	0.4%
903	Cariboo-chilcotin	0	
904	Comox-powell river	9	0.3%
905	Cowichan-malahat	18	0.5%
906	Esquimalt-saanich	9	0.3%
907	Pr. George-peace rv.	25	0.7%
908	Fraser valley east	24	0.7%
910	Kamloops-shuswap	9	0.3%
911	Kootenay east	26	0.8%
912	Kootenay west	9	0.3%
913	Mission-pt. Moody	6	0.2%
914	Nanaimo-alberni	18	0.5%
915	New westminster	14	0.4%
916	N. Vancouver-burnaby	12	0.4%
917	Okanagan north	12	0.4%
918	Okanagan-simikameen	13	0.4%
919	Pr. George-bulkley v	13	0.4%
920	Richmond-south delta	22	0.7%
921	Skeena	7	0.2%
922	Surrey-white rock	19	0.6%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var006: Constituency of Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
923	Vancouver centre	9	0.3%
924	Vancouver east	12	0.4%
925	Vancouver kingsway	14	0.4%
926	Vancouver quadra	4	0.1%
927	Vancouver south	1	0.0%
928	Victoria	12	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var007: Selection Grid Number

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		899	26.6%
2		832	24.6%
3		824	24.4%
4		822	24.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var008: Number of Canadian Citizens Aged 18+

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		647	19.2%
2		1953	57.8%
3		486	14.4%
4		212	6.3%
5		54	1.6%
6		13	0.4%
7		7	0.2%
8		3	0.1%
9	9 or more	2	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var009: Number of Women Aged 18+

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		287	8.5%
1		2605	77.1%
2		400	11.8%
3		67	2.0%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var009: Number of Women Aged 18+

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	4 or more	18	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var010: Result of Call Back Number 1

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Interview completed	1444	42.8%
2	Not available/home	1933	57.2%
3	Language barrier	0	
4	Incomplete interview	0	
5	Refused	0	
6	Not cdn. Citizen	0	
9	Na	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var011: Result of Call Back Number 2

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1933 /-] [Invalid=1444 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Interview completed	1016	52.6%
2	Not available/home	917	47.4%
3	Language barrier	0	
4	Incomplete interview	0	
5	Refused	0	
6	Not cdn. Citizen	0	
9	Na	1444	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var012: Result of Call Back Number 3

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=917 /-] [Invalid=2460 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Interview completed	557	60.7%
2	Not available/home	360	39.3%
3	Language barrier	0	
4	Incomplete interview	0	
5	Refused	0	
6	Not cdn. Citizen	0	
9	Na	2460	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var013: Result of Call Back Number 4

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=360 /-] [Invalid=3017 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Interview completed	260	72.2%
2	Not available/home	100	27.8%
3	Language barrier	0	
4	Incomplete interview	0	
5	Refused	0	
6	Not cdn. Citizen	0	
9	Na	3017	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var014: Result of Call Back Number 5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=100 /-] [Invalid=3277 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Interview completed	100	100.0%
2	Not available/home	0	
3	Language barrier	0	
4	Incomplete interview	0	
5	Refused	0	
6	Not cdn. Citizen	0	
9	Na	3277	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var015: Language of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	2654	78.6%
2	French	723	21.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var016: Interest in the 1984 Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3374 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We have found that people sometimes don't pay too much attention to elections. How about yourself? Were you very interested in the recent federal election, fairly interested, slightly interested, or not at all interested in it?
Notes	A-1 in the documentation.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var016: Interest in the 1984 Election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	1165	34.5%
2	Fairly interested	1268	37.6%
3	Slightly interested	668	19.8%
4	Not interested	273	8.1%
8	Dont know	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var017: Attention to Politics Generally

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you pay much attention to politics generally -- that is, from day to day, when there isn't a big election campaign going on? Would you say that you follow politics very closely, fairly closely, or not much at all?
Notes	A-2 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very closely	625	18.5%
2	Fairly closely	1484	44.0%
3	Not much at all	1263	37.5%
8	Dont know	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var018: Attention to Federal/Provincial/Local Politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3269 /-] [Invalid=108 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you pay more attention to federal politics, provincial politics, or local politics?
Notes	A-3 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	24	0.7%
1	Federal only	828	25.3%
2	Provincial only	468	14.3%
3	Local only	327	10.0%
4	All about equally	1025	31.4%
5	Fed & prov equally	495	15.1%
6	Fed & local equally	43	1.3%
7	Prov & local equally	59	1.8%
8	Dont know	108	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var019: Level of Government Which Affects You the Most

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3169 /-] [Invalid=208 /-]
Universe	All respondents

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var019: Level of Government Which Affects You the Most

Literal question As far as you are concerned PERSONALLY, which government is more important in affecting how you and your family get on, the one in Ottawa, the provincial government here in (PROVINCE) or your local government?

Notes A-4 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	21	0.7%
1	Federal only	1210	38.2%
2	Provincial only	957	30.2%
3	Local only	253	8.0%
4	All about equally	434	13.7%
5	Fed & prov equally	262	8.3%
6	Fed & local equally	16	0.5%
7	Prov & local equally	16	0.5%
8	Dont know	208	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var020: Government Which Comes to Mind First

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3317 /-] [Invalid=60 /-]

Universe All respondents

Literal question When you think of YOUR government, which government comes to mind first, the government of Canada or the government of (NAME PROVINCE)?

Notes A-5 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Canada	1965	59.2%
2	Province	1006	30.3%
3	Neither	66	2.0%
4	Both	280	8.4%
8	Dont know	60	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var021: Satisfaction-Material Side

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3354 /-] [Invalid=23 /-]

Universe All respondents

Literal question Now, I'd like to ask how you and your family are doing these days. First, let's think about the material side of your life--the things you can buy and do--all the things that make up your material standard of living. Would you say that you are very satisfied, fairly satisfied, a little dissatisfied or very dissatisfied with the material side of your life right now?

Notes A-6-a in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	704	21.0%
2	Fairly satisfied	1731	51.6%
3	Little dissatisfied	607	18.1%
4	Very dissatisfied	312	9.3%
8	Dont know	23	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var022: Effect of Federal Government on Family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3149 /-] [Invalid=228 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What has been the effect of the federal government on how you and your family are doing these days--very positive, somewhat positive, somewhat negative, very negative, or is there no connection?
Notes	A-6-b in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	229	 7.3%
2	Somewhat positive	1195	 37.9%
3	Somewhat negative	767	 24.4%
4	Very negative	224	 7.1%
5	No connection	734	 23.3%
8	No opinion/dk	228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var023: Effect of Provincial Government on Family





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3175 /-] [Invalid=202 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How about the (NAME PROVINCE) government?
Interviewer's instructions	SHOW CARD 1
Notes	A-6-c in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	242	 7.6%
2	Somewhat positive	1179	 37.1%
3	Somewhat negative	831	 26.2%
4	Very negative	334	 10.5%
5	No connection	589	 18.6%
8	No opinion/dk	202	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var024: Effect of Big Business on Family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3064 /-] [Invalid=313 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How about Big Business?
Interviewer's instructions	SHOW CARD 1
Notes	A-6-d in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	232	 7.6%
2	Somewhat positive	771	 25.2%
3	Somewhat negative	675	 22.0%
4	Very negative	280	 9.1%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var024: Effect of Big Business on Family

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	No connection	1106	36.1%
8	No opinion/dk	313	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var025: Effect of Labour Union on Family

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3135 /-] [Invalid=242 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And how about Labour Unions?
Interviewer's instructions	SHOW CARD 1
Notes	A-6-e in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very positive	221	7.0%
2	Somewhat positive	611	19.5%
3	Somewhat negative	685	21.9%
4	Very negative	460	14.7%
5	No connection	1158	36.9%
8	No opinion/dk	242	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var026: Standard of Living Compared to One Year Ago

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3356 /-] [Invalid=21 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Compared to your material standard of living one year ago, would you say that you are better off, about the same, or worse off today?
Notes	A-7 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	633	18.9%
2	About same	2026	60.4%
3	Worse off	697	20.8%
8	Dont know	21	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var027: Material State in Four Years

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3138 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Still thinking about the material side of things and looking ahead over the next three or four years, do you think that you will be better off, worse off, or will things stay the same?
Notes	A-8 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	1096	34.9%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var027: Material State in Four Years

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	About same	1566	49.9%
3	Worse off	476	15.2%
8	Dont know	239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var028: Order of Reading

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	A-9 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Read down a to i	1931	57.2%
2	Read up i to a	1446	42.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var029: Elected Member's of Parliament Soon Lose Touch

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3224 /-] [Invalid=153 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Generally, those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people.
Notes	A-9-a in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	898	27.9%
2	Agree somewhat	1504	46.7%
3	Disagree somewhat	543	16.8%
4	Strongly disagree	161	5.0%
7	Neither ag nor disag	118	3.7%
8	No opinion	153	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var030: The Federal Government Does Not Care What People Like Me Think

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3268 /-] [Invalid=109 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	I don't think the Federal Government cares much about what people like me think.
Notes	A-9-b in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	908	27.8%
2	Agree somewhat	1111	34.0%
3	Disagree somewhat	818	25.0%
4	Strongly disagree	303	9.3%
7	Neither ag nor disag	128	3.9%
8	No opinion	109	

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var030: The Federal Government Does Not Care What People Like Me Think

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var031: Federal Politics and Government are Too Complicated

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3312 /-] [Invalid=65 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Sometimes, Federal politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.
Notes	A-9-c in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1041	31.4%
2	Agree somewhat	1119	33.8%
3	Disagree somewhat	645	19.5%
4	Strongly disagree	424	12.8%
7	Neither ag nor disag	83	2.5%
8	No opinion	65	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var032: People Like Me Do Not Have Any Say

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3307 /-] [Invalid=70 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	People like me don't have any say about what the government in Ottawa does.
Notes	A-9-d in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1127	34.1%
2	Agree somewhat	957	28.9%
3	Disagree somewhat	675	20.4%
4	Strongly disagree	473	14.3%
7	Neither ag nor disag	75	2.3%
8	No opinion	70	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var033: It Does Not Matter Whether I Vote or Not

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3320 /-] [Invalid=57 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	So many other people vote in Federal elections that it does not matter very much whether I vote or not.
Notes	A-9-e in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	264	8.0%
2	Agree somewhat	334	10.1%
3	Disagree somewhat	541	16.3%
4	Strongly disagree	2120	63.9%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final






var033: It Does Not Matter Whether I Vote or Not

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Neither ag nor disag	61	 1.8%
8	No opinion	57	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var034: People in the Federal Government are Dishonest






Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2988 /-] [Invalid=389 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Many people in the Federal Government are dishonest.
Notes	A-9-f in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	354	 11.8%
2	Agree somewhat	847	 28.3%
3	Disagree somewhat	831	 27.8%
4	Strongly disagree	615	 20.6%
7	Neither ag nor disag	341	 11.4%
8	No opinion	389	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var035: The Federal Government Wastes Tax Money



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3240 /-] [Invalid=137 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	People in the Federal Government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes.
Notes	A-9-g in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1562	 48.2%
2	Agree somewhat	1121	 34.6%
3	Disagree somewhat	303	 9.4%
4	Strongly disagree	123	 3.8%
7	Neither ag nor disag	131	 4.0%
8	No opinion	137	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var036: We Can Trust the Federal Government to Do What is Right

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3234 /-] [Invalid=143 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Most of the time we can trust people in the Federal Government to do what is right.
Notes	A-9-h in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	352	 10.9%
2	Agree somewhat	1553	 48.0%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var036: We Can Trust the Federal Government to Do What is Right

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Disagree somewhat	792	24.5%
4	Strongly disagree	327	10.1%
7	Neither ag nor disag	210	6.5%
8	No opinion	143	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var037: Smart People Run the Federal Government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3257 /-] [Invalid=120 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Most of the people running the Federal Government are smart people who usually know what they are doing.
Notes	A-9-i in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	538	16.5%
2	Agree somewhat	1535	47.1%
3	Disagree somewhat	709	21.8%
4	Strongly disagree	277	8.5%
7	Neither ag nor disag	198	6.1%
8	No opinion	120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var038: Read About Politics in Papers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3375 /-] [Invalid=2 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How often do you read about politics in the newspapers and magazines?
Notes	A-10-a in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	1420	42.1%
2	Sometimes	1044	30.9%
3	Seldom	586	17.4%
4	Never	325	9.6%
8	Dont know/ref.	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.


var039: Watch Political Programs on TV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3373 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How often do you watch programs about politics on TV?
Notes	A-10-b in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	1101	32.6%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final





var039: Watch Political Programs on TV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Sometimes	1298	 38.5%
3	Seldom	655	 19.4%
4	Never	319	 9.5%
8	Dont know/ref.	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var040: Discuss Politics with Others





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3375 /-] [Invalid=2 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How often do you discuss politics with other people?
Notes	A-10-c in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	794	 23.5%
2	Sometimes	1306	 38.7%
3	Seldom	768	 22.8%
4	Never	507	 15.0%
8	Dont know/ref.	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var041: Convince Friends to Vote the Same as You



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3369 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How often do you try to convince friends to vote the same as you?
Notes	A-10-d in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	205	 6.1%
2	Sometimes	404	 12.0%
3	Seldom	570	 16.9%
4	Never	2190	 65.0%
8	Dont know/ref.	8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var042: Attend a Political Meeting/Rally

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3370 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How often do you attend a political meeting or rally?
Notes	A-10-e in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	129	 3.8%
2	Sometimes	467	 13.9%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var042: Attend a Political Meeting/Rally

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Seldom	651	19.3%
4	Never	2123	63.0%
8	Dont know/ref.	7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var043: Contact Public Officials or Politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3369 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How often do you contact public officials or politicians?
Notes	A-10-f in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	128	3.8%
2	Sometimes	555	16.5%
3	Seldom	693	20.6%
4	Never	1993	59.2%
8	Dont know/ref.	8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var044: Working for Political Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3369 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How often do you spend time working for a political party?
Notes	A-10-g in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	113	3.4%
2	Sometimes	315	9.3%
3	Seldom	328	9.7%
4	Never	2613	77.6%
8	Dont know/ref.	8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var045: Give Money to A Political Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3362 /-] [Invalid=15 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How often do you contribute money to a political party or candidate?
Notes	A-10-h in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	121	3.6%
2	Sometimes	340	10.1%
3	Seldom	324	9.6%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var045: Give Money to A Political Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Never	2577	76.7%
8	Dont know/ref.	15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var046: Does Social Class Have Meaning

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3375 /-] [Invalid=2 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Some people talk about social classes. Does the idea of social classes have any meaning to you?
Notes	A-11-a in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1780	52.7%
2	Unsure/dk	298	8.8%
3	No	1297	38.4%
0	Refused	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var047: Religion People Belong To

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3246 /-] [Invalid=131 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here are ways that some people say social classes are different from each other. As I read them, tell me if you think each of them is an important difference or not really an important difference between classes in Canada today. The first one is religion.
Literal question	Is the religion that people belong to a very important difference between people from different social classes?
Interviewer's instructions	READ REST OF LIST. REPEAT SCALE IF NECESSARY - RESPONSE SCALE FOR A-11-B-1 TO A-11-B-13: REFUSED, VERY IMPORTANT, SOMEWHAT IMPORTANT, NOT IMPORTANT, DON'T KNOW.
Notes	A-11-b-01 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	470	14.5%
2	Somewhat important	896	27.6%
3	Not important	1880	57.9%
0	Refused	4	
8	Dont know	127	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var048: Their Wealth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3266 /-] [Invalid=111 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Their wealth, how much they own.
Notes	A-11-b-02 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1387	42.5%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var048: Their Wealth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Somewhat important	885	27.1%
3	Not important	994	30.4%
0	Refused	5	
8	Dont know	106	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var049: How Much Education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3278 /-] [Invalid=99 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much education they have.
Notes	A-11-b-03 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1345	41.0%
2	Somewhat important	1226	37.4%
3	Not important	707	21.6%
0	Refused	5	
8	Dont know	94	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var050: Where Their Ancestors Came From

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3250 /-] [Invalid=127 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Where their ancestors came from.
Notes	A-11-b-04 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	331	10.2%
2	Somewhat important	868	26.7%
3	Not important	2051	63.1%
0	Refused	5	
8	Dont know	122	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var051: How Ambitious They Are

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3268 /-] [Invalid=109 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How ambitious they are.
Notes	A-11-b-05 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1401	42.9%
2	Somewhat important	1255	38.4%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

var051: How Ambitious They Are

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not important	612	18.7%
0	Refused	5	
8	Dont know	104	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# var052: The Way They Were Brought Up				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3280 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	The way they were brought up.			
Notes	A-11-b-06 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very important	1339	<div></div>	40.8%
2	Somewhat important	1325	<div></div>	40.4%
3	Not important	616	<div></div>	18.8%
0	Refused	5		
8	Dont know	92		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var053: Born in Canada or Not				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3257 /-] [Invalid=120 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Whether they were born in Canada or in another country.			
Notes	A-11-b-07 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very important	368	<div></div>	11.3%
2	Somewhat important	841	<div></div>	25.8%
3	Not important	2048	<div></div>	62.9%
0	Refused	5		
8	Dont know	115		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var054: How Smart They Are				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3272 /-] [Invalid=105 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	How smart they are.			
Notes	A-11-b-08 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very important	1019	<div></div>	31.1%
2	Somewhat important	1340	<div></div>	41.0%
3	Not important	913	<div></div>	27.9%
0	Refused	5		
8	Dont know	100		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var055: Family Wealth When They Were Growing Up				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3272 /-] [Invalid=105 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			

# var055: Family Wealth When They Were Growing Up			
Literal question		How well-off their family was when they were growing up.	
Notes		A-11-b-09 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	848	<div></div> 25.9%
2	Somewhat important	1123	<div></div> 34.3%
3	Not important	1301	<div></div> 39.8%
0	Refused	5	
8	Dont know	100	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var056: The Kind of Work They Do			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3278 /-] [Invalid=99 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		The kind of work they do.	
Notes		A-11-b-10 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1044	<div></div> 31.8%
2	Somewhat important	1230	<div></div> 37.5%
3	Not important	1004	<div></div> 30.6%
0	Refused	5	
8	Dont know	94	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var057: Their Character			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3265 /-] [Invalid=112 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Their character.	
Notes		A-11-b-11 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1448	<div></div> 44.3%
2	Somewhat important	1178	<div></div> 36.1%
3	Not important	639	<div></div> 19.6%
0	Refused	5	
8	Dont know	107	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var058: Their Income			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3284 /-] [Invalid=93 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		How much they earn, their income.	
Notes		A-11-b-12 in the documentation.	

# var058: Their Income			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1263	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
2	Somewhat important	1007	<div><div></div></div> 30.7%
3	Not important	1014	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
0	Refused	5	
8	Dont know	88	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var059: Own Business or Employed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3266 /-] [Invalid=111 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Whether they own their own business or work for somebody else.		
Notes	A-11-b-13 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	753	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
2	Somewhat important	1089	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
3	Not important	1424	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
0	Refused	5	
8	Dont know	106	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var060: Social Class> Most Important Difference			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3169 /-] [Invalid=208 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Of those things you said were very important (IF NONE, ASK: somewhat important) differences between social classes, which one is: The most important difference?		
Interviewer's instructions	SHOW CARD 4		
Notes	A-11-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Religion	166	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
2	Wealth	718	<div><div></div></div> 22.7%
3	Education	321	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
4	Ancestors	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
5	Ambition	433	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
6	Brought up	261	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
7	Canadian born	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
8	Smart	121	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
9	Parents well-off	105	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
10	Work	82	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
11	Character	604	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
12	Income	210	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
13	Self employed	87	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
88	Dont know	45	
99	Na	163	

# var060: Social Class> Most Important Difference			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var061: Social Class> Second Most Important Difference			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3035 /-] [Invalid=342 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which one is the second most important difference?		
Notes	A-11-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Religion	98	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
2	Wealth	258	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
3	Education	382	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
4	Ancestors	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
5	Ambition	443	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
6	Brought up	342	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
7	Canadian born	50	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
8	Smart	227	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
9	Parents well-off	184	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
10	Work	173	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
11	Character	370	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
12	Income	345	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
13	Self employed	130	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
88	Dont know	47	
99	Na	295	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var062: Liberals Favour Working or Middle Class			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2783 /-] [Invalid=594 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Where would you place the federal Liberal party on this scale?		
Notes	A-12-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working class	75	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
2		138	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
3		269	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
4		696	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
5		604	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
6		499	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
7	Middle class	502	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
0	Refused	3	
8	No opinion	591	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var063: Progressive Conservatives Favour Working or Middle Class			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2711 /-] [Invalid=666 /-]		

# var063: Progressive Conservatives Favour Working or Middle Class				
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Where would you place the federal Progressive Conservative party on the same scale?		
Notes		A-12-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Working class	96	<div></div>	3.5%
2		169	<div></div>	6.2%
3		288	<div></div>	10.6%
4		609	<div></div>	22.5%
5		496	<div></div>	18.3%
6		511	<div></div>	18.8%
7	Middle class	542	<div></div>	20.0%
0	Refused	3		
8	No opinion	663		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var064: New Democratic Party Favours Working or Middle Class				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2641 /-] [Invalid=736 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Where on the same scale would you place the federal NDP?		
Notes		A-12-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Working class	690	<div></div>	26.1%
2		679	<div></div>	25.7%
3		467	<div></div>	17.7%
4		372	<div></div>	14.1%
5		153	<div></div>	5.8%
6		140	<div></div>	5.3%
7	Middle class	140	<div></div>	5.3%
0	Refused	3		
8	No opinion	733		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var065: 1st Important Issue in 1984 Election				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/88]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2825 /-] [Invalid=552 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		Now, I would like to ask you some questions about the 1984 federal election.		
Literal question		What, in your opinion, was the most important issue in that election?		
Notes		B-1-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None	332	<div></div>	100.0%
88	Dk	552		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# var066: 1st Issue Important to You				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2485 /-] [Invalid=892 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Was this an important issue for you PERSONALLY?			
Notes	B-1-b in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	1735	<div></div>	69.8%
2	No	750	<div></div>	30.2%
8	Dont know	8		
9	Na	884		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var067: 1st Issue Important to You - Reason 1				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-998] [Missing=*/888/889/999]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1718 /-] [Invalid=1659 /-] [Mean=318.567 /-] [StdDev=275.551 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Why was this issue important to you?			
Notes	B-1-c in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
888	Dk/no opinion	16		
889	Refused	1		
999	Na	1642		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var068: 1st Issue Important to You - Reason 1				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-982] [Missing=*/0/999]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=223 /-] [Invalid=3154 /-] [Mean=375.484 /-] [StdDev=276.124 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Notes	B-1-c in the documentation. See var067 for codes.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No 2nd mention	1495		
999	Na	1659		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var069: Party Best to Deal With 1st Issue				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2108 /-] [Invalid=1269 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Which party or parties do you think can best deal with this issue?			
Notes	B-1-d in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None	289	<div></div>	13.7%
1	Liberal	249	<div></div>	11.8%
2	P.C.	1225	<div></div>	58.1%
3	Ndp	329	<div></div>	15.6%

# var069: Party Best to Deal With 1st Issue			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Lib. & pc.	2	0.1%
5	Lib. & ndp	2	0.1%
6	Pc. & ndp.	3	0.1%
7	Other	9	0.4%
8	Dont know	385	
9	Na	884	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var070: Party Best Capable to Deal With 1st Issue - Reason 1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1737 /-] [Invalid=1640 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose response for B-1-d was not "none", "don't know", or NA.		
Literal question	Why do you think the (PARTY NAMED IN B-1-d) is most capable of dealing with this issue?		
Notes	B-1-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Con't in var5650	90	100.0%
88	Dk/no opinion	81	
89	Refused	1	
99	Na	1558	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var071: Party Best Capable to Deal With 1st Issue - Reason 2			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=501 /-] [Invalid=2876 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	B-1-e in the documentation. See var070 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Con't in var5660	62	100.0%
0	No 2nd mention	1146	
99	Na	1730	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var072: Importance of 1st Issue to Your Vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2426 /-] [Invalid=951 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How important was that issue to you in deciding how to vote in the election?		
Notes	B-1-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1257	51.8%
2	Fairly important	709	29.2%
3	Not very important	460	19.0%
7	Did not vote	4	
8	Dont know	63	
9	Na	884	

# var072: Importance of 1st Issue to Your Vote			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var073: 2nd Important Issue in 1984 Election			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/88]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3303 /-] [Invalid=74 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Was there any other issue that you think was important in the 1984 federal election?		
Notes	B-2-a in the documentation. See var065 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No second issue	884	100.0%
88	Dont know	74	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var074: 2nd Issue Important to You			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1525 /-] [Invalid=1852 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Was this an important issue to you personally?		
Notes	B-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1175	77.0%
2	No	350	23.0%
8	Dont know	10	
9	Na	1842	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var075: 2nd Issue Important to You - Reason 1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-997] [Missing=*/0/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1175 /-] [Invalid=2202 /-] [Mean=355.894 /-] [StdDev=293.006 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Why was this issue important to you?		
Notes	B-2-c in the documentation. See var067 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	0	
999	Na	2202	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var076: 2nd Issue Important to You - Reason 2			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-975] [Missing=*/0/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=142 /-] [Invalid=3235 /-] [Mean=383.268 /-] [StdDev=286.199 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	B-2-c in the documentation. See var067 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd issue	1011	
999	Na	2224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var077: Party Best to Deal With 2nd Issue			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1343 /-] [Invalid=2034 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which party do you think can best deal with this issue?		
Interviewer's instructions	PROBE FOR SINGLE PARTY		
Notes	B-2-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	147	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
1	Liberal	158	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
2	P.C.	811	<div><div></div></div> 60.4%
3	Ndp	218	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
4	Lib. & pc.	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5	Lib. & ndp	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
6	Pc. & ndp.	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
7	Other	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
8	Dont know	192	
9	Na	1842	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var078: Party Best Capable to Deal With 2nd Issue - Reason 1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1196 /-] [Invalid=2181 /-] [Mean=36.185 /-] [StdDev=30.119 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Why do think the (PARTY NAMED IN B-2-d) is most capable of dealing with this issue?		
Notes	B-2-e in the documentation. See var070 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	0	
99	Na	2181	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var079: Party Best Capable to Deal With 1st Issue - Reason 2			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=265 /-] [Invalid=3112 /-] [Mean=39.804 /-] [StdDev=33.641 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	B-2-e in the documentation. See var070 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd issue	786	
99	Na	2326	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var080: 2nd Issue's Importance to Your Vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1509 /-] [Invalid=1868 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If you voted, how important was that issue to you in deciding how to vote in this election?		

# var080: 2nd Issue's Importance to Your Vote			
Notes		B-2-f in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	794	<div></div> 52.6%
2	Fairly important	509	<div></div> 33.7%
3	Not very important	206	<div></div> 13.7%
7	Did not vote	1	
8	Dont know	25	
9	Na	1842	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var081: Federal Party Identification - Preference			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/40/50/80]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2929 /-] [Invalid=448 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Progressive Conservative, NDP or what?	
Notes		C-1-a in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	941	<div></div> 32.1%
2	P.C.	1260	<div></div> 43.0%
3	Ndp	431	<div></div> 14.7%
4	Social credit	7	<div></div> 0.2%
5	Parti nationaliste	5	<div></div> 0.2%
8	Lib. & pc.	3	<div></div> 0.1%
9	Lib. & ndp.	1	<div></div> 0.0%
10	Pc. & ndp.	1	<div></div> 0.0%
11	Lib. & social credit	0	
12	Pc. & social credit	2	<div></div> 0.1%
13	Ndp. & social credit	0	
14	Lib. & parti nat'l	0	
15	Pc. & parti nat'l	0	
16	Ndp & parti nat'l	0	
17	Soci.Cr. & parti nat	0	
18	Other combinations	0	
19	Other single mention	13	<div></div> 0.4%
20	Independent/none	265	<div></div> 9.0%
40	Dont know	271	
50	Refused	177	
80	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var082: Federal Party Identification - Intensity			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2854 /-] [Invalid=523 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		How strongly (PARTY NAMED OR "INDEPENDENT" IN C-1-a) do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?	

