Canada

André Blais, Université de Montréal
Elisabeth Gidengil, McGill University
Richard Nadeau, Université de Montréal
Neil Nevitte, University of Toronto

Canadian Election Study, 2000

Study Documentation

August 6, 2020

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Scholars Portal (SP) , Ontario Council of University Libraries
Production Date	August 19, 2017
Version	Version September 15, 2017 Version Ocober 19, 2017
Identification	CES-E-2000

Table of Contents

Canadian Election Study, 2000 (CES 2000)
Étude électorale canadienne 2000

Overview

Type	Canadian Election Study
Identification	CES-E-2000
Series	The primary mandate of the Canadian Election Study is to provide a thorough account of the election, to underline the main reasons why people vote the way they do, to indicate what does and does not change during the campaign and from one election to another, and to highlight similarities and differences between voting and elections in Canada and in other democratic countries. The second mandate is to contribute to the development of scientific knowledge regarding the motivations of voters and the meanings of elections and election campaigns in democratic societies. The third mandate is to assemble a rich set of data about Canadians' attitudes and opinions on a wide variety of social, economic, and political issues, and to make that data publicly available to researchers in political science, sociology, economics, communications, and journalism.
Kind of Data	Survey data
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage

Keywords	Candidates, Corruption, Debate, Economic Conditions, Elections, Individual, Influences, Leaders, Media, Policies, Politicial Parties, Promises, Resultes, Seats, Taxes, Votes, Voting
Topics	Election, Politics, Public Opinion
Time Period(s)	2000-2001
Countries	Canada
Geographic Coverage Canada, Provinces	
Universe Canadian Electorate	

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	André Blais, Université de Montréal Elisabeth Gidengil, McGill University Richard Nadeau, Université de Montréal Neil Nevitte, University of Toronto
Other Producer(s)	Institute for Social Research (ISR) , York University , Distributor
Funding Agency/ies	Elections Canada

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	start 2000-10-24 end 2001-03
Time Period(s)	single 2000

Data Collection Notes

As was the case for the 1988, 1993 and 1997 Canadian Election Survey (CES), the 2000 CES included three survey components. The election writ was dropped on Sunday October 22 and the Campaign-Period Survey (CPS) started on Tuesday Oct 24th and continued until the last day of the campaign--November 26. Data was collected for 34 days, however the first day of calling did not include any cases from the province of Quebec. Of those respondents who completed the CPS, almost 80 percent also participated in the Post-Election Survey (PES). The PES commenced the day after the November 27th election and continued for 15 weeks, with the exception of about a week around Christmas. (The date of completion for all CPS and PES interviews is included in the data set.). Respondents were normally sent the Mailback Survey (MBS), one to two weeks after completing the PES. The MBS was completed by 53 percent of the PES respondents (or 42 percent of the CPS respondents). (Taken from: The 200 Canadian Election Survey Technical Documentation)

Accessibility

Distributor(s)	Canadian Opinion Research Archive

Citation Requirements

Publications based on CES data collection should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic ciations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer

The original collector of the data and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

Copyright	Copyright (c) Institute for Social Research, 2012

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final	
# Cases	3651
# Variable(s)	780

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 73 group(s)

Group Geographic Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	areacode	Telephone Area Code	discrete	numeric-3.0	3651	0	-
2	province	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-2.0	3651	0	-

Group Respondent Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idnum	Respondent Identification Number	continuous	numeric-5.0	3651	0	-
2	cpsrgen	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-

Group 2000 Campaign Period Survey

Subgroup(s)	Vote Intentions , Interest and Campaign Activities , Personal Finances and the Economy , Leader and Party Evaluation , Placements I , Placements II , Policy I , National Economic Conditions , Parties , Policy II , Party Identifcation and Vote History , Debate , Personal Information , CPS Sampling and Study Procedures , Knowledge

Group 2000 Post Election Survey

Subgroup(s)	The Vote Part I , Interest and Media , The Vote Part II , Party/Collectivities Evaluation , Policy I , Placement I , Leader/Candidate Evaluation , Leader Traits , Policy and Placement II , Promises , Media Habits , Party Identification and Party Perceptions , Postmaterialism and Arguments , Registration and Vote , Personal Information , PES Sampling and Study Procedures

Group 2000 Mail Back Survey

Subgroup(s)	Section A- Issues I , Section B- Issues II , Section C- Public Rights , Section D- Condfidence in Institutions , Section E- Issues III , Section F- Government Responsibility , Section G- Voluntary Associations , Section H- Party Intentions , Section I- Party Intentions II , Section J- Social Union Framework , Section K- Occupations , MBS Sampling and Study Procedures , Personal Information

Group Contextual Variables

Subgroup(s)	Electoral Results , Sex of Candidates from the Major Parties in the Respondent's Constituency , Constituency Variables

Group 2000 CPS/PES/MBS Respondents

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	rtype1	Respondent <Campaign Period>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-
2	rtype2	Respondent <Post Election>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-
3	rtype3	Respondent <Mailback>	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	wave	Responded To What Wave Of The Survey	discrete	numeric-3.0	3651	0	-
5	rlink	Linking CPS/PES/MBS Respondents	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-

Group Federal Electoral District

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cesfed87	Federal Electoral District 1987 Representation Order from CES Sample	continuous	numeric-5.0	3604	47	-
2	pc_fed87	Federal Electoral District 1987 Representation Order from PCCF Nov/00	continuous	numeric-5.0	3650	1	-
3	fed96	Federal Electoral District 1996 Representation Order from PCCF Nov/00	continuous	numeric-5.0	3650	1	-
4	maybe	FED96 Needs Further Investigation	discrete	character-1	20	0	-

Group Weights

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	ceshhwgt	Household Weight - All Canada	discrete	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
2	cespwgt	Provincial Weight - All Canada	discrete	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
3	cesnwgt	National Weight - All Canada	continuous	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
4	rochhwgt	Household Weight - Rest of Canada <No Quebec>	discrete	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
5	rocpwgt	Provincial Weight - Rest of Canada <No Quebec>	discrete	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
6	rocnwgt	National Weight - Rest of Canada <No Quebec>	continuous	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
7	quehhwgt	Household Weight - Quebec Only	discrete	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
8	atlhhwgt	Household Weight - Atlantic Provinces	discrete	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
9	atlpwgt	Provincial Weight - Atlantic Provinces	discrete	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
10	atlnwgt	National Weight - Atlantic Provinces	continuous	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
11	weshhwgt	Household Weight - Western Provinces	discrete	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
12	wespwgt	Provincial Weight - Western Provinces	discrete	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
13	wesnwgt	National Weight - Western Provinces	discrete	numeric-6.4	3651	0	-
14	newnwgt	New National Weight - Time Series	continuous	numeric-10.7	3630	21	-

Group Vote Intentions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsa1	What is the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this FEDERAL election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2889	762	What is the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this FEDERAL election?
2	cpsa2a	To you personally, in this Federal election, is protecting the environment very important, somewhat important, or not very important?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3615	36	To you personally, in this Federal election, is protecting the environment very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
3	cpsa2b	Fighting crime. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3613	38	Fighting Crime? To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
4	cpsa2c	Reducing the Federal debt. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3558	93	Reducing the Federal debt? To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
5	cpsa2d	Promoting traditional family values. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3554	97	Promoting traditional family values? To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
6	cpsa2e	Improving health care. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3620	31	Improving health care? To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
7	cpsa2f	Improving social welfare programmes. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3552	99	Improving social welfare programmes. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
8	cpsa2g	Cutting taxes. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3596	55	Cutting taxes. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
9	cpsa2h	Creating jobs. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3592	59	Creating jobs. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
10	cpsa2i	Defending the interests of Quebec. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1217	2434	Defending the interests of Quebec. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
11	cpsa3	On election day are you CERTAIN TO VOTE, LIKELY, UNLIKELY, or CERTAIN NOT TO VOTE?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3581	70	On election day are you CERTAIN TO VOTE, LIKELY, UNLIKELY, or CERTAIN NOT TO VOTE?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	cpsa4	Which party do you think you will vote for: the Liberal Party, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the NDP (the New Democratic Party), the Bloc Quebecois or another party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2056	1595	Which party do you think you will vote for: the Liberal Party, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the NDP (the New Democratic Party), the Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec), or another party?
13	cpsa5	Which party you are leaning towards?	discrete	numeric-2.0	629	3022	Is there a party you are leaning towards? (If respondent answered "none" for #a4) / Which party are you leaning toward now? (If respondent answered "don't know" for #a4)
14	cpsa7	And which party would be your second choice?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2372	1279	And which party would be your second choice?
15	cpsa8	On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3550	101	On the whole how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Canada?

Group Interest and Campaign Activities

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsb1	How much attention have you paid to news about the Federal election on TV over the last few days: Using a scale from zero to ten, where zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3620	31	How much attention have you paid to news about the Federal election on TV over the last few days: Using a scale from zero to ten, where zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?
2	cpsb2	Using the same scale, how much attention have you paid to RADIO news about the Federal election over the last few days?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3609	42	Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how much attention have you paid to RADIO news about the Federal election over the last few days? Zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?
3	cpsb3	Using the same scale, how much attention have you paid to news about the Federal election in the NEWSPAPERS over the last few days?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3611	40	Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how much attention have you paid to news about the Federal election in the NEWSPAPERS over the last few days? Zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?
4	cpsb4	Using the same scale, how interested are you in the FEDERAL election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3596	55	Using the same scale, how interested are you in the Federal election? Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how interested are you in the FEDERAL election? Zero means no interest at all and ten means extremely interested.
5	cpsb5	Using the same scale, how interested are you in politics generally?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3600	51	And your interest in POLITICS GENERALLY? Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how interested are you in politics generally? Zero means no interest at all and ten means extremely interested.
6	cpsinet1	Do you ever use the internet to be informed about politics?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3643	8	Do you ever use the internet to be informed about politics?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	cpsinet2	Have you used the internet to get information about this Federal election?	discrete	numeric-1.0	543	3108	Have you used the internet to get information about this Federal election?
8	cpsinet3	How many days in the past week ... have you used the internet to get information about this Federal election?	discrete	numeric-1.0	288	3363	How many days in the past week have you used the internet to get information about this Federal election?
9	cpsinet4	Have you visited a political party's web site during this Federal election?	discrete	numeric-1.0	288	3363	Have you visited a political party's website during this Federal election?
10	contact	Have you ever been contacted by a political party during this campaign?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3633	18	Have you been contacted by a political party during this campaign?
11	contact1	What that in person?	discrete	numeric-1.0	933	2718	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
12	contact2	Was that by phone?	discrete	numeric-1.0	934	2717	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
13	contact3	Was that by mail (includes flyers, pamphlets, etc., left at the door)?	discrete	numeric-1.0	933	2718	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
14	contact4	Was that by email?	discrete	numeric-1.0	933	2718	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
15	cpsb8a	In the past week, have you discussed the Federal election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3646	5	In the past week, have you discussed the Federal election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?
16	cpsb10d	I don't think the government cares much what people like me think.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3541	110	I don't think the government cares much what people like me think. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree?
17	cpsb11	All federal parties are basically the same; there isn't really a choice.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3529	122	All federal parties are basically the same; there isn't really a choice. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree?
18	cpslead1	Do you happen to recall the name of the leader of the Federal NDP (the New Democratic Party)?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3645	6	Do you happen to recall the name of the leader of the Federal NDP (the New Democratic Party)?
19	cpslead2	And the leader of the Federal Conservative Party?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3645	6	And the leader of the Federal Conservative Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
20	cpslead3	The leader of the Alliance Party?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3644	7	The leader of the Alliance Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
21	cpslead4	The leader of the Bloc Quebecois?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1250	2401	The leader of the Bloc Québécois? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
22	cpslead5	The leader of the Federal Liberal Party?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3645	6	The leader of the Federal Liberal Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?

Group Personal Finances and the Economy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsc1	Financially, are you better off, WORSE off, or about the same as a year ago?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3603	48	Financially, are you better off, WORSE off, or about the same as a year ago?
2	cpsc3b	Have the policies of the Federal government made you better off, or haven't they made much difference?	discrete	numeric-1.0	842	2809	Have the policies of the Federal government made you better off, or haven't they made much difference?
3	cpsc3c	Have the policies of the Federal government made you worse off, or haven't they made much difference?	discrete	numeric-1.0	688	2963	Have the policies of the Federal government made you worse off, or haven't they made much difference?
4	cpsc2	Do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially, WORSE off, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3331	320	Do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially, WORSE off, or about the same as now?
5	cpsc5	Do you think UNemployment in CANADA has GONE UP, GONE DOWN, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME since the last Federal election?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3302	349	Do you think UNemployment in CANADA has GONE UP, GONE DOWN, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME since the last Federal election?
6	cpsc6	Has the quality of health care in Canada over the past five years:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3527	124	Has the quality of health care in Canada over the past five years GOT BETTER, GOT WORSE, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME?
7	cpsc7	Which level of government is MOST responsible for health care getting...the Federal government, or the Provincial government?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2522	1129	cpsc7 question details
8	cpsc8	Has the quality of health care got worse because of poor management or because of lack of money?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2554	1097	Has the quality of health care got worse because of poor management or because of lack of money?
9	cpsc9	Do you happen to know the size of the federal budget surplus, approximately?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3651	0	Do you happen to know the size of the federal budget surplus, approximately?
10	cpsc10	How much do you think should be done for Women?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3448	203	How much do you think should be done for WOMEN: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
11	cpsc11	How much do you think should be done for Racial Minorities?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3387	264	How much do you think should be done for Racial minorities: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
12	cpsc12	How much do you think should be done for Quebec?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3379	272	How much do you think should be done for Quebec: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
13	cpsc13	How much should be done to reduce the gap between the rich and the poor in Canada?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3453	198	How much should be done to reduce the gap between the rich and the poor in Canada? MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
14	cpsc14	How much difference do you think there is between	discrete	numeric-1.0	2591	1060	How much difference do you think there is between the Alliance party and the

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		the Alliance party and the Reform party?					Reform party? Would you say a lot, some, or hardly any?
15	cpsc15	Do you favour or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3367	284	Do you favour or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

Group Leader and Party Evaluation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsrnl1	First Leader - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3610	41	-
2	cpsrnl2	Second Leader - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	42	-
3	cpsrnl3	Third Leader - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3604	47	-
4	cpsrnl4	Fourth Leader - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3604	47	-
5	cpsrnl5	Fifth Leader - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3614	37	-
6	cpsclark	And now, how do you feel about the party leaders? How do you feel about JOE CLARK?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3334	317	How do you feel about JOE CLARK? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
7	cpschret	How do you feel about JEAN CHRETIEN?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3397	254	How do you feel about JEAN CHRÉTIEN? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
8	cpsmcdon	How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3307	344	How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE her and one hundred means you really LIKE her.
9	cpsstock	How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3284	367	How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
10	cpsducep	How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1144	2507	How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
11	cpsmann	How do you feel about PRESTON MANNING?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3245	406	And how do you feel about PRESTON MANNING? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE him and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE him.
12	cpsmart	How do you feel about PAUL MARTIN?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3292	359	And how do you feel about PAUL MARTIN? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE him and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE him.
13	cpspolit	And on the same scale, how do you feel about	discrete	numeric-3.0	3414	237	And on the same scale, how do you feel about POLITICIANS IN GENERAL?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		POLITICIANS IN GENERAL?					Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE them and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE them.
14	cpsrnp1	First Party (Federal) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3607	44	-
15	cpsrnp2	Second Party (Federal) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3606	45	-
16	cpsrnp3	Third Party (Federal) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3605	46	-
17	cpsrnp4	Fourth Party (Federal) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3604	47	-
18	cpsrnp5	Fifth Party (Federal) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3607	44	-
19	cpspc	Now the political parties. How do you feel about the FEDERAL Conservative party?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3322	329	How do you feel about the FEDERAL Conservative party? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
20	cpslib	How do you feel about the FEDERAL Liberal party?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3407	244	How do you feel about the FEDERAL Liberal party? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
21	cpsndp	How do you feel about the FEDERAL NDP (the New Democratic Party)?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3188	463	How do you feel about the FEDERAL NDP (the New Democratic Party)? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
22	cpsalli	How do you feel about the Alliance party?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3162	489	How do you feel about the ALLIANCE PARTY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
23	cpsbloc	How do you feel about the Bloc Quebecois?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1110	2541	How do you feel about the BLOC Québécois? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.

Group Placements I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpse1	Which party leader would YOU describe as arrogant?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2907	744	Which party leader would YOU describe as arrogant?
2	cpse2	Which party leader would YOU describe as trustworthy?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2944	707	Which leader would YOU describe as trustworthy?
3	cpse3	Which party leader would YOU describe as having new ideas?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2819	832	Which leader would YOU describe as having new ideas?
4	cpse4	All the Liberal Party cares about is staying in power.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3517	134	All the Liberal Party cares about is staying in power. Do you STRONGLY

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
5	cpse5	The Alliance party wants to make it much harder for women to get abortions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2855	796	The Alliance party wants to make it much harder for women to get abortions. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Group Placements II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpspla24	How much of the federal budget surplus do you think should be spent on social programmes:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3450	201	How much of the federal budget surplus do you think should be spent on social programmes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
2	cpspla25	How much of the federal budget surplus do you think should be used for cutting taxes:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3480	171	How much of the federal budget surplus do you think should be used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
3	cpspla26	How much of the federal budget surplus do the Liberals want used for cutting taxes:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3636	15	First, the Liberals. How much of the federal budget surplus do the Liberals want used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
4	cpspla27	How much of the federal budget surplus does the Bloc Quebecois want used for cutting taxes:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1244	2407	And the Bloc Québécois? How much of the federal budget surplus does the Bloc Québécois want used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
5	cpspla28	How much of the federal budget surplus does the Alliance want used for cutting taxes:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3630	21	And the Alliance? How much of the federal budget surplus does the Alliance want used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
6	cpspla29	How much of the federal budget surplus does the Conservatives want used for cutting taxes:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3633	18	And the Conservatives? How much of the federal budget surplus do the Conservatives want used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
7	cpspla30	How much of the federal budget surplus does the NDP want used for cutting taxes:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3631	20	And the NDP (the New Democratic Party)? How much of the federal budget surplus does the NDP (the New Democratic Party) want used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
8	cpspla31	Some people talk about political parties being on the LEFT or the RIGHT. Do you think the NDP (the New Democratic Party) is:	discrete	numeric-2.0	3461	190	Do you think the NDP (the New Democratic Party) is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?
9	cpspla32	Do you think the Alliance party is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2693	958	And the Alliance party? Do you think the Alliance party is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?
10	cpspla33	Do you think the Liberal party is on the left, on the	discrete	numeric-2.0	2600	1051	And the Liberal Party? Do you think the Liberal party is on the left, on the right, in the centre or are you not sure?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		right, in the centre, or are you not sure?					
11	cpspla34	Do you think the Conservative party is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2489	1162	And the Conservative Party? Do you think the Conservative party is on the left, on the right, in the centre or are you not sure?
12	cpspla35	Do you think the Bloc Quebecois is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2280	1371	And the Bloc Québécois? Do you think the Bloc Québécois is on the left, on the right, in the centre or are you not sure?
13	cpspla36	Would you say you are on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2526	1125	And finally, you personally. Would you say you are on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?

Group Policy I							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsf3	Society would be better off if more women stayed home with their children.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3463	188	Society would be better off if more women stayed home with their children. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
2	cpsf18	Gays and lesbians should be allowed to get married.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3331	320	Gays and lesbians should be allowed to get married. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
3	cpsf6	The government should leave it ENTIRELY to the private sector to create jobs.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3480	171	The government should leave it ENTIRELY to the private sector to create jobs. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
4	cpsf19	Only the police and the military should be allowed to have guns.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3559	92	Only the police and the military should be allowed to have guns. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
5	cpsf20	If people can't find work in the region where they live, they should move to where there are jobs.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3508	143	If people can't find work in the region where they live, they should move to where there are jobs. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
6	cpsf7	The public has a right to know where political parties and candidates get their campaign funds from.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3577	74	The public has a right to know where political parties and candidates get their campaign funds from. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
7	cpsf8	Do you think that parties and candidates should be allowed to spend as much money as they want in a Federal election campaign or should there be a limit on how much they can spend?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3596	55	Do you think that parties and candidates should be allowed to spend as much money as they want in a Federal election campaign or should there be a limit on how much they can spend?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	cpsf9	Do you think that people should be allowed to give as much money as they want to parties and candidates or should there be a limit on how much they can give?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3547	104	Do you think that people should be allowed to give as much money as they want to parties and candidates or should there be a limit on how much they can give?
9	cpsf10a	How good a job has the Liberal government done of: Protecting the environment?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3290	361	How good a job has the Liberal government done of: Protecting the environment? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
10	cpsf10b	How good a job do you think has the Liberal government done of: Reducing the Federal debt?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3310	341	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Reducing the Federal debt? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
11	cpsf10c	How good a job do you think has the Liberal government done of: Improving health care?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3472	179	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Improving health care? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
12	cpsf10h	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Improving social welfare programmes?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3074	577	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Improving social welfare programmes? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
13	cpsf10d	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Creating jobs?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3300	351	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Creating jobs? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
14	cpsf10e	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Getting along with the provincial government?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3134	517	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Getting along with provincial governments? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
15	cpsf10f	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Cutting taxes?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3426	225	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Cutting taxes? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
16	cpsf10i	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Fighting crime?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3270	381	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Fighting crime? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
17	cpsf10k	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Handling Canada's international relations?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3144	507	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Handling Canada's international relations? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
18	cpsf10j	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Defending the interests of Quebec?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1132	2519	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Defending the interests of Quebec? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
19	cpsf11	Do you think there has been a lot of corruption under the present Liberal government, some, a little or none?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3207	444	Do you think there has been a lot of corruption under the present Liberal government, some, a little or none?
20	cpsf13	Do you happen to remember which party is promising a single tax rate for people earning less than one hundred thousand dollars a year?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3648	3	Do you happen to remember which party is promising a single tax rate for people earning less than one hundred thousand dollars a year?
21	cpsprom2	Which party is proposing a national prescription drug plan?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3641	10	Which party is proposing a national prescription drug plan?
22	cpsprom3	Which party is promising a law to fight criminal biker gangs? / Do you happen to recall which party is proposing an anti-gang law?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3640	11	Which party is promising a law to fight criminal biker gangs? (Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec) / Do you happen to recall which party is proposing an anti-gang law? (All others)
23	cpsprom4	Do you happen to recall which party is proposing a law to pay back the debt in 25 years?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3640	11	Do you happen to recall which party is proposing a law to pay back the debt in 25 years?
24	cpsprom5	Do you happen to recall which party is promising to use HALF of the surplus to cut taxes and pay down the debt and HALF to spend on health care and social programmes?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2733	918	Do you happen to recall which party is promising to use HALF of the surplus to cut taxes and pay down the debt and HALF to spend on health care and social programs?

Group National Economic Conditions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsg1	Now I want to ask you about the economy. Over the PAST YEAR, has CANADA's economy:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3510	141	Over the PAST YEAR, has CANADA's economy GOTTEN BETTER, GOTTEN WORSE, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME?
2	cpsg1a	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy better, or haven't they made much difference?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1369	2282	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy better, or haven't they made much difference?
3	cpsg1b	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy worse, or haven't they made much difference?	discrete	numeric-1.0	475	3176	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy worse, or haven't they made much difference?
4	cpsg3a	What about the NEXT 12 months? Will CANADA's economy get BETTER, GET WORSE or STAY ABOUT THE SAME?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3350	301	What about the NEXT 12 months? Will CANADA's economy get BETTER, GET WORSE or STAY ABOUT THE SAME?
5	cpsg4abc	How would YOU spend the federal budget surplus? Would your FIRST priority be improving social programmes, cutting taxes, or reducing the debt?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3531	120	How would YOU spend the federal budget surplus? Would your FIRST priority be improving social programmes, cutting taxes, or reducing the debt?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	cpsg5	And would your second priority be:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3473	178	And would your second priority be improving social programs, cutting taxes, or reducing the debt? (Omit priority selected for #g4)

Group Parties

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsrnpr1	First Party (Riding) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-
2	cpsrnpr2	Second Party (Riding) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-
3	cpsrnpr3	Third Party (Riding) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-
4	cpsrnpr4	Fourth Party (Riding) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-
5	cpsrnpr5	Fifth Party (Riding) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-
6	cpsi1a	The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3301	350	The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
7	cpsi1b	The LIBERAL party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3345	306	The LIBERAL party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
8	cpsi1c	The NDP's (the New Democratic Party) chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3281	370	The NDP (the New Democratic Party) chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
9	cpsi1d	The Alliance party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3262	389	The Alliance party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
10	cpsi1e	The Bloc Quebecois chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1166	2485	The Bloc Québécois chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.

Group Policy II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsj1a	In your view which party would be BEST at protecting the environment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2330	1321	In your view which party would be BEST at protecting the environment?
2	cpsj1b	Which party would do BEST at handling Canada's international relations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2699	952	Handling Canada's international relations? Which party would do BEST at handling Canada's international relations?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	cpsj1c	In your view, which party would be BEST at reducing the Federal debt?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2757	894	Reducing the Federal debt? In your view, which party would be BEST at reducing the Federal debt?
4	cpsj1d	Which party would do BEST at fighting crime?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2519	1132	Fighting crime? Which party would do BEST at fighting crime?
5	cpsj1e	In your view, which party would be BEST at improving health care?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2606	1045	Improving health care? In your view, which party would be BEST at improving health care?
6	cpsj1f	In your view, which party would be BEST at promoting traditional family values?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2421	1230	Promoting traditional family values? In your view, which party would be BEST at promoting traditional family values?
7	cpsj1g	Which party would do BEST at improving social welfare programmes?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2451	1200	Improving social welfare programmes? Which party would do BEST at improving social welfare programmes?
8	cpsj1h	In your view, which party would be BEST at cutting taxes?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2416	1235	Cutting taxes? In your view, which party would be BEST at cutting taxes?
9	cpsj1i	In your view, which party would do BEST at preserving national unity?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2291	1360	Preserving national unity? In your view, which party would do BEST at preserving national unity?
10	cpsj1j	In your view, which party would do BEST at creating jobs?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2226	1425	In your view, which party would do BEST at creating jobs?
11	cpsj1k	In your view, which party would be BEST at dealing with provincial governments?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2157	1494	In your view, which party would be BEST at dealing with provincial governments?
12	cpsj1l	Which party would do BEST at defending the interests of Quebec?	discrete	numeric-2.0	720	2931	Which party would do BEST at defending the interests of Quebec?
13	cpsj5	Do you think the gap between rich and poor in Canada has INCREASED, DECREASED, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME over the last few years?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3513	138	Do you think the gap between rich and poor in Canada has INCREASED, DECREASED, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME over the last few years?
14	cpsj9	In general, would you say that Canada's Aboriginal peoples are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Canadians?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3412	239	In general, would you say that Canada's Aboriginal peoples are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Canadians?
15	cpsj10	Do you think the income gap between Canadians and Americans has INCREASED, DECREASED, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME over the last few years?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3301	350	Do you think the income gap between Canadians and Americans has INCREASED, DECREASED, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME over the last few years?
16	cpsj18	Do you think Canada should admit more immigrants, fewer immigrants, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3513	138	Do you think Canada should admit more immigrants, fewer immigrants, or about the same as now?
17	cpsj19	Do you think that pollution in Canada has got worse, got better or stayed about the same in the last few years?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3530	121	Do you think that pollution in Canada has got worse, got better or stayed about the same in the last few years?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
18	cpsj50	Do you think that crime in Canada has gone up, gone down or stayed about the same in the last few years?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3566	85	Do you think that crime in Canada has gone up, gone down or stayed about the same in the last few years?
19	cpsj51	Which is the BEST way to deal with young offenders who commit violent crime: ONE: give them tougher sentences, or TWO: spend more on rehabilitating them?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3509	142	Which is the BEST way to deal with young offenders who commit violent crime: ONE: give them tougher sentences, or TWO: spend more on rehabilitating them?
20	cpsj12	In general, does the federal government treat your Province BETTER, WORSE, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Provinces?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3427	224	In general, does the federal government treat your Province BETTER, WORSE, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Provinces?
21	cpsj13	Do political parties keep their election promises MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or HARDLY EVER?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3567	84	Do political parties keep their election promises MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or HARDLY EVER?

Group Party Identifcation and Vote History

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpstime1	Time Stamp - Beginning of Vote Experiment <K1A--K3B>	continuous	numeric-4.0	2400	1251	-
2	cpstime2	Time Stamp - End of First Vote Item <K1A--K3B>	continuous	numeric-4.0	2400	1251	-
3	cpstime3	Time Stamp - End of Vote Experiment <K1A--K3B>	continuous	numeric-4.0	2400	1251	-
4	cpsk1a	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:.	discrete	numeric-2.0	1655	1996	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec), Alliance, Conservative, N.D.P, or none of these?
5	cpsk2a	How strongly do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1178	2473	How strongly [party identified in #k1a] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
6	cpsk3a	Do you generally think of yourself as being a LITTLE closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?	discrete	numeric-1.0	537	3114	Do you generally think of yourself as being a LITTLE closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
7	cpsk4a	Which party is that?	discrete	numeric-2.0	159	3492	Which party is that?
8	cpsk1b	Generally speaking, in federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a :	discrete	numeric-2.0	1662	1989	Generally speaking, in federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec), Alliance, Conservative, NDP (New Democratic Party), or do you usually think of yourself as not having a general preference?
9	cpsk2b	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a very strong, a fairly strong, or a not very strong:...?	discrete	numeric-2.0	936	2715	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a very strong, a fairly strong, or a not very strong [party identified in #k1b]?
10	cpsk3b	Generally speaking, in federal politics, do you usually think of yourself	discrete	numeric-2.0	1037	2614	cpsk3b question details

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		as more inclined to support the...?					
11	cpsk5	Do you happen to recall if you voted in the 1997 Federal election?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3554	97	Do you happen to recall if you voted in the 1997 Federal election?
12	cpsk6	Which party did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2381	1270	Which party did you vote for?
13	cpsk7	Is there any Federal political party that is just too extreme?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2951	700	Is there any Federal political party that is just too extreme?

Group Debate

Universe
Asked only after debate

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsdeb1	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2097	1554	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?
2	cpsdeb1b	Which leader did the BEST in that debate?	discrete	numeric-1.0	981	2670	In your opinion, which leader did the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw the English TV debate) / From what you have heard, which leader did the BEST in that debate? (All others)
3	cpsdeb1c	And who did the WORST?	discrete	numeric-1.0	869	2782	And who did the WORST?
4	cpsdeb2	Did you see the FRENCH TV debate among the party leaders?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2171	1480	Did you see the FRENCH TV debate?
5	cpsdeb2b	Which leader did the BEST in that debate?	discrete	numeric-1.0	776	2875	In your opinion, who did the BEST in that debate? (Asked to respondents who saw the French TV debate) / From what you have heard, who did the BEST in that debate? (All others)
6	cpsdeb2c	And who did the WORST?	discrete	numeric-1.0	711	2940	And who did the WORST?

Group Personal Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsage	In what year were you born?	discrete	numeric-4.0	3619	32	First, in what year were you born?
2	cpsm2	Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3627	24	Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?
3	cpsm3	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3624	27	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?
4	cpsm4	Are you presently self employed, working for pay, are you unemployed, retired, a student, or a homemaker?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3620	31	Are you presently self employed, working for pay, are you unemployed, retired, a student, or a homemaker?
5	cpsm7	Do you work for a private company, in the public sector, such as a hospital, school or municipality, in a government owned company,	discrete	numeric-1.0	1712	1939	Do you work for a private company, in the public sector, such as a hospital, school or municipality, in a government owned company, or a Federal or Provincial government ministry?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		or a Federal or Provincial government ministry?					
6	cpsm9	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3615	36	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?
7	cpsm10	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Islam, another religion, or none?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3576	75	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Islam, another religion, or none?
8	cpsm10a	What church or denomination is that?	discrete	numeric-2.0	972	2679	What church or denomination is that?
9	cpsm10b	In your life, would you say religion is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3595	56	In your life, would you say religion is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?
10	cpsm11	In what country were you born?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3633	18	In what country were you born?
11	cpsm12	In what year did you come to live in Canada?	discrete	numeric-4.0	470	3181	In what year did you come to live in Canada?
12	cpsn6a	To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3519	132	To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong?
13	cpsn7	In addition to being Canadian, to what ethnic or cultural group did you, or your ancestors belong on first coming to this continent?	discrete	numeric-2.0	883	2768	In addition to being Canadian to what ethnic or cultural group did you, or your ancestors belong on first coming to this continent?
14	cpsn6b	To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Second mention]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2964	687	-
15	cpsn6c	To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Third mention]	discrete	numeric-2.0	667	2984	-
16	cpsn6d	To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Fourth mention]	discrete	numeric-2.0	168	3483	-
17	cpsm14	What language do you usually speak at home?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3637	14	What language do you usually speak at home?
18	cpsm15	What is the first language you learned and still understand?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3639	12	What is the first language you learned and still understand?
19	cpsm16	Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, for 1999?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2494	1157	Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, what was your TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME before taxes and other deductions for 1999?
20	cpsm16a	We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...	discrete	numeric-3.0	834	2817	We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...
21	cpsm17	How many children under 18 years live in your home?	discrete	numeric-3.0	3619	32	How many children under 18 years live in your home?

Group The Vote Part I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesa1	What was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2270	1381	What was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this election?

Group Interest and Media

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesb1	How much attention did you pay to news about the Federal election on TV:	discrete	numeric-2.0	2834	817	How much attention did you pay to news about the Federal election on TV: Using a scale from zero to ten, where zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?
2	pesb2	Using the same scale, how much attention did you pay to RADIO news about the Federal election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2832	819	Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how much attention did you pay to RADIO news about the Federal election? Zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?
3	pesb3	Using the same scale, how much attention did you pay to news about the Federal election in the NEWSPAPERS?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2833	818	Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how much attention did you pay to news about the Federal election in the NEWSPAPERS? Zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?
4	pesb4	Using the same scale, how interested were you in the Federal election?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2841	810	Using the same scale, how interested were you in the Federal election? Zero means no interest at all and ten means extremely interested.
5	pesb5	During the campaign, do you think the media did a very good job of informing Canadians about the parties, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2677	974	During the campaign, do you think the media did a very good job of informing Canadians about the parties, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?
6	pesb6	Did you use the internet to get any information about the Federal election?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	Did you use the internet to get any information about the Federal election?
7	pesb7	Did you visit a political party's web site during the campaign?	discrete	numeric-1.0	326	3325	Did you visit a political party's website during the campaign?
8	pesb8	Did you send an e-mail to a candidate or a party during the campaign?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2856	795	Did you send an e-mail to a candidate or a party during the campaign?
9	pesb9	Were you contacted by a political party during the campaign?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2846	805	Were you contacted by a political party during the campaign?
10	pesb9a	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?	discrete	numeric-1.0	879	2772	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
11	pesb9a1	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email? [First mention]	discrete	numeric-1.0	326	3325	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
12	pesb9a2	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email? [Second mention]	discrete	numeric-1.0	33	3618	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
13	pesb9a3	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email? [Third mention]	discrete	numeric-1.0	4	3647	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
14	pesb9a4	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email? [Fourth mention]	discrete	numeric-1.0	1	3650	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
15	pesb9b	Did you get a campaign flyer from ANY of the parties?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2777	874	Did you get a campaign flyer from ANY of the parties?
16	pesb10	During the CAMPAIGN, did you help a party or a candidate, for example, by going to a rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a sign?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2859	792	During the CAMPAIGN, did you help a party or a candidate, for example, by going to a rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a sign?
17	pesb11	Did you discuss the election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2855	796	Did you discuss the election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?
18	pesb12	Do you happen to recall the name of the leader of the Federal NDP (the New Democratic Party)?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2858	793	We're wondering how well known the various federal party leaders are. Do you happen to recall the name of the leader of the Federal NDP (the New Democratic Party)?
19	pesb13	And the leader of the Federal Conservative Party?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2858	793	And the leader of the Federal Conservative Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
20	pesb14	The leader of the Alliance Party?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2858	793	The leader of the Alliance Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
21	pesb15	The leader of the Bloc Quebecois?	discrete	numeric-1.0	941	2710	The leader of the Bloc Quebecois? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
22	pesb16	The leader of the Federal Liberal Party?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	The leader of the Federal Liberal Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?

Group The Vote Part II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesa2	Did YOU vote in the election?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	Did YOU vote in the election?
2	pesa2a	What was the main reason you did not vote?	discrete	numeric-2.0	475	3176	What was the main reason you did not vote?
3	pesa2b	If it had been possible to vote by telephone or internet, without having to go to the polling station, would you have voted or would this not have made any difference?	discrete	numeric-1.0	464	3187	If it had been possible to vote by telephone or internet, without having to go to the polling station, would you have voted or would this not have made any difference?
4	pesa3a	Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party, the Bloc Quebecois, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the N.D.P., or another party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	663	2988	Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party, the Bloc Quebecois, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the N.D.P., or another party?
5	pesa3b	Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party,	discrete	numeric-2.0	1375	2276	Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party, the Alliance Party, the

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the NDP, or another party?					Conservative Party, the NDP, or another party?
6	pesa3oth	INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here.	discrete	character-25	18	0	-
7	pesa3aq	Which party did you vote for: another party.	discrete	character-26	29	0	-
8	pesa4	Was that the party you liked the most?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1981	1670	Was the [party selected in #a3a/#a3b] the party you liked the most?
9	pesa4a	Which party did you like the most?	discrete	numeric-2.0	391	3260	Which party did you like the most?
10	pesa4b	And which party was your second choice?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1535	2116	And which party was your second choice?
11	pesa5	When did you decide that you were going to vote for...?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2205	1446	When did you decide that you were going to vote [fill party specified in #a3a/#a3b]? Was it before the campaign began, before the T.V. debates, during or just after the debates, in the last two weeks of the campaign, or election day?
12	pesa6	On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2775	876	On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?
13	pesa7	And now the outcome of the election. What were YOU hoping for: A liberal majority, a liberal minority, or a liberal defeat?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1788	1863	And now the outcome of the election. What were YOU hoping for: A liberal majority, A liberal minority, or a Liberal defeat?

Group Party/Collectivities Evaluation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesrnp1	First Party (Federal) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
2	pesrnp2	Second Party (Federal) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
3	pesrnp3	Third Party (Federal) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
4	pesrnp4	Fourth Party (Federal) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
5	pesrnp5	Fifth Party (Federal) - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
6	pesc1a	How do you feel about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2698	953	How do you feel about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you really LIKE the party.
7	pesc1b	How do you feel about the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2730	921	How do you feel about the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the party and one

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							hundred means you really LIKE the party.
8	pesc1c	How do you feel about the FEDERAL N.D.P?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2681	970	How do you feel about the FEDERAL N.D.P? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you really LIKE the party.
9	pesc1d	How do you feel about the ALLIANCE PARTY?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2704	947	How do you feel about the ALLIANCE PARTY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you really LIKE the party.
10	pesc1e	How do you feel about the BLOC QUEBECOIS?	discrete	numeric-3.0	893	2758	How do you feel about the BLOC QUEBECOIS? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you really LIKE the party.
11	pesc2a	First, how do you feel about environmentalists?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2642	1009	And now a few questions about various groups using the 0 to 100 scale. First, how do you feel about environmentalists?
12	pesc2b	On the same scale, how do you feel about Aboriginal peoples?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2606	1045	On the same scale, how do you feel about aboriginal peoples? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the group, and one hundred means you really LIKE the group.
13	pesc2c	How do you feel about the police?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2809	842	How do you feel about the police? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the group and one hundred means you really LIKE the group
14	pesc2d	How do you feel about political parties?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2758	893	How do you feel about political parties? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the group and one hundred means you really LIKE the group.
15	pesc2e	How do you feel about the UNITED STATES?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2660	991	How do you feel about the UNITED STATES? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE group and one hundred means you really LIKE the group.
16	pesc3	How do you feel about CANADA?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2815	836	How do you feel about CANADA? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE Canada and one hundred means you really LIKE Canada.
17	pesc4	How do you feel about your province?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2817	834	How do you feel about [province in which respondent resides]? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE [province] and one hundred means you really LIKE [province].
18	pesc5	How do you feel about QUEBEC?	discrete	numeric-3.0	1810	1841	How do you feel about QUEBEC? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE Quebec and one hundred means you really LIKE Quebec.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
19	pesc6	Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed to Quebec sovereignty, that is Quebec is NO LONGER A PART OF CANADA?	discrete	numeric-1.0	896	2755	Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed to Quebec sovereignty, that is Quebec is NO LONGER A PART OF CANADA?
20	pesc7	In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?	discrete	numeric-1.0	917	2734	In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?
21	pesc8	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think that the situation of French language in Quebec will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	914	2737	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think that the situation of French language in Quebec will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?
22	pesc9	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	890	2761	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?
23	pesc11	How likely is it that Quebec will separate from Canada: VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2760	891	How likely is it that Quebec will separate from Canada: VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY?

Group Policy I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesd1a	And now government spending. Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now on the following areas? ... Defence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2755	896	...Defence? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
2	pesd1b	Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Welfare?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2750	901	...Welfare? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
3	pesd1c	Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Pensions and Old Age Security?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2794	857	...Pensions and Old Age Security? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
4	pesd1d	Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Health Care?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2828	823	...Health Care? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
5	pesd1e	Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Unemployment Insurance?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2722	929	...Unemployment Insurance? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
6	pesd1f	Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Education?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2810	841	...Education? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	pesd1g	Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Aid to developing countries?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2695	956	...Aid to developing countries? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
8	pesd2	How much power do you think UNIONS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2779	872	How much power do you think UNIONS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
9	pesd3	And how much power do you think BUSINESS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2729	922	And how much power do you think BUSINESS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
10	pesd4	Do you think Canada's ties with the United States should be MUCH CLOSER, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2786	865	Do you think Canada's ties with the United States should be MUCH CLOSER, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?
11	pesd5	Do you think the Alliance Party favours or opposes 2-tier health care?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2086	1565	Do you think the Alliance Party favours or opposes 2-tier health care?
12	pesd6	How clear is the Alliance position on this: very clear, fairly clear, not very clear, or not clear at all?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2167	1484	How clear is the Alliance position on this: very clear, fairly clear, not very clear, or not clear at all?
13	pesd7	Would you favour or oppose having some private hospitals in Canada?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2716	935	Would you favour or oppose having some private hospitals in Canada?
14	pesd8a	And would you favour or oppose letting doctors charge patients a 10 dollar fee for each office visit?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1404	2247	And would you favour or oppose letting doctors charge patients a 10 dollar fee for each office visit?
15	pesd8b	And would you favour or oppose letting doctors charge patients a 20 dollar fee for each office visit?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1402	2249	And would you favour or oppose letting doctors charge patients a 20 dollar fee for each office visit?

Group Placement I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pese1a	When it comes to the division of power between the federal and provincial governments, do you think the PROVINCIAL governments should have more power, than now, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1400	2251	When it comes to the division of power between the federal and provincial governments, do you think the PROVINCIAL governments should have more power, than now, less power, or about the same as now?
2	pese2a	Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the federal LIBERALS, do they want the PROVINCIAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1306	2345	Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the federal LIBERALS, do they want the PROVINCIAL governments to have

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?					more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?
3	pese3a	Does the BLOC QUEBECOIS want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	379	3272	And the Bloc Quebecois? Does the BLOC QUEBECOIS want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?
4	pese4a	Does the ALLIANCE want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1014	2637	And the Alliance? Does the ALLIANCE want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?
5	pese5a	Do the federal CONSERVATIVES want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	929	2722	And the federal Conservatives? Do the federal CONSERVATIVES want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?
6	pese6a	Does the federal NDP want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	829	2822	And the federal NDP? Does the federal NDP want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?
7	pese1b	When it comes to the division of power between the federal and provincial governments, do you think the FEDERAL government should have more power, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1354	2297	When it comes to the division of power between the federal and provincial governments, do you think the FEDERAL government should have more power, less power, or about the same as now?
8	pese2b	Do the Liberals want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1279	2372	Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the LIBERALS. Do the Liberals want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?
9	pese3b	Does the BLOC QUEBECOIS want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	410	3241	And the Bloc Quebecois? Does the BLOC QUEBECOIS want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?
10	pese4b	Does the ALLIANCE want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1018	2633	And the Alliance? Does the ALLIANCE want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?
11	pese5b	Do the CONSERVATIVES want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	960	2691	And the Conservatives? Do the CONSERVATIVES want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?
12	pese6b	Does the NDP want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?	discrete	numeric-1.0	892	2759	And the NDP? Does the NDP want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?
13	pese7a	IN GENERAL, which government looks after YOUR interests better: the FEDERAL government	discrete	numeric-1.0	1312	2339	IN GENERAL, which government looks after YOUR interests better: the FEDERAL government or the PROVINCIAL government?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		or the PROVINCIAL government?					
14	pese7b	IN GENERAL, which government looks after YOUR interests better: the FEDERAL government, the PROVINCIAL government, or is there not much difference?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1414	2237	IN GENERAL, which government looks after YOUR interests better: the FEDERAL government, the PROVINCIAL government, or is there not much difference?
15	pese8	Over the last FIVE years, do you think that the quality of education in your province has got better, got worse, or stayed about the same?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2708	943	Over the last FIVE years, do you think that the quality of education in [province in which respondent resides] has got better, got worse, or stayed about the same?
16	pesk8a	Now your views about political parties IN GENERAL. How good a job do political parties IN GENERAL do of presenting clear choices on the issues: a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2729	922	Now your views about political parties IN GENERAL. How good a job do political parties IN GENERAL do of presenting clear choices on the issues: a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?
17	pesk8b	Do political parties IN GENERAL do a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all at finding solutions to important problems?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2715	936	... finding solutions to important problems? Do political parties IN GENERAL do a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all at finding solutions to important problems?
18	pesk8d	Do political parties IN GENERAL do a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at expressing the concerns of ordinary people?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2734	917	... expressing the concerns of ordinary people? Do political parties IN GENERAL do a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at expressing the concerns of ordinary people?
19	pesk9	And what about the party you voted for? Does they do a very good job of expressing the concerns of ordinary people, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1379	2272	And what about the [party voted for as indicated in #a3a/#a3b]? Does the [party voted for as indicated in #a3a/#a3b] do a very good job of expressing the concerns of ordinary people, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?

Group Leader/Candidate Evaluation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	premier	Name of Provincial Premier	discrete	character-15	2860	0	-
2	pesint3	And now, how do you feel about your Provincial PREMIER?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2774	877	And now, how do you feel about [fill PREMIER of respondent's province]? Use a scale from ZERO to ONE HUNDRED. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE him and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE him. Use any number from zero to one hundred.
3	pesrnl1	First Leader - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
4	pesrnl2	Second Leader - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	pesrnl3	Third Leader - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
6	pesrnl4	Fourth Leader - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
7	pesrnl5	Fifth Leader - Random Delivery	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
8	pesf1	How do you feel about JOE CLARK?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2740	911	How do you feel about JOE CLARK? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
9	pesf2	How do you feel about JEAN CHRETIEN?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2783	868	... and JEAN CHRETIEN? How do you feel about JEAN CHRETIEN? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
10	pesf3	How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2664	987	... and ALEXA MCDONOUGH? How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE her and one hundred means you really LIKE her.
11	pesf4	How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY?	discrete	numeric-3.0	2712	939	... and STOCKWELL DAY? How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
12	pesf5	How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE?	discrete	numeric-3.0	897	2754	... and GILLES DUCEPPE? How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.

Group Leader Traits

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesi1	Which party leader would YOU describe as arrogant?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2440	1211	Which party leader would YOU describe as arrogant?
2	pesi2	Which leader would YOU describe as trustworthy?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2484	1167	Which leader would YOU describe as trustworthy?
3	pesi3	Which leader would YOU describe as having new ideas?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2377	1274	Which leader would YOU describe as having new ideas?
4	pesi4	Which leader would YOU describe as compassionate?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2280	1371	Which leader would YOU describe as compassionate?
5	pesi5	Which leader would YOU describe as dishonest?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2134	1517	Which leader would YOU describe as dishonest?
6	pesi6	Which leader would YOU describe as intelligent?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2413	1238	Which leader would YOU describe as intelligent?
7	pesi7	Which leader would YOU describe as extreme?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2215	1436	Which leader would YOU describe as extreme?
8	pesi8	Which leader would YOU describe as a weak leader?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2214	1437	Which leader would YOU describe as a weak leader?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	pesi9	Did the leaders' religious beliefs influence your vote?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2779	872	Did the leaders' religious beliefs influence your vote?
10	pesf6	Now the local candidates in your riding. Was there a candidate in your riding you particularly liked?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2707	944	Now the local candidates in your riding. Was there a candidate in your riding you particularly liked?
11	pesf7	Which party was the candidate you liked from?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1117	2534	Which party was the candidate you liked from?