# var082: Federal Party Identification - Intensity			
Notes		C-1-b in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	829	<div></div> 29.0%
2	Fairly strongly	1376	<div></div> 48.2%
3	Not very strongly	649	<div></div> 22.7%
7	Refused	1	
8	Dont know	74	
9	Na	448	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var083: Federal Party Identification - Reason 1			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-915] [Missing=*/888/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2711 /-] [Invalid=666 /-] [Mean=394.51 /-] [StdDev=255.072 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Why do you think of yourself as a (PARTY NAMED OR "INDEPENDENT" IN C-1-a)?	
Notes		C-1-c in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dont know	218	
999	Na	448	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var084: Federal Party Identification - Reason 2			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-935] [Missing=*/0/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=778 /-] [Invalid=2599 /-] [Mean=342.967 /-] [StdDev=241.135 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		C-1-c in the documentation. See var083 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	1914	
999	Na	685	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var085: Are You Closer to One Federal Party			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=610 /-] [Invalid=2767 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Do you generally think or yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?	
Notes		C-2-a in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	208	<div></div> 34.1%
2	No	402	<div></div> 65.9%
0	Refused	52	
8	Dont know	51	
9	Na	2664	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var086: Federal Party You Feel Closer To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=171 /-] [Invalid=3206 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for C-2-a.		
Literal question	Which party/parties?		
Notes	C-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	55	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
2	P.C.	72	<div><div></div></div> 42.1%
3	Ndp	41	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
4	Social credit	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
5	Parti nationaliste	0	
8	Lib. & pc.	0	
9	Lib. & ndp.	0	
10	Pc. & ndp.	0	
11	Lib. & social credit	0	
12	Pc. & social credit	0	
13	Ndp. & social credit	0	
14	Lib. & parti nat'l	0	
15	Pc. & parti nat'l	0	
16	Ndp & parti nat'l	0	
17	Soci.Cr. & parti nat	0	
18	Other combinations	0	
19	Other single mention	0	
20	Independent/none	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
40	Dont know	1	
50	Refused	36	
80	Na	3169	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var087: Have You Ever Felt Closer to Another Federal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3267 /-] [Invalid=110 /-]		
Universe	C-3-a asked only to respondents who named a party in C-1-a or C-1-b. C-3-b asked only to respondents who answered "no", "refused", or "don't know" for C-2-a, or "no party named" in C-2-b.		
Literal question	Was there ever a time when you felt closer to any other federal party?(Q.C-3a)/ Well, was there ever a time when you felt close to a federal party? (Q.C-3b)		
Notes	C-3-a/b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1161	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%
2	No	2106	<div><div></div></div> 64.5%
0	Refused	60	
8	Dont know	50	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var088: Party You Once Felt Close To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		

# var088: Party You Once Felt Close To			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1121 /-] [Invalid=2256 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for C-3-a/b		
Literal question	Which party/parties was that?		
Notes	C-3-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	581	<div><div></div></div> 51.8%
2	P.C.	268	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
3	Ndp	233	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
4	Social credit	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
5	Parti nationaliste	0	
8	Lib. & pc.	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9	Lib. & ndp.	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
10	Pc. & ndp.	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
11	Lib. & social credit	0	
12	Pc. & social credit	0	
13	Ndp. & social credit	0	
14	Lib. & parti nat'l	0	
15	Pc. & parti nat'l	0	
16	Ndp & parti nat'l	0	
17	Soci.Cr. & parti nat	0	
18	Other combinations	0	
19	Other single mention	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
20	Independent/none	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
40	Dont know	8	
50	Refused	32	
80	Na	2216	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var089: Did Father Prefer a Federal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2618 /-] [Invalid=759 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	When you were growing up, did your father have any particular preference for one of the federal political parties here in Canada?		
Notes	C-4-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2006	<div><div></div></div> 76.6%
2	No	612	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
0	Refused	18	
7	Not cdn citizen	202	
8	Dont know	539	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var090: Federal Party Father Preferred			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1913 /-] [Invalid=1464 /-]		

# var090: Federal Party Father Preferred			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for C-4-a.		
Literal question	Which party was that?		
Notes	C-4-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	978	<div><div></div></div> 51.1%
2	P.C.	669	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
3	Ndp	218	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
4	Social credit	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
5	Parti nationaliste	0	
8	Lib. & pc.	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	Lib. & ndp.	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Pc. & ndp.	0	
11	Lib. & social credit	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
12	Pc. & social credit	0	
13	Ndp. & social credit	0	
14	Lib. & parti nat'l	0	
15	Pc. & parti nat'l	0	
16	Ndp & parti nat'l	0	
17	Soci.Cr. & parti nat	0	
18	Other combinations	0	
19	Other single mention	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20	Independent/none	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
40	Dont know	61	
50	Refused	32	
80	Na	1371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var091: Did Mother Prefer Federal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2604 /-] [Invalid=773 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did your Mother have any particular preference for one of the federal parties here in Canada?		
Notes	C-5-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1714	<div><div></div></div> 65.8%
2	No	890	<div><div></div></div> 34.2%
0	Refused	20	
7	Not cdn citizen	200	
8	Dont know	553	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var092: Federal Party Mother Preferred			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1650 /-] [Invalid=1727 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for C-5-a.		
Literal question	Which party/parties was that?		

# var092: Federal Party Mother Preferred			
Notes		C-5-b in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	892	<div><div></div></div> 54.1%
2	P.C.	556	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%
3	Ndp	166	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
4	Social credit	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
5	Parti nationaliste	0	
8	Lib. & pc.	1	0.1%
9	Lib. & ndp.	1	0.1%
10	Pc. & ndp.	3	0.2%
11	Lib. & social credit	1	0.1%
12	Pc. & social credit	1	0.1%
13	Ndp. & social credit	0	
14	Lib. & parti nat'l	0	
15	Pc. & parti nat'l	0	
16	Ndp & parti nat'l	0	
17	Soci.Cr. & parti nat	0	
18	Other combinations	0	
19	Other single mention	1	0.1%
20	Independent/none	0	
40	Dont know	42	
50	Refused	22	
80	Na	1663	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var093: Parent's Interest in Politics			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3103 /-] [Invalid=274 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		When you were growing up, how interested were your parents in federal politics --very interested, somewhat interested, or not at all interested?	
Notes		C-6 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	834	<div><div></div></div> 26.9%
2	Somewhat interested	1503	<div><div></div></div> 48.4%
3	Not interested	468	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
4	Only father interest	248	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
5	Only mother interest	50	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
0	Refused	13	
8	Dont know	261	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var094: Were You Contacted During the Campaign			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3342 /-] [Invalid=35 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	

# var094: Were You Contacted During the Campaign			
Literal question	During the federal election campaign, were you personally contacted by any of the local candidates or party workers here in this riding?		
Notes	D-1-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1520	<div></div> 45.5%
2	No	1822	<div></div> 54.5%
8	Dont know	35	
9	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var095: Contacted by Liberal			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for D-1-a.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Interviewer's instructions	PROBE		
Notes	D-1-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	798	<div></div> 23.6%
9		2579	<div></div> 76.4%
2	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var096: Contacted by Progressive Conservative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	D-1-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1031	<div></div> 30.5%
9		2346	<div></div> 69.5%
2	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var097: Contacted by New Democratic Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	D-1-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	603	<div></div> 17.9%
9		2774	<div></div> 82.1%
2	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# var098: Contacted by Other Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	D-1-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	30	0.9%
9		3347	99.1%
2	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var099: Contacted by Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	D-1-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	44	1.3%
9		3333	98.7%
2	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var100: Do Not Know About Contact			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	D-1-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	54	1.6%
9		3323	98.4%
2	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var101: Contacted by Liberal Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who mentioned candidates for D-1-b.		
Literal question	Which party was he/she (were they)?		
Interviewer's instructions	RECORD ALL MENTIONED		
Notes	D-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	24	0.7%
9		3353	99.3%
2	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var102: Contacted by Progressive Conservative Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	D-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	36	1.1%
9		3341	98.9%
2	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var103: Contacted by New Democratic Party Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	D-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12	0.4%
9		3365	99.6%
2	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var1031: Other Mentions of Contact			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	D-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3	0.1%
9		3374	99.9%
2	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var1032: Don't Know Contact's Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/2]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	D-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5	0.1%
9		3372	99.9%
2	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var104: Contacted by Phone/Mail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3334 /-] [Invalid=43 /-]		

# var104: Contacted by Phone/Mail			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Were you contacted in any other ways by the parties during the federal election campaign, for example, by telephone or by having a pamphlet left in your mailbox?		
Notes	D-2-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2798	<div><div></div></div> 83.9%
2	No	536	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
8	Dont know	43	
9	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var105: Liberal Contacted by Phone/Mail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2661 /-] [Invalid=716 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for D-2-a.		
Literal question	Which parties contacted you and in what way did they do it?		
Interviewer's instructions	LEFT AT DOOR = MAIL BOX		
Notes	D-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	406	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
1	Mail only	1793	<div><div></div></div> 67.4%
2	Phone only	80	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
3	Mail & phone	332	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
4	Other	50	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
8	Cant remember	137	
9	Na	579	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var106: Progressive Conservative Contacted by Phone/Mail Contacted by Phone/Mail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2671 /-] [Invalid=706 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for D-2-a.		
Literal question	Which parties contacted you and in what way did they do it?		
Interviewer's instructions	LEFT AT DOOR = MAIL BOX		
Notes	D-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	240	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
1	Mail only	1842	<div><div></div></div> 69.0%
2	Phone only	89	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
3	Mail & phone	423	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
4	Other	77	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
8	Cant remember	127	
9	Na	579	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var107: New Democratic Party Contacted by Phone/Mail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2627 /-] [Invalid=750 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for D-2-a.		
Literal question	Which parties contacted you and in what way did they do it?		
Interviewer's instructions	LEFT AT DOOR = MAIL BOX		
Notes	D-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	954	<div><div></div></div> 36.3%
1	Mail only	1367	<div><div></div></div> 52.0%
2	Phone only	45	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
3	Mail & phone	218	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
4	Other	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
8	Cant remember	171	
9	Na	579	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var108: Other Contacted by Phone/Mail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2659 /-] [Invalid=718 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for D-2-a.		
Literal question	Which parties contacted you and in what way did they do it?		
Interviewer's instructions	LEFT AT DOOR = MAIL BOX		
Notes	D-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2403	<div><div></div></div> 90.4%
1	Mail only	217	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
2	Phone only	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
3	Mail & phone	24	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
4	Other	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8	Cant remember	139	
9	Na	579	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var110: When You Decided on Your Vote			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-89] [Missing=*/88]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3319 /-] [Invalid=58 /-] [Mean=13.494 /-] [StdDev=12.026 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	As you know, the federal election was held at the beginning of September. When did you decide how you were going to vote?		
Interviewer's instructions	ENCOURAGE R TO BE AS SPECIFIC AS POSSIBLE		
Notes	D-3-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	58	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var111: Considered Voting Another Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3285 /-] [Invalid=92 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Was there any point when you thought you might vote for a different party?		
Notes	D-3-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	837	<div></div> 25.5%
2	No	2448	<div></div> 74.5%
8	Dont know	92	
9	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var112: Which Other Party Considered			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-40] [Missing=*/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=777 /-] [Invalid=2600 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for D-3-b.		
Literal question	Which party was that?		
Notes	D-3-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	260	<div></div> 33.5%
2	P.C.	221	<div></div> 28.4%
3	Ndp	205	<div></div> 26.4%
4	Social credit	2	<div></div> 0.3%
5	Parti nationaliste	6	<div></div> 0.8%
8		2	<div></div> 0.3%
9		1	<div></div> 0.1%
10		1	<div></div> 0.1%
12		1	<div></div> 0.1%
18		3	<div></div> 0.4%
19		33	<div></div> 4.2%
20		1	<div></div> 0.1%
30	Did not vote	14	<div></div> 1.8%
40		27	<div></div> 3.5%
50	Refused	60	
80	Na	2540	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var113: Event That Influenced Your Vote			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-58] [Missing=*/88/89]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3057 /-] [Invalid=320 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Sometimes when we think back on a decision, we can recall a specific event that made up our mind. Did any specific event on this card (SHOW CARD 6) make up your mind for you about how you were going to vote?		
Interviewer's instructions	IF TWO OR MORE EVENTS MENTIONED, ASK FOR ONE MOST IMPORTANT EVENT. CODE MORE THAN 1 IF R PERSISTS.		
Notes	D-3-d in the documentation.		

# var113: Event That Influenced Your Vote			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No event mention	1638	<div><div></div></div> 56.4%
1	Pc leadership conv.	285	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
2	Trudeau retirement	381	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
3	Lib leadership conv.	191	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
4	Debates in july	242	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
5	Women iss. Debate	167	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
88	Cant recall event	319	
89	Refused	1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var114: Most Important Media for Information			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3355 /-] [Invalid=22 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which source(s), if any, would you say was the most important to you in getting information about the federal election campaign --radio, television, or the newspapers?		
Notes	D-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	117	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
1	Radio only	120	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
2	T.V only	1362	<div><div></div></div> 40.6%
3	Newspapers only	439	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
4	Radio & tv.	227	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
5	Radio & paper	65	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
6	Tv. & paper	608	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
7	All equally	391	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
9	Other	26	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	Dont know	22	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var115: Saw Party Leaders Debate on TV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3352 /-] [Invalid=25 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did you see any of the debates among the federal party leaders on television?		
Notes	D-5-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2237	<div><div></div></div> 66.7%
2	No	1115	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
8	Dk	25	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var116: Saw French Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2171 /-] [Invalid=1206 /-]		

# var116: Saw French Debate			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for D-5-a.		
Literal question	Did you see the general debate in French on Tuesday, July 24?		
Notes	D-5-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	708	<div></div> 32.6%
2	No	1463	<div></div> 67.4%
8	Dont know	66	
9	Na	1140	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var117: Saw English Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2171 /-] [Invalid=1206 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for D-5-a.		
Literal question	Did you see the general debate in English on Wednesday, July 25?		
Notes	D-5-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1682	<div></div> 77.5%
2	No	489	<div></div> 22.5%
8	Dont know	66	
9	Na	1140	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var118: Saw Debate on Women's Issues			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2119 /-] [Invalid=1258 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for D-5-a.		
Literal question	Did you see the debate on women's issues on Wednesday, August 15th?		
Notes	D-5-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1245	<div></div> 58.8%
2	No	874	<div></div> 41.2%
8	Dont know	118	
9	Na	1140	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var119: Turner's Performance in Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2130 /-] [Invalid=1247 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	In your opinion, how well did each of the leaders perform in the debates that you saw? (SHOW CARD 7) The closer to "10", the better you think a leader did, and the closer to "0", the poorer you think he did.		
Literal question	First, how about Turner?		
Notes	D-5-c in the documentation.		

# var119: Turner's Performance in Debate				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Very poorly	91	<div><div></div></div>	4.3%
1		119	<div><div></div></div>	5.6%
2		234	<div><div></div></div>	11.0%
3		384	<div><div></div></div>	18.0%
4		363	<div><div></div></div>	17.0%
5		424	<div><div></div></div>	19.9%
6		207	<div><div></div></div>	9.7%
7		157	<div><div></div></div>	7.4%
8		101	<div><div></div></div>	4.7%
9		18	<div><div></div></div>	0.8%
10	Very well	32	<div><div></div></div>	1.5%
88	No opinion	107		
99	Na	1140		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var120: Mulroney's Performance in Debate				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/88/99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2136 /-] [Invalid=1241 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	How about Mulroney?			
Notes	D-5-c in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Very poorly	25	<div><div></div></div>	1.2%
1		27	<div><div></div></div>	1.3%
2		43	<div><div></div></div>	2.0%
3		45	<div><div></div></div>	2.1%
4		120	<div><div></div></div>	5.6%
5		264	<div><div></div></div>	12.4%
6		298	<div><div></div></div>	14.0%
7		424	<div><div></div></div>	19.9%
8		491	<div><div></div></div>	23.0%
9		251	<div><div></div></div>	11.8%
10	Very well	148	<div><div></div></div>	6.9%
88	No opinion	101		
99	Na	1140		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var121: Broadbent's Performance in Debate				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/88/99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2095 /-] [Invalid=1282 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	How about Broadbent?			
Notes	D-5-c in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Very poorly	33	<div><div></div></div>	1.6%

# var121: Broadbent's Performance in Debate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		36	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2		67	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
3		111	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
4		163	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
5		321	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
6		256	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
7		316	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
8		367	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
9		256	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
10	Very well	169	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
88	No opinion	142	
99	Na	1140	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var122: 1st Thing Learned from TV Debate			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-908] [Missing=*/888/889/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=1270 /-] [Mean=136.465 /-] [StdDev=230.563 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What did you learn, if anything, that you did not already know about the leaders from the television debates?		
Notes	D-5-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dont know	126	
889	Refused	4	
999	Na	1140	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var123: 2nd Thing Learned From TV Debate			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-760] [Missing=*/899/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=381 /-] [Invalid=2996 /-] [Mean=328.525 /-] [StdDev=255.516 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	D-5-d in the documentation. See var122 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
899	No 2nd mention	489	
999	Na	2507	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var124: Did You Vote in 1984 Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3375 /-] [Invalid=2 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Now, thinking about this year's federal election, we find that a lot of people weren't able to vote because they were sick, or didn't have time, or had some other reasons for not voting. How about you? Did you vote this time, or did something happen to keep you away from voting?		
Notes	E-1-a in the documentation.		

# var124: Did You Vote in 1984 Election			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voted	2889	<div><div></div></div> 85.6%
2	Did not vote	486	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
8	Dont know	2	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var125: Which Party Did You Vote For			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2635 /-] [Invalid=742 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the 1984 election, as indicated in E-1-a.		
Literal question	For which party did you vote?		
Notes	E-1-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	649	<div><div></div></div> 24.6%
2	P.C.	1504	<div><div></div></div> 57.1%
3	Ndp	420	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
4	Social credit	1	0.0%
5	Parti nationaliste	8	0.3%
8	Communist	1	0.0%
9	Green party	4	0.2%
10	Libertarian	2	0.1%
11	Pcc-commonwealth cdn	0	
12	Rhino	12	0.5%
13	Spoiled ballot	2	0.1%
14	All others	0	
15	Conf. Of region west	18	0.7%
16	West. Can. Concept	4	0.2%
20	Independent/none	10	0.4%
35	Would not vote	0	
40	Dont know	14	
50	Refused	240	
80	Na	488	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var126: Reason That You Did Not Vote			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-25] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=474 /-] [Invalid=2903 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not vote in the 1984 election, as indicated in E-1-a.		
Literal question	Was there any particular reason that you didn't vote in the September federal election?		
Notes	E-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sick	52	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
2	Busy	53	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
3	Could not decide	32	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
4	Not interested	98	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
5	Forgot	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%

# var126: Reason That You Did Not Vote			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	No particular reason	36	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
7	Not registered	45	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
8	Out of town	101	<div><div></div></div> 23.3%
9	Religious reason	9	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
40	Dont know	7	
50	Refused	5	
80	Na	2891	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var127: Whom You Would Have Voted For			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=401 /-] [Invalid=2976 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not vote in the 1984 election, as indicated in E-1-a.		
Literal question	If you had voted, who would you have voted for?		
Notes	E-1-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	93	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
2	P.C.	193	<div><div></div></div> 48.1%
3	Ndp	65	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
4	Social credit	0	
5	Parti nationaliste	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
8	Communist	0	
9	Green party	0	
10	Libertarian	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
11	Pcc-commonwealth cdn	0	
12	Rhino	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
13	Spoiled ballot	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	All others	0	
15	Conf. Of region west	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
16	West. Can. Concept	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
20	Independent/none	4	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
35	Would not vote	37	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
40	Dont know	64	
50	Refused	21	
80	Na	2891	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var128: Most Important Component in 1984 Vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3145 /-] [Invalid=232 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In deciding how you would vote/would have voted in the 1984 federal election, which was the most important to you: the party leaders, the candidates here in this constituency, or the parties taken as a whole?		
Notes	E-2-a in the documentation.		

# var128: Most Important Component in 1984 Vote			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Party leaders	921	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
2	Candidates	717	<div><div></div></div> 22.8%
3	Parties	1505	<div><div></div></div> 47.9%
4	None most	2	0.1%
0	Refused	2	
8	Dont know	106	
9	Na	124	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var129: Least Important Component in 1984 Vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2740 /-] [Invalid=637 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which would you say was the least important?		
Notes	E-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Party leaders	653	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
2	Candidates	1321	<div><div></div></div> 48.2%
3	Parties	766	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
4	None most	0	
0	Refused	0	
8	Dont know	509	
9	Na	128	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var130: Most Importance Issue> Personal Qualities or Stand on Issues			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1540 /-] [Invalid=1837 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who mentioned "party leader", or "candidate" for E-2-a.		
Literal question	When you say that (PARTY LEADER OR CANDIDATE MENTIONED) was the most important to you, are you thinking of this person's personal qualities or this person's stand on certain issues?		
Notes	E-2-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stand on issues	760	<div><div></div></div> 49.4%
2	Personal qualities	717	<div><div></div></div> 46.6%
3	Both	63	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
8	Dont know	98	
9	Na	1739	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var131: Which Issues You Are Thinking of Specifically -1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-97] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=737 /-] [Invalid=2640 /-] [Mean=28.262 /-] [StdDev=23.255 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "stand on issues" for E-2-c.		
Literal question	Which issues are you thinking of specifically?		

# var131: Which Issues You Are Thinking of Specifically -1			
Interviewer's instructions		RECORD BELOW AND GO TO E-3-a.	
Notes		E-2-d in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	86	
89	Refused	0	
99	Na	2554	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var132: Which Issues You Are Thinking of Specifically - 2			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-93] [Missing=*/0/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=328 /-] [Invalid=3049 /-] [Mean=29.296 /-] [StdDev=25.241 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who answered "stand on issues" for E-2-c.	
Post-question		Go to E-3-a.	
Notes		E-2-d in the documentation. See var131 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	381	
99	Na	2668	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var133: 1st Personal Qualities You are Thinking of Specifically			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-91] [Missing=*/88/89/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=743 /-] [Invalid=2634 /-] [Mean=19.055 /-] [StdDev=20.27 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who answered "personal qualities" for E-2-c.	
Literal question		When you say personal qualities, what are you thinking of specifically?	
Interviewer's instructions		RECORD BELOW AND GO TO E-3-a.	
Notes		E-2-e in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	33	
89	Refused	4	
99	Na	2597	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var134: 2nd Personal Qualities You are Thinking of Specifically			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-87] [Missing=*/0/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=405 /-] [Invalid=2972 /-] [Mean=23.116 /-] [StdDev=19.461 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who answered "personal qualities" for E-2-c.	
Post-question		Go to E-3-a.	
Notes		E-2-e in the documentation. See var133 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	338	
99	Na	2634	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var135: Party Most Important Component> General Approach to Government ot Position on Issues			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1426 /-] [Invalid=1951 /-]	

# var135: Party Most Important Component> General Approach to Government or Position on Issues			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who mentioned "party" as most important in E-2-a.		
Literal question	When you say that party was the most important to you, are you thinking of the party's general approach to government or its position on certain issues?		
Notes	E-2-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Position on issues	510	35.8%
2	General approach	902	63.3%
3	Both	14	1.0%
8	Dont know	79	
9	Na	1872	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var136: Which Party Issues Are You Thinking of Specifically -1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-97] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=491 /-] [Invalid=2886 /-] [Mean=26.631 /-] [StdDev=22.983 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "position on issues" for E-2-a.		
Literal question	Which issues are you thinking of specifically?		
Notes	E-2-g in the documentation. See var131 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	33	
89	Refused	0	
99	Na	2853	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var137: Which Party Issues Are You Thinking of Specifically - 2			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-97] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=271 /-] [Invalid=3106 /-] [Mean=28.015 /-] [StdDev=25.936 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "position on issues" for E-2-a.		
Notes	E-2-g in the documentation. See var131 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	210	
99	Na	2896	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var138: Other Household Persons Eligible to Vote			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3357 /-] [Invalid=20 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Was there anybody else living in this household at the time of the 1984 federal election who was eligible to vote in it?		
Notes	E-3-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2692	80.2%
2	No	665	19.8%
8	Dont know	7	
9	Refused	13	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var139: How Spouse Voted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1827 /-] [Invalid=1550 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for E-3-a.		
Literal question	How are they related to you? Can you tell me how each of them voted?		
Interviewer's instructions	IF MORE THAN 4 SONS/DAUGHTERS/BROTHERS/SISTERS ASK FOR THE FOUR OLDEST ONES. IF MORE THAN TWO OTHER RELATIVES OR OTHER NON-RELATIVES ASK FOR TWO OLDEST FOR EACH GROUP.		
Notes	E-3-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	318	<div></div> 17.4%
2	P.C.	789	<div></div> 43.2%
3	N.D.P	208	<div></div> 11.4%
4	Other	29	<div></div> 1.6%
5	Did not vote	191	<div></div> 10.5%
6	Voted but dont know	155	<div></div> 8.5%
7	Voted - refused	137	<div></div> 7.5%
8	Dont know	321	
9	Na	1229	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var140: How Father Voted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=139 /-] [Invalid=3238 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	E-3-c in the documentation. See var139.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	29	<div></div> 20.9%
2	P.C.	51	<div></div> 36.7%
3	N.D.P	16	<div></div> 11.5%
4	Other	3	<div></div> 2.2%
5	Did not vote	8	<div></div> 5.8%
6	Voted but dont know	28	<div></div> 20.1%
7	Voted - refused	4	<div></div> 2.9%
8	Dont know	71	
9	Na	3167	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var141: How Mother Voted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=178 /-] [Invalid=3199 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	E-3-c in the documentation. See var139.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	44	<div></div> 24.7%
2	P.C.	60	<div></div> 33.7%
3	N.D.P	18	<div></div> 10.1%

# var141: How Mother Voted			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Other	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
5	Did not vote	19	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
6	Voted but dont know	26	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
7	Voted - refused	8	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
8	Dont know	85	
9	Na	3114	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var142: How 1st Family Member Voted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=414 -/] [Invalid=2963 -/]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	E-3-c in the documentation. See var139.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	60	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
2	P.C.	137	<div><div></div></div> 33.1%
3	N.D.P	42	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
4	Other	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
5	Did not vote	69	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
6	Voted but dont know	74	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
7	Voted - refused	25	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
8	Dont know	173	
9	Na	2790	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var143: How 2nd Family Member Voted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=152 -/] [Invalid=3225 -/]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	E-3-c in the documentation. See var139.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	35	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
2	P.C.	53	<div><div></div></div> 34.9%
3	N.D.P	8	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
4	Other	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
5	Did not vote	19	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
6	Voted but dont know	25	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
7	Voted - refused	10	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
8	Dont know	70	
9	Na	3155	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var144: How 3rd Family Member Voted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=49 -/] [Invalid=3328 -/]		
Universe	All respondents		

# var144: How 3rd Family Member Voted			
Notes		E-3-c in the documentation. See var139.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	9	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
2	P.C.	14	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
3	N.D.P	6	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
4	Other	1	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
5	Did not vote	6	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
6	Voted but dont know	10	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
7	Voted - refused	3	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
8	Dont know	23	
9	Na	3305	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var145: How 4th Family Member Voted			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=15 /-] [Invalid=3362 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		E-3-c in the documentation. See var139.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	2	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
2	P.C.	5	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
3	N.D.P	1	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
4	Other	0	
5	Did not vote	4	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
6	Voted but dont know	2	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
7	Voted - refused	1	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
8	Dont know	11	
9	Na	3351	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var146: How 1st Relative Voted			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=56 /-] [Invalid=3321 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		E-3-c in the documentation. See var139.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	14	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
2	P.C.	15	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
3	N.D.P	3	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
4	Other	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
5	Did not vote	10	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
6	Voted but dont know	10	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
7	Voted - refused	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
8	Dont know	30	
9	Na	3291	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# var147: How 2nd Relative Voted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14 /-] [Invalid=3363 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	E-3-c in the documentation. See var139.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
2	P.C.	5	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
3	N.D.P	0	
4	Other	0	
5	Did not vote	3	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
6	Voted but dont know	4	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
7	Voted - refused	1	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
8	Dont know	5	
9	Na	3358	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var148: How 1st Non-Relative Voted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=88 /-] [Invalid=3289 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	E-3-c in the documentation. See var139.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	5	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
2	P.C.	23	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
3	N.D.P	15	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
4	Other	2	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
5	Did not vote	29	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
6	Voted but dont know	10	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
7	Voted - refused	4	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
8	Dont know	52	
9	Na	3237	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var149: How 2nd Non-Relative Voted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=3348 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	E-3-c in the documentation. See var139.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2	P.C.	9	<div><div></div></div> 31.0%
3	N.D.P	2	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
4	Other	0	
5	Did not vote	10	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
6	Voted but dont know	5	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%

# var149: How 2nd Non-Relative Voted			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Voted - refused	2	6.9%
8	Dont know	19	
9	Na	3329	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var150: Reason 1 Did Not Vote For Preferred Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=335 /-] [Invalid=3042 /-] [Mean=42.594 /-] [StdDev=35.115 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose party preference in C-1-a or C-2-b differs from the vote in E-1-b.		
Literal question	You'll remember that you told me earlier that you usually think of yourself as closer to the (NAMED PARTY IN C-1-a OR C-2-b), but that you voted for the (PARTY NAMED IN E-1-b) in the 1984 federal election. Is there any particular reason why you voted for a different party than the one you usually prefer?		
Notes	E-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	16	
89	Refused	4	
99	Na	3022	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var151: Reason 2 Did Not Vote For Preferred Party			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=141 /-] [Invalid=3236 /-] [Mean=51.447 /-] [StdDev=35.77 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose party preference in C-1-a or C-2-b differs from the vote in E-1-b.		
Literal question	Any other reasons?		
Notes	E-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	163	
99	Na	3073	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var152: Vote if the Party You Voted for Had Not Run a Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2960 /-] [Invalid=417 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Suppose the party you voted for hadn't run a candidate in this constituency in the 1984 federal election--what would you have been most likely to do--vote for another party or not vote at all?		
Notes	E-5-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Vote another party	1580	<div></div> 53.4%
2	Not vote	1196	<div></div> 40.4%
3	Other	120	<div></div> 4.1%
7	Did not vote	64	<div></div> 2.2%
0	Refused	51	
8	Dont know	366	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var153: 2nd Preferred Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1342 /-] [Invalid=2035 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "vote for another party" in E-5-a.		
Literal question	Which other party would you have been most likely to vote for?		
Notes	E-5-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	403	<div></div> 30.0%
2	P.C.	361	<div></div> 26.9%
3	Ndp	498	<div></div> 37.1%
4	Social credit	10	<div></div> 0.7%
5	Parti nationaliste	6	<div></div> 0.4%
8	Communist	3	<div></div> 0.2%
9	Green party	8	<div></div> 0.6%
10	Libertarian	3	<div></div> 0.2%
11	Pcc-commonwealth cdn	0	
12	Rhino	15	<div></div> 1.1%
13	Spoiled ballot	5	<div></div> 0.4%
14	All others	0	

# var153: 2nd Preferred Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Conf. Of region west	21	1.6%
16	West. Can. Concept	2	0.1%
20	Independent/none	7	0.5%
35	Would not vote	0	
40	Dont know	143	
50	Refused	95	
80	Na	1797	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var154: Least Preferred Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2751 /-] [Invalid=626 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which other party would you have been most likely to vote for?		
Notes	E-5-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1038	37.7%
2	P.C.	484	17.6%
3	N.D.P	1205	43.8%
4	None of above	21	0.8%
5	Lib. & ndp.	3	0.1%
0	Refused	185	
8	Dont know	441	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var156: Did You Vote in the 1980 Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3274 /-] [Invalid=103 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The last federal election before this one was in February, 1980. Do you remember for sure whether of not you voted in that election?		
Notes	E-6-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voted	2669	81.5%
2	Did not vote	378	11.5%
3	Not eligible	227	6.9%
8	Forget	101	
9	Refused	2	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var157: Which Party You Voted for in 1980			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2365 /-] [Invalid=1012 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the 1980 election, as indicated in E-6-a.		
Literal question	Which party did you vote for then?		

# var157: Which Party You Voted for in 1980			
Notes		E-6-b in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1042	<div></div> 44.1%
2	P.C.	916	<div></div> 38.7%
3	N.D.P	372	<div></div> 15.7%
4	Social credit	13	<div></div> 0.5%
6	Communist	0	
7	Libertarian	1	<div></div> 0.0%
10	Rhino	14	<div></div> 0.6%
13	Spoiled ballot	7	<div></div> 0.3%
14	All other	0	
40	Dk/forget	126	
50	Refused	178	
80	Na	708	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var158: Reason 1 You Changed Party Vote			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/88/89/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=591 /-] [Invalid=2786 /-] [Mean=44.545 /-] [StdDev=35.809 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents whose party voted for in 1980 (E-6-b) differs from party voted for in 1984 (E-1-b).	
Literal question		I notice that you changed your vote from 1980 to 1984. Was there any special reason for changing the party you voted for?	
Notes		E-6-c in the documentation. See var150 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	21	
89	Refused	0	
99	Na	2765	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var159: Reason 2 You Changed Party Vote			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=236 /-] [Invalid=3141 /-] [Mean=47.771 /-] [StdDev=37.087 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents whose party voted for in 1980 (E-6-b) differs from party voted for in 1984 (E-1-b).	
Literal question		Were there any other reasons?	
Notes		E-6-c in the documentation. See var150 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	287	
99	Na	2854	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var160: Did You Vote in 1979 Vote			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3109 /-] [Invalid=268 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		The federal election before that was in 1979. Do you remember for sure whether you voted in that election?	
Notes		E-7-a in the documentation.	

# var160: Did You Vote in 1979 Vote			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voted	2471	<div><div></div></div> 79.5%
2	Did not vote	349	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
3	Not eligible	289	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
8	Forget	264	
9	Refused	4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var161: Which Party You Voted for in 1979			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-14] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2111 /-] [Invalid=1266 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to repondents who voted in 1979, as indicated in E-7-a.		
Literal question	Which party did you vote for then?		
Notes	E-7-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	930	<div><div></div></div> 44.1%
2	P.C.	843	<div><div></div></div> 39.9%
3	N.D.P	311	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
4	Social credit	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
6	Communist	0	
7	Libertarian	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
10	Rhino	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
13	Spoiled ballot	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	All other	0	
40	Dk/forget	195	
50	Refused	165	
80	Na	906	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var162: Controlling Inflation - Best Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2811 /-] [Invalid=566 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now, I'm going to ask you about a number of tasks that the federal government has to deal with. Forget for a moment the likelihood of each party getting elected to government. I'd like you to tell me which of the three major federal parties would probably do the best job and which would probably do the worst job on each task if it were the government.		
Notes	F-7-a-01 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	415	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
2	P.C.	1494	<div><div></div></div> 53.1%
3	N.D.P	326	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
4	Liberal/p.C.	88	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	60	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
7	No difference	410	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
8	Dont know	552	

# var162: Controlling Inflation - Best Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	14	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var163: Controlling Inflation - Worst Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2618 /-] [Invalid=759 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Controlling inflation - worst?		
Notes	F-7-a-01 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1071	<div></div> 40.9%
2	P.C.	233	<div></div> 8.9%
3	N.D.P	837	<div></div> 32.0%
4	Liberal/p.C.	42	<div></div> 1.6%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	34	<div></div> 1.3%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	20	<div></div> 0.8%
7	No difference	381	<div></div> 14.6%
8	Dont know	745	
9	Refused	14	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var164: Dealing with the Provincial Governments - Best Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2777 /-] [Invalid=600 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Dealing with the provincial governments - best?		
Notes	F-7-a-02 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	420	<div></div> 15.1%
2	P.C.	1706	<div></div> 61.4%
3	N.D.P	291	<div></div> 10.5%
4	Liberal/p.C.	62	<div></div> 2.2%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	9	<div></div> 0.3%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	28	<div></div> 1.0%
7	No difference	261	<div></div> 9.4%
8	Dont know	586	
9	Refused	14	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var165: Dealing with the Provincial Governments - Worst Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2535 /-] [Invalid=842 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Dealing with the provincial governments - worst?		
Notes	F-7-a-02 in the documentation.		

# var165: Dealing with the Provincial Governments - Worst Party				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Liberal	1253	<div></div>	49.4%
2	P.C.	259	<div></div>	10.2%
3	N.D.P	646	<div></div>	25.5%
4	Liberal/p.C.	26	<div></div>	1.0%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	59	<div></div>	2.3%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	22	<div></div>	0.9%
7	No difference	270	<div></div>	10.7%
8	Dont know	828		
9	Refused	14		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var166: Dealing with the United States - Best Party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2726 /-] [Invalid=651 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Dealing with the U.S. - best?			
Notes	F-7-a-03 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Liberal	698	<div></div>	25.6%
2	P.C.	1539	<div></div>	56.5%
3	N.D.P	163	<div></div>	6.0%
4	Liberal/p.C.	74	<div></div>	2.7%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	11	<div></div>	0.4%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	15	<div></div>	0.6%
7	No difference	226	<div></div>	8.3%
8	Dont know	637		
9	Refused	14		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var167: Dealing with the United States - Worst Party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2379 /-] [Invalid=998 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Dealing with the U.S. - worst?			
Notes	F-7-a-03 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Liberal	762	<div></div>	32.0%
2	P.C.	314	<div></div>	13.2%
3	N.D.P	990	<div></div>	41.6%
4	Liberal/p.C.	15	<div></div>	0.6%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	48	<div></div>	2.0%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	21	<div></div>	0.9%
7	No difference	229	<div></div>	9.6%
8	Dont know	984		
9	Refused	14		

# var167: Dealing with the United States - Worst Party			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var168: Handling Relations with Quebec - Best Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=556 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Handling relations with Quebec - best?		
Notes	F-7-a-04 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	946	<div><div></div></div> 33.5%
2	P.C.	1422	<div><div></div></div> 50.4%
3	N.D.P	138	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
4	Liberal/p.C.	67	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	No difference	229	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
8	Dont know	542	
9	Refused	14	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var169: Handling Relations with Quebec - Worst Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2447 /-] [Invalid=930 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Handling relations with Quebec - worst?		
Notes	F-7-a-04 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	832	<div><div></div></div> 34.0%
2	P.C.	299	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
3	N.D.P	982	<div><div></div></div> 40.1%
4	Liberal/p.C.	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	42	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
7	No difference	239	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
8	Dont know	916	
9	Refused	14	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var170: Running the Government Competently - Best			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2763 /-] [Invalid=614 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Running the government competently - best?		
Notes	F-7-a-05 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	488	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%

# var170: Running the Government Competently - Best				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	P.C.	1509	<div></div>	54.6%
3	N.D.P	328	<div></div>	11.9%
4	Liberal/p.C.	68	<div></div>	2.5%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	4	<div></div>	0.1%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	32	<div></div>	1.2%
7	No difference	334	<div></div>	12.1%
8	Dont know	599		
9	Refused	15		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var171: Running the Government Competently - Worst				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2482 /-] [Invalid=895 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Running the government competently - worst?			
Notes	F-7-a-05 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Liberal	962	<div></div>	38.8%
2	P.C.	246	<div></div>	9.9%
3	N.D.P	824	<div></div>	33.2%
4	Liberal/p.C.	45	<div></div>	1.8%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	52	<div></div>	2.1%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	24	<div></div>	1.0%
7	No difference	329	<div></div>	13.3%
8	Dont know	880		
9	Refused	15		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var172: Dealing with Unemployment - Best				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2798 /-] [Invalid=579 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Dealing with unemployment - best?			
Notes	F-7-a-06 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Liberal	386	<div></div>	13.8%
2	P.C.	1197	<div></div>	42.8%
3	N.D.P	774	<div></div>	27.7%
4	Liberal/p.C.	55	<div></div>	2.0%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	10	<div></div>	0.4%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	23	<div></div>	0.8%
7	No difference	353	<div></div>	12.6%
8	Dont know	564		
9	Refused	15		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# var173: Dealing with Unemployment - Worst				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2497 /-] [Invalid=880 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Dealing with unemployment - worst?			
Notes	F-7-a-06 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Liberal	1174	<div></div>	47.0%
2	P.C.	415	<div></div>	16.6%
3	N.D.P	434	<div></div>	17.4%
4	Liberal/p.C.	75	<div></div>	3.0%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	39	<div></div>	1.6%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	18	<div></div>	0.7%
7	No difference	342	<div></div>	13.7%
8	Dont know	865		
9	Refused	15		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var174: Providing Social Welfare Measures - Best				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2748 /-] [Invalid=629 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Providing social welfare measures - best?			
Notes	F-7-a-07 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Liberal	709	<div></div>	25.8%
2	P.C.	634	<div></div>	23.1%
3	N.D.P	1036	<div></div>	37.7%
4	Liberal/p.C.	63	<div></div>	2.3%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	14	<div></div>	0.5%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	22	<div></div>	0.8%
7	No difference	270	<div></div>	9.8%
8	Dont know	614		
9	Refused	15		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var175: Providing Social Welfare Measures - Worst				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2281 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Providing social welfare measures - worst?			
Notes	F-7-a-07 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Liberal	614	<div></div>	26.9%
2	P.C.	903	<div></div>	39.6%
3	N.D.P	353	<div></div>	15.5%

# var175: Providing Social Welfare Measures - Worst			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Liberal/p.C.	76	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	No difference	292	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
8	Dont know	1081	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var176: Protecting the Environment - Best			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2424 /-] [Invalid=953 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Protecting the environment - best?		
Notes	F-7-a-08 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	468	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
2	P.C.	675	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
3	N.D.P	629	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
4	Liberal/p.C.	52	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	No difference	578	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
8	Dont know	938	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var177: Protecting the Environment - Worst			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2075 /-] [Invalid=1302 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Protecting the environment - worst?		
Notes	F-7-a-08 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	566	<div><div></div></div> 27.3%
2	P.C.	507	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
3	N.D.P	346	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
4	Liberal/p.C.	59	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
7	No difference	549	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
8	Dont know	1287	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var178: Limiting the Size of Government - Best			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# var178: Limiting the Size of Government - Best			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2458 /-] [Invalid=919 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Limiting the size of government - best?		
Notes	F-7-a-09 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	327	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
2	P.C.	1312	<div><div></div></div> 53.4%
3	N.D.P	414	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
4	Liberal/p.C.	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	No difference	356	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
8	Dont know	904	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var179: Limiting the Size of Government - Worst			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2298 /-] [Invalid=1079 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Limiting the size of government - worst?		
Notes	F-7-a-09 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1003	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
2	P.C.	407	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
3	N.D.P	456	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
4	Liberal/p.C.	42	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	35	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
7	No difference	347	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
8	Dont know	1064	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var180: Dealing with Women's Issues - Best			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2573 /-] [Invalid=804 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Dealing with women's issues - best?		
Notes	F-7-a-10 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	361	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
2	P.C.	758	<div><div></div></div> 29.5%
3	N.D.P	991	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
4	Liberal/p.C.	52	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%

# var180: Dealing with Women's Issues - Best			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Liberal/n.D.P	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	No difference	386	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
8	Dont know	789	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var181: Dealing with Women's Issues - Worst			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2168 /-] [Invalid=1209 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Dealing with women's issues - worst?		
Notes	F-7-a-10 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	861	<div><div></div></div> 39.7%
2	P.C.	488	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
3	N.D.P	290	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
4	Liberal/p.C.	94	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	No difference	394	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
8	Dont know	1194	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var182: Working for World Peace - Best			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2781 /-] [Invalid=596 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Working for world peace - best?		
Notes	F-7-a-11 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1306	<div><div></div></div> 47.0%
2	P.C.	622	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
3	N.D.P	295	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
4	Liberal/p.C.	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
7	No difference	475	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
8	Dont know	581	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var183: Working for World Peace - Worst			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# var183: Working for World Peace - Worst			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2063 /-] [Invalid=1314 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Working for world peace - worst?		
Notes	F-7-a-11 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	329	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
2	P.C.	502	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
3	N.D.P	598	<div><div></div></div> 29.0%
4	Liberal/p.C.	30	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	71	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
7	No difference	510	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
8	Dont know	1299	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var184: Handling the Deficit - Best			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2654 /-] [Invalid=723 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Handling the deficit - best?		
Notes	F-7-a-12 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	260	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
2	P.C.	1714	<div><div></div></div> 64.6%
3	N.D.P	269	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
4	Liberal/p.C.	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
5	Liberal/n.D.P	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
7	No difference	348	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
8	Dont know	708	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var185: Handling the Deficit - Worst			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2421 /-] [Invalid=956 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Handling the deficit - worst?		
Notes	F-7-a-12 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1226	<div><div></div></div> 50.6%
2	P.C.	178	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
3	N.D.P	587	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%
4	Liberal/p.C.	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%

# var185: Handling the Deficit - Worst			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Liberal/n.D.P	41	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6	P.C./n.D.P.	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	No difference	335	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
8	Dont know	941	
9	Refused	15	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var186: Most Important Task of Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-12] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3208 -/] [Invalid=169 -/]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(SHOW CARD 8) Which of these tasks is the most important to you and which is the second most important in how you personally judge the parties?		
Notes	F-7-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	0	
1	Inflation	806	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
2	Province	97	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
3	U.S.	40	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
4	Quebec	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
5	Competent govt	663	<div><div></div></div> 20.7%
6	Unemployment	832	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
7	Social welfare	68	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
8	Env't protection	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
9	Govt. Size	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
10	Women's issues	29	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
11	World peace	255	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
12	Deficit	304	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
88	Dont know	159	
89	Refused	10	
99	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var187: Second Most Important Task of Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-12] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3192 -/] [Invalid=185 -/]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	F-7-b in the documentation. See var186.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1	Inflation	598	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
2	Province	167	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
3	U.S.	77	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
4	Quebec	75	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
5	Competent govt	312	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%

# var187: Second Most Important Task of Government			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Unemployment	697	<div></div> 21.8%
7	Social welfare	132	<div></div> 4.1%
8	Env't protection	96	<div></div> 3.0%
9	Govt. Size	89	<div></div> 2.8%
10	Women's issues	84	<div></div> 2.6%
11	World peace	364	<div></div> 11.4%
12	Deficit	497	<div></div> 15.6%
88	Dont know	16	
89	Refused	0	
99	Na	169	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var188: Order of Reading Image Questions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now we'd like to know your impressions of what certain political leaders are like. I'll read a word or phrase, and I'd like you to tell me how well it fits or describes each of the three leaders shown on this card. (SHOW CARD 9) The more you think the word or phrase describes a leader, the closer your answer should be to "7". The less it fits, the closer your answer should be to "1". If you have no idea at all about how a word or phrase fits a leader, tell me and we'll go on to the next one.		
Notes	F-8 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Down 1 to 14	1902	<div></div> 56.3%
2	Up 12 to 1,13,14	1475	<div></div> 43.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var189: Turner: Arrogant			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2852 /-] [Invalid=525 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	First, in your opinion, how well does the word "arrogant" describe Turner? Which number on this scale gives the best idea of how you see him? Now, what about Brain Mulroney--which number on the scale gives the best idea of how you see him? Ed Broadbent?		
Notes	F-8-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	443	<div></div> 15.5%
2		405	<div></div> 14.2%
3		362	<div></div> 12.7%
4		487	<div></div> 17.1%
5		470	<div></div> 16.5%
6		349	<div></div> 12.2%
7	Fits very well	336	<div></div> 11.8%
8	Dont know	485	
9	Refused	40	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var190: Turner: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2916 /-] [Invalid=461 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: competent		
Notes	F-8-2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	185	6.3%
2		306	10.5%
3		406	13.9%
4		690	23.7%
5		684	23.5%
6		412	14.1%
7	Fits very well	233	8.0%
8	Dont know	420	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var191: Turner: Ruthless			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2567 /-] [Invalid=810 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: ruthless		
Notes	F-8-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	601	23.4%
2		342	13.3%
3		338	13.2%
4		449	17.5%
5		383	14.9%
6		231	9.0%
7	Fits very well	223	8.7%
8	Dont know	766	
9	Refused	44	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var192: Turner: Commands Respect			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2911 /-] [Invalid=466 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: commands respect		
Notes	F-8-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	230	7.9%
2		280	9.6%
3		417	14.3%

# var192: Turner: Commands Respect			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		587	<div></div> 20.2%
5		582	<div></div> 20.0%
6		485	<div></div> 16.7%
7	Fits very well	330	<div></div> 11.3%
8	Dont know	421	
9	Refused	45	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var193: Turner: Nervous			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2949 /-] [Invalid=428 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: nervous		
Notes	F-8-5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	299	<div></div> 10.1%
2		191	<div></div> 6.5%
3		210	<div></div> 7.1%
4		316	<div></div> 10.7%
5		493	<div></div> 16.7%
6		705	<div></div> 23.9%
7	Fits very well	735	<div></div> 24.9%
8	Dont know	383	
9	Refused	45	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var194: Turner: Decent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2915 /-] [Invalid=462 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: decent		
Notes	F-8-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	133	<div></div> 4.6%
2		113	<div></div> 3.9%
3		198	<div></div> 6.8%
4		470	<div></div> 16.1%
5		653	<div></div> 22.4%
6		679	<div></div> 23.3%
7	Fits very well	669	<div></div> 23.0%
8	Dont know	416	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var195: Turner: Slick			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# var195: Turner: Slick			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2738 /-] [Invalid=639 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: slick		
Notes	F-8-7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	367	<div></div> 13.4%
2		307	<div></div> 11.2%
3		352	<div></div> 12.9%
4		499	<div></div> 18.2%
5		522	<div></div> 19.1%
6		361	<div></div> 13.2%
7	Fits very well	330	<div></div> 12.1%
8	Dont know	593	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var196: Turner: Sincere			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2973 /-] [Invalid=404 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: sincere		
Notes	F-8-8 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	252	<div></div> 8.5%
2		207	<div></div> 7.0%
3		333	<div></div> 11.2%
4		569	<div></div> 19.1%
5		630	<div></div> 21.2%
6		527	<div></div> 17.7%
7	Fits very well	455	<div></div> 15.3%
8	Dont know	357	
9	Refused	47	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var197: Turner: Shallow			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2538 /-] [Invalid=839 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: shallow		
Notes	F-8-9 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	492	<div></div> 19.4%
2		358	<div></div> 14.1%
3		330	<div></div> 13.0%
4		429	<div></div> 16.9%

# var197: Turner: Shallow			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		382	<div></div> 15.1%
6		302	<div></div> 11.9%
7	Fits very well	245	<div></div> 9.7%
8	Dont know	792	
9	Refused	47	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var198: Turner: Sure of Himself			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3047 /-] [Invalid=330 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: sure of himself		
Notes	F-8-10 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	264	<div></div> 8.7%
2		371	<div></div> 12.2%
3		463	<div></div> 15.2%
4		565	<div></div> 18.5%
5		561	<div></div> 18.4%
6		413	<div></div> 13.6%
7	Fits very well	410	<div></div> 13.5%
8	Dont know	284	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var199: Turner: Dull			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2903 /-] [Invalid=474 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: dull		
Notes	F-8-11 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	468	<div></div> 16.1%
2		342	<div></div> 11.8%
3		371	<div></div> 12.8%
4		492	<div></div> 16.9%
5		452	<div></div> 15.6%
6		391	<div></div> 13.5%
7	Fits very well	387	<div></div> 13.3%
8	Dont know	427	
9	Refused	47	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var200: Turner: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# var200: Turner: Warm			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2865 /-] [Invalid=512 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: warm		
Notes	F-8-12 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	361	<div></div> 12.6%
2		367	<div></div> 12.8%
3		410	<div></div> 14.3%
4		596	<div></div> 20.8%
5		554	<div></div> 19.3%
6		330	<div></div> 11.5%
7	Fits very well	247	<div></div> 8.6%
8	Dont know	466	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var201: Turner: Represents Change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2824 /-] [Invalid=553 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Turner: represents change		
Notes	F-8-13 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	492	<div></div> 17.4%
2		426	<div></div> 15.1%
3		406	<div></div> 14.4%
4		555	<div></div> 19.7%
5		464	<div></div> 16.4%
6		268	<div></div> 9.5%
7	Fits very well	213	<div></div> 7.5%
8	Dont know	507	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var202: Turner: Listens to Views			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2676 /-] [Invalid=701 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to the views of people in (NAME PROVINCE)		
Notes	F-8-14 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	395	<div></div> 14.8%
2		306	<div></div> 11.4%
3		405	<div></div> 15.1%
4		586	<div></div> 21.9%
5		464	<div></div> 17.3%
6		301	<div></div> 11.2%
7	Fits very well	219	<div></div> 8.2%
8	Dont know	653	
9	Refused	48	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var203: Mulroney: Arrogant			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2897 /-] [Invalid=480 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: arrogant		
Notes	F-8-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	462	<div></div> 15.9%
2		367	<div></div> 12.7%
3		390	<div></div> 13.5%
4		564	<div></div> 19.5%
5		437	<div></div> 15.1%
6		375	<div></div> 12.9%
7	Fits very well	302	<div></div> 10.4%
8	Dont know	440	
9	Refused	40	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var204: Mulroney: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2954 /-] [Invalid=423 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: competent		
Notes	F-8-2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	69	<div></div> 2.3%
2		96	<div></div> 3.2%
3		157	<div></div> 5.3%

# var204: Mulroney: Competent			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		408	<div></div> 13.8%
5		744	<div></div> 25.2%
6		937	<div></div> 31.7%
7	Fits very well	543	<div></div> 18.4%
8	Dont know	381	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var205: Mulroney: Ruthless			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2615 /-] [Invalid=762 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: ruthless		
Notes	F-8-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	552	<div></div> 21.1%
2		292	<div></div> 11.2%
3		281	<div></div> 10.7%
4		436	<div></div> 16.7%
5		438	<div></div> 16.7%
6		344	<div></div> 13.2%
7	Fits very well	272	<div></div> 10.4%
8	Dont know	718	
9	Refused	44	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var206: Mulroney: Commands Respect			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2953 /-] [Invalid=424 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: commands respect		
Notes	F-8-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	80	<div></div> 2.7%
2		95	<div></div> 3.2%
3		184	<div></div> 6.2%
4		401	<div></div> 13.6%
5		671	<div></div> 22.7%
6		922	<div></div> 31.2%
7	Fits very well	600	<div></div> 20.3%
8	Dont know	379	
9	Refused	45	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var207: Mulroney: Nervous			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# var207: Mulroney: Nervous			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2974 /-] [Invalid=403 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: nervous		
Notes	F-8-5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	803	27.0%
2		652	21.9%
3		461	15.5%
4		460	15.5%
5		301	10.1%
6		194	6.5%
7	Fits very well	103	3.5%
8	Dont know	358	
9	Refused	45	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var208: Mulroney: Decent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2955 /-] [Invalid=422 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: decent		
Notes	F-8-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	101	3.4%
2		70	2.4%
3		150	5.1%
4		424	14.3%
5		668	22.6%
6		822	27.8%
7	Fits very well	720	24.4%
8	Dont know	376	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var209: Mulroney: Slick			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2763 /-] [Invalid=614 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: slick		
Notes	F-8-7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	244	8.8%
2		153	5.5%
3		176	6.4%
4		344	12.5%

# var209: Mulroney: Slick			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		483	<div></div> 17.5%
6		653	<div></div> 23.6%
7	Fits very well	710	<div></div> 25.7%
8	Dont know	568	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var210: Mulroney: Sincere			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2987 /-] [Invalid=390 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: sincere		
Notes	F-8-8 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	204	<div></div> 6.8%
2		158	<div></div> 5.3%
3		244	<div></div> 8.2%
4		505	<div></div> 16.9%
5		690	<div></div> 23.1%
6		678	<div></div> 22.7%
7	Fits very well	508	<div></div> 17.0%
8	Dont know	343	
9	Refused	47	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var211: Mulroney: Shallow			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2551 /-] [Invalid=826 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: shallow		
Notes	F-8-9 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	568	<div></div> 22.3%
2		423	<div></div> 16.6%
3		367	<div></div> 14.4%
4		433	<div></div> 17.0%
5		364	<div></div> 14.3%
6		230	<div></div> 9.0%
7	Fits very well	166	<div></div> 6.5%
8	Dont know	779	
9	Refused	47	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var212: Mulroney: Sure of Himself			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# var212: Mulroney: Sure of Himself			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3053 /-] [Invalid=324 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: sure of himself		
Notes	F-8-10 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	42	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2		50	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
3		64	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
4		210	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
5		495	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
6		998	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
7	Fits very well	1194	<div><div></div></div> 39.1%
8	Dont know	278	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var213: Mulroney: Dull			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2925 /-] [Invalid=452 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: dull		
Notes	F-8-11 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	753	<div><div></div></div> 25.7%
2		594	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
3		439	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
4		398	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
5		337	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
6		240	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
7	Fits very well	164	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
8	Dont know	405	
9	Refused	47	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var214: Mulroney: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2879 /-] [Invalid=498 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Mulroney: warm		
Notes	F-8-12 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	152	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
2		161	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
3		234	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
4		492	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%

# var214: Mulroney: Warm				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
5		681	<div></div>	23.7%
6		684	<div></div>	23.8%
7	Fits very well	475	<div></div>	16.5%
8	Dont know	452		
9	Refused	46		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var215: Mulroney: Represents Change				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2886 /-] [Invalid=491 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Mulroney: represents change			
Notes	F-8-13 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Fits not at all	96	<div></div>	3.3%
2		92	<div></div>	3.2%
3		124	<div></div>	4.3%
4		296	<div></div>	10.3%
5		565	<div></div>	19.6%
6		836	<div></div>	29.0%
7	Fits very well	877	<div></div>	30.4%
8	Dont know	445		
9	Refused	46		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var216: Mulroney: Listens to Views				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2718 /-] [Invalid=659 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Mulroney: listens to the views of people in (NAME PROVINCE)			
Notes	F-8-14 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Fits not at all	171	<div></div>	6.3%
2		182	<div></div>	6.7%
3		245	<div></div>	9.0%
4		525	<div></div>	19.3%
5		639	<div></div>	23.5%
6		557	<div></div>	20.5%
7	Fits very well	399	<div></div>	14.7%
8	Dont know	611		
9	Refused	48		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var218: Broadbent: Arrogant				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			

# var218: Broadbent: Arrogant			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2742 /-] [Invalid=635 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: arrogant		
Notes	F-8-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	728	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
2		533	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
3		455	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
4		480	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
5		261	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
6		159	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
7	Fits very well	126	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
8	Dont know	595	
9	Refused	40	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var219: Broadbent: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2790 /-] [Invalid=587 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: competent		
Notes	F-8-2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	105	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2		168	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
3		296	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
4		625	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
5		697	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
6		571	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
7	Fits very well	328	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
8	Dont know	545	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var220: Broadbent: Ruthless			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2486 /-] [Invalid=891 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: ruthless		
Notes	F-8-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	675	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
2		399	<div><div></div></div> 16.0%
3		382	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
4		512	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%

# var220: Broadbent: Ruthless			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		279	<div></div> 11.2%
6		137	<div></div> 5.5%
7	Fits very well	102	<div></div> 4.1%
8	Dont know	847	
9	Refused	44	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var221: Broadbent: Commands Respect			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2803 -] [Invalid=574 -]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: commands respect		
Notes	F-8-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	108	<div></div> 3.9%
2		136	<div></div> 4.9%
3		237	<div></div> 8.5%
4		592	<div></div> 21.1%
5		687	<div></div> 24.5%
6		648	<div></div> 23.1%
7	Fits very well	395	<div></div> 14.1%
8	Dont know	529	
9	Refused	45	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var222: Broadbent: Nervous			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2832 -] [Invalid=545 -]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: nervous		
Notes	F-8-5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	741	<div></div> 26.2%
2		595	<div></div> 21.0%
3		480	<div></div> 16.9%
4		441	<div></div> 15.6%
5		288	<div></div> 10.2%
6		175	<div></div> 6.2%
7	Fits very well	112	<div></div> 4.0%
8	Dont know	500	
9	Refused	45	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var223: Broadbent: Decent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# var223: Broadbent: Decent			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2834 /-] [Invalid=543 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: decent		
Notes	F-8-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	62	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2		65	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
3		115	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
4		404	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
5		622	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
6		801	<div><div></div></div> 28.3%
7	Fits very well	765	<div><div></div></div> 27.0%
8	Dont know	497	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var224: Broadbent: Slick			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2640 /-] [Invalid=737 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: slick		
Notes	F-8-7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	443	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
2		347	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
3		398	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
4		621	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
5		432	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
6		231	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
7	Fits very well	168	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8	Dont know	691	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var225: Broadbent: Sincere			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2866 /-] [Invalid=511 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: sincere		
Notes	F-8-8 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	95	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2		98	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
3		175	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
4		402	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%

# var225: Broadbent: Sincere			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		661	<div></div> 23.1%
6		749	<div></div> 26.1%
7	Fits very well	686	<div></div> 23.9%
8	Dont know	464	
9	Refused	47	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var226: Broadbent: Shallow			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2439 /-] [Invalid=938 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: shallow		
Notes	F-8-9 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	679	<div></div> 27.8%
2		500	<div></div> 20.5%
3		401	<div></div> 16.4%
4		420	<div></div> 17.2%
5		224	<div></div> 9.2%
6		131	<div></div> 5.4%
7	Fits very well	84	<div></div> 3.4%
8	Dont know	891	
9	Refused	47	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var227: Broadbent: Sure of Himself			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2911 /-] [Invalid=466 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: sure of himself		
Notes	F-8-10 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	74	<div></div> 2.5%
2		101	<div></div> 3.5%
3		185	<div></div> 6.4%
4		469	<div></div> 16.1%
5		698	<div></div> 24.0%
6		773	<div></div> 26.6%
7	Fits very well	611	<div></div> 21.0%
8	Dont know	420	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var228: Broadbent: Dull			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# var228: Broadbent: Dull			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2795 /-] [Invalid=582 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: dull		
Notes	F-8-11 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	579	<div><div></div></div> 20.7%
2		451	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
3		432	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
4		495	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
5		372	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
6		251	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
7	Fits very well	215	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
8	Dont know	535	
9	Refused	47	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var229: Broadbent: Warm			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2754 /-] [Invalid=623 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: warm		
Notes	F-8-12 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	122	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2		133	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
3		223	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
4		550	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
5		722	<div><div></div></div> 26.2%
6		613	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
7	Fits very well	391	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
8	Dont know	577	
9	Refused	46	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var230: Broadbent: Represents Change			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2724 /-] [Invalid=653 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Broadbent: represents change		
Notes	F-8-13 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	133	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
2		170	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
3		245	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
4		502	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%

# var230: Broadbent: Represents Change				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
5		554	<div></div>	20.3%
6		557	<div></div>	20.4%
7	Fits very well	563	<div></div>	20.7%
8	Dont know	607		
9	Refused	46		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var231: Broadbent: Listens to Views				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2594 /-] [Invalid=783 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Broadbent: listens to the views of people in (NAME PROVINCE)			
Notes	F-8-14 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Fits not at all	173	<div></div>	6.7%
2		161	<div></div>	6.2%
3		255	<div></div>	9.8%
4		572	<div></div>	22.1%
5		595	<div></div>	22.9%
6		492	<div></div>	19.0%
7	Fits very well	346	<div></div>	13.3%
8	Dont know	735		
9	Refused	48		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var232: Liberal: Competent				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2990 /-] [Invalid=387 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Pre-question	<p>We'd also like to know how you see the three major federal parties As with the leaders, I'll read a word or phrase, and I'd like you to tell me how well it fits or describes each of the parties. (SHOW CARD 10) The more a phrase fits the party, the closer your answer should be to "7", and the less it fits, the closer your answer should be to "1". If you have no idea at all about how a phrase fits a party, just say so and we'll go on to the next party.</p> <p>First, how much does the word "competent" fit your idea of the federal Liberal party? How does "competent" fit your idea of the federal Progressive Conservative party? . .the New Democratic Party?</p>			
Literal question	Competent			
Notes	F-9-1 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Fits not at all	404	<div></div>	13.5%
2		377	<div></div>	12.6%
3		508	<div></div>	17.0%
4		625	<div></div>	20.9%
5		545	<div></div>	18.2%
6		319	<div></div>	10.7%
7	Fits very well	212	<div></div>	7.1%
8	Dont know	346		

# var232: Liberal: Competent			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var233: Liberal: Listen to Atlantic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2295 /-] [Invalid=1082 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Atlantic Canada		
Notes	F-9-2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	251	<div></div> 10.9%
2		291	<div></div> 12.7%
3		389	<div></div> 16.9%
4		504	<div></div> 22.0%
5		452	<div></div> 19.7%
6		269	<div></div> 11.7%
7	Fits very well	139	<div></div> 6.1%
8	Dont know	1040	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var234: Liberal: Listen to Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=520 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Quebec		
Notes	F-9-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	170	<div></div> 6.0%
2		164	<div></div> 5.7%
3		198	<div></div> 6.9%
4		375	<div></div> 13.1%
5		525	<div></div> 18.4%
6		707	<div></div> 24.7%
7	Fits very well	718	<div></div> 25.1%
8	Dont know	478	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var235: Liberal: Listen to Ontario			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2707 /-] [Invalid=670 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Ontario		
Notes	F-9-4 in the documentation.		