Group Policy and Placement II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesg2	If a law conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2696	955	If a law conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say: The courts because they are in the best position to decide what is just and unjust, or the government because they are elected by the people?
2	pesg3	Under our present system, a party can win a majority of seats without winning a majority of votes.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2853	798	Under our present system, a party can win a majority of seats without winning a majority of votes. Do you find this acceptable, unacceptable, or do you not have an opinion on this?
3	pesg4a	Do you think that referendums on IMPORTANT issues should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1378	2273	Do you think that referendums on IMPORTANT issues should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?
4	pesg4b	Do you think that referendums on CONTROVERSIAL issues should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1385	2266	Do you think that referendums on CONTROVERSIAL issues should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?
5	pesg5	Should we have fixed dates for elections, or should the government decide when elections are held?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2703	948	Should we have fixed dates for elections, or should the government decide when elections are held?
6	pesg7a	As you may know, there are many more men than women in the House of Commons. In your view, is this a very serious problem, quite a serious problem, not a very serious problem, or not a problem at all?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1402	2249	As you may know, there are many more men than women in the House of Commons. In your view, is this a very serious problem, quite a serious problem, not a very serious problem, or not a problem at all?
7	pesg7b	Would you favour or oppose requiring the parties to have an equal number of male and female candidates?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1316	2335	Would you favour or oppose requiring the parties to have an equal number of male and female candidates?
8	pesg7c	As you may know, there are very few members of RACIAL MINORITIES in the House of Commons.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1369	2282	As you may know, there are very few members of RACIAL MINORITIES in the House of Commons. In your view, is this a very serious problem, quite a serious problem, not a very serious problem, or not a problem at all?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	pesg7d	Would you favour or oppose requiring the parties to have more members of racial minorities as candidates?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1249	2402	Would you favour or oppose requiring the parties to have more members of racial minorities as candidates?
10	pesg8	And now a question on abortion: do you think it should be very easy for women to get an abortion, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2590	1061	And now a question on abortion: do you think it should be very easy for women to get an abortion, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?
11	pesg9	Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the LIBERALS.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2353	1298	Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the LIBERALS. Do the Liberals want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult for women to get an abortion?
12	pesg10	Does the Bloc Quebecois want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?	discrete	numeric-1.0	453	3198	Does the Bloc Quebecois want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?
13	pesg11	Does the Alliance want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1695	1956	Does the Alliance want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?
14	pesg12	Do the Conservatives want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1355	2296	Do the Conservatives want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?
15	pesg13	Does the NDP want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1350	2301	Does the NDP want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?
16	pesg14	Do you think it would be a good thing or a bad thing to have a referendum on abortion?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2611	1040	Do you think it would be a good thing or a bad thing to have a referendum on abortion?
17	pesg20	Are you very sympathetic towards feminism, quite sympathetic, not very sympathetic, or not sympathetic at all?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2661	990	Are you very sympathetic towards feminism, quite sympathetic, not very sympathetic, or not sympathetic at all?
18	pesg15	People who don't get ahead should blame themselves, not the system.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2722	929	People who don't get ahead should blame themselves, not the system. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
19	pesg16	When businesses make a lot of money, everyone benefits, including the poor.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2773	878	When businesses make a lot of money, everyone benefits, including the poor. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
20	pesg17	Overall, free trade with the U.S. has been good for the Canadian economy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2574	1077	Overall, free trade with the U.S. has been good for the Canadian economy. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
21	pesg18	Quebec has the right to separate no matter what the rest of Canada says.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2789	862	Quebec has the right to separate no matter what the rest of Canada says. Do you STRONGLY AGREE,

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
22	pesg19	The federal government should cut funding to provinces that allow user fees for medical services.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2622	1029	The federal government should cut funding to provinces that allow user fees for medical services. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
23	pesg1	Which statement COMES CLOSEST to your own view: One, most PEOPLE can be trusted, or Two, most PEOPLE take advantage of others whenever they can?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2763	888	Which statement COMES CLOSEST to your own view: One, most PEOPLE can be trusted, or Two, most PEOPLE take advantage of others whenever they can?

Group Promises

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesh1	Do you happen to remember which party promised a single tax rate for people earning less than one hundred thousand dollars a year?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	Do you happen to remember which party promised a single tax rate for people earning less than one hundred thousand dollars a year?
2	pesh2	Which party proposed a national prescription drug plan?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	Which party proposed a national prescription drug plan?
3	pesh3	Which party promised a law to fight criminal biker gangs?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	Which party promised a law to fight criminal biker gangs?
4	pesh4	Do you happen to recall which party proposed a law to pay back the debt in 25 years?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	Do you happen to recall which party proposed a law to pay back the debt in 25 years?
5	pesh5	Do you happen to recall which party promised to use HALF of the surplus to cut taxes and pay down the debt and HALF to spend on health care and social programmes?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	Do you happen to recall which party promised to use HALF of the surplus to cut taxes and pay down the debt and HALF to spend on health care and social programs?

Group Media Habits

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesj1	How many hours a day do you usually watch TV?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2847	804	How many hours a day do you usually watch TV?
2	pesj2	Do you watch Canadian stations all of the time, most of the time, some of the time or none of the time?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2814	837	Do you watch Canadian stations all of the time, most of the time, some of the time or none of the time?
3	pesj3	And how many hours a day do you listen to the radio?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2836	815	And how many hours a day do you listen to the radio?
4	pesj4	And how many days a week do you read a newspaper?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2850	801	And how many days a week do you read a newspaper?
5	pesj5	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2847	804	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	pesj6	In your opinion/From what you have heard, which leader did the BEST in that debate?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1470	2181	In your opinion, which leader did the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw English TV debate)/From what you have heard, which leader did the BEST in that debate?(All others)
7	pesj7	And who did the Worst?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1244	2407	And who did the WORST?
8	pesj8	Did you see the FRENCH TV debate?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2826	825	Did you see the FRENCH TV debate?
9	pesj9	In your opinion/From what you have heard, which leader did the BEST in that debate?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1077	2574	In your opinion, which leader did the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw French TV debate) / From what you have heard, which leader did the BEST in that debate? (All others)
10	pesj10	And who did the Worst?	discrete	numeric-1.0	912	2739	And who did the WORST?

Group Party Identification and Party Perceptions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesk1a	In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Bloc Quebecois, Alliance, Conservative, NDP, or none of these?	discrete	numeric-2.0	885	2766	In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Bloc Quebecois, Alliance, Conservative, NDP, or none of these?
2	pesk1b	In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Alliance, Conservative, NDP, or none of these?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1788	1863	In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Alliance, Conservative, NDP, or none of these?
3	pesk1oth	INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here.	discrete	character-20	4	0	-
4	pesk1aq	In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as: Other.	discrete	character-20	7	0	In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as:
5	pesk2	How strongly do you feel: very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1966	1685	How strongly [fill party identified in #k1a/#k1b] do you feel: very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
6	pesk3	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the FEDERAL parties than to the others?	discrete	numeric-1.0	758	2893	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the FEDERAL parties than to the others?
7	pesk4	Which party is that?	discrete	numeric-2.0	242	3409	Which party is that?
8	pesk5	Is there any FEDERAL political party that is just too extreme?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2526	1125	Is there any FEDERAL political party that is just too extreme?
9	pesk6	In PROVINCIAL POLITICS, do you usually think of yourself as a ..., another party, or none of these?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2629	1022	In PROVINCIAL POLITICS, do you usually think of yourself as:
10	pesk6oth	INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here.	discrete	character-25	15	0	-
11	pesk6q	INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here.	discrete	character-54	17	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	pesk7	How strongly do you feel: very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1926	1725	How strongly [fill party identified in #k6] do you feel: very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
13	pesk10	Have you ever been a member of a political party?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2848	803	Have you ever been a member of a political party?
14	pesk10a	Which one?	discrete	numeric-2.0	500	3151	Which one?
15	pesk11a	Have you ever been a member of an interest group that worked for change on a a particular social or political issue?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2843	808	Have you ever been a member of an interest group that worked for change on a particular social or political issue?
16	pesk11b	Which do you think is a more effective way to work for change nowadays: joining a political party or joining an interest group?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2504	1147	Which do you think is a more effective way to work for change nowadays: joining a political party or joining an interest group?
17	pesk12	Have you ever contacted a Member of Parliament?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2850	801	Have you ever contacted a Member of Parliament?
18	pesk12a	How satisfied were you with the response?	discrete	numeric-1.0	912	2739	How satisfied were you with the response: VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL?
19	pesk13	There is no point voting for a party that will win only a few seats.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2753	898	There is no point voting for a party that will win only a few seats. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
20	pesk14	All the Liberal Party cares about is staying in power.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2732	919	All the Liberal Party cares about is staying in power. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
21	pesk15	The Alliance Party only speaks for the West. [The west means Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2511	1140	The Alliance Party only speaks for the West. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
22	pesk16	The Conservative Party has nothing left to offer.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2549	1102	The Conservative Party has nothing left to offer. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
23	pesk17	The NDP is out of touch with the times.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2516	1135	The NDP is out of touch with the times. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
24	pesk18	There is no reason to have a sovereignist party in Ottawa.	discrete	numeric-1.0	838	2813	There is no reason to have a sovereignist party in Ottawa. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Group Postmaterialism and Arguments

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesl1	Here's a list of FOUR goals. Which goal is MOST important to you personally?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2787	864	Here's a list of FOUR goals. Which goal is MOST important to you personally?
2	pesl2	And, which is the SECOND MOST important to you, personally?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2753	898	And, which is the SECOND MOST important to you, personally? (Exclude response given in #l1)
3	pesl3	Do you happen to recall which party says that high taxes have produced a brain drain from Canada to the US?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	Do you happen to recall which party says that high taxes have produced a brain drain from Canada to the US?
4	pesl4	Do you happen to remember which party says that tougher sentences will NOT reduce youth violence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	Do you happen to remember which party says that tougher sentences will NOT reduce youth violence?
5	pesl5	Do you happen to recall which party says that a strong federal government is essential to preserve the Canadian health system?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2857	794	Do you happen to recall which party says that a strong federal government is essential to preserve the Canadian health system?

Group Registration and Vote

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesm1	Earlier in the survey you mentioned that you voted. Did you vote at a polling station on election day, at an advance poll, or by mail-in ballot?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2376	1275	Earlier in the survey you mentioned that you voted. Did you vote at a polling station on election day, at an advance poll, or by mail-in ballot?
2	pesm1a	Was this very easy, quite easy, quite difficult or very difficult?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2371	1280	Was this very easy, quite easy, quite difficult or very difficult?
3	pesm2	During the campaign, did you receive a "Voter Information" card showing that YOUR NAME was on the voters' list?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2834	817	During the campaign, did you receive a "Voter Information" card showing that YOUR NAME was on the voters' list?
4	pesm3	Did you try to get your name on the voters' list?	discrete	numeric-1.0	439	3212	Did you try to get your name on the voters' list?
5	pesm4	Could you tell me why not?	discrete	numeric-1.0	224	3427	Could you tell me why not?
6	pesm5	Was it very easy, quite easy, quite difficult or very difficult to get your name on the voters' list?	discrete	numeric-2.0	212	3439	Was it very easy, quite easy, quite difficult or very difficult to get your name on the voters' list?

Group Personal Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesn1	Do you have any children AGED 18 OR OVER?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2312	1339	Do you have any children AGED 18 OR OVER?
2	pesn1a	Do any of them live with you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1185	2466	Do any of them live with you?
3	pesn2	In what Province or Territory were you born?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2216	1435	In what Province or Territory were you born?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	pesn3	For how many years have you lived in ...?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2577	1074	For how many years have you lived in [province in which respondent resides]?
5	pesn5	Finally, if a PROVINCIAL election were held today, which party would you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2172	1479	Finally, if a PROVINCIAL election were held today in [province in which respondent resides], which party would you vote for?
6	consent	We will understand much more about the way CDNs feel about elections now that we have talked to you and others....	discrete	numeric-1.0	2860	791	consent question details

Group CPS Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idnum	Respondent Identification Number	continuous	numeric-5.0	3651	0	-
2	cpsrep	Sample Subsets <Replicate>	discrete	numeric-1.0	2400	1251	-
3	cpssamp	Day of Sample Release	continuous	numeric-2.0	3651	0	-
4	areacode	Telephone Area Code	discrete	numeric-3.0	3651	0	-
5	province	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-2.0	3651	0	-
6	cpsrn1	Question Order/Random Delivery <K1A/K1B>	discrete	numeric-1.0	2400	1251	-
7	cpsrn4	Question Order/Random Delivery <G4A/G4B/G4C>	discrete	numeric-1.0	2400	1251	-
8	cpsatemp	Total Number of Call Attempts	discrete	numeric-2.0	2400	1251	-
9	cpsref	Number of Refusals Before Completion	discrete	numeric-1.0	2400	1251	-
10	cpscont	Total Times Respondent Contacted	discrete	numeric-1.0	2400	1251	-
11	cpsans	Number of Times Telephone Answered	discrete	numeric-2.0	2400	1251	-
12	cpstime	Length of Interview <Minutes>	continuous	numeric-2.0	2155	1496	-
13	cpsdate	Date of Interview <MMDDYYYY>	continuous	numeric-8.0	3651	0	-
14	cpsmonth	Month of Interview <MM>	discrete	numeric-2.0	3651	0	-
15	cpsday	Day of Interview <DD>	continuous	numeric-2.0	3651	0	-
16	cpsyear	Year of Interview <YYYY>	discrete	numeric-4.0	3651	0	-
17	cpsinum	Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)	discrete	numeric-3.0	2400	1251	-
18	cpslang	Language of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-
19	nadults	Number of Adults in Household	discrete	numeric-2.0	3651	0	-
20	cpsrgen	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3651	0	-
21	cpsisr	ISR	discrete	numeric-1.0	2400	1251	-
22	cpsjoli	Jolicoeur	discrete	numeric-1.0	1251	2400	-

Group PES Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pesrn1	Question Wording/Random Delivery <D8A/D8B>	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
2	pesrn2	Question Wording/Random Delivery <E1A--E6A/E1B--E6B>	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
3	pesrn3	Question Wording/Random Delivery <G4A/G4B>	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
4	pesrn4	Question Wording/Random Delivery <G7A--G7D>	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
5	pesrn5	Question Wording/Random Delivery <E7A/E7B>	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
6	pesrn6	Question Order/Random Delivery <D2--D4>	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
7	pesrn7	Question Order/Random Delivery <K14--K18>	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
8	pesrn8	Question Order/Random Delivery <D1A--D1G>	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
9	pesatemp	Total Number of Call Attempts	continuous	numeric-2.0	1919	1732	-
10	pesref	Number of Refusals Before Completion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
11	pescont	Total Times Respondent Contacted	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
12	pesans	Number of Times Telephone Answered	discrete	numeric-2.0	1919	1732	-
13	pestime	Length of Interview <Minutes>	continuous	numeric-2.0	1778	1873	-
14	pesdate	Date of Interview <MMDDYYYY>	continuous	numeric-8.0	2860	791	-
15	pesmonth	Month of Interview <MM>	discrete	numeric-2.0	2860	791	-
16	pesday	Day of Interview <DD>	continuous	numeric-2.0	2860	791	-
17	pesyear	Year of Interview <YYYY>	discrete	numeric-4.0	2860	791	-
18	pesinum	Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)	discrete	numeric-3.0	1919	1732	-
19	peslang	Language of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	2860	791	-
20	pesisr	ISR	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	1732	-
21	pesjoli	Jolicoeur	discrete	numeric-1.0	941	2710	-

Group Section A- Issues I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsa1	We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1345	2306	We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.
2	mbsa2	We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own standards, even if they are very different from our own.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1436	2215	We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own standards, even if they are very different from our own.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	mbsa3	If a company has to lay off some of its employees, the first workers to be laid off should be women whose husbands have jobs.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1465	2186	If a company has to lay off some of its employees, the first workers to be laid off should be women whose husbands have jobs.
4	mbsa4	The welfare state makes people less willing to look after themselves.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1431	2220	The welfare state makes people less willing to look after themselves.
5	mbsa5	Discrimination makes it extremely difficult for women to get jobs equal to their abilities.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1420	2231	Discrimination makes it extremely difficult for women to get jobs equal to their abilities.
6	mbsa6	Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1331	2320	Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs.
7	mbsa7	Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of society.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1376	2275	Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of society.
8	mbsa8	The world is always changing and we should adapt our view of moral behaviour to these changes.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1440	2211	The world is always changing and we should adapt our view of moral behaviour to these changes.
9	mbsa9	This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family values.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1448	2203	This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family values.
10	mbsa10	It is more difficult for non-whites to be successful in Canadian society than it is for whites.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1396	2255	It is more difficult for non-whites to be successful in Canadian society than it is for whites.
11	mbsa11	If people really want to work, they can find a job.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1444	2207	If people really want work, they can find a job.
12	mbsa12	We should look after Canadians born in this country first and others second.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1427	2224	We should look after Canadians born in this country first and others second.
13	mbsa13	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally word for word.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1386	2265	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally word for word.
14	mbsa14	Minority groups need special rights.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1423	2228	Minority groups need special rights.
15	mbsa15	The best way to protect women's interests is to have more women in Parliament.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1378	2273	The best way to protect women's interests is to have more women in Parliament.

Group Section B- Issues II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsb1	The government should:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1312	2339	The government should:
2	mbsb2	The feminist movement:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1176	2475	The feminist movement:
3	mbsb3	Which is more important in a democratic society:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1220	2431	Which is more important in a democratic society:
4	mbsb4	Which comes closest to your own view:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1203	2448	Which comes closest to your own view:
5	mbsb5	Do you think that:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1365	2286	Do you think that:

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	mbsb6	Do you think that people in the government:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1470	2181	Do you think that people in the government:
7	mbsb7	The feminist movement encourages women:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1357	2294	The feminist movement encourages women:

Group Section C- Public Rights

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsc1	The problem with democracy is that most people don't really know what's best for them.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1416	2235	The problem with democracy is that most people don't really know what's best for them.
2	mbsc2	Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1462	2189	Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job.
3	mbsc3	People who don't vote have no right to criticize the government.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1476	2175	People who don't vote have no right to criticize the government.
4	mbsc4	The government should pay the most attention to those citizens who are well informed.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1432	2219	The government should pay the most attention to those citizens who are well informed.
5	mbsc5	Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1382	2269	Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people.
6	mbsc6	Political parties spend too much time catering to minorities.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1300	2351	Political parties spend too much time catering to minorities.
7	mbsc7	Political parties generally try to look after the best interests of everybody and not just the interests of those who vote for them.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1378	2273	Political parties generally try to look after the best interests of everybody and not just the interests of those who vote for them.
8	mbsc8	Political parties do more to divide the country than to unit it.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1334	2317	Political parties do more to divide the country than to unite it.
9	mbsc9	It is the duty of every citizen to vote.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1487	2164	It is the duty of every citizen to vote.
10	mbsc10	Immigrants make an important contribution to this country.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1379	2272	Immigrants make an important contribution to this country.
11	mbsc11	Canadian unity is weakened by Canadians of different ethnic and cultural backgrounds sticking to their old ways.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1368	2283	Canadian unity is weakened by Canadians of different ethnic and cultural backgrounds sticking to their old ways.
12	mbsc12	People like me don't have any say about what the government does.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1472	2179	People like me don't have any say about what the government does.
13	mbsc13	We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1402	2249	We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada.
14	mbsc14	We could probably solve most of our big national problems if decisions could	discrete	numeric-1.0	1239	2412	We could probably solve most of our big national problems if decisions could be

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		be brought back to the people at the grass roots.					brought back to the people at the grass roots.

Group Section D- Condfidence in Institutions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsda	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Organised religion.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1499	2152	Organized religion.
2	mbsdb	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The armed forces.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1495	2156	The armed forces.
3	mbsdc	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Public schools.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1493	2158	Public schools.
4	mbsdd	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The Supreme Court.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1487	2164	The Supreme Court.
5	mbsde	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The civil service.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1488	2163	The civil service.
6	mbsdf	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Unions.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1494	2157	Unions.
7	mbsdg	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The police.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1499	2152	The police.
8	mbsdh	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The federal government.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1497	2154	The federal government.
9	mbsdi	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Your provincial or territorial government.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1507	2144	Your provincial or territorial government.
10	mbsdj	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Big business.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1495	2156	Big business.
11	mbsdk	Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The media.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1507	2144	The media.

Group Section E- Issues III

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbse1	Canada should participate in peacekeeping operations	discrete	numeric-1.0	1380	2271	Canada should participate in peacekeeping operations abroad even if

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		abroad even if it means putting the lives of Canadian soldiers at risk.					it means putting the lives of Canadian soldiers at risk.
2	mbse2	International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1143	2508	International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys.
3	mbse3	Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit into Canadian society.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1290	2361	Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit into Canadian society.
4	mbse4	Only people who are legally married should be having children.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1435	2216	Only people who are legally married should be having children.
5	mbse5	We must crack down on crime, even if that means that criminals lose their rights.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1450	2201	We must crack down on crime, even if that means that criminals lose their rights.
6	mbse6	Anglophones in Quebec are better treated than Francophone in the rest of Canada.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1175	2476	Anglophones in Quebec are better treated than Francophone in the rest of Canada.
7	mbse7	Federal government services should be provided in only one language, French in Quebec and English in the rest of Canada.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1446	2205	Federal government services should be provided in only one language, French in Quebec and English in the rest of Canada.
8	mbse8	It is important to vote, even if my party or candidate has no chance of winning.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1500	2151	It is important to vote, even if my party or candidate has no chance of winning.
9	mbse9	We would have better laws if Members of Parliament were allowed to vote for what they thought was best rather than having to vote the same way as their party.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1338	2313	We would have better laws if Members of Parliament were allowed to vote for what they thought was best rather than having to vote the same way as their party.
10	mbse10	So many people vote that my vote hardly counts for anything.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1470	2181	So many people vote that my vote hardly counts for anything.
11	mbse11	If I did not vote, I would feel guilty.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1447	2204	If I did not vote, I would feel guilty.
12	mbse12	Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1476	2175	Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.

Group Section F- Government Responsibility

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsf1	Do you happen to know which level of government has the PRIMARY responsibility for health, education and social welfare?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1300	2351	Do you happen to know which level of government has the PRIMARY responsibility for health, education and social welfare?
2	mbsf2	Which level of government do you think SHOULD have the PRIMARY responsibility for health, education and social welfare?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1347	2304	Which level of government do you think SHOULD have the PRIMARY responsibility for health, education and social welfare?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	mbsf3	How good a job does your provincial government do with respect to health, education and social welfare?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1455	2196	How good a job does your provincial government do with respect to health, education and social welfare?
4	mbsf4	How good a job does the federal government do with respect to health, education and social welfare?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1362	2289	How good a job does the federal government do with respect to health, education and social welfare?
5	mbsf5	When there is a conflict between the federal government and your provincial government, who do you think is usually to blame?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1319	2332	When there is a conflict between the federal government and your provincial government, who do you think is usually to blame?
6	mbsf6	When your Premier takes part in meetings with the Prime Minister and other provincial premiers, whose interests should the Premier put first?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1430	2221	When your Premier takes part in meetings with the Prime Minister and other provincial premiers, whose interests should the Premier put first?
7	mbsf7	Who should be allowed to contribute to political parties and candidates?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1181	2470	Who should be allowed to contribute to political parties and candidates?
8	mbsf8	Do you think it is a good thing or a bad thing to limit the amount of money that individuals and groups (other than candidates and parties) can spend to advertise their support for a party or a policy during an election campaign?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1390	2261	Do you think it is a good thing or a bad thing to limit the amount of money that individuals and groups (other than candidates and parties) can spend to advertise their support for a party or a policy during an election campaign?
9	mbsf9	Do you think it is a good thing or a bad thing to require individuals and groups who advertise during an election campaign to make public how much they have spent?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1405	2246	Do you think it is a good thing or a bad thing to require individuals and groups who advertise during an election campaign to make public how much they have spent?

Group Section G- Voluntary Associations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsg1a	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Community service group.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	2382	Community service group
2	mbsg1b	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Business association.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	2382	Business association
3	mbsg1c	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Professional association.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	2382	Professional association
4	mbsg1d	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	2382	Environmental group

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		during the past five years: Environmental group.					
5	mbsg1e	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Women's group.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	2382	Women's group
6	mbsg1f	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Labour union.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	2382	Labour union
7	mbsg1g	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Ethnic association.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	2382	Ethnic association
8	mbsg1h	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Sports association.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	2382	Sports association
9	mbsg1i	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Religious organisation.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	2382	Religious organization
10	mbsg1j	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Other (please specify).	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	2382	Other (please specify)
11	mbsg2a	Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Sign a petition.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1472	2179	Sign a petition
12	mbsg2b	Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Join in a boycott.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1297	2354	Join in a boycott
13	mbsg2c	Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Attend a lawful demonstration.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1284	2367	Attend a lawful demonstration
14	mbsg2d	Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Join an illegal strike.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1205	2446	Join an illegal strike
15	mbsg2e	Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Occupy a building or factory.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1184	2467	Occupy a building or factory

Group Section H- Party Intentions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsh1a1	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:	discrete	numeric-1.0	779	2872	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:
2	mbsh1a2	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates	discrete	numeric-1.0	494	3157	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 2:					in the last election? Please write in their names below:
3	mbsh1a3	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 3:	discrete	numeric-1.0	251	3400	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:
4	mbsh1b	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Don't remember any.	discrete	numeric-1.0	734	2917	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:
5	mbsqh1a	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:	discrete	character-49	298	0	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:
6	mbsqh1b	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 2:	discrete	character-38	172	0	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:
7	mbsqh1c	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 3:	discrete	character-28	51	0	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:
8	mbsh2a	Here is a list of activities that Members of Parliament often perform. Please rank these factors according to how important you think they should be: Helping their constituents deal with government red tape.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1444	2207	Helping their constituents deal with government red tape.
9	mbsh2b	Taking an active role in shaping public policy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1442	2209	Taking an active role in shaping public policy.
10	mbsh2c	Looking after the interests of their riding.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1453	2198	Looking after the interests of their riding.
11	mbsh2d	Informing their constituents about government policy.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1448	2203	Informing their constituents about government policy.
12	mbsh3a	Here is a list of factors that the Prime Minister may consider when choosing Cabinet Ministers. Please rank these factors according to how important you think they should be: Their expertise in a particular policy area.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1452	2199	Their expertise in a particular policy area.
13	mbsh3b	How long they have been in Parliament.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1443	2208	How long they have been in Parliament.
14	mbsh3c	The region they represent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1443	2208	The region they represent.
15	mbsh3d	Their social background characteristics such as gender, language and ethnicity.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1442	2209	Their social background characteristics such as gender, language and ethnicity.
16	mbsh4a	There are several different possible methods of voting. Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in each of the following	discrete	numeric-1.0	1498	2153	Voting at a polling station.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		methods: Voting at a polling station.					
17	mbsh4b	Voting by mail.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1455	2196	Voting by mail.
18	mbsh4c	Voting by Internet from home.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1453	2198	Voting by Internet from home.
19	mbsh4d	Voting by telephone from home.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1458	2193	Voting by telephone from home.
20	mbsh5a	Please circle the method you would MOST LIKE to use.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1207	2444	Please circle the method you would MOST LIKE to use.
21	mbsh5b	Please circle the method you would LEAST LIKE to use.	discrete	numeric-1.0	820	2831	Please circle the method you would LEAST LIKE to use.

Group Section I- Party Intentions II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsi1	In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly	discrete	numeric-1.0	1499	2152	In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the last election in Canada, where would you place it on the scale below?
2	mbsi2	Some people say that political parties in Canada care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in Canada don't care what ordinary people think.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1496	2155	Some people say that political parties in Canada care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in Canada don't care what ordinary people think. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?
3	mbsi3	Some people say that political parties are necessary to make our political system work in Canada. Others think that political parties are not needed in Canada.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1500	2151	Some people say that political parties are necessary to make our political system work in Canada. Others think that political parties are not needed in Canada. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?

Group Section J- Social Union Framework

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsj1	The federal government and all the provinces except Quebec signed an agreement on social programmes in 1990, called the Social Union Framework. How much have you heard about this agreement:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1329	2322	The federal government and all the provinces except Quebec signed an agreement on social programmes in 1999, called the Social Union Framework. How much have you heard about this agreement:
2	mbsj2	How much do you think the social union agreement has done to improve social programmes?	discrete	numeric-1.0	494	3157	How much do you think the social union agreement has done to improve social programmes?
3	mbsj3	How serious a problem do you think it is that Quebec did not sign the agreement:	discrete	numeric-1.0	545	3106	How serious a problem do you think it is that Quebec did not sign the agreement:

Group Section K- Occupations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsk3a	Here is a list of occupations. Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Lawyer.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1201	2450	Lawyer
2	mbsk3b	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Social worker.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1045	2606	Social worker
3	mbsk3c	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Carpenter.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1213	2438	Carpenter
4	mbsk3d	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Tailor, dressmaker or furrier.	discrete	numeric-1.0	835	2816	Tailor, dressmaker or furrier
5	mbsk3e	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Computer programmer.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1130	2521	Computer programmer
6	mbsk3f	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Security guard.	discrete	numeric-1.0	939	2712	Security guard
7	mbsk3g	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Cashier.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1237	2414	Cashier
8	mbsk3h	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Sales or marketing manager.	discrete	numeric-1.0	883	2768	Sales or marketing manager
9	mbsk3i	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Sewing machine operator.	discrete	numeric-1.0	528	3123	Sewing machine operator
10	mbsk3j	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Delivery driver.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1024	2627	Delivery driver
11	mbsk3k	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Human resources manager.	discrete	numeric-1.0	832	2819	Human resources manager
12	mbsk3l	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in	discrete	numeric-1.0	1092	2559	Janitor or caretaker

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		each of these occupations: Janitor or caretaker.					
13	mbsk3m	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Pharmacist.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1135	2516	Pharmacist
14	mbsk3n	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Server (waiter or waitress).	discrete	numeric-1.0	1150	2501	Server (waiter or waitress)
15	mbsk3o	Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Farmer.	discrete	numeric-1.0	1080	2571	Farmer
16	mbsk4	Vous considerez-vous comme: (Do you consider yourself to be:)	discrete	numeric-1.0	398	3253	-

Group MBS Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mbsqlang	Language of questionnaire	discrete	numeric-1.0	1516	2135	-
2	mbsjoli	Jolicoeur	discrete	numeric-1.0	457	3194	-

Group Knowledge

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cpsl4	Where do you get MOST of your information about the Federal election: TV, radio, newspapers, the internet, family, or friends?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3595	56	Where do you get MOST of your information about the Federal election: TV, radio, newspapers, the internet, family, or friends?
2	cpsl12	Do you recall the name of the Government Leader/Premier of...?	discrete	numeric-2.0	3080	571	Do you recall the name of the The Government Leader of [territory respondent resides in]? (If respondent resides in Yukon, or the Northwestern Territories) / Do you recall the name of the Premier of [province respondent resides in]? (All others)
3	cpsl11	Do you recall the name of the Minister of Finance of Canada?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3648	3	The Minister of Finance of Canada? Do you recall the name of the Minister of Finance of Canada?
4	cpsl13	Do you happen to recall the name of the Prime Minister of Canada at the time of the Free Trade Agreement with the United States?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3648	3	The Prime Minister of Canada at the time of the Free Trade Agreement with the United States? Do you happen to recall the name of the Prime Minister of Canada at the time of the Free Trade Agreement with the United States?
5	cpsl14	And do you happen to know the capital of the United States?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3648	3	And do you happen to know the capital of the United States?
6	cpsl20	Are you very angry that the Federal election was called	discrete	numeric-1.0	3471	180	Are you very angry that the Federal election was called early, somewhat angry, or not angry at all?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		early, somewhat angry, or not angry at all?					
7	cpsl21	Do you happen to recall which party is saying that high taxes have produced a brain drain from Canada to the US?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3105	546	Do you happen to recall which party is saying that high taxes have produced a brain drain from Canada to the US?
8	cpsl22	Do you happen to remember which party is saying that tougher sentences will NOT reduce youth violence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2419	1232	Do you happen to remember which party is saying that tougher sentences will NOT reduce youth violence?
9	cpsl23	Do you happen to recall which party says that a strong federal government is essential to preserve the Canadian health system?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1501	2150	Do you happen to recall which party says that a strong federal government is essential to preserve the Canadian health system?

Group Electoral Results

Notes

The first series of variables include electoral results, for both 1997 and 2000 elections, in the respondent's electoral district. It also includes a variable that indicates whether or not the candidate of a given party was an incumbent.

Subgroup(s)	1997 Election , 2000 Election , Incumbency

Group 1997 Election

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	p97_lib	Result 97 Liberal	continuous	numeric-5.2	3650	1	-
2	p97_ref	Result 97 Reform	continuous	numeric-5.2	2433	1218	-
3	p97_ndp	Result 97 NDP	continuous	numeric-5.2	3650	1	-
4	p97_pc	Result 97 PC	continuous	numeric-5.2	3650	1	-
5	p97_bq	Result 97 BQ	continuous	numeric-5.2	1250	2401	-
6	p97_chp	Result 97 CHP of Canada	continuous	numeric-4.2	607	3044	-
7	p97_act	Result 97 Canadian Action	continuous	numeric-4.2	584	3067	-
8	p97_gree	Result 97 Green Party	continuous	numeric-4.2	930	2721	-
9	p97_ind	Result 97 Independent #1	continuous	numeric-5.2	357	3294	-
10	p97_ind2	Result 97 Independent #2	continuous	numeric-4.2	72	3579	-
11	p97_ind3	Result 97 Independent #3	continuous	numeric-4.2	21	3630	-
12	p97_marx	Result 97 Marxist-Leninist	continuous	numeric-4.2	752	2899	-
13	p97_nat	Result 97 Natural Law	continuous	numeric-4.2	1676	1975	-
14	p97_na	Result 97 No Affiliation #1	continuous	numeric-4.2	316	3335	-
15	p97_na2	Result 97 No Affiliation #2	continuous	numeric-5.2	57	3594	-

Group 2000 Election

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	p00_all	Result 00 Alliance	continuous	numeric-5.2	3631	20	-
2	p00_ndp	Result 00 NDP	continuous	numeric-5.2	3590	61	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	p00_pc	Result 00 PC	continuous	numeric-5.2	3547	104	-
4	p00_lib	Result 00 Liberal	continuous	numeric-5.2	3650	1	-
5	p00_bq	Result 00 BQ	continuous	numeric-5.2	1250	2401	-
6	p00_act	Result 00 Canadian Action	continuous	numeric-4.2	732	2919	-
7	p00_com	Result 00 Communist	continuous	numeric-4.2	587	3064	-
8	p00_gree	Result 00 Green Party	continuous	numeric-4.2	1238	2413	-
9	p00_ind	Result 00 Independent #1	continuous	numeric-5.2	356	3295	-
10	p00_mari	Result 00 Marijuana Party	continuous	numeric-4.2	981	2670	-
11	p00_marx	Result 00 Marxist-Leninist Party	continuous	numeric-4.2	1063	2588	-
12	p00_nat	Result 00 Natural Law Party	continuous	numeric-4.2	955	2696	-
13	p00_na	Result 00 No Affiliation #1	continuous	numeric-5.2	636	3015	-
14	p00_ind2	Result 00 Independent #2	continuous	numeric-4.2	9	3642	-
15	p00_na2	Result 00 No Affiliation #2	continuous	numeric-4.2	30	3621	-

Group Incumbency

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	in97_lib	Incumbent 1997 Liberal	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
2	in97_pc	Incumbent 1997 PC	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
3	in97_ref	Incumbent 1997 Reform	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
4	in97_ndp	Incumbent 1997 NDP	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
5	in97_bq	Incumbent 1997 BQ	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
6	in00_lib	Incumbent 2000 Liberal	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
7	in00_pc	Incumbent 2000 PC	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
8	in00_ac	Incumbent 2000 Canadian Alliance	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
9	in00_ndp	Incumbent 2000 NDP	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
10	in00_bq	Incumbent 2000 BQ	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-

Group Sex of Candidates from the Major Parties in the Respondent's Constituency

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex_li_1	Liberal Candidate Sex	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
2	sex_al_1	Alliance Candidate Sex	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
3	sex_pc_1	PC Candidate Sex	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
4	sex_nd_1	NDP Candidate Sex	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-
5	sex_bq_1	BQ Candidate Sex	continuous	numeric-4.2	3650	1	-

Group Constituency Variables

Notes

The constituency contextual variables are imported from the 1996 census. Most data are raw numbers, i.e. count of the number of people that fall into the different categories of the variables. The first variable of each section is the total

count for that specific section. For definitions of the concepts used in the census, see the Statistic Canada website (http://www.statcan.gc.ca/ (http://www.statcan.gc.ca/)).

Subgroup(s)	Population , Age , Civic Status , Census Family Size , Household Size , Immigrant/Non-Immigrant Status , Mother Tongue , Labour Force Activity Status , Level of Schooling , Mobility , Labour Force by Occupational Categories: Total Population , Labour Force by Occupational Categories: Male , Labour Force by Occupational Categories: Female , Income

Group Population

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	populati	CONSTITUENCY POPULATION	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-

Group Age

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pop_male	Size Population Male	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
2	m0_4	Male 0-4 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
3	m5_9	Male 5-9 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
4	m10_14	Male 10-14 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
5	m15_19	Male 15-19 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
6	m20_24	Male 20-24 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
7	m25_29	Male 25-29 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
8	m30_34	Male 30-34 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
9	m35_39	Male 35-39 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
10	m40_44	Male 40-44 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
11	m45_49	Male 45-49 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
12	m50_54	Male 50-54 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
13	m55_59	Male 55-59 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
14	m60_64	Male 60-64 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
15	m65_69	Male 65-69 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
16	m70_74	Male 70-74 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
17	m75_79	Male 75-79 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
18	m80_84	Male 80-84 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
19	m85	Male 85+ Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
20	pop_fem	Size Population Female	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
21	f0_4	Female 0-4 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
22	f5_9	Female 5-9 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
23	f10_14	Female 10-14 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
24	f15_19	Female 15-19 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
25	f20_24	Female 20-24 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
26	f25_29	Female 25-29 Years	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
27	f30_34	Female 30-34 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
28	f35_39	Female 35-39 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
29	f40_44	Female 40-44 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
30	f45_49	Female 45-49 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
31	f50_54	Female 50-54 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
32	f55_59	Female 55-59 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
33	f60_64	Female 60-64 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
34	f65_69	Female 65-69 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
35	f70_74	Female 70-74 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
36	f75_79	Female 75-79 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
37	f80_84	Female 80-84 Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
38	f85	Female 85+ Years	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-

Group Civic Status

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	p_tot_15	Population 15 and Over	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-
2	single	Never Married	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
3	married	Married	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
4	separate	Separated (Still Legally Married)	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
5	divorced	Divorced	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
6	widowed	Widowed	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-

Group Census Family Size

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	cens_fam	Total Census Families	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
2	cens_2p	Census Families with 2 Persons	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
3	cens_3p	Census Families with 3 Persons	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
4	cens_4p	Census Families with 4 Persons	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
5	cens_5p	Census Families with 5 or More Persons	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-

Group Household Size

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	nbmena_p	Total Households	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
2	h1_perso	Households 1 Person	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
3	h2_perso	Households 2 Person	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
4	h3_perso	Households 3 Person	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
5	h4_5_per	Households 4-5 Person	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
6	h6_perso	Households 6 Person	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	av_perhh	Average Number of Persons in Private Households	discrete	numeric-3.1	3650	1	-

Group Immigrant/Non-Immigrant Status

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	pop_birt	Total Population by Place of Birth	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-
2	born_can	Born in Canada	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-
3	immig	Total Population Immigrant	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
4	nperm	Non-Permanent Residents	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-

Group Mother Tongue

Notes

Note: for this variable, census participants could give a single or a multiple answer. There are 2 sub-total categories (total of single responses AND total of multiple responses).

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	tot_moth	Mother Tongue Total Population	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-
2	single_r	Single Responses Total	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-
3	english	French	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-
4	french	English	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-
5	non_off	Non-Official Languages	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
6	multi_r	Multiple Responses Total	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
7	eng_fren	English and French	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
8	ang_noff	English and Non-Official	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
9	fre_noff	French and Non-Official	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
10	e_f_noff	English/French Non-Official	continuous	numeric-5.1	3650	1	-

Group Labour Force Activity Status

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	t_labour	Total By Labor Force Activity	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-
2	in_labou	In Labour Force	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
3	employed	Employed	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
4	unemploy	Unemployed (In the Labour)	continuous	numeric-4.1	3650	1	-
5	not_labo	Not In the Labour Force (Inactive)	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
6	part_rat	Participation Rate (Labour)	continuous	numeric-4.1	3650	1	-
7	em_pop_r	Employment-Population Ratio	continuous	numeric-4.1	3650	1	-
8	unem_rat	Unemployment Rate	continuous	numeric-4.1	3650	1	-

Group Level of Schooling

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	t_school	Total Population, 15+, By Highest Level of Schooling	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-
2	less_9	Less Than Grade 9	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
3	no9_13	Grades 9 to 13 without Secondary School Graduation	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
4	gra9_13	Grades 9 to 13 with Secondary School Graduation	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
5	trad_cer	Trades Certificate or Diploma	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
6	no_post	Non-University without Certificate	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
7	gra_post	Non-University with Certificate or Diploma	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
8	no_univ	University without Certificate or Diploma	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
9	gra_univ	University with Certificate or Diploma	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
10	degr_uni	With Bachelor's Degree or Higher	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-

Group Mobility

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	tot_mobi	Total by Mobility Status 5 Years Ago	continuous	numeric-8.1	3650	1	-
2	non_move	Non-Movers	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
3	movers	Movers	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-

Group Labour Force by Occupational Categories: Total Population

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	bycat_15	Total Labour Force 15+, By Occupational Categories	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
2	not_app	Not Applicable	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
3	all_occ	All Occupations	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
4	a_manag	Management Occupations	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
5	b_busin	Business, Finance, Administration	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
6	c_scienc	Natural Appled Science	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
7	d_health	Health Occupations	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
8	e_social	Social Science, Education, Government Service	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
9	f_arts_c	Arts, Culture, Recreation, Sports	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
10	g_sales	Sales and Service Occupations	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	h_trades	Trades, Transport, Equipment Operators	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
12	i_primar	Occupations Unique to Primary Sector	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
13	j_manuf	Occupations Unique to Processing, Manufacturing and Utilities	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-

Group Labour Force by Occupational Categories: Male

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	male_lab	Total Male Labour Force, 15+, By Broad Categories	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
2	m_na	Male Occupation Not Applicable	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
3	m_occ_a	Male Occupation Management Occupations	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
4	m_occ_b	Male Occupation Business, Finance Administrative	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
5	m_occ_c	Male Occupation Natural and Applied Science	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
6	m_occ_d	Male Occupation Health Occupations	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
7	m_occ_e	Male Occupation Social Science, Education, Government Service	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
8	m_occ_f	Male Occupation Art, Culture, Recreation and Sport	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
9	m_occ_g	Male Occupation Sales and Service Occupations	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
10	m_occ_h	Male Occupation Trades, Transport and Equipement Operators	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
11	m_occ_i	Male Occupation Unique to Primary Sector	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
12	m_occ_j	Male Occupation Unique to Processing, Manufacturing and Utilities	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-

Group Labour Force by Occupational Categories: Female

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	fem_labo	Total Female Labour Force, 15+ By Broad Categories	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
2	f_napp	Female Occupation Not Applicable	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
3	f_occ_a	Female Occupation Management Occupations	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
4	f_occ_b	Female Occupation Business, Finance and Administrative Occupations	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	f_occ_c	Female Occupation Natural and Applied Science	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
6	f_occ_d	Health Occupations	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
7	f_occ_e	Female Occupation Social Science, Education, Government Service	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
8	f_occ_f	Female Occupation Art, Culture, Recreation and Sports	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
9	f_occ_g	Female Occupation Sales and Service Occupations	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
10	f_occ_h	Female Occupation Trades, Transport and Equipement Operators	continuous	numeric-5.1	3650	1	-
11	f_occ_i	Female Occupation Unique to Primary Industry	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
12	f_occ_j	Female Occupation Unique to Processing, Manufacturing and Utilities	continuous	numeric-7.2	3650	1	-

Group Income

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	av_incom	Average Income Individuals	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
2	med_inco	Median Income Individuals	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
3	std_err	Standard Error of Average Income	continuous	numeric-5.1	3650	1	-
4	avhh_inc	Average Household Income	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
5	mdhh_inc	Median Household Income	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
6	std_erhh	Standard Error Average Household Income	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
7	low_inc	Incidence of Low Income Percentage	continuous	numeric-5.2	3650	1	-
8	av_inc_m	Average Income Male	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
9	md_inc_m	Median Income Male	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
10	std_er_m	Standard Error Average Income Male	continuous	numeric-6.1	3650	1	-
11	av_inc_f	Average Income Female	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
12	md_inc_f	Median Income Female	continuous	numeric-7.1	3650	1	-
13	std_er_f	Standard Error Average Income Female	continuous	numeric-5.1	3650	1	-

Group Personal Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	comments	We are very interested in any further comments you may wish to make about these or related items.	discrete	numeric-1.0	412	3239	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 780 variable(s)

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

idnum: Respondent Identification Number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 13-11530] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5496.482 /-] [StdDev=3340.132 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsrep: Sample Subsets <Replicate>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		657	27.4%
2		593	24.7%
3		590	24.6%
4		525	21.9%
5		28	1.2%
6		7	0.3%
Sysmiss		1251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpssamp: Day of Sample Release

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-35] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=18.348 /-] [StdDev=9.252 /-]
Universe	All respondents

areacode: Telephone Area Code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 204-905] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
204		118	3.2%
250		177	4.8%
306		116	3.2%
403		163	4.5%
416		184	5.0%
418		340	9.3%
450		394	10.8%
506		144	3.9%
514		261	7.1%
519		243	6.7%
604		204	5.6%
613		159	4.4%
705		107	2.9%
709		145	4.0%
780		162	4.4%
807		22	0.6%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

areacode: Telephone Area Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
819		256	7.0%
902		188	5.1%
905		268	7.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

province: Province of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-61] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Nfld	145	4.0%
11	PEI	50	1.4%
12	NS	138	3.8%
13	NB	144	3.9%
24	Quebec	1251	34.3%
35	Ontario	983	26.9%
46	Manitoba	118	3.2%
47	Sask	116	3.2%
48	Alberta	325	8.9%
59	BC	381	10.4%
60	Yukon	0	
61	NWT	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrn1: Question Order/Random Delivery <K1A/K1B>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	goto K1A	1246	51.9%
2	goto K1B	1154	48.1%
Sysmiss		1251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrn4: Question Order/Random Delivery <G4A/G4B/G4C>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	goto G4A	771	32.1%
2	goto G4B	777	32.4%
3	goto G4C	852	35.5%
Sysmiss		1251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cesfed87: Federal Electoral District 1987 Representation Order from CES Sample

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10001-59032] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3604 /-] [Invalid=47 /-] [Mean=32604.417 /-] [StdDev=13812.379 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pc_fed87: Federal Electoral District 1987 Representation Order from PCCF Nov/00

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10001-59032] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=32603.889 /-] [StdDev=13821.454 /-]
Universe	All respondents

fed96: Federal Electoral District 1996 Representation Order from PCCF Nov/00

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10001-59034] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=32604.394 /-] [StdDev=13821.611 /-]
Universe	All respondents

maybe: FED96 Needs Further Investigation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Rural Postal Code: Could be more than one FED link	20	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsatemp: Total Number of Call Attempts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		498	20.8%
2		515	21.5%
3		367	15.3%
4		262	10.9%
5		184	7.7%
6		147	6.1%
7		111	4.6%
8		75	3.1%
9		60	2.5%
10		42	1.8%
11		33	1.4%
12		36	1.5%
13		23	1.0%
14		21	0.9%
15		7	0.3%
16		9	0.4%
17		4	0.2%
18		1	0.0%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsatemp: Total Number of Call Attempts

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19		1	0.0%
20		2	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		1251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsref: Number of Refusals Before Completion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2252	93.8%
1		145	6.0%
2		2	0.1%
3		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		1251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpscont: Total Times Respondent Contacted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-]
Universe	All respondents