# var235: Liberal: Listen to Ontario			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	66	<div></div> 2.4%
2		103	<div></div> 3.8%
3		152	<div></div> 5.6%
4		394	<div></div> 14.6%
5		592	<div></div> 21.9%
6		772	<div></div> 28.5%
7	Fits very well	628	<div></div> 23.2%
8	Dont know	628	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var236: Liberal: Listen to West			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2732 /-] [Invalid=645 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Western Canada		
Notes	F-9-5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	443	<div></div> 16.2%
2		425	<div></div> 15.6%
3		466	<div></div> 17.1%
4		508	<div></div> 18.6%
5		396	<div></div> 14.5%
6		291	<div></div> 10.7%
7	Fits very well	203	<div></div> 7.4%
8	Dont know	604	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var237: Liberal: Ethnic Minority			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2370 /-] [Invalid=1007 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Concerned with the Interests of Ethnic Minority Groups		
Notes	F-9-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	169	<div></div> 7.1%
2		179	<div></div> 7.6%
3		294	<div></div> 12.4%
4		528	<div></div> 22.3%
5		579	<div></div> 24.4%
6		370	<div></div> 15.6%
7	Fits very well	251	<div></div> 10.6%
8	Dont know	966	
9	Refused	41	

# var237: Liberal: Ethnic Minority			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var238: Liberal: Women's Issue			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2592 /-] [Invalid=785 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Committed to Women's issues		
Notes	F-9-7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	186	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
2		232	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
3		382	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
4		662	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
5		634	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
6		325	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
7	Fits very well	171	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
8	Dont know	744	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var239: Progressive Conservative: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2903 /-] [Invalid=474 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Competent		
Notes	F-9-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	80	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2		99	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
3		228	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
4		535	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
5		849	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
6		768	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
7	Fits very well	344	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
8	Dont know	433	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var240: Progressive Conservative: Listen to Atlantic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2265 /-] [Invalid=1112 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Atlantic Canada		
Notes	F-9-2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	78	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%













# var240: Progressive Conservative: Listen to Atlantic			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		89	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
3		196	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
4		522	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
5		682	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
6		466	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
7	Fits very well	232	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
8	Dont know	1070	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var241: Progressive Conservative: Listen to Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2794 /-] [Invalid=583 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Quebec		
Notes	F-9-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2		61	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
3		135	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
4		442	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
5		830	<div><div></div></div> 29.7%
6		780	<div><div></div></div> 27.9%
7	Fits very well	510	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
8	Dont know	541	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var242: Progressive Conservative: Listen to Ontario			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2670 /-] [Invalid=707 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Ontario		
Notes	F-9-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2		32	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
3		91	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
4		365	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
5		660	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
6		850	<div><div></div></div> 31.8%
7	Fits very well	641	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
8	Dont know	665	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var243: Progressive Conservative: Listen to West			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2681 /-] [Invalid=696 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Western Canada		
Notes	F-9-5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	78	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
2		104	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
3		195	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
4		501	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
5		717	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
6		679	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
7	Fits very well	407	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
8	Dont know	655	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var244: Progressive Conservative: Ethnic Minority			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=1054 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Concerned with the Interests of Ethnic Minority Groups		
Notes	F-9-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	96	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
2		129	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
3		294	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
4		670	<div><div></div></div> 28.8%
5		627	<div><div></div></div> 27.0%
6		350	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
7	Fits very well	157	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
8	Dont know	1013	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var245: Progressive Conservative: Women's Issue			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2569 /-] [Invalid=808 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Committed to Women's issues		
Notes	F-9-7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	113	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2		132	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
3		278	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%

# var245: Progressive Conservative: Women's Issue			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		669	<div></div> 26.0%
5		751	<div></div> 29.2%
6		424	<div></div> 16.5%
7	Fits very well	202	<div></div> 7.9%
8	Dont know	767	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var246: New Democratic Party: Competent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2723 /-] [Invalid=654 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Competent		
Notes	F-9-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	214	<div></div> 7.9%
2		310	<div></div> 11.4%
3		448	<div></div> 16.5%
4		725	<div></div> 26.6%
5		552	<div></div> 20.3%
6		308	<div></div> 11.3%
7	Fits very well	166	<div></div> 6.1%
8	Dont know	613	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var247: New Democratic Party: Listen to Atlantic			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2102 /-] [Invalid=1275 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Atlantic Canada		
Notes	F-9-2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	148	<div></div> 7.0%
2		183	<div></div> 8.7%
3		303	<div></div> 14.4%
4		570	<div></div> 27.1%
5		457	<div></div> 21.7%
6		283	<div></div> 13.5%
7	Fits very well	158	<div></div> 7.5%
8	Dont know	1233	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var248: New Democratic Party: Listen to Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# var248: New Democratic Party: Listen to Quebec			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2506 /-] [Invalid=871 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Quebec		
Notes	F-9-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	158	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
2		213	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
3		414	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
4		766	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
5		508	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
6		259	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
7	Fits very well	188	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
8	Dont know	829	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var249: New Democratic Party: Listen to Ontario			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2509 /-] [Invalid=868 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Ontario		
Notes	F-9-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	68	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
2		95	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
3		213	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
4		559	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
5		662	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
6		509	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
7	Fits very well	403	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
8	Dont know	826	
9	Refused	42	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var250: New Democratic Party: Listen to West			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2560 /-] [Invalid=817 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Listens to Western Canada		
Notes	F-9-5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	91	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
2		118	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
3		225	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
4		528	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%

# var250: New Democratic Party: Listen to West			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		660	<div></div> 25.8%
6		540	<div></div> 21.1%
7	Fits very well	398	<div></div> 15.5%
8	Dont know	776	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var251: New Democratic Party: Ethnic Minority			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2234 /-] [Invalid=1143 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Concerned with the Interests of Ethnic Minority Groups		
Notes	F-9-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	88	<div></div> 3.9%
2		100	<div></div> 4.5%
3		179	<div></div> 8.0%
4		500	<div></div> 22.4%
5		597	<div></div> 26.7%
6		477	<div></div> 21.4%
7	Fits very well	293	<div></div> 13.1%
8	Dont know	1102	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var252: New Democratic Party: Women's Issue			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2493 /-] [Invalid=884 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Committed to Women's issues		
Notes	F-9-7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fits not at all	89	<div></div> 3.6%
2		85	<div></div> 3.4%
3		153	<div></div> 6.1%
4		458	<div></div> 18.4%
5		560	<div></div> 22.5%
6		634	<div></div> 25.4%
7	Fits very well	514	<div></div> 20.6%
8	Dont know	843	
9	Refused	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var253: Provincial Party Identification - Preference			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-29] [Missing=*/40/50]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2902 /-] [Invalid=475 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now, let's talk about provincial politics here in (NAME PROVINCE).		
Literal question	Thinking of provincial politics here in (NAME PROVINCE), generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as: a Liberal, Progressive Conservative, NDP, Social Credit, or what?		
Interviewer's instructions	(IN QUEBEC, REPEAT INTRO. TO : AND ASK) Parti Quebecois, Liberal, Union Nationale, Creditiste, or what?		
Notes	G-1-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	827	 28.5%
2	P.C.	1074	 37.0%
3	N.D.P	469	 16.2%
4	Social credit	104	 3.6%
6	Parti quebecois	196	 6.8%
7	Union nationale	30	 1.0%
8	Lib. & pc.	0	
9	Lib. & ndp.	0	
10	Pc. & ndp	2	 0.1%
11	Lib. & social cr.	0	
12	Pc. & social cr.	0	
13	Ndp & social cr.	1	 0.0%
18	Other combinations	0	
19	Other single mention	7	 0.2%
20	Independent/none	190	 6.5%
21	Lib. & parti que.	0	
22	Pc. & parti que.	0	
23	Ndp & parti que.	0	
24	Soci. Cr.+parti que	1	 0.0%
25	Lib.+union nat'l	1	 0.0%
26	Pc. & union nat'l	0	
27	Ndp & union nat'l	0	
28	Soci. Cr+union nat'l	0	
29	P. Que.+union nat'l	0	
40	Dont know	275	
50	Refused	200	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var254: Provincial Party Identification - Intensity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2847 /-] [Invalid=530 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How strongly (PARTY NAMED OR "INDEPENDENT" IN G-1-a) do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?		
Notes	G-1-b in the documentation.		

# var254: Provincial Party Identification - Intensity			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	890	<div><div></div></div> 31.3%
2	Fairly strongly	1353	<div><div></div></div> 47.5%
3	Not very strongly	604	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
7	Refused	1	
8	Dont know	54	
9	Na	475	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var255: Provincial Party Identification - Reason 1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=503.908 /-] [StdDev=331.972 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Why do you think of yourself as a (PARTY NAMED OR "INDEPENDENT" IN G-1-a) here in (NAME PROVINCE)?		
Notes	G-1-c in the documentation. See var083 for codes.		
# var256: Provincial Party Identification - Reason 2			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=278.454 /-] [StdDev=411.814 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Any other reasons?		
Notes	G-1-c in the documentation. See var083 for codes.		
# var257: Closer to One Provincial Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=482 /-] [Invalid=2895 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "don't know", "none", "independent", or "don't know" for G-1-a.		
Notes	G-2-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	153	<div><div></div></div> 31.7%
2	No	329	<div><div></div></div> 68.3%
0	Refused	105	
8	Dont know	78	
9	Na	2712	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var258: Provincial Party Feels Closer to			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-29] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=113 /-] [Invalid=3264 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for G-2-b.		
Literal question	Which party is that?		
Notes	G-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	29	<div><div></div></div> 25.7%
2	P.C.	37	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
3	N.D.P	19	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%

# var258: Provincial Party Feels Closer to				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
4	Social credit	8	<div></div>	7.1%
6	Parti quebécois	18	<div></div>	15.9%
7	Union nationale	2	<div></div>	1.8%
8	Lib. & pc.	0		
9	Lib. & ndp.	0		
10	Pc. & ndp	0		
11	Lib. & social cr.	0		
12	Pc. & social cr.	0		
13	Ndp & social cr.	0		
18	Other combinations	0		
19	Other single mention	0		
20	Independent/none	0		
21	Lib. & parti que.	0		
22	Pc. & parti que.	0		
23	Ndp & parti que.	0		
24	Soci. Cr.+parti que	0		
25	Lib.+union nat'l	0		
26	Pc. & union nat'l	0		
27	Ndp & union nat'l	0		
28	Soci. Cr+union nat'l	0		
29	P. Que.+union nat'l	0		
40	Dont know	2		
50	Refused	38		
80	Na	3224		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var259: Ever Closer to Another Provincial Party				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9/0]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3203 /-] [Invalid=174 /-]			
Universe	G-3-a is asked only to respondents who named party for G-1-a or G-2-b. G-3-b is asked only to respondents who answered "no", "don't know", or refused to answer G-2-a, or did not name any party for G-2-b.			
Literal question	(G-3-a) Was there ever a time when you felt closer to any other provincial party? / (G-3-b)Well, was there ever a time when you felt close to a provincial party?			
Notes	G-3-a/b in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	996	<div></div>	31.1%
2	No	2207	<div></div>	68.9%
0	Refused	91		
8	Dont know	83		
9	Na	0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var260: Provincial Party Felt Close To				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-29] [Missing=*/40/50/80]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=955 /-] [Invalid=2422 /-]			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for G-3-a/b.			

# var260: Provincial Party Felt Close To			
Literal question		Which party was that?	
Notes		G-3-c in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	334	<div></div> 35.0%
2	P.C.	169	<div></div> 17.7%
3	N.D.P	199	<div></div> 20.8%
4	Social credit	90	<div></div> 9.4%
6	Parti quebécois	82	<div></div> 8.6%
7	Union nationale	58	<div></div> 6.1%
8	Lib. & pc.	1	<div></div> 0.1%
9	Lib. & ndp.	2	<div></div> 0.2%
10	Pc. & ndp	1	<div></div> 0.1%
11	Lib. & social cr.	1	<div></div> 0.1%
12	Pc. & social cr.	0	
13	Ndp & social cr.	1	<div></div> 0.1%
18	Other combinations	0	
19	Other single mention	11	<div></div> 1.2%
20	Independent/none	4	<div></div> 0.4%
21	Lib. & parti que.	2	<div></div> 0.2%
22	Pc. & parti que.	0	
23	Ndp & parti que.	0	
24	Soci. Cr.+parti que	0	
25	Lib.+union nat'l	0	
26	Pc. & union nat'l	0	
27	Ndp & union nat'l	0	
28	Soci. Cr+union nat'l	0	
29	P. Que.+union nat'l	0	
40	Dont know	4	
50	Refused	37	
80	Na	2381	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var261: Did You Vote in Next-to-Last Provincial Election			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3264 /-] [Invalid=113 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Now, thinking about the previous provincial election in (SPECIFY APPROPRIATE MONTH AND YEAR) Did you vote in that election or did something keep you away from voting?	
Interviewer's instructions		PROVINCIAL ELECTION FOR YOUR PROVINCE FROM THE FOLLOWING LIST IN THE SPACE PROVIDED	
Notes		G-4-a in the documentation. Alberta: Nov., 1982; Nova Scotia: Oct., 1981; British Columbia: May, 1983; Ontario: Mar., 1981; Manitoba: Nov., 1982; Prince Edward Island: Sept.,1982; New Brunswick: Oct., 1982; Quebec: Apr., 1981; Newfoundland: Apr., 1982; Saskatchewan: Apr., 1982	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voted	2637	<div></div> 80.8%
2	Did not vote	435	<div></div> 13.3%
3	Not eligible	134	<div></div> 4.1%

# var261: Did You Vote in Next-to-Last Provincial Election			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not resident	58	1.8%
0	Refused	20	
8	Dont know	93	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var262: Party Voted in Next-to-Last Provincial Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2352 /-] [Invalid=1025 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the next-to-last provincial election, as indicated in G-4-a		
Literal question	For which party did you vote?		
Notes	G-4-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	673	28.6%
2	P.C.	839	35.7%
3	N.D.P.	418	17.8%
4	Social credit	109	4.6%
6	Parti quebecois	280	11.9%
7	Union nationale	13	0.6%
13	Spoiled ballot	4	0.2%
14	Other parties	16	0.7%
20	Independent/none	0	
35	Would not vote	0	
40	Dont know	91	
50	Refused	194	
80	Na	740	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var263: Did You Vote in 1984 Provincial Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=108 /-] [Invalid=3269 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did you vote in the 1984 (NAME PROVINCE) provincial election?		
Interviewer's instructions	DO NOT ASK G-5-a OR G-5-b UNLESS YOU RECEIVE SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS TO DO SO.		
Notes	G-5-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voted	91	84.3%
2	Did not vote	17	15.7%
3	Not eligible	0	
4	Not resident	0	
8	Dont know	0	
9	Na	3269	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var264: Party Voted for in 1984 Provincial Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		

# var264: Party Voted for in 1984 Provincial Election			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=78 /-] [Invalid=3299 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the 1984 provincial election, as indicated in G-5-a.		
Literal question	For which party did you vote?		
Interviewer's instructions	DO NOT ASK G-5-a OR G-5-b UNLESS YOU RECEIVE SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS TO DO SO.		
Notes	G-5-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	22	<div><div></div></div> 28.2%
2	P.C.	48	<div><div></div></div> 61.5%
3	N.D.P.	8	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
4	Social credit	0	
6	Parti quebécois	0	
7	Union nationale	0	
13	Spoiled ballot	0	
14	Other parties	0	
20	Independent/none	0	
35	Would not vote	0	
40	Dont know	1	
50	Refused	12	
80	Na	3286	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var265: Vote in Provincial Election Today			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2542 /-] [Invalid=835 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If a provincial general election were being held today here in (NAME PROVINCE), for which party would you vote?		
Notes	G-6-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	756	<div><div></div></div> 29.7%
2	P.C.	987	<div><div></div></div> 38.8%
3	N.D.P.	491	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
4	Social credit	90	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
6	Parti quebécois	117	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
7	Union nationale	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
13	Spoiled ballot	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	Other parties	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
20	Independent/none	0	
35	Would not vote	41	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
40	Dont know	659	
50	Refused	176	
80	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var266: Provincial Party Leaning Towards			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=367 /-] [Invalid=3010 /-]		

# var266: Provincial Party Leaning Towards			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer G-6-a.		
Literal question	Well, would you say that you lean toward one of the prov parties here in (NAME PROVINCE)? Which party is that?		
Notes	G-6-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	73	<div></div> 19.9%
2	P.C.	111	<div></div> 30.2%
3	N.D.P.	39	<div></div> 10.6%
4	Social credit	16	<div></div> 4.4%
6	Parti quebécois	23	<div></div> 6.3%
7	Union nationale	1	<div></div> 0.3%
13	Spoiled ballot	0	
14	Other parties	1	<div></div> 0.3%
20	Independent/none	103	<div></div> 28.1%
35	Would not vote	0	
40	Dont know	326	
50	Refused	183	
80	Na	2501	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var267: Second Party You Would Vote for in Provincial Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2283 /-] [Invalid=1094 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who named a party for G-6-a, or G-6-b.		
Literal question	Which party would be your second choice?		
Notes	G-6-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	716	<div></div> 31.4%
2	P.C.	508	<div></div> 22.3%
3	N.D.P.	488	<div></div> 21.4%
4	Social credit	60	<div></div> 2.6%
6	Parti quebécois	60	<div></div> 2.6%
7	Union nationale	127	<div></div> 5.6%
13	Spoiled ballot	3	<div></div> 0.1%
14	Other parties	32	<div></div> 1.4%
20	Independent/none	277	<div></div> 12.1%
35	Would not vote	12	<div></div> 0.5%
40	Dont know	449	
50	Refused	33	
80	Na	612	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var268: Party You Would Now Vote in Federal Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*/40/50/80]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2920 /-] [Invalid=457 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# var268: Party You Would Now Vote in Federal Election			
Literal question		Let's go back for a moment to federal politics. If a federal election were being held today in Canada, for which party would you vote?	
Notes		G-7-a in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	649	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
2	P.C.	1669	<div><div></div></div> 57.2%
3	Ndp	484	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
4	Social credit	1	0.0%
5	Parti nationaliste	7	0.2%
8	Communist	0	
9	Green party	2	0.1%
10	Libertarian	2	0.1%
11	Pcc-commonwealth cdn	0	
12	Rhino	7	0.2%
13	Spoiled ballot	2	0.1%
14	All others	0	
15	Conf. Of region west	12	0.4%
16	West. Can. Concept	2	0.1%
20	Independent/none	1	0.0%
35	Would not vote	82	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
40	Dont know	285	
50	Refused	172	
80	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var269: Federal Party Leaning Towards			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-35] [Missing=*/40/50/80]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=143 /-] [Invalid=3234 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who answered "would not vote", "don't know", or refused to answer G-7-a.	
Literal question		Well, would you say that you lean towards one of the parties? Which party is that?	
Notes		G-7-b in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	33	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
2	P.C.	38	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
3	Ndp	17	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
4	Social credit	0	
5	Parti nationaliste	0	
8	Communist	0	
9	Green party	0	
10	Libertarian	0	
11	Pcc-commonwealth cdn	0	
12	Rhino	0	
13	Spoiled ballot	0	
14	All others	0	
15	Conf. Of region west	0	
16	West. Can. Concept	0	

# var269: Federal Party Leaning Towards			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Independent/none	0	
35	Would not vote	55	<div></div> 38.5%
40	Dont know	210	
50	Refused	186	
80	Na	2838	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var271: Number of Groups with Power			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2818 /-] [Invalid=559 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	People have different ideas about who has the most power in this country, who really has the greatest say in the important decisions about how Canada is run. Some people say there is only one group that has the most power; other people say, no, there are two or three different groups that have the most power; and still other people say, no, there are lots of different groups that have power.		
Literal question	What do you think?		
Notes	I-1-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	One group	728	<div></div> 25.8%
2	2 or 3 groups	1007	<div></div> 35.7%
3	Many groups	1083	<div></div> 38.4%
0	Refused	40	
8	Dont know	519	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var272: First Power Group in Canada			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-91] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1670 /-] [Invalid=1707 /-] [Mean=11.52 /-] [StdDev=15.486 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "one", "two", or "three" groups for I-1-a.		
Literal question	Which group(s) do you have in mind?		
Notes	I-1-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	65	
99	Na	1642	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var273: Second Power Group in Canada			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-92] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=960 /-] [Invalid=2417 /-] [Mean=12.989 /-] [StdDev=17.198 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	I-1-b in the documentation. See var272 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	706	
99	Na	1711	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var274: Third Power Group in Canada			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-92] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=454 /-] [Invalid=2923 /-] [Mean=16.311 /-] [StdDev=20.446 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	I-1-b in the documentation. See var272 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 3rd mention	507	
99	Na	2416	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var275: Most Powerful Group in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3199 /-] [Invalid=178 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which of the groups of people on this list (SHOW CARD 12) has the most power in Canada today?		
Notes	I-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Labour union	472	<div></div> 14.8%
2	Fed. Govnt	1557	<div></div> 48.7%
3	Large corp.	946	<div></div> 29.6%
4	Your prov. Govt.	101	<div></div> 3.2%
5	Newspapers	30	<div></div> 0.9%
6	T.V.	62	<div></div> 1.9%
7	Churches	28	<div></div> 0.9%
8	School system	3	<div></div> 0.1%
0	Refused	26	
9	Dont know	152	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var276: Second Most Powerful Group in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3155 /-] [Invalid=222 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which is the second most powerful group?		
Notes	I-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Labour union	656	<div></div> 20.8%
2	Fed. Govnt	802	<div></div> 25.4%
3	Large corp.	773	<div></div> 24.5%
4	Your prov. Govt.	650	<div></div> 20.6%
5	Newspapers	113	<div></div> 3.6%
6	T.V.	98	<div></div> 3.1%
7	Churches	45	<div></div> 1.4%
8	School system	18	<div></div> 0.6%
0	Refused	26	
9	Dont know	196	

# var276: Second Most Powerful Group in Canada			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var277: Third Most Powerful Group in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2994 /-] [Invalid=383 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which is the least powerful group, as you see it?		
Notes	I-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Labour union	107	3.6%
2	Fed. Govnt	14	0.5%
3	Large corp.	35	1.2%
4	Your prov. Govt.	67	2.2%
5	Newspapers	173	5.8%
6	T.V.	104	3.5%
7	Churches	1115	37.2%
8	School system	1379	46.1%
0	Refused	26	
9	Dont know	357	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var278: Unemployment: People Not Willing to Work Hard			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3281 /-] [Invalid=96 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	There has been a lot of unemployment in Canada over the past few years. Here are some reasons that some people say are the causes of high unemployment. I'd like you to tell me whether you agree or disagree with each reason, and how much. However, tell me if you have no opinion on the matter. (SHOW CARD 2)		
Literal question	People are not willing to work hard enough.		
Notes	I-2-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	549	16.7%
2	Agree somewhat	1135	34.6%
3	Disagree somewhat	671	20.5%
4	Strongly disagree	848	25.8%
7	Neither ag nor disag	78	2.4%
0	Refused	24	
8	No opinion	72	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var279: Unemployment: Government Discouraged Job Creation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3112 /-] [Invalid=265 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Government policies have discouraged business from creating jobs.		
Notes	I-2-2 in the documentation.		

# var279: Unemployment: Government Discouraged Job Creation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	796	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
2	Agree somewhat	1427	<div><div></div></div> 45.9%
3	Disagree somewhat	567	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
4	Strongly disagree	198	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
7	Neither ag nor disag	124	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
0	Refused	24	
8	No opinion	241	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var280: Unemployment: Expect Too Much Pay			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3289 /-] [Invalid=88 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People expect to be paid too much.		
Notes	I-2-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1103	<div><div></div></div> 33.5%
2	Agree somewhat	1317	<div><div></div></div> 40.0%
3	Disagree somewhat	494	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
4	Strongly disagree	286	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
7	Neither ag nor disag	89	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
0	Refused	24	
8	No opinion	64	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var281: Unemployment: Government is Spending Too Much			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3230 /-] [Invalid=147 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Governments have been spending too much.		
Notes	I-2-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1822	<div><div></div></div> 56.4%
2	Agree somewhat	1024	<div><div></div></div> 31.7%
3	Disagree somewhat	216	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
4	Strongly disagree	97	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
7	Neither ag nor disag	71	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
0	Refused	24	
8	No opinion	123	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var282: Unemployment: People Not Willing to Relocate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3175 /-] [Invalid=202 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# var282: Unemployment: People Not Willing to Relocate			
Literal question	People are not willing to move to where the jobs are.		
Notes	I-2-5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	339	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
2	Agree somewhat	1170	<div><div></div></div> 36.9%
3	Disagree somewhat	927	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
4	Strongly disagree	579	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
7	Neither ag nor disag	160	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
0	Refused	24	
8	No opinion	178	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var283: Unemployment: Financial Problems Outside of Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2865 /-] [Invalid=512 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Financial problems outside of Canada		
Notes	I-2-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	618	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
2	Agree somewhat	1361	<div><div></div></div> 47.5%
3	Disagree somewhat	435	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
4	Strongly disagree	255	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
7	Neither ag nor disag	196	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
0	Refused	25	
8	No opinion	487	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var284: Thermometer: Left-Wingers			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1578 /-] [Invalid=1799 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Here is a drawing of a thermometer. (SHOW CARD 13) It is called a feeling thermometer because it helps us to measure feelings toward various groups of people. Here is how it works. Scores between 50 degrees and 100 degrees mean that you feel favourable and warm toward a group of people -- the higher the score, the warmer and more favourable your feelings. Scores between 0 degrees and 50 degrees mean that you don't feel too favourable and are cool toward a group of people -- the lower the score, the cooler and less favourable your feelings. If you don't have any feelings at all toward a group of people, just say so and we'll go on the the next one.		
Literal question	Left-wingers		
Notes	I-3-a-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	136	<div><div></div></div> 59.6%
100	Like	21	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
997	Mix feeling/depends	71	<div><div></div></div> 31.1%
998	No feelings	350	
999	Dk/no opinion	1449	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var285: Thermometer: Right-Wingers			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1606 /-] [Invalid=1771 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Right-wingers		
Notes	I-3-a-2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	81	<div><div></div></div> 43.8%
100	Like	45	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
997	Mix feeling/depends	59	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
998	No feelings	337	
999	Dk/no opinion	1434	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var286: Thermometer: French Canadians			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3008 /-] [Invalid=369 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	French-Canadians		
Notes	I-3-a-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	35	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
100	Like	337	<div><div></div></div> 69.2%
997	Mix feeling/depends	115	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
998	No feelings	121	
999	Dk/no opinion	248	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var287: Thermometer: English Canadians			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3057 /-] [Invalid=320 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	English Canadians		
Notes	I-3-a-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
100	Like	354	<div><div></div></div> 78.1%
997	Mix feeling/depends	91	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
998	No feelings	101	
999	Dk/no opinion	219	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var288: Thermometer: Jews			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2690 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# var288: Thermometer: Jews				
Literal question		Jews		
Notes		I-3-a-5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Dislike	33	<div><div></div></div>	9.9%
100	Like	229	<div><div></div></div>	68.4%
997	Mix feeling/depends	73	<div><div></div></div>	21.8%
998	No feelings	220		
999	Dk/no opinion	467		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var289: Thermometer: Whites				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2958 -/] [Invalid=419 -/]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Whites		
Notes		I-3-a-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Dislike	6	<div><div></div></div>	1.1%
100	Like	475	<div><div></div></div>	83.9%
997	Mix feeling/depends	85	<div><div></div></div>	15.0%
998	No feelings	137		
999	Dk/no opinion	282		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var290: Thermometer: Non-Whites				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2916 -/] [Invalid=461 -/]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Non-Whites		
Notes		I-3-a-7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Dislike	12	<div><div></div></div>	2.8%
100	Like	305	<div><div></div></div>	70.9%
997	Mix feeling/depends	113	<div><div></div></div>	26.3%
998	No feelings	150		
999	Dk/no opinion	311		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var291: Thermometer: Women's Movement				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2952 -/] [Invalid=425 -/]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		The Women's Movement		
Notes		I-3-a-8 in the documentation.		

# var291: Thermometer: Women's Movement			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	73	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
100	Like	230	<div><div></div></div> 53.6%
997	Mix feeling/depends	126	<div><div></div></div> 29.4%
998	No feelings	93	
999	Dk/no opinion	332	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var292: Thermometer: Those Who Run Churches			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2943 /-] [Invalid=434 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The people who run the churches		
Notes	I-3-b-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	50	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
100	Like	230	<div><div></div></div> 55.4%
997	Mix feeling/depends	135	<div><div></div></div> 32.5%
998	No feelings	130	
999	Dk/no opinion	304	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var293: Thermometer: Those Who Run Schools			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3032 /-] [Invalid=345 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The people who run the school systems		
Notes	I-3-b-2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	29	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
100	Like	110	<div><div></div></div> 42.3%
997	Mix feeling/depends	121	<div><div></div></div> 46.5%
998	No feelings	79	
999	Dk/no opinion	266	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var294: Thermometer: Those Who Run Unions			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3026 /-] [Invalid=351 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The people who run the labour unions		
Notes	I-3-b-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	146	<div><div></div></div> 48.7%
100	Like	41	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%

# var294: Thermometer: Those Who Run Unions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
997	Mix feeling/depends	113	<div></div> 37.7%
998	No feelings	73	
999	Dk/no opinion	278	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var295: Thermometer: Those Who Run the Federal Government			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3070 /-] [Invalid=307 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The people who run the federal government		
Notes	I-3-b-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	36	<div></div> 14.2%
100	Like	65	<div></div> 25.6%
997	Mix feeling/depends	153	<div></div> 60.2%
998	No feelings	56	
999	Dk/no opinion	251	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var296: Thermometer: Those Who Run Large Corporations			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2895 /-] [Invalid=482 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The people who run the large business corporations		
Notes	I-3-b-5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	70	<div></div> 29.3%
100	Like	42	<div></div> 17.6%
997	Mix feeling/depends	127	<div></div> 53.1%
998	No feelings	113	
999	Dk/no opinion	369	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var297: Thermometer: Those Who Run Provincial Government			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3056 /-] [Invalid=321 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The people who run your provincial government		
Notes	I-3-b-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	74	<div></div> 28.1%
100	Like	55	<div></div> 20.9%
997	Mix feeling/depends	134	<div></div> 51.0%
998	No feelings	69	
999	Dk/no opinion	252	

var297: Thermometer: Those Who Run Provincial Government

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var298: Thermometer: Those Who Run Newspapers

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2936 /-] [Invalid=441 /-]

Universe All respondents

Literal question The people who run the newspapers

Notes I-3-b-7 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	32	18.2%
100	Like	28	15.9%
997	Mix feeling/depends	116	65.9%
998	No feelings	122	
999	Dk/no opinion	319	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var299: Thermometer: Those Who Run T.V.

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2982 /-] [Invalid=395 /-]

Universe All respondents

Literal question The people who run television

Notes I-3-b-8 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	30	16.5%
100	Like	34	18.7%
997	Mix feeling/depends	118	64.8%
998	No feelings	107	
999	Dk/no opinion	288	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var301: Thermometer: John Turner

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2950 /-] [Invalid=427 /-]

Universe All respondents

Pre-question And, what about your feelings toward the current and former leaders of the federal political parties?

Literal question John Turner

Notes I-3-c-1 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	69	33.3%
100	Like	44	21.3%
997	Mix feeling/depends	94	45.4%
998	No feelings	113	
999	Dk/no opinion	314	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# var302: Thermometer: Brian Mulroney			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3029 /-] [Invalid=348 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Brian Mulroney		
Notes	I-3-c-2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	42	<div></div> 18.3%
100	Like	103	<div></div> 44.8%
997	Mix feeling/depends	85	<div></div> 37.0%
998	No feelings	66	
999	Dk/no opinion	282	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var303: Thermometer: Ed Broadbent			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2924 /-] [Invalid=453 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Ed Broadbent		
Notes	I-3-c-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	41	<div></div> 26.3%
100	Like	49	<div></div> 31.4%
997	Mix feeling/depends	66	<div></div> 42.3%
998	No feelings	103	
999	Dk/no opinion	350	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var304: Thermometer: Pierre Trudeau			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3069 /-] [Invalid=308 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Pierre Trudeau		
Notes	I-3-c-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Dislike	273	<div></div> 57.7%
100	Like	146	<div></div> 30.9%
997	Mix feeling/depends	54	<div></div> 11.4%
998	No feelings	82	
999	Dk/no opinion	226	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var305: Thermometer: Joe Clark				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-997] [Missing=*/998/999]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2993 /-] [Invalid=384 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Joe Clark			
Notes	I-3-c-5 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Dislike	103	<div></div>	56.6%
100	Like	27	<div></div>	14.8%
997	Mix feeling/depends	52	<div></div>	28.6%
998	No feelings	100		
999	Dk/no opinion	284		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var306: Social Class Member				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3259 /-] [Invalid=118 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	One hears a lot about different social classes. Do you ever think of yourself as belonging to a social class?			
Notes	J-1 in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	1516	<div></div>	46.5%
2	No	1743	<div></div>	53.5%
7	Refused	25		
8	Dont know	93		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var307: Social Class Belongs To				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1507 /-] [Invalid=1870 /-]			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" to J-1.			
Literal question	Which of the following social classes would you say you were in? (SHOW CARD 14 AND READ OPTIONS)			
Notes	J-2-a in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Upper class	11	<div></div>	0.7%
2	Upper-middle class	167	<div></div>	11.1%
3	Middle class	878	<div></div>	58.3%
4	Working class	401	<div></div>	26.6%
5	Lower class	50	<div></div>	3.3%
7	Refused	4		
8	Dont know	5		
9	Na	1861		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var308: Social Class Belongs To				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1673 /-] [Invalid=1704 /-]			

# var308: Social Class Belongs To			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "no", or "don't know" for J-2-b.		
Literal question	Well, if you had to make a choice, which of these social classes would you say you were in? (SHOW CARD 14 AND READ OPTIONS)		
Notes	J-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Upper class	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2	Upper-middle class	66	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
3	Middle class	789	<div><div></div></div> 47.2%
4	Working class	738	<div><div></div></div> 44.1%
5	Lower class	68	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
7	Refused	70	
8	Dont know	118	
9	Na	1516	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var309: Feels Close to Social Class			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3012 /-] [Invalid=365 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who chose a class for J-1-a or J-1-b.		
Literal question	Some people feel close to other people in their own class, but others don't feel this way as much. How about you? Would you say you feel very close to other (CHOSEN CLASS IN J-2-a OR J-2-b) people, fairly close to them, or that you don't feel much closer to them than you do to people in other classes?		
Notes	J-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Feel very close	640	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
2	Feel fairly close	1467	<div><div></div></div> 48.7%
3	Dont feel close	905	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
7	Refused	26	
8	Dont know	142	
9	Na	197	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var310: Conflict Between Classes Inevitable			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3135 /-] [Invalid=242 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	On the whole, do you think that there is bound to be conflict between different social classes, or do you think they can get along together without any conflict?		
Notes	J-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bound t/b conflict	1535	<div><div></div></div> 49.0%
2	Can get along	1600	<div><div></div></div> 51.0%
7	Refused	40	
8	No opinion/dk	202	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var311: Do Federal Liberals Favour One Class	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=410 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Some people believe that political parties favour particular social classes over other social classes. Here is a scale for describing each of the federal parties. (SHOW CARD 15) The closer to "1", the more the party favours the lower social classes, and the closer to "7", the more a party favours the higher social classes.
Literal question	Where would you place the federal Liberal party on this scale?
Notes	J-5-a in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lower	53	1.8%
2		73	2.5%
3		205	6.9%
4		795	26.8%
5		732	24.7%
6		710	23.9%
7	Higher	399	13.4%
0	Refused	32	
8	No opinion	378	
9	Na	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# var312: Do Federal Progressive Conservatives Favour One Class	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2932 /-] [Invalid=445 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Where would you place the federal Progressive Conservative party?
Notes	J-5-b in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lower	32	1.1%
2		72	2.5%
3		216	7.4%
4		853	29.1%
5		757	25.8%
6		658	22.4%
7	Higher	344	11.7%
0	Refused	33	
8	No opinion	412	
9	Na	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# var313: Does the Federal New Democratic Party Favour One Class	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2802 /-] [Invalid=575 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Where would you place the federal NDP?
Notes	J-5-c in the documentation.

# var313: Does the Federal New Democratic Party Favour One Class				
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
1		Lower	368	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
2			708	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
3			795	<div><div></div></div> 28.4%
4			595	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
5			183	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
6			91	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
7		Higher	62	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
0		Refused	33	
8		No opinion	542	
9		Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var314: Do Provincial Liberals Favour One Class				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2512 /-] [Invalid=865 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Pre-question		Now I would like to ask you about the provincial political parties here in (NAME PROVINCE).		
Literal question		First, how much does the provincial Liberal party favour the lower or the higher social classes?		
Notes		J-6-a in the documentation.		
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
1		Lower	47	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2			87	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
3			236	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
4			876	<div><div></div></div> 34.9%
5			635	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
6			414	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
7		Higher	217	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
0		Refused	33	
8		No opinion	832	
9		Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var315: Do Provincial Progressive Conservatives Favour One Class				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2060 /-] [Invalid=1317 /-]		
Universe		Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question		The provincial Progressive Conservatives?		
Notes		J-6-b in the documentation.		
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
1		Lower	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2			54	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
3			135	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
4			598	<div><div></div></div> 29.0%
5			571	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%

# var315: Do Provincial Progressive Conservatives Favour One Class			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		446	<div></div> 21.7%
7	Higher	238	<div></div> 11.6%
0	Refused	20	
8	No opinion	518	
9	Na	779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var316: Does the Provincial New Democratic Party Favour One Class			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2099 /-] [Invalid=1278 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	The provincial NDP?		
Notes	J-6-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lower	274	<div></div> 13.1%
2		562	<div></div> 26.8%
3		566	<div></div> 27.0%
4		453	<div></div> 21.6%
5		146	<div></div> 7.0%
6		60	<div></div> 2.9%
7	Higher	38	<div></div> 1.8%
0	Refused	19	
8	No opinion	480	
9	Na	779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var317: Does the Provincial Social Credit Party Favour One Class			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=446 /-] [Invalid=2931 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents residing in the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia.		
Literal question	The provincial Social Credit party?		
Notes	J-6-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lower	10	<div></div> 2.2%
2		25	<div></div> 5.6%
3		47	<div></div> 10.5%
4		102	<div></div> 22.9%
5		87	<div></div> 19.5%
6		95	<div></div> 21.3%
7	Higher	80	<div></div> 17.9%
0	Refused	5	
8	No opinion	163	
9	Na	2763	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var318: Does Union Nationale Favour One Class				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=487 /-] [Invalid=2890 /-]			
Universe	Asked only to respondents residing in the province of Quebec.			
Literal question	The Union Nationale?			
Notes	J-6-e in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Lower	29	<div><div></div></div>	6.0%
2		34	<div><div></div></div>	7.0%
3		86	<div><div></div></div>	17.7%
4		190	<div><div></div></div>	39.0%
5		82	<div><div></div></div>	16.8%
6		50	<div><div></div></div>	10.3%
7	Higher	16	<div><div></div></div>	3.3%
0	Refused	14		
8	No opinion	278		
9	Na	2598		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var319: Does Parti Quebecois Favour One Class				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=645 /-] [Invalid=2732 /-]			
Universe	Asked only to respondents residing in the province of Quebec.			
Literal question	The Parti Quebecois?			
Notes	J-6-f in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Lower	51	<div><div></div></div>	7.9%
2		76	<div><div></div></div>	11.8%
3		136	<div><div></div></div>	21.1%
4		202	<div><div></div></div>	31.3%
5		100	<div><div></div></div>	15.5%
6		42	<div><div></div></div>	6.5%
7	Higher	38	<div><div></div></div>	5.9%
0	Refused	14		
8	No opinion	120		
9	Na	2598		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var320: Do Creditistes Favour One Class				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=389 /-] [Invalid=2988 /-]			
Universe	Asked only to respondents residing in the province of Quebec.			
Literal question	The Creditistes?			
Notes	J-6-g in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Lower	64	<div><div></div></div>	16.5%

# var320: Do Creditistes Favour One Class			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		89	<div></div> 22.9%
3		81	<div></div> 20.8%
4		87	<div></div> 22.4%
5		31	<div></div> 8.0%
6		23	<div></div> 5.9%
7	Higher	14	<div></div> 3.6%
0	Refused	14	
8	No opinion	376	
9	Na	2598	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var321: Order of Reading of K-1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Here are some opinions that different people hold. As I read each statement, I would like you to tell me whether you agree or disagree with it, and how much. However, tell me if you have no opinion on the matter. (SHOW CARD 2) (ALTERNATE ORDER OF READING LIST.)		
Notes	K-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Down a to k	1881	<div></div> 55.7%
2	Up k to a	1496	<div></div> 44.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var322: Government Should Ensure Adequate Housing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3296 /-] [Invalid=81 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The government should see that everyone has adequate housing.		
Notes	K-1-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1416	<div></div> 43.0%
2	Agree somewhat	1186	<div></div> 36.0%
3	Disagree somewhat	435	<div></div> 13.2%
4	Strongly disagree	188	<div></div> 5.7%
7	Neither ag nor disag	71	<div></div> 2.2%
0	Refused	28	
8	No opinion	53	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var323: Doctors and Hospitals: No Extra Billing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3282 /-] [Invalid=95 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Doctors and hospitals should not be allowed to extra bill or charge patients more than what the government health plans pay them.		

# var323: Doctors and Hospitals: No Extra Billing			
Notes		K-1-b in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2022	<div><div></div></div> 61.6%
2	Agree somewhat	702	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
3	Disagree somewhat	318	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
4	Strongly disagree	172	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
7	Neither ag nor disag	68	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
0	Refused	28	
8	No opinion	67	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var324: Too Great a Difference Between Rich and Poor			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3223 /-] [Invalid=154 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		The difference between the rich and poor is too great in Canada.	
Notes		K-1-c in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1331	<div><div></div></div> 41.3%
2	Agree somewhat	1095	<div><div></div></div> 34.0%
3	Disagree somewhat	521	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
4	Strongly disagree	156	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
7	Neither ag nor disag	120	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
0	Refused	28	
8	No opinion	126	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var325: Government Employee Has No Right to Strike			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3190 /-] [Invalid=187 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Government employees should not have the right to strike.	
Notes		K-1-d in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1131	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%
2	Agree somewhat	876	<div><div></div></div> 27.5%
3	Disagree somewhat	618	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
4	Strongly disagree	426	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
7	Neither ag nor disag	139	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
0	Refused	29	
8	No opinion	158	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var326: Management Should Not Replace Strikers			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3164 /-] [Invalid=213 /-]	

# var326: Management Should Not Replace Strikers			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	During a strike, management should not be allowed to hire workers to take the place of strikers.		
Notes	K-1-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	997	<div><div></div></div> 31.5%
2	Agree somewhat	716	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
3	Disagree somewhat	628	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
4	Strongly disagree	672	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
7	Neither ag nor disag	151	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
0	Refused	29	
8	No opinion	184	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var327: Government is Not Responsible for Unemployed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3245 /-] [Invalid=132 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	It is not the responsibility of government to assure jobs for unemployed Canadians.		
Notes	K-1-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	355	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
2	Agree somewhat	777	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
3	Disagree somewhat	907	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
4	Strongly disagree	1107	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
7	Neither ag nor disag	99	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
0	Refused	29	
8	No opinion	103	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var328: Increase Contribution to NATO			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2757 /-] [Invalid=620 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Canada should increase its military contributions to NATO.		
Notes	K-1-g in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	498	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
2	Agree somewhat	795	<div><div></div></div> 28.8%
3	Disagree somewhat	558	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
4	Strongly disagree	699	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
7	Neither ag nor disag	207	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
0	Refused	29	
8	No opinion	591	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# var329: High Incomes Should Mean Greater Taxes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3207 /-] [Invalid=170 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People with high incomes should pay a greater share of the taxes than they do now.		
Notes	K-1-h in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1671	<div><div></div></div> 52.1%
2	Agree somewhat	881	<div><div></div></div> 27.5%
3	Disagree somewhat	352	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
4	Strongly disagree	206	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
7	Neither ag nor disag	97	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
0	Refused	29	
8	No opinion	141	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var330: Government Should Ensure Retired Have Enough Money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3313 /-] [Invalid=64 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The government should see to it that older and retired people have enough money to live on.		
Notes	K-1-i in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2393	<div><div></div></div> 72.2%
2	Agree somewhat	758	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
3	Disagree somewhat	99	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
4	Strongly disagree	30	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
7	Neither ag nor disag	33	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
0	Refused	29	
8	No opinion	35	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var331: United States Should Aim for Nuclear Superiority			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2925 /-] [Invalid=452 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The U.S. and its allies should aim for superiority in nuclear weapons.		
Notes	K-1-j in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	343	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
2	Agree somewhat	530	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
3	Disagree somewhat	552	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
4	Strongly disagree	1276	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
7	Neither ag nor disag	224	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
0	Refused	29	
8	No opinion	423	

# var331: United States Should Aim for Nuclear Superiority			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var332: More Jobs for Women from Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3186 /-] [Invalid=191 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The government should increase the employment opportunities available to women.		
Notes	K-1-k in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1215	<div><div></div></div> 38.1%
2	Agree somewhat	1227	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
3	Disagree somewhat	370	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
4	Strongly disagree	159	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
7	Neither ag nor disag	215	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
0	Refused	29	
8	No opinion	162	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var333: Capital Punishment for Murder			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3162 /-] [Invalid=215 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	There should be capital punishment for anyone convicted of murder.		
Notes	K-2-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1453	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
2	Agree somewhat	746	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
3	Disagree somewhat	367	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
4	Strongly disagree	417	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
7	Neither ag nor disag	179	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
0	Refused	28	
8	No opinion	187	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var334: Censor Pornographic Magazines/Movies			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3212 /-] [Invalid=165 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Pornographic magazines and movies should be censored.		
Notes	K-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1750	<div><div></div></div> 54.5%
2	Agree somewhat	746	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
3	Disagree somewhat	322	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
4	Strongly disagree	275	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
7	Neither ag nor disag	119	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%

# var334: Censor Pornographic Magazines/Movies			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Refused	28	
8	No opinion	137	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var335: Pregnant Women Should Decide Abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3204 /-] [Invalid=173 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The decision to have an abortion should be the responsibility of the pregnant woman.		
Notes	K-2-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1643	<div><div></div></div> 51.3%
2	Agree somewhat	678	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
3	Disagree somewhat	264	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
4	Strongly disagree	518	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
7	Neither ag nor disag	101	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
0	Refused	28	
8	No opinion	145	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var336: Homosexual Teachers Permitted			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3029 /-] [Invalid=348 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People who are homosexuals should be permitted to teach school.		
Notes	K-2-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	561	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
2	Agree somewhat	772	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
3	Disagree somewhat	373	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
4	Strongly disagree	1077	<div><div></div></div> 35.6%
7	Neither ag nor disag	246	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
0	Refused	28	
8	No opinion	320	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var337: Power of Labour Unions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3112 /-] [Invalid=265 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Some people say that there are certain groups that have too much power in Canada and that other groups don't have enough power. What is your opinion? Do you think that the following groups of people have too much power, about the right amount of power, or not enough power? (SHOW CARD 16)		
Literal question	The Labour unions		
Notes	K-3-1 in the documentation.		

# var337: Power of Labour Unions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much	2112	<div><div></div></div> 67.9%
2	About right	829	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
3	Not enough	171	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
0	Refused	27	
8	Dk/no opinion	238	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var338: Power of Federal Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3087 /-] [Invalid=290 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The Federal Government		
Notes	K-3-2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much	978	<div><div></div></div> 31.7%
2	About right	1886	<div><div></div></div> 61.1%
3	Not enough	223	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
0	Refused	27	
8	Dk/no opinion	263	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var339: Power of Large Corporations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3010 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The large business corporations		
Notes	K-3-3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much	1908	<div><div></div></div> 63.4%
2	About right	991	<div><div></div></div> 32.9%
3	Not enough	111	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
0	Refused	27	
8	Dk/no opinion	340	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var340: Power of Provincial Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3076 /-] [Invalid=301 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Your Provincial Government		
Notes	K-3-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much	685	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
2	About right	1805	<div><div></div></div> 58.7%

# var340: Power of Provincial Government			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not enough	586	<div></div> 19.1%
0	Refused	27	
8	Dk/no opinion	274	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var341: Power of Newspapers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2975 /-] [Invalid=402 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The newspapers		
Notes	K-3-5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much	831	<div></div> 27.9%
2	About right	1955	<div></div> 65.7%
3	Not enough	189	<div></div> 6.4%
0	Refused	27	
8	Dk/no opinion	375	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var342: Power of Television			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3021 /-] [Invalid=356 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Television		
Notes	K-3-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much	946	<div></div> 31.3%
2	About right	1910	<div></div> 63.2%
3	Not enough	165	<div></div> 5.5%
0	Refused	27	
8	Dk/no opinion	329	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var343: Power of the Churches			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2956 /-] [Invalid=421 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The Churches		
Notes	K-3-7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much	377	<div></div> 12.8%
2	About right	1690	<div></div> 57.2%
3	Not enough	889	<div></div> 30.1%
0	Refused	27	
8	Dk/no opinion	394	

# var343: Power of the Churches			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var344: Power of School Systems			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2942 /-] [Invalid=435 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The school systems		
Notes	K-3-8 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much	292	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
2	About right	1511	<div><div></div></div> 51.4%
3	Not enough	1139	<div><div></div></div> 38.7%
0	Refused	27	
8	Dk/no opinion	408	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var345: Order of Reading			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	K-4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Down a to i	1889	<div><div></div></div> 55.9%
2	Up i to a	1488	<div><div></div></div> 44.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var346: Members of Legislative Assembly Lose Touch			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3175 /-] [Invalid=202 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Here are some opinions some people hold about their provincial government here in (NAME PROVINCE). As I read each statement, I would like you to tell me whether you agree or disagree with it, and how much. However, tell me if you have no opinion on the matter. (SHOW CARD 2) (ALTERNATE ORDER OF READING LIST)		
Literal question	Generally, those elected to the (PROVINCE NAME) legislature (IN QUEBEC SAY: National Assembly) soon lose touch with the people.		
Notes	K-4-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	811	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
2	Agree somewhat	1404	<div><div></div></div> 44.2%
3	Disagree somewhat	662	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
4	Strongly disagree	206	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
7	Neither ag nor disag	92	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
0	Refused	31	
8	No opinion	171	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var347: Provincial Government Does Not Care What I Think			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		

# var347: Provincial Government Does Not Care What I Think			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3220 /-] [Invalid=157 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	I don't think the (NAME PROVINCE) government cares much about what people like me think.		
Notes	K-4-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	826	<div><div></div></div> 25.7%
2	Agree somewhat	1061	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
3	Disagree somewhat	950	<div><div></div></div> 29.5%
4	Strongly disagree	311	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
7	Neither ag nor disag	72	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
0	Refused	31	
8	No opinion	126	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var348: Provincial Politics are Too Complicated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3253 /-] [Invalid=124 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Sometimes, (NAME PROVINCE) politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.		
Notes	K-4-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	865	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
2	Agree somewhat	1224	<div><div></div></div> 37.6%
3	Disagree somewhat	620	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
4	Strongly disagree	489	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
7	Neither ag nor disag	55	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
0	Refused	31	
8	No opinion	93	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var349: Have No Say About Provincial Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3245 /-] [Invalid=132 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People like me don't have any say about what the government in (NAME PROVINCE) does.		
Notes	K-4-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	840	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
2	Agree somewhat	993	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
3	Disagree somewhat	839	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
4	Strongly disagree	505	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
7	Neither ag nor disag	68	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
0	Refused	31	
8	No opinion	101	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var350: Vote Does Not Matter in Provincial Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3250 /-] [Invalid=127 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	So many other people vote in (NAME PROVINCE) provincial elections that it doesn't matter very much whether I vote or not.		
Notes	K-4-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	225	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
2	Agree somewhat	302	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
3	Disagree somewhat	577	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
4	Strongly disagree	2091	<div><div></div></div> 64.3%
7	Neither ag nor disag	55	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
0	Refused	31	
8	No opinion	96	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var351: Those in Provincial Government are Dishonest			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2879 /-] [Invalid=498 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Many people in the government here in (NAME PROVINCE) are dishonest.		
Notes	K-4-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	297	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
2	Agree somewhat	766	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
3	Disagree somewhat	893	<div><div></div></div> 31.0%
4	Strongly disagree	612	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
7	Neither ag nor disag	311	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
0	Refused	31	
8	No opinion	467	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var352: Those in Provincial Government Waste Tax Money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3136 /-] [Invalid=241 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People in the (NAME PROVINCE) government waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes.		
Notes	K-4-g in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1179	<div><div></div></div> 37.6%
2	Agree somewhat	1314	<div><div></div></div> 41.9%
3	Disagree somewhat	390	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
4	Strongly disagree	128	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
7	Neither ag nor disag	125	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
0	Refused	31	

# var352: Those in Provincial Government Waste Tax Money			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	No opinion	210	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var353: Can Trust Provincial Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3165 /-] [Invalid=212 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Most of the time we can trust people in the (NAME PROVINCE) government to do what is right.		
Notes	K-4-h in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	369	<div></div> 11.7%
2	Agree somewhat	1647	<div></div> 52.0%
3	Disagree somewhat	615	<div></div> 19.4%
4	Strongly disagree	342	<div></div> 10.8%
7	Neither ag nor disag	192	<div></div> 6.1%
0	Refused	31	
8	No opinion	181	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var354: Provincial Government is Run by Smart People			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3155 /-] [Invalid=222 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Most of the people running the government here in (NAME PROVINCE) are smart people who usually know what they are doing.		
Notes	K-4-i in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	472	<div></div> 15.0%
2	Agree somewhat	1651	<div></div> 52.3%
3	Disagree somewhat	609	<div></div> 19.3%
4	Strongly disagree	251	<div></div> 8.0%
7	Neither ag nor disag	172	<div></div> 5.5%
0	Refused	31	
8	No opinion	191	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# var355: Provincial Vote is Not Based on Religion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3157 /-] [Invalid=220 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Here are a few more questions using the same answer card:		
Literal question	People should not base their vote on their religion in (NAME PROVINCE) provincial elections.		
Notes	K-5-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2048	<div><div></div></div> 64.9%
2	Agree somewhat	674	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
3	Disagree somewhat	190	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
4	Strongly disagree	158	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
7	Neither ag nor disag	87	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
0	Refused	27	
8	No opinion	193	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var356: Provincial Vote Not Based on Social Class			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3151 /-] [Invalid=226 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People should not base their vote on their social class in (NAME PROVINCE) provincial elections.		
Notes	K-5-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1692	<div><div></div></div> 53.7%
2	Agree somewhat	871	<div><div></div></div> 27.6%
3	Disagree somewhat	312	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
4	Strongly disagree	161	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
7	Neither ag nor disag	115	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
0	Refused	27	
8	No opinion	199	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var357: Provincial Vote Not Based on Ethnic Origin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3118 /-] [Invalid=259 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People should not base their vote on their ethnic origins in (NAME PROVINCE) provincial elections.		
Notes	K-5-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1867	<div><div></div></div> 59.9%
2	Agree somewhat	829	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
3	Disagree somewhat	199	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
4	Strongly disagree	111	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
7	Neither ag nor disag	112	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
0	Refused	27	

# var357: Provincial Vote Not Based on Ethnic Origin			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	No opinion	232	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var359: Financial Situation Now			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1747 /-] [Invalid=1630 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are currently employed, as indicated in L-1-a. Otherwise go to L-7-a.		
Pre-question	Here is a picture of a ladder. (SHOW CARD 17) At the top of the ladder is the best life you can imagine--the ideal life. At the bottom of the ladder is the worst life you can imagine. Where on the ladder would you place:		
Literal question	Your present financial situation?		
Notes	L-6-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Worst	8	0.5%
1		31	1.8%
2		47	2.7%
3		95	5.4%
4		202	11.6%
5		427	24.4%
6		371	21.2%
7		323	18.5%
8		174	10.0%
9		41	2.3%
10	Best	28	1.6%
88	Dk/no opinion	28	
98	Refused	0	
99	Na	1602	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var360: Financial Situation Expected in 5 Years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1680 /-] [Invalid=1697 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are currently employed, as indicated in L-1-a. Otherwise go to L-7-a.		
Literal question	The financial situation you expect to have 5 years from now?		
Notes	L-6-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Worst	3	0.2%
1		7	0.4%
2		19	1.1%
3		28	1.7%
4		60	3.6%
5		188	11.2%
6		254	15.1%
7		422	25.1%
8		395	23.5%
9		196	11.7%

# var360: Financial Situation Expected in 5 Years			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Best	108	<div></div> 6.4%
88	Dk/no opinion	95	
98	Refused	0	
99	Na	1602	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var361: Financial Situation Should Have			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1719 /-] [Invalid=1658 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are currently employed, as indicated in L-1-a. Otherwise go to L-7-a.		
Literal question	The financial situation you should have right now, all things considered?		
Notes	L-6-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Worst	2	<div></div> 0.1%
1		3	<div></div> 0.2%
2		12	<div></div> 0.7%
3		24	<div></div> 1.4%
4		52	<div></div> 3.0%
5		246	<div></div> 14.3%
6		333	<div></div> 19.4%
7		476	<div></div> 27.7%
8		316	<div></div> 18.4%
9		124	<div></div> 7.2%
10	Best	131	<div></div> 7.6%
88	Dk/no opinion	56	
98	Refused	0	
99	Na	1602	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var362: Education Level			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3371 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is the highest grade or level of school you reached?		
Interviewer's instructions	IF EDUCATION WAS OBTAINED OUTSIDE CANADA, GIVE A CANADIAN APPROXIMATION		
Notes	L-7-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Some elementary	271	<div></div> 8.0%
2	Grad. Elementary	244	<div></div> 7.2%
3	Some high school	851	<div></div> 25.2%
4	High school grad.	767	<div></div> 22.8%
5	Some technical	176	<div></div> 5.2%
6	Grad. Technical	367	<div></div> 10.9%
7	Some university	251	<div></div> 7.4%
8	Grad. University	429	<div></div> 12.7%

# var362: Education Level			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	No formal school	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
0	Refused,dk.	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var363: Degree Obtained			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=429 /-] [Invalid=2948 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who graduated from university, as indicated in L-7-a.		
Literal question	What was the highest degree you obtained?		
Notes	L-7-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ba. B.Sc	317	<div><div></div></div> 73.9%
2	Ma. M.Sc.	74	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
3	Llb.	13	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
4	Md.	11	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
5	Phd.	14	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
9	Na	2948	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var364: Educated in Religious System			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3348 /-] [Invalid=29 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Was any of your schooling obtained in a religious or parochial school system?		
Notes	L-7-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1188	<div><div></div></div> 35.5%
2	No	2160	<div><div></div></div> 64.5%
8	Dont know	29	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var365: Total Family Member in Household			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How many people live in this household, including only yourself and other members of your family.		
Notes	L-8-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		447	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
2		1009	<div><div></div></div> 29.9%
3		674	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
4		751	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
5		320	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
6		120	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
7		35	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%