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1927	80.3%
2		348	14.5%
3		81	3.4%
4		34	1.4%
5		7	0.3%
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
Sysmiss		1251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsans: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1052	43.8%
2		647	27.0%
3		319	13.3%
4		172	7.2%
5		86	3.6%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsans: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		55	2.3%
7		35	1.5%
8		13	0.5%
9		12	0.5%
10		2	0.1%
11		4	0.2%
12		2	0.1%
16		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		1251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpstime: Length of Interview <Minutes>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 13-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2155 /-] [Invalid=1496 /-] [Mean=30.197 /-] [StdDev=8.471 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsdate: Date of Interview <MMDDYYYY>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10242000-11262000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=11021016.708 /-] [StdDev=309257.073 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsmonth: Month of Interview <MM>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	October	523	14.3%
11	November	3128	85.7%
12	December	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsday: Day of Interview <DD>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=16.227 /-] [StdDev=8.704 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsyear: Year of Interview <YYYY>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2000-2000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2000		3651	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsinum: Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15		21	0.9%
31		30	1.2%
36		80	3.3%
57		111	4.6%
79		35	1.5%
82		73	3.0%
105		45	1.9%
127		82	3.4%
203		18	0.8%
204		10	0.4%
206		16	0.7%
207		60	2.5%
212		64	2.7%
217		34	1.4%
218		48	2.0%
220		47	2.0%
221		39	1.6%
223		41	1.7%
224		74	3.1%
227		46	1.9%
228		124	5.2%
229		11	0.5%
230		48	2.0%
235		67	2.8%
248		42	1.8%
249		22	0.9%
253		23	1.0%
257		101	4.2%
468		106	4.4%
503		15	0.6%
505		78	3.2%
510		38	1.6%
520		32	1.3%
525		22	0.9%
530		44	1.8%
541		28	1.2%
544		26	1.1%
582		24	1.0%
703		129	5.4%
721		49	2.0%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsinum: Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
811		56	2.3%
817		68	2.8%
821		112	4.7%
822		40	1.7%
862		35	1.5%
863		50	2.1%
999	Supervisor	36	1.5%
Sysmiss		1251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpslang: Language of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	2473	67.7%
2	French	1178	32.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

nadults: Number of Adults in Household

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Entered only for Canadian citizens

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1091	29.9%
2		1965	53.8%
3		417	11.4%
4		133	3.6%
5		31	0.8%
6		7	0.2%
7		4	0.1%
9		2	0.1%
10		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrgen: Respondent's Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1762	48.3%
5	Female	1889	51.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa1: What is the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this FEDERAL election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2889 /-] [Invalid=762 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this FEDERAL election?
Interviewer's instructions	Enter text, end with //

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Create jobs / reduce unemployment	30	1.0%
11	Jobs for youth	9	0.3%
12	Want/need job security	20	0.7%
13	Lack of jobs in the East	1	0.0%
14	Need job training, re-training	1	0.0%
15	Concentrate: jobs/ unemployment	90	3.1%
16	Education/health education	248	8.6%
17	Local issues	13	0.4%
20	General mention: debt, deficit,etc	74	2.6%
21	Continue reducing debt	22	0.8%
22	Debt - eliminate	15	0.5%
23	Continue reducing deficit	8	0.3%
24	Deficit - eliminate	1	0.0%
25	Continue fiscal policy/restraints	7	0.2%
26	Balance the budget	16	0.6%
27	Create jobs/ reduce deficit/budget	0	
30	General mention: economy / reform	88	3.0%
31	Fight inflation	0	
32	Keep interest rates down	1	0.0%
33	Improve economy/bring prosperity	10	0.3%
34	Strengthen/stimulate the economy	2	0.1%
35	Economy/budget and jobs	3	0.1%
36	Need to stabilize the economy	4	0.1%
40	Lie/screw us around/spend our $	13	0.4%
41	Nothing/not really sure/not much	35	1.2%
42	Won by default/no choice	0	
43	Cater to Quebec	0	
44	Cater to Ontario	0	
45	Elected by Ont/Quebec	0	
46	To try to keep their promises	8	0.3%
47	Because early election called	7	0.2%
48	To beat other parties	10	0.3%
49	To change/get new ideas	25	0.9%
50	General mention (taxes)	197	6.8%
51	Abolish GST taxes	5	0.2%
52	Cut GST taxes	119	4.1%
53	Keep taxes down	18	0.6%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa1: What is the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this FEDERAL election?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
54	Jobs and taxes both mentions	2	0.1%
55	Fairer taxation	2	0.1%
56	Other policy issues	11	0.4%
57	General mention of health care	1160	40.2%
58	Continue the cutbacks	2	0.1%
59	Maintain health care/ more $	30	1.0%
60	Protect social programs & services	62	2.1%
61	Protect old age pensions/security	16	0.6%
62	Protect child care/family benefits	14	0.5%
63	Jobs and social programmes (both)	2	0.1%
64	Jobs and health care (both)	11	0.4%
65	Health care and budget (both)	5	0.2%
66	Deficit and health care	12	0.4%
68	Elderly, care of	15	0.5%
69	Health care concern	39	1.3%
70	To help Canadians	1	0.0%
71	Crime / violence/gun control	39	1.3%
72	To deal with poverty	44	1.5%
73	Abortion	6	0.2%
74	Rights: aboriginal/other groups	15	0.5%
75	Environment	28	1.0%
76	Moral decline	11	0.4%
80	General mention Quebec: sovereignty	29	1.0%
81	General mention national unity	18	0.6%
82	Maintain national unity	3	0.1%
83	To stop Quebec independence	5	0.2%
84	Unity and economy	1	0.0%
85	Unity and jobs	0	
86	Unity and deficit	0	
87	Unity and health care	3	0.1%
88	Unity and social programmes	0	
90	General mention - to carry on	17	0.6%
91	Honesty, fair & accountable govt	140	4.8%
92	To form a majority government	4	0.1%
93	To maintain the status quo	0	
94	To continue their mandate	0	
95	To beat the Liberals/Chretien	31	1.1%
96	To govern the country	5	0.2%
97	To represent the people	6	0.2%
98	don't know, not codeable	743	
99	refused	19	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa2a: To you personally, in this Federal election, is protecting the environment very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3615 /-] [Invalid=36 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	How important are the following issues to YOU PERSONALLY in this FEDERAL election.
Literal question	To you personally, in this Federal election, is protecting the environment very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "do not plan to vote", go to #a8. Respondents who answer "don't know", or refuse to answer 3 or more questions in Section #a2, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2021	55.9%
2	Somewhat important	1392	38.5%
3	Not very important	198	5.5%
7	Do not plan to vote	4	0.1%
8	don't know	35	
9	refused	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2b: Fighting crime. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3613 /-] [Invalid=38 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "do not plan to vote" for Section #2c.
Literal question	Fighting Crime? To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "do not plan to vote", go to #a8. Respondents who answer "don't know", or refuse to answer 3 or more questions in Section #a2, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2606	72.1%
2	Somewhat important	843	23.3%
3	Not very important	163	4.5%
7	Do not plan to vote	1	0.0%
8	don't know	34	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2c: Reducing the Federal debt. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3558 /-] [Invalid=93 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "do not plan to vote" for Section #2c.
Literal question	Reducing the Federal debt? To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "do not plan to vote", go to #a8. Respondents who answer "don't know", or refuse to answer 3 or more questions in Section #a2, go to #a3.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa2c: Reducing the Federal debt. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1746	49.1%
2	Somewhat important	1444	40.6%
3	Not very important	367	10.3%
7	Do not plan to vote	1	0.0%
8	don't know	86	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2d: Promoting traditional family values. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3554 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "do not plan to vote" for Section #2c, and who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer at least 3 questions in Section #2c.
Literal question	Promoting traditional family values? To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "do not plan to vote", go to #a8. Respondents who answer "don't know", or refuse to answer 3 or more questions in Section #a2, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1803	50.7%
2	Somewhat important	1158	32.6%
3	Not very important	592	16.7%
7	Do not plan to vote	1	0.0%
8	don't know	80	
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2e: Improving health care. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3620 /-] [Invalid=31 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "do not plan to vote" for Section #2c, and who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer at least 3 questions in Section #2c.
Literal question	Improving health care? To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "do not plan to vote", go to #a8. Respondents who answer "don't know", or refuse to answer 3 or more questions in Section #a2, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	3090	85.4%
2	Somewhat important	483	13.3%
3	Not very important	47	1.3%
7	Do not plan to vote	0	

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa2e: Improving health care. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	don't know	13	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		16	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2f: Improving social welfare programmes. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3552 /-] [Invalid=99 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "do not plan to vote" for Section #2c, and who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer at least 3 questions in Section #2c.
Literal question	Improving social welfare programmes. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "do not plan to vote", go to #a8. Respondents who answer "don't know", or refuse to answer 3 or more questions in Section #a2, go to #a3.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R asks, social welfare programs are programs to assist the poor.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very important	1468		41.3%
2	Somewhat important	1571		44.2%
3	Not very important	511		14.4%
7	Do not plan to vote	2	0.1%	
8	don't know	76		
9	refused	5		
Sysmiss		18		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2g: Cutting taxes. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3596 /-] [Invalid=55 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "do not plan to vote" for Section #2c, and who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer at least 3 questions in Section #2c.
Literal question	Cutting taxes. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "do not plan to vote", go to #a8. Respondents who answer "don't know", or refuse to answer 3 or more questions in Section #a2, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very important	1976		54.9%
2	Somewhat important	1247		34.7%
3	Not very important	373		10.4%
7	Do not plan to vote	0		
8	don't know	29		
9	refused	5		
Sysmiss		21		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa2h: Creating jobs. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3592 /-] [Invalid=59 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "do not plan to vote" for Section #2c, and who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer at least 3 questions in Section #2c.
Literal question	Creating jobs. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "do not plan to vote", go to #a8. Respondents who answer "don't know", or refuse to answer 3 or more questions in Section #a2, go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2513	70.0%
2	Somewhat important	905	25.2%
3	Not very important	174	4.8%
7	Do not plan to vote	0	
8	don't know	25	
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		27	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa2i: Defending the interests of Quebec. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1217 /-] [Invalid=2434 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "do not plan to vote" for Section #2c, and who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer at least 3 questions in Section #2c.
Literal question	Defending the interests of Quebec. To you personally, in this Federal election, is it very important, somewhat important, or not very important?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "do not plan to vote", go to #a8.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	648	53.2%
2	Somewhat important	382	31.4%
3	Not very important	187	15.4%
7	Do not plan to vote	0	
8	don't know	20	
9	refused	6	
Sysmiss		2408	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa3: On election day are you CERTAIN TO VOTE, LIKELY, UNLIKELY, or CERTAIN NOT TO VOTE?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3581 /-] [Invalid=70 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "do not plan to vote" for Section #2c.
Literal question	On election day are you CERTAIN TO VOTE, LIKELY, UNLIKELY, or CERTAIN NOT TO VOTE?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they are "certain not to vote", go to #a8.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa3: On election day are you CERTAIN TO VOTE, LIKELY, UNLIKELY, or CERTAIN NOT TO VOTE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Certain	2706	75.6%
2	Likely	654	18.3%
3	Unlikely	121	3.4%
7	Certain not to vote	100	2.8%
8	don't know	60	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa4: Which party do you think you will vote for: the Liberal Party, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the NDP (the New Democratic Party), the Bloc Quebecois or another party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2056 /-] [Invalid=1595 /-]
Universe	Not asked to respondents who are not planning to vote, as indicated in either #a3 or Section #a2.
Literal question	Which party do you think you will vote for: the Liberal Party, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the NDP (the New Democratic Party), the Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec), or another party?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "will not vote", or refuses to answer go to #a8. If respondent answers "none" or "don't know", go to #a5. All others go to #a7.
Interviewer's instructions	Reform party not read as an option.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	43	2.1%
1	Liberal	879	42.8%
2	Alliance	501	24.4%
3	Conservative	165	8.0%
4	NDP	159	7.7%
5	Bloc Quebecois	282	13.7%
6	Reform	0	
96	Will not vote	11	0.5%
97	None	16	0.8%
98	don't know / undecided	1129	
99	refused	357	
Sysmiss		109	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa5: Which party you are leaning towards?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=629 /-] [Invalid=3022 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "none" or "don't know" for #a4.
Literal question	Is there a party you are leaning towards? (If respondent answered "none" for #a4) / Which party are you leaning toward now? (If respondent answered "don't know" for #a4)
Post-question	If respondent answers "none", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #a8. All others go to #a7.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read list.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	7	1.1%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa5: Which party you are leaning towards?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	191	30.4%
2	Alliance	98	15.6%
3	Conservative	79	12.6%
4	NDP	39	6.2%
5	Bloc Quebecois	62	9.9%
6	Reform	0	
96		5	0.8%
97	None	148	23.5%
98	don't know	491	
99	refused	23	
Sysmiss		2508	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa7: And which party would be your second choice?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2372 /-] [Invalid=1279 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #a5.
Literal question	And which party would be your second choice?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		28	1.2%
1	Liberal	453	19.1%
2	Alliance	305	12.9%
3	Conservative	570	24.0%
4	NDP	358	15.1%
5	Bloc Quebecois	74	3.1%
6	Reform	2	0.1%
96	Other	14	0.6%
97	None, no second choice	568	23.9%
98	don't know	140	
99	refused	4	
Sysmiss		1135	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsa8: On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3550 /-] [Invalid=101 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	On the whole how satisfied are you with the way democracy works in Canada?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	512	14.4%
3	Fairly satisfied	1775	50.0%
5	Not very satisfied	834	23.5%
7	Not satisfied at all	377	10.6%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsa8: On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		48	1.4%
9		4	0.1%
98	don't know	99	
99	refused	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb1: How much attention have you paid to news about the Federal election on TV over the last few days: Using a scale from zero to ten, where zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3620 /-] [Invalid=31 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much attention have you paid to news about the Federal election on TV over the last few days: Using a scale from zero to ten, where zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No attention at all	550	15.2%
1		106	2.9%
2		221	6.1%
3		242	6.7%
4		284	7.8%
5		622	17.2%
6		351	9.7%
7		434	12.0%
8		442	12.2%
9		107	3.0%
10	A great deal	261	7.2%
98	don't know	30	
99	refused	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb2: Using the same scale, how much attention have you paid to RADIO news about the Federal election over the last few days?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=42 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how much attention have you paid to RADIO news about the Federal election over the last few days? Zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No attention at all	1301	36.0%
1		104	2.9%
2		290	8.0%
3		273	7.6%
4		219	6.1%
5		450	12.5%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsb2: Using the same scale, how much attention have you paid to RADIO news about the Federal election over the last few days?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		245	6.8%
7		254	7.0%
8		236	6.5%
9		85	2.4%
10	A great deal	152	4.2%
98	don't know	40	
99	refused	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb3: Using the same scale, how much attention have you paid to news about the Federal election in the NEWSPAPERS over the last few days?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3611 /-] [Invalid=40 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how much attention have you paid to news about the Federal election in the NEWSPAPERS over the last few days? Zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No attention at all	1121	31.0%
1		104	2.9%
2		246	6.8%
3		252	7.0%
4		239	6.6%
5		475	13.2%
6		239	6.6%
7		271	7.5%
8		325	9.0%
9		113	3.1%
10	A great deal	226	6.3%
98	don't know	37	
99	refused	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb4: Using the same scale, how interested are you in the FEDERAL election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3596 /-] [Invalid=55 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Using the same scale, how interested are you in the Federal election? Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how interested are you in the FEDERAL election? Zero means no interest at all and ten means extremely interested.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No interest at all	225	6.3%
1		58	1.6%
2		128	3.6%
3		166	4.6%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsb4: Using the same scale, how interested are you in the FEDERAL election?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		203	5.6%
5		632	17.6%
6		318	8.8%
7		474	13.2%
8		614	17.1%
9		225	6.3%
10	Extremely interested	553	15.4%
98	don't know	53	
99	refused	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb5: Using the same scale, how interested are you in politics generally?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3600 /-] [Invalid=51 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And your interest in POLITICS GENERALLY? Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how interested are you in politics generally? Zero means no interest at all and ten means extremely interested.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No interest at all	346	9.6%
1		74	2.1%
2		228	6.3%
3		220	6.1%
4		253	7.0%
5		728	20.2%
6		343	9.5%
7		471	13.1%
8		465	12.9%
9		168	4.7%
10	Extremely interested	304	8.4%
98	don't know	48	
99	refused	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsinet1: Do you ever use the internet to be informed about politics?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3643 /-] [Invalid=8 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you ever use the internet to be informed about politics?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #inet2. All others go to #contact.
Notes	#inet1 matches #internet1 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	545	15.0%
5	No	3098	85.0%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsinet1: Do you ever use the internet to be informed about politics?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	don't know	8	
9	refused	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsinet2: Have you used the internet to get information about this Federal election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=543 /-] [Invalid=3108 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who use the internet to be informed about politics, as indicated in #inet1.
Literal question	Have you used the internet to get information about this Federal election?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #inet3. All others go to #contact.
Notes	#inet2 matches #internet2 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	290	53.4%
5	No	253	46.6%
8	don't know	2	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3106	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsinet3: How many days in the past week ... have you used the internet to get information about this Federal election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=288 /-] [Invalid=3363 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have used the internet to get information about this Federal election, as indicated in #inet2.
Literal question	How many days in the past week have you used the internet to get information about this Federal election?
Notes	#inet3 matches #internet3 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No days	21	7.3%
1		52	18.1%
2		67	23.3%
3		51	17.7%
4		23	8.0%
5		18	6.2%
6		7	2.4%
7	Seven days	49	17.0%
8	don't know	2	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3361	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsinet4: Have you visited a political party's web site during this Federal election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=288 /-] [Invalid=3363 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have used the internet to get information about this Federal election, as indicated in #inet2.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

cpsinet4: Have you visited a political party's web site during this Federal election?

Literal question	Have you visited a political party's website during this Federal election?
Notes	#inet4 matches #internet4 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	129	44.8%
5	No	159	55.2%
8	don't know	2	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3361	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

contact: Have you ever been contacted by a political party during this campaign?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3633 /-] [Invalid=18 /-]
Literal question	Have you been contacted by a political party during this campaign?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #contact1. All others go to #b8a.
Notes	#contact matches #contact1 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	933	25.7%
5	No	2700	74.3%
8	don't know	18	
9	refused	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

contact1: What that in person?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=933 /-] [Invalid=2718 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have been contacted by a political party during this campaign, as indicated in #contact.
Literal question	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter "1" to all that apply.
Notes	#contact1 matches #contact2 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	656	70.3%
1	Yes	277	29.7%
8	don't know	0	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2718	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

contact2: Was that by phone?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=934 /-] [Invalid=2717 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have been contacted by a political party during this campaign, as indicated in #contact.
Literal question	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter "1" to all that apply.
Notes	#contact2 matches #contact3 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	460	49.3%
1	Yes	474	50.7%
8	don't know	0	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2717	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

contact3: Was that by mail (includes flyers, pamphlets, etc., left at the door)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=933 /-] [Invalid=2718 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have been contacted by a political party during this campaign, as indicated in #contact.
Literal question	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter "1" to all that apply.
Notes	#contact3 matches #contact4 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	528	56.6%
1	Yes	405	43.4%
8	don't know	0	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2718	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

contact4: Was that by email?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=933 /-] [Invalid=2718 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have been contacted by a political party during this campaign, as indicated in #contact.
Literal question	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter "1" to all that apply.
Notes	#contact4 matches #contact5 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	915	98.1%
1	Yes	18	1.9%
8	don't know	0	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2718	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb8a: In the past week, have you discussed the Federal election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3646 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past week, have you discussed the Federal election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Often	794	21.8%
3	Occasionally	1836	50.4%
5	Not at all	1016	27.9%
8	don't know	5	
9	refused	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb10d: I don't think the government cares much what people like me think.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3541 /-] [Invalid=110 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here are some statements. For each one, please tell me if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree. (Used from #b10a)
Literal question	I don't think the government cares much what people like me think. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree?
Notes	#b9, #b10a, #b10b, #b10c, #b10e deleted.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1105	31.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1279	36.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	858	24.2%
7	Strongly disagree	299	8.4%
8	don't know	102	
9	refused	8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsb11: All federal parties are basically the same; there isn't really a choice.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3529 /-] [Invalid=122 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	All federal parties are basically the same; there isn't really a choice. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	754	21.4%
3	Somewhat agree	981	27.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	958	27.1%
7	Strongly disagree	836	23.7%
8	don't know	109	
9	refused	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpslead1: Do you happen to recall the name of the leader of the Federal NDP (the New Democratic Party)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]

# cpslead1: Do you happen to recall the name of the leader of the Federal NDP (the New Democratic Party)?	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3645 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We're wondering how well known the federal party leaders are.
Literal question	Do you happen to recall the name of the leader of the Federal NDP (the New Democratic Party)?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If "R" answers "yes" say "and who is it?" Accept answers that sound close to the correct name.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	McDonough / Alexa McDonough	1619	44.4%
5	Any other answer	252	6.9%
8	don't know	1774	48.7%
9	refused	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpslead2: And the leader of the Federal Conservative Party?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3645 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And the leader of the Federal Conservative Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If "R" answers "yes" say "and who is it?" Accept answers that sound close to the correct name.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Clark / Joe Clark	2367	64.9%
5	Any other answer	94	2.6%
8	don't know	1184	32.5%
9	refused	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpslead3: The leader of the Alliance Party?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3644 /-] [Invalid=7 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The leader of the Alliance Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If "R" answers "yes" say "and who is it?" Accept answers that sound close to the correct name.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Day / Stockwell Day	2530	69.4%
5	Any other answer	52	1.4%
8	don't know	1062	29.1%
9	refused	7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpslead4: The leader of the Bloc Quebecois?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1250 /-] [Invalid=2401 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	The leader of the Bloc Québécois? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If "R" answers "yes" say "and who is it?" Accept answers that sound close to the correct name.

cpslead4: The leader of the Bloc Quebecois?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Duceppe / Gilles Duceppe	818	65.4%
5	Any other answer	98	7.8%
8	don't know	334	26.7%
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		2400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpslead5: The leader of the Federal Liberal Party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3645 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The leader of the Federal Liberal Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If "R" answers "yes" say "and who is it?" Accept answers that sound close to the correct name.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien / Jean Chretien	3071	84.3%
5	Any other answer	51	1.4%
8	don't know	523	14.3%
9	refused	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc1: Financially, are you better off, WORSE off, or about the same as a year ago?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3603 /-] [Invalid=48 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Financially, are you better off, WORSE off, or about the same as a year ago?
Post-question	If respondent answers "better off", go to #c3b. If respondent answers "worse off", go to #c3c. All others go to #c2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	862	23.9%
3	Worse off	723	20.1%
5	About the same	2018	56.0%
8	don't know	36	
9	refused	12	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc3b: Have the policies of the Federal government made you better off, or haven't they made much difference?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=842 /-] [Invalid=2809 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "better off" for #c1.
Literal question	Have the policies of the Federal government made you better off, or haven't they made much difference?
Post-question	Go to #c2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	174	20.7%
5	Haven't made much difference	668	79.3%
8	don't know	20	

cpsc3b: Have the policies of the Federal government made you better off, or haven't they made much difference?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2789	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc3c: Have the policies of the Federal government made you worse off, or haven't they made much difference?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=688 /-] [Invalid=2963 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "worse off" for #c1.
Literal question	Have the policies of the Federal government made you worse off, or haven't they made much difference?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worse off	320	46.5%
5	Haven't made much difference	368	53.5%
8	don't know	35	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2928	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc2: Do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially, WORSE off, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3331 /-] [Invalid=320 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially, WORSE off, or about the same as now?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better year from now	1112	33.4%
3	Worse year from now	309	9.3%
5	About the same	1910	57.3%
8	don't know	314	
9	refused	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc5: Do you think UNemployment in CANADA has GONE UP, GONE DOWN, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME since the last Federal election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3302 /-] [Invalid=349 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think UNemployment in CANADA has GONE UP, GONE DOWN, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME since the last Federal election?
Notes	Changed to capitalize UNemployment.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gone up	645	19.5%
3	Gone down	1564	47.4%
5	Stayed about the same	1093	33.1%
8	don't know	348	
9	refused	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc6: Has the quality of health care in Canada over the past five years:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3527 /-] [Invalid=124 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Has the quality of health care in Canada over the past five years GOT BETTER, GOT WORSE, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME?
Post-question	If respondent answers "got better", or "got worse", go to #c7. All others go to #c9.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Got better	171	4.8%
3	Got worse	2625	74.4%
5	Stayed about the same	731	20.7%
8	don't know	120	
9	refused	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc7: Which level of government is MOST responsible for health care getting...the Federal government, or the Provincial government?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2522 /-] [Invalid=1129 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "got better", or "got worse" for #c6.
Literal question	Which level of government is MOST responsible for health care getting better: the Federal government, or the Provincial government? (If respondent answered "got better" for #c6) / Which level of government is MOST responsible for health care getting worse: the Federal government or the Provincial government? (If respondent answered "got worse" for #c6)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal government	978	38.8%
5	Provincial government	881	34.9%
7	Both [only if R volunteers]	663	26.3%
8	don't know	268	
9	refused	6	
Sysmiss		855	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc8: Has the quality of health care got worse because of poor management or because of lack of money?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2554 /-] [Invalid=1097 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "got worse" for #c6.
Literal question	Has the quality of health care got worse because of poor management or because of lack of money?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Poor management	1032	40.4%
5	Lack of money	813	31.8%
7	Both [only if R volunteers]	709	27.8%
8	don't know	70	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		1025	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc9: Do you happen to know the size of the federal budget surplus, approximately?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/999]

cpsc9: Do you happen to know the size of the federal budget surplus, approximately?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to know the size of the federal budget surplus, approximately?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R asks the surplus is 12 billion.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Response given	1073	29.4%
998	don't know	2578	70.6%
999	refused	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc10: How much do you think should be done for Women?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3448 /-] [Invalid=203 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for WOMEN: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	1016	29.5%
2	Somewhat more	1306	37.9%
3	About the same as now	1035	30.0%
4	Somewhat less	60	1.7%
5	Much less	31	0.9%
8	don't know	187	
9	refused	16	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc11: How much do you think should be done for Racial Minorities?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3387 /-] [Invalid=264 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for Racial minorities: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	539	15.9%
2	Somewhat more	1004	29.6%
3	About the same as now	1401	41.4%
4	Somewhat less	289	8.5%
5	Much less	154	4.5%
8	don't know	242	
9	refused	22	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc12: How much do you think should be done for Quebec?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3379 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsc12: How much do you think should be done for Quebec?

Literal question	How much do you think should be done for Quebec: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	526	15.6%
2	Somewhat more	604	17.9%
3	About the same as now	1285	38.0%
4	Somewhat less	503	14.9%
5	Much less	461	13.6%
8	don't know	248	
9	refused	24	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc13: How much should be done to reduce the gap between the rich and the poor in Canada?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3453 /-] [Invalid=198 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much should be done to reduce the gap between the rich and the poor in Canada? MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	1802	52.2%
2	Somewhat more	1001	29.0%
3	About the same as now	492	14.2%
4	Somewhat less	101	2.9%
5	Much less	57	1.7%
8	don't know	177	
9	refused	21	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc14: How much difference do you think there is between the Alliance party and the Reform party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2591 /-] [Invalid=1060 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much difference do you think there is between the Alliance party and the Reform party? Would you say a lot, some, or hardly any?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	267	10.3%
3	Some	752	29.0%
5	Hardly any	1572	60.7%
8	don't know	1046	
9	refused	14	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsc15: Do you favour or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3367 /-] [Invalid=284 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you favour or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

cpsc15: Do you favour or oppose the death penalty for persons convicted of murder?

Interviewer's instructions	Do not read the option "depends".

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favour	1522	45.2%
5	Oppose	1442	42.8%
7	Depends [only if R volunteers]	403	12.0%
8	don't know	228	
9	refused	56	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnl1: First Leader - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3610 /-] [Invalid=41 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Clark	673	18.6%
2	Chretien	775	21.5%
3	McDonough	702	19.4%
4	Stockwell	663	18.4%
5	Duceppe	797	22.1%
Sysmiss		41	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnl2: Second Leader - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=42 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Clark	730	20.2%
2	Chretien	729	20.2%
3	McDonough	686	19.0%
4	Stockwell	762	21.1%
5	Duceppe	702	19.5%
Sysmiss		42	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnl3: Third Leader - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3604 /-] [Invalid=47 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Clark	708	19.6%
2	Chretien	726	20.1%
3	McDonough	762	21.1%
4	Stockwell	691	19.2%
5	Duceppe	717	19.9%
Sysmiss		47	

cpsrnl3: Third Leader - Random Delivery

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnl4: Fourth Leader - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3604 /-] [Invalid=47 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Clark	735	20.4%
2	Chretien	700	19.4%
3	McDonough	777	21.6%
4	Stockwell	736	20.4%
5	Duceppe	656	18.2%
Sysmiss		47	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnl5: Fifth Leader - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3614 /-] [Invalid=37 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Clark	771	21.3%
2	Chretien	681	18.8%
3	McDonough	682	18.9%
4	Stockwell	751	20.8%
5	Duceppe	729	20.2%
Sysmiss		37	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsclark: And now, how do you feel about the party leaders? How do you feel about JOE CLARK?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3334 /-] [Invalid=317 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know any of the leaders" for #chret, #mcdon, #stock, #ducep, if previously asked.
Pre-question	And now, how do you feel about the party leaders? Use a scale from ZERO to ONE HUNDRED. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the leader and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the leader. (New wording)
Literal question	How do you feel about JOE CLARK? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any of the leaders", go to #mann.
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	227	6.8%
1		10	0.3%
2		14	0.4%
3		11	0.3%
4		8	0.2%
5		33	1.0%
6		4	0.1%

cpsclark: And now, how do you feel about the party leaders? How do you feel about JOE CLARK?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		2	0.1%
8		9	0.3%
10		147	4.4%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		25	0.7%
17		1	0.0%
18		2	0.1%
19		1	0.0%
20		171	5.1%
22		3	0.1%
25		111	3.3%
27		1	0.0%
30		183	5.5%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		31	0.9%
38		2	0.1%
40		246	7.4%
42		2	0.1%
45		45	1.3%
47		3	0.1%
49		2	0.1%
50		704	21.1%
51		3	0.1%
52		5	0.1%
55		36	1.1%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		339	10.2%
63		2	0.1%
65		67	2.0%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		189	5.7%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
73		2	0.1%
75		161	4.8%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
78		2	0.1%
80		163	4.9%

cpsclark: And now, how do you feel about the party leaders? How do you feel about JOE CLARK?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
82		1	0.0%
85		28	0.8%
88		2	0.1%
90		49	1.5%
91		1	0.0%
95		9	0.3%
97		1	0.0%
99		3	0.1%
100	Really LIKE him	39	1.2%
996	Don't know any of the leaders	40	1.2%
997	Don't know who he is	179	5.4%
998	Don't know how to rate him	161	
999	refused	41	
Sysmiss		115	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpschret: How do you feel about JEAN CHRETIEN?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3397 /-] [Invalid=254 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know any of the leaders" for #clark, #mcdon, #stock, #ducep, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about JEAN CHRÉTIEN? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any of the leaders", go to #mann.
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	378	11.1%
1		22	0.6%
2		23	0.7%
3		3	0.1%
4		5	0.1%
5		52	1.5%
6		4	0.1%
7		4	0.1%
8		10	0.3%
9		1	0.0%
10		116	3.4%
12		2	0.1%
14		1	0.0%
15		20	0.6%
18		1	0.0%
20		124	3.7%
22		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		83	2.4%

cpschret: How do you feel about JEAN CHRETIEN?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28		1	0.0%
30		138	4.1%
32		1	0.0%
35		27	0.8%
36		1	0.0%
40		206	6.1%
45		35	1.0%
48		5	0.1%
49		3	0.1%
50		564	16.6%
51		6	0.2%
52		3	0.1%
55		48	1.4%
56		1	0.0%
60		320	9.4%
61		1	0.0%
62		3	0.1%
64		1	0.0%
65		58	1.7%
66		1	0.0%
68		4	0.1%
70		275	8.1%
71		2	0.1%
72		2	0.1%
75		256	7.5%
78		2	0.1%
79		2	0.1%
80		246	7.2%
82		3	0.1%
85		52	1.5%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		105	3.1%
92		1	0.0%
95		19	0.6%
98		4	0.1%
99		9	0.3%
100	Really LIKE him	87	2.6%
996	Don't know any of the leaders	27	0.8%
997	Don't know who he is	24	0.7%
998	Don't know how to rate him	90	
999	refused	39	
Sysmiss		125	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsmcdon: How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3307 /-] [Invalid=344 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know any of the leaders" for #clark, #chret, #stock, #ducep, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE her and one hundred means you really LIKE her.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any of the leaders", go to #mann.
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE her	202	6.1%
1		9	0.3%
2		12	0.4%
3		5	0.2%
4		11	0.3%
5		33	1.0%
6		3	0.1%
7		3	0.1%
8		9	0.3%
9		1	0.0%
10		139	4.2%
12		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		10	0.3%
18		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		147	4.4%
21		1	0.0%
22		1	0.0%
23		2	0.1%
25		85	2.6%
28		1	0.0%
30		181	5.5%
33		1	0.0%
34		1	0.0%
35		31	0.9%
40		214	6.5%
42		1	0.0%
45		28	0.8%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
50		581	17.6%
51		1	0.0%
52		2	0.1%
53		1	0.0%
55		30	0.9%
57		1	0.0%

cpsmcdon: How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
58		1	0.0%
60		276	8.3%
63		1	0.0%
65		48	1.5%
67		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		154	4.7%
72		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		141	4.3%
80		109	3.3%
82		1	0.0%
85		18	0.5%
86		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		41	1.2%
94		1	0.0%
95		11	0.3%
97		1	0.0%
98		3	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	Really LIKE her	33	1.0%
996	Don't know any of the leaders	66	2.0%
997	Don't know who she is	641	19.4%
998	Don't know how to rate her	211	
999	refused	36	
Sysmiss		97	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsstock: How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3284 /-] [Invalid=367 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know any of the leaders" for #clark, #chret, #mcdon, #ducep, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any of the leaders", go to #mann.
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	332	10.1%
1		7	0.2%
2		21	0.6%
3		13	0.4%
4		6	0.2%
5		47	1.4%

cpsstock: How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		2	0.1%
7		5	0.2%
8		5	0.2%
9		1	0.0%
10		139	4.2%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		15	0.5%
16		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		159	4.8%
22		1	0.0%
24		2	0.1%
25		87	2.6%
26		1	0.0%
30		159	4.8%
33		1	0.0%
35		27	0.8%
38		1	0.0%
40		204	6.2%
42		2	0.1%
45		38	1.2%
47		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		1	0.0%
50		527	16.0%
52		3	0.1%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		24	0.7%
56		1	0.0%
57		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		239	7.3%
62		1	0.0%
65		49	1.5%
67		1	0.0%
70		174	5.3%
75		160	4.9%
80		163	5.0%
82		1	0.0%
85		31	0.9%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%

cpsstock: How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY?

cpsstock: How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90		100	3.0%
95		19	0.6%
96		1	0.0%
98		5	0.2%
99		9	0.3%
100	Really LIKE him	53	1.6%
996	Don't know any of the leaders	27	0.8%
997	Don't know who he is	405	12.3%
998	Don't know how to rate him	201	
999	refused	35	
Sysmiss		131	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsducep: How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1144 /-] [Invalid=2507 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know any of the leaders" for #clark, #chret, #mcdon, #stock, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any of the leaders", go to #mann.
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	100	8.7%
1		4	0.3%
2		2	0.2%
3		4	0.3%
4		1	0.1%
5		13	1.1%
6		4	0.3%
7		1	0.1%
8		3	0.3%
10		35	3.1%
12		2	0.2%
15		9	0.8%
17		1	0.1%
20		37	3.2%
22		1	0.1%
25		41	3.6%
30		58	5.1%
33		1	0.1%
35		6	0.5%
36		1	0.1%
40		57	5.0%
41		1	0.1%

cpsducep: How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
42		1	0.1%
45		5	0.4%
50		195	17.0%
55		13	1.1%
60		80	7.0%
61		1	0.1%
62		1	0.1%
65		16	1.4%
68		1	0.1%
70		101	8.8%
75		51	4.5%
80		86	7.5%
85		13	1.1%
90		27	2.4%
93		1	0.1%
95		5	0.4%
98		1	0.1%
99		5	0.4%
100	Really LIKE him	36	3.1%
996	Don't know any of the leaders	11	1.0%
997	Don't know who he is	112	9.8%
998	Don't know how to rate him	66	
999	refused	13	
Sysmiss		2428	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsmann: How do you feel about PRESTON MANNING?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3245 /-] [Invalid=406 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And how do you feel about PRESTON MANNING? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE him and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE him.
Notes	Not included in randomization of party leaders.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	372	11.5%
1		15	0.5%
2		26	0.8%
3		13	0.4%
4		6	0.2%
5		57	1.8%
6		2	0.1%
7		3	0.1%
8		8	0.2%
9		1	0.0%
10		205	6.3%

cpsmann: How do you feel about PRESTON MANNING?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11		1	0.0%
12		6	0.2%
15		28	0.9%
18		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		199	6.1%
21		1	0.0%
22		2	0.1%
25		118	3.6%
27		2	0.1%
30		196	6.0%
31		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		34	1.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		292	9.0%
42		1	0.0%
45		42	1.3%
47		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		1	0.0%
50		498	15.3%
51		1	0.0%
52		2	0.1%
55		35	1.1%
58		1	0.0%
60		223	6.9%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
65		49	1.5%
68		1	0.0%
69		2	0.1%
70		102	3.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		90	2.8%
76		1	0.0%
80		77	2.4%
85		15	0.5%
90		28	0.9%
95		7	0.2%
96		1	0.0%
97		2	0.1%
98		1	0.0%
100	Really LIKE him	27	0.8%
997	Don't know who he is	440	13.6%

cpsmann: How do you feel about PRESTON MANNING?

cpsmann: How do you feel about PRESTON MANNING?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	Don't know how to rate him	351	
999	refused	55	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsmart: How do you feel about PAUL MARTIN?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3292 /-] [Invalid=359 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And how do you feel about PAUL MARTIN? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE him and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE him.
Notes	Not included in randomization of party leaders.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	137	4.2%
1		9	0.3%
2		10	0.3%
3		2	0.1%
4		3	0.1%
5		30	0.9%
6		4	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
8		4	0.1%
9		4	0.1%
10		85	2.6%
11		3	0.1%
12		1	0.0%
15		18	0.5%
20		93	2.8%
22		2	0.1%
25		45	1.4%
30		114	3.5%
35		25	0.8%
37		1	0.0%
40		196	6.0%
42		1	0.0%
45		34	1.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		3	0.1%
50		476	14.5%
51		2	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		45	1.4%
56		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%

cpsmart: How do you feel about PAUL MARTIN?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60		344	10.4%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		65	2.0%
67		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		251	7.6%
75		212	6.4%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		290	8.8%
82		2	0.1%
85		57	1.7%
87		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		123	3.7%
92		1	0.0%
95		33	1.0%
96		2	0.1%
97		1	0.0%
98		2	0.1%
99		5	0.2%
100	Really LIKE him	95	2.9%
997	Don't know who he is	444	13.5%
998	Don't know how to rate him	304	
999	refused	55	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspolit: And on the same scale, how do you feel about POLITICIANS IN GENERAL?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3414 /-] [Invalid=237 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And on the same scale, how do you feel about POLITICIANS IN GENERAL? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE them and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE them.
Notes	#polit matches #d11 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE them	200	5.9%
1		11	0.3%
2		15	0.4%
3		15	0.4%
4		4	0.1%
5		48	1.4%
6		3	0.1%
7		5	0.1%

cpspolit: And on the same scale, how do you feel about POLITICIANS IN GENERAL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		148	4.3%
12		1	0.0%
15		26	0.8%
19		1	0.0%
20		144	4.2%
22		1	0.0%
25		113	3.3%
30		231	6.8%
35		33	1.0%
37		2	0.1%
40		294	8.6%
45		45	1.3%
48		1	0.0%
49		4	0.1%
50		987	28.9%
51		2	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		41	1.2%
56		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		390	11.4%
63		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		88	2.6%
66		2	0.1%
70		212	6.2%
75		177	5.2%
77		1	0.0%
80		90	2.6%
85		13	0.4%
90		19	0.6%
95		2	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	Really LIKE them	37	1.1%
998	Don't know how to rate them	197	
999	refused	40	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnp1: First Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3607 /-] [Invalid=44 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsrnp1: First Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	662	18.4%
2	Liberal	768	21.3%
3	NDP	690	19.1%
4	Alliance	714	19.8%
5	Block	773	21.4%
Sysmiss		44	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnp2: Second Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3606 /-] [Invalid=45 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	717	19.9%
2	Liberal	747	20.7%
3	NDP	720	20.0%
4	Alliance	716	19.9%
5	Block	706	19.6%
Sysmiss		45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnp3: Third Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3605 /-] [Invalid=46 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	782	21.7%
2	Liberal	690	19.1%
3	NDP	700	19.4%
4	Alliance	749	20.8%
5	Block	684	19.0%
Sysmiss		46	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnp4: Fourth Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3604 /-] [Invalid=47 /-]
Universe	All respondents

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	719	20.0%
2	Liberal	699	19.4%
3	NDP	732	20.3%
4	Alliance	733	20.3%
5	Block	721	20.0%
Sysmiss		47	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnp5: Fifth Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3607 /-] [Invalid=44 /-]
Universe	All respondents

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	731	20.3%
2	Liberal	703	19.5%
3	NDP	763	21.2%
4	Alliance	692	19.2%
5	Block	718	19.9%
Sysmiss		44	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspc: Now the political parties. How do you feel about the FEDERAL Conservative party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3322 /-] [Invalid=329 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know about any of the parties" for #lib, #ndp, #alli, #bloc, if previously asked.
Pre-question	Now the political parties. On the same scale, zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
Literal question	How do you feel about the FEDERAL Conservative party? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know about any of the parties", go to #e1.
Notes	Option #996 added.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the party	240	7.2%
1		5	0.2%
2		10	0.3%
3		6	0.2%
4		6	0.2%
5		48	1.4%
6		2	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
8		5	0.2%
9		1	0.0%
10		153	4.6%
11		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		36	1.1%
20		201	6.1%
22		1	0.0%
25		116	3.5%
30		261	7.9%
32		2	0.1%
33		2	0.1%
35		34	1.0%
40		335	10.1%

cpspc: Now the political parties. How do you feel about the FEDERAL Conservative party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43		1	0.0%
45		47	1.4%
46		2	0.1%
48		2	0.1%
49		3	0.1%
50		702	21.1%
51		1	0.0%
52		4	0.1%
54		2	0.1%
55		38	1.1%
59		2	0.1%
60		365	11.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		64	1.9%
68		1	0.0%
70		206	6.2%
72		3	0.1%
75		111	3.3%
78		1	0.0%
80		96	2.9%
85		21	0.6%
90		25	0.8%
95		2	0.1%
98		2	0.1%
99		2	0.1%
100	Really LIKE the party	36	1.1%
996	Don't know about any of the parties	114	3.4%
998	Don't know how to rate them	219	
999	refused	67	
Sysmiss		43	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpslib: How do you feel about the FEDERAL Liberal party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3407 /-] [Invalid=244 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know about any of the parties" for #pc, #ndp, #alli, #bloc, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about the FEDERAL Liberal party? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know about any of the parties", go to #e1.
Notes	Option #996 added.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the party	241	7.1%
1		9	0.3%
2		14	0.4%

cpslib: How do you feel about the FEDERAL Liberal party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		9	0.3%
4		3	0.1%
5		32	0.9%
6		4	0.1%
7		4	0.1%
8		4	0.1%
10		123	3.6%
12		2	0.1%
14		1	0.0%
15		21	0.6%
20		135	4.0%
22		2	0.1%
25		79	2.3%
29		1	0.0%
30		157	4.6%
33		2	0.1%
35		20	0.6%
38		1	0.0%
40		221	6.5%
45		45	1.3%
46		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		1	0.0%
50		597	17.5%
51		1	0.0%
52		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		43	1.3%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		377	11.1%
62		2	0.1%
65		81	2.4%
66		1	0.0%
70		299	8.8%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		212	6.2%
76		1	0.0%
78		2	0.1%
80		267	7.8%
84		1	0.0%
85		38	1.1%

cpslib: How do you feel about the FEDERAL Liberal party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88		1	0.0%
90		97	2.8%
92		1	0.0%
95		23	0.7%
96		1	0.0%
98		2	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	Really LIKE the party	118	3.5%
996	Don't know about any of the parties	99	2.9%
998	Don't know how to rate them	133	
999	refused	67	
Sysmiss		44	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsndp: How do you feel about the FEDERAL NDP (the New Democratic Party)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3188 /-] [Invalid=463 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know about any of the parties" for #pc, #lib, #alli, #bloc, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about the FEDERAL NDP (the New Democratic Party)? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know about any of the parties", go to #e1.
Notes	Option #996 added.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the party	320	10.0%
1		8	0.3%
2		9	0.3%
3		10	0.3%
4		8	0.3%
5		48	1.5%
6		1	0.0%
8		7	0.2%
9		2	0.1%
10		181	5.7%
11		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		40	1.3%
20		252	7.9%
21		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		132	4.1%
27		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		298	9.3%
32		2	0.1%

cpsndp: How do you feel about the FEDERAL NDP (the New Democratic Party)?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35		44	1.4%
38		2	0.1%
40		317	9.9%
45		39	1.2%
50		584	18.3%
51		1	0.0%
52		1	0.0%
54		2	0.1%
55		38	1.2%
60		269	8.4%
62		1	0.0%
65		53	1.7%
70		151	4.7%
75		75	2.4%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		77	2.4%
85		14	0.4%
86		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		2	0.1%
90		32	1.0%
95		8	0.3%
96		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
100	Really LIKE the party	30	0.9%
996	Don't know about any of the parties	116	3.6%
998	Don't know how to rate them	354	
999	refused	68	
Sysmiss		41	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsalli: How do you feel about the Alliance party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3162 /-] [Invalid=489 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know about any of the parties" for #pc, #lib, #ndp, #bloc, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about the ALLIANCE PARTY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know about any of the parties", go to #e1.
Notes	Option #996 added.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the party	421	13.3%

cpsalli: How do you feel about the Alliance party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		7	0.2%
2		13	0.4%
3		9	0.3%
4		3	0.1%
5		49	1.5%
6		2	0.1%
7		3	0.1%
8		3	0.1%
10		190	6.0%
12		1	0.0%
15		34	1.1%
20		200	6.3%
25		92	2.9%
26		1	0.0%
30		217	6.9%
31		1	0.0%
35		37	1.2%
36		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		273	8.6%
42		1	0.0%
43		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		45	1.4%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		3	0.1%
50		502	15.9%
52		1	0.0%
53		2	0.1%
55		26	0.8%
60		235	7.4%
65		59	1.9%
66		1	0.0%
70		179	5.7%
71		1	0.0%
72		3	0.1%
75		114	3.6%
76		1	0.0%
80		141	4.5%
85		28	0.9%
90		71	2.2%
95		11	0.3%
98		2	0.1%
99		1	0.0%

cpsalli: How do you feel about the Alliance party?

cpsalli: How do you feel about the Alliance party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Really LIKE the party	53	1.7%
996	Don't know about any of the parties	120	3.8%
998	Don't know how to rate them	380	
999	refused	66	
Sysmiss		43	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsbloc: How do you feel about the Bloc Quebecois?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1110 /-] [Invalid=2541 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know about any of the parties" for #pc, #lib, #ndp, #alli, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about the BLOC Québécois? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know about any of the parties", go to #e1.
Notes	Option #996 added.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the party	124	11.2%
1		6	0.5%
2		5	0.5%
3		3	0.3%
4		2	0.2%
5		11	1.0%
7		1	0.1%
10		52	4.7%
12		2	0.2%
14		1	0.1%
15		12	1.1%
20		48	4.3%
25		27	2.4%
30		42	3.8%
35		7	0.6%
40		67	6.0%
42		1	0.1%
45		7	0.6%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
50		203	18.3%
51		2	0.2%
55		13	1.2%
59		1	0.1%
60		115	10.4%
61		1	0.1%
62		1	0.1%
65		13	1.2%

cpsbloc: How do you feel about the Bloc Quebecois?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
68		1	0.1%
69		1	0.1%
70		112	10.1%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
75		56	5.0%
80		63	5.7%
81		1	0.1%
85		22	2.0%
90		21	1.9%
95		5	0.5%
99		3	0.3%
100	Really LIKE the party	46	4.1%
996	Don't know about any of the parties	8	0.7%
998	Don't know how to rate them	88	
999	refused	16	
Sysmiss		2437	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpse1: Which party leader would YOU describe as arrogant?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2907 /-] [Invalid=744 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which party leader would YOU describe as arrogant?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say "If you had to choose, who would you say?"
Notes	Wording changes effective Monday, October 30.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	1484	51.0%
2	Clark	136	4.7%
3	Day	556	19.1%
4	Duceppe	140	4.8%
5	McDonough	26	0.9%
6	None	331	11.4%
7	All	234	8.0%
8	don't know	695	
9	refused	49	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpse2: Which party leader would YOU describe as trustworthy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2944 /-] [Invalid=707 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which leader would YOU describe as trustworthy?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say "If you had to choose, who would you say?"
Notes	Wording changes effective Monday, October 30.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	525	17.8%
2	Clark	552	18.8%
3	Day	450	15.3%
4	Duceppe	214	7.3%
5	McDonough	334	11.3%
6	None	804	27.3%
7	All	65	2.2%
8	don't know	665	
9	refused	42	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpse3: Which party leader would YOU describe as having new ideas?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2819 /-] [Invalid=832 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which leader would YOU describe as having new ideas?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say "If you had to choose, who would you say?"
Notes	Wording changes effective Monday, October 30.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	172	6.1%
2	Clark	119	4.2%
3	Day	1352	48.0%
4	Duceppe	119	4.2%
5	McDonough	208	7.4%
6	None	750	26.6%
7	All	99	3.5%
8	don't know	797	
9	refused	35	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpse4: All the Liberal Party cares about is staying in power.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=134 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	All the Liberal Party cares about is staying in power. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1637	46.5%

cpse4: All the Liberal Party cares about is staying in power.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Somewhat agree	1073	30.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	525	14.9%
7	Strongly disagree	282	8.0%
8	don't know	118	
9	refused	16	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpse5: The Alliance party wants to make it much harder for women to get abortions.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2855 /-] [Invalid=796 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The Alliance party wants to make it much harder for women to get abortions. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	888	31.1%
3	Somewhat agree	771	27.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	518	18.1%
7	Strongly disagree	678	23.7%
8	don't know	758	
9	refused	38	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf3: Society would be better off if more women stayed home with their children.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3463 /-] [Invalid=188 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Society would be better off if more women stayed home with their children. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	874	25.2%
3	Somewhat agree	753	21.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	680	19.6%
7	Strongly disagree	1156	33.4%
8	don't know	161	
9	refused	27	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf18: Gays and lesbians should be allowed to get married.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3331 /-] [Invalid=320 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Gays and lesbians should be allowed to get married. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	757	22.7%
3	Somewhat agree	1048	31.5%

cpsf18: Gays and lesbians should be allowed to get married.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	404	12.1%
7	Strongly disagree	1122	33.7%
8	don't know	258	
9	refused	62	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf6: The government should leave it ENTIRELY to the private sector to create jobs.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3480 /-] [Invalid=171 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The government should leave it ENTIRELY to the private sector to create jobs. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
Notes	#f5 deleted.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	752	21.6%
3	Somewhat agree	933	26.8%
5	Somewhat disagree	984	28.3%
7	Strongly disagree	811	23.3%
8	don't know	164	
9	refused	7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf19: Only the police and the military should be allowed to have guns.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3559 /-] [Invalid=92 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Only the police and the military should be allowed to have guns. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1460	41.0%
3	Somewhat agree	593	16.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	622	17.5%
7	Strongly disagree	884	24.8%
8	don't know	84	
9	refused	8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf20: If people can't find work in the region where they live, they should move to where there are jobs.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3508 /-] [Invalid=143 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If people can't find work in the region where they live, they should move to where there are jobs. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	990	28.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1240	35.3%

cpsf20: If people can't find work in the region where they live, they should move to where there are jobs.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat disagree	703	20.0%
7	Strongly disagree	575	16.4%
8	don't know	128	
9	refused	15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf7: The public has a right to know where political parties and candidates get their campaign funds from.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3577 /-] [Invalid=74 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The public has a right to know where political parties and candidates get their campaign funds from. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2900	81.1%
3	Somewhat agree	540	15.1%
5	Somewhat disagree	94	2.6%
7	Strongly disagree	43	1.2%
8	don't know	68	
9	refused	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf8: Do you think that parties and candidates should be allowed to spend as much money as they want in a Federal election campaign or should there be a limit on how much they can spend?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3596 /-] [Invalid=55 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think that parties and candidates should be allowed to spend as much money as they want in a Federal election campaign or should there be a limit on how much they can spend?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should be allowed to spend as much as they want	195	5.4%
5	There should be a limit	3401	94.6%
8	don't know	51	
9	refused	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf9: Do you think that people should be allowed to give as much money as they want to parties and candidates or should there be a limit on how much they can give?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3547 /-] [Invalid=104 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think that people should be allowed to give as much money as they want to parties and candidates or should there be a limit on how much they can give?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If Required: "We mean people in general, NOT businesses, unions or other organisations."