# var365: Total Family Member in Household			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		12	0.4%
9		2	0.1%
10		3	0.1%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
13		2	0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var366: Family Members Aged 25+			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Interviewer's instructions	REPEAT FOR EACH LISTED AGE GROUP UNTIL YOU HAVE ACCOUNTED FOR THE TOTAL IN L-8-a. RECORD 0 FOR NONE		
Notes	L-8-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		136	4.0%
1		722	21.4%
2		2217	65.6%
3		229	6.8%
4		53	1.6%
5		15	0.4%
6		5	0.1%
9	9 or more	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var367: Family Members Aged 18-24			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	No. 18 years to 24 years		
Notes	L-8-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2495	73.9%
1		582	17.2%
2		233	6.9%
3		48	1.4%
4		15	0.4%
5		3	0.1%
8		1	0.0%
9	9 or more	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var368: Family Members Aged 13-17			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# var368: Family Members Aged 13-17			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	No. 13 years to 17 years		
Notes	L-8-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2749	<div><div></div></div> 81.4%
1		408	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
2		184	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
3		31	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
4		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	9 or more	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var369: Family Members Aged 6-12			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	No. 6 to 12 years		
Notes	L-8-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2654	<div><div></div></div> 78.6%
1		469	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
2		212	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
3		35	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
4		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9	9 or more	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var370: Family Members Aged Under 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	No. 5 years or younger		
Notes	L-8-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2741	<div><div></div></div> 81.2%
1		398	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
2		214	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
3		23	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
4		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
9	9 or more	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var371: Religious Affiliation			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-35] [Missing=*/88]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3339 /-] [Invalid=38 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		








# var371: Religious Affiliation				
Literal question		What is your religious affiliation?		
Interviewer's instructions		IF PROTESTANT MENTIONED, PROBE FOR SPECIFIC DENOMINATION.		
Notes		L-9-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None	290	<div></div> 8.7%	
1	Roman catholic	1461	<div></div> 43.8%	
2	United church	547	<div></div> 16.4%	
3	Anglican	326	<div></div> 9.8%	
4	Presbyterian	112	<div></div> 3.4%	
5	Baptish	98	<div></div> 2.9%	
6	Lutheran	118	<div></div> 3.5%	
7	Ukrainian catholic	26	<div></div> 0.8%	
8	Greek orthodox	35	<div></div> 1.0%	
9	Jewish	34	<div></div> 1.0%	
10	Pentecostal	69	<div></div> 2.1%	
11	Salvation army	22	<div></div> 0.7%	
12	Jehovah witness	12	<div></div> 0.4%	
13	Mennonite	28	<div></div> 0.8%	
14	Evangelical	10	<div></div> 0.3%	
15	Christian reformed	13	<div></div> 0.4%	
16	Christian	5	<div></div> 0.1%	
17	Mormon/I.D.S.	16	<div></div> 0.5%	
18	Church of christ	13	<div></div> 0.4%	
19	7th day adventist	7	<div></div> 0.2%	
20	Christian alliance	7	<div></div> 0.2%	
22	Christian sc.	0		
28	Protestant (unspc.)	18	<div></div> 0.5%	
29	Other protestant	34	<div></div> 1.0%	
30	Muslim	3	<div></div> 0.1%	
31	Hindu	3	<div></div> 0.1%	
32	Sikh	4	<div></div> 0.1%	
33	Buddhist	4	<div></div> 0.1%	
34	No regular church	5	<div></div> 0.1%	
35	Misc. Vague ans.	19	<div></div> 0.6%	
88	Refused	38		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var372: Frequency of Church Attendance				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3314 /-] [Invalid=63 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		About how often do you go to church (synagogue)? At least once a week, two or three times a month, once a month, a few times a year or less, or never?		
Notes		L-9-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Once a wk.	1015	<div></div> 30.6%	

# var372: Frequency of Church Attendance				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	2/3 times per mo.	312	<div></div>	9.4%
3	Once a mo.	229	<div></div>	6.9%
4	Few times a yr.	1179	<div></div>	35.6%
5	Never	579	<div></div>	17.5%
8	Refused/dk	63		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var373: How Religious Are You				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3313 /-] [Invalid=64 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Do you consider yourself a very religious person, a fairly religious person, or not a very religious person?			
Notes	L-9-c in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very religious	659	<div></div>	19.9%
2	Fairly religious	1724	<div></div>	52.0%
3	Not very religious	930	<div></div>	28.1%
8	Dk/refused	64		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var374: Mother-Tongue				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-33] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	What language did you first learn as a child that you still speak or understand?			
Notes	L-10-a in the documentation.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	English	2062	<div></div>	61.1%
2	French	854	<div></div>	25.3%
3	Chinese	16	<div></div>	0.5%
4	Croatian/serbian	3	<div></div>	0.1%
5	Czech/slovak	5	<div></div>	0.1%
6	Finnish/estonian	2	<div></div>	0.1%
7	German	111	<div></div>	3.3%
8	Greek	10	<div></div>	0.3%
9	Indian/pakistani	13	<div></div>	0.4%
10	Italian	50	<div></div>	1.5%
11	Japanese	5	<div></div>	0.1%
12	Hungarian/magyar	14	<div></div>	0.4%
13	Latvian/lithuanian	2	<div></div>	0.1%
14	Netherlandic	38	<div></div>	1.1%
15	Philippine	4	<div></div>	0.1%
16	Polish	23	<div></div>	0.7%
17	Portuguese	15	<div></div>	0.4%
18	Russian	6	<div></div>	0.2%

# var374: Mother-Tongue			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19	Scandinavian	23	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
20	Semitic	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
21	Spanish	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
22	Ukrainian	75	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
23	Vietnamese	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
24	Yiddish	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
25	Yugoslavian	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
26	Native indian	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
27	Polish+ukrainian	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
28	Romanian	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
29	Finnish+swedish	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
30	English+french	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
31	Engl+native indian	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
32	Czech+croatian	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
33	Bulgarian	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var375: Language Spoken at Home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What language do you usually speak at home?		
Notes	L-10-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English only	2395	<div><div></div></div> 70.9%
2	French only	727	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
3	English & french	81	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
4	English & other	94	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
5	French & other	29	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
6	Other only	41	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
7	Other combinations	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
0	Refused	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var376: Other Languages			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you speak any other languages than those you have just mentioned?		
Notes	L-10-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	926	<div><div></div></div> 27.4%
2	No	2451	<div><div></div></div> 72.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var377: Which Other Language			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=926 /-] [Invalid=2451 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for L-10-c.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-10-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	395	42.7%
2	French	274	29.6%
3	English & french	4	0.4%
4	Other	138	14.9%
5	English + 1 other	25	2.7%
6	French + 1 other	63	6.8%
7	2+ others	27	2.9%
8	Engl.fr. & other	0	
9	Na	2451	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var378: Belong to Labour Union			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3371 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you belong to a trade or labour union?		
Notes	L-11-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, do	609	18.1%
2	No	2762	81.9%
8	Dont know	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var379: Which Labour Union Belonging To			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=609 /-] [Invalid=2768 /-] [Mean=42.386 /-] [StdDev=25.562 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who belong to a labour union, as indicated in L-11-a.		
Literal question	Which one?		
Notes	L-11-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Na	2768	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var380: Frequency of Union Meeting Attendance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=598 /-] [Invalid=2779 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who belong to a labour union, as indicated in L-11-a.		
Literal question	How many times in the past year have you attended a union meeting?		
Notes	L-11-c in the documentation.		



var380: Frequency of Union Meeting Attendance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	233	 39.0%
1	Once per yr.	91	 15.2%
2	Twice per yr.	84	 14.0%
3	3 or 4 per yr.	112	 18.7%
4	5-12 per yr.	52	 8.7%
5	More than once/mo.	23	 3.8%
6	Once per wk.	3	 0.5%
8	Dont know	11	
9	Na	2768	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var381: Family Member in Labour Union





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3287 /-] [Invalid=90 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Does any member of your family (other than yourself) belong to a trade or labour union?
Notes	L-11-d in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	949	 28.9%
2	No	2338	 71.1%
8	Dont know	90	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var382: Professional Association - Member

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3366 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Now, I'll ask you about some other kinds of clubs, organizations or groups that people may join. Please tell me whether or not you are NOW a member of each, and if you are a member, tell me whether you are very active, fairly active or inactive. First, what about business, professional or occupational associations, other than labour unions?
Literal question	Business, professional, or occupational associations, other than labour unions.
Notes	L-12-1 in the documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	2858	 84.9%
1	Inactive	198	 5.9%
2	Fairly active	232	 6.9%
3	Very active	78	 2.3%
8	Dont know	11	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var383: Religious Organization - Member

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3371 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Religious or church-related organizations, such as Knights of Columbus/ a woman's auxiliary.

# var383: Religious Organization - Member			
Notes		L-12-2 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	2735	<div></div> 81.1%
1	Inactive	122	<div></div> 3.6%
2	Fairly active	326	<div></div> 9.7%
3	Very active	188	<div></div> 5.6%
8	Dont know	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var384: Entertainment/Social Clubs - Member			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Entertainment and social groups such as card clubs or dance groups.	
Notes		L-12-3 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	2890	<div></div> 85.7%
1	Inactive	70	<div></div> 2.1%
2	Fairly active	257	<div></div> 7.6%
3	Very active	155	<div></div> 4.6%
8	Dont know	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var385: Charitable Organization - Member			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3371 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Charitable organizations, such as United Appeal or Cancer Society.	
Notes		L-12-4 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	2916	<div></div> 86.5%
1	Inactive	127	<div></div> 3.8%
2	Fairly active	253	<div></div> 7.5%
3	Very active	75	<div></div> 2.2%
8	Dont know	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var386: Ethnic Clubs - Member			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3371 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Ethnic clubs or organizations	
Notes		L-12-5 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3247	<div></div> 96.3%

# var386: Ethnic Clubs - Member			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Inactive	48	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2	Fairly active	65	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
3	Very active	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8	Dont know	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var387: Fraternal/Service Organization - Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3370 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Fraternal or service organizations, such as Rotary.		
Notes	L-12-6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3147	<div><div></div></div> 93.4%
1	Inactive	59	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
2	Fairly active	95	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
3	Very active	69	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
8	Dont know	7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var388: Volunteer Organization - Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Volunteer organizations, such as hospital auxiliary groups.		
Notes	L-12-7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3014	<div><div></div></div> 89.4%
1	Inactive	64	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2	Fairly active	181	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
3	Very active	113	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
8	Dont know	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var389: Sport/Fitness Groups - Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3371 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Sport or fitness groups such as a softball or exercise group.		
Notes	L-12-8 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	2594	<div><div></div></div> 77.0%
1	Inactive	74	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2	Fairly active	384	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
3	Very active	319	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%

# var389: Sport/Fitness Groups - Member			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Dont know	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var390: Hobby Groups - Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3371 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Hobby groups, such as a photography or gardening club.		
Notes	L-12-9 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3110	92.3%
1	Inactive	40	1.2%
2	Fairly active	144	4.3%
3	Very active	77	2.3%
8	Dont know	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var391: Educational Organization - Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Education or school-related organizations, such as a Parent Teachers group.		
Notes	L-12-10 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	2963	87.9%
1	Inactive	75	2.2%
2	Fairly active	220	6.5%
3	Very active	114	3.4%
8	Dont know	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var392: Youth Groups - Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Youth groups such as boy scouts/girl guides.		
Notes	L-12-11 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3152	93.5%
1	Inactive	60	1.8%
2	Fairly active	84	2.5%
3	Very active	76	2.3%
8	Dont know	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var393: Neighbourhood Organization - Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3372 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Neighbourhood organizations, such as a ratepayers association.		
Notes	L-12-12 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3154	93.5%
1	Inactive	77	2.3%
2	Fairly active	111	3.3%
3	Very active	30	0.9%
8	Dont know	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var394: Political Organization - Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3371 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Political organizations, such as a party association.		
Notes	L-12-13 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3033	90.0%
1	Inactive	121	3.6%
2	Fairly active	159	4.7%
3	Very active	58	1.7%
8	Dont know	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var395: Public Interest Groups - Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3371 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Public interest groups, such as a consumer group.		
Notes	L-12-14 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3234	95.9%
1	Inactive	42	1.2%
2	Fairly active	67	2.0%
3	Very active	28	0.8%
8	Dont know	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var396: Credit Unions or Co-op Member			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3370 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		









# var396: Credit Unions or Co-op Member			
Literal question		Credit unions or co-ops.	
Notes		L-12-15 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	2530	<div></div> 75.1%
1	Inactive	411	<div></div> 12.2%
2	Fairly active	338	<div></div> 10.0%
3	Very active	91	<div></div> 2.7%
8	Dont know	7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var397: Veterans Organizations - Member			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3371 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Regimental or veterans organizations, such as the Canadian Legion?	
Notes		L-12-16 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3130	<div></div> 92.9%
1	Inactive	95	<div></div> 2.8%
2	Fairly active	102	<div></div> 3.0%
3	Very active	44	<div></div> 1.3%
8	Dont know	6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var398: Women's Organizations - Member			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Women's Organizations	
Notes		L-12-17 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3363	<div></div> 99.6%
1	Inactive	3	<div></div> 0.1%
2	Fairly active	6	<div></div> 0.2%
3	Very active	5	<div></div> 0.1%
8	Dont know	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var399: All Other Organizations - Member			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/8]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		All Other Organizations	
Notes		L-12-18 in the documentation.	

# var399: All Other Organizations - Member			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not a member	3362	<div><div></div></div> 99.6%
1	Inactive	3	0.1%
2	Fairly active	8	0.2%
3	Very active	4	0.1%
8	Dont know	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var400: Country of Birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-17] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3376 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In what country were you born?		
Notes	L-13-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Canada	2929	<div><div></div></div> 86.8%
2	British isles	113	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
3	France	6	0.2%
4	Germany	33	1.0%
5	Hungary	9	0.3%
6	Italy	28	0.8%
7	Holland	30	0.9%
8	Poland	22	0.7%
9	Russia	8	0.2%
10	United states	43	1.3%
11	China,japan,orient	16	0.5%
12	India,pakistan	11	0.3%
13	Caribbean	23	0.7%
14	Mexico	1	0.0%
15	South america	7	0.2%
16	Other european	66	2.0%
17	All others	31	0.9%
88	Dont know	0	
89	Refused	1	
99	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var401: Spouse's Country of Birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-17] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2676 /-] [Invalid=701 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are married.		
Literal question	In what country was your spouse born?		
Notes	L-13-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Canada	2282	<div><div></div></div> 85.3%
2	British isles	100	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%

# var401: Spouse's Country of Birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	France	5	0.2%
4	Germany	19	0.7%
5	Hungary	5	0.2%
6	Italy	32	1.2%
7	Holland	20	0.7%
8	Poland	16	0.6%
9	Russia	7	0.3%
10	United states	53	2.0%
11	China,japan,orient	11	0.4%
12	India,pakistan	10	0.4%
13	Caribbean	14	0.5%
14	Mexico	3	0.1%
15	South america	4	0.1%
16	Other european	68	2.5%
17	All others	27	1.0%
88	Dont know	111	
89	Refused	3	
99	Na	587	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var402: Year Came to Live in Canada			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-81] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=442 /-] [Invalid=2935 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who were born outside of Canada, as indicated in L-13-a.		
Literal question	In what year did you come to live in Canada?		
Interviewer's instructions	WRITE IN PRECISE YEAR		
Notes	L-13-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	1900 or earlier	1	100.0%
88	Dont rem/not stated	4	
89	Refused	2	
99	Na	2929	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var403: Amount of Life Lived in Province			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3374 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much of your life have you lived in (NAME PROVINCE)?		
Notes	L-13-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All your life	2023	60.0%
2	Most of it	838	24.8%
3	Some of it	468	13.9%
4	Only a yr. Or so	45	1.3%

# var403: Amount of Life Lived in Province			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Dont know	3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var404: Ever Lived in Other Provinces			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Have you ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada?		
Notes	L-13-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1044	30.9%
2	No/na	2333	69.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var405: Ever Lived in Newfoundland			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	47	1.4%
2	No/na	3330	98.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var406: Ever Lived in Prince Edward Island			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	26	0.8%
2	No/na	3351	99.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var407: Ever Lived in Nova Scotia			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	108	3.2%
2	No/na	3269	96.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var408: Ever Lived in New Brunswick			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	113	3.3%
2	No/na	3264	96.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var409: Ever Lived in Quebec			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	207	6.1%
2	No/na	3170	93.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var410: Ever Lived in Ontario			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		





















# var410: Ever Lived in Ontario			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	353	 10.5%
2	No/na	3024	 89.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var411: Ever Lived in Manitoba			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	138	 4.1%
2	No/na	3239	 95.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var412: Ever Lived in Saskatchewan			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	176	 5.2%
2	No/na	3201	 94.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var413: Ever Lived in Alberta			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	254	 7.5%
2	No/na	3123	 92.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var414: Ever Lived in British Columbia			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# var414: Ever Lived in British Columbia			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	180	5.3%
2	No/na	3197	94.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var415: Ever Lived in Yukon/North Western Territories			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have ever lived in any other provinces or territories of Canada, as indicated in L-13-e.		
Literal question	Which ones?		
Notes	L-13-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	35	1.0%
2	No/na	3342	99.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var416: Number of Years in Neighbourhood			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-88] [Missing=*/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3374 /-] [Invalid=3 /-] [Mean=16.484 /-] [StdDev=16.535 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	About how long have you lived in this neighbourhood?		
Interviewer's instructions	YEARS		
Notes	L-13-g in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Refused	3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var418: Own or Rent Home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3354 /-] [Invalid=23 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you own the home you live in, or do you rent?		
Notes	L-13-h in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Own	2475	73.8%
2	Rent	879	26.2%
8	Dont know	23	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var419: Mortgage on Home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2406 /-] [Invalid=971 /-]		

# var419: Mortgage on Home			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who own their home, as indicated in L-13-h.		
Literal question	Do you have a mortgage on your home or not?		
Notes	L-13-i in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1083	<div><div></div></div> 45.0%
2	No	1323	<div><div></div></div> 55.0%
7	Refused	12	
8	Dont know	57	
9	Na	902	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var420: Father Born in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3364 /-] [Invalid=13 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now, I would like to ask you a few questions about your parents.		
Literal question	Was your father born in Canada?		
Notes	L-14 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2392	<div><div></div></div> 71.1%
2	No	972	<div><div></div></div> 28.9%
7	Refused	0	
8	Dont know	13	
9	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var421: Father's Father Born in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3206 /-] [Invalid=171 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Was your father's father born in Canada?		
Notes	L-14 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1729	<div><div></div></div> 53.9%
2	No	1477	<div><div></div></div> 46.1%
7	Refused	0	
8	Dont know	171	
9	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var422: Father's Mother Born in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3184 /-] [Invalid=193 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Was your father's mother born in Canada?		

# var422: Father's Mother Born in Canada			
Notes		L-14 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1774	55.7%
2	No	1410	44.3%
7	Refused	0	
8	Dont know	193	
9	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var423: Mother Born in Canada			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3370 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Was your mother born in Canada?	
Notes		L-14 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2437	72.3%
2	No	933	27.7%
7	Refused	0	
8	Dont know	7	
9	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var424: Mother's Father Born in Canada			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3231 /-] [Invalid=146 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Was your mother's father born in Canada?	
Notes		L-14 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1804	55.8%
2	No	1427	44.2%
7	Refused	0	
8	Dont know	146	
9	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var425: Mother's Mother Born in Canada			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3211 /-] [Invalid=166 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Was your mother's mother born in Canada?	
Notes		L-14 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1852	57.7%

# var425: Mother's Mother Born in Canada			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	1359	<div></div> 42.3%
7	Refused	0	
8	Dont know	166	
9	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var426: Ancestor Born in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2793 /-] [Invalid=584 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Does any of your family, on either side, go farther back in Canada than any of your grandparents?		
Notes	L-14 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1074	<div></div> 38.5%
2	No	1719	<div></div> 61.5%
7	Refused	0	
8	Dont know	584	
9	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var427: Father's Country of Birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-17] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=971 /-] [Invalid=2406 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose father was born outside of Canada, as indicated in L-14.		
Literal question	In which country was your father born?		
Notes	L-15-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Canada	0	
2	British isles	306	<div></div> 31.5%
3	France	12	<div></div> 1.2%
4	Germany	46	<div></div> 4.7%
5	Hungary	16	<div></div> 1.6%
6	Italy	52	<div></div> 5.4%
7	Holland	54	<div></div> 5.6%
8	Poland	55	<div></div> 5.7%
9	Russia	61	<div></div> 6.3%
10	United states	92	<div></div> 9.5%
11	China,japan,orient	22	<div></div> 2.3%
12	India,pakistan	12	<div></div> 1.2%
13	Carribean	21	<div></div> 2.2%
14	Mexico	1	<div></div> 0.1%
15	South america	7	<div></div> 0.7%
16	Other european	183	<div></div> 18.8%
17	All others	31	<div></div> 3.2%
88	Dont know	1	

# var427: Father's Country of Birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89	Refused	0	
99	Na	2405	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var428: Mother's Country of Birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-17] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=930 /-] [Invalid=2447 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose mother was born outside of Canada, as indicated in L-14.		
Literal question	In what country was your mother born?		
Notes	L-15-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Canada	0	
2	British isles	286	 30.8%
3	France	13	 1.4%
4	Germany	52	 5.6%
5	Hungary	16	 1.7%
6	Italy	50	 5.4%
7	Holland	56	 6.0%
8	Poland	49	 5.3%
9	Russia	42	 4.5%
10	United states	106	 11.4%
11	China,japan,orient	23	 2.5%
12	India,pakistan	11	 1.2%
13	Carribean	18	 1.9%
14	Mexico	1	 0.1%
15	South america	12	 1.3%
16	Other european	166	 17.8%
17	All others	29	 3.1%
88	Dont know	3	
89	Refused	0	
99	Na	2444	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var429: Father's Education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2853 /-] [Invalid=524 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is the highest grade or level of school your father reached?		
Interviewer's instructions	IF HIS EDUCATION WAS OBTAINED OUTSIDE CANADA, GIVE A CANADIAN APPROXIMATION		
Notes	L-15-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Some elementary	1001	 35.1%
2	Grad. Elementary	447	 15.7%
3	Some high school	485	 17.0%
4	High school grad.	369	 12.9%

# var429: Father's Education			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Some technical	50	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
6	Grad. Technical	134	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
7	Some university	41	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
8	Grad. University	225	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
9	No formal school	101	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
0	Refused,dk.	524	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var430: Mother's Education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2900 /-] [Invalid=477 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is the highest grade or level of school your mother reached?		
Interviewer's instructions	IF HER EDUCATION WAS OBTAINED OUTSIDE CANADA, GIVE A CANADIAN APPROXIMATION		
Notes	L-15-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Some elementary	845	<div><div></div></div> 29.1%
2	Grad. Elementary	448	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
3	Some high school	629	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
4	High school grad.	531	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
5	Some technical	49	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6	Grad. Technical	169	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
7	Some university	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
8	Grad. University	107	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
9	No formal school	83	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
0	Refused,dk.	477	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var431: Parent's Occupation When You Were Aged 16			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-13] [Missing=*/12]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3357 /-] [Invalid=20 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What was the occupation or your father or the principal wage earner in your family when you were 16?		
Interviewer's instructions	(IF OCCUPATION IS NOT CLEAR, PROBE:) What did he/she do?		
Notes	L-15-e-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Professional	216	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
2	Owner/mgr./exec.	411	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
3	Sales	99	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
4	Clerical	154	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
5	Skilled labour	1064	<div><div></div></div> 31.7%
6	Unskilled labour	437	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
7	Farmer	803	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
8	Homemaker/widow	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%

# var431: Parent's Occupation When You Were Aged 16			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Student	0	
13	No father/dk	172	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
12	Refused	20	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var432: Parent's Occupation When You Were Aged 16> Statistics Canada Location			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-9919] [Missing=*/34]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3357 /-] [Invalid=20 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What did he/she do?		
Notes	L-15-e-2 in the documentation. Standard Occupational Classification: Reference: Standard Occupational Classification Codes, Statistics Canada, 1980 Issue Published under the authorization of the Ministry of Supply and Services Canada. Additional Codes: 0011. teacher (unspecified level); 0012. machine operator (unspecified); 0013. foreman (unspecified); 0014. mechanic (unspecified); 0015. maintenance (unspecified); 0016. technician (unspecified); 0017. scientific research (unspecified); 0018. contractor (unspecified) 0031. homemaker; 0032. student; 0033. no father/don't know; 0034. refused		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
33	No father	172	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
34	Refused	20	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var433: Father's Occupation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3244 /-] [Invalid=133 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Interviewer's instructions	Record whether father's occupation was non-manual, manual or farming.		
Notes	L-15-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Non-manual	842	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
2	Manual	1615	<div><div></div></div> 49.8%
3	Farming	787	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
8	Dont know	133	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var434: Ethnic Origin of Male Ancestor			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-33] [Missing=*/88]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2938 /-] [Invalid=439 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What ethnic or cultural group did you or your ancestor on the male side belong to on first coming to North America?		
Interviewer's instructions	DO NOT ACCEPT CANADA OR THE UNITED STATES.		
Notes	L-16 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Czech,slovakian	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2	Oriental	27	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
3	English	707	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
4	Finnish,estonian	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

# var434: Ethnic Origin of Male Ancestor			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	French	711	24.2%
6	German,austrian	243	8.3%
7	Greek,maltese	9	0.3%
8	Hungarian	25	0.9%
9	Irish	240	8.2%
10	Italian	58	2.0%
11	Jewish	26	0.9%
12	Latvian	3	0.1%
13	Netherland	99	3.4%
14	Polish	56	1.9%
15	Scandinavian	64	2.2%
16	Scottish	325	11.1%
17	Russian	37	1.3%
18	Ukrainian	108	3.7%
19	Yugoslavian	13	0.4%
20	Welsh	29	1.0%
21	Native indian	11	0.4%
22	African	5	0.2%
23	Indian,pakistani	15	0.5%
24	Swiss	13	0.4%
25	Spanish,portuguese	19	0.6%
26	West indian	13	0.4%
27	Other european	15	0.5%
28	Other asian	9	0.3%
29	Engl & fr,brit. & fr	0	
30	Brit. Isles mixture	16	0.5%
31	Brit. Isl+european	5	0.2%
32	French & other	0	
33	Other combinations	24	0.8%
88	Dont know	439	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var435: Grew Up in City, Suburb, Etc			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	When you were growing up, where did you live--mostly in a city or suburb, mostly in a village or small town, or mostly on a farm or in a rural area?		
Notes	L-17-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	City	1113	33.0%
2	Suburb	266	7.9%
3	Village,small town	961	28.5%
4	Farm,rural area	1037	30.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var436: Grew Up Near Large City			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2250 /-] [Invalid=1127 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Was the (SUBURB, TOWN, VILLAGE, FARM) that you lived in near a large city, or not very near?		
Notes	L-17-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Near	863	<div></div> 38.4%
2	Not near	1387	<div></div> 61.6%
8	Dont know	14	
9	Na	1113	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var437: Respondent's Age - Reported			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/1]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What was your exact age on your last birthday?		
Notes	L-18-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	99 or older		
1	Na		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var438: Respondent's Age - Estimated			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18-99] [Missing=*/1]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=79 /-] [Invalid=3298 /-]		
Universe	Entered only for respondents who refused to provide their exact age for L-18-a.		
Interviewer's instructions	IF R REFUSES, ESTIMATE EXACT AGE		
Notes	L-18-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	99 or older	0	
1	Na	3298	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var439: Income in 1983			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2976 /-] [Invalid=401 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(SHOW CARD 18) In which of these letter groups did YOUR total PERSONAL income in 1983 fall, before taxes?		
Notes	L-19-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing	434	<div></div> 14.6%
2	Under \$5,000	490	<div></div> 16.5%
3	\$5,000-\$9,999	505	<div></div> 17.0%
4	\$10,000-\$14,999	363	<div></div> 12.2%

# var439: Income in 1983			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	\$15,000-\$19,999	304	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
6	\$20,000-\$24,999	261	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
7	\$25,000-\$29,999	191	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8	\$30,000-\$39,999	224	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
9	\$40,000-\$49,999	117	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
10	\$50,000-\$99,999	78	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
11	\$100,000 & over	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
96	Unable to estimate	0	
97	Dont know	96	
98	Refused	305	
99	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var440: 1983 Income Deserved			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1508 /-] [Invalid=1869 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are employed, as indicated in L-1-a.		
Literal question	What in your opinion was the income you deserved in 1983, all things considered?		
Notes	L-19-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2	Under \$5,000	76	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
3	\$5,000-\$9,999	129	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
4	\$10,000-\$14,999	160	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
5	\$15,000-\$19,999	201	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
6	\$20,000-\$24,999	229	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
7	\$25,000-\$29,999	213	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
8	\$30,000-\$39,999	233	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
9	\$40,000-\$49,999	122	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
10	\$50,000-\$99,999	101	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
11	\$100,000 & over	42	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
96	Unable to estimate	0	
97	Dont know	81	
98	Refused	143	
99	Na	1645	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var441: Spouse's 1983 Income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 /-] [Invalid=1205 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(SHOW CARD 18) In which or these letter groups did the total income of (SPOUSE ASKED ABOUT IN L-3) in 1983 fall, before taxes.		
Notes	L-19-c in the documentation.		

# var441: Spouse's 1983 Income			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing	536	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
2	Under \$5,000	223	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
3	\$5,000-\$9,999	214	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
4	\$10,000-\$14,999	236	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
5	\$15,000-\$19,999	213	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
6	\$20,000-\$24,999	208	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
7	\$25,000-\$29,999	185	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
8	\$30,000-\$39,999	179	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
9	\$40,000-\$49,999	90	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
10	\$50,000-\$99,999	72	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
11	\$100,000 & over	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
96	Unable to estimate	0	
97	Dont know	346	
98	Refused	272	
99	Na	587	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var442: 1983 Total Family Income - Reported			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2712 /-] [Invalid=665 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In which of these letter groups did the total income of the family in 1983 fall--that is, the income of all the family members living here, added together, from all sources and before taxes?		
Notes	L-19-d-1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2	Under \$5,000	96	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
3	\$5,000-\$9,999	241	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
4	\$10,000-\$14,999	321	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
5	\$15,000-\$19,999	283	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
6	\$20,000-\$24,999	309	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
7	\$25,000-\$29,999	305	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
8	\$30,000-\$39,999	424	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
9	\$40,000-\$49,999	322	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
10	\$50,000-\$99,999	343	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
11	\$100,000 & over	53	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
96	Unable to estimate	0	
97	Dont know	302	
98	Refused	363	
99	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var443: 1983 Total Family Income - Estimated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=454 /-] [Invalid=2923 /-]		
Universe	Entered only for respondents who answered "don't know" or refused to answer L-19-d-1.		

# var443: 1983 Total Family Income - Estimated			
Interviewer's instructions		GIVE BEST ESTIMATE.	
Notes		L-19-d-2 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nothing	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2	Under \$5,000	13	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
3	\$5,000-\$9,999	46	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
4	\$10,000-\$14,999	58	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
5	\$15,000-\$19,999	69	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
6	\$20,000-\$24,999	44	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
7	\$25,000-\$29,999	58	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
8	\$30,000-\$39,999	72	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
9	\$40,000-\$49,999	42	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
10	\$50,000-\$99,999	44	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
11	\$100,000 & over	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
96	Unable to estimate	211	
97	Dont know	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Na	2712	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var444: Income/ Inflation Over Past 5 Years			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/8/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3234 /-] [Invalid=143 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Over the past five years, has your PERSONAL income kept ahead of increases in the cost of living, stayed the same, or fallen behind some or a lot?	
Notes		L-19-e in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Kept ahead	442	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
2	Stay the same	1355	<div><div></div></div> 41.9%
3	Fallen behind some	946	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
4	Fallen behind a lot	483	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
5	Fallen behind unspec	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
0	Refused	50	
8	Dont know	93	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var445: Vote for United States President			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2586 /-] [Invalid=791 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Here are two final questions about politics. Suppose you were able to vote in this year's American presidential election, for whom would you vote--for Mr. Reagan or for Mr. Mondale?	
Notes		L-20 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reagan-republican	1678	<div><div></div></div> 64.9%

# var445: Vote for United States President			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Mondale-democratic	854	<div><div></div></div> 33.0%
3	Other	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
4	Would not vote	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
0	Refused	82	
8	Dont know	709	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var446: Newfoundland Premier Name Stated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	We are interested in how well-known the provincial premiers are across Canada. Can you think of their names?		
Interviewer's instructions	READ PROVINCES		
Notes	L-21-1 in the documentation. Newfoundland Premier: Peckford		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	943	<div><div></div></div> 27.9%
2	Wrong	252	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
8	Dont know	2182	<div><div></div></div> 64.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var447: Nova Scotia Premier Name Stated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	L-21-2 in the documentation. Nova Scotia Premier: Buchanan		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	528	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
2	Wrong	329	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
8	Dont know	2520	<div><div></div></div> 74.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var448: Prince Edward Island Premier Name Stated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	L-21-3 in the documentation. Prince Edward Island Premier: Lee		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	234	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
2	Wrong	265	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
8	Dont know	2878	<div><div></div></div> 85.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var449: New Brunswick Premier Name Stated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# var449: New Brunswick Premier Name Stated			
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	L-21-4 in the documentation. New Brunswick Premier: Hatfield		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	1319	<div><div></div></div> 39.1%
2	Wrong	106	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
8	Dont know	1952	<div><div></div></div> 57.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var450: Quebec Premier Name Stated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	L-21-5 in the documentation. Quebec Premier: Levesque		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	2632	<div><div></div></div> 77.9%
2	Wrong	42	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
8	Dont know	703	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var451: Ontario Premier Name Stated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	L-21-6 in the documentation. Ontario Premier: Davis		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	2019	<div><div></div></div> 59.8%
2	Wrong	80	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
8	Dont know	1278	<div><div></div></div> 37.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var452: Manitoba Premier Name Stated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	L-21-7 in the documentation. Manitoba Premier: Pawley		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	544	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
2	Wrong	209	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
8	Dont know	2624	<div><div></div></div> 77.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var453: Saskatchewan Premier Name Stated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	L-21-8 in the documentation. Saskatchewan Premier: Devine		

# var453: Saskatchewan Premier Name Stated			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	561	<div></div> 16.6%
2	Wrong	195	<div></div> 5.8%
8	Dont know	2621	<div></div> 77.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var454: Alberta Premier Name Stated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	L-21-9 in the documentation. Alberta Premier: Lougheed		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	1547	<div></div> 45.8%
2	Wrong	82	<div></div> 2.4%
8	Dont know	1748	<div></div> 51.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var455: British Columbia Premier Name Stated			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	L-21-10 in the documentation. British Columbia Premier: Bennett		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct	1511	<div></div> 44.7%
2	Wrong	99	<div></div> 2.9%
8	Dont know	1767	<div></div> 52.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var456: Respondent's Sex			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Sex		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1467	<div></div> 43.4%
2	Female	1910	<div></div> 56.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var457: Interviewing Language			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Language of Interview	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	2651	<div><div></div></div> 78.5%
2	French	723	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
3	Other aid	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var458: Length of Interview			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30-330] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=96.065 /-] [StdDev=25.766 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Length of interview in minutes	
# var459: Presence of Others			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Others present during interview	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No one else	1668	<div><div></div></div> 49.4%
1	No adults,+ children	294	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
2	One other adult	870	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
3	Two other adults	124	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
4	3 + adults	44	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
5	1 adult + children	295	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
6	2 adults + children	53	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
7	3+ adults + children	29	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var460: Duration of Others Present			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1709 /-] [Invalid=1668 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Were others present during:	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Whole interview	641	<div><div></div></div> 37.5%
2	Most of it	396	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
3	Less than half	231	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
4	Very little of it	375	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
5	Some most other less	66	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
9	Na	1668	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var461: Month of Interview				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	October	477	<div></div>	14.1%
2	November	1895	<div></div>	56.1%
3	December	518	<div></div>	15.3%
4	January	468	<div></div>	13.9%
5	February	19	<div></div>	0.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var462: Date of Interview				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=15.067 /-] [StdDev=8.682 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
# var463: Province				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Newfoundland	134	<div></div>	4.0%
1	Prince edward isl.	112	<div></div>	3.3%
2	Nova scotia	132	<div></div>	3.9%
3	New brunswick	136	<div></div>	4.0%
4	Quebec	779	<div></div>	23.1%
5	Ontario	967	<div></div>	28.6%
6	Manitoba	251	<div></div>	7.4%
7	Saskatchewan	252	<div></div>	7.5%
8	Alberta	263	<div></div>	7.8%
9	British columbia	351	<div></div>	10.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var464: City Size				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Over 500m	1176	<div></div>	34.8%
2	100m to 500m	362	<div></div>	10.7%
3	30m to 99.9m	247	<div></div>	7.3%
4	10m to 29.9m	271	<div></div>	8.0%
5	1m to 9.9m	370	<div></div>	11.0%
6	Rural	951	<div></div>	28.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# var465: Likes About Turner - 1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3108 /-] [Invalid=269 /-] [Mean=58.322 /-] [StdDev=41.375 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now we would like to ask you about your impressions about the various leaders of the federal political parties.		
Literal question	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about Mr. Turner?		
Notes	F-1-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	200	
89	Refused	69	
99	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var466: Likes About Turner - 2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1519 /-] [Invalid=1858 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-1-a in the documentation. See var465 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	811	100.0%
99	Na	1858	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var467: Likes About Turner - 3rd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-94] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=708 /-] [Invalid=2669 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-1-a in the documentation. See var465 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	488	100.0%
99	Na	2669	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var468: Dislikes About Turner - 1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3143 /-] [Invalid=234 /-] [Mean=64.387 /-] [StdDev=33.269 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about Mr. Turner?		
Notes	F-1-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	174	
89	Refused	60	
99	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var469: Dislikes About Turner - 2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1820 /-] [Invalid=1557 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-1-b in the documentation. See var468 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	993	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
99	Na	1557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var470: Dislikes About Turner -3rd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=827 /-] [Invalid=2550 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-1-b in the documentation. See var468 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	561	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
99	Na	2550	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var471: Likes About Mulroney -1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3191 /-] [Invalid=186 /-] [Mean=49.312 /-] [StdDev=38.586 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about Mr. Mulroney?		
Notes	F-2-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	112	
89	Refused	74	
99	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var472: Likes About Mulroney - 2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2187 /-] [Invalid=1190 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-2-a in the documentation. See var471 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	863	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
99	Na	1190	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var473: Likes About Mulroney - 3rd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/99]		

# var473: Likes About Mulroney - 3rd Mention			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1324 /-] [Invalid=2053 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-2-a in the documentation. See var471 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	827	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
99	Na	2053	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var474: Dislikes About Mulroney -1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3161 /-] [Invalid=216 /-] [Mean=69.616 /-] [StdDev=31.27 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about Mr. Mulroney?		
Notes	F-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	151	
89	Refused	65	
99	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var475: Dislikes About Muloney - 2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1500 /-] [Invalid=1877 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-2-b in the documentation. See var474 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	1013	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
99	Na	1877	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var476: Dislikes About Mulroney - 3rd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=487 /-] [Invalid=2890 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-2-b in the documentation. See var474 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	363	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
99	Na	2890	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var477: Likes About Broadbent -1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-97] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3132 /-] [Invalid=245 /-] [Mean=47.321 /-] [StdDev=39.33 /-]		

# var477: Likes About Broadbent -1st Mention			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about Mr. Broadbent?		
Notes	F-3-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	181	
89	Refused	64	
99	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var478: Likes About Broadbent - 2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-93] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2035 /-] [Invalid=1342 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-3-a in the documentation. See var477 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	843	<div></div> 100.0%
99	Na	1342	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var479: Likes About Broadbent - 3rd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-93] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1192 /-] [Invalid=2185 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-3-a in the documentation. See var477 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	786	<div></div> 100.0%
99	Na	2185	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var480: Dislikes About Broadbent - 1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3111 /-] [Invalid=266 /-] [Mean=69.403 /-] [StdDev=36.495 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about Mr. Broadbent?		
Notes	F-3-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	Dont know	206	
89	Refused	60	
99	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var481: Dislikes About Broadbent -2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-91] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=285 /-] [Invalid=3092 /-] [Mean=31.596 /-] [StdDev=24.393 /-]		

# var481: Dislikes About Broadbent -2nd Mention			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-3-b in the documentation. See var480 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	855	
99	Na	2237	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var482: Dislikes About Broadbent -3rd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-90] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=48 /-] [Invalid=3329 /-] [Mean=41.667 /-] [StdDev=27.17 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-3-b in the documentation. See var480 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No mention	237	
99	Na	3092	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var483: Likes About Liberals -1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/888/889/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3020 /-] [Invalid=357 /-] [Mean=714.674 /-] [StdDev=355.789 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the federal Liberal party?		
Notes	F-4-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dk/no opinion	309	
889	Refused	48	
999	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var484: Likes About Liberals -2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-988] [Missing=*/0/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=460 /-] [Invalid=2917 /-] [Mean=258.53 /-] [StdDev=179.571 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	F-4-a in the documentation. See var483 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	847	
999	Na	2070	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var485: Dislikes About Liberals -1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/888/889/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3099 /-] [Invalid=278 /-] [Mean=620.219 /-] [StdDev=344.622 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# var485: Dislikes About Liberals -1st Mention			
Literal question		Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about the federal Liberal party?	
Notes		F-4-b in the documentation. See var483 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dk/no opinion	231	
889	Refused	47	
999	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var486: Dislikes About Liberals - 2nd Mention			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6-988] [Missing=*/0/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=810 /-] [Invalid=2567 /-] [Mean=361.262 /-] [StdDev=208.108 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Anything else?	
Notes		F-4-b in the documentation. See var483 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	1149	
999	Na	1418	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var487: Likes About Progressive Conservatives -1st Mention			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/888/889/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3070 /-] [Invalid=307 /-] [Mean=660.079 /-] [StdDev=332.906 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the federal Progressive Conservative party?	
Notes		F-5-a in the documentation. See var483 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dk/no opinion	262	
889	Refused	45	
999	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var488: Likes About Progressive Conservatives- 2nd Mention			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21-988] [Missing=*/0/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=745 /-] [Invalid=2632 /-] [Mean=340.58 /-] [StdDev=216.37 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Anything else?	
Notes		F-5-a in the documentation. See var483 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	1160	
999	Na	1472	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var489: Dislikes About Progressive Conservatives -1st Mention			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/888/889/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3068 /-] [Invalid=309 /-] [Mean=770.782 /-] [StdDev=302.801 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	

# var489: Dislikes About Progressive Conservatives -1st Mention			
Literal question		Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about the federal Progressive Conservative party?	
Notes		F-5-b in the documentation. See var483 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dk/no opinion	265	
889	Refused	44	
999	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var490: Dislikes About Progressive Conservatives - 2nd Mention			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21-778] [Missing=*/0/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=333 /-] [Invalid=3044 /-] [Mean=348.297 /-] [StdDev=208.538 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Anything else?	
Notes		F-5-b in the documentation. See var483 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	1036	
999	Na	2008	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var491: Likes About New Democratic Party - 1st Mention			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21-999] [Missing=*/888/889/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3025 /-] [Invalid=352 /-] [Mean=673.559 /-] [StdDev=353.703 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Is there anything in particular that you LIKE about the federal NDP?	
Notes		F-6-a in the documentation. See var483 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dk/no opinion	306	
889	Refused	46	
999	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var492: Likes About New Democratic Party - 2nd Mention			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31-780] [Missing=*/0/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=520 /-] [Invalid=2857 /-] [Mean=333.533 /-] [StdDev=189.814 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Anything else?	
Notes		F-6-a in the documentation. See var483 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	1025	
999	Na	1832	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var493: Dislikes About New Democratic Party -1st Mention			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/888/889/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3028 /-] [Invalid=349 /-] [Mean=778.815 /-] [StdDev=298.859 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	

# var493: Dislikes About New Democratic Party -1st Mention			
Literal question		Is there anything in particular that you DISLIKE about the federal NDP?	
Notes		F-6-b in the documentation. See var483 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dk/no opinion	304	
889	Refused	45	
999	Na	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var494: Dislikes About New Democratic Party - 2nd Mention			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-990] [Missing=*/0/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=259 -/] [Invalid=3118 -/] [Mean=404.046 -/] [StdDev=214.078 -/]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Anything else?	
Notes		F-6-b in the documentation. See var483 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	973	
999	Na	2145	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var495: Why Provincial and Federal Votes Differ - 1st Reason			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/889/999/888]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=791 -/] [Invalid=2586 -/]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents if their party voted for in G-6-a/G-6-b differs from party in G-7-a/G-7-b. Otherwise go to G-9.	
Literal question		Many people vote differently in provincial and federal elections. Is there any particular reason why you would vote for different parties provincially and federally?	
Notes		G-8 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
899	No particular reason	77	100.0%
888	Dont know	8	
889	Refused	3	
999	Na	2575	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var496: Why Provincial and Federal Votes Differ - 2nd Reason			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-622] [Missing=*/999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=714 -/] [Invalid=2663 -/]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents if their party voted for in G-6-a/G-6-b differs from party in G-7-a/G-7-b. Otherwise go to G-9.	
Literal question		Is there any other reason?	
Notes		G-8 in the documentation. See var495 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd reason	473	100.0%
999	Na	2663	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var497: Same Vote in Provincial and Federal Elections - 1st Reason			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/999/889/888]	

# var497: Same Vote in Provincial and Federal Elections - 1st Reason			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1829 /-] [Invalid=1548 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents if their party voted for in G-6-a/G-6-b is the same as party from G-7-a/G-7-b. Otherwise go to G-10.		
Literal question	Many people vote the same way in provincial and federal elections. Is there any particular reason why you would vote for the same party provincially and federally?		
Notes	G-9 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
899	No particular reason	383	<div></div> 100.0%
888	Dont know	48	
889	Refused	14	
999	Na	1486	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var498: Same Vote in Provincial and Federal Elections - 2nd Reason			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-590] [Missing=*/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1446 /-] [Invalid=1931 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents if their party voted for in G-6-a/G-6-b is the same as party from G-7-a/G-7-b. Otherwise go to G-10.		
Literal question	Is there any other reason?		
Notes	G-9 in the documentation. See var497 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd reason	1090	<div></div> 100.0%
999	Na	1931	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var499: Voted in 1988 Quebec Referendum			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=745 /-] [Invalid=2632 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Otherwise go to H-1.		
Literal question	Did you vote in the 1980 Quebec referendum on sovereignty-association?		
Notes	G-10-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	588	<div></div> 78.9%
2	No	157	<div></div> 21.1%
0	Refused	8	
8	Dont rem / dont know	26	
9	Na	2598	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var500: Vote in Sovereignty-Association			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=541 /-] [Invalid=2836 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the 1988 Quebec Referendum as indicated in G-10-a.		
Literal question	Did you vote yes to negotiate sovereignty-association or did you vote no against negotiating sovereignty-association?		
Notes	G-10-b in the documentation.		

# var500: Vote in Sovereignty-Association			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voted yes	176	32.5%
2	Voted no	363	67.1%
3	Spoiled ballot	2	0.4%
8	Dont rem /dont know	47	
9	Na	2789	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var501: Meaning of Left-Wing - 1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-997] [Missing=*/888/889/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1235 /-] [Invalid=2142 /-] [Mean=458.874 /-] [StdDev=177.223 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Sometimes in Canada people use the labels "left" or "left-wing" and "right" or "right-wing" to describe political parties, politicians and political ideas. I'm going to ask you what these terms MEAN TO YOU. If either or both or them don't really have any meaning for you, please say so.		
Literal question	First, what does the idea "left" or "left-wing" mean to you?		
Notes	H-1-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dont know	2127	
889	Refused	15	
999	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var502: Meaning of Left-Wing - 2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-996] [Missing=*/0/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=476 /-] [Invalid=2901 /-] [Mean=423.132 /-] [StdDev=153.496 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	H-1-a in the documentation. See var501 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	742	
999	Na	2159	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var503: Meaning of Right-Wing - 1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-997] [Missing=*/888/889/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1210 /-] [Invalid=2167 /-] [Mean=433.223 /-] [StdDev=190.314 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What does the idea "right" or "right-wing" mean to you?		
Notes	H-1-b in the documentation. See var501 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dont know	2149	
889	Refused	18	
999	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var504: Meaning of Right-Wing - 2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-650] [Missing=*/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1194 /-] [Invalid=2183 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	H-1-b in the documentation. See var501 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	773	100.0%
999	Na	2183	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var505: Difference Between Left/Right - 1st Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14-997] [Missing=*/888/889/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1005 /-] [Invalid=2372 /-] [Mean=719.925 /-] [StdDev=155.795 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What do you think is the main difference between "left" and "right" or between "left-wing" and "right-wing"?		
Notes	H-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	Dont know	256	
889	Refused	2	
999	Na	2114	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var506: Difference Between Left/Right - 2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-910] [Missing=*/889/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=961 /-] [Invalid=2416 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Anything else?		
Notes	H-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	851	100.0%
889	Refused	0	
999	Na	2416	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var507: Left-Right Self Placement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1869 /-] [Invalid=1508 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	For the next few questions I would like you to use this scale which goes from left to right, with "1" being the most to the left and "7" being the most to the right. (SHOW CARD 11)		
Literal question	When you think of your own political opinions, where would you put yourself on this scale?		
Notes	H-2-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
2		94	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
3		238	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
4		735	<div><div></div></div> 39.3%
5		404	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
6		191	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
7	Right	159	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
0	Refused	36	
8	No opinion	1472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var508: Left-Right Self Placement 5 Years Ago			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1789 /-] [Invalid=1588 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Thinking back five or so years ago, where would you have put yourself on this scale at that time?		
Notes	H-2-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	91	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2		156	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
3		251	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
4		633	<div><div></div></div> 35.4%
5		305	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
6		197	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
7	Right	156	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
0	Refused	34	
8	No opinion	1554	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var509: Federal Liberal Party on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1809 /-] [Invalid=1568 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Now, where would you place the federal Liberal party?		
Notes	H-2-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	69	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%

# var509: Federal Liberal Party on Left-Right Scale			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		150	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
3		348	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
4		547	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
5		332	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
6		215	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
7	Right	148	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
0	Refused	34	
8	No opinion	1534	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var510: Federal Progressive Conservatives on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1797 /-] [Invalid=1580 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Where would you place the federal Progressive Conservative party?		
Notes	H-2-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	35	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2		37	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
3		106	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
4		337	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
5		507	<div><div></div></div> 28.2%
6		501	<div><div></div></div> 27.9%
7	Right	274	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
0	Refused	34	
8	No opinion	1546	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var511: Federal New Democratic Party on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1750 /-] [Invalid=1627 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And where would you place the federal New Democratic Party?		
Notes	H-2-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	283	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
2		458	<div><div></div></div> 26.2%
3		417	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
4		327	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
5		145	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
6		75	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
7	Right	45	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
0	Refused	35	
8	No opinion	1592	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var512: Turner on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1733 /-] [Invalid=1644 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	How would you describe the current and former leaders of the federal parties?		
Literal question	Where would you place John Turner?		
Notes	H-3-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	48	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
2		97	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
3		225	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
4		499	<div><div></div></div> 28.8%
5		461	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
6		263	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
7	Right	140	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
0	Refused	36	
8	No opinion	1608	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var513: Mulroney on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1790 /-] [Invalid=1587 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What about Brian Mulroney?		
Notes	H-3-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2		38	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
3		80	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
4		345	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
5		478	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
6		510	<div><div></div></div> 28.5%
7	Right	313	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
0	Refused	36	
8	No opinion	1551	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var514: Broadbent on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1757 /-] [Invalid=1620 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And Ed Broadbent?		
Notes	H-3-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	217	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
2		404	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%

# var514: Broadbent on Left-Right Scale			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		467	<div></div> 26.6%
4		382	<div></div> 21.7%
5		166	<div></div> 9.4%
6		76	<div></div> 4.3%
7	Right	45	<div></div> 2.6%
0	Refused	36	
8	No opinion	1584	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var515: Trudeau on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1782 /-] [Invalid=1595 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Pierre Trudeau?		
Notes	H-3-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	249	<div></div> 14.0%
2		207	<div></div> 11.6%
3		311	<div></div> 17.5%
4		390	<div></div> 21.9%
5		212	<div></div> 11.9%
6		211	<div></div> 11.8%
7	Right	202	<div></div> 11.3%
0	Refused	37	
8	No opinion	1558	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var516: Clark on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1704 /-] [Invalid=1673 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Joe Clark?		
Notes	H-3-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	83	<div></div> 4.9%
2		76	<div></div> 4.5%
3		140	<div></div> 8.2%
4		465	<div></div> 27.3%
5		451	<div></div> 26.5%
6		333	<div></div> 19.5%
7	Right	156	<div></div> 9.2%
0	Refused	36	
8	No opinion	1637	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var517: Provincial Liberal Party on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1587 /-] [Invalid=1790 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now let's use the same scale for the political parties here in (NAME PROVINCE).		
Literal question	First, how would you describe the provincial Liberal party on this scale?		
Notes	H-4-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	67	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
2		92	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
3		218	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
4		547	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
5		340	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
6		209	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
7	Right	114	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
0	Refused	39	
8	No opinion	1751	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var518: Provincial Progressive Conservatives on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1311 /-] [Invalid=2066 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	Where would you place the provincial Progressive Conservatives?		
Notes	H-4-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2		29	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
3		84	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
4		272	<div><div></div></div> 20.7%
5		391	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
6		349	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
7	Right	167	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
0	Refused	24	
8	No opinion	1263	
9	Na	779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var519: Provincial New Democratic Party on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1338 /-] [Invalid=2039 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	The provincial NDP?		
Notes	H-4-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	187	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%

# var519: Provincial New Democratic Party on Left-Right Scale			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		333	<div></div> 24.9%
3		334	<div></div> 25.0%
4		282	<div></div> 21.1%
5		117	<div></div> 8.7%
6		63	<div></div> 4.7%
7	Right	22	<div></div> 1.6%
0	Refused	23	
8	No opinion	1237	
9	Na	779	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var520: Provincial Social Credit Party on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=310 /-] [Invalid=3067 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia.		
Literal question	The provincial Social Credit party?		
Notes	H-4-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	21	<div></div> 6.8%
2		13	<div></div> 4.2%
3		25	<div></div> 8.1%
4		52	<div></div> 16.8%
5		45	<div></div> 14.5%
6		68	<div></div> 21.9%
7	Right	86	<div></div> 27.7%
0	Refused	6	
8	No opinion	298	
9	Na	2763	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var521: Union Nationale on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=344 /-] [Invalid=3033 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. All others go to L-1.a		
Literal question	Where would you place the Union Nationale?		
Notes	H-4-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	22	<div></div> 6.4%
2		24	<div></div> 7.0%
3		34	<div></div> 9.9%
4		92	<div></div> 26.7%
5		71	<div></div> 20.6%
6		64	<div></div> 18.6%
7	Right	37	<div></div> 10.8%
0	Refused	15	

# var521: Union Nationale on Left-Right Scale			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	No opinion	420	
9	Na	2598	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var522: Parti Quebecois on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=421 /-] [Invalid=2956 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	The Parti Quebecois?		
Notes	H-4-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	78	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
2		79	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
3		85	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
4		92	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
5		48	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
6		28	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
7	Right	11	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
0	Refused	14	
8	No opinion	344	
9	Na	2598	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var523: Creditistes on Left-Right Scale			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/8/9/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=286 /-] [Invalid=3091 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	The Creditistes?		
Notes	H-4-g in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	58	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
2		36	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
3		51	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
4		50	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
5		25	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
6		24	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
7	Right	42	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
0	Refused	14	
8	No opinion	479	
9	Na	2598	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var524: Employment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# var524: Employment			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is your occupation?		
Notes	L-1-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	R has occupation	1775	<div><div></div></div> 52.6%
2	Housewife	802	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
3	Retired	404	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
4	Unemployed	246	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
5	Student	138	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
6	Never worked	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var525: Occupation Code - Short List			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*/12/13/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3356 /-] [Invalid=21 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What exactly do you do?		
Interviewer's instructions	IF OCCUPATION IS NOT CLEAR, PROBE		
Notes	L-1-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Professional	434	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
2	Owner/mgr./exec.	287	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
3	Sales	133	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
4	Clerical	443	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
5	Skilled labour	772	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
6	Unskilled labour	303	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
7	Farmer	182	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
8	Homemaker/widow	802	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
11	Student	0	
12	Refused	13	
13	Dont know	8	
99	Na	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var526: Occupation Code - Statistics Canada			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-9919] [Missing=*/34]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3364 /-] [Invalid=13 /-] [Mean=4035.669 /-] [StdDev=3293.115 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What exactly do you do?		
Notes	L-1-b in the documentation. Standard Occupational Classification: Reference: Standard Occupational Classification Codes, Statistics Canada, 1980 Issue Published under the authorization of the Ministry of Supply and Services Canada. Additional Codes: 0011 teacher (unspecified level); 0012 machine operator (unspecified); 0013 foreman (unspecified); 0014 mechanic (unspecified); 0015 maintenance (unspecified); 0016 technician (unspecified); 0017 scientific research (unspecified); 0018 contractor (unspecified); 0031 homemaker; 0032 student; 0033 no father/don't know; 0034 refused		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34	Refused	13	

# var526: Occupation Code - Statistics Canada			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var527: Occupation Type			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2554 /-] [Invalid=823 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Post-question	If occupation type is "non-manual", go to var530. If occupation type is "manual", go to var528-var530. If occupation type is "farming", go to var531-532. If not applicable, go to var533.		
Notes	L-1-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Non-manual	1276	<div></div> 50.0%
2	Manual	1070	<div></div> 41.9%
3	Farming	208	<div></div> 8.1%
9	Na	823	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var528: Received Training for the Job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1070 /-] [Invalid=2307 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to rsepondents whose occupation type is "manual", as indicated in L-1-c.		
Literal question	Did you have any special training for your job?		
Notes	L-1-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	437	<div></div> 40.8%
2	No	633	<div></div> 59.2%
9	Na	2307	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var529: Type of Training Received			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=437 /-] [Invalid=2940 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to rsepondents whose occupation type is "manual", as indicated in L-1-c.		
Literal question	What sort of training?		
Notes	L-1-f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Apprenticeship	117	<div></div> 26.8%
2	Special classes	160	<div></div> 36.6%
3	Both	112	<div></div> 25.6%
4	Other	48	<div></div> 11.0%
9	Na	2940	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var530: Company's Line of Business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2338 /-] [Invalid=1039 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose occupation type is "non-manual" or "manual", as indicated in L-1-c.		