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Should give as much money as they want	1176	33.2%
5	There should be a limit	2371	66.8%

cpsf9: Do you think that people should be allowed to give as much money as they want to parties and candidates or should there be a limit on how much they can give?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	don't know	98	
9	refused	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf10a: How good a job has the Liberal government done of: Protecting the environment?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3290 /-] [Invalid=361 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job has the Liberal government done of: Protecting the environment? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	162	4.9%
3	Quite good	1563	47.5%
5	Not very good	1212	36.8%
7	Not good at all	353	10.7%
8	don't know	350	
9	refused	11	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf10b: How good a job do you think has the Liberal government done of: Reducing the Federal debt?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3310 /-] [Invalid=341 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Reducing the Federal debt? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	555	16.8%
3	Quite good	1636	49.4%
5	Not very good	847	25.6%
7	Not good at all	272	8.2%
8	don't know	329	
9	refused	12	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf10c: How good a job do you think has the Liberal government done of: Improving health care?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3472 /-] [Invalid=179 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Improving health care? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	101	2.9%
3	Quite good	645	18.6%
5	Not very good	1884	54.3%
7	Not good at all	842	24.3%

cpsf10c: How good a job do you think has the Liberal government done of: Improving health care?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	don't know	166	
9	refused	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf10h: How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Improving social welfare programmes?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3074 /-] [Invalid=577 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Improving social welfare programmes? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	171	5.6%
3	Quite good	1064	34.6%
5	Not very good	1405	45.7%
7	Not good at all	434	14.1%
8	don't know	558	
9	refused	19	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf10d: How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Creating jobs?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3300 /-] [Invalid=351 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Creating jobs? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	296	9.0%
3	Quite good	1595	48.3%
5	Not very good	1146	34.7%
7	Not good at all	263	8.0%
8	don't know	339	
9	refused	12	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf10e: How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Getting along with the provincial government?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3134 /-] [Invalid=517 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Getting along with provincial governments? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	189	6.0%
3	Quite good	1137	36.3%
5	Not very good	1380	44.0%
7	Not good at all	428	13.7%

cpsf10e: How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Getting along with the provincial government?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	don't know	500	
9	refused	17	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf10f: How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Cutting taxes?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3426 /-] [Invalid=225 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Cutting taxes? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	184	5.4%
3	Quite good	896	26.2%
5	Not very good	1704	49.7%
7	Not good at all	642	18.7%
8	don't know	211	
9	refused	14	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf10i: How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Fighting crime?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3270 /-] [Invalid=381 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Fighting crime? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?
Notes	#10fg deleted.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	234	7.2%
3	Quite good	1268	38.8%
5	Not very good	1343	41.1%
7	Not good at all	425	13.0%
8	don't know	363	
9	refused	18	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf10k: How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Handling Canada's international relations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3144 /-] [Invalid=507 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Handling Canada's international relations? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	493	15.7%
3	Quite good	1732	55.1%

cpsf10k: How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Handling Canada's international relations?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not very good	717	22.8%
7	Not good at all	202	6.4%
8	don't know	497	
9	refused	10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf10j: How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Defending the interests of Quebec?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1132 /-] [Invalid=2519 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	How good a job do you think the Liberal government has done of: Defending the interests of Quebec? A VERY GOOD JOB, QUITE GOOD, NOT VERY GOOD, or NOT A GOOD JOB AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good	57	5.0%
3	Quite good	339	29.9%
5	Not very good	506	44.7%
7	Not good at all	230	20.3%
8	don't know	112	
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		2400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf11: Do you think there has been a lot of corruption under the present Liberal government, some, a little or none?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3207 /-] [Invalid=444 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think there has been a lot of corruption under the present Liberal government, some, a little or none?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	529	16.5%
3	Some	1600	49.9%
5	A little	825	25.7%
7	None	253	7.9%
8	don't know	421	
9	refused	23	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsf13: Do you happen to remember which party is promising a single tax rate for people earning less than one hundred thousand dollars a year?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3648 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to remember which party is promising a single tax rate for people earning less than one hundred thousand dollars a year?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Alliance	1360	37.3%

cpsf13: Do you happen to remember which party is promising a single tax rate for people earning less than one hundred thousand dollars a year?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Alliance and any other mention	20	0.5%
5	Any other mention	275	7.5%
7	No, don't remember	984	27.0%
8	don't know	1009	27.7%
9	refused	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsprom2: Which party is proposing a national prescription drug plan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3641 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which party is proposing a national prescription drug plan?
Notes	#prom2 matches #promises2 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NDP	688	18.9%
3	NDP and another party	11	0.3%
5	Other answers	669	18.4%
7	No, don't remember	258	7.1%
8	don't know	2015	55.3%
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsprom3: Which party is promising a law to fight criminal biker gangs? / Do you happen to recall which party is proposing an anti-gang law?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3640 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which party is promising a law to fight criminal biker gangs? (Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec) / Do you happen to recall which party is proposing an anti-gang law? (All others)
Notes	#prom3 matches #promises3 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bloc Quebecois	726	19.9%
2	Alliance	407	11.2%
3	Bloc Quebecois and the Alliance	20	0.5%
4	Bloc Quebecois and a party other than Alliance	8	0.2%
5	Alliance and a party other than the Bloc Quebecois	12	0.3%
6	Other answers	530	14.6%
8	don't know	1937	53.2%
9	refused	8	
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsprom4: Do you happen to recall which party is proposing a law to pay back the debt in 25 years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]

cpsprom4: Do you happen to recall which party is proposing a law to pay back the debt in 25 years?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3640 /-] [Invalid=11 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to recall which party is proposing a law to pay back the debt in 25 years?
Notes	#prom4 matches #promises4 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative party	382	10.5%
3	Conservative party and another party	16	0.4%
5	Other answers	1279	35.1%
8	don't know	1963	53.9%
9	no answer	8	
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsprom5: Do you happen to recall which party is promising to use HALF of the surplus to cut taxes and pay down the debt and HALF to spend on health care and social programmes?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2733 /-] [Invalid=918 /-]
Universe	Asked only after November 5, 2000.
Literal question	Do you happen to recall which party is promising to use HALF of the surplus to cut taxes and pay down the debt and HALF to spend on health care and social programs?
Notes	#prom5 matches #promises5 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal party	723	26.5%
3	Liberal party and another party	4	0.1%
5	Other answers	601	22.0%
8	don't know	1405	51.4%
9	refused	10	
Sysmiss		908	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsg1: Now I want to ask you about the economy. Over the PAST YEAR, has CANADA's economy:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3510 /-] [Invalid=141 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Now, I want to ask you about the economy.
Literal question	Over the PAST YEAR, has CANADA's economy GOTTEN BETTER, GOTTEN WORSE, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME?
Post-question	If respondent answers "got better", go to #g1a. If respondent answers "got worse", go to #g1b. All others go to #g3a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Got better	1459	41.6%
3	Got worse	517	14.7%
5	Stayed about the same	1534	43.7%
8	don't know	139	
9	refused	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsg1a: Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy better, or haven't they made much difference?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1369 /-] [Invalid=2282 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten better" for #g1.
Literal question	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy better, or haven't they made much difference?
Post-question	Go to #g3a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	645	47.1%
3		224	16.4%
5	Haven't made much difference	496	36.2%
7	Worse [only if R volunteers]	4	0.3%
8	don't know	90	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		2191	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsg1b: Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy worse, or haven't they made much difference?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=475 /-] [Invalid=3176 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten worse" for #g1.
Literal question	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy worse, or haven't they made much difference?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worse	202	42.5%
5	Haven't made much difference	273	57.5%
8	don't know	42	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3134	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsg3a: What about the NEXT 12 months? Will CANADA's economy get BETTER, GET WORSE or STAY ABOUT THE SAME?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3350 /-] [Invalid=301 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What about the NEXT 12 months? Will CANADA's economy get BETTER, GET WORSE or STAY ABOUT THE SAME?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	941	28.1%
3	Get worse	339	10.1%
5	Stay about the same	1799	53.7%
7	Depends who wins the election	271	8.1%
8	don't know	299	
9	refused	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsg4abc: How would YOU spend the federal budget surplus? Would your FIRST priority be improving social programmes, cutting taxes, or reducing the debt?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3531 /-] [Invalid=120 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How would YOU spend the federal budget surplus? Would your FIRST priority be improving social programmes, cutting taxes, or reducing the debt?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #pla25.
Notes	Order of priorities is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving social programs	1293	36.6%
3	Cutting taxes	1083	30.7%
5	Reducing the debt	1155	32.7%
8	don't know	106	
9	refused	13	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsg5: And would your second priority be:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3473 /-] [Invalid=178 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who selected a priority for #g4.
Literal question	And would your second priority be improving social programs, cutting taxes, or reducing the debt? (Omit priority selected for #g4)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Improving social programs	1040	29.9%
3	Cutting taxes	1373	39.5%
5	Reducing the debt	1060	30.5%
8	don't know	55	
9	refused	4	
Sysmiss		119	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla24: How much of the federal budget surplus do you think should be spent on social programmes:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3450 /-] [Invalid=201 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much of the federal budget surplus do you think should be spent on social programmes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R asks the surplus is 12 billion.
Notes	#pla24 matches #place24 in documentation. #pla19 relocated and renumbered #c13.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all of it	134	3.9%
2	Most	378	11.0%
3	Half	1276	37.0%
4	Some	1425	41.3%
5	Almost none of it	237	6.9%

cpspla24: How much of the federal budget surplus do you think should be spent on social programmes:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	don't know	188	
9	refused	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla25: How much of the federal budget surplus do you think should be used for cutting taxes:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3480 /-] [Invalid=171 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much of the federal budget surplus do you think should be used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
Notes	#pla25 matches #place25 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all of it	175	5.0%
2	Most	359	10.3%
3	Half	1089	31.3%
4	Some	1534	44.1%
5	Almost none of it	323	9.3%
8	don't know	158	
9	refused	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla26: How much of the federal budget surplus do the Liberals want used for cutting taxes:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3636 /-] [Invalid=15 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Now where do you think the parties stand on this?
Literal question	First, the Liberals. How much of the federal budget surplus do the Liberals want used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
Notes	#pla26 matches #place26 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all of it	117	3.2%
2	Most	236	6.5%
3	Half	758	20.8%
4	Some	1026	28.2%
5	Almost none of it	299	8.2%
8	don't know	1200	33.0%
9	refused	15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla27: How much of the federal budget surplus does the Bloc Quebecois want used for cutting taxes:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1244 /-] [Invalid=2407 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	And the Bloc Québécois? How much of the federal budget surplus does the Bloc Québécois want used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
Notes	#pla27 matches #place27 in documentation.

cpspla27: How much of the federal budget surplus does the Bloc Quebecois want used for cutting taxes:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all of it	49	3.9%
2	Most	100	8.0%
3	Half	226	18.2%
4	Some	161	12.9%
5	Almost none of it	67	5.4%
8	don't know	641	51.5%
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		2400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla28: How much of the federal budget surplus does the Alliance want used for cutting taxes:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3630 /-] [Invalid=21 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And the Alliance? How much of the federal budget surplus does the Alliance want used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
Notes	#pla28 matches #place28 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all of it	270	7.4%
2	Most	389	10.7%
3	Half	637	17.5%
4	Some	496	13.7%
5	Almost none of it	136	3.7%
8	don't know	1702	46.9%
9	refused	18	
Sysmiss		3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla29: How much of the federal budget surplus does the Conservatives want used for cutting taxes:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3633 /-] [Invalid=18 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And the Conservatives? How much of the federal budget surplus do the Conservatives want used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
Notes	#pla29 matches #place29 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all of it	91	2.5%
2	Most	224	6.2%
3	Half	533	14.7%
4	Some	666	18.3%
5	Almost none of it	156	4.3%
8	don't know	1963	54.0%
9	refused	16	
Sysmiss		2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla30: How much of the federal budget surplus does the NDP want used for cutting taxes:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3631 /-] [Invalid=20 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And the NDP (the New Democratic Party)? How much of the federal budget surplus does the NDP (the New Democratic Party) want used for cutting taxes: almost all of it, most, half, some, or almost none of it?
Notes	#pla30 matches #place30 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all of it	122	3.4%
2	Most	149	4.1%
3	Half	329	9.1%
4	Some	602	16.6%
5	Almost none of it	526	14.5%
8	don't know	1903	52.4%
9	refused	16	
Sysmiss		4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla31: Some people talk about political parties being on the LEFT or the RIGHT. Do you think the NDP (the New Democratic Party) is:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3461 /-] [Invalid=190 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Some people talk about political parties being on the LEFT or the RIGHT.
Literal question	Do you think the NDP (the New Democratic Party) is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?
Post-question	If respondent does not understand the term, or what it means, go to #intl.
Notes	#pla31 matches #place31 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	On the left	1088	31.4%
3	On the right	171	4.9%
5	In the centre	335	9.7%
7	Not sure	1116	32.2%
97	Don't understand the term, what this means	751	21.7%
98	don't know	184	
99	refused	4	
Sysmiss		2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla32: Do you think the Alliance party is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2693 /-] [Invalid=958 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they do not understand the term "left or right", or what it means.
Literal question	And the Alliance party? Do you think the Alliance party is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?
Post-question	If respondent does not understand the term, or what it means, go to #intl.
Notes	#pla32 matches #place32 in documentation.

cpspla32: Do you think the Alliance party is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	On the left	338	12.6%
3	On the right	1137	42.2%
5	In the centre	319	11.8%
7	Not sure	762	28.3%
97	Don't understand the term, what this means	137	5.1%
98	don't know	215	
99	refused	5	
Sysmiss		738	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla33: Do you think the Liberal party is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2600 /-] [Invalid=1051 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they do not understand the term "left or right", or what it means.
Literal question	And the Liberal Party? Do you think the Liberal party is on the left, on the right, in the centre or are you not sure?
Post-question	If respondent does not understand the term, or what it means, go to #intl.
Notes	#pla33 matches #place33 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	On the left	388	14.9%
3	On the right	659	25.3%
5	In the centre	934	35.9%
7	Not sure	561	21.6%
97	Don't understand the term, what this means	58	2.2%
98	don't know	141	
99	refused	1	
Sysmiss		909	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla34: Do you think the Conservative party is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2489 /-] [Invalid=1162 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they do not understand the term "left or right", or what it means.
Literal question	And the Conservative Party? Do you think the Conservative party is on the left, on the right, in the centre or are you not sure?
Post-question	If respondent does not understand the term, or what it means, go to #intl.
Notes	#pla34 matches #place34 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	On the left	302	12.1%
3	On the right	834	33.5%
5	In the centre	681	27.4%
7	Not sure	660	26.5%
97	Don't understand the term, what this means	12	0.5%
98	don't know	108	
99	refused	3	
Sysmiss		1051	

cpspla34: Do you think the Conservative party is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla35: Do you think the Bloc Quebecois is on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2280 /-] [Invalid=1371 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they do not understand the term "left or right", or what it means.
Literal question	And the Bloc Québécois? Do you think the Bloc Québécois is on the left, on the right, in the centre or are you not sure?
Post-question	If respondent does not understand the term, or what it means, go to #intl.
Notes	#pla35 matches #place35 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	On the left	665	29.2%
3	On the right	376	16.5%
5	In the centre	327	14.3%
7	Not sure	905	39.7%
97	Don't understand the term, what this means	7	0.3%
98	don't know	257	
99	refused	11	
Sysmiss		1103	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpspla36: Would you say you are on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2526 /-] [Invalid=1125 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they do not understand the term "left or right", or what it means.
Literal question	And finally, you personally. Would you say you are on the left, on the right, in the centre, or are you not sure?
Notes	#pla36 matches #place36 in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	On the left	357	14.1%
3	On the right	492	19.5%
5	In the centre	1060	42.0%
7	Not sure	576	22.8%
97	Don't understand the term, what this means	41	1.6%
98	don't know	137	
99	refused	28	
Sysmiss		960	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnpr1: First Party (Riding) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	goto I1A	755	20.7%
2	goto I1B	757	20.7%
3	goto I1C	676	18.5%
4	goto I1D	733	20.1%

cpsrnpr1: First Party (Riding) - Random Delivery

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
5	goto I1E		730		20.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnpr2: Second Party (Riding) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	goto I1A		669		18.3%
2	goto I1B		776		21.3%
3	goto I1C		753		20.6%
4	goto I1D		758		20.8%
5	goto I1E		695		19.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnpr3: Third Party (Riding) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	goto I1A		717		19.6%
2	goto I1B		705		19.3%
3	goto I1C		782		21.4%
4	goto I1D		727		19.9%
5	goto I1E		720		19.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnpr4: Fourth Party (Riding) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	goto I1A	738		20.2%
2	goto I1B	695		19.0%
3	goto I1C	763		20.9%
4	goto I1D	700		19.2%
5	goto I1E	755		20.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsrnpr5: Fifth Party (Riding) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	goto I1A	772		21.1%
2	goto I1B	718		19.7%
3	goto I1C	677		18.5%
4	goto I1D	733		20.1%
5	goto I1E	751		20.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsi1a: The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/997/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3301 /-] [Invalid=350 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know anything about riding" for #i1b, #i1c, #i1d, #i1e, if previously asked.
Pre-question	Now let's talk about how the parties are doing in your riding. Use a scale from 0 to 100. 0 means a party has NO CHANCE AT ALL of winning, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means the party is CERTAIN to win. You can use ANY number from 0 to 100.
Literal question	The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about riding", go to #j1a.
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	NO chance for the party	937		28.4%
1		8	0.2%	
2		19	0.6%	
3		6	0.2%	
4		3	0.1%	
5		84	2.5%	
6		1	0.0%	
7		4	0.1%	
8		4	0.1%	
9		4	0.1%	

cpsi1a: The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		264	8.0%
11		1	0.0%
12		3	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
15		51	1.5%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		285	8.6%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		125	3.8%
26		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		218	6.6%
33		1	0.0%
35		25	0.8%
36		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		240	7.3%
45		28	0.8%
50	An EVEN chance	455	13.8%
51		1	0.0%
52		2	0.1%
55		9	0.3%
60		101	3.1%
65		20	0.6%
70		60	1.8%
72		1	0.0%
75		48	1.5%
80		45	1.4%
85		5	0.2%
90		19	0.6%
95		2	0.1%
98		1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
100	CERTAIN victory	33	1.0%
996	There is no CONSERVATIVE candidate	29	0.9%
998	Don't know anything about RIDING	149	4.5%
997	Don't know what CONSERVATIVE chances are	205	
999	refused	12	
Sysmiss		133	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsi1b: The LIBERAL party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/997/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3345 /-] [Invalid=306 /-]

cpsi1b: The LIBERAL party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know anything about riding" for #i1a, #i1c, #i1d, #i1e, if previously asked.
Literal question	The LIBERAL party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about riding", go to #j1a.
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO chance for the party	255	7.6%
1		5	0.1%
2		3	0.1%
3		2	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		18	0.5%
7		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		72	2.2%
15		13	0.4%
20		101	3.0%
22		1	0.0%
25		57	1.7%
30		127	3.8%
35		22	0.7%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		195	5.8%
44		1	0.0%
45		31	0.9%
47		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		4	0.1%
50	An EVEN chance	719	21.5%
51		1	0.0%
53		2	0.1%
55		34	1.0%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		278	8.3%
61		1	0.0%
63		2	0.1%
65		43	1.3%
70		203	6.1%
72		1	0.0%
75		193	5.8%
77		1	0.0%

cpsi1b: The LIBERAL party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78		3	0.1%
80		285	8.5%
85		35	1.0%
90		120	3.6%
92		1	0.0%
95		24	0.7%
97		1	0.0%
98		2	0.1%
99		9	0.3%
100	CERTAIN victory	335	10.0%
996	There is no LIBERAL candidate	1	0.0%
998	Don't know anything about RIDING	131	3.9%
997	Don't know what LIBERAL chances are	154	
999	refused	11	
Sysmiss		141	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsi1c: The NDP's (the New Democratic Party) chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/997/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3281 /-] [Invalid=370 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know anything about riding" for #i1a, #i1b, #i1d, #i1e, if previously asked.
Literal question	The NDP (the New Democratic Party) chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about riding", go to #j1a.
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO chance for the party	1182	36.0%
1		14	0.4%
2		21	0.6%
3		9	0.3%
4		5	0.2%
5		122	3.7%
6		3	0.1%
7		5	0.2%
8		7	0.2%
9		1	0.0%
10		296	9.0%
11		2	0.1%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		47	1.4%
20		297	9.1%
22		2	0.1%
25		133	4.1%

cpsi1c: The NDP's (the New Democratic Party) chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		211	6.4%
32		3	0.1%
33		1	0.0%
35		21	0.6%
38		2	0.1%
40		168	5.1%
45		14	0.4%
50	An EVEN chance	280	8.5%
55		10	0.3%
60		89	2.7%
62		1	0.0%
65		11	0.3%
70		32	1.0%
72		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		35	1.1%
80		32	1.0%
85		8	0.2%
90		14	0.4%
95		3	0.1%
99		2	0.1%
100	CERTAIN victory	20	0.6%
996	There is no NDP candidate	29	0.9%
998	Don't know anything about RIDING	145	4.4%
997	Don't know what NDP chances are	224	
999	refused	12	
Sysmiss		134	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsi1d: The Alliance party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/997/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3262 /-] [Invalid=389 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know anything about riding" for #i1a, #i1b, #i1e, if previously asked.
Literal question	The Alliance party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about riding", go to #j1a.
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO chance for the party	889	27.3%
1		6	0.2%
2		26	0.8%
3		8	0.2%
4		9	0.3%
5		55	1.7%

cpsi1d: The Alliance party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		2	0.1%
7		4	0.1%
8		5	0.2%
10		196	6.0%
12		3	0.1%
13		2	0.1%
15		43	1.3%
16		1	0.0%
20		211	6.5%
24		1	0.0%
25		98	3.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		192	5.9%
35		22	0.7%
38		1	0.0%
40		207	6.3%
44		1	0.0%
45		27	0.8%
47		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		2	0.1%
50	An EVEN chance	411	12.6%
51		2	0.1%
55		7	0.2%
60		143	4.4%
65		24	0.7%
70		83	2.5%
72		1	0.0%
75		73	2.2%
80		96	2.9%
85		18	0.6%
90		57	1.7%
95		17	0.5%
98		5	0.2%
99		2	0.1%
100	CERTAIN victory	120	3.7%
996	There is no ALLIANCE candidate	24	0.7%
998	Don't know anything about RIDING	164	5.0%
997	Don't know what ALLIANCE chances are	246	
999	refused	12	
Sysmiss		131	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsi1e: The Bloc Quebecois chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/997/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=2485 /-]

cpsi1e: The Bloc Quebecois chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING?

Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know anything about riding" for #i1a, #i1b, #i1d, if previously asked.
Literal question	The Bloc Québécois chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? On a scale from 0 to 100, 0 means NO chance for the party, 50 means AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 means CERTAIN victory.
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "don't know anything about riding", go to #j1a.
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO chance for the party	128	11.0%
1		1	0.1%
2		1	0.1%
5		4	0.3%
6		1	0.1%
10		24	2.1%
15		6	0.5%
20		22	1.9%
24		1	0.1%
25		18	1.5%
30		32	2.7%
35		8	0.7%
40		59	5.1%
45		20	1.7%
47		1	0.1%
50	An EVEN chance	280	24.0%
51		2	0.2%
52		1	0.1%
55		12	1.0%
60		92	7.9%
65		16	1.4%
68		1	0.1%
70		39	3.3%
75		55	4.7%
80		60	5.1%
85		6	0.5%
90		34	2.9%
93		1	0.1%
95		6	0.5%
96		1	0.1%
97		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
100	CERTAIN victory	136	11.7%
996	There is no BQ candidate	0	
998	Don't know anything about RIDING	96	8.2%
997	Don't know what BQ chances are	80	
999	refused	5	
Sysmiss		2400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1a: In your view which party would be BEST at protecting the environment?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2330 /-] [Invalid=1321 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In your view which party would be BEST at protecting the environment?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	146	6.3%
1	Liberal	614	26.4%
2	Conservative	213	9.1%
3	NDP	677	29.1%
4	Alliance	314	13.5%
5	Bloc	186	8.0%
7	They are all about the same	107	4.6%
97	Other	73	3.1%
98	don't know	1303	
99	refused	18	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1b: Which party would do BEST at handling Canada's international relations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2699 /-] [Invalid=952 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Handling Canada's international relations? Which party would do BEST at handling Canada's international relations?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	67	2.5%
1	Liberal	1632	60.5%
2	Conservative	406	15.0%
3	NDP	101	3.7%
4	Alliance	306	11.3%
5	Bloc	82	3.0%
7	They are all about the same	93	3.4%
97	Other	12	0.4%
98	don't know	937	
99	refused	15	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1c: In your view, which party would be BEST at reducing the Federal debt?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2757 /-] [Invalid=894 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Reducing the Federal debt? In your view, which party would be BEST at reducing the Federal debt?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know", or resfuses to answer 3 or more questions in Section #j1, go to #j5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

cpsj1c: In your view, which party would be BEST at reducing the Federal debt?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	75	2.7%
1	Liberal	1276	46.3%
2	Conservative	324	11.8%
3	NDP	123	4.5%
4	Alliance	765	27.7%
5	Bloc	117	4.2%
7	They are all about the same	67	2.4%
97	Other	10	0.4%
98	don't know	878	
99	refused	16	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1d: Which party would do BEST at fighting crime?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2519 /-] [Invalid=1132 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer for 3 or more questions in Section #j1.
Literal question	Fighting crime? Which party would do BEST at fighting crime?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know" , or resfuses to answer 3 or more questions in Section #j1, go to #j5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	90	3.6%
1	Liberal	738	29.3%
2	Conservative	212	8.4%
3	NDP	135	5.4%
4	Alliance	840	33.3%
5	Bloc	362	14.4%
7	They are all about the same	131	5.2%
97	Other	11	0.4%
98	don't know	562	
99	refused	7	
Sysmiss		563	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1e: In your view, which party would be BEST at improving health care?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2606 /-] [Invalid=1045 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer for 3 or more questions in Section #j1.
Literal question	Improving health care? In your view, which party would be BEST at improving health care?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know" , or resfuses to answer 3 or more questions in Section #j1, go to #j5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	99	3.8%
1	Liberal	698	26.8%

cpsj1e: In your view, which party would be BEST at improving health care?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Conservative	257	9.9%
3	NDP	738	28.3%
4	Alliance	448	17.2%
5	Bloc	275	10.6%
7	They are all about the same	84	3.2%
97	Other	7	0.3%
98	don't know	259	
99	refused	5	
Sysmiss		781	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1f: In your view, which party would be BEST at promoting traditional family values?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2421 /-] [Invalid=1230 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer for 3 or more questions in Section #j1.
Literal question	Promoting traditional family values? In your view, which party would be BEST at promoting traditional family values?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know" , or resfuses to answer 3 or more questions in Section #j1, go to #j5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	80	3.3%
1	Liberal	543	22.4%
2	Conservative	248	10.2%
3	NDP	282	11.6%
4	Alliance	989	40.9%
5	Bloc	190	7.8%
7	They are all about the same	82	3.4%
97	Other	7	0.3%
98	don't know	310	
99	refused	18	
Sysmiss		902	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1g: Which party would do BEST at improving social welfare programmes?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2451 /-] [Invalid=1200 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer for 3 or more questions in Section #j1.
Literal question	Improving social welfare programmes? Which party would do BEST at improving social welfare programmes?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know" , or resfuses to answer 3 or more questions in Section #j1, go to #j5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	51	2.1%
1	Liberal	668	27.3%
2	Conservative	163	6.7%

cpsj1g: Which party would do BEST at improving social welfare programmes?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	NDP	990	40.4%
4	Alliance	275	11.2%
5	Bloc	219	8.9%
7	They are all about the same	75	3.1%
97	Other	10	0.4%
98	don't know	201	
99	refused	2	
Sysmiss		997	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1h: In your view, which party would be BEST at cutting taxes?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2416 /-] [Invalid=1235 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer for 3 or more questions in Section #j1.
Literal question	Cutting taxes? In your view, which party would be BEST at cutting taxes?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know" , or resfuses to answer 3 or more questions in Section #j1, go to #j5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	98	4.1%
1	Liberal	787	32.6%
2	Conservative	268	11.1%
3	NDP	136	5.6%
4	Alliance	876	36.3%
5	Bloc	165	6.8%
7	They are all about the same	79	3.3%
97	Other	7	0.3%
98	don't know	145	
99	refused	0	
Sysmiss		1090	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1i: In your view, which party would do BEST at preserving national unity?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2291 /-] [Invalid=1360 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer for 3 or more questions in Section #j1.
Literal question	Preserving national unity? In your view, which party would do BEST at preserving national unity?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know" , or resfuses to answer 3 or more questions in Section #j1, go to #j5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	59	2.6%
1	Liberal	1378	60.1%
2	Conservative	306	13.4%
3	NDP	92	4.0%

cpsj1i: In your view, which party would do BEST at preserving national unity?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Alliance	270	11.8%
5	Bloc	96	4.2%
7	They are all about the same	77	3.4%
97	Other	13	0.6%
98	don't know	200	
99	refused	2	
Sysmiss		1158	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1j: In your view, which party would do BEST at creating jobs?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2226 /-] [Invalid=1425 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer for 3 or more questions in Section #j1.
Literal question	In your view, which party would do BEST at creating jobs?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know", or resfuses to answer 3 or more questions in Section #j1, go to #j5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	96	4.3%
1	Liberal	1033	46.4%
2	Conservative	227	10.2%
3	NDP	216	9.7%
4	Alliance	375	16.8%
5	Bloc	170	7.6%
7	They are all about the same	100	4.5%
97	Other	9	0.4%
98	don't know	183	
99	refused	3	
Sysmiss		1239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1k: In your view, which party would be BEST at dealing with provincial governments?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2157 /-] [Invalid=1494 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know" for 3 or more questions in Section #j1.
Literal question	In your view, which party would be BEST at dealing with provincial governments?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know" to 3 or more question in Section #j1, go to #j5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	106	4.9%
1	Liberal	798	37.0%
2	Conservative	419	19.4%
3	NDP	176	8.2%
4	Alliance	424	19.7%
5	Bloc	151	7.0%

cpsj1k: In your view, which party would be BEST at dealing with provincial governments?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	They are all about the same	78	3.6%
97	Other	5	0.2%
98	don't know	190	
99	refused	2	
Sysmiss		1302	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj1l: Which party would do BEST at defending the interests of Quebec?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=720 /-] [Invalid=2931 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know", or refused to answer for 3 or more questions in Section #j1.
Literal question	Which party would do BEST at defending the interests of Quebec?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know" to 3 or more question in Section #j1, go to #j5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do NOT read party names.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	12	1.7%
1	Liberal	155	21.5%
2	Conservative	19	2.6%
3	NDP	1	0.1%
4	Alliance	12	1.7%
5	Bloc	496	68.9%
7	They are all about the same	23	3.2%
97	Other	2	0.3%
98	don't know	11	
99	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2920	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj5: Do you think the gap between rich and poor in Canada has INCREASED, DECREASED, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME over the last few years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3513 /-] [Invalid=138 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think the gap between rich and poor in Canada has INCREASED, DECREASED, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME over the last few years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increased	2318	66.0%
3	Decreased	179	5.1%
5	Stayed the same	1016	28.9%
8	don't know	136	
9	refused	2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj9: In general, would you say that Canada's Aboriginal peoples are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Canadians?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

cpsj9: In general, would you say that Canada's Aboriginal peoples are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Canadians?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3412 /-] [Invalid=239 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In general, would you say that Canada's Aboriginal peoples are BETTER OFF, WORSE OFF, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Canadians?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If asked aboriginal people are; Indians, Inuit (Eskimo), and Metis.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	996	29.2%
3	Worse off	1315	38.5%
5	About the same	1101	32.3%
8	don't know	219	
9	refused	20	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj10: Do you think the income gap between Canadians and Americans has INCREASED, DECREASED, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME over the last few years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3301 /-] [Invalid=350 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think the income gap between Canadians and Americans has INCREASED, DECREASED, or STAYED ABOUT THE SAME over the last few years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Increased	1493	45.2%
3	Decreased	581	17.6%
5	Stayed the same	1227	37.2%
8	don't know	343	
9	refused	7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj18: Do you think Canada should admit more immigrants, fewer immigrants, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3513 /-] [Invalid=138 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think Canada should admit more immigrants, fewer immigrants, or about the same as now?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	494	14.1%
3	Fewer	1293	36.8%
5	About the same as now	1726	49.1%
8	don't know	127	
9	refused	11	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj19: Do you think that pollution in Canada has got worse, got better or stayed about the same in the last few years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3530 /-] [Invalid=121 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsj19: Do you think that pollution in Canada has got worse, got better or stayed about the same in the last few years?

Literal question	Do you think that pollution in Canada has got worse, got better or stayed about the same in the last few years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Got worse	1873	53.1%
3	Got better	498	14.1%
5	Stayed the same	1159	32.8%
8	don't know	120	
9	refused	1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj50: Do you think that crime in Canada has gone up, gone down or stayed about the same in the last few years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3566 /-] [Invalid=85 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think that crime in Canada has gone up, gone down or stayed about the same in the last few years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gone up	1872	52.5%
3	Gone down	602	16.9%
5	Stayed the same	1092	30.6%
8	don't know	85	
9	refused	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj51: Which is the BEST way to deal with young offenders who commit violent crime: ONE: give them tougher sentences, or TWO: spend more on rehabilitating them?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3509 /-] [Invalid=142 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which is the BEST way to deal with young offenders who commit violent crime: ONE: give them tougher sentences, or TWO: spend more on rehabilitating them?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tougher sentences	1664	47.4%
2	Rehabilitating them	1420	40.5%
7	Both	292	8.3%
97	Other	133	3.8%
98	don't know	135	
99	refused	7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj12: In general, does the federal government treat your Province BETTER, WORSE, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Provinces?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3427 /-] [Invalid=224 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In general, does the federal government treat your Province BETTER, WORSE, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Provinces?
Notes	Territories deleted.

cpsj12: In general, does the federal government treat your Province BETTER, WORSE, or ABOUT THE SAME as other Provinces?

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	463	13.5%
3	Worse	1210	35.3%
5	About the same	1754	51.2%
8	don't know	218	
9	refused	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsj13: Do political parties keep their election promises MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or HARDLY EVER?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3567 /-] [Invalid=84 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do political parties keep their election promises MOST OF THE TIME, SOME OF THE TIME, or HARDLY EVER?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Parties in general.

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most of the time	209	5.9%
3	Some of the time	1865	52.3%
5	Hardly ever	1464	41.0%
7	Depends which party	29	0.8%
8	don't know	77	
9	refused	7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpstime1: Time Stamp - Beginning of Vote Experiment <K1A--K3B>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2359] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-] [Mean=1759.346 /-] [StdDev=379.847 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpstime2: Time Stamp - End of First Vote Item <K1A--K3B>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2359] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-] [Mean=1759.385 /-] [StdDev=380.113 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpstime3: Time Stamp - End of Vote Experiment <K1A--K3B>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2359] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-] [Mean=1759.418 /-] [StdDev=380.307 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cpsk1a: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1655 /-] [Invalid=1996 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design, half of respondents are asked #k1a-#k4a, the other half are asked #k1b-k3b based on the generated value of Random Number 1.
Literal question	In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec), Alliance, Conservative, N.D.P, or none of these?

cpsk1a: In federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a:.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None of these	453	27.4%
1	Liberal	575	34.7%
2	Bloc Quebecois	167	10.1%
3	Alliance	212	12.8%
4	Conservative	144	8.7%
5	NDP	92	5.6%
97	Other	12	0.7%
98	don't know	136	
99	refused	81	
Sysmiss		1779	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsk2a: How strongly do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1178 /-] [Invalid=2473 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design, half of respondents are asked #k1a-#k4a, the other half are asked #k1b-k3b based on the generated value of Random Number 1. Asked only to respondents who identified a party in #k1a.
Literal question	How strongly [party identified in #k1a] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
Post-question	Go to #k5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	366	31.1%
3	Fairly strongly	600	50.9%
5	Not very strongly	212	18.0%
8	don't know	10	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		2462	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsk3a: Do you generally think of yourself as being a LITTLE closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=537 /-] [Invalid=3114 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design, half of respondents are asked #k1a-#k4a, the other half are asked #k1b-k3b based on the generated value of Random Number 1. Asked only to respondents who answered "none of these", or "don't know" for #k1a.
Literal question	Do you generally think of yourself as being a LITTLE closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #k4a. All others go to #k5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	176	32.8%
5	No	361	67.2%
8	don't know	47	
9	refused	5	
Sysmiss		3062	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsk4a: Which party is that?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=159 /-] [Invalid=3492 /-]

cpsk4a: Which party is that?

Universe	Split-sample design, half of respondents are asked #k1a-#k4a, the other half are asked #k1b-k3b based on the generated value of Random Number 1. Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #k3a.		
Literal question	Which party is that?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	3	1.9%
1	Liberal	56	35.2%
2	Bloc Quebecois	1	0.6%
3	Alliance	32	20.1%
4	Conservative	24	15.1%
5	NDP	34	21.4%
97	Other	9	5.7%
98	don't know	10	
99	refused	7	
Sysmiss		3475	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsk1b: Generally speaking, in federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a :

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1662 /-] [Invalid=1989 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design, half of respondents are asked #k1a-#k4a, the other half are asked #k1b-k3b based on the generated value of Random Number 1.
Literal question	Generally speaking, in federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec), Alliance, Conservative, NDP (New Democratic Party), or do you usually think of yourself as not having a general preference?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	433	26.1%
2	Bloc Quebecois	168	10.1%
3	Alliance	131	7.9%
4	Conservative	124	7.5%
5	NDP	82	4.9%
7	No preference	724	43.6%
98	don't know	63	
99	refused	54	
Sysmiss		1872	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsk2b: Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a very strong, a fairly strong, or a not very strong:...?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=936 /-] [Invalid=2715 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design, half of respondents are asked #k1a-#k4a, the other half are asked #k1b-k3b based on the generated value of Random Number 1. Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #k1b
Literal question	Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a very strong, a fairly strong, or a not very strong [party identified in #k1b]?
Post-question	Go to #k5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	225	24.0%

cpsk2b: Generally speaking, do you usually think of yourself as a very strong, a fairly strong, or a not very strong:...?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Fairly strong	494	52.8%
5	Not very strong	217	23.2%
98	don't know	13	
99	refused	27	
Sysmiss		2675	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsk3b: Generally speaking, in federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as more inclined to support the...?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1037 /-] [Invalid=2614 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design, half of respondents are asked #k1a-#k4a, the other half are asked #k1b-k3b based on the generated value of Random Number 1. Asked only to respondents who did not identify a party for #k1b.
Literal question	Generally speaking, in federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as more inclined to support the Liberal Party, Bloc Québécois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec), the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the NDP (the New Democratic Party), or do you usually think of yourself as not being more inclined to support any of these parties?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	235	22.7%
2	Bloc Quebecois	193	18.6%
3	Alliance	58	5.6%
4	Conservative	22	2.1%
5	NDP	38	3.7%
7	No preference	491	47.3%
98	don't know	74	
99	refused	14	
Sysmiss		2526	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsk5: Do you happen to recall if you voted in the 1997 Federal election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3554 /-] [Invalid=97 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to recall if you voted in the 1997 Federal election?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #k6. All others go to #k7.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2915	82.0%
5	No	560	15.8%
7	Not eligible (too young/not a Cdn citizen)	79	2.2%
8	don't know	91	
9	refused	6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsk6: Which party did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2381 /-] [Invalid=1270 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the 1997 election, as indicated in #k5.

cpsk6: Which party did you vote for?

Literal question	Which party did you vote for?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1178	49.5%
2	Conservative	341	14.3%
3	NDP	206	8.7%
4	Reform	238	10.0%
5	Bloc Quebecois	370	15.5%
97	Other	48	2.0%
98	don't know	275	
99	refused	261	
Sysmiss		734	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsk7: Is there any Federal political party that is just too extreme?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2951 /-] [Invalid=700 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Is there any Federal political party that is just too extreme?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	134	4.5%
1	Liberal	118	4.0%
2	Conservative	34	1.2%
3	NDP	207	7.0%
4	Alliance	714	24.2%
5	Bloc Quebecois	363	12.3%
6	Bloc and Alliance	33	1.1%
97	No	1348	45.7%
98	don't know	655	
99	refused	45	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsdeb1: Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2097 /-] [Invalid=1554 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?
Notes	#deb1 matches #debate1 in documentation. First asked November 10, 2000 at 9:00am.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	708	33.8%
5	No	1389	66.2%
8	don't know	9	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		1545	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsdeb1b: Which leader did the BEST in that debate?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=981 /-] [Invalid=2670 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In your opinion, which leader did the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw the English TV debate) / From what you have heard, which leader did the BEST in that debate? (All others)
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read list.
Notes	#deb1b matches #debate1b in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	76	7.7%
1	Chretien	125	12.7%
2	Clark	499	50.9%
3	Day	193	19.7%
4	Duceppe	41	4.2%
5	McDonough	47	4.8%
8	don't know	466	
9	refused	5	
Sysmiss		2199	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsdeb1c: And who did the WORST?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=869 /-] [Invalid=2782 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And who did the WORST?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read list.
Notes	#deb1c matches #debate1c in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	33	3.8%
1	Chretien	375	43.2%
2	Clark	53	6.1%
3	Day	195	22.4%
4	Duceppe	63	7.2%
5	McDonough	150	17.3%
8	don't know	577	
9	refused	6	
Sysmiss		2199	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsdeb2: Did you see the FRENCH TV debate among the party leaders?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2171 /-] [Invalid=1480 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you see the FRENCH TV debate?
Notes	#deb2 matches #debate2 in documentation. First asked November 9, 2000, at 12:40pm.

cpsdeb2: Did you see the FRENCH TV debate among the party leaders?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	532	24.5%
5	No	1639	75.5%
8	don't know	32	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		1446	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsdeb2b: Which leader did the BEST in that debate?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=776 /-] [Invalid=2875 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In your opinion, who did the BEST in that debate? (Asked to respondents who saw the French TV debate) / From what you have heard, who did the BEST in that debate? (All others)
Notes	#deb2b matches #debate2b in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	59	7.6%
1	Chretien	259	33.4%
2	Clark	240	30.9%
3	Day	34	4.4%
4	Duceppe	173	22.3%
5	McDonough	11	1.4%
8	don't know	931	
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		1937	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsdeb2c: And who did the WORST?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=711 /-] [Invalid=2940 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And who did the WORST?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read list.
Notes	#deb2c matches #debate2c in documentation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	34	4.8%
1	Chretien	125	17.6%
2	Clark	39	5.5%
3	Day	308	43.3%
4	Duceppe	16	2.3%
5	McDonough	189	26.6%
8	don't know	995	
9	refused	8	
Sysmiss		1937	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsl4: Where do you get MOST of your information about the Federal election: TV, radio, newspapers, the internet, family, or friends?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3595 /-] [Invalid=56 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Where do you get MOST of your information about the Federal election: TV, radio, newspapers, the internet, family, or friends?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Don't get information	54	1.5%
1	Television	1965	54.7%
2	Radio	389	10.8%
3	Newspapers	766	21.3%
4	Internet	44	1.2%
5	Family	140	3.9%
6	Friends	176	4.9%
7	Other	61	1.7%
8	don't know	53	
9	refused	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsl12: Do you recall the name of the Government Leader/Premier of...?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3080 /-] [Invalid=571 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to see how widely known some political figures are.
Literal question	Do you recall the name of the The Government Leader of [territory respondent resides in]? (If respondent resides in Yukon, or the Northwestern Territories) / Do you recall the name of the Premier of [province respondent resides in]? (All others)
Notes	#l5-#l9 deleted. Tulk added in this version.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Any other name	79	2.6%
10	Brian Tobin / Beaton Tulk	124	4.0%
11	Pat Binns	43	1.4%
12	Dr. John Hamm	95	3.1%
13	Bernard Lord	104	3.4%
24	Lucien Bouchard	1090	35.4%
35	Mike Harris	811	26.3%
46	Gary Doer	86	2.8%
47	Roy Romanow	94	3.1%
48	Ralph Klein	291	9.4%
59	Ujjal Dosanjh	263	8.5%
98	don't know	564	
99	refused	7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsl11: Do you recall the name of the Minister of Finance of Canada?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3648 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]

# cpsl11: Do you recall the name of the Minister of Finance of Canada?				
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	The Minister of Finance of Canada? Do you recall the name of the Minister of Finance of Canada?			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
1	Martin / Paul Martin	2362		64.7%
5	Any other name	98	2.7%	
8	don't know	1188	32.6%	
9	refused	3		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

cpsl13: Do you happen to recall the name of the Prime Minister of Canada at the time of the Free Trade Agreement with the United States?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3648 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The Prime Minister of Canada at the time of the Free Trade Agreement with the United States? Do you happen to recall the name of the Prime Minister of Canada at the time of the Free Trade Agreement with the United States?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mulroney / Brian Mulroney	1958	53.7%
5	Any other answer	685	18.8%
8	don't know	1005	27.5%
9	refused	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsl14: And do you happen to know the capital of the United States?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3648 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And do you happen to know the capital of the United States?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Washington DC	3011	82.5%
5	All other answers	115	3.2%
8	don't know	522	14.3%
9	refused	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsl20: Are you very angry that the Federal election was called early, somewhat angry, or not angry at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3471 /-] [Invalid=180 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Are you very angry that the Federal election was called early, somewhat angry, or not angry at all?
Notes	Wording change effective Monday October 30, 2000.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very angry	403	11.6%
3	Somewhat angry	830	23.9%
5	Not angry at all	2238	64.5%
8	don't know	175	
9	refused	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsl21: Do you happen to recall which party is saying that high taxes have produced a brain drain from Canada to the US?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3105 /-] [Invalid=546 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to recall which party is saying that high taxes have produced a brain drain from Canada to the US?
Notes	Added November 1, 2000.

cpsl21: Do you happen to recall which party is saying that high taxes have produced a brain drain from Canada to the US?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Alliance	651	21.0%
3	Alliance and another party	27	0.9%
5	Other answers	562	18.1%
8	don't know	1865	60.1%
9	refused	13	
Sysmiss		533	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsl22: Do you happen to remember which party is saying that tougher sentences will NOT reduce youth violence?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2419 /-] [Invalid=1232 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to remember which party is saying that tougher sentences will NOT reduce youth violence?
Notes	Added November 7, 2000.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bloc Quebecois	137	5.7%
2	NDP	214	8.8%
3	Bloc Quebecois and NDP	1	0.0%
4	Bloc Quebecois and another party	1	0.0%
5	NPD and another party	13	0.5%
6	Other answers	438	18.1%
8	don't know	1615	66.8%
9	refused	6	
Sysmiss		1226	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsl23: Do you happen to recall which party says that a strong federal government is essential to preserve the Canadian health system?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1501 /-] [Invalid=2150 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to recall which party says that a strong federal government is essential to preserve the Canadian health system?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	623	41.5%
2	NDP	55	3.7%
3	Liberal and NDP	3	0.2%
4	Liberal and other(s)	4	0.3%
5	NDP and others	3	0.2%
6	Other answers	104	6.9%
8	don't know	709	47.2%
9	refused	5	
Sysmiss		2145	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsage: In what year were you born?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1902-9999] [Missing=*/9999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3619 /-] [Invalid=32 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians, we need to get a little information about your background.
Literal question	First, in what year were you born?
Interviewer's instructions	Enter year of birth please.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1902		1	0.0%
1903		1	0.0%
1905		1	0.0%
1906		1	0.0%
1907		1	0.0%
1908		2	0.1%
1909		4	0.1%
1910		2	0.1%
1911		2	0.1%
1912		4	0.1%
1913		5	0.1%
1914		2	0.1%
1915		8	0.2%
1916		10	0.3%
1917		10	0.3%
1918		21	0.6%
1919		11	0.3%
1920		15	0.4%
1921		32	0.9%
1922		31	0.9%
1923		23	0.6%
1924		30	0.8%
1925		35	1.0%
1926		21	0.6%
1927		29	0.8%
1928		36	1.0%
1929		43	1.2%
1930		38	1.1%
1931		35	1.0%
1932		32	0.9%
1933		37	1.0%
1934		39	1.1%
1935		46	1.3%
1936		42	1.2%
1937		57	1.6%
1938		43	1.2%
1939		48	1.3%
1940		68	1.9%

cpsage: In what year were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1941		46	1.3%
1942		44	1.2%
1943		67	1.9%
1944		50	1.4%
1945		50	1.4%
1946		64	1.8%
1947		74	2.0%
1948		69	1.9%
1949		67	1.9%
1950		80	2.2%
1951		60	1.7%
1952		75	2.1%
1953		53	1.5%
1954		79	2.2%
1955		71	2.0%
1956		87	2.4%
1957		68	1.9%
1958		102	2.8%
1959		94	2.6%
1960		94	2.6%
1961		90	2.5%
1962		81	2.2%
1963		78	2.2%
1964		86	2.4%
1965		83	2.3%
1966		67	1.9%
1967		69	1.9%
1968		51	1.4%
1969		69	1.9%
1970		58	1.6%
1971		61	1.7%
1972		57	1.6%
1973		66	1.8%
1974		53	1.5%
1975		49	1.4%
1976		61	1.7%
1977		52	1.4%
1978		51	1.4%
1979		53	1.5%
1980		54	1.5%
1981		42	1.2%
1982		28	0.8%
9999	Refused	31	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm2: Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3627 /-] [Invalid=24 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married	1765	48.7%
2	Living with a partner	382	10.5%
3	Divorced	296	8.2%
4	Separated	127	3.5%
5	Widowed	301	8.3%
6	Never married	756	20.8%
8	don't know	5	
9	refused	19	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm3: What is the highest level of education that you have completed?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3624 /-] [Invalid=27 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No schooling	7	0.2%
2	Some elementary school	113	3.1%
3	Completed elementary school	126	3.5%
4	Some secondary / high school	564	15.6%
5	Completed secondary / high school	889	24.5%
6	Some technical, CAAT, CEGEP,...	265	7.3%
7	Completed technical, CAAT, CEGEP,...	606	16.7%
8	Some university	280	7.7%
9	Bachelor's degree	582	16.1%
10	Master's degree	134	3.7%
11	Professional degree or doctorate	58	1.6%
98	don't know	7	
99	refused	20	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm4: Are you presently self employed, working for pay, are you unemployed, retired, a student, or a homemaker?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3620 /-] [Invalid=31 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Are you presently self employed, working for pay, are you unemployed, retired, a student, or a homemaker?
Post-question	If respondent answers "working for pay full time" or "working for pay part time", go to #m7. All others go to #m9.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed	436	12.0%

cpsm4: Are you presently self employed, working for pay, are you unemployed, retired, a student, or a homemaker?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Working for pay full time	1547	42.7%
3	Working for pay part time	213	5.9%
4	Unemployed	174	4.8%
5	Retired	804	22.2%
6	Student	180	5.0%
7	Homemaker	224	6.2%
8	Disabled	42	1.2%
98	don't know	18	
99	refused	13	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm7: Do you work for a private company, in the public sector, such as a hospital, school or municipality, in a government owned company, or a Federal or Provincial government ministry?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1712 /-] [Invalid=1939 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are working full time or part time for pay, as indicated in #m4.
Literal question	Do you work for a private company, in the public sector, such as a hospital, school or municipality, in a government owned company, or a Federal or Provincial government ministry?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Working	19	1.1%
1	Private company	1063	62.1%
3	Public sector, such as a hospital...	478	27.9%
5	Government owned company	49	2.9%
7	Federal or provincial government ministry	103	6.0%
8	don't know	45	
9	refused	5	
Sysmiss		1889	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm9: Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3615 /-] [Invalid=36 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1091	30.2%
5	No	2524	69.8%
8	don't know	27	
9	refused	9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm10: What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Islam, another religion, or none?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3576 /-] [Invalid=75 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Islam, another religion, or none?

# cpsm10: What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Islam, another religion, or none?			
Post-question		If respondent answers "Protestant", go to #m10a. All others go to #m10b.	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	496	13.9%
1	Protestant	1025	28.7%
2	Catholic	1751	49.0%
3	Jewish	34	1.0%
4	Islam	20	0.6%
5	Other religion	243	6.8%
9		7	0.2%
98	don't know	12	
99	refused	63	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpsm10a: What church or denomination is that?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=972 /-] [Invalid=2679 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are Protestant, as indicated in #m10.
Literal question	What church or denomination is that?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	96	9.9%
1	Anglican	219	22.5%
2	United Church of Canada	369	38.0%
3	Presbyterian	64	6.6%
4	Baptist	74	7.6%
5	Lutheran	60	6.2%
6	Christian Reformed	26	2.7%
7	Pentecostal / Fundamentalist /...	60	6.2%
96		4	0.4%
98	don't know	50	
99	refused	2	
Sysmiss		2627	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# cpsm10b: In your life, would you say religion is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3595 /-] [Invalid=56 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In your life, would you say religion is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1131	31.5%
3	Somewhat important	1484	41.3%
5	Not very important	589	16.4%
7	Not important at all	391	10.9%
8	don't know	29	

cpsm10b: In your life, would you say religion is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	refused	26	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm11: In what country were you born?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3633 /-] [Invalid=18 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In what country were you born?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #n6a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	171	4.7%
1	Canada	3133	86.2%
2	USA	28	0.8%
3	Puerto Rico	8	0.2%
4	Brazil	4	0.1%
5	Argentina	2	0.1%
6	Switzerland	13	0.4%
7	Australia	1	0.0%
8	Iceland	1	0.0%
9	Lithuania	32	0.9%
10	Austria	2	0.1%
11	Britain	28	0.8%
12	France	3	0.1%
13	Germany	24	0.7%
14	Greece	4	0.1%
15	Ireland	6	0.2%
16	Italy	13	0.4%
17	Netherlands	14	0.4%
18	Portugal	4	0.1%
19	Scotland	14	0.4%
20	Spain	0	
21	Wales	0	
22	Estonia	0	
23	Belarus	0	
25	Denmark	2	0.1%
26	Finland	0	
27	Norway	0	
28	Sweden	0	
30	Bulgaria	0	
31	Czech Republic	4	0.1%
32	Hungary	6	0.2%
33	Poland	10	0.3%
34	Rumania	3	0.1%

cpsm11: In what country were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35	Russia	8	0.2%
36	Slovakia	1	0.0%
37	Ukraine	0	
38	Turkey	3	0.1%
39	Thailand	0	
40	Albania	0	
41	Bosnia	0	
42	Croatia	1	0.0%
43	Macedonia	0	
44	Serbia	0	
45	Slovenia	1	0.0%
46	Yugoslavia	4	0.1%
47	Saudi Arabia	0	
48	Jordan	0	
49	Egypt	0	
50	Belize	0	
51	Chile	0	
52	Colombia	0	
53	Costa Rica	0	
54	El Salvador	2	0.1%
55	Guatemala	0	
56	Guyana	5	0.1%
57	Honduras	0	
58	Mexico	1	0.0%
59	Nicaragua	0	
60	Venezuela	0	
61	Morocco	1	0.0%
62	Algeria	0	
63	Tunisia	0	
64	Libya	0	
65	Bahamas	1	0.0%
66	Barbados	1	0.0%
67	Bermuda	0	
68	Dominica	0	
69	Grenada	0	
70	Haiti	0	
71	Jamaica	5	0.1%
72	Trinidad	5	0.1%
73	Senegal	0	
74	Ivory Coast	0	
75	China	5	0.1%
76	Hong Kong	10	0.3%
77	Japan	2	0.1%
78	Korea	2	0.1%
79	Philippines	9	0.2%

cpsm11: In what country were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80	Taiwan	2	0.1%
81	Vietnam	4	0.1%
85	Bangladesh	0	
86	India	11	0.3%
87	Pakistan	3	0.1%
88	Sri Lanka	6	0.2%
90	Iran	5	0.1%
91	Iraq	0	
92	Israel	1	0.0%
93	Lebanon	1	0.0%
95	Ethiopia	2	0.1%
96	Nigeria	1	0.0%
97	Somalia	0	
98	don't know	0	
99	refused	18	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm12: In what year did you come to live in Canada?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1906-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=470 /-] [Invalid=3181 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who were not born in Canada, as indicated in #m12.
Literal question	In what year did you come to live in Canada?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1906		1	0.2%
1920		2	0.4%
1922		1	0.2%
1923		1	0.2%
1924		2	0.4%
1925		2	0.4%
1927		1	0.2%
1928		1	0.2%
1929		4	0.9%
1930		1	0.2%
1931		1	0.2%
1932		1	0.2%
1934		1	0.2%
1938		1	0.2%
1939		1	0.2%
1940		1	0.2%
1941		2	0.4%
1942		1	0.2%
1944		1	0.2%
1945		1	0.2%
1946		2	0.4%
1947		2	0.4%

#cpsm12: In what year did you come to live in Canada?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1948		11	2.3%
1949		8	1.7%
1950		4	0.9%
1951		9	1.9%
1952		16	3.4%
1953		9	1.9%
1954		6	1.3%
1955		11	2.3%
1956		8	1.7%
1957		16	3.4%
1958		4	0.9%
1959		7	1.5%
1960		6	1.3%
1961		7	1.5%
1962		4	0.9%
1963		9	1.9%
1964		8	1.7%
1965		11	2.3%
1966		4	0.9%
1967		14	3.0%
1968		10	2.1%
1969		12	2.6%
1970		13	2.8%
1971		8	1.7%
1972		17	3.6%
1973		14	3.0%
1974		15	3.2%
1975		13	2.8%
1976		9	1.9%
1977		4	0.9%
1978		3	0.6%
1979		9	1.9%
1980		12	2.6%
1981		9	1.9%
1982		6	1.3%
1983		3	0.6%
1984		4	0.9%
1985		3	0.6%
1986		7	1.5%
1987		5	1.1%
1988		4	0.9%
1989		8	1.7%
1990		16	3.4%
1991		10	2.1%
1992		11	2.3%

cpsm12: In what year did you come to live in Canada?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1993		11	2.3%
1994		10	2.1%
1995		8	1.7%
1996		7	1.5%
1997		3	0.6%
1998		3	0.6%
9998	D.K.	18	
9999	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		3144	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsn6a: To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3519 /-] [Invalid=132 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong?
Post-question	If respondent answers "Canadian", go to #n7. If respondent answers "Québécois", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #m14. All others, go to #n6b.
Interviewer's instructions	IF NEEDED: "Is your ethnic or cultural background English, French, Polish, Chinese or something else?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	345	9.8%
1	Canadian	908	25.8%
2	Australian	6	0.2%
3	Austrian	7	0.2%
4	Bahamian	1	0.0%
5	Bangladeshi	0	
6	Black/African	6	0.2%
7	British	50	1.4%
8	Chinese	31	0.9%
9	Croatian	5	0.1%
10	Czech	5	0.1%
11	Danish	8	0.2%
12	Dutch	41	1.2%
13	English	821	23.3%
14	Salvadoran	1	0.0%
15	Ethiopian	2	0.1%
16	French	571	16.2%
17	Finnish	5	0.1%
18	German	115	3.3%
19	Greek	7	0.2%
20	Guyanese	5	0.1%
21	Haitian	5	0.1%
22	Holland	3	0.1%
23	Hungarian	12	0.3%
24	Irish	114	3.2%

cpsn6a: To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	Italian	52	1.5%
26	Indian	14	0.4%
27	Israeli	2	0.1%
28	Jamaican	1	0.0%
29	Japanese	3	0.1%
30	Jewish	14	0.4%
31	Korean	2	0.1%
32	Lebanese	5	0.1%
33	Macedonian	0	
34	New Zealander	2	0.1%
35	Netherlands	3	0.1%
36	Nigerian	1	0.0%
37	Norwegian	5	0.1%
38	Pakistani	4	0.1%
39	Filipino	12	0.3%
40	Polish	44	1.3%
41	Portuguese	11	0.3%
42	Russian	15	0.4%
43	Scottish	130	3.7%
44	Serbian	2	0.1%
45	Sikh	1	0.0%
46	Somalian	1	0.0%
47	Slovakian	6	0.2%
48	Spanish	9	0.3%
49	Sri Lankan	4	0.1%
50	Swedish	9	0.3%
51	Tamil	1	0.0%
52	Trinidadian	0	
53	Ukrainian	53	1.5%
54	Vietnamese	4	0.1%
55	Yugoslavian	3	0.1%
56	Welsh	3	0.1%
95	Inuit, Metis, Aboriginal, Native	27	0.8%
96	Quebecois	2	0.1%
98	don't know	79	
99	refused	52	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsn7: In addition to being Canadian, to what ethnic or cultural group did you, or your ancestors belong on first coming to this continent?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=883 /-] [Invalid=2768 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "Canadian" for #n6a.
Literal question	In addition to being Canadian to what ethnic or cultural group did you, or your ancestors belong on first coming to this continent?

cpsn7: In addition to being Canadian, to what ethnic or cultural group did you, or your ancestors belong on first coming to this continent?

Post-question	If respondent answers "Canadian", "Québécois", "don't know", does not mention any other ethnic or cultural group, or refuses to answer go to #s17.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	23	2.6%
1	Canadian	28	3.2%
2	Australian	0	
3	Austrian	4	0.5%
4	Bahamian	0	
5	Bangladeshi	0	
6	Black/African	1	0.1%
7	British	18	2.0%
8	Chinese	0	
9	Croatian	0	
10	Czech	1	0.1%
11	Danish	4	0.5%
12	Dutch	6	0.7%
13	English	81	9.2%
14	Salvadoran	0	
15	Ethiopian	0	
16	French	67	7.6%
17	Finnish	1	0.1%
18	German	22	2.5%
19	Greek	0	
20	Guyanese	0	
21	Haitian	0	
22	Holland	1	0.1%
23	Hungarian	4	0.5%
24	Irish	37	4.2%
25	Italian	6	0.7%
26	Indian	0	
27	Israeli	0	
28	Jamaican	0	
29	Japanese	0	
30	Jewish	0	
31	Korean	0	
32	Lebanese	0	
33	Macedonian	0	
34	New Zealander	0	
35	Netherlands	0	
36	Nigerian	0	
37	Norwegian	2	0.2%
38	Pakistani	0	
39	Filipino	0	
40	Polish	5	0.6%
41	Portuguese	1	0.1%

cpsn7: In addition to being Canadian, to what ethnic or cultural group did you, or your ancestors belong on first coming to this continent?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
42	Russian	7	0.8%
43	Scottish	42	4.8%
44	Serbian	1	0.1%
45	Sikh	0	
46	Somalian	0	
47	Slovakian	0	
48	Spanish	0	
49	Sri Lankan	0	
50	Swedish	4	0.5%
51	Tamil	1	0.1%
52	Trinidadian	1	0.1%
53	Ukrainian	6	0.7%
54	Vietnamese	0	
55	Yugoslavian	0	
56	Welsh	1	0.1%
95	Inuit, Metis, Aboriginal, Native	2	0.2%
96	Quebecois	0	
97	No other mentions, just Cdn	506	57.3%
98	don't know	26	
99	refused	3	
Sysmiss		2739	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsn6b: To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Second mention]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2964 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a second ethnic or cultural group, excluding Canadian and Québécois, for #n7.
Post-question	If respondent mentions Québécois, or does not mention a second ethnic or cultural group, go to #m14.
Interviewer's instructions	Interviewer: Enter SECOND mentioned group here.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	61	2.1%
1	Canadian	77	2.6%
2	Australian	1	0.0%
3	Austrian	3	0.1%
4	Bahamian	0	
5	Bangladeshi	0	
6	Black/African	0	
7	British	9	0.3%
8	Chinese	3	0.1%
9	Croatian	2	0.1%
10	Czech	1	0.0%
11	Danish	2	0.1%
12	Dutch	11	0.4%
13	English	69	2.3%

cpsn6b: To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Second mention]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Salvadoran	0	
15	Ethiopian	0	
16	French	74	2.5%
17	Finnish	1	0.0%
18	German	45	1.5%
19	Greek	3	0.1%
20	Guyanese	0	
21	Haitian	0	
22	Holland	1	0.0%
23	Hungarian	4	0.1%
24	Irish	77	2.6%
25	Italian	8	0.3%
26	Indian	6	0.2%
27	Israeli	0	
28	Jamaican	0	
29	Japanese	0	
30	Jewish	2	0.1%
31	Korean	0	
32	Lebanese	0	
33	Macedonian	0	
34	New Zealander	0	
35	Netherlands	1	0.0%
36	Nigerian	0	
37	Norwegian	9	0.3%
38	Pakistani	0	
39	Filipino	3	0.1%
40	Polish	10	0.3%
41	Portuguese	0	
42	Russian	9	0.3%
43	Scottish	117	3.9%
44	Serbian	0	
45	Sikh	0	
46	Somalian	0	
47	Slovakian	1	0.0%
48	Spanish	3	0.1%
49	Sri Lankan	0	
50	Swedish	10	0.3%
51	Tamil	0	
52	Trinidadian	2	0.1%
53	Ukrainian	21	0.7%
54	Vietnamese	0	
55	Yugoslavian	0	
56	Welsh	5	0.2%
95	Inuit, Metis, Aboriginal, Native	8	0.3%
96	Quebecois	3	0.1%

cpsn6b: To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Second mention]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No SECOND mention	2302	77.7%
98	don't know	11	
99	refused	0	
Sysmiss		676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsn6c: To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Third mention]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=667 /-] [Invalid=2984 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a third ethnic or cultural group, excluding Québécois, for #n7.
Post-question	If respondent mentions Québécois, or does not mention a third ethnic or cultural group, go to #m14.
Interviewer's instructions	Interviewer: Enter THIRD mentioned group here.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	9	1.3%
1	Canadian	16	2.4%
2	Australian	1	0.1%
3	Austrian	0	
4	Bahamian	0	
5	Bangladeshi	0	
6	Black/African	0	
7	British	0	
8	Chinese	0	
9	Croatian	2	0.3%
10	Czech	0	
11	Danish	2	0.3%
12	Dutch	5	0.7%
13	English	15	2.2%
14	Salvadoran	0	
15	Ethiopian	0	
16	French	12	1.8%
17	Finnish	1	0.1%
18	German	11	1.6%
19	Greek	0	
20	Guyanese	0	
21	Haitian	0	
22	Holland	0	
23	Hungarian	0	
24	Irish	27	4.0%
25	Italian	5	0.7%
26	Indian	4	0.6%
27	Israeli	0	
28	Jamaican	0	
29	Japanese	0	
30	Jewish	1	0.1%
31	Korean	0	

cpsn6c: To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Third mention]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
32	Lebanese	0	
33	Macedonian	0	
34	New Zealander	0	
35	Netherlands	0	
36	Nigerian	0	
37	Norwegian	1	0.1%
38	Pakistani	0	
39	Filipino	0	
40	Polish	1	0.1%
41	Portuguese	0	
42	Russian	2	0.3%
43	Scottish	31	4.6%
44	Serbian	0	
45	Sikh	0	
46	Somalian	0	
47	Slovakian	0	
48	Spanish	0	
49	Sri Lankan	0	
50	Swedish	5	0.7%
51	Tamil	0	
52	Trinidadian	0	
53	Ukrainian	0	
54	Vietnamese	0	
55	Yugoslavian	0	
56	Welsh	3	0.4%
95	Inuit, Metis, Aboriginal, Native	6	0.9%
96	Quebecois	0	
97	No THIRD mention	507	76.0%
98	don't know	5	
99	refused	2	
Sysmiss		2977	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsn6d: To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Fourth mention]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=168 /-] [Invalid=3483 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a fourth ethnic or cultural group, excluding Québécois, for #n7.
Interviewer's instructions	Interviewer: Enter FOURTH mentioned group here.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	3	1.8%
1	Canadian	7	4.2%
2	Australian	0	
3	Austrian	0	
4	Bahamian	0	
5	Bangladeshi	0	

cpsn6d: To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Fourth mention]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Black/African	0	
7	British	2	1.2%
8	Chinese	0	
9	Croatian	0	
10	Czech	0	
11	Danish	1	0.6%
12	Dutch	3	1.8%
13	English	3	1.8%
14	Salvadoran	0	
15	Ethiopian	0	
16	French	4	2.4%
17	Finnish	1	0.6%
18	German	4	2.4%
19	Greek	0	
20	Guyanese	0	
21	Haitian	0	
22	Holland	0	
23	Hungarian	0	
24	Irish	3	1.8%
25	Italian	0	
26	Indian	0	
27	Israeli	0	
28	Jamaican	0	
29	Japanese	0	
30	Jewish	0	
31	Korean	0	
32	Lebanese	0	
33	Macedonian	0	
34	New Zealander	0	
35	Netherlands	0	
36	Nigerian	0	
37	Norwegian	0	
38	Pakistani	0	
39	Filipino	0	
40	Polish	0	
41	Portuguese	0	
42	Russian	3	1.8%
43	Scottish	15	8.9%
44	Serbian	0	
45	Sikh	0	
46	Somalian	0	
47	Slovakian	0	
48	Spanish	0	
49	Sri Lankan	1	0.6%
50	Swedish	0	

cpsn6d: To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? [Fourth mention]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
51	Tamil	0	
52	Trinidadian	0	
53	Ukrainian	2	1.2%
54	Vietnamese	0	
55	Yugoslavian	0	
56	Welsh	2	1.2%
95	Inuit, Metis, Aboriginal, Native	3	1.8%
96	Quebecois	0	
97	No FOURTH mention	111	66.1%
98	don't know	0	
99	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3483	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm14: What language do you usually speak at home?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3637 /-] [Invalid=14 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What language do you usually speak at home?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	188	5.2%
1	English	2271	62.4%
5	French	1178	32.4%
10	Arabic	0	
11	Chinese	0	
12	English & French	0	
13	First Nation	0	
20	Other West Europe	0	
21	German	0	
22	Italian	0	
23	Spanish/Portuguese	0	
24	Dutch	0	
25	Other East Europe	0	
26	Polish	0	
27	Ukrainian	0	
98	don't know	2	
99	refused	10	
Sysmiss		2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm15: What is the first language you learned and still understand?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3639 /-] [Invalid=12 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is the first language you learned and still understand?

cpsm15: What is the first language you learned and still understand?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	406	11.2%
1	English	2019	55.5%
5	French	1214	33.4%
10	Arabic	0	
11	Chinese	0	
12	English & French	0	
13	First Nation	0	
20	Other West Europe	0	
21	German	0	
22	Italian	0	
23	Spanish/Portuguese	0	
24	Dutch	0	
25	Other East Europe	0	
26	Polish	0	
27	Ukrainian	0	
98	don't know	2	
99	refused	10	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm16: Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, for 1999?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2494 /-] [Invalid=1157 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, what was your TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME before taxes and other deductions for 1999?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #m16a. All others go to #m17.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		4	0.2%
2		3	0.1%
3		3	0.1%
4		6	0.2%
5		3	0.1%
6		17	0.7%
7		11	0.4%
8		16	0.6%
9		15	0.6%
10		44	1.8%
11		13	0.5%
12		41	1.6%
13		21	0.8%
14		16	0.6%
15		46	1.8%
16		19	0.8%

#cpsm16: Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, for 1999?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17		17	0.7%
18		28	1.1%
19		11	0.4%
20		101	4.0%
21		13	0.5%
22		18	0.7%
23		10	0.4%
24		19	0.8%
25		85	3.4%
26		17	0.7%
27		20	0.8%
28		28	1.1%
29		10	0.4%
30		140	5.6%
31		12	0.5%
32		22	0.9%
33		7	0.3%
34		9	0.4%
35		102	4.1%
36		8	0.3%
37		9	0.4%
38		12	0.5%
39		9	0.4%
40		156	6.3%
41		4	0.2%
42		6	0.2%
43		6	0.2%
44		3	0.1%
45		53	2.1%
46		8	0.3%
47		10	0.4%
48		11	0.4%
49		2	0.1%
50		159	6.4%
51		1	0.0%
52		19	0.8%
53		5	0.2%
54		9	0.4%
55		56	2.2%
56		4	0.2%
57		2	0.1%
58		5	0.2%
59		1	0.0%
60		164	6.6%

#cpsm16: Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, for 1999?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
62		4	0.2%
63		3	0.1%
64		6	0.2%
65		58	2.3%
66		2	0.1%
67		4	0.2%
68		5	0.2%
70		112	4.5%
72		6	0.2%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		64	2.6%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
79		2	0.1%
80		109	4.4%
82		2	0.1%
84		1	0.0%
85		32	1.3%
86		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		57	2.3%
91		1	0.0%
92		1	0.0%
95		14	0.6%
96		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		2	0.1%
100		99	4.0%
102		1	0.0%
103		1	0.0%
104		1	0.0%
105		6	0.2%
110		32	1.3%
115		4	0.2%
120		51	2.0%
122		1	0.0%
125		6	0.2%
130		15	0.6%
135		3	0.1%
140		13	0.5%
145		2	0.1%

cpsm16: Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, for 1999?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150		25	1.0%
160		8	0.3%
165		1	0.0%
170		4	0.2%
175		4	0.2%
180		6	0.2%
200		19	0.8%
220		2	0.1%
223		1	0.0%
240		1	0.0%
250		4	0.2%
260		1	0.0%
270		2	0.1%
275		1	0.0%
300		3	0.1%
325		1	0.0%
340		1	0.0%
350		1	0.0%
375		1	0.0%
400		2	0.1%
450		1	0.0%
500		4	0.2%
700		1	0.0%
750		1	0.0%
998	don't know	631	
999	refused	525	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm16a: We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=834 /-] [Invalid=2817 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer #m16.
Literal question	We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less than $20,000	194	23.3%
2	$20,000-$29,999	122	14.6%
3	$30,000-$39,999	113	13.5%
4	$40,000-$49,999	67	8.0%
5	$50,000-$59,999	62	7.4%
6	$60,000-$69,999	37	4.4%
7	$70,000-$79,999	24	2.9%
8	$80,000-$89,999	16	1.9%
9	$90,000-$99,999	16	1.9%

cpsm16a: We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	More than $100,000	67	8.0%
98		47	5.6%
99		69	8.3%
998	don't know	87	
999	refused	240	
Sysmiss		2490	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsm17: How many children under 18 years live in your home?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3619 /-] [Invalid=32 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many children under 18 years live in your home?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	2324	64.2%
1		515	14.2%
2		532	14.7%
3		183	5.1%
4		50	1.4%
5		8	0.2%
6		3	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
998	don't know	2	
999	refused	29	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsisr: ISR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2400 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rest of Canada (ROC)	2400	100.0%
Sysmiss		1251	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cpsjoli: Jolicoeur

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1251 /-] [Invalid=2400 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quebec	1251	100.0%
Sysmiss		2400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrn1: Question Wording/Random Delivery <D8A/D8B>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		990	51.6%
2		929	48.4%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrn2: Question Wording/Random Delivery <E1A--E6A/E1B--E6B>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1008	52.5%
2		911	47.5%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrn3: Question Wording/Random Delivery <G4A/G4B>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		977	50.9%
2		942	49.1%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrn4: Question Wording/Random Delivery <G7A--G7D>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		987	51.4%
2		932	48.6%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrn5: Question Wording/Random Delivery <E7A/E7B>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		960	50.0%
2		959	50.0%

pesrn5: Question Wording/Random Delivery <E7A/E7B>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrn6: Question Order/Random Delivery <D2--D4>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		980	51.1%
2		939	48.9%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrn7: Question Order/Random Delivery <K14--K18>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		414	21.6%
2		377	19.6%
3		383	20.0%
4		377	19.6%
5		368	19.2%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrn8: Question Order/Random Delivery <D1A--D1G>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		263	13.7%
2		281	14.6%
3		286	14.9%
4		269	14.0%
5		272	14.2%
6		240	12.5%
7		308	16.1%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesatemp: Total Number of Call Attempts

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-37] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-] [Mean=6.403 /-] [StdDev=6.217 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesref: Number of Refusals Before Completion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1821	94.9%
1		85	4.4%
2		12	0.6%
5		1	0.1%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pescont: Total Times Respondent Contacted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1705	88.8%
2		131	6.8%
3		36	1.9%
4		30	1.6%
5		10	0.5%
6		5	0.3%
7		2	0.1%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesans: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-23] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		595	31.0%
2		424	22.1%
3		278	14.5%
4		181	9.4%
5		121	6.3%
6		81	4.2%
7		74	3.9%
8		37	1.9%
9		36	1.9%
10		29	1.5%
11		17	0.9%
12		11	0.6%
13		9	0.5%
14		4	0.2%
15		6	0.3%

pesans: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		3	0.2%
17		3	0.2%
18		1	0.1%
19		2	0.1%
20		4	0.2%
21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		1	0.1%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pestime: Length of Interview <Minutes>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 13-90] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1778 /-] [Invalid=1873 /-] [Mean=28.299 /-] [StdDev=7.751 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesdate: Date of Interview <MMDDYYYY>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1032001-12302000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2860 /-] [Invalid=791 /-] [Mean=7542871.042 /-] [StdDev=5295990.896 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesmonth: Month of Interview <MM>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2860 /-] [Invalid=791 /-]
Universe	All respondents

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	January	1068	37.3%
2	February	112	3.9%
10	October	0	
11	November	262	9.2%
12	December	1418	49.6%
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesday: Day of Interview <DD>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2860 /-] [Invalid=791 /-] [Mean=13.178 /-] [StdDev=8.228 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesyear: Year of Interview <YYYY>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2000-2001] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2860 /-] [Invalid=791 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2000		1680	58.7%
2001		1180	41.3%

pesyear: Year of Interview <YYYY>

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesinum: Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15		30	1.6%
36		82	4.3%
79		27	1.4%
82		65	3.4%
105		19	1.0%
127		23	1.2%
203		18	0.9%
207		130	6.8%
212		34	1.8%
217		96	5.0%
218		10	0.5%
221		68	3.5%
223		53	2.8%
224		54	2.8%
227		43	2.2%
229		38	2.0%
235		21	1.1%
240		37	1.9%
248		39	2.0%
249		59	3.1%
253		75	3.9%
254		108	5.6%
257		97	5.1%
468		90	4.7%
505		49	2.6%
525		9	0.5%
530		12	0.6%
582		64	3.3%
703		93	4.8%
721		42	2.2%
811		23	1.2%
817		64	3.3%
821		80	4.2%
822		16	0.8%
826		57	3.0%
862		41	2.1%
863		43	2.2%

pesinum: Interviewer's Number (# of Interviews)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
999	Supervisor	10	0.5%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

peslang: Language of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2860 /-] [Invalid=791 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	1976	69.1%
2	French	884	30.9%
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa1: What was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2270 /-] [Invalid=1381 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this election?
Notes	#a1 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Create jobs / reduce unemployment	21	0.9%
11	Jobs for youth	1	0.0%
12	Want/need job security	9	0.4%
13	Lack of jobs in the East	0	
14	Need job training, re-training	0	
15	Concentrate: jobs/ unemployment	59	2.6%
16	Education/health education	115	5.1%
17	Local issues	8	0.4%
20	General mention: debt, deficit,etc	94	4.1%
21	Continue reducing debt	15	0.7%
22	Debt - eliminate	2	0.1%
23	Continue reducing deficit	5	0.2%
24	Deficit - eliminate	1	0.0%
25	Continue fiscal policy/restraints	3	0.1%
26	Balance the budget	8	0.4%
27	Create jobs/ reduce deficit/budget	0	
30	General mention: economy / reform	75	3.3%
31	Fight inflation	0	
32	Keep interest rates down	0	
33	Improve economy/bring prosperity	2	0.1%
34	Strengthen/stimulate the economy	1	0.0%
35	Economy/budget and jobs	0	
36	Need to stabilize the economy	3	0.1%
40	Lie/screw us around/spend our $	30	1.3%

pesa1: What was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this election?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
41	Nothing/not really sure/not much	94	4.1%
42	Won by default/no choice	2	0.1%
43	Cater to Quebec	0	
44	Cater to Ontario	0	
45	Elected by Ont/Quebec	0	
46	To try to keep their promises	4	0.2%
47	Because early election called	13	0.6%
48	To beat other parties	58	2.6%
49	To change/get new ideas	32	1.4%
50	General mention (taxes)	147	6.5%
51	Abolish GST taxes	0	
52	Cut GST taxes	107	4.7%
53	Keep taxes down	0	
54	Jobs and taxes both mentions	0	
55	Fairer taxation	1	0.0%
56	Other policy issues	1	0.0%
57	General mention of health care	890	39.2%
58	Continue the cutbacks	1	0.0%
59	Maintain health care/ more $	15	0.7%
60	Protect social programs & services	44	1.9%
61	Protect old age pensions/security	6	0.3%
62	Protect child care/family benefits	8	0.4%
63	Jobs and social programmes (both)	0	
64	Jobs and health care (both)	7	0.3%
65	Health care and budget (both)	9	0.4%
66	Deficit and health care	2	0.1%
68	Elderly, care of	3	0.1%
69	Health care concern	3	0.1%
70	To help Canadians	1	0.0%
71	Crime / violence/gun control	39	1.7%
72	To deal with poverty	13	0.6%
73	Abortion	3	0.1%
74	Rights: aboriginal/other groups	13	0.6%
75	Environment	38	1.7%
76	Moral decline	11	0.5%
80	General mention Quebec: sovereignty	24	1.1%
81	General mention national unity	9	0.4%
82	Maintain national unity	3	0.1%
83	To stop Quebec independence	4	0.2%
84	Unity and economy	0	
85	Unity and jobs	0	
86	Unity and deficit	0	
87	Unity and health care	3	0.1%
88	Unity and social programmes	0	
90	General mention - to carry on	40	1.8%

pesa1: What was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY in this election?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
91	Honesty, fair & accountable govt	60	2.6%
92	To form a majority government	7	0.3%
93	To maintain the status quo	1	0.0%
94	To continue their mandate	0	
95	To beat the Liberals/Chretien	68	3.0%
96	To govern the country	17	0.7%
97	To represent the people	17	0.7%
98	don't know, not codeable	584	
99	refused	6	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb1: How much attention did you pay to news about the Federal election on TV:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2834 /-] [Invalid=817 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much attention did you pay to news about the Federal election on TV: Using a scale from zero to ten, where zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R says they never watch TV or TV news, enter "0".
Notes	#b1 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No attention at all	300	10.6%
1		75	2.6%
2		218	7.7%
3		218	7.7%
4		235	8.3%
5		535	18.9%
6		271	9.6%
7		357	12.6%
8		355	12.5%
9		104	3.7%
10	A great deal	166	5.9%
98	don't know	23	
99	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb2: Using the same scale, how much attention did you pay to RADIO news about the Federal election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2832 /-] [Invalid=819 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how much attention did you pay to RADIO news about the Federal election? Zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R says they never listen to Radio, or radio news, enter "0".
Notes	#b2 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No attention at all	924	32.6%
1		111	3.9%
2		310	10.9%
3		233	8.2%
4		243	8.6%
5		375	13.2%
6		176	6.2%
7		158	5.6%
8		186	6.6%
9		55	1.9%
10	A great deal	61	2.2%
98	don't know	25	
99	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb3: Using the same scale, how much attention did you pay to news about the Federal election in the NEWSPAPERS?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2833 /-] [Invalid=818 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Using the same scale (from 0 to 10), how much attention did you pay to news about the Federal election in the NEWSPAPERS? Zero means no attention at all and ten means a great deal of attention?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: if R says they never read newspapers, or news in newspapers, enter "0".
Notes	#b3 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No attention at all	708	25.0%
1		109	3.8%
2		231	8.2%
3		238	8.4%
4		185	6.5%
5		434	15.3%
6		210	7.4%
7		238	8.4%
8		257	9.1%
9		96	3.4%
10	A great deal	125	4.4%

pesb3: Using the same scale, how much attention did you pay to news about the Federal election in the NEWSPAPERS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97		2	0.1%
98	don't know	26	
99	refused	1	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb4: Using the same scale, how interested were you in the Federal election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2841 /-] [Invalid=810 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Using the same scale, how interested were you in the Federal election? Zero means no interest at all and ten means extremely interested.
Notes	#b4 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No interest at all	191	6.7%
1		48	1.7%
2		143	5.0%
3		174	6.1%
4		222	7.8%
5		518	18.2%
6		272	9.6%
7		408	14.4%
8		415	14.6%
9		187	6.6%
10	Extremely interested	263	9.3%
98	don't know	18	
99	refused	1	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb5: During the campaign, do you think the media did a very good job of informing Canadians about the parties, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2677 /-] [Invalid=974 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	During the campaign, do you think the media did a very good job of informing Canadians about the parties, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good job	419	15.7%
3	Quite a good job	1604	59.9%
5	Not a very good job	505	18.9%
7	Not a good job at all	149	5.6%
8	don't know	176	
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		791	

pesb5: During the campaign, do you think the media did a very good job of informing Canadians about the parties, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb6: Did you use the internet to get any information about the Federal election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you use the internet to get any information about the Federal election?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #b7. All others go to #b8.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	327	11.4%
5	No	2530	88.6%
8	don't know	2	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb7: Did you visit a political party's web site during the campaign?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=326 /-] [Invalid=3325 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who used the internet to get information about the Federal election, as indicated in #b6.
Literal question	Did you visit a political party's website during the campaign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	147	45.1%
5	No	179	54.9%
8	don't know	1	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3324	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb8: Did you send an e-mail to a candidate or a party during the campaign?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2856 /-] [Invalid=795 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you send an e-mail to a candidate or a party during the campaign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	36	1.3%
5	No	2820	98.7%
8	don't know	3	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb9: Were you contacted by a political party during the campaign?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2846 /-] [Invalid=805 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesb9: Were you contacted by a political party during the campaign?

Literal question	Were you contacted by a political party during the campaign?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #b9a. All others go to #b9b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1205	42.3%
5	No	1641	57.7%
8	don't know	14	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb9a: Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=879 /-] [Invalid=2772 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who were contacted by a political party during the campaign, as indicated in #b9.
Literal question	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	More than one way	172	19.6%
1	In person	193	22.0%
3	By phone	350	39.8%
5	By mail	161	18.3%
7	By email	3	0.3%
8	don't know	0	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2772	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb9a1: Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email? [First mention]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=326 /-] [Invalid=3325 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who reside in the province of Quebec and who answered "yes" for #b9.
Literal question	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	More than one way	0	
1	In person	44	13.5%
3	By phone	257	78.8%
5	By mail	24	7.4%
7	By email	1	0.3%
8	don't know	0	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3325	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb9a2: Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email? [Second mention]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=33 /-] [Invalid=3618 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who reside in the province of Quebec and who answered "yes" for #b9.

pesb9a2: Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email? [Second mention]

Literal question	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	More than one way	0	
1	In person	7	21.2%
3	By phone	14	42.4%
5	By mail	11	33.3%
7	By email	1	3.0%
8	don't know	0	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3618	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb9a3: Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email? [Third mention]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4 /-] [Invalid=3647 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who reside in the province of Quebec and who answered "yes" for #b9.
Literal question	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	More than one way	0	
1	In person	1	25.0%
3	By phone	1	25.0%
5	By mail	2	50.0%
7	By email	0	
8	don't know	0	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3647	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb9a4: Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email? [Fourth mention]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1 /-] [Invalid=3650 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who reside in the province of Quebec and who answered "yes" for #b9.
Literal question	Was that in person, by phone, by mail, or by email?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	More than one way	0	
1	In person	0	
3	By phone	0	
5	By mail	0	
7	By email	1	100.0%
8	don't know	0	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3650	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb9b: Did you get a campaign flyer from ANY of the parties?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

pesb9b: Did you get a campaign flyer from ANY of the parties?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2777 /-] [Invalid=874 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you get a campaign flyer from ANY of the parties?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	2081		74.9%
5	No	696		25.1%
8	don't know	83		
9	refused	0		
Sysmiss		791		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb10: During the CAMPAIGN, did you help a party or a candidate, for example, by going to a rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a sign?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2859 /-] [Invalid=792 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	During the CAMPAIGN, did you help a party or a candidate, for example, by going to a rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a sign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	212		7.4%
5	No	2647		92.6%
8	don't know	1		
9	refused	0		
Sysmiss		791		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb11: Did you discuss the election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2855 /-] [Invalid=796 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you discuss the election with other people often, occasionally, or not at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Often	702		24.6%
3	Occasionally	1766		61.9%
5	Not at all	387		13.6%
8	don't know	5		
9	refused	0		
Sysmiss		791		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb12: Do you happen to recall the name of the leader of the Federal NDP (the New Democratic Party)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2858 /-] [Invalid=793 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We're wondering how well known the various federal party leaders are. Do you happen to recall the name of the leader of the Federal NDP (the New Democratic Party)?

pesb12: Do you happen to recall the name of the leader of the Federal NDP (the New Democratic Party)?

Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If "R" answers "yes" say "and who is it?" Accept answers that sound close to the correct name.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	McDonough / Alexa McDonough	1586		55.5%
5	Any other answer	174	6.1%	
8	don't know	1098		38.4%
9	refused	2		
Sysmiss		791		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb13: And the leader of the Federal Conservative Party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2858 /-] [Invalid=793 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And the leader of the Federal Conservative Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R answers "yes" say "and who is it?" Accept answers that sound close to the correct name.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Clark / Joe Clark	2099		73.4%
5	Any other answer	50	1.7%	
8	don't know	709		24.8%
9	refused	2		
Sysmiss		791		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb14: The leader of the Alliance Party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2858 /-] [Invalid=793 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The leader of the Alliance Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R answers "yes" say "and who is it?" Accept answers that sound close to the correct name.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Day / Stockwell Day	2184		76.4%
5	Any other answer	42	1.5%	
8	don't know	632		22.1%
9	refused	2		
Sysmiss		791		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb15: The leader of the Bloc Quebecois?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=941 /-] [Invalid=2710 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	The leader of the Bloc Quebecois? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R answers "yes" say "and who is it?" Accept answers that sound close to the correct name.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Duceppe / Gilles Duceppe	689		73.2%

pesb15: The leader of the Bloc Quebecois?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
5	Any other answer	42	4.5%	
8	don't know	210		22.3%
9	refused	0		
Sysmiss		2710		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesb16: The leader of the Federal Liberal Party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The leader of the Federal Liberal Party? Do you happen to recall the name of the leader?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R answers "yes" say "and who is it?" Accept answers that sound close to the correct name.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Chretien / Jean Chretien	2560		89.6%
5	Any other answer	23	0.8%	
8	don't know	274	9.6%	
9	refused	3		
Sysmiss		791		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa2: Did YOU vote in the election?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did YOU vote in the election?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #a3a. If respondent answers "no", go to #a2a. All others go to #a6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	2378		83.2%
5	No	479	16.8%	
8	don't know	3		
9	refused	0		
Sysmiss		791		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa2a: What was the main reason you did not vote?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=475 /-] [Invalid=3176 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not vote in the election, as indicated in #a2.
Literal question	What was the main reason you did not vote?
Interviewer's instructions	Enter respondent's answer verbatim please, end with //
Notes	#a3 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Response given	475		100.0%
98	don't know	3		

# pesa2a: What was the main reason you did not vote?			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
99	refused	1	
Sysmiss		3172	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

pesa2b: If it had been possible to vote by telephone or internet, without having to go to the polling station, would you have voted or would this not have made any difference?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=464 /-] [Invalid=3187 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not vote in the election, as indicated in #a2.
Literal question	If it had been possible to vote by telephone or internet, without having to go to the polling station, would you have voted or would this not have made any difference?

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Yes, would have voted	295	63.6%
5	No, would not make any difference	169	36.4%
8	don't know	13	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		3172	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

pesa3a: Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party, the Bloc Quebecois, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the N.D.P., or another party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=663 /-] [Invalid=2988 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec, and who voted in the election.
Literal question	Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party, the Bloc Quebecois, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the N.D.P., or another party?