# var530: Company's Line of Business			
Literal question		What does your company do?	
Notes		L-1-d in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	7	0.3%
2	Mining,quarrying,oil	62	2.7%
3	Construction	145	6.2%
4	Manufac. Durables	348	14.9%
5	Manufac. Non-durable	73	3.1%
6	Utilities/transport	205	8.8%
7	Wholesale/retail	284	12.1%
8	Finance/insurance	136	5.8%
9	Repair services	60	2.6%
10	Personal services	102	4.4%
11	Entertainment	119	5.1%
12	Professional service	517	22.1%
13	All govt.	244	10.4%
14	Fishing/trapping	7	0.3%
15	Logging/forestry	29	1.2%
88	Dont know	7	
89	Refused	1	
99	Na	1031	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var531: Farm's Main Product			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*/88/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=206 /-] [Invalid=3171 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents whose occupation type is "farming", as indicated in L-1-c.	
Literal question		What is the main product?	
Notes		L-1-d in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	General	4	1.9%
2	Soybeans/peanuts	2	1.0%
3	Textile products	0	
4	Fruits/vegetable	7	3.4%
5	Tobacco	2	1.0%
6	Potatoes	2	1.0%
7	Sugar beets	0	
8	Beef cattle	7	3.4%
9	Other livestock	12	5.8%
10	Dairy	30	14.6%
11	Hay etc.	6	2.9%
12	Poultry/egg	3	1.5%
13	Wheat	25	12.1%
14	Other grains	55	26.7%
15	Misc./truck farm	2	1.0%

# var531: Farm's Main Product			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16	Mixed	49	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
88	Dont know	2	
99	Na	3169	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var532: Farm Size in Acres			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=185 /-] [Invalid=3192 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose occupation type is "farming", as indicated in L-1-c.		
Literal question	How many acres is the farm?		
Notes	L-1-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0-5 acres	4	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2	6-10 acres	0	
3	11-20 acres	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	21-49 acres	0	
5	50-99 acres	17	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
6	100-499 acres	87	<div><div></div></div> 47.0%
7	500-999 acres	41	<div><div></div></div> 22.2%
8	1000 acres or more	35	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
88	Dont know	17	
89	Refused	6	
99	Na	3169	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var533: Self-Employed or Works for Others			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2554 /-] [Invalid=823 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Are you self-employed or do you work for someone else?		
Notes	L-1-g in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed	473	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
2	Work for someone	2081	<div><div></div></div> 81.5%
9	Na	823	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var534: Employment Size in Company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2377 /-] [Invalid=1000 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How many people, besides yourself, does your company (farm) employ?		
Notes	L-1-h in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	262	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%

# var534: Employment Size in Company			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	One	105	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2	2 to 4	215	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
3	5 to 10	221	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
4	11 to 49	354	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
5	50 to 99	184	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
6	100 to 999	475	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
7	1000 or more	561	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
8	Dk/ref	177	
9	Na	823	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var535: Number of Subordinates			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2252 /-] [Invalid=1125 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How many are working under you?		
Notes	L-1-i in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	1413	<div><div></div></div> 62.7%
1	One	140	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
2	2 to 4	266	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
3	5 to 10	212	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
4	11 to 49	153	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
5	50 to 99	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6	100 to 999	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
7	1000 or more	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8	Dk/ref	40	
9	Na	1085	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var536: Supervises Employees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=868 /-] [Invalid=2509 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you supervise their work?		
Notes	L-1-j in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	809	<div><div></div></div> 93.2%
2	No	59	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
7	Dont know	9	
8	Refused	2	
9	Na	2498	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var537: Say in Staff Hiring, Etc			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		

# var537: Say in Staff Hiring, Etc			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=806 /-] [Invalid=2571 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much say do you have in their hiring, firing, pay or promotions? Would you say...		
Notes	L-1-k in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No say	175	21.7%
2	Some say	268	33.3%
3	A great deal	363	45.0%
7	Dont know	10	
8	Refused	4	
9	Na	2557	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var538: Saying in Own Job			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2539 /-] [Invalid=838 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much say do you have in what you, yourself, do in your work? Would you say. .		
Notes	L-1-m in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No say	386	15.2%
2	Some say	877	34.5%
3	A great deal	1276	50.3%
7	Dont know	12	
8	Refused	3	
9	Na	823	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var539: Years in Same Career			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/88/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3330 /-] [Invalid=47 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How many years have you been doing this kind or work?		
Notes	L-1-n in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Less than 1 year	107	100.0%
88	Dont know	35	
98	Refused	12	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var540: Employment Status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2552 /-] [Invalid=825 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Are you working full-time or part-time?		

# var540: Employment Status			
Notes		L-1-o in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full-time	2098	<div><div></div></div> 82.2%
2	Part-time	454	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
3	On strike	0	
7	Dont know	2	
9	Na	823	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var541: Marital Status			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		Are you married, widowed, separated, divorced, or are you single?	
Notes		L-2 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married	2202	<div><div></div></div> 65.2%
2	Widowed	254	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
3	Separated	121	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
4	Divorced	140	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
5	Single	587	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
6	Common law (volun.)	73	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var542: Spouse's Occupation - Short Code			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*/12/13/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2745 /-] [Invalid=632 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		What is (was) his/her occupation? (SPOUSE OR FORMER SPOUSE FROM L-2)	
Notes		L-3-a in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Professional	322	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
2	Owner/mgr./exec.	262	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
3	Sales	139	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
4	Clerical	287	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
5	Skilled labour	762	<div><div></div></div> 27.8%
6	Unskilled labour	234	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
7	Farmer	163	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
8	Homemaker/widow	562	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
11	Student	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
12	Refused	40	
13	Dont know	5	
99	Na	587	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var543: Spouse's Occupation - Statistics Canada Code			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-9999] [Missing=*/34]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3337 /-] [Invalid=40 /-] [Mean=5489.917 /-] [StdDev=3719.947 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is (was) his/her occupation? (SPOUSE OR FORMER SPOUSE FROM L-2)		
Notes	L-3-a in the documentation. Standard Occupational Classification: Reference: Standard Occupational Classification Codes, Statistics Canada, 1980 Issue Published under the authorization of the Ministry of Supply and Services Canada. Additional Codes: 0011 teacher (unspecified level); 0012 machine operator (unspecified); 0013 foreman (unspecified); 0014 mechanic (unspecified); 0015 maintenance (unspecified); 0016 technician (unspecified); 0017 scientific research (unspecified); 0018 contractor (unspecified); 0031 homemaker; 0032 student; 0033 no father/don't know; 0034 refused		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34	Refused	40	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var544: Spouse's Occupation Type			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2169 /-] [Invalid=1208 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Interviewer's instructions	RECORD BELOW WHETHER OCCUPATION IS NON-MANUAL, MANUAL OR FARMING, AND PROCEED TO QUESTION ACCORDINGLY.		
Notes	L-3-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Non-manual	973	44.9%
2	Manual	1017	46.9%
3	Farming	179	8.3%
9	Na	1208	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var545: Did Spouse Receive Job Training			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1017 /-] [Invalid=2360 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose spouse's occupation type is "manual", as indicated in L-3-c.		
Literal question	Did he/she have any special training for his/her job?		
Notes	L-3-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	443	43.6%
2	No	574	56.4%
9	Na	2360	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var546: Type of Training Spouse Received			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=443 /-] [Invalid=2934 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose spouse's occupation type is "manual", as indicated in L-3-c.		
Literal question	What sort of training?		
Notes	L-3-f in the documentation.		

# var546: Type of Training Spouse Received			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Apprenticeship	136	<div><div></div></div> 30.7%
2	Special classes	176	<div><div></div></div> 39.7%
3	Both	87	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
4	Other	44	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
9	Na	2934	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var547: Spouse Company's Business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1969 /-] [Invalid=1408 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What does (did) his/her company do?		
Notes	L-3-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agriculture	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2	Mining,quarrying,oil	53	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
3	Construction	149	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
4	Manufac. Durables	288	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
5	Manufac. Non-durable	62	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
6	Utilities/transport	209	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
7	Wholesale/retail	226	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
8	Finance/insurance	103	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
9	Repair services	82	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
10	Personal services	58	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
11	Entertainment	83	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
12	Professional service	382	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
13	All govt.	210	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
14	Fishing/trapping	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
15	Logging/forestry	44	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
88	Dont know	19	
89	Refused	2	
99	Na	1387	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var548: Spouse's Main Farm Product			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=178 /-] [Invalid=3199 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose spouse's occupation type is "farming", as indicated in L-3-c.		
Literal question	What is (was) the main product?		
Notes	L-3-d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	General	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2	Soybeans/peanuts	0	
3	Textile products	0	
4	Fruits/vegetable	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%

# var548: Spouse's Main Farm Product			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Tobacco	0	
6	Potatoes	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
7	Sugar beets	0	
8	Beef cattle	6	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
9	Other livestock	9	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
10	Dairy	34	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
11	Hay etc.	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
12	Poultry/egg	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
13	Wheat	30	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
14	Other grains	42	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
15	Misc./truck farm	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
16	Mixed	41	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
88	Dont know	1	
99	Na	3198	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var549: Spouse's Farm Size in Acres			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/88/89/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=160 /-] [Invalid=3217 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose spouse's occupation type is "farming", as indicated in L-3-c.		
Literal question	How many acres is (was) the farm?		
Notes	L-3-e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0-5 acres	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2	6-10 acres	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
3	11-20 acres	0	
4	21-49 acres	4	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
5	50-99 acres	13	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
6	100-499 acres	69	<div><div></div></div> 43.1%
7	500-999 acres	34	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
8	1000 acres or more	36	<div><div></div></div> 22.5%
88	Dont know	16	
89	Refused	3	
99	Na	3198	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var550: Spouse Self-Employed or Not			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2169 /-] [Invalid=1208 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Is (was) he/she self-employed or does (did) he/she work for someone else?		
Notes	L-3-g in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed	426	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
2	Work for someone	1743	<div><div></div></div> 80.4%

# var550: Spouse Self-Employed or Not			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Na	1208	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var551: Employment Size of Spouse's Company			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1835 /-] [Invalid=1542 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How many people, besides him/her does (did) his/her company (farm) employ?		
Notes	L-3-h in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	198	<div></div> 10.8%
1	One	92	<div></div> 5.0%
2	2 to 4	178	<div></div> 9.7%
3	5 to 10	214	<div></div> 11.7%
4	11 to 49	263	<div></div> 14.3%
5	50 to 99	133	<div></div> 7.2%
6	100 to 999	327	<div></div> 17.8%
7	1000 or more	430	<div></div> 23.4%
8	Dk/ref	334	
9	Na	1208	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var552: Number of Subordinates for Spouse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1811 /-] [Invalid=1566 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How many are (were) working under him/her?		
Notes	L-3-i in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	1150	<div></div> 63.5%
1	One	110	<div></div> 6.1%
2	2 to 4	227	<div></div> 12.5%
3	5 to 10	151	<div></div> 8.3%
4	11 to 49	129	<div></div> 7.1%
5	50 to 99	19	<div></div> 1.0%
6	100 to 999	22	<div></div> 1.2%
7	1000 or more	3	<div></div> 0.2%
8	Dk/ref	160	
9	Na	1406	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# var553: Spouse Supervises Employees			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=797 /-] [Invalid=2580 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# var553: Spouse Supervises Employees				
Literal question		Does (did) he/she supervise their work?		
Notes		L-3-j in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	688	<div></div>	86.3%
2	No	109	<div></div>	13.7%
7	Dont know	24		
8	Refused	0		
9	Na	2556		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var554: Spouse's Say in Hiring, Etc				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=685 /-] [Invalid=2692 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		How much say does (did) he/she have in their hiring, firing, pay or promotions? Would you say...		
Notes		L-3-k in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No say	154	<div></div>	22.5%
2	Some say	188	<div></div>	27.4%
3	A great deal	343	<div></div>	50.1%
7	Dont know	27		
8	Refused	0		
9	Na	2665		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var555: Spouse's Say in Job				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2120 /-] [Invalid=1257 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		How much say does (did) he/she have in what he/she does (did) in his/her own work? Would you say...		
Notes		L-3-m in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	No say	309	<div></div>	14.6%
2	Some say	652	<div></div>	30.8%
3	A great deal	836	<div></div>	39.4%
4		323	<div></div>	15.2%
7	Dont know	45		
8	Refused	4		
9	Na	1208		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var556: Years Spouse Has Been in Same Career				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/88/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3282 /-] [Invalid=95 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		How many years has (had) he/she been doing this kind or work?		

# var556: Years Spouse Has Been in Same Career			
Notes		L-3-n in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Less than 1 year	57	100.0%
88	Dont know	92	
98	Refused	3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# var557: Spouse's Employment Status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2158 /-] [Invalid=1219 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Is he/she working full-time or part-time?		
Notes	L-3-o in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Full-time	1903	<div></div> 88.2%
2	Part-time	254	<div></div> 11.8%
3	On strike	1	0.0%
7	Dont know	11	
9	Na	1208	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var558: Unemployed in Last Five Years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Have you been laid-off or looking for work at some time during the past five years?		
Notes	L-4-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	958	<div></div> 28.4%
2	No	2419	<div></div> 71.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var559: Unemployed in Past Year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	During the past year?		
Notes	L-4-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	564	<div></div> 16.7%
2	No	2813	<div></div> 83.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var560: Spouse Unemployed in Last Five Years			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2749 /-] [Invalid=628 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Has your spouse been laid-off or looking for work at some time during the past five years?		
Notes	L-4-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	530	<div></div> 19.3%
2	No	2219	<div></div> 80.7%
8	Dont know	41	

# var560: Spouse Unemployed in Last Five Years			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Na	587	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var561: Spouse Unemployed in Past Year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2746 /-] [Invalid=631 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	During the past year?		
Notes	L-4-a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	299	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
2	No	2447	<div><div></div></div> 89.1%
8	Dont know	44	
9	Na	587	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var562: Family Member Unemployed in Past Year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3317 /-] [Invalid=60 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Has any other member of your family (other than your spouse) been laid-off or looking for work within the past year?		
Notes	L-4-b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	976	<div><div></div></div> 29.4%
2	No	2341	<div><div></div></div> 70.6%
8	Dont know	60	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var563: Friends Unemployed in Past Year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3244 /-] [Invalid=133 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Have any of your friends been laid-off or looking for work within the past year?		
Notes	L-4-c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2159	<div><div></div></div> 66.6%
2	No	1085	<div><div></div></div> 33.4%
8	Dont know	133	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var564: Concerns About Lay-Off			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1756 /-] [Invalid=1621 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are currently employed, as indicated in L-1-a. Otherwise go to L-7-a.		

# var564: Concerns About Lay-Off			
Literal question		How concerned are you that you might be laid-off--very concerned, quite concerned, a little concerned, or not at all concerned?	
Notes		L-5 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very concerned	229	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
2	Quite concerned	167	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
3	A little concerned	329	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
4	Not at all concerned	1031	<div><div></div></div> 58.7%
8	Dont know	19	
9	Na	1602	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var565: Why Party is Best on First Issue - Reason 1			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=96.217 /-] [StdDev=13.958 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		Continuation of var070.	
# var566: Why Party is Best on First Issue - Reason 2			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		Continuation of var071. See var065 for codes.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		145	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
6		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
41		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
76		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%
99		3225	<div><div></div></div> 95.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var567: Why Party is Best on Second Issue - Reason 1			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=97.033 /-] [StdDev=11.769 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		Continuation of var078. See var065 for codes.	
# var568: Why Party is Best on Second Issue - Reason 2			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		Continuation of var079. See var065 for codes.	

# var568: Why Party is Best on Second Issue - Reason 2			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		110	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2		1	0.0%
10		2	0.1%
30		1	0.0%
51		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		1	0.0%
99		3259	<div><div></div></div> 96.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var569: Not Voted for Preference - Reason 1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=98.106 /-] [StdDev=7.725 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	Continuation of var150.		
# var570: Not Voted for Preference - Reason 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	Continuation of var151. See var569 for codes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		55	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
4		1	0.0%
11		1	0.0%
15		1	0.0%
31		1	0.0%
35		1	0.0%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
99		3315	<div><div></div></div> 98.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var571: Difference Between 1980 and 1984 Vote - Reason 1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=97.415 /-] [StdDev=9.812 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	Continuation of var158. See var569 for codes.		
# var572: Difference Between 1980 and 1984 Vote - Reason 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	Continuation of var159. See var569 for codes.		

var572: Difference Between 1980 and 1984 Vote - Reason 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		107	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
4		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
20		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
22		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
23		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
39		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
48		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
61		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
73		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
83		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
99		3260	<div><div></div></div> 96.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

provwt: Provincial Weight Factor

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.2-5.6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.567 /-]
Universe	All respondents

wtfactor: National Weight Factor

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.038-6.4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.001 /-] [StdDev=0.654 /-]
Universe	All respondents

var573: Card Number 14

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14-14] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14		3377	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var574: Number of Eligible Voters in Respondent's Riding in 1980

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20780-108619] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=56942.949 /-] [StdDev=13651.561 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer

var575: Number of Persons Voted in Respondent's Riding in 1980

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15055-82134] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=39515.339 /-] [StdDev=10053.06 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer

var576: Number of Candidates in Respondent's Riding in 1980

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

# var576: Number of Candidates in Respondent's Riding in 1980			
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		511	<div></div> 15.1%
4		838	<div></div> 24.8%
5		774	<div></div> 22.9%
6		601	<div></div> 17.8%
7		337	<div></div> 10.0%
8		174	<div></div> 5.2%
9		92	<div></div> 2.7%
10		42	<div></div> 1.2%
11		8	<div></div> 0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var577: Number of Votes for Liberal Candidate in 1980			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4373-42569] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=16811.179 /-] [StdDev=8956.911 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer	
# var578: Number of Votes for Progressive Conservative Candidate in 1980			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 819-34873] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13524.852 /-] [StdDev=7638.45 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer	
# var579: Number of Votes for New Democratic Party in 1980			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-26761] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7760.831 /-] [StdDev=5030.172 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer	
# var580: Winning Party in Respondent's Riding in 1980			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1563	<div></div> 46.3%
2	Pc	1377	<div></div> 40.8%
3	Ndp	437	<div></div> 12.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var581: Winning Party By-Election 1980-1984			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=196 /-] [Invalid=3181 /-]	

# var581: Winning Party By-Election 1980-1984			
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	84	<div></div> 42.9%
2	Pc	90	<div></div> 45.9%
3	Ndp	22	<div></div> 11.2%
9	No by-election	3181	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var582: Incumbent Candidate in 1984			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2747	<div></div> 81.3%
2	No	630	<div></div> 18.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var583: Number of Eligible Voters in Respondent's Riding in 1984			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21105-133222] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=60575.304 /-] [StdDev=17532.982 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer	
# var584: Number of Persons Who Voted in Respondent's Riding in 1984			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 17328-100946] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=45657.652 /-] [StdDev=13636.945 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer	
# var585: Number of Candidates in Respondent's Riding in 1984			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-11] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		10	<div></div> 0.3%
3		628	<div></div> 18.6%
4		805	<div></div> 23.8%
5		586	<div></div> 17.4%
6		705	<div></div> 20.9%
7		394	<div></div> 11.7%
8		191	<div></div> 5.7%
9		54	<div></div> 1.6%
11		4	<div></div> 0.1%

# var585: Number of Candidates in Respondent's Riding in 1984				
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var586: Number of Votes for Liberal Candidate in 1984				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2460-35869] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=12234.997 /-] [StdDev=6126.358 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Notes	SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer			
# var587: Number of Votes for Progressive Conservative in 1984				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5217-55590] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=23019.22 /-] [StdDev=9096.076 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Notes	SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer			
# var588: Number of Votes for New Democratic Party in 1984				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 846-30512] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8594.856 /-] [StdDev=5999.645 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Notes	SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer			
# var589: Winning Party in Respondent's Riding in 1984				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Notes	SOURCE: Report of the Chief Electoral Officer			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Liberal	388	<div></div>	11.5%
2	Pc	2581	<div></div>	76.4%
3	Ndp	389	<div></div>	11.5%
4	Independent	19	<div></div>	0.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var590: Sex of Liberal Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Notes	SOURCE: Parliamentary Guide/Handbook and Report of the Chief Electoral Officer			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Male	2875	<div></div>	85.1%
2	Female	502	<div></div>	14.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# var591: Occupation of Liberal Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*/0/88]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3343 /-] [Invalid=34 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Notes	SOURCE: Parliamentary Guide/Handbook and Report of the Chief Electoral Officer			

var591: Occupation of Liberal Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Housewife	54	1.6%
2	Retired	42	1.3%
3	Unemployed	0	
4	Student	0	
5	Never worked	0	
6	No occup - other	0	
11	Professional	1620	48.5%
12	Semi professional	218	6.5%
13	Large prop,mgr,off	0	
21		341	10.2%
22	Small prop,mgr,off	693	20.7%
30	Clerical - sales	167	5.0%
41	Skilled labour	21	0.6%
42	Semi-skilled lab	0	
43	Unskilled labour	0	
50	Farm occup	187	5.6%
0	Na	34	
88	Unclassified	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var592: Sex of Progressive Conservative Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Parliamentary Guide/Handbook and Report of the Chief Electoral Officer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	3110	92.1%
2	Female	267	7.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var593: Occupation of Progressive Conservative Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*/0/88]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Parliamentary Guide/Handbook and Report of the Chief Electoral Officer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Housewife	0	
2	Retired	10	0.3%
3	Unemployed	0	
4	Student	0	
5	Never worked	0	
6	No occup - other	0	
11	Professional	1336	39.6%
12	Semi professional	318	9.4%
13	Large prop,mgr,off	0	

var593: Occupation of Progressive Conservative Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21		343	10.2%
22	Small prop,mgr,off	897	26.6%
30	Clerical - sales	93	2.8%
41	Skilled labour	73	2.2%
42	Semi-skilled lab	23	0.7%
43	Unskilled labour	0	
50	Farm occup	284	8.4%
0	Na	0	
88	Unclassified	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var594: Sex of New Democratic Party Candidate in Respondent's Riding in 1984

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Parliamentary Guide/Handbook and Report of the Chief Electoral Officer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	2532	75.0%
2	Female	845	25.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

var595: Occupation of New Democratic Party Candidate in Respondents's Riding in 1984

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*/0/88]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3353 /-] [Invalid=24 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Parliamentary Guide/Handbook and Report of the Chief Electoral Officer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Housewife	13	0.4%
2	Retired	46	1.4%
3	Unemployed	36	1.1%
4	Student	144	4.3%
5	Never worked	0	
6	No occup - other	0	
11	Professional	1143	34.1%
12	Semi professional	491	14.6%
13	Large prop,mgr,off	0	
21		121	3.6%
22	Small prop,mgr,off	612	18.3%
30	Clerical - sales	133	4.0%
41	Skilled labour	235	7.0%
42	Semi-skilled lab	104	3.1%
43	Unskilled labour	17	0.5%
50	Farm occup	258	7.7%
0	Na	24	
88	Unclassified	0	

# var595: Occupation of New Democratic Party Candidate in Respondents's Riding in 1984			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var596: Card Number 15			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-15] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15		3377	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var597: Percentage of People Speaking English at Home			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.6-99.6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=70.519 /-] [StdDev=34.278 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Note: This percentage, calculated to one decimal place, is based on 1981 Census of Canada estimates for each constituency. These estimates, based on a 20 per cent sample of the population, and rounded to the nearest 5 people, systematically underestimate the population values by an average of about 2 per cent. Because of this, the sum of var597, var598, and var599 will tend to be slightly less than 100 per cent. Note also that var597, var598 and var599 refer to "Language Spoken at Home", not "Mother Tongue".		
# var598: Percentage of People Speaking French at Home			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.1-98.7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=22.195 /-] [StdDev=35.532 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var597		
# var599: Percentage of People Speaking Language Other Than French or English at Home			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-44.7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5.638 /-] [StdDev=6.582 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var597		
# var600: Percentage of British Origin			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.9-96.2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=41.934 /-] [StdDev=24.791 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: This percentage, calculated to one decimal place, is based on 1981 Census of Canada estimates for each constituency. These estimates, based on a 20 per cent sample of the population, and rounded to the nearest 5 people, systematically underestimate the population values by an average of about 2 per cent. Because of this, the sum of var600, var601, var602, and var603 will tend to be slightly less than 100 per cent.		
# var601: Percentage of French Origin			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.9-96.9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=24.544 /-] [StdDev=33.489 /-]		

# var601: Percentage of French Origin	
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var600
# var602: Percentage of Single Origin Other Than British or French	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.3-74.4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=24.835 /-] [StdDev=18.365 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var600
# var603: Percentage With Multiple Origins	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.2-15.1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7.545 /-] [StdDev=4.001 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var600
# var604: Percentage Catholic	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6.6-97.6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=44.53 /-] [StdDev=27.487 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: This percentage, calculated to one decimal place, is based on 1981 Census of Canada estimates for each constituency. These estimates, based on a 20 per cent sample of the population, and rounded to the nearest 5 people, systematically underestimate the population values by an average of about 2 per cent. Because of this, the sum of var604, var605, var606, var607 and var608 will tend to be slightly less than 100 per cent.

# var605: Percentage Protestant	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.1-86] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=42.924 /-] [StdDev=24.299 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var604
# var606: Percentage Eastern Orthodox	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-74.4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.99 /-] [StdDev=9.911 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var604
# var607: Percentage Jewish	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-47.4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.265 /-] [StdDev=4.603 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var604
# var608: Percentage with No Religious Preference	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.3-32.3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=6.984 /-] [StdDev=5.939 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var604
# var609: Percentage Born in Canada	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5.5-99.2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=82.879 /-] [StdDev=16.377 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: This percentage, calculated to one decimal place, is based on 1981 Census of Canada estimates for each constituency. These estimates, based on a 20 per cent sample of the population, and rounded to the nearest 5 people, systematically underestimate the population values by an average of about 2 per cent. Because of this, and because the Census category "Born - Other America" is not represented in our data, the sum of var609 - var613 will be less than 100 per cent.
# var610: Percentage Born in United States of America	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4.6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.357 /-] [StdDev=0.827 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var609
# var611: Percentage Born in United Kingdom	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-41.6] [Missing=*]

# var611: Percentage Born in United Kingdom			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3.566 /-] [StdDev=4.361 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var609	
# var612: Percentage Born in Europe in a Country Other Than the United Kingdom			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.1-41.9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=6.071 /-] [StdDev=5.934 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var609	
# var613: Percentage Born in Asia			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-22.5] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.039 /-] [StdDev=3.093 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var609	
# var614: Percentage of Immigrants Since 1965			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.1-34] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=6.581 /-] [StdDev=6.873 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: This percentage, calculated to one decimal place, is based on 1981 Census of Canada estimates for each constituency. These estimates, based on a 20 per cent sample of the population, and rounded to the nearest 5 people, systematically underestimate the population values by an average of about 2 per cent. Note also that this category collapses the two Census categories "Period of Immigration - 1965-1978" and "Period of Immigration - 1979-1981".	
# var615: Card Number 16			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 16-16] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		3377	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var616: Percentage with Less Than Grade 9 Education			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.2-31.7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=15.634 /-] [StdDev=6.268 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: This percentage, calculated to one decimal place, is based on 1981 Census of Canada estimates for each constituency. These estimates, based on a 20 per cent sample of the population, and rounded to the nearest 5 people, systematically underestimate the population values by an average of about 2 per cent. Note also that var616 - var620 are a subset of those provided by Statistics Canada, and thus these variables will not sum to 100 per cent.	

# var617: Percentage Grade 9-13 with Certificate	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3.5-16.6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=9.484 /-] [StdDev=2.63 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var616
# var618: Percentage Obtained Trade Certificate	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.1-6.6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.465 /-] [StdDev=0.841 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var616
# var619: Percentage Obtained Non-University Certificate	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.5-17.3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=10.355 /-] [StdDev=1.98 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var616
# var620: Percentage with University Degree	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.4-24.1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=6.129 /-] [StdDev=4.238 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var616
# var621: Participation Rates in Work Force> All Males	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 65.1-89] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=77.558 /-] [StdDev=4.81 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: This percentage, calculated to one decimal place, is based on 1981 Census of Canada estimates for each constituency. These estimates, based on a 20 per cent sample of the population, and rounded to the nearest 5 people, systematically underestimate the population values by an average of about 2 per cent. Note also that percentage given here is the percentage of the category that is currently in the work force, either employed or unemployed.
# var622: Participation Rate in Work Force> Males Aged 15-25	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 55.2-89.1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=69.68 /-] [StdDev=6.288 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var621
# var623: Participation Rate in Work Force> Males Aged 25+	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 65.1-92.9] [Missing=*]

# var623: Participation Rate in Work Force> Males Aged 25+	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=80.357 /-] [StdDev=5.403 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var621
# var624: Participation Rate in Work Force> Married Males	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 65.1-94.1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=82.916 /-] [StdDev=4.717 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var621
# var625: Percentage of Males Unemployed	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.7-22.7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=6.824 /-] [StdDev=4.194 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: This percentage, calculated to one decimal place, is based on 1981 Census of Canada estimates for each constituency. These estimates, based on a 20 per cent sample of the population, and rounded to the nearest 5 people, systematically underestimate the population values by an average of about 2 per cent.
# var626: Percentage of Males Aged 15-24 Unemployed	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-38.5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13.098 /-] [StdDev=7.02 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var625
# var627: Percentage of Married Males Unemployed	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.8-19] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4.913 /-] [StdDev=3.368 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var625
# var628: Participation Rate in Work Force> All Females	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.3-74.5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50.787 /-] [StdDev=7.02 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var621
# var629: Participation Rate in Work Force> Females Aged 15-24	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.9-87.6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=60.339 /-] [StdDev=8.516 /-]

# var629: Participation Rate in Work Force> Females Aged 15-24			
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var621	
# var630: Participation Rate in Work Force> Females Aged 25+			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.1-64.3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=47.692 /-] [StdDev=6.834 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var621	
# var631: Participation Rate in Work Force> Married Females			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.1-66.8] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=50.562 /-] [StdDev=6.374 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var621	
# var632: Percentage of Females Unemployed			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2.8-26.7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=9.334 /-] [StdDev=4.632 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var625	
# var633: Percentage of Aged 15-24 Unemployed			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4.2-39.7] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13.88 /-] [StdDev=6.928 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var625	
# var634: Card 17			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 17-17] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17		3377	<div></div> 100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# var635: Percentage of Females Aged 25+ Unemployed			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-23.2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7.519 /-] [StdDev=3.748 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	

# var635: Percentage of Females Aged 25+ Unemployed	
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var625
# var636: Paid Males as a Percentage of Total Population	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15.8-37.5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=25.738 /-] [StdDev=3.458 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: This percentage, calculated to one decimal place, is based on 1981 Census of Canada estimates for each constituency. These estimates, based on a 20 per cent sample of the population, and rounded to the nearest 5 people, systematically underestimate the population values by an average of about 2 per cent. Note also that the base for this percentage is the total population of the constituency, and that it refers only to "paid" workers, not those who are self-employed.
# var637: Paid Females as a Percentage of Total Population	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-31.5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=18.35 /-] [StdDev=4.027 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var636
# var638: Mean Total Income> Males	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10.7-28.4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=16.517 /-] [StdDev=3.307 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: This statistic, in thousands to one decimal place, is based on the total 1980 income reported by workers in the given category.
# var639: Median Total Income> Males	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8.4-21.3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=14.68 /-] [StdDev=3.149 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var638
# var640: Mean Total Income> Females	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2.5-17.9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8.135 /-] [StdDev=1.74 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var638
# var641: Median Total Income> Females	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4.5-11.7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=6.341 /-] [StdDev=1.411 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# var641: Median Total Income> Females	
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var638
# var642: Mean Census Family Income	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 16.9-47.4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=26.185 /-] [StdDev=5.23 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var638
# var643: Median Census Family Income	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14.4-36.1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=23.351 /-] [StdDev=4.462 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. See Note for var638
# var644: Percentage of Families Living on Low Income	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5.4-37.6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13.388 /-] [StdDev=4.612 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: The definition of "low income" is a joint function of the family's area of residence (urban - rural) and the family's size. For the table of cut-off values used, see the Statistics Canada catalogue cited below, pp XXXI-XXXII.
# var645: Percentage of Unattached Individuals on Low Income	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 19.6-68.2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3377 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=40.107 /-] [StdDev=8.419 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	SOURCE: Statistics Canada. 1981 Census of Canada Catalogue 95-941 (Volume 3 -Profile Series B) Federal Electoral Districts Selected social and economic characteristics. Note: The definition of "low income" for unattached individuals is the same as that for families (See Note for var644).