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0	Other	24	3.6%
1	Liberal	245	37.0%
2	Conservative	33	5.0%
3	NDP	20	3.0%
4	Alliance Party	45	6.8%
5	Bloc Quebecois	281	42.4%
97	None	15	2.3%
98	don't know	6	
99	refused	113	
Sysmiss		2869	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

pesa3b: Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the NDP, or another party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1375 /-] [Invalid=2276 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec, and who voted in the election.
Literal question	Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the NDP, or another party?

pesa3b: Which party did you vote for: the Liberal Party, the Alliance Party, the Conservative Party, the NDP, or another party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	18	1.3%
1	Liberal	540	39.3%
2	Conservative	211	15.3%
3	NDP	165	12.0%
4	Alliance Party	436	31.7%
5	Bloc Quebecois	0	
97	None	5	0.4%
98	don't know	6	
99	refused	215	
Sysmiss		2055	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa3oth: INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who specified that they did not vote for a main party in #3b.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter OTHER PARTY here. DO NOT use //

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Canadian Action		1	5.6%
Christian Heritage Party		1	5.6%
Green Party		2	11.1%
Jay Kepner//		1	5.6%
all of them		1	5.6%
blank ballot//		1	5.6%
christian heritage party/		1	5.6%
green		1	5.6%
green party		3	16.7%
green party//		2	11.1%
green peace		1	5.6%
independent		1	5.6%
p.c		1	5.6%
protest vote//		1	5.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa3aq: Which party did you vote for: another party.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who reside in the province of Quebec, and who specified that they did not vote for a main party in #3b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ANNULER LE VOTE		1	3.4%
BLOC MARIJUANA		1	3.4%

pesa3aq: Which party did you vote for: another party.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Bloc pot		1	3.4%
Green party		1	3.4%
INDEPENDANT		2	6.9%
J'AI ETE ANNULE		1	3.4%
Le parti Marijuana		1	3.4%
Loi naturelle		1	3.4%
MARIJUANA		1	3.4%
Parti marijuana		1	3.4%
TOUT LES PARTIS		1	3.4%
a annulé son vote		1	3.4%
annnuler son vote		1	3.4%
annulation		2	6.9%
annuler		2	6.9%
annulé		1	3.4%
bloc pot		1	3.4%
cANDIDAT INDÃ‰PENDANT		1	3.4%
il a annulé		1	3.4%
independant (une personne)		1	3.4%
j ai annulée mon vote		1	3.4%
j'ai annule mon vote.		1	3.4%
marxiste-leniniste		1	3.4%
naturaliste		1	3.4%
parti marijuana		2	6.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa4: Was that the party you liked the most?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1981 /-] [Invalid=1670 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respodents who specifed which party they voted for in the election.
Literal question	Was the [party selected in #a3a/#a3b] the party you liked the most?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #a4b. If respondent answers "no", go to #a4a. All others, go to #a4b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1575	79.5%
5	No	406	20.5%
8	don't know	34	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		1633	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa4a: Which party did you like the most?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=391 /-] [Invalid=3260 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "no" for #a4.
Literal question	Which party did you like the most?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	20	5.1%
1	Liberal	68	17.4%
2	Conservative	68	17.4%
3	NDP	50	12.8%
4	Alliance Party	28	7.2%
5	Bloc Quebecois	19	4.9%
97	None	138	35.3%
98	don't know	15	
99	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3245	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa4b: And which party was your second choice?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1535 /-] [Invalid=2116 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #a4.
Literal question	And which party was your second choice?
Notes	#a4b comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	25	1.6%
1	Liberal	312	20.3%
2	Conservative	440	28.7%
3	NDP	188	12.2%
4	Alliance Party	157	10.2%
5	Bloc Quebecois	42	2.7%
97	None	371	24.2%
98	don't know	40	
99	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2076	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa5: When did you decide that you were going to vote for...?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2205 /-] [Invalid=1446 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who specified which party they voted for in #a3a/#a3b.
Literal question	When did you decide that you were going to vote [fill party specified in #a3a/#a3b]? Was it before the campaign began, before the T.V. debates, during or just after the debates, in the last two weeks of the campaign, or election day?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Before the campaign began	1166	52.9%
2	Before the debates	216	9.8%

pesa5: When did you decide that you were going to vote for...?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	During or just after the debates	192	8.7%
4	In the last two weeks of the campaign	360	16.3%
5	Election day	271	12.3%
8	don't know	19	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		1426	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa6: On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2775 /-] [Invalid=876 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	On the whole are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?
Notes	#a5b comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	449	16.2%
3	Fairly satisfied	1437	51.8%
5	Not very satisfied	609	21.9%
7	Not satisfied at all	280	10.1%
8	don't know	77	
9	refused	8	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesa7: And now the outcome of the election. What were YOU hoping for: A liberal majority, a liberal minority, or a liberal defeat?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1788 /-] [Invalid=1863 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	And now the outcome of the election. What were YOU hoping for: A liberal majority, A liberal minority, or a Liberal defeat?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	61	3.4%
1	Liberal majority	667	37.3%
3	Liberal minority	678	37.9%
5	Liberal defeat	382	21.4%
8	don't know	94	
9	refused	37	
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrnp1: First Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesrnp1: First Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Notes	Randomization of political parties.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		339	17.7%
2		395	20.6%
3		422	22.0%
4		388	20.2%
5		375	19.5%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrnp2: Second Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	Randomization of political parties.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		418	21.8%
2		396	20.6%
3		394	20.5%
4		385	20.1%
5		326	17.0%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrnp3: Third Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	Randomization of political parties.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		410	21.4%
2		393	20.5%
3		370	19.3%
4		365	19.0%
5		381	19.9%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrnp4: Fourth Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	Randomization of political parties.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		341	17.8%
2		369	19.2%

pesrnp4: Fourth Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		381	19.9%
4		384	20.0%
5		444	23.1%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrnp5: Fifth Party (Federal) - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	Randomization of political parties.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		411	21.4%
2		366	19.1%
3		352	18.3%
4		397	20.7%
5		393	20.5%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc1a: How do you feel about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2698 /-] [Invalid=953 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know about any of the parties" for #c1b, #c1c, #c1d, #c1e, if previously asked.
Pre-question	And now, how do you feel about the political parties. Use a scale from ZERO to ONE HUNDRED. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE the party.
Literal question	How do you feel about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you really LIKE the party.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know about any of the parties", go to #c2a.
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the party	171	6.3%
1		6	0.2%
2		15	0.6%
3		9	0.3%
4		2	0.1%
5		46	1.7%
6		3	0.1%
7		4	0.1%
8		5	0.2%
9		4	0.1%
10		122	4.5%
12		2	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
15		17	0.6%

pesc1a: How do you feel about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18		1	0.0%
20		169	6.3%
22		1	0.0%
25		108	4.0%
27		1	0.0%
30		188	7.0%
35		23	0.9%
37		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		269	10.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		30	1.1%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
50		584	21.6%
51		4	0.1%
53		2	0.1%
55		20	0.7%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		304	11.3%
62		1	0.0%
65		53	2.0%
68		2	0.1%
70		148	5.5%
72		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		108	4.0%
80		112	4.2%
85		10	0.4%
90		31	1.1%
95		3	0.1%
98		1	0.0%
99		2	0.1%
100	Really LIKE the party	27	1.0%
996	Don't know about any of the parties	22	0.8%
997	Don't know the party	57	2.1%
998	Don't know how to rate them	74	
999	refused	40	
Sysmiss		839	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc1b: How do you feel about the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2730 /-] [Invalid=921 /-]

pesc1b: How do you feel about the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY?

Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know about any of the parties" for #c1a, #c1c, #c1d, #c1e, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you really LIKE the party.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know about any of the parties", go to #c2a.
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the party	171	6.3%
1		7	0.3%
2		10	0.4%
3		6	0.2%
4		2	0.1%
5		20	0.7%
6		2	0.1%
7		6	0.2%
8		3	0.1%
9		4	0.1%
10		72	2.6%
15		10	0.4%
20		112	4.1%
24		1	0.0%
25		59	2.2%
30		127	4.7%
34		1	0.0%
35		15	0.5%
40		170	6.2%
42		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		21	0.8%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
49		3	0.1%
50		492	18.0%
51		2	0.1%
55		28	1.0%
59		2	0.1%
60		323	11.8%
61		2	0.1%
65		52	1.9%
68		4	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		234	8.6%
75		164	6.0%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		259	9.5%

pesc1b: How do you feel about the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
81		1	0.0%
82		1	0.0%
85		49	1.8%
87		1	0.0%
90		114	4.2%
95		20	0.7%
97		1	0.0%
98		4	0.1%
99		6	0.2%
100	Really LIKE the party	119	4.4%
996	Don't know about any of the parties	9	0.3%
997	Don't know the party	14	0.5%
998	Don't know how to rate them	44	
999	refused	33	
Sysmiss		844	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc1c: How do you feel about the FEDERAL N.D.P?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2681 /-] [Invalid=970 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know about any of the parties" for #c1a, #c1b, #c1d, #c1e, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about the FEDERAL N.D.P? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you really LIKE the party.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know about any of the parties", go to #c2a.
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the party	330	12.3%
1		9	0.3%
2		10	0.4%
3		12	0.4%
4		6	0.2%
5		67	2.5%
6		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		200	7.5%
12		5	0.2%
15		25	0.9%
16		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		216	8.1%
25		108	4.0%
30		232	8.7%
32		1	0.0%

pesc1c: How do you feel about the FEDERAL N.D.P?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34		1	0.0%
35		25	0.9%
36		1	0.0%
40		247	9.2%
43		1	0.0%
45		32	1.2%
48		1	0.0%
49		1	0.0%
50		423	15.8%
51		1	0.0%
52		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		16	0.6%
60		195	7.3%
65		30	1.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		127	4.7%
72		1	0.0%
75		77	2.9%
80		76	2.8%
85		11	0.4%
89		1	0.0%
90		32	1.2%
98		1	0.0%
100	Really LIKE the party	22	0.8%
996	Don't know about any of the parties	20	0.7%
997	Don't know the party	107	4.0%
998	Don't know how to rate them	94	
999	refused	36	
Sysmiss		840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc1d: How do you feel about the ALLIANCE PARTY?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2704 /-] [Invalid=947 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know about any of the parties" for #c1a, #c1b, #c1c, #c1e, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about the ALLIANCE PARTY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you really LIKE the party.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know about any of the parties", go to #c2a.
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the party	431	15.9%
1		9	0.3%

# pesc1d: How do you feel about the ALLIANCE PARTY?			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
2		18	0.7%
3		7	0.3%
4		6	0.2%
5		42	1.6%
6		2	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
8		2	0.1%
10		202	7.5%
13		1	0.0%
15		25	0.9%
20		174	6.4%
21		2	0.1%
22		1	0.0%
25		91	3.4%
30		197	7.3%
32		2	0.1%
35		21	0.8%
40		244	9.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		22	0.8%
46		2	0.1%
50		332	12.3%
53		1	0.0%
55		18	0.7%
60		185	6.8%
63		2	0.1%
65		40	1.5%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		107	4.0%
75		105	3.9%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
80		135	5.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		25	0.9%
88		1	0.0%
90		65	2.4%
95		4	0.1%
98		3	0.1%
99		2	0.1%
100	Really LIKE the party	53	2.0%
996	Don't know about any of the parties	23	0.9%
997	Don't know the party	94	3.5%

# pesc1d: How do you feel about the ALLIANCE PARTY?			

pesc1d: How do you feel about the ALLIANCE PARTY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	Don't know how to rate them	72	
999	refused	35	
Sysmiss		840	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc1e: How do you feel about the BLOC QUEBECOIS?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=893 /-] [Invalid=2758 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not state that they "don't know about any of the parties" for #c1a, #c1b, #c1c, #c1d, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about the BLOC QUEBECOIS? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the party and one hundred means you really LIKE the party.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know about any of the parties", go to #c2a.
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the party	121	13.5%
1		4	0.4%
2		3	0.3%
3		5	0.6%
5		13	1.5%
6		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
10		33	3.7%
15		6	0.7%
16		1	0.1%
20		33	3.7%
22		1	0.1%
25		21	2.4%
30		46	5.2%
35		8	0.9%
40		55	6.2%
45		4	0.4%
46		1	0.1%
50		173	19.4%
51		1	0.1%
55		4	0.4%
56		1	0.1%
60		76	8.5%
65		7	0.8%
70		71	8.0%
75		33	3.7%
80		76	8.5%
82		1	0.1%
85		6	0.7%
90		29	3.2%

pesc1e: How do you feel about the BLOC QUEBECOIS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
93		1	0.1%
95		2	0.2%
99		4	0.4%
100	Really LIKE the party	31	3.5%
996	Don't know about any of the parties	2	0.2%
997	Don't know the party	18	2.0%
998	Don't know how to rate them	22	
999	refused	6	
Sysmiss		2730	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc2a: First, how do you feel about environmentalists?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2642 /-] [Invalid=1009 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And now a few questions about various groups using the 0 to 100 scale. First, how do you feel about environmentalists?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the group	77	2.9%
1		3	0.1%
2		1	0.0%
3		3	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		14	0.5%
6		3	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
8		2	0.1%
10		59	2.2%
15		11	0.4%
20		70	2.6%
25		47	1.8%
30		90	3.4%
35		20	0.8%
40		131	5.0%
45		17	0.6%
50		534	20.2%
55		15	0.6%
60		317	12.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		50	1.9%
66		1	0.0%
70		296	11.2%
75		212	8.0%
76		1	0.0%
77		2	0.1%
80		308	11.7%

pesc2a: First, how do you feel about environmentalists?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
85		41	1.6%
86		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		132	5.0%
92		1	0.0%
95		15	0.6%
97		2	0.1%
98		1	0.0%
99		7	0.3%
100	Really LIKE the group	152	5.8%
998	don't know	208	
999	refused	10	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc2b: On the same scale, how do you feel about Aboriginal peoples?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2606 /-] [Invalid=1045 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	On the same scale, how do you feel about aboriginal peoples? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the group, and one hundred means you really LIKE the group.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the group	120	4.6%
1		1	0.0%
2		4	0.2%
3		3	0.1%
4		4	0.2%
5		25	1.0%
6		2	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
10		72	2.8%
15		10	0.4%
20		86	3.3%
25		58	2.2%
30		96	3.7%
33		1	0.0%
35		8	0.3%
40		159	6.1%
44		1	0.0%
45		11	0.4%
50		497	19.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		6	0.2%

pesc2b: On the same scale, how do you feel about Aboriginal peoples?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60		269	10.3%
65		43	1.7%
66		2	0.1%
70		242	9.3%
75		203	7.8%
77		1	0.0%
80		272	10.4%
85		36	1.4%
90		134	5.1%
93		1	0.0%
95		19	0.7%
96		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
100	Really LIKE the group	211	8.1%
998	don't know	194	
999	refused	60	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc2c: How do you feel about the police?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2809 /-] [Invalid=842 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about the police? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the group and one hundred means you really LIKE the group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the group	34	1.2%
1		2	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		11	0.4%
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
8		1	0.0%
9		2	0.1%
10		29	1.0%
15		4	0.1%
18		1	0.0%
20		44	1.6%
25		16	0.6%
30		47	1.7%
35		9	0.3%
40		92	3.3%
45		5	0.2%

pesc2c: How do you feel about the police?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50		384	13.7%
55		14	0.5%
58		1	0.0%
60		299	10.6%
65		43	1.5%
66		2	0.1%
68		1	0.0%
70		351	12.5%
74		1	0.0%
75		264	9.4%
77		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		501	17.8%
85		65	2.3%
90		264	9.4%
95		55	2.0%
97		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		9	0.3%
100	Really LIKE the group	250	8.9%
998	don't know	40	
999	refused	11	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc2d: How do you feel about political parties?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2758 /-] [Invalid=893 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about political parties? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE the group and one hundred means you really LIKE the group.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE the group	87	3.2%
1		4	0.1%
2		9	0.3%
3		4	0.1%
4		5	0.2%
5		22	0.8%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		92	3.3%
15		10	0.4%
20		112	4.1%
22		1	0.0%
25		77	2.8%

pesc2d: How do you feel about political parties?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		167	6.1%
33		1	0.0%
35		22	0.8%
40		269	9.8%
42		2	0.1%
45		22	0.8%
49		1	0.0%
50		745	27.0%
51		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
55		23	0.8%
58		1	0.0%
59		2	0.1%
60		404	14.6%
63		1	0.0%
65		51	1.8%
67		1	0.0%
70		254	9.2%
75		142	5.1%
78		1	0.0%
80		130	4.7%
85		22	0.8%
90		32	1.2%
95		4	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	Really LIKE the group	32	1.2%
998	don't know	87	
999	refused	15	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc2e: How do you feel about the UNITED STATES?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2660 /-] [Invalid=991 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about the UNITED STATES? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE group and one hundred means you really LIKE the group.
Notes	#f13 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE it	102	3.8%
1		4	0.2%
2		6	0.2%
3		5	0.2%
4		1	0.0%
5		16	0.6%

pesc2e: How do you feel about the UNITED STATES?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		67	2.5%
12		4	0.2%
15		10	0.4%
20		95	3.6%
25		67	2.5%
28		1	0.0%
30		109	4.1%
33		1	0.0%
35		19	0.7%
39		1	0.0%
40		166	6.2%
45		24	0.9%
49		1	0.0%
50		609	22.9%
52		2	0.1%
55		14	0.5%
60		341	12.8%
63		1	0.0%
65		63	2.4%
67		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		284	10.7%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		202	7.6%
78		1	0.0%
80		240	9.0%
85		34	1.3%
90		80	3.0%
95		8	0.3%
100	Really LIKE it	73	2.7%
998	don't know	167	
999	refused	33	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc3: How do you feel about CANADA?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2815 /-] [Invalid=836 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about CANADA? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE Canada and one hundred means you really LIKE Canada.

pesc3: How do you feel about CANADA?

Notes	#f11a comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE it	14	0.5%
2		1	0.0%
3		2	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		7	0.2%
7		2	0.1%
8		3	0.1%
10		15	0.5%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		4	0.1%
20		10	0.4%
22		1	0.0%
25		13	0.5%
28		1	0.0%
30		17	0.6%
35		4	0.1%
36		1	0.0%
40		50	1.8%
41		1	0.0%
42		1	0.0%
45		8	0.3%
50		195	6.9%
52		1	0.0%
55		2	0.1%
60		154	5.5%
62		1	0.0%
65		19	0.7%
70		213	7.6%
75		196	7.0%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		465	16.5%
81		1	0.0%
82		2	0.1%
85		89	3.2%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		387	13.7%
92		1	0.0%
95		107	3.8%
96		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%

pesc3: How do you feel about CANADA?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98		4	0.1%
99		23	0.8%
100	Really LIKE it	790	28.1%
998	don't know	33	
999	refused	12	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc3: How do you feel about CANADA?

pesc4: How do you feel about your province?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2817 /-] [Invalid=834 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about [province in which respondent resides]? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE [province] and one hundred means you really LIKE [province].
Notes	#f11b comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE it	17	0.6%
2		2	0.1%
3		2	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		6	0.2%
10		28	1.0%
11		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		4	0.1%
20		29	1.0%
25		19	0.7%
30		30	1.1%
35		7	0.2%
40		66	2.3%
42		1	0.0%
45		4	0.1%
50		201	7.1%
55		11	0.4%
60		185	6.6%
62		1	0.0%
65		29	1.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		245	8.7%
75		211	7.5%
77		2	0.1%
78		1	0.0%
80		434	15.4%
82		1	0.0%
85		92	3.3%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		372	13.2%
94		1	0.0%
95		95	3.4%
96		1	0.0%
97		4	0.1%
98		2	0.1%
99		13	0.5%

pesc4: How do you feel about your province?

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
100	Really LIKE it	694		24.6%
998	don't know	31		
999	refused	12		
Sysmiss		791		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc5: How do you feel about QUEBEC?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1810 /-] [Invalid=1841 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	How do you feel about QUEBEC? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE Quebec and one hundred means you really LIKE Quebec.
Notes	#f12 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE it	55	3.0%
1		1	0.1%
2		7	0.4%
5		14	0.8%
6		1	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
10		76	4.2%
13		1	0.1%
15		9	0.5%
20		95	5.2%
25		49	2.7%
30		97	5.4%
35		10	0.6%
40		138	7.6%
45		16	0.9%
50		342	18.9%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
55		13	0.7%
60		199	11.0%
65		46	2.5%
70		164	9.1%
75		139	7.7%
80		157	8.7%
85		24	1.3%
90		64	3.5%
92		1	0.1%
95		8	0.4%
97		3	0.2%
98		1	0.1%
99		2	0.1%

pesc5: How do you feel about QUEBEC?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	Really LIKE it	74	4.1%
998	don't know	90	
999	refused	19	
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc6: Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed to Quebec sovereignty, that is Quebec is NO LONGER A PART OF CANADA?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=896 /-] [Invalid=2755 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Otherwise go to #c11.
Literal question	Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed to Quebec sovereignty, that is Quebec is NO LONGER A PART OF CANADA?
Notes	#e10 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very favourable	145	16.2%
3	Somewhat favourable	216	24.1%
5	Somewhat opposed	179	20.0%
7	Very opposed	356	39.7%
8	don't know	33	
9	refused	12	
Sysmiss		2710	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc7: In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=917 /-] [Invalid=2734 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?
Notes	#e10d comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	523	57.0%
5	No	394	43.0%
8	don't know	24	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2710	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc8: If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think that the situation of French language in Quebec will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=914 /-] [Invalid=2737 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think that the situation of French language in Quebec will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?
Notes	#e10e comparable in PES 1997.

pesc8: If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think that the situation of French language in Quebec will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	207	22.6%
3	Get worse	136	14.9%
5	Stay about the same	571	62.5%
8	don't know	27	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2710	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc9: If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=890 /-] [Invalid=2761 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?
Notes	#e10a comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	86	9.7%
3	Get worse	370	41.6%
5	Stay about the same	434	48.8%
8	don't know	48	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		2710	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesc11: How likely is it that Quebec will separate from Canada: VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2760 /-] [Invalid=891 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How likely is it that Quebec will separate from Canada: VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY?
Notes	#c10 dropped.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	102	3.7%
3	Somewhat likely	468	17.0%
5	Somewhat unlikely	1110	40.2%
7	Very unlikely	1080	39.1%
8	don't know	93	
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd1a: And now government spending. Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now on the following areas? ... Defence?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

pesd1a: And now government spending. Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now on the following areas? ... Defence?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2755 /-] [Invalid=896 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	And now government spending. Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now on the following areas?
Literal question	...Defence? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
Notes	Order of issue delivery from #d1a to #d1g randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spend more	814	29.5%
3	Spend less	669	24.3%
5	About the same as now	1272	46.2%
8	don't know	102	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd1b: Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Welfare?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2750 /-] [Invalid=901 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	...Welfare? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
Notes	Order of issue delivery from #d1a to #d1g randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spend more	957	34.8%
3	Spend less	556	20.2%
5	About the same as now	1237	45.0%
8	don't know	101	
9	refused	9	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd1c: Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Pensions and Old Age Security?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2794 /-] [Invalid=857 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	...Pensions and Old Age Security? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
Notes	Order of issue delivery from #d1a to #d1g randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spend more	1715	61.4%
3	Spend less	44	1.6%
5	About the same as now	1035	37.0%
8	don't know	65	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd1d: Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Health Care?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2828 /-] [Invalid=823 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	...Health Care? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
Notes	Order of issue delivery from #d1a to #d1g randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spend more	2499	88.4%
3	Spend less	29	1.0%
5	About the same as now	300	10.6%
8	don't know	25	
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd1e: Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Unemployment Insurance?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2722 /-] [Invalid=929 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	...Unemployment Insurance? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
Notes	Order of issue delivery from #d1a to #d1g randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spend more	1038	38.1%
3	Spend less	339	12.5%
5	About the same as now	1345	49.4%
8	don't know	129	
9	refused	9	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pesd1f: Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Education?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2810 /-] [Invalid=841 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	...Education? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
Notes	Order of issue delivery from #d1a to #d1g randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spend more	2329	82.9%
3	Spend less	47	1.7%
5	About the same as now	434	15.4%
8	don't know	44	
9	refused	6	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd1g: Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Aid to developing countries?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

pesd1g: Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now? ... Aid to developing countries?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2695 /-] [Invalid=956 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	...Aid to developing countries? Should the Federal government spend more, less, or about the same as now?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Developing means poor.
Notes	Order of issue delivery from #d1a to #d1g randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Spend more	490	18.2%
3	Spend less	822	30.5%
5	About the same as now	1383	51.3%
8	don't know	128	
9	refused	6	
Sysmiss		822	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd2: How much power do you think UNIONS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2779 /-] [Invalid=872 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much power do you think UNIONS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Unions means "Unions IN GENERAL".
Notes	Order of issue delivery from #d2 to #d4 randomized. #e3 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	103	3.7%
2	Somewhat more	268	9.6%
3	About the same as now	1164	41.9%
4	Somewhat less	786	28.3%
5	Much less	458	16.5%
8	don't know	73	
9	refused	8	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd3: And how much power do you think BUSINESS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2729 /-] [Invalid=922 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And how much power do you think BUSINESS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
Notes	Order of issue delivery from #d2 to #d4 randomized. #e2 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	186	6.8%
2	Somewhat more	504	18.5%
3	About the same as now	1244	45.6%

pesd3: And how much power do you think BUSINESS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat less	575	21.1%
5	Much less	220	8.1%
8	don't know	121	
9	refused	10	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd4: Do you think Canada's ties with the United States should be MUCH CLOSER, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2786 /-] [Invalid=865 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think Canada's ties with the United States should be MUCH CLOSER, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?
Notes	Order of issue delivery from #d2 to #d4 randomized. #e4 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much closer	290	10.4%
2	Somewhat closer	565	20.3%
3	About the same as now	1469	52.7%
4	More distant	354	12.7%
5	Much more distant	108	3.9%
8	don't know	71	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd5: Do you think the Alliance Party favours or opposes 2-tier health care?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2086 /-] [Invalid=1565 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think the Alliance Party favours or opposes 2-tier health care?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favours	1442	69.1%
5	Opposes	644	30.9%
8	don't know	753	
9	refused	21	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd6: How clear is the Alliance position on this: very clear, fairly clear, not very clear, or not clear at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2167 /-] [Invalid=1484 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How clear is the Alliance position on this: very clear, fairly clear, not very clear, or not clear at all?

pesd6: How clear is the Alliance position on this: very clear, fairly clear, not very clear, or not clear at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very clear	256	11.8%
3	Fairly clear	601	27.7%
5	Not very clear	926	42.7%
7	Not clear at all	384	17.7%
8	don't know	233	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		1249	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd7: Would you favour or oppose having some private hospitals in Canada?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2716 /-] [Invalid=935 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you favour or oppose having some private hospitals in Canada?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favour	1164	42.9%
5	Oppose	1494	55.0%
7	It depends	58	2.1%
8	don't know	132	
9	refused	12	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd8a: And would you favour or oppose letting doctors charge patients a 10 dollar fee for each office visit?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1404 /-] [Invalid=2247 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #d8a, the other are asked #d8b based on the generated value of Random Number 1.
Literal question	And would you favour or oppose letting doctors charge patients a 10 dollar fee for each office visit?
Post-question	Go to #e1a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favour	512	36.5%
5	Oppose	823	58.6%
7	R volunteers: "It depends"	69	4.9%
8	don't know	35	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		2211	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesd8b: And would you favour or oppose letting doctors charge patients a 20 dollar fee for each office visit?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1402 /-] [Invalid=2249 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #d8a, the other are asked #d8b based on the generated value of Random Number 1.
Literal question	And would you favour or oppose letting doctors charge patients a 20 dollar fee for each office visit?

pesd8b: And would you favour or oppose letting doctors charge patients a 20 dollar fee for each office visit?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favour	325	23.2%
5	Oppose	1010	72.0%
7	R volunteers: "It depends"	67	4.8%
8	don't know	16	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		2231	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese1a: When it comes to the division of power between the federal and provincial governments, do you think the PROVINCIAL governments should have more power, than now, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1400 /-] [Invalid=2251 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2.
Literal question	When it comes to the division of power between the federal and provincial governments, do you think the PROVINCIAL governments should have more power, than now, less power, or about the same as now?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power than now	575	41.1%
3	Less power	131	9.4%
5	About the same as now	694	49.6%
8	don't know	55	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		2193	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese2a: Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the federal LIBERALS, do they want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1306 /-] [Invalid=2345 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2.
Literal question	Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the federal LIBERALS, do they want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this", go to #e7a/#e7b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power than now	133	10.2%
3	Less power	469	35.9%
5	About the same as now	571	43.7%
7	I do not know where any of the parties stand on this	133	10.2%
8	don't know	149	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		2193	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese3a: Does the BLOC QUEBECOIS want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

pese3a: Does the BLOC QUEBECOIS want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=379 /-] [Invalid=3272 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2. Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this" for #e2a.
Literal question	And the Bloc Quebecois? Does the BLOC QUEBECOIS want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this", go to #e7a/#e7b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power than now	316	83.4%
3	Less power	20	5.3%
5	About the same as now	37	9.8%
7	I do not know where any of the parties stand on this	6	1.6%
8	don't know	25	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		3246	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese4a: Does the ALLIANCE want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1014 /-] [Invalid=2637 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this" for #e3a.
Literal question	And the Alliance? Does the ALLIANCE want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this", go to #e7a/#e7b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power than now	511	50.4%
3	Less power	177	17.5%
5	About the same as now	254	25.0%
7	I do not know where any of the parties stand on this	72	7.1%
8	don't know	300	
9	refused	5	
Sysmiss		2332	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese5a: Do the federal CONSERVATIVES want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=929 /-] [Invalid=2722 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this" for #e4a.
Literal question	And the federal Conservatives? Do the federal CONSERVATIVES want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this", go to #e7a/#e7b.

pese5a: Do the federal CONSERVATIVES want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power than now	253	27.2%
3	Less power	142	15.3%
5	About the same as now	511	55.0%
7	I do not know where any of the parties stand on this	23	2.5%
8	don't know	314	
9	refused	4	
Sysmiss		2404	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese6a: Does the federal NDP want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=829 /-] [Invalid=2822 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this" for #e5a.
Literal question	And the federal NDP? Does the federal NDP want the PROVINCIAL governments to have more power than now, less power, or about the same as now?
Post-question	Go to #e7a/#e7b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power than now	243	29.3%
3	Less power	235	28.3%
5	About the same as now	345	41.6%
7	I do not know where any of the parties stand on this	6	0.7%
8	don't know	391	
9	refused	4	
Sysmiss		2427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese1b: When it comes to the division of power between the federal and provincial governments, do you think the FEDERAL government should have more power, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1354 /-] [Invalid=2297 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2.
Literal question	When it comes to the division of power between the federal and provincial governments, do you think the FEDERAL government should have more power, less power, or about the same as now?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power	188	13.9%
3	Less power	417	30.8%
5	About the same as now	749	55.3%
8	don't know	44	
9	refused	4	
Sysmiss		2249	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese2b: Do the Liberals want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1279 /-] [Invalid=2372 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2.
Literal question	Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the LIBERALS. Do the Liberals want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this", go to #e7a/#e7b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power	661	51.7%
3	Less power	78	6.1%
5	About the same as now	406	31.7%
7	I do not know where any of the parties stand on this	134	10.5%
8	don't know	120	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		2249	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese3b: Does the BLOC QUEBECOIS want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=410 /-] [Invalid=3241 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2. Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this" for #e2b.
Literal question	And the Bloc Quebecois? Does the BLOC QUEBECOIS want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this", go to #e7a/#e7b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power	58	14.1%
3	Less power	290	70.7%
5	About the same as now	56	13.7%
7	I do not know where any of the parties stand on this	6	1.5%
8	don't know	31	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		3207	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese4b: Does the ALLIANCE want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1018 /-] [Invalid=2633 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on any on this" for #e3b.
Literal question	And the Alliance? Does the ALLIANCE want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this", go to #e7a/#e7b.

pese4b: Does the ALLIANCE want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power	302	29.7%
3	Less power	459	45.1%
5	About the same as now	199	19.5%
7	I do not know where any of the parties stand on this	58	5.7%
8	don't know	236	
9	refused	8	
Sysmiss		2389	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese5b: Do the CONSERVATIVES want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=960 /-] [Invalid=2691 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on any on this" for #e4b.
Literal question	And the Conservatives? Do the CONSERVATIVES want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on this", go to #e7a/#e7b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power	267	27.8%
3	Less power	237	24.7%
5	About the same as now	439	45.7%
7	I do not know where any of the parties stand on this	17	1.8%
8	don't know	237	
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		2447	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese6b: Does the NDP want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=892 /-] [Invalid=2759 /-]
Universe	Split sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e1a through #e6a, the other half are asked #e1b through #e6b based on the generated value of Random Number 2. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know where any of the parties stand on any on this" for #e5b.
Literal question	And the NDP? Does the NDP want the FEDERAL government to have more power, less power, or about the same as now?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More power	351	39.3%
3	Less power	261	29.3%
5	About the same as now	271	30.4%
7	I do not know where any of the parties stand on this	9	1.0%
8	don't know	287	
9	refused	8	
Sysmiss		2464	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese7a: IN GENERAL, which government looks after YOUR interests better: the FEDERAL government or the PROVINCIAL government?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1312 /-] [Invalid=2339 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e7a, the other are asked #e7b based on the generated value of Random Number 5.
Literal question	IN GENERAL, which government looks after YOUR interests better: the FEDERAL government or the PROVINCIAL government?
Post-question	Go to #8.
Notes	#f14a comparable in PES 1997. #f14a comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal government	399	30.4%
3	Provincial government	693	52.8%
5	There is not much difference	150	11.4%
7	R Volunteers: "Neither"	70	5.3%
8	don't know	95	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		2241	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese7b: IN GENERAL, which government looks after YOUR interests better: the FEDERAL government, the PROVINCIAL government, or is there not much difference?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1414 /-] [Invalid=2237 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #e7a, the other are asked #e7b based on the generated value of Random Number 5.
Literal question	IN GENERAL, which government looks after YOUR interests better: the FEDERAL government, the PROVINCIAL government, or is there not much difference?
Notes	#f14b comparable in PES 1997. #f14b comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal government	207	14.6%
3	Provincial government	416	29.4%
5	There is not much difference	791	55.9%
8	don't know	28	
9	refused	8	
Sysmiss		2201	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pese8: Over the last FIVE years, do you think that the quality of education in your province has got better, got worse, or stayed about the same?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2708 /-] [Invalid=943 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Over the last FIVE years, do you think that the quality of education in [province in which respondent resides] has got better, got worse, or stayed about the same?
Notes	#e9a comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Got better	358	13.2%

pese8: Over the last FIVE years, do you think that the quality of education in your province has got better, got worse, or stayed about the same?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Got worse	1388	51.3%
5	Stayed about the same	962	35.5%
8	don't know	148	
9	refused	4	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk8a: Now your views about political parties IN GENERAL. How good a job do political parties IN GENERAL do of presenting clear choices on the issues: a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2729 /-] [Invalid=922 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Now your views about political parties IN GENERAL. How good a job do political parties IN GENERAL do of presenting clear choices on the issues: a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?
Notes	#k8a-#k9 relocated and reworded.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good job	99	3.6%
3	Quite a good job	900	33.0%
5	Not a very good job	1363	49.9%
7	Not a good job at all	367	13.4%
8	don't know	123	
9	refused	8	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk8b: Do political parties IN GENERAL do a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all at finding solutions to important problems?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2715 /-] [Invalid=936 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	... finding solutions to important problems? Do political parties IN GENERAL do a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all at finding solutions to important problems?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good job	84	3.1%
3	Quite a good job	856	31.5%
5	Not a very good job	1449	53.4%
7	Not a good job at all	326	12.0%
8	don't know	141	
9	refused	4	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk8d: Do political parties IN GENERAL do a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all at expressing the concerns of ordinary people?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

pesk8d: Do political parties IN GENERAL do a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at expressing the concerns of ordinary people?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2734 /-] [Invalid=917 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	... expressing the concerns of ordinary people? Do political parties IN GENERAL do a very good job, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at expressing the concerns of ordinary people?
Post-question	If respondent answers "not a very good job", or "not a good job at all", go to #k9. All others go to #int3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good job	96	3.5%
3	Quite a good job	597	21.8%
5	Not a very good job	1590	58.2%
7	Not a good job at all	451	16.5%
8	don't know	121	
9	refused	5	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk9: And what about the party you voted for? Does they do a very good job of expressing the concerns of ordinary people, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1379 /-] [Invalid=2272 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "not a very good job", or "not a good job at all" for #k8d. Asked only to respondents who voted in the election, and specified which party they voted for, as indicated in #a2, #a3a, #a3b.
Literal question	And what about the [party voted for as indicated in #a3a/#a3b]? Does the [party voted for as indicated in #a3a/#a3b] do a very good job of expressing the concerns of ordinary people, quite a good job, not a very good job, or not a good job at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very good job	103	7.5%
3	Quite a good job	775	56.2%
5	Not a very good job	436	31.6%
7	Not a good job at all	65	4.7%
8	don't know	73	
9	refused	5	
Sysmiss		2194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

premier: Name of Provincial Premier

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2860 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Beaton Tulk		106	3.7%
Bernard Lord		111	3.9%
Gary Doer		97	3.4%
John Hamm		113	4.0%
Lucien Bouchard		941	32.9%
Mike Harris		774	27.1%
Pat Binns		41	1.4%
Ralph Klein		273	9.5%

premier: Name of Provincial Premier

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Roy Romanow		101	3.5%
Ujjal Dosanjh		303	10.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesint3: And now, how do you feel about your Provincial PREMIER?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2774 /-] [Invalid=877 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And now, how do you feel about [fill PREMIER of respondent's province]? Use a scale from ZERO to ONE HUNDRED. Zero means you REALLY DISLIKE him and one hundred means you REALLY LIKE him. Use any number from zero to one hundred.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	252	9.1%
1		8	0.3%
2		16	0.6%
3		6	0.2%
4		2	0.1%
5		29	1.0%
6		2	0.1%
7		4	0.1%
10		108	3.9%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		13	0.5%
20		82	3.0%
22		1	0.0%
25		51	1.8%
30		99	3.6%
33		1	0.0%
35		12	0.4%
40		146	5.3%
42		3	0.1%
45		33	1.2%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
50		480	17.3%
51		4	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		22	0.8%
59		1	0.0%
60		259	9.3%
61		1	0.0%
62		4	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%

pesint3: And now, how do you feel about your Provincial PREMIER?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65		92	3.3%
66		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		215	7.8%
72		2	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
75		222	8.0%
78		2	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		242	8.7%
83		2	0.1%
84		1	0.0%
85		65	2.3%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		118	4.3%
95		26	0.9%
97		1	0.0%
98		3	0.1%
99		4	0.1%
100	Really LIKE him	79	2.8%
997	Don't know who he is	46	1.7%
998	Don't know how to rate him	65	
999	refused	21	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrnl1: First Leader - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		373	19.4%
2		396	20.6%
3		419	21.8%
4		387	20.2%
5		344	17.9%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrnl2: Second Leader - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesrnl2: Second Leader - Random Delivery

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		384	20.0%
2		375	19.5%
3		378	19.7%
4		376	19.6%
5		406	21.2%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrnl3: Third Leader - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		398	20.7%
2		369	19.2%
3		371	19.3%
4		363	18.9%
5		418	21.8%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrnl4: Fourth Leader - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		411	21.4%
2		362	18.9%
3		380	19.8%
4		401	20.9%
5		365	19.0%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesrnl5: Fifth Leader - Random Delivery

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		353	18.4%
2		417	21.7%
3		371	19.3%
4		392	20.4%
5		386	20.1%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesf1: How do you feel about JOE CLARK?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2740 /-] [Invalid=911 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know any of the leaders" for #f2, #f3, #f4, #f5, if previously asked.
Literal question	How do you feel about JOE CLARK? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any of the leaders", go to #i1.
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized. Option of respondent refusal added to this section.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	114	4.2%
1		5	0.2%
2		9	0.3%
3		2	0.1%
4		3	0.1%
5		36	1.3%
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		115	4.2%
11		1	0.0%
15		14	0.5%
17		1	0.0%
18		2	0.1%
20		148	5.4%
25		87	3.2%
30		156	5.7%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		24	0.9%
38		1	0.0%
40		262	9.6%
43		1	0.0%
45		37	1.4%
47		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		1	0.0%
50		512	18.7%
51		1	0.0%
52		2	0.1%
55		37	1.4%
58		1	0.0%
60		344	12.6%
61		1	0.0%
62		2	0.1%
65		83	3.0%

pesf1: How do you feel about JOE CLARK?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
67		2	0.1%
68		3	0.1%
70		250	9.1%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
75		145	5.3%
78		1	0.0%
80		138	5.0%
85		28	1.0%
90		31	1.1%
95		8	0.3%
100	Really LIKE him	24	0.9%
996	Don't know any of the leaders	11	0.4%
997	Don't know who he his	84	3.1%
998	Don't know how to rate him	81	
999	refused	21	
Sysmiss		809	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesf2: How do you feel about JEAN CHRETIEN?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2783 /-] [Invalid=868 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know any of the leaders" for #f1, #f3, #f4, #f5, if previously asked.
Literal question	... and JEAN CHRETIEN? How do you feel about JEAN CHRETIEN? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any of the leaders", go to #i1.
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized. Option of respondent refusal added to this section.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	162	5.8%
1		4	0.1%
2		18	0.6%
3		3	0.1%
4		5	0.2%
5		28	1.0%
6		1	0.0%
7		5	0.2%
8		5	0.2%
9		3	0.1%
10		105	3.8%
15		11	0.4%
20		105	3.8%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		66	2.4%

pesf2: How do you feel about JEAN CHRETIEN?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26		1	0.0%
27		2	0.1%
28		1	0.0%
30		158	5.7%
33		2	0.1%
35		18	0.6%
40		236	8.5%
45		39	1.4%
48		1	0.0%
49		4	0.1%
50		449	16.1%
51		1	0.0%
52		3	0.1%
53		1	0.0%
55		36	1.3%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		319	11.5%
65		88	3.2%
68		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		291	10.5%
72		1	0.0%
73		3	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		203	7.3%
80		200	7.2%
85		32	1.1%
89		1	0.0%
90		64	2.3%
95		14	0.5%
98		3	0.1%
99		3	0.1%
100	Really LIKE him	74	2.7%
996	Don't know any of the leaders	1	0.0%
997	Don't know who he his	6	0.2%
998	Don't know how to rate him	30	
999	refused	20	
Sysmiss		818	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesf3: How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2664 /-] [Invalid=987 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know any of the leaders" for #f1, #f2, #f4, #f5, if previously asked.
Literal question	... and ALEXA MCDONOUGH? How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE her and one hundred means you really LIKE her.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any of the leaders", go to #i1.
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized. Option of respondent refusal added to this section.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE her	146	5.5%
1		6	0.2%
2		9	0.3%
3		4	0.2%
4		3	0.1%
5		30	1.1%
6		2	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		123	4.6%
12		3	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
15		27	1.0%
20		173	6.5%
22		2	0.1%
25		77	2.9%
30		204	7.7%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		27	1.0%
40		257	9.6%
45		37	1.4%
48		2	0.1%
49		1	0.0%
50		422	15.8%
55		46	1.7%
56		1	0.0%
60		253	9.5%
62		2	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
65		65	2.4%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
70		161	6.0%
75		103	3.9%
80		76	2.9%

pesf3: How do you feel about ALEXA MCDONOUGH?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
84		1	0.0%
85		28	1.1%
88		1	0.0%
90		25	0.9%
95		3	0.1%
98		1	0.0%
100	Really LIKE her	15	0.6%
996	Don't know any of the leaders	12	0.5%
997	Don't know who she is	306	11.5%
998	Don't know how to rate her	153	
999	refused	24	
Sysmiss		810	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesf4: How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2712 /-] [Invalid=939 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know any of the leaders" for #f1, #f2, #f3, #f5, if previously asked.
Literal question	... and STOCKWELL DAY? How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any of the leaders", go to #i1.
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized. Option of respondent refusal added to this section.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	313	11.5%
1		9	0.3%
2		15	0.6%
3		7	0.3%
4		5	0.2%
5		39	1.4%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
8		5	0.2%
9		1	0.0%
10		152	5.6%
11		1	0.0%
12		2	0.1%
15		18	0.7%
18		2	0.1%
20		170	6.3%
21		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		85	3.1%
27		1	0.0%
30		196	7.2%

pesf4: How do you feel about STOCKWELL DAY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
32		4	0.1%
35		20	0.7%
37		1	0.0%
40		285	10.5%
45		38	1.4%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
50		385	14.2%
52		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
55		30	1.1%
60		221	8.1%
62		1	0.0%
65		49	1.8%
69		1	0.0%
70		134	4.9%
72		1	0.0%
75		94	3.5%
80		113	4.2%
82		2	0.1%
85		29	1.1%
89		2	0.1%
90		38	1.4%
95		8	0.3%
99		2	0.1%
100	Really LIKE him	22	0.8%
996	Don't know any of the leaders	12	0.4%
997	Don't know who he his	191	7.0%
998	Don't know how to rate him	107	
999	refused	21	
Sysmiss		811	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesf5: How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=897 /-] [Invalid=2754 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "don't know any of the leaders" for #f1, #f2, #f3, #f4, if previously asked.
Literal question	... and GILLES DUCEPPE? How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE? Use any number from zero to one hundred. Zero means you really DISLIKE him and one hundred means you really LIKE him.
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know any of the leaders", go to #i1.
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized. Option of respondent refusal added to this section.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Really DISLIKE him	69	7.7%
1		3	0.3%

pesf5: How do you feel about GILLES DUCEPPE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		4	0.4%
3		2	0.2%
4		1	0.1%
5		9	1.0%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
10		38	4.2%
15		6	0.7%
17		1	0.1%
20		35	3.9%
25		25	2.8%
27		1	0.1%
30		51	5.7%
35		11	1.2%
40		82	9.1%
43		1	0.1%
44		1	0.1%
45		10	1.1%
47		1	0.1%
50		156	17.4%
51		1	0.1%
52		1	0.1%
55		12	1.3%
59		1	0.1%
60		103	11.5%
65		19	2.1%
70		55	6.1%
75		50	5.6%
80		55	6.1%
82		1	0.1%
85		7	0.8%
88		1	0.1%
90		20	2.2%
95		1	0.1%
100	Really LIKE him	12	1.3%
996	Don't know any of the leaders	0	
997	Don't know who he his	49	5.5%
998	Don't know how to rate him	27	
999	refused	5	
Sysmiss		2722	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesi1: Which party leader would YOU describe as arrogant?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2440 /-] [Invalid=1211 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesi1: Which party leader would YOU describe as arrogant?

Literal question	Which party leader would YOU describe as arrogant?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say" "If you had to choose, who would you say?"
Notes	#i1-#i9 were relocated.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	1269	52.0%
2	Clark	88	3.6%
3	Day	553	22.7%
4	Duceppe	111	4.5%
5	McDonough	22	0.9%
6	None	220	9.0%
7	All	177	7.3%
8	don't know	355	
9	refused	29	
Sysmiss		827	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesi2: Which leader would YOU describe as trustworthy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2484 /-] [Invalid=1167 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which leader would YOU describe as trustworthy?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say: "If you had to choose, who would you say?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	459	18.5%
2	Clark	617	24.8%
3	Day	302	12.2%
4	Duceppe	157	6.3%
5	McDonough	287	11.6%
6	None	621	25.0%
7	All	41	1.7%
8	don't know	314	
9	refused	26	
Sysmiss		827	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesi3: Which leader would YOU describe as having new ideas?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2377 /-] [Invalid=1274 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which leader would YOU describe as having new ideas?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say: "If you had to choose, who would you say?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	167	7.0%
2	Clark	129	5.4%
3	Day	1195	50.3%

pesi3: Which leader would YOU describe as having new ideas?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Duceppe	91	3.8%
5	McDonough	194	8.2%
6	None	542	22.8%
7	All	59	2.5%
8	don't know	420	
9	refused	27	
Sysmiss		827	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesi4: Which leader would YOU describe as compassionate?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2280 /-] [Invalid=1371 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which leader would YOU describe as compassionate?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say: "If you had to choose, who would you say?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	352	15.4%
2	Clark	344	15.1%
3	Day	239	10.5%
4	Duceppe	137	6.0%
5	McDonough	724	31.8%
6	None	442	19.4%
7	All	42	1.8%
8	don't know	517	
9	refused	27	
Sysmiss		827	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesi5: Which leader would YOU describe as dishonest?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2134 /-] [Invalid=1517 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which leader would YOU describe as dishonest?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say: "If you had to choose, who would you say?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	688	32.2%
2	Clark	70	3.3%
3	Day	417	19.5%
4	Duceppe	59	2.8%
5	McDonough	28	1.3%
6	None	514	24.1%
7	All	358	16.8%
8	don't know	630	
9	refused	60	
Sysmiss		827	

pesi5: Which leader would YOU describe as dishonest?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesi6: Which leader would YOU describe as intelligent?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2413 /-] [Invalid=1238 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which leader would YOU describe as intelligent?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say: "If you had to choose, who would you say?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	640	26.5%
2	Clark	446	18.5%
3	Day	266	11.0%
4	Duceppe	137	5.7%
5	McDonough	109	4.5%
6	None	191	7.9%
7	All	624	25.9%
8	don't know	383	
9	refused	28	
Sysmiss		827	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesi7: Which leader would YOU describe as extreme?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2215 /-] [Invalid=1436 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which leader would YOU describe as extreme?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say: "If you had to choose, who would you say?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	315	14.2%
2	Clark	77	3.5%
3	Day	1136	51.3%
4	Duceppe	142	6.4%
5	McDonough	164	7.4%
6	None	339	15.3%
7	All	42	1.9%
8	don't know	578	
9	refused	31	
Sysmiss		827	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesi8: Which leader would YOU describe as a weak leader?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2214 /-] [Invalid=1437 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which leader would YOU describe as a weak leader?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R gives more than one response say: "If you had to choose, who would you say?"

pesi8: Which leader would YOU describe as a weak leader?

Notes	Question was reworded.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	167	7.5%
2	Clark	686	31.0%
3	Day	179	8.1%
4	Duceppe	126	5.7%
5	McDonough	679	30.7%
6	None	337	15.2%
7	All	40	1.8%
8	don't know	574	
9	refused	36	
Sysmiss		827	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesi9: Did the leaders' religious beliefs influence your vote?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2779 /-] [Invalid=872 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did the leaders' religious beliefs influence your vote?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	403	14.5%
5	No	2376	85.5%
8	don't know	55	
9	refused	13	
Sysmiss		804	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesf6: Now the local candidates in your riding. Was there a candidate in your riding you particularly liked?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2707 /-] [Invalid=944 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Now the local candidates in your riding. Was there a candidate in your riding you particularly liked?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #f7. All others go to #g2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1155	42.7%
5	No	1552	57.3%
8	don't know	141	
9	refused	12	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesf7: Which party was the candidate you liked from?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1117 /-] [Invalid=2534 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #f6.
Literal question	Which party was the candidate you liked from?

pesf7: Which party was the candidate you liked from?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	20	1.8%
1	Liberal	450	40.3%
2	Conservative	129	11.5%
3	NDP	102	9.1%
4	Alliance	242	21.7%
5	Bloc Quebecois	174	15.6%
98	don't know	17	
99	refused	21	
Sysmiss		2496	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg2: If a law conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2696 /-] [Invalid=955 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If a law conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say: The courts because they are in the best position to decide what is just and unjust, or the government because they are elected by the people?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The courts	1881	69.8%
5	The government	734	27.2%
7	R volunteers: Both/Neither	81	3.0%
8	don't know	147	
9	refused	17	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg3: Under our present system, a party can win a majority of seats without winning a majority of votes.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2853 /-] [Invalid=798 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Under our present system, a party can win a majority of seats without winning a majority of votes. Do you find this acceptable, unacceptable, or do you not have an opinion on this?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Acceptable	843	29.5%
5	Unacceptable	1235	43.3%
7	R volunteers: Both/Neither	0	
8	don't know/no opinion	775	27.2%
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg4a: Do you think that referendums on IMPORTANT issues should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1378 /-] [Invalid=2273 /-]

pesg4a: Do you think that referendums on IMPORTANT issues should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?

Universe	Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #g4a, the other are asked #g4b based on the generated value of Random Number 3.
Literal question	Do you think that referendums on IMPORTANT issues should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Regularly	295	21.4%
3	Occasionally	599	43.5%
5	Rarely	352	25.5%
7	Never	132	9.6%
8	don't know	47	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		2224	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg4b: Do you think that referendums on CONTROVERSIAL issues should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1385 /-] [Invalid=2266 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #g4a, the other are asked #g4b based on the generated value of Random Number 3.
Literal question	Do you think that referendums on CONTROVERSIAL issues should be held regularly, occasionally, rarely, or never?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Regularly	263	19.0%
3	Occasionally	595	43.0%
5	Rarely	401	29.0%
7	Never	126	9.1%
8	don't know	45	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		2218	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg5: Should we have fixed dates for elections, or should the government decide when elections are held?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2703 /-] [Invalid=948 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Should we have fixed dates for elections, or should the government decide when elections are held?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fixed dates	1861	68.8%
5	Government should decide	842	31.2%
8	don't know	146	
9	refused	11	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg7a: As you may know, there are many more men than women in the House of Commons. In your view, is this a very serious problem, quite a serious problem, not a very serious problem, or not a problem at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

pesg7a: As you may know, there are many more men than women in the House of Commons. In your view, is this a very serious problem, quite a serious problem, not a very serious problem, or not a problem at all?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1402 /-] [Invalid=2249 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #g7a, the other are asked #g7b based on the generated value of Random Number 4.
Literal question	As you may know, there are many more men than women in the House of Commons. In your view, is this a very serious problem, quite a serious problem, not a very serious problem, or not a problem at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious problem	106	7.6%
3	Quite a serious problem	411	29.3%
5	Not a very serious problem	496	35.4%
7	Not a problem at all	389	27.7%
8	don't know	33	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		2214	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg7b: Would you favour or oppose requiring the parties to have an equal number of male and female candidates?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1316 /-] [Invalid=2335 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. Half of respondents are asked #g7a, the other are asked #g7b based on the generated value of Random Number 4.
Literal question	Would you favour or oppose requiring the parties to have an equal number of male and female candidates?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favour	530	40.3%
5	Oppose	786	59.7%
8	don't know	114	
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		2214	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg7c: As you may know, there are very few members of RACIAL MINORITIES in the House of Commons.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1369 /-] [Invalid=2282 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	As you may know, there are very few members of RACIAL MINORITIES in the House of Commons. In your view, is this a very serious problem, quite a serious problem, not a very serious problem, or not a problem at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious problem	88	6.4%
3	Quite a serious problem	397	29.0%
5	Not a very serious problem	506	37.0%
7	Not a problem at all	378	27.6%
8	don't know	50	
9	refused	4	
Sysmiss		2228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg7d: Would you favour or oppose requiring the parties to have more members of racial minorities as candidates?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

pesg7d: Would you favour or oppose requiring the parties to have more members of racial minorities as candidates?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1249 /-] [Invalid=2402 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you favour or oppose requiring the parties to have more members of racial minorities as candidates?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favour	591	47.3%
5	Oppose	658	52.7%
8	don't know	153	
9	refused	21	
Sysmiss		2228	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg8: And now a question on abortion: do you think it should be very easy for women to get an abortion, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2590 /-] [Invalid=1061 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And now a question on abortion: do you think it should be very easy for women to get an abortion, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R say "it depends" say "well IN GENERAL".

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	R volunteers: "not allowed at all, etc."	40	1.5%
1	Very easy	443	17.1%
3	Quite easy	1221	47.1%
5	Quite difficult	546	21.1%
7	Very difficult	340	13.1%
8	don't know	189	
9	refused	81	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg9: Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the LIBERALS.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2353 /-] [Invalid=1298 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the LIBERALS. Do the Liberals want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult for women to get an abortion?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "have no idea where the parties stand on this", go to #g14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	I have not idea where the parties stand on this	630	26.8%
1	Very easy	210	8.9%
3	Quite easy	1019	43.3%
5	Quite difficult	377	16.0%
7	Very difficult	117	5.0%
8	don't know	486	
9	refused	21	
Sysmiss		791	

pesg9: Now where do you think the parties stand on this? First, the LIBERALS.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg10: Does the Bloc Quebecois want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=453 /-] [Invalid=3198 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that thay "have no idea where the parties stand on this" for #g9.
Literal question	Does the Bloc Quebecois want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	75	16.6%
3	Quite easy	286	63.1%
5	Quite difficult	66	14.6%
7	Very difficult	26	5.7%
8	don't know	237	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		2958	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg11: Does the Alliance want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1695 /-] [Invalid=1956 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that thay "have no idea where the parties stand on this" for #g9.
Literal question	Does the Alliance want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	43	2.5%
3	Quite easy	166	9.8%
5	Quite difficult	603	35.6%
7	Very difficult	883	52.1%
8	don't know	515	
9	refused	20	
Sysmiss		1421	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg12: Do the Conservatives want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1355 /-] [Invalid=2296 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that thay "have no idea where the parties stand on this" for #g9.
Literal question	Do the Conservatives want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	99	7.3%
3	Quite easy	715	52.8%
5	Quite difficult	414	30.6%
7	Very difficult	127	9.4%
8	don't know	855	
9	refused	20	
Sysmiss		1421	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg13: Does the NDP want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1350 /-] [Invalid=2301 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that thay "have no idea where the parties stand on this" for #g9.
Literal question	Does the NDP want it to be very easy, quite easy, quite difficult, or very difficult?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	336	24.9%
3	Quite easy	677	50.1%
5	Quite difficult	240	17.8%
7	Very difficult	97	7.2%
8	don't know	860	
9	refused	20	
Sysmiss		1421	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg14: Do you think it would be a good thing or a bad thing to have a referendum on abortion?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2611 /-] [Invalid=1040 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think it would be a good thing or a bad thing to have a referendum on abortion?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good thing	1006	38.5%
5	Bad thing	1518	58.1%
7	R volunteers: "It depends"	87	3.3%
8	don't know	227	
9	refused	22	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg20: Are you very sympathetic towards feminism, quite sympathetic, not very sympathetic, or not sympathetic at all?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2661 /-] [Invalid=990 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Are you very sympathetic towards feminism, quite sympathetic, not very sympathetic, or not sympathetic at all?
Notes	Question was relocated and reworded. Accepted response "it depends" added.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	R volunteers: "It depends"	61	2.3%
1	Very sympathetic	414	15.6%
3	Quite sympathetic	1394	52.4%
5	Not very sympathetic	553	20.8%
7	Not sympathetic at all	239	9.0%
8	don't know	175	
9	refused	24	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg15: People who don't get ahead should blame themselves, not the system.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2722 /-] [Invalid=929 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE with the following statements:
Literal question	People who don't get ahead should blame themselves, not the system. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
Notes	#e19 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	915	33.6%
3	Somewhat agree	1043	38.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	520	19.1%
7	Strongly disagree	244	9.0%
8	don't know	129	
9	refused	9	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg16: When businesses make a lot of money, everyone benefits, including the poor.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2773 /-] [Invalid=878 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	When businesses make a lot of money, everyone benefits, including the poor. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Business means businesses IN GENERAL.
Notes	#e20 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	348	12.5%
3	Somewhat agree	564	20.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	890	32.1%
7	Strongly disagree	971	35.0%
8	don't know	85	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg17: Overall, free trade with the U.S. has been good for the Canadian economy.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2574 /-] [Invalid=1077 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Overall, free trade with the U.S. has been good for the Canadian economy. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	597	23.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1112	43.2%
5	Somewhat disagree	527	20.5%

pesg17: Overall, free trade with the U.S. has been good for the Canadian economy.

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Strongly disagree	338	13.1%
8	don't know	276	
9	refused	10	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg18: Quebec has the right to separate no matter what the rest of Canada says.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2789 /-] [Invalid=862 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Quebec has the right to separate no matter what the rest of Canada says. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	425	15.2%
3	Somewhat agree	445	16.0%
5	Somewhat disagree	530	19.0%
7	Strongly disagree	1389	49.8%
8	don't know	62	
9	refused	9	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg19: The federal government should cut funding to provinces that allow user fees for medical services.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2622 /-] [Invalid=1029 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The federal government should cut funding to provinces that allow user fees for medical services. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	383	14.6%
3	Somewhat agree	543	20.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	799	30.5%
7	Strongly disagree	897	34.2%
8	don't know	218	
9	refused	20	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesg1: Which statement COMES CLOSEST to your own view: One, most PEOPLE can be trusted, or Two, most PEOPLE take advantage of others whenever they can?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2763 /-] [Invalid=888 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which statement COMES CLOSEST to your own view: One, most PEOPLE can be trusted, or Two, most PEOPLE take advantage of others whenever they can?

pesg1: Which statement COMES CLOSEST to your own view: One, most PEOPLE can be trusted, or Two, most PEOPLE take advantage of others whenever they can?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	People can be trusted	1480	53.6%
2	People take advantage of others	1217	44.0%
7	R volunteers: Both/Neither	66	2.4%
8	don't know	80	
9	refused	17	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesh1: Do you happen to remember which party promised a single tax rate for people earning less than one hundred thousand dollars a year?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to remember which party promised a single tax rate for people earning less than one hundred thousand dollars a year?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Alliance	1058	37.0%
3	Alliance and any other mention	9	0.3%
7	Other answers	346	12.1%
8	don't know	1444	50.5%
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesh2: Which party proposed a national prescription drug plan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which party proposed a national prescription drug plan?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NDP	673	23.6%
3	NDP and another party	2	0.1%
7	Other answers	625	21.9%
8	don't know	1557	54.5%
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesh3: Which party promised a law to fight criminal biker gangs?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which party promised a law to fight criminal biker gangs?

pesh3: Which party promised a law to fight criminal biker gangs?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bloc Quebecois	771	27.0%
2	Alliance	351	12.3%
3	Bloc Quebecois and the Alliance	12	0.4%
4	Bloc Quebecois and a party other than Alliance	3	0.1%
5	Alliance and a party other than the Bloc Quebecois	8	0.3%
7	All other answers	573	20.1%
8	don't know	1139	39.9%
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesh4: Do you happen to recall which party proposed a law to pay back the debt in 25 years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to recall which party proposed a law to pay back the debt in 25 years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative party	445	15.6%
3	Conservative party and another party	15	0.5%
7	Other answers	1171	41.0%
8	don't know	1226	42.9%
9	no answer	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesh5: Do you happen to recall which party promised to use HALF of the surplus to cut taxes and pay down the debt and HALF to spend on health care and social programmes?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to recall which party promised to use HALF of the surplus to cut taxes and pay down the debt and HALF to spend on health care and social programs?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal party	983	34.4%
3	Liberal party and another party	4	0.1%
7	Other answers	717	25.1%
8	don't know	1153	40.4%
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesj1: How many hours a day do you usually watch TV?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2847 /-] [Invalid=804 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many hours a day do you usually watch TV?

pesj1: How many hours a day do you usually watch TV?

Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: On an average day in an average week.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None/less than half an hour	263	9.2%
1		610	21.4%
2		854	30.0%
3		512	18.0%
4		287	10.1%
5		129	4.5%
6		89	3.1%
7		27	0.9%
8		35	1.2%
9		2	0.1%
10		22	0.8%
11		1	0.0%
12		9	0.3%
13		1	0.0%
14		3	0.1%
15		1	0.0%
20	Twenty or more	2	0.1%
98	don't know	13	
99	refused	0	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesj2: Do you watch Canadian stations all of the time, most of the time, some of the time or none of the time?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2814 /-] [Invalid=837 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you watch Canadian stations all of the time, most of the time, some of the time or none of the time?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	All of the time	501	17.8%
3	Most of the time	957	34.0%
5	Some of the time	1241	44.1%
7	None of the time	115	4.1%
8	don't know	43	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesj3: And how many hours a day do you listen to the radio?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2836 /-] [Invalid=815 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And how many hours a day do you listen to the radio?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None/less than half an hour	778	27.4%

pesj3: And how many hours a day do you listen to the radio?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		807	28.5%
2		455	16.0%
3		199	7.0%
4		130	4.6%
5		97	3.4%
6		82	2.9%
7		36	1.3%
8		125	4.4%
9		13	0.5%
10		46	1.6%
11		3	0.1%
12		30	1.1%
13		2	0.1%
15		6	0.2%
16		1	0.0%
17		1	0.0%
18		3	0.1%
20	Twenty or more	22	0.8%
98	don't know	24	
99	refused	0	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesj4: And how many days a week do you read a newspaper?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2850 /-] [Invalid=801 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they cannot read for #b3.
Literal question	And how many days a week do you read a newspaper?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	459	16.1%
1		490	17.2%
2		345	12.1%
3		187	6.6%
4		125	4.4%
5		161	5.6%
6		233	8.2%
7		850	29.8%
8	don't know	8	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		793	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesj5: Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2847 /-] [Invalid=804 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesj5: Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?

Literal question	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?
Notes	#b7 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes (includes all or some)	1076	37.8%
5	No	1771	62.2%
8	don't know	13	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesj6: In your opinion/From what you have heard, which leader did the BEST in that debate?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1470 /-] [Invalid=2181 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In your opinion, which leader did the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw English TV debate)/From what you have heard, which leader did the BEST in that debate?(All others)
Post-question	If respondent answered "no" for #j5, and answered "don't know", or refuses to answer #j6-go to #j8. All others go to #j7.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Reading counts as hearing.
Notes	#b7b comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	284	19.3%
2	Clark	735	50.0%
3	Day	242	16.5%
4	Duceppe	56	3.8%
5	McDonough	45	3.1%
6	None	93	6.3%
7	All	15	1.0%
8	don't know	637	
9	refused	7	
Sysmiss		1537	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesj7: And who did the Worst?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1244 /-] [Invalid=2407 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they did not see the English TV debate for #j5, and who did not answer "don't know" or refused to answer #j6.
Literal question	And who did the WORST?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read list.
Notes	#b7c comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	386	31.0%
2	Clark	119	9.6%
3	Day	331	26.6%
4	Duceppe	81	6.5%

pesj7: And who did the Worst?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	McDonough	264	21.2%
6	None	27	2.2%
7	All	36	2.9%
8	don't know	325	
9	refused	9	
Sysmiss		2073	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesj8: Did you see the FRENCH TV debate?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2826 /-] [Invalid=825 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you see the FRENCH TV debate?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	701	24.8%
5	No	2125	75.2%
8	don't know	34	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesj9: In your opinion/From what you have heard, which leader did the BEST in that debate?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1077 /-] [Invalid=2574 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In your opinion, which leader did the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw French TV debate) / From what you have heard, which leader did the BEST in that debate? (All others)
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Reading counts as hearing.
Notes	#b8b comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	391	36.3%
2	Clark	277	25.7%
3	Day	45	4.2%
4	Duceppe	308	28.6%
5	McDonough	13	1.2%
6	None	34	3.2%
7	All	9	0.8%
8	don't know	1302	
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		1269	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesj10: And who did the Worst?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=912 /-] [Invalid=2739 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they did not see the French TV debate for #j8, and who did not answer "don't know" or refused to answer #j9.
Literal question	And who did the WORST?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Do not read list.
Notes	#b8c comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chretien	120	13.2%
2	Clark	67	7.3%
3	Day	418	45.8%
4	Duceppe	38	4.2%
5	McDonough	243	26.6%
6	None	16	1.8%
7	All	10	1.1%
8	don't know	251	
9	refused	4	
Sysmiss		2484	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk1a: In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Bloc Quebecois, Alliance, Conservative, NDP, or none of these?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=885 /-] [Invalid=2766 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.

pesk1a: In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Bloc Quebecois, Alliance, Conservative, NDP, or none of these?

Literal question	In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Bloc Quebecois, Alliance, Conservative, NDP, or none of these?
Post-question	If respondent answers "none" or "don't know", go to #k3. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #k5. If respondent identifies party that is not provided go to #k1oth. All others go to #k2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	6	0.7%
1	Liberal	285	32.2%
2	Conservative	19	2.1%
3	NDP	18	2.0%
4	Alliance	20	2.3%
5	Bloc Quebecois	305	34.5%
97	None	232	26.2%
98	don't know	26	
99	refused	30	
Sysmiss		2710	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk1b: In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Alliance, Conservative, NDP, or none of these?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1788 /-] [Invalid=1863 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Alliance, Conservative, NDP, or none of these?
Post-question	If respondent answers "none" or "don't know", go to #k3. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #k5. If respondent identifies party that is not provided go to #k1oth. All others go to #k2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	4	0.2%
1	Liberal	644	36.0%
2	Conservative	242	13.5%
3	NDP	151	8.4%
4	Alliance	286	16.0%
97	None	461	25.8%
98	don't know	66	
99	refused	65	
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk1oth: INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who identified a party that was not provided for #k1a/#k1b.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here, DO NOT use //

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
depends on the party		1	25.0%
green		1	25.0%

pesk1oth: INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
revolutionary		1	25.0%
small c conservative		1	25.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk1aq: In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as: Other.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who reside in the province of Quebec and who identified a party that was not provided for #k1a.
Literal question	In FEDERAL politics, do you usually think of yourself as:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
RIEN DE CELA		1	14.3%
fonction d enjeux		1	14.3%
je suis independante		1	14.3%
marxiste-lÃ©niniste		1	14.3%
parti vert		1	14.3%
rien		1	14.3%
rien de cela		1	14.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk2: How strongly do you feel: very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1966 /-] [Invalid=1685 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #k1a/#k1b.
Literal question	How strongly [fill party identified in #k1a/#k1b] do you feel: very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
Post-question	Go to #k5.
Notes	#h2 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	448	22.8%
3	Fairly strongly	1083	55.1%
5	Not very strongly	435	22.1%
8	don't know	7	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		1677	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk3: Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the FEDERAL parties than to the others?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=758 /-] [Invalid=2893 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "none" or "don't know" for #k1a/#k1b.
Literal question	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the FEDERAL parties than to the others?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #k4. All others go to #k5.

pesk3: Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the FEDERAL parties than to the others?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	251	33.1%
5	No	507	66.9%
8	don't know	27	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2866	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk4: Which party is that?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=242 /-] [Invalid=3409 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #k3.
Literal question	Which party is that?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	5	2.1%
1	Liberal	87	36.0%
2	Conservative	33	13.6%
3	NDP	33	13.6%
4	Alliance	52	21.5%
5	Bloc Quebecois	30	12.4%
97	None	2	0.8%
98	don't know	5	
99	refused	4	
Sysmiss		3400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk5: Is there any FEDERAL political party that is just too extreme?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2526 /-] [Invalid=1125 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Is there any FEDERAL political party that is just too extreme?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R says "yes", ask which one?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other/Combinations	80	3.2%
1	Liberal	110	4.4%
2	Conservative	27	1.1%
3	NDP	213	8.4%
4	Alliance	771	30.5%
5	Bloc Quebecois	235	9.3%
6	Bloc and Alliance	8	0.3%
97	No	1082	42.8%
98	don't know	308	
99	refused	26	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk6: In PROVINCIAL POLITICS, do you usually think of yourself as a ..., another party, or none of these?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2629 /-] [Invalid=1022 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In PROVINCIAL POLITICS, do you usually think of yourself as:
Post-question	If respondent answers "none", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #k10.
Notes	Parties listed depends on province. #h10 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	31	1.2%
1	Liberal	772	29.4%
2	Conservative	452	17.2%
3	NDP	216	8.2%
4	Alliance Party	37	1.4%
5	Reform	25	1.0%
6	Social Credit	6	0.2%
7	Parti Quebecois	347	13.2%
8	L'Action Democratique	30	1.1%
9	Saskatchewan Party	22	0.8%
97	None of these	691	26.3%
98	don't know	141	
99	refused	90	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk6oth: INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who identified a party that was not provided for #k6.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter OTHER party here, do not use //

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Green		1	6.7%
alberta first//		1	6.7%
alliance		1	6.7%
anything but conservative		1	6.7%
conservative//		1	6.7%
go for the local candidat		1	6.7%
greeen		1	6.7%
green		1	6.7%
green party		1	6.7%
green party//		1	6.7%
green party///		1	6.7%
it depends on the party//		1	6.7%
neither one//		1	6.7%
non partisan		1	6.7%

pesk6oth: INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
reform		1		6.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk6q: INTERVIEWER: Enter other party here.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Entered only for respondents who identified a party that was not provided for #k6.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter OTHER party here, do not use //

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
L'homme		1		5.9%
MARIO DUMONT		2		11.8%
POLYVALENTE		1		5.9%
alliance		1		5.9%
aucun parti		1		5.9%
bloc pot		2		11.8%
cela dépend de celui qui se présente		1		5.9%
conservative		1		5.9%
pariie de marion dumon		1		5.9%
parti vert		2		11.8%
question de gros bon sens,ce qui represente en realite		1		5.9%
un autre partie		1		5.9%
un parti de gauche		1		5.9%
écologistite		1		5.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk7: How strongly do you feel: very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1926 /-] [Invalid=1725 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who identified a party for #k6.
Literal question	How strongly [fill party identified in #k6] do you feel: very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
Notes	#h11 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very strongly	506		26.3%
3	Fairly strongly	1011		52.5%
5	Not very strongly	409		21.2%
8	don't know	12		
9	refused	0		
Sysmiss		1713		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk10: Have you ever been a member of a political party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2848 /-] [Invalid=803 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Have you ever been a member of a political party?
Post-question	If respodent answers "yes", go to #k10a. All others go to #k11a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	554	19.5%
5	No	2294	80.5%
8	don't know	7	
9	refused	5	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk10a: Which one?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=500 /-] [Invalid=3151 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have been a member of a political party, as indicated in #k10.
Literal question	Which one?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Response given	500	100.0%
98	don't know	5	
99	refused	13	
Sysmiss		3133	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk11a: Have you ever been a member of an interest group that worked for change on a a particular social or political issue?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2843 /-] [Invalid=808 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Have you ever been a member of an interest group that worked for change on a particular social or political issue?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	296	10.4%
5	No	2547	89.6%
8	don't know	12	
9	refused	5	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk11b: Which do you think is a more effective way to work for change nowadays: joining a political party or joining an interest group?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2504 /-] [Invalid=1147 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which do you think is a more effective way to work for change nowadays: joining a political party or joining an interest group?

pesk11b: Which do you think is a more effective way to work for change nowadays: joining a political party or joining an interest group?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Political party	562	22.4%
3	Interest group	1740	69.5%
5	Neither	129	5.2%
7	Both	73	2.9%
8	don't know	343	
9	refused	13	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk12: Have you ever contacted a Member of Parliament?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2850 /-] [Invalid=801 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Have you ever contacted a Member of Parliament?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If asked, we mean in person, via the mail, by email, by sending a "form" letter, etc.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	927	32.5%
5	No	1923	67.5%
8	don't know	8	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk12a: How satisfied were you with the response?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=912 /-] [Invalid=2739 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have contacted a Member of Parliament, as indicated in #k12.
Literal question	How satisfied were you with the response: VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED, or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	R volunteers: "Did not get a response"	34	3.7%
1	Very satisfied	278	30.5%
3	Fairly satisfied	299	32.8%
5	Not very satisfied	163	17.9%
7	Not satisfied at all	138	15.1%
8	don't know	15	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		2723	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk13: There is no point voting for a party that will win only a few seats.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2753 /-] [Invalid=898 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesk13: There is no point voting for a party that will win only a few seats.

Pre-question	Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE with the following statements:
Literal question	There is no point voting for a party that will win only a few seats. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	374	13.6%
3	Somewhat agree	409	14.9%
5	Somewhat disagree	826	30.0%
7	Strongly disagree	1144	41.6%
8	don't know	99	
9	refused	8	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk14: All the Liberal Party cares about is staying in power.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2732 /-] [Invalid=919 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	All the Liberal Party cares about is staying in power. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
Notes	Order of issue delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1117	40.9%
3	Somewhat agree	781	28.6%
5	Somewhat disagree	543	19.9%
7	Strongly disagree	291	10.7%
8	don't know	118	
9	refused	10	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk15: The Alliance Party only speaks for the West. [The west means Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2511 /-] [Invalid=1140 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The Alliance Party only speaks for the West. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: The west means Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia.
Notes	Order of issue delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	616	24.5%
3	Somewhat agree	835	33.3%
5	Somewhat disagree	685	27.3%
7	Strongly disagree	375	14.9%
8	don't know	334	
9	refused	15	

pesk15: The Alliance Party only speaks for the West. [The west means Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta and British Columbia]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk16: The Conservative Party has nothing left to offer.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2549 /-] [Invalid=1102 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The Conservative Party has nothing left to offer. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
Notes	Order of issue delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	488	19.1%
3	Somewhat agree	676	26.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	894	35.1%
7	Strongly disagree	491	19.3%
8	don't know	294	
9	refused	17	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk17: The NDP is out of touch with the times.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2516 /-] [Invalid=1135 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The NDP is out of touch with the times. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
Notes	Order of issue delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	664	26.4%
3	Somewhat agree	772	30.7%
5	Somewhat disagree	757	30.1%
7	Strongly disagree	323	12.8%
8	don't know	330	
9	refused	14	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesk18: There is no reason to have a sovereignist party in Ottawa.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=838 /-] [Invalid=2813 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	There is no reason to have a sovereignist party in Ottawa. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
Notes	Order of issue delivery is randomized.

pesk18: There is no reason to have a sovereignist party in Ottawa.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	230	27.4%
3	Somewhat agree	163	19.5%
5	Somewhat disagree	246	29.4%
7	Strongly disagree	199	23.7%
8	don't know	97	
9	refused	6	
Sysmiss		2710	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesl1: Here's a list of FOUR goals. Which goal is MOST important to you personally?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2787 /-] [Invalid=864 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Here's a list of FOUR goals. Which goal is MOST important to you personally?
Post-question	If respondent states that they "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #l3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fighting crime	678	24.3%
2	Giving people more say in important gov't decisions	505	18.1%
3	Maintaining economic growth	1104	39.6%
4	Protecting freedom of speech	500	17.9%
8	don't know	64	
9	refused	9	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesl2: And, which is the SECOND MOST important to you, personally?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2753 /-] [Invalid=898 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who selected a goal for #l1.
Literal question	And, which is the SECOND MOST important to you, personally? (Exclude response given in #l1)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fighting crime	774	28.1%
2	Giving people more say in important gov't decisions	573	20.8%
3	Maintaining economic growth	677	24.6%
4	Protecting freedom of speech	729	26.5%
8	don't know	33	
9	refused	1	
Sysmiss		864	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesl3: Do you happen to recall which party says that high taxes have produced a brain drain from Canada to the US?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pesl3: Do you happen to recall which party says that high taxes have produced a brain drain from Canada to the US?

Literal question	Do you happen to recall which party says that high taxes have produced a brain drain from Canada to the US?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Alliance	643	22.5%
3	Alliance and another party	28	1.0%
7	Other answers	482	16.9%
8	don't know	1704	59.6%
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesl4: Do you happen to remember which party says that tougher sentences will NOT reduce youth violence?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to remember which party says that tougher sentences will NOT reduce youth violence?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Bloc Quebecois	202	7.1%
2	NDP	234	8.2%
3	Bloc Quebecois and NDP	2	0.1%
4	Bloc Quebecois and another party	2	0.1%
5	NDP and another party	14	0.5%
7	Other answers	719	25.2%
8	don't know	1684	58.9%
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesl5: Do you happen to recall which party says that a strong federal government is essential to preserve the Canadian health system?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2857 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to recall which party says that a strong federal government is essential to preserve the Canadian health system?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1388	48.6%
2	NDP	129	4.5%
3	Liberal and NDP	9	0.3%
4	Liberal and another party	1	0.0%
5	NDP and another party	2	0.1%
7	Other answers	172	6.0%
8	don't know	1156	40.5%
9	refused	3	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesm1: Earlier in the survey you mentioned that you voted. Did you vote at a polling station on election day, at an advance poll, or by mail-in ballot?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9/8]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2376 /-] [Invalid=1275 /-]	
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted, as indicated in #a2.	
Literal question	Earlier in the survey you mentioned that you voted. Did you vote at a polling station on election day, at an advance poll, or by mail-in ballot?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	5	0.2%
1	At a polling station on election day	2184	91.9%
3	At an advance poll	171	7.2%
5	By mail-in ballot	16	0.7%
8	don't know	2	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		1273	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesm1a: Was this very easy, quite easy, quite difficult or very difficult?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2371 /-] [Invalid=1280 /-]	
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted, as indicated in #a2.	
Literal question	Was this very easy, quite easy, quite difficult or very difficult?	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	1640	69.2%
3	Quite easy	622	26.2%
5	Quite difficult	79	3.3%
7	Very difficult	30	1.3%
8	don't know	7	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		1273	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesm2: During the campaign, did you receive a "Voter Information" card showing that YOUR NAME was on the voters' list?

|		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2834 /-] [Invalid=817 /-]	
Universe	All respondents	
Literal question	During the campaign, did you receive a "Voter Information" card showing that YOUR NAME was on the voters' list?	
Post-question	If respondent answers "no", or "received card, but not voters name", go to #m3. All others go to #n1.	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2386	84.2%
5	No	441	15.6%
7	R volunteers: "Received card, but not name"	7	0.2%
8	don't know	24	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesm3: Did you try to get your name on the voters' list?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=439 /-] [Invalid=3212 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "no", or "received card, but not voters name" for #m2.
Literal question	Did you try to get your name on the voters' list?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #m5. If respondent answers "no" go to #m4. All others go to #n1.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	215	49.0%
5	No	224	51.0%
8	don't know	6	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3206	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesm4: Could you tell me why not?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=224 /-] [Invalid=3427 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "no" for #m3.
Literal question	Could you tell me why not?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	210	93.8%
2	98	14	6.2%
Sysmiss		3427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesm5: Was it very easy, quite easy, quite difficult or very difficult to get your name on the voters' list?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=212 /-] [Invalid=3439 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #m3.
Literal question	Was it very easy, quite easy, quite difficult or very difficult to get your name on the voters' list?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very easy	78	36.8%
3	Quite easy	59	27.8%
5	Quite difficult	40	18.9%
7	Very difficult	25	11.8%
97	Never got on list	10	4.7%
98	don't know	3	
99	refused	0	
Sysmiss		3436	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesn1: Do you have any children AGED 18 OR OVER?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2312 /-] [Invalid=1339 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents whose year of birth is less than 1965.
Pre-question	To make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians, we need to get a little information about your background.

pesn1: Do you have any children AGED 18 OR OVER?

Literal question	Do you have any children AGED 18 OR OVER?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #n1a. All others go to #n2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1185	51.3%
5	No	1127	48.7%
8	don't know	1	
9	refused	2	
Sysmiss		1336	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesn1a: Do any of them live with you?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1185 /-] [Invalid=2466 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #n1.
Literal question	Do any of them live with you?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	265	22.4%
5	No	920	77.6%
8	don't know	0	
9	refused	0	
Sysmiss		2466	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesn2: In what Province or Territory were you born?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2216 /-] [Invalid=1435 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who were born in Canada, as indicated in CPS.
Literal question	In what Province or Territory were you born?
Notes	#m12 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not born in Canada	179	8.1%
10	Newfoundland	92	4.2%
11	Prince Edward Island	24	1.1%
12	Nova Scotia	75	3.4%
13	New Brunswick	76	3.4%
14	Quebec	880	39.7%
15	Ontario	430	19.4%
16	Manitoba	82	3.7%
17	Saskatchewan	95	4.3%
18	Alberta	146	6.6%
19	British Columbia	95	4.3%
20	Yukon	0	
21	NWT	0	
97	Other	42	1.9%
98	don't know	0	

pesn2: In what Province or Territory were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	refused	1	
Sysmiss		1434	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesn3: For how many years have you lived in ...?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2577 /-] [Invalid=1074 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	For how many years have you lived in [province in which respondent resides]?
Notes	#m20 comparable in PES 1997.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Less than one year	10	0.4%
1		18	0.7%
2		10	0.4%
3		28	1.1%
4		24	0.9%
5		19	0.7%
6		23	0.9%
7		16	0.6%
8		17	0.7%
9		14	0.5%
10		38	1.5%
11		18	0.7%
12		11	0.4%
13		15	0.6%
14		13	0.5%
15		22	0.9%
16		10	0.4%
17		4	0.2%
18		24	0.9%
19		23	0.9%
20		80	3.1%
21		31	1.2%
22		36	1.4%
23		40	1.6%
24		30	1.2%
25		45	1.7%
26		44	1.7%
27		34	1.3%
28		32	1.2%
29		20	0.8%
30		74	2.9%
31		29	1.1%
32		20	0.8%
33		39	1.5%

pesn3: For how many years have you lived in ...?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34		36	1.4%
35		47	1.8%
36		28	1.1%
37		32	1.2%
38		32	1.2%
39		29	1.1%
40		64	2.5%
41		27	1.0%
42		37	1.4%
43		38	1.5%
44		26	1.0%
45		39	1.5%
46		34	1.3%
47		32	1.2%
48		28	1.1%
49		12	0.5%
50		58	2.3%
51		28	1.1%
52		33	1.3%
53		26	1.0%
54		14	0.5%
55		23	0.9%
56		13	0.5%
57		27	1.0%
58		12	0.5%
59		15	0.6%
60		29	1.1%
61		10	0.4%
62		12	0.5%
63		13	0.5%
64		7	0.3%
65		19	0.7%
66		10	0.4%
67		8	0.3%
68		11	0.4%
69		12	0.5%
70		12	0.5%
71		8	0.3%
72		12	0.5%
73		14	0.5%
74		4	0.2%
75		8	0.3%
76		5	0.2%
77		9	0.3%
78		7	0.3%

pesn3: For how many years have you lived in ...?

pesn3: For how many years have you lived in ...?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
79		5	0.2%
80		8	0.3%
81		1	0.0%
82		1	0.0%
83		3	0.1%
84		2	0.1%
88		2	0.1%
90		1	0.0%
91		2	0.1%
93		1	0.0%
94		1	0.0%
96	Ninety-six or more years	0	
97	All of my life	639	24.8%
98	don't know	9	
99	refused	5	
Sysmiss		1060	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesn5: Finally, if a PROVINCIAL election were held today, which party would you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 /-] [Invalid=1479 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Finally, if a PROVINCIAL election were held today in [province in which respondent resides], which party would you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	50	2.3%
1	Liberal	834	38.4%
2	Conservative	492	22.7%
3	NDP	215	9.9%
4	Alliance Party	126	5.8%
5	Reform	8	0.4%
6	Social Credit	1	0.0%
7	Parti Quebecois	299	13.8%
8	L'Action Democratique	30	1.4%
9	Saskatchewan Party	22	1.0%
96	Null/Void Ballot [Quebec only]	12	0.6%
97	None of these	83	3.8%
98	don't know	593	
99	refused	95	
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

consent: We will understand much more about the way CDNs feel about elections now that we have talked to you and others....

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2860 /-] [Invalid=791 /-]

consent: We will understand much more about the way CDNs feel about elections now that we have talked to you and others....

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	These are all the questions I have. We will understand much more about the way Canadians feel about elections now that we have talked to you and others. We have some additional questions to ask you but rather than keep you any longer on the telephone we would like to mail the questions to you. In order to do so I will need your name and address so I can send the questionnaire to you.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, gives address	2250	78.7%
5	No, refuses address	610	21.3%
Sysmiss		791	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesisr: ISR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=1732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rest of Canada (ROC)	1919	100.0%
Sysmiss		1732	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

pesjoli: Jolicoeur

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=941 /-] [Invalid=2710 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quebec	941	100.0%
Sysmiss		2710	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa1: We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1345 /-] [Invalid=2306 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree. Please write the number that best reflects your opinion in the space at the right of each statement.
Literal question	We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	136	10.1%
2	Agree	408	30.3%
3	Disagree	578	43.0%
4	Strongly disagree	223	16.6%
8	not sure	143	
Sysmiss		2163	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa2: We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own standards, even if they are very different from our own.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1436 /-] [Invalid=2215 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We should be more tolerant of people who choose to live according to their own standards, even if they are very different from our own.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	206	14.3%
2	Agree	848	59.1%
3	Disagree	303	21.1%
4	Strongly disagree	79	5.5%
8	not sure	70	
Sysmiss		2145	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa3: If a company has to lay off some of its employees, the first workers to be laid off should be women whose husbands have jobs.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1465 /-] [Invalid=2186 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If a company has to lay off some of its employees, the first workers to be laid off should be women whose husbands have jobs.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	56	3.8%
2	Agree	135	9.2%
3	Disagree	480	32.8%
4	Strongly disagree	794	54.2%
8	not sure	41	
Sysmiss		2145	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa4: The welfare state makes people less willing to look after themselves.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1431 /-] [Invalid=2220 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The welfare state makes people less willing to look after themselves.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	291	20.3%
2	Agree	599	41.9%
3	Disagree	401	28.0%
4	Strongly disagree	140	9.8%
8	not sure	76	
Sysmiss		2144	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa5: Discrimination makes it extremely difficult for women to get jobs equal to their abilities.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]

# mbsa5: Discrimination makes it extremely difficult for women to get jobs equal to their abilities.				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1420 /-] [Invalid=2231 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Discrimination makes it extremely difficult for women to get jobs equal to their abilities.			

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Strongly agree	176		12.4%
2	Agree	626		44.1%
3	Disagree	525		37.0%
4	Strongly disagree	93		6.5%
8	not sure	88		
Sysmiss		2143		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

mbsa6: Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1331 /-] [Invalid=2320 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	123	9.2%
2	Agree	511	38.4%
3	Disagree	578	43.4%
4	Strongly disagree	119	8.9%
8	not sure	168	
Sysmiss		2152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa7: Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of society.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1376 /-] [Invalid=2275 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Newer lifestyles are contributing to the breakdown of our society.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	202	14.7%
2	Agree	516	37.5%
3	Disagree	509	37.0%
4	Strongly disagree	149	10.8%
8	not sure	128	
Sysmiss		2147	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa8: The world is always changing and we should adapt our view of moral behaviour to these changes.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1440 /-] [Invalid=2211 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The world is always changing and we should adapt our view of moral behaviour to these changes.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	128	8.9%
2	Agree	589	40.9%
3	Disagree	500	34.7%
4	Strongly disagree	223	15.5%
8	not sure	63	
Sysmiss		2148	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa9: This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family values.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1448 /-] [Invalid=2203 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family values.

mbsa9: This country would have many fewer problems if there were more emphasis on traditional family values.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	421	29.1%
2	Agree	658	45.4%
3	Disagree	290	20.0%
4	Strongly disagree	79	5.5%
8	not sure	57	
Sysmiss		2146	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa10: It is more difficult for non-whites to be successful in Canadian society than it is for whites.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1396 /-] [Invalid=2255 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	It is more difficult for non-whites to be successful in Canadian society than it is for whites.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	93	6.7%
2	Agree	519	37.2%
3	Disagree	616	44.1%
4	Strongly disagree	168	12.0%
8	not sure	108	
Sysmiss		2147	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa11: If people really want to work, they can find a job.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1444 /-] [Invalid=2207 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If people really want work, they can find a job.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	394	27.3%
2	Agree	757	52.4%
3	Disagree	236	16.3%
4	Strongly disagree	57	3.9%
8	not sure	60	
Sysmiss		2147	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa12: We should look after Canadians born in this country first and others second.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1427 /-] [Invalid=2224 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We should look after Canadians born in this country first and others second.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	218	15.3%
2	Agree	394	27.6%
3	Disagree	585	41.0%

mbsa12: We should look after Canadians born in this country first and others second.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Strongly disagree	230	16.1%
8	not sure	78	
Sysmiss		2146	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa13: The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally word for word.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1386 /-] [Invalid=2265 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally word for word.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	118	8.5%
2	Agree	190	13.7%
3	Disagree	548	39.5%
4	Strongly disagree	530	38.2%
8	not sure	115	
Sysmiss		2150	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa14: Minority groups need special rights.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1423 /-] [Invalid=2228 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Minority groups need special rights.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	38	2.7%
2	Agree	218	15.3%
3	Disagree	765	53.8%
4	Strongly disagree	402	28.3%
8	not sure	83	
Sysmiss		2145	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsa15: The best way to protect women's interests is to have more women in Parliament.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1378 /-] [Invalid=2273 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The best way to protect women's interests is to have more women in Parliament.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	125	9.1%
2	Agree	602	43.7%
3	Disagree	551	40.0%
4	Strongly disagree	100	7.3%
8	not sure	129	
Sysmiss		2144	

mbsa15: The best way to protect women's interests is to have more women in Parliament.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsb1: The government should:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1312 /-] [Invalid=2339 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Please circle the number that best reflects your opinion.
Literal question	The government should:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	See to it that everyone has a decent standard of living	1004		76.5%
2	Leave people to get ahead on their own	308	23.5%	
8	not sure/both (with & without qualification)	189		
Sysmiss		2150		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsb2: The feminist movement:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1176 /-] [Invalid=2475 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The feminist movement:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Just tries to get equal treatment for women	887		75.4%
2	Puts men down	289	24.6%	
8	not sure/both (with & without qualification)	324		
Sysmiss		2151		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsb3: Which is more important in a democratic society:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1220 /-] [Invalid=2431 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which is more important in a democratic society:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Letting the majority decide	834		68.4%
2	Protecting the needs and rights of minorities	386	31.6%	
8	not sure/both (with & without qualification)	272		
Sysmiss		2159		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsb4: Which comes closest to your own view:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1203 /-] [Invalid=2448 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which comes closest to your own view:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Aboriginal tried hard, could be as well off as other Cdns	714		59.4%

mbsb4: Which comes closest to your own view:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Social & economic conditions make it almost impossible	489	40.6%
8	not sure/both (with & without qualification)	300	
Sysmiss		2148	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsb5: Do you think that:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1365 /-] [Invalid=2286 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think that:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a few people running the govt are a little crooked	674	49.4%
2	Not very many are crooked	508	37.2%
3	Hardly any of them are crooked	183	13.4%
8	not sure/both (with & without qualification)	139	
Sysmiss		2147	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsb6: Do you think that people in the government:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1470 /-] [Invalid=2181 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think that people in the government:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes	971	66.1%
2	Waste some of it	461	31.4%
3	Don't waste very much of it	38	2.6%
8	not sure/both (with & without qualification)	39	
Sysmiss		2142	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsb7: The feminist movement encourages women:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1357 /-] [Invalid=2294 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The feminist movement encourages women:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To be independent and speak up for themselves	1160	85.5%
2	To be selfish and think only of themselves	197	14.5%
8	not sure/both (with & without qualification)	146	
Sysmiss		2148	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc1: The problem with democracy is that most people don't really know what's best for them.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1416 /-] [Invalid=2235 /-]

mbsc1: The problem with democracy is that most people don't really know what's best for them.

Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree. Please write the number that best reflects your opinion in the space at the right of each statement.		
Literal question	The problem with democracy is that most people don't really know what's best for them.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	78	5.5%
2	Agree	496	35.0%
3	Disagree	698	49.3%
4	Strongly disagree	144	10.2%
8	not sure	83	
Sysmiss		2152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc2: Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1462 /-] [Invalid=2189 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	162	11.1%
2	Agree	895	61.2%
3	Disagree	359	24.6%
4	Strongly disagree	46	3.1%
8	not sure	45	
Sysmiss		2144	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc3: People who don't vote have no right to criticize the government.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1476 /-] [Invalid=2175 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	People who don't vote have no right to criticize the government.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	430	29.1%
2	Agree	534	36.2%
3	Disagree	386	26.2%
4	Strongly disagree	126	8.5%
8	not sure	36	
Sysmiss		2139	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc4: The government should pay the most attention to those citizens who are well informed.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1432 /-] [Invalid=2219 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The government should pay the most attention to those citizens who are well informed.

mbsc4: The government should pay the most attention to those citizens who are well informed.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	46	3.2%
2	Agree	239	16.7%
3	Disagree	841	58.7%
4	Strongly disagree	306	21.4%
8	not sure	77	
Sysmiss		2142	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc5: Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1382 /-] [Invalid=2269 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	258	18.7%
2	Agree	719	52.0%
3	Disagree	359	26.0%
4	Strongly disagree	46	3.3%
8	not sure	125	
Sysmiss		2144	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc6: Political parties spend too much time catering to minorities.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1300 /-] [Invalid=2351 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Political parties spend too much time catering to minorities.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	121	9.3%
2	Agree	468	36.0%
3	Disagree	634	48.8%
4	Strongly disagree	77	5.9%
8	not sure	204	
Sysmiss		2147	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc7: Political parties generally try to look after the best interests of everybody and not just the interests of those who vote for them.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1378 /-] [Invalid=2273 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Political parties generally try to look after the best interests of everybody and not just the interests of those who vote for them.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	60	4.4%

mbsc7: Political parties generally try to look after the best interests of everybody and not just the interests of those who vote for them.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Agree	663	48.1%
3	Disagree	539	39.1%
4	Strongly disagree	116	8.4%
8	not sure	132	
Sysmiss		2141	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc8: Political parties do more to divide the country than to unit it.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1334 /-] [Invalid=2317 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Political parties do more to divide the country than to unite it.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	146	10.9%
2	Agree	564	42.3%
3	Disagree	553	41.5%
4	Strongly disagree	71	5.3%
8	not sure	167	
Sysmiss		2150	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc9: It is the duty of every citizen to vote.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1487 /-] [Invalid=2164 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	It is the duty of every citizen to vote.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	932	62.7%
2	Agree	471	31.7%
3	Disagree	64	4.3%
4	Strongly disagree	20	1.3%
8	not sure	19	
Sysmiss		2145	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc10: Immigrants make an important contribution to this country.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1379 /-] [Invalid=2272 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Immigrants make an important contribution to this country.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	364	26.4%
2	Agree	767	55.6%
3	Disagree	187	13.6%

mbsc10: Immigrants make an important contribution to this country.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Strongly disagree	61	4.4%
8	not sure	129	
Sysmiss		2143	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc11: Canadian unity is weakened by Canadians of different ethnic and cultural backgrounds sticking to their old ways.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1368 /-] [Invalid=2283 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Canadian unity is weakened by Canadians of different ethnic and cultural backgrounds sticking to their old ways.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	119	8.7%
2	Agree	422	30.8%
3	Disagree	584	42.7%
4	Strongly disagree	243	17.8%
8	not sure	139	
Sysmiss		2144	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc12: People like me don't have any say about what the government does.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1472 /-] [Invalid=2179 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	People like me don't have any say about what the government does.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	179	12.2%
2	Agree	358	24.3%
3	Disagree	599	40.7%
4	Strongly disagree	336	22.8%
8	not sure	37	
Sysmiss		2142	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # mbsc13: We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1402 /-] [Invalid=2249 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	292	20.8%
2	Agree	417	29.7%
3	Disagree	479	34.2%
4	Strongly disagree	214	15.3%
8	not sure	108	

mbsc13: We have gone too far in pushing bilingualism in Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2141	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsc14: We could probably solve most of our big national problems if decisions could be brought back to the people at the grass roots.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1239 /-] [Invalid=2412 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We could probably solve most of our big national problems if decisions could be brought back to the people at the grass roots.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	233	18.8%
2	Agree	583	47.1%
3	Disagree	360	29.1%
4	Strongly disagree	63	5.1%
8	not sure	266	
Sysmiss		2146	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# mbsda: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Organised religion.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1499 /-] [Invalid=2152 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Please indicate how much confidence you have in the following institutions. Circle the number that best reflects your opinion.
Literal question	Organized religion.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	183	12.2%
2	Quite a lot	547	36.5%
3	Not very much	555	37.0%
4	None at all	214	14.3%
Sysmiss		2152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsdb: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The armed forces.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1495 /-] [Invalid=2156 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The armed forces.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	174	11.6%
2	Quite a lot	758	50.7%
3	Not very much	488	32.6%
4	None at all	75	5.0%
Sysmiss		2156	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsdc: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Public schools.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1493 /-] [Invalid=2158 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Public schools.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	192	12.9%
2	Quite a lot	890	59.6%
3	Not very much	362	24.2%
4	None at all	49	3.3%
Sysmiss		2158	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsdd: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The Supreme Court.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1487 /-] [Invalid=2164 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The Supreme Court.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	287	19.3%
2	Quite a lot	739	49.7%
3	Not very much	378	25.4%
4	None at all	83	5.6%
Sysmiss		2164	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsde: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The civil service.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1488 /-] [Invalid=2163 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The civil service.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	70	4.7%
2	Quite a lot	628	42.2%
3	Not very much	676	45.4%
4	None at all	114	7.7%
Sysmiss		2163	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsdf: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Unions.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1494 /-] [Invalid=2157 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Unions.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	80	5.4%

mbsdf: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Unions.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Quite a lot	433	29.0%
3	Not very much	676	45.2%
4	None at all	305	20.4%
Sysmiss		2157	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsdg: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The police.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1499 /-] [Invalid=2152 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The police.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	304	20.3%
2	Quite a lot	915	61.0%
3	Not very much	231	15.4%
4	None at all	49	3.3%
Sysmiss		2152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsdh: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The federal government.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1497 /-] [Invalid=2154 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The federal government.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	85	5.7%
2	Quite a lot	614	41.0%
3	Not very much	631	42.2%
4	None at all	167	11.2%
Sysmiss		2154	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsdi: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Your provincial or territorial government.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1507 /-] [Invalid=2144 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Your provincial or territorial government.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	93	6.2%
2	Quite a lot	621	41.2%
3	Not very much	608	40.3%
4	None at all	185	12.3%
Sysmiss		2144	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsdj: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. Big business.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1495 /-] [Invalid=2156 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Big business.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	53	3.5%
2	Quite a lot	524	35.1%
3	Not very much	697	46.6%
4	None at all	221	14.8%
Sysmiss		2156	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsdk: Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in the following institutions. The media.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1507 /-] [Invalid=2144 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The media.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	65	4.3%
2	Quite a lot	551	36.6%
3	Not very much	683	45.3%
4	None at all	208	13.8%
Sysmiss		2144	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse1: Canada should participate in peacekeeping operations abroad even if it means putting the lives of Canadian soldiers at risk.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1380 /-] [Invalid=2271 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree. Please write the number that best reflects your opinion in the space at the right of each statement.
Literal question	Canada should participate in peacekeeping operations abroad even if it means putting the lives of Canadian soldiers at risk.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	130	9.4%
2	Agree	763	55.3%
3	Disagree	380	27.5%
4	Strongly disagree	107	7.8%
8	not sure	131	
Sysmiss		2140	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse2: International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1143 /-] [Invalid=2508 /-]
Universe	All respondents

mbse2: International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys.

Literal question	International trade creates more jobs in Canada than it destroys.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	133	11.6%
2	Agree	672	58.8%
3	Disagree	268	23.4%
4	Strongly disagree	70	6.1%
8	not sure	365	
Sysmiss		2143	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse3: Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit into Canadian society.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1290 /-] [Invalid=2361 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit into Canadian society.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	180	14.0%
2	Agree	480	37.2%
3	Disagree	535	41.5%
4	Strongly disagree	95	7.4%
8	not sure	218	
Sysmiss		2143	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse4: Only people who are legally married should be having children.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1435 /-] [Invalid=2216 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Only people who are legally married should be having children.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	149	10.4%
2	Agree	254	17.7%
3	Disagree	556	38.7%
4	Strongly disagree	476	33.2%
8	not sure	67	
Sysmiss		2149	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse5: We must crack down on crime, even if that means that criminals lose their rights.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1450 /-] [Invalid=2201 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We must crack down on crime, even if that means that criminals lose their rights.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	580	40.0%
2	Agree	540	37.2%

mbse5: We must crack down on crime, even if that means that criminals lose their rights.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Disagree	249	17.2%
4	Strongly disagree	81	5.6%
8	not sure	60	
Sysmiss		2141	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse6: Anglophones in Quebec are better treated than Francophone in the rest of Canada.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1175 /-] [Invalid=2476 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Anglophones in Quebec are better treated than Francophone in the rest of Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	172	14.6%
2	Agree	223	19.0%
3	Disagree	484	41.2%
4	Strongly disagree	296	25.2%
8	not sure	334	
Sysmiss		2142	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse7: Federal government services should be provided in only one language, French in Quebec and English in the rest of Canada.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1446 /-] [Invalid=2205 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Federal government services should be provided in only one language, French in Quebec and English in the rest of Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	133	9.2%
2	Agree	172	11.9%
3	Disagree	672	46.5%
4	Strongly disagree	469	32.4%
8	not sure	64	
Sysmiss		2141	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse8: It is important to vote, even if my party or candidate has no chance of winning.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1500 /-] [Invalid=2151 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	It is important to vote, even if my party or candidate has no chance of winning.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	872	58.1%
2	Agree	584	38.9%
3	Disagree	29	1.9%
4	Strongly disagree	15	1.0%

mbse8: It is important to vote, even if my party or candidate has no chance of winning.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	not sure	16	
Sysmiss		2135	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

mbse9: We would have better laws if Members of Parliament were allowed to vote for what they thought was best rather than having to vote the same way as their party.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1338 /-] [Invalid=2313 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We would have better laws if Members of Parliament were allowed to vote for what they thought was best rather than having to vote the same way as their party.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	524	39.2%
2	Agree	656	49.0%
3	Disagree	132	9.9%
4	Strongly disagree	26	1.9%
8	not sure	174	
Sysmiss		2139	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse10: So many people vote that my vote hardly counts for anything.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1470 /-] [Invalid=2181 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	So many people vote that my vote hardly counts for anything.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	71	4.8%
2	Agree	133	9.0%
3	Disagree	694	47.2%
4	Strongly disagree	572	38.9%
8	not sure	42	
Sysmiss		2139	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse11: If I did not vote, I would feel guilty.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1447 /-] [Invalid=2204 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If I did not vote, I would feel guilty.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	399	27.6%
2	Agree	658	45.5%
3	Disagree	282	19.5%
4	Strongly disagree	108	7.5%
8	not sure	64	
Sysmiss		2140	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbse12: Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1476 /-] [Invalid=2175 /-]

mbse12: Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Sometimes politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	154	10.4%
2	Agree	616	41.7%
3	Disagree	521	35.3%
4	Strongly disagree	185	12.5%
8	not sure	35	
Sysmiss		2140	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsf1: Do you happen to know which level of government has the PRIMARY responsibility for health, education and social welfare?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/3/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1300 /-] [Invalid=2351 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to know which level of government has the PRIMARY responsibility for health, education and social welfare?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Provincial government	903	69.5%
2	Federal government	397	30.5%
3	Both 1 & 2 circled	5	
8	not sure	188	
Sysmiss		2158	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsf2: Which level of government do you think SHOULD have the PRIMARY responsibility for health, education and social welfare?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8/3]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1347 /-] [Invalid=2304 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which level of government do you think SHOULD have the PRIMARY responsibility for health, education and social welfare?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Provincial government	874	64.9%
2	Federal government	473	35.1%
3	Both 1 & 2 circled	7	
8	not sure	145	
Sysmiss		2152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsf3: How good a job does your provincial government do with respect to health, education and social welfare?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1455 /-] [Invalid=2196 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job does your provincial government do with respect to health, education and social welfare?

mbsf3: How good a job does your provincial government do with respect to health, education and social welfare?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good job	60	4.1%
2	Quite a good job	493	33.9%
3	Not a very good job	667	45.8%
4	Not a good job at all	235	16.2%
8	not sure	57	
Sysmiss		2139	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsf4: How good a job does the federal government do with respect to health, education and social welfare?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1362 /-] [Invalid=2289 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How good a job does the federal government do with respect to health, education and social welfare?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A very good job	23	1.7%
2	Quite a good job	421	30.9%
3	Not a very good job	738	54.2%
4	Not a good job at all	180	13.2%
8	not sure	149	
Sysmiss		2140	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsf5: When there is a conflict between the federal government and your provincial government, who do you think is usually to blame?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1319 /-] [Invalid=2332 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	When there is a conflict between the federal government and your provincial government, who do you think is usually to blame?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal government	322	24.4%
2	Provincial government	136	10.3%
3	Both about equally	861	65.3%
8	not sure	193	
Sysmiss		2139	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsf6: When your Premier takes part in meetings with the Prime Minister and other provincial premiers, whose interests should the Premier put first?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8/3]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1430 /-] [Invalid=2221 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	When your Premier takes part in meetings with the Prime Minister and other provincial premiers, whose interests should the Premier put first?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Interests of your province	914	63.9%

mbsf6: When your Premier takes part in meetings with the Prime Minister and other provincial premiers, whose interests should the Premier put first?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Interests of Canada as a whole	516	36.1%
3	Both 1 & 2 circled	0	
8	not sure	84	
Sysmiss		2137	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsf7: Who should be allowed to contribute to political parties and candidates?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1181 /-] [Invalid=2470 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Who should be allowed to contribute to political parties and candidates?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Only individuals	520	44.0%
2	Both individuals & groups such as corporations, unions	661	56.0%
8	not sure	331	
Sysmiss		2139	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsf8: Do you think it is a good thing or a bad thing to limit the amount of money that individuals and groups (other than candidates and parties) can spend to advertise their support for a party or a policy during an election campaign?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1390 /-] [Invalid=2261 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think it is a good thing or a bad thing to limit the amount of money that individuals and groups (other than candidates and parties) can spend to advertise their support for a party or a policy during an election campaign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A good thing	1233	88.7%
2	A bad thing	157	11.3%
8	not sure	123	
Sysmiss		2138	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsf9: Do you think it is a good thing or a bad thing to require individuals and groups who advertise during an election campaign to make public how much they have spent?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1405 /-] [Invalid=2246 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think it is a good thing or a bad thing to require individuals and groups who advertise during an election campaign to make public how much they have spent?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A good thing	1286	91.5%
2	A bad thing	119	8.5%
8	not sure	110	
Sysmiss		2136	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#mbsg1a: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Community service group.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=2382 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years:
Literal question	Community service group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	685	54.0%
1	Yes	584	46.0%
Sysmiss		2382	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#mbsg1b: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Business association.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=2382 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Business association

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1094	86.2%
1	Yes	175	13.8%
Sysmiss		2382	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#mbsg1c: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Professional association.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=2382 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Professional association

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	976	76.9%
1	Yes	293	23.1%
Sysmiss		2382	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#mbsg1d: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Environmental group.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=2382 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Environmental group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1148	90.5%
1	Yes	121	9.5%
Sysmiss		2382	

mbsg1d: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Environmental group.

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg1e: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Women's group.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=2382 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Women's group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1153	90.9%
1	Yes	116	9.1%
Sysmiss		2382	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg1f: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Labour union.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=2382 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Labour union

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1053	83.0%
1	Yes	216	17.0%
Sysmiss		2382	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg1g: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Ethnic association.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=2382 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Ethnic association

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1222	96.3%
1	Yes	47	3.7%
Sysmiss		2382	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg1h: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Sports association.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=2382 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Sports association

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	884	69.7%

mbsg1h: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Sports association.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	385	30.3%
Sysmiss		2382	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg1i: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Religious organisation.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=2382 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Religious organization

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	856	67.5%
1	Yes	413	32.5%
Sysmiss		2382	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg1j: Please circle any voluntary association(s) in which you have been active during the past five years: Other (please specify).

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=2382 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Other (please specify)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	1148	90.5%
1	Yes	121	9.5%
Sysmiss		2382	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg2a: Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Sign a petition.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1472 /-] [Invalid=2179 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here is a list of political actions that people can take. For each action, please put a circle in the appropriate column to indicate if you have actually done it, if you might do it, or if you would never do it under any circumstances.
Literal question	Sign a petition

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have done	1256	85.3%
2	Might do	193	13.1%
3	Would never	23	1.6%
8	not sure	29	
Sysmiss		2150	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg2b: Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Join in a boycott.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]

mbsg2b: Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Join in a boycott.

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1297 /-] [Invalid=2354 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Join in a boycott

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have done	378	29.1%
2	Might do	692	53.4%
3	Would never	227	17.5%
8	not sure	164	
Sysmiss		2190	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg2c: Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Attend a lawful demonstration.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1284 /-] [Invalid=2367 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Attend a lawful demonstration

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have done	320	24.9%
2	Might do	741	57.7%
3	Would never	223	17.4%
8	not sure	180	
Sysmiss		2187	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg2d: Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Join an illegal strike.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1205 /-] [Invalid=2446 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Join an illegal strike

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have done	80	6.6%
2	Might do	320	26.6%
3	Would never	805	66.8%
8	not sure	254	
Sysmiss		2192	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsg2e: Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Occupy a building or factory.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1184 /-] [Invalid=2467 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Occupy a building or factory

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Have done	32	2.7%
2	Might do	236	19.9%

#mbsg2e: Here is a list of political actions that people can take. Occupy a building or factory.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Would never	916	77.4%
8	not sure	271	
Sysmiss		2196	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#mbsh1a1: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:

| Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=779 /-] [Invalid=2872 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct name	180	23.1%
2	Wrong name	585	75.1%
3	Maybe (close)	14	1.8%
Sysmiss		2872	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#mbsh1a2: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 2:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=494 /-] [Invalid=3157 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct name	119	24.1%
2	Wrong name	362	73.3%
3	Maybe (close)	13	2.6%
Sysmiss		3157	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#mbsh1a3: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 3:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=251 /-] [Invalid=3400 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Correct name	68	27.1%
2	Wrong name	181	72.1%
3	Maybe (close)	2	0.8%
Sysmiss		3400	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh1b: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Don't remember any.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=734 /-] [Invalid=2917 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		296	40.3%
8	Don't remember	438	59.7%
Sysmiss		2917	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsqh1a: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=298 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respodents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2	0.7%
ALDEI BEAUDOIN		1	0.3%
ALFONSO GAGLIANO		1	0.3%
ANDRE ADAM		1	0.3%
ANDRE BEAUCHAMP PC		1	0.3%
ANDRE BELLAVANCE		1	0.3%
ANDRE HARVEY		2	0.7%
ANDRE HARVEZ		1	0.3%
ANTOINE DUBE		3	1.0%
ANTOINE DUBE (BLOC)		1	0.3%
ASSAD		1	0.3%
B SAUVAGEAU		1	0.3%
B. PATRY		1	0.3%
BAKOPANOS		1	0.3%
BELANGER		1	0.3%
BELHUMEUR		1	0.3%
BELLAVANCE		1	0.3%
BERGERON		2	0.7%
BERGMAN		1	0.3%

#mbsqh1a: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
BERNARD BIGRAS		3	1.0%
BINET		1	0.3%
BOUCHARD		1	0.3%
BRUCE HOWARD		1	0.3%
CARDIN		2	0.7%
CAROLINE ST-HILAIRE		2	0.7%
CHARBONNEAU		1	0.3%
CHARBONNEAU (LIBERAL)		1	0.3%
CHAREST		1	0.3%
CHRISTIAN GAGNON		1	0.3%
CHRISTIANE GAGNON		2	0.7%
CHRISTINE GAGNON		1	0.3%
CLAUDE BACHAND		2	0.7%
CLAUDE COUSINEAU		1	0.3%
CLAUDE DUPLAIN		2	0.7%
CLAUDE LEBLANC		1	0.3%
CLIFFORD LINCOLN		2	0.7%
COTE		1	0.3%
DANIEL TURP		1	0.3%
DAVID PRICE		2	0.7%
DENIS CODAIRE		1	0.3%
DENIS PARADIS		3	1.0%
DENISE PARADIS		1	0.3%
DIANE BOURGEOIS		1	0.3%
DIANE MARCIL		1	0.3%
DIANE ST-JACQUES		3	1.0%
DICEPOLA		1	0.3%
DUCEPPE		2	0.7%
DUPLAIN		1	0.3%
ELENE BAKOPANOS		1	0.3%
ELENI		1	0.3%

mbsqh1a: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
FARAH		1	0.3%
FOLCO RAYMONDE		1	0.3%
FRAGESSO		1	0.3%
FRANCINE LALONDE		1	0.3%
FRANCOIS HUGUES (LIBERAL)		1	0.3%
G. LEBEL		1	0.3%
G.LEBEL		1	0.3%
GABRIEL YVAN GAGNON		1	0.3%
GAGNON		2	0.7%
GASTON LEROUX		1	0.3%
GEORGES FARAH		1	0.3%
GEORGES FERRAH		1	0.3%
GERAD BINET		1	0.3%
GERARD ASSELIN		4	1.3%
GERARD BINET		1	0.3%
GHISLAIN FOURNIER		1	0.3%
GHISLAIN LEBEL		3	1.0%
GILBERT NORMAND		1	0.3%
GILLES BARIL		1	0.3%
GILLES BELLEHUMEUR		1	0.3%
GILLES DUCEPPE		2	0.7%
GILLES DUCHEPE (C'EST COMME CA QU'ELLE L'A ECRIT)		1	0.3%
GILLES MARREN		1	0.3%
GUIMONT		1	0.3%
GUY ST-JULIEN		1	0.3%
H. SHERRER		1	0.3%
HELENE ALARIE		2	0.7%

mbsqh1a: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
HELENE OUELLET		1	0.3%
HELENE SCHERRER		1	0.3%
JACQUES COTE		1	0.3%
JACQUES DAGENAIS		1	0.3%
JACQUES SAADA		1	0.3%
JEAN CHRETIEN		5	1.7%
JEAN DUBE		1	0.3%
JEAN-FRANCOIS ROULAU		1	0.3%
JEAN-FRANCOIS ROULAU (? ORTHOGRAPHE		1	0.3%
JEAN-GUY BOILY		1	0.3%
JEAN-GUY CHRETIEN		2	0.7%
JEAN-PAUL BERGERONS		1	0.3%
JEAN-PAUL MARCHAND		2	0.7%
JEAN-YVES ROY		1	0.3%
JENNINGS		1	0.3%
JOCELYN BU		1	0.3%
JOCELYNE BUJOLD		2	0.7%
JOHN BRYDEN		1	0.3%
JOSEPH K		1	0.3%
JUDY GRANT		1	0.3%
LAFRAMBOISE		1	0.3%
LANCTOT		1	0.3%
LAUBIER		1	0.3%
LAVIGNE		1	0.3%
LEBLANC		1	0.3%
LEMIEUX		1	0.3%
LINCOLN		1	0.3%
LISE BOURGEAULT		1	0.3%
LOUIS PLAMONDON		6	2.0%
LUCIEN ROBILLARD		1	0.3%

mbsqh1a: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
LUIS MIRANDA		1	0.3%
M MAL		1	0.3%
M. BACHAND		1	0.3%
M. LAFRAMBOIS		1	0.3%
M. PERRON BLOC QUEBECOIS		1	0.3%
M. PETTIGREW		1	0.3%
M. PROULX		1	0.3%
M. ROBILLARD		1	0.3%
MALTAIS		1	0.3%
MARC ASSAD		1	0.3%
MARC BELANGER		1	0.3%
MARC BOULIANE		1	0.3%
MARCEL GAGNON		2	0.7%
MARCEL MASSE		1	0.3%
MARCHAND		2	0.7%
MARCIL		1	0.3%
MARIO LAFRAMBOISE		2	0.7%
MARJOLAINE GAGNON		1	0.3%
MARK ASSAD		2	0.7%
MARK ASSARD		2	0.7%
MARK PROVENCHER		1	0.3%
MARLENE JENNING		1	0.3%
MARLENE JENNINGS		5	1.7%
MARMEN		1	0.3%
MARTIN		1	0.3%
MARTIN CAUCHON		2	0.7%
MENARD		2	0.7%
MICHEL BENOIT		1	0.3%
MICHEL GAUTHIER		2	0.7%
MME ALLARD		1	0.3%
MME GERARD BUGEAU		1	0.3%

#mbsqh1a: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
MME HALARY		1	0.3%
MME MAVEIL		1	0.3%
MONIQUE		1	0.3%
MONIQUE GUAI		1	0.3%
MONIQUE GUAY		2	0.7%
MR COUDIN (B.Q.)		1	0.3%
MR PELLETIER		1	0.3%
NAUD		1	0.3%
NICK DICEPOLA		1	0.3%
NICK DISCAPOLA		1	0.3%
NICK DISCEPOLA		2	0.7%
NICK DISCEPULA		1	0.3%
NICOLE LEGER (ELECTIONS QUEBECOISES)		1	0.3%
NOEL TREMBLAY		2	0.7%
NORMANDIN		3	1.0%
ODINA DESROCHER		1	0.3%
ODINA DESROCHERS		1	0.3%
PAQUIN		1	0.3%
PARADIS		1	0.3%
PAUL CRETE		2	0.7%
PAUL MARTIN		2	0.7%
PAUL PLAMONDON		1	0.3%
PAULINE PICARD		1	0.3%
PAULINE PICARD		10	3.4%
PIERRE BRIEN		3	1.0%
PIERRE PAQUETTE		1	0.3%
PIERRE PARADIS		2	0.7%
PIERRE PETITGREW		1	0.3%
PIERRETTE VENNE		1	0.3%

mbsqh1a: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
PIERRETTE VENNES		1	0.3%
PLAMONDON		2	0.7%
RAYMOND		2	0.7%
RAYMOND LAVIGNE		1	0.3%
RAYMONDE FOLCO		1	0.3%
ROBERT BERTRAND		4	1.3%
ROBERT MARCEAU		1	0.3%
ROCH CHARON		1	0.3%
ROCH CHARRON		1	0.3%
S DAGENAIS		1	0.3%
S. DION		1	0.3%
SAMMY FORCILLO		1	0.3%
SAUVAGEAU		1	0.3%
SCHERRER		1	0.3%
SCHRILLER		1	0.3%
SEAN CARDIN		1	0.3%
SERGE CARDIN		1	0.3%
SERGE GODIN		1	0.3%
SERGE GRONDIN		1	0.3%
SERGE MARCIL		5	1.7%
SHIRLEY BARIL		1	0.3%
SHIRLEY BARRIL		1	0.3%
SIMORT		1	0.3%
ST-ANDRE		1	0.3%
ST-HILAIRE		1	0.3%
STEPHANE BERGERON		3	1.0%
STEPHANE CRAWLEY		1	0.3%
STEPHANE DION		3	1.0%
STEPHANE TREMBLAY		4	1.3%
SUZANNE TREMBLAY		5	1.7%
TESSIER		1	0.3%
Y. THIBEAULT		1	0.3%

#mbsqh1a: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 1:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
YOLANDE THIBAULT		1	0.3%
YVAN LOUBIER		3	1.0%
YVES BLAIS		1	0.3%
YVES ROCHELEAU		3	1.0%
YVES ROY (BLOC)		1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#mbsqh1b: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 2:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=172 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respodents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ADAFAH		1	0.6%
ANDRE BACHAND		1	0.6%
ANDRE BELIVEAU		1	0.6%
ANDRE BELLAVANCE BQ		1	0.6%
ANDRE BOURBEAU		1	0.6%
ANDRE HARVEY		2	1.2%
ANDRE LEROUX		1	0.6%
ANTOINE DUBE		2	1.2%
B?		1	0.6%
BACHAND		1	0.6%
BAKOPAWOS		1	0.6%
BEDARD		1	0.6%
BELHUMEUR		1	0.6%
BELIVEAU ROGER		1	0.6%
BERGERON		1	0.6%
BINETTE		1	0.6%
BLACKBURN		1	0.6%
BORIS		1	0.6%
BOULET		1	0.6%
BROUILLETTE		1	0.6%

mbsqh1b: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 2:

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
BRUCE HOWARD		1	0.6%
CARIGNAN		1	0.6%
CARON		1	0.6%
CHARBONNEAU		2	1.2%
CLAUDE BACHAND		1	0.6%
CLAUDE RICHARD		1	0.6%
COTE		1	0.6%
D TURFF		1	0.6%
DAGENAIS		1	0.6%
DAGENAIS (BLOC QUEBECOIS)		1	0.6%
DANIEL TURP		2	1.2%
DAVID PRICE		1	0.6%
DENIS CARON		1	0.6%
DENIS NORMANDIN		1	0.6%
DIANE ST-JACQUES		1	0.6%
DOMINIC BOYER		1	0.6%
DOMINIQUE BOYER		1	0.6%
DRAFTY		1	0.6%
DUPLAIN		1	0.6%
EDWARD GRAFTEY		1	0.6%
FRANCINE LALONDE (ELECTIONS FEDERALES)		1	0.6%
FRANCOIS HUNEAULT-BOURGEOIS		1	0.6%
FRANCOIS MARCHAND		2	1.2%
FREDERICK GRENIER		1	0.6%
GABRIEL ROUSSEAU		1	0.6%
GABY ROBERT		1	0.6%
GASTON HARVEY		1	0.6%
GASTON LEROUX		1	0.6%
GATTY		1	0.6%
GAUDETTE		2	1.2%

#mbsqh1b: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 2:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
GERARD ASSELIN		1	0.6%
GERMAIN DUMAS		1	0.6%
GILLES BRIAND		1	0.6%
GILLES DION		1	0.6%
GILLES MARMEN		1	0.6%
GRENIER		1	0.6%
GUY ST-JULIEN		1	0.6%
H. ALARIE		1	0.6%
HANS MARCOTTE		1	0.6%
HARVEY		1	0.6%
HELENE ALARIE		2	1.2%
HELENE SCHERRER		2	1.2%
HOWARD BROWN		1	0.6%
HUDON		2	1.2%
J. MARC?		1	0.6%
JALBERT		1	0.6%
JEAN-FRANCOIS ROULEAU		3	1.7%
JEAN-GUY BOILY		1	0.6%
JEAN-GUY CARIGNAN		2	1.2%
JEAN-GUY CHRETIEN		4	2.3%
JEAN-PIERRE BOIVIN		2	1.2%
JEROME COTE		1	0.6%
JOCELYNE BUJOLD		1	0.6%
JOHANNE DESCHAMPS		2	1.2%
JULIE BOULET		2	1.2%
JULIEN		1	0.6%
LAVIGNE		1	0.6%
LEBLANC		1	0.6%
LISE BOURGAULT		1	0.6%
LUC DASTOUS		1	0.6%
LYNE BOISVERT		4	2.3%

mbsqh1b: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 2:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
M BELIVEAU		1	0.6%
M. BELISEAU		1	0.6%
M. BELIVEAU		1	0.6%
M. BISSON		1	0.6%
M. DRISDALE		1	0.6%
M. KADIR		1	0.6%
M. LEBLANC		1	0.6%
M. LOPEZ		1	0.6%
M. ROULEAU		1	0.6%
M. TABBA		1	0.6%
M? NORMANDIN		1	0.6%
MADAME BOYER		1	0.6%
MAIRE BOISSY		1	0.6%
MARC ASSAD		1	0.6%
MARC BELANGER		1	0.6%
MARC BELANGER (LIBERAL)		1	0.6%
MARCHAND		1	0.6%
MARIO LAFRAMBOISE		1	0.6%
MARJOLAINE GAGNON		3	1.7%
MICHAUD		1	0.6%
MICHEL		1	0.6%
MICHEL BENOIT		1	0.6%
MICHEL GAUDETTE		1	0.6%
MICHEL LEVASSEUR		1	0.6%
MICHEL POULETTE		1	0.6%
MICHEL TREMBLAY		1	0.6%
MLLE MARCIL		1	0.6%
MME EL AMAROCIE		1	0.6%
MME HUARD		1	0.6%
NICOL TREMBLAY		1	0.6%
PAUL CRETE		1	0.6%
PAUL MERCIER		1	0.6%
PERRON		1	0.6%

mbsqh1b: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 2:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
PHILLIP ARDILLEZ		1	0.6%
PIERRE BRIAN		1	0.6%
PIERRETTE VENNE		1	0.6%
PLANTE		1	0.6%
PQ (UN NOM ITALIEN)		1	0.6%
PRICE		1	0.6%
PROVENCHER		1	0.6%
RAYNALD BLAIS		2	1.2%
REAL MARMEN		2	1.2%
RIAL NAUL		1	0.6%
RICHARD SEVIGNAC		1	0.6%
ROBERT LANCTOT		1	0.6%
ROBERT MALO		1	0.6%
ROCH CHARRON		1	0.6%
ROCHELEAU		1	0.6%
ROGER LEROUX		1	0.6%
ROLAND PARADIS		2	1.2%
ROULEAU		2	1.2%
SAUVE		1	0.6%
SERGE CARDIN		2	1.2%
SERGE MARCIL		1	0.6%
SHAWN LYNCH		1	0.6%
SHIRLEY BARIL		1	0.6%
SOULIERE		1	0.6%
ST-JULIEN		1	0.6%
SUZANNE TREMBLAY		2	1.2%
SYLVAIN LAPOINTE		1	0.6%
TREMBLAY NOEL		1	0.6%
TURP		1	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#mbsqh1c: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 3:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=51 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respodents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Please write in their names below:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
ALDEI BEAUDOIN PL		1	2.0%
ANDRE BACHAND		1	2.0%
ANNE MARIE DUVEY PLANTE		1	2.0%
BEAUDOIN		1	2.0%
BELHUMEUR		1	2.0%
BELIVEAU		1	2.0%
BERGERON		1	2.0%
BOB AUBIN		1	2.0%
BOISVERT (FILLE)		1	2.0%
CHALIFOUX		1	2.0%
CLAUDE GIROUX OU PAUL GIROUX		1	2.0%
CLEMENT LEVESQUE		1	2.0%
DALLAIRE		1	2.0%
DAVID PRICE		1	2.0%
DEAN DRYSDALE		1	2.0%
DESPATIE		1	2.0%
EDWARD GRAFTEY		1	2.0%
ERIC LABRANCHE		1	2.0%
FREDERIC LAJOIE		2	3.9%
GAGNON		1	2.0%
JACQUES BERGERON		1	2.0%
JACQUES BOUSQUET		1	2.0%
JEROME TREMBLAY		1	2.0%
JUDY GRANT		1	2.0%
JULIETTE GRANT		1	2.0%
KARINE PAQUET		1	2.0%

mbsqh1c: Do you happen to remember the names of any candidates who ran in your riding in the last election? Candidate 3:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
KARL ADOMEIT		1	2.0%
LAFLAMME		1	2.0%
LEGARE		1	2.0%
LENAUX		1	2.0%
M. ARCHAMBAULT		1	2.0%
M. BELIVEAU		1	2.0%
M. CIMON		1	2.0%
MARC QUINLAW		1	2.0%
MAURIL DESBIENS		1	2.0%
MICHEL FISET		1	2.0%
MME BLAISE		1	2.0%
MME BOISVERT		1	2.0%
MONIQUE GUAY		1	2.0%
MRS BELIVEAU		1	2.0%
MYRE		1	2.0%
NADEAU		1	2.0%
NOEL TREMBLAY		1	2.0%
PARADIS		1	2.0%
PIERRE BLAIS		1	2.0%
ROMA LEDUC		1	2.0%
ROMA MYRE		1	2.0%
STEPHANE BERGERON		1	2.0%
SYLVAIN GAUDREAULT		1	2.0%
TABAH		1	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh2a: Here is a list of activities that Members of Parliament often perform. Please rank these factors according to how important you think they should be: Helping their constituents deal with government red tape.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1444 /-] [Invalid=2207 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here is a list of activities that Members of Parliament often perform. Please rank these factors from 1 (most important) to 4 (least important) according to how important you think they should be:
Literal question	Helping their constituents deal with government red tape.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most important	347	24.0%
2		288	19.9%
3		314	21.7%

mbsh2a: Here is a list of activities that Members of Parliament often perform. Please rank these factors according to how important you think they should be: Helping their constituents deal with government red tape.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Least important	495	34.3%
Sysmiss		2207	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh2b: Taking an active role in shaping public policy.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1442 /-] [Invalid=2209 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here is a list of activities that Members of Parliament often perform. Please rank these factors from 1 (most important) to 4 (least important) according to how important you think they should be:
Literal question	Taking an active role in shaping public policy.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most important	540	37.4%
2		425	29.5%
3		275	19.1%
4	Least important	202	14.0%
Sysmiss		2209	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh2c: Looking after the interests of their riding.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1453 /-] [Invalid=2198 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here is a list of activities that Members of Parliament often perform. Please rank these factors from 1 (most important) to 4 (least important) according to how important you think they should be:
Literal question	Looking after the interests of their riding.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most important	832	57.3%
2		360	24.8%
3		167	11.5%
4	Least important	94	6.5%
Sysmiss		2198	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh2d: Informing their constituents about government policy.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1448 /-] [Invalid=2203 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here is a list of activities that Members of Parliament often perform. Please rank these factors from 1 (most important) to 4 (least important) according to how important you think they should be:
Literal question	Informing their constituents about government policy.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most important	396	27.3%
2		403	27.8%
3		359	24.8%

mbsh2d: Informing their constituents about government policy.

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Least important	290	20.0%
Sysmiss		2203	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh3a: Here is a list of factors that the Prime Minister may consider when choosing Cabinet Ministers. Please rank these factors according to how important you think they should be: Their expertise in a particular policy area.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1452 /-] [Invalid=2199 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here is a list of factors that the Prime Minister may consider when choosing Cabinet Ministers. Please rank these factors from 1 (most important) to 4 (least important) according to how important you think they should be:
Literal question	Their expertise in a particular policy area.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most important	1231	84.8%
2		131	9.0%
3		58	4.0%
4	Least important	32	2.2%
Sysmiss		2199	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh3b: How long they have been in Parliament.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1443 /-] [Invalid=2208 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here is a list of factors that the Prime Minister may consider when choosing Cabinet Ministers. Please rank these factors from 1 (most important) to 4 (least important) according to how important you think they should be:
Literal question	How long they have been in Parliament.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most important	142	9.8%
2		526	36.5%
3		388	26.9%
4	Least important	387	26.8%
Sysmiss		2208	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh3c: The region they represent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1443 /-] [Invalid=2208 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here is a list of factors that the Prime Minister may consider when choosing Cabinet Ministers. Please rank these factors from 1 (most important) to 4 (least important) according to how important you think they should be:
Literal question	The region they represent.

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most important	164	11.4%
2		457	31.7%
3		524	36.3%

mbsh3c: The region they represent.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Least important	298	20.7%
Sysmiss		2208	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh3d: Their social background characteristics such as gender, language and ethnicity.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1442 /-] [Invalid=2209 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here is a list of factors that the Prime Minister may consider when choosing Cabinet Ministers. Please rank these factors from 1 (most important) to 4 (least important) according to how important you think they should be:
Literal question	Their social background characteristics such as gender, language and ethnicity.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most important	96	6.7%
2		231	16.0%
3		316	21.9%
4	Least important	799	55.4%
Sysmiss		2209	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh4a: There are several different possible methods of voting. Please indicate how much CONFIDENCE you have in each of the following methods: Voting at a polling station.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1498 /-] [Invalid=2153 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	There are several different possible methods of voting. Please indicate how much confidence you have in each of the following methods (circle the number that best reflects your opinion).
Literal question	Voting at a polling station.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	1234	82.4%
2	Some	225	15.0%
3	A little	29	1.9%
4	None	10	0.7%
Sysmiss		2153	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh4b: Voting by mail.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1455 /-] [Invalid=2196 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	There are several different possible methods of voting. Please indicate how much confidence you have in each of the following methods (circle the number that best reflects your opinion).
Literal question	Voting by mail.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	148	10.2%
2	Some	519	35.7%
3	A little	386	26.5%

mbsh4b: Voting by mail.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	None	402	27.6%
Sysmiss		2196	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh4c: Voting by Internet from home.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1453 /-] [Invalid=2198 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	There are several different possible methods of voting. Please indicate how much confidence you have in each of the following methods (circle the number that best reflects your opinion).
Literal question	Voting by Internet from home.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	137	9.4%
2	Some	344	23.7%
3	A little	357	24.6%
4	None	615	42.3%
Sysmiss		2198	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh4d: Voting by telephone from home.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1458 /-] [Invalid=2193 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	There are several different possible methods of voting. Please indicate how much confidence you have in each of the following methods (circle the number that best reflects your opinion).
Literal question	Voting by telephone from home.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	111	7.6%
2	Some	285	19.5%
3	A little	306	21.0%
4	None	756	51.9%
Sysmiss		2193	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh5a: Please circle the method you would MOST LIKE to use.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/5]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1207 /-] [Invalid=2444 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Please circle the method you would MOST LIKE to use.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voting at a polling station	1032	85.5%
2	Voting by mail	37	3.1%
3	Voting by Internet from home	92	7.6%
4	Voting by telephone from home	46	3.8%
5	More than one circled	194	

mbsh5a: Please circle the method you would MOST LIKE to use.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2250	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsh5b: Please circle the method you would LEAST LIKE to use.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/5]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=820 /-] [Invalid=2831 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Please circle the method you would LEAST LIKE to use.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voting at a polling station	39	4.8%
2	Voting by mail	220	26.8%
3	Voting by Internet from home	215	26.2%
4	Voting by telephone from home	346	42.2%
5	More than one circled	413	
Sysmiss		2418	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsi1: In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1499 /-] [Invalid=2152 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	In this section, please circle the number that best reflects your opinion.
Literal question	In some countries, people believe their elections are conducted fairly. In other countries, people believe that their elections are conducted unfairly. Thinking of the last election in Canada, where would you place it on the scale below?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Last election was conducted fairly	574	38.3%
2		533	35.6%
3		284	18.9%
4		77	5.1%
5	Last election was conducted unfairly	31	2.1%
Sysmiss		2152	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsi2: Some people say that political parties in Canada care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in Canada don't care what ordinary people think.

| Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1496 /-] [Invalid=2155 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Some people say that political parties in Canada care what ordinary people think. Others say that political parties in Canada don't care what ordinary people think. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Political parties in Canada care what ordinary people think	79	5.3%
2		390	26.1%
3		583	39.0%
4		316	21.1%
5	Political parties don't care what ordinary people think	128	8.6%
Sysmiss		2155	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsi3: Some people say that political parties are necessary to make our political system work in Canada. Others think that political parties are not needed in Canada.

| Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1500 /-] [Invalid=2151 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Some people say that political parties are necessary to make our political system work in Canada. Others think that political parties are not needed in Canada. Using the scale below, where would you place your own view?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Political parties are necessary to make our system work	428	28.5%
2		629	41.9%
3		336	22.4%
4		75	5.0%
5	Political parties are not needed in Canada	32	2.1%
Sysmiss		2151	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsj1: The federal government and all the provinces except Quebec signed an agreement on social programmes in 1990, called the Social Union Framework. How much have you heard about this agreement:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1329 /-] [Invalid=2322 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The federal government and all the provinces except Quebec signed an agreement on social programmes in 1999, called the Social Union Framework. How much have you heard about this agreement:
Post-question	If respondent selects "nothing at all", or "not sure", go to Section K, #k3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	31	2.3%
2	Some	186	14.0%
3	Not much	413	31.1%
4	Nothing at all	699	52.6%
8	not sure	166	
Sysmiss		2156	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsj2: How much do you think the social union agreement has done to improve social programmes?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=494 /-] [Invalid=3157 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "not sure" or "no" for #j1.
Literal question	How much do you think the social union agreement has done to improve social programmes?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	7	1.4%
2	Some	150	30.4%
3	Not much	265	53.6%
4	Nothing at all	72	14.6%
8	not sure	136	
Sysmiss		3021	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsj3: How serious a problem do you think it is that Quebec did not sign the agreement:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=545 /-] [Invalid=3106 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "not sure" or "no" for #j1.
Literal question	How serious a problem do you think it is that Quebec did not sign the agreement:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very serious	124	22.8%
2	Somewhat serious	253	46.4%
3	Not very serious	115	21.1%
4	Not at all serious	53	9.7%
8	not sure	84	
Sysmiss		3022	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsk3a: Here is a list of occupations. Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Lawyer.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1201 /-] [Invalid=2450 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here is a list of occupations. Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men (column 1) or women (column 2) in each of these occupations:
Literal question	Lawyer

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	542	45.1%
2	Women	118	9.8%
3	Both	541	45.0%
Sysmiss		2450	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsk3b: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Social worker.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1045 /-] [Invalid=2606 /-]

mbsk3b: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Social worker.

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Social worker

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	141	13.5%
2	Women	555	53.1%
3	Both	349	33.4%
Sysmiss		2606	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsk3c: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Carpenter.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1213 /-] [Invalid=2438 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Carpenter

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	1083	89.3%
2	Women	34	2.8%
3	Both	96	7.9%
Sysmiss		2438	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsk3d: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Tailor, dressmaker or furrier.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=835 /-] [Invalid=2816 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Tailor, dressmaker or furrier

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	156	18.7%
2	Women	488	58.4%
3	Both	191	22.9%
Sysmiss		2816	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsk3e: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Computer programmer.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1130 /-] [Invalid=2521 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Computer programmer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	640	56.6%
2	Women	103	9.1%
3	Both	387	34.2%

#mbsk3e: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Computer programmer.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2521	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# #mbsk3f: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Security guard.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=939 /-] [Invalid=2712 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Security guard

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	586	62.4%
2	Women	75	8.0%
3	Both	278	29.6%
Sysmiss		2712	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#mbsk3g: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Cashier.

| Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1237 /-] [Invalid=2414 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Cashier

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	84	6.8%
2	Women	698	56.4%
3	Both	455	36.8%
Sysmiss		2414	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# #mbsk3h: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Sales or marketing manager.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=883 /-] [Invalid=2768 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Sales or marketing manager

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	403	45.6%
2	Women	172	19.5%
3	Both	308	34.9%
Sysmiss		2768	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# #mbsk3i: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Sewing machine operator.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

mbsk3i: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Sewing machine operator.

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=528 /-] [Invalid=3123 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Sewing machine operator

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	56	10.6%
2	Women	407	77.1%
3	Both	65	12.3%
Sysmiss		3123	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

mbsk3j: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Delivery driver.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1024 /-] [Invalid=2627 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Delivery driver

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	714	69.7%
2	Women	45	4.4%
3	Both	265	25.9%
Sysmiss		2627	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

mbsk3k: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Human resources manager.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=832 /-] [Invalid=2819 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Human resources manager

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	232	27.9%
2	Women	336	40.4%
3	Both	264	31.7%
Sysmiss		2819	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsk3l: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Janitor or caretaker.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1092 /-] [Invalid=2559 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Janitor or caretaker

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	582	53.3%
2	Women	98	9.0%

mbsk3l: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Janitor or caretaker.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Both	412	37.7%
Sysmiss		2559	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # mbsk3m: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Pharmacist.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1135 /-] [Invalid=2516 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Pharmacist

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	269	23.7%
2	Women	284	25.0%
3	Both	582	51.3%
Sysmiss		2516	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsk3n: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Server (waiter or waitress).

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1150 /-] [Invalid=2501 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Server (waiter or waitress)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	83	7.2%
2	Women	504	43.8%
3	Both	563	49.0%
Sysmiss		2501	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsk3o: Please put a circle in the appropriate column if you know any men or women in each of these occupations: Farmer.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1080 /-] [Invalid=2571 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Farmer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Men	619	57.3%
2	Women	44	4.1%
3	Both	417	38.6%
Sysmiss		2571	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsk4: Vous considerez-vous comme: (Do you consider yourself to be:)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

mbsk4: Vous considerez-vous comme: (Do you consider yourself to be:)

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=398 /-] [Invalid=3253 /-]
Universe	Asked only in French Questionnaire.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Canadien(ne)	67	16.8%
2	Canadien(ne) - francais(e)	126	31.7%
3	Quebecois(e)	199	50.0%
4	Autre	6	1.5%
Sysmiss		3253	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

comments: We are very interested in any further comments you wish to make about these or related items.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=412 /-] [Invalid=3239 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Written comments	412	100.0%
Sysmiss		3239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsqlang: Language of questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1516 /-] [Invalid=2135 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	1088	71.8%
2	French	428	28.2%
Sysmiss		2135	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

mbsjoli: Jolicoeur

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=457 /-] [Invalid=3194 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quebec	457	100.0%
Sysmiss		3194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

rtype1: Respondent <Campaign Period>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	0	
1	Yes-CPS	3651	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

rtype2: Respondent <Post Election>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	791	21.7%
1	Yes-PES	2860	78.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

rtype3: Respondent <Mailback>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	2134	58.4%
1	Yes-MBS	1517	41.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

wave: Responded To What Wave Of The Survey

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-111] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
100	CPS Only	791	21.7%
110	CPS&PES	1343	36.8%
111	AllWaves	1517	41.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

rlink: Linking CPS/PES/MBS Respondents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Good Link or CPS Only	3635	99.6%
2	Probable Good Link (All 3 Waves)	5	0.1%
3	Probable Good Link (CPS & PES)	11	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ceshhwgt: Household Weight - All Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.5174-5.1736] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.5174		1091	29.9%
1.0347		1965	53.8%
1.5521		417	11.4%
2.0694		133	3.6%

ceshhwgt: Household Weight - All Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2.5868		31	0.8%
3.1042		7	0.2%
3.6215		4	0.1%
4.6562		2	0.1%
5.1736		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cespwgt: Provincial Weight - All Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.3228-1.3435] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.3228		50	1.4%
0.4305		145	4.0%
0.6336		144	3.9%
0.7752		1251	34.3%
0.8354		138	3.8%
1.0138		325	8.9%
1.0815		116	3.2%
1.196		118	3.2%
1.2583		381	10.4%
1.3435		983	26.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

cesnwgt: National Weight - All Canada

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.16701672-5.5688152] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.999 /-] [StdDev=0.549 /-]
Universe	All respondents

rochhwgt: Household Weight - Rest of Canada <No Quebec>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4.5938] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1251	34.3%
0.5104		697	19.1%
1.0208		1293	35.4%
1.5313		282	7.7%
2.0417		89	2.4%
2.5521		27	0.7%
3.0625		6	0.2%
3.5729		4	0.1%
4.5938		2	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

rocpwgt: Provincial Weight - Rest of Canada <No Quebec>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1.2026] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1251	34.3%
0.2889		50	1.4%
0.3853		145	4.0%
0.5672		144	3.9%
0.7478		138	3.8%
0.9075		325	8.9%
0.9681		116	3.2%
1.0706		118	3.2%
1.1263		381	10.4%
1.2026		983	26.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

rocnwgt: National Weight - Rest of Canada <No Quebec>

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4.91812228] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.654 /-] [StdDev=0.637 /-]
Universe	All respondents

quehhwgt: Household Weight - Quebec Only

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5.3121] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2400	65.7%
0.5312		394	10.8%
1.0624		672	18.4%
1.5936		135	3.7%
2.1248		44	1.2%
2.6561		4	0.1%
3.1873		1	0.0%
5.3121		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

atlhhwgt: Household Weight - Atlantic Provinces

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4.3672] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3174	86.9%
0.4852		116	3.2%
0.9705		265	7.3%
1.4557		65	1.8%
1.941		21	0.6%

atlhhwgt: Household Weight - Atlantic Provinces

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2.4262		6	0.2%
2.9115		2	0.1%
3.3967		1	0.0%
4.3672		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

atlpwgt: Provincial Weight - Atlantic Provinces

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1.3977] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3174	86.9%
0.5401		50	1.4%
0.7203		145	4.0%
1.0602		144	3.9%
1.3977		138	3.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

atlnwgt: National Weight - Atlantic Provinces

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4.06940355] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.13 /-] [StdDev=0.386 /-]
Universe	All respondents

weshhwgt: Household Weight - Western Provinces

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4.7501] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2711	74.3%
0.5278		295	8.1%
1.0556		513	14.1%
1.5834		89	2.4%
2.1112		29	0.8%
2.639		10	0.3%
3.1668		3	0.1%
4.7501		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

wespwgt: Provincial Weight - Western Provinces

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1.0998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2711	74.3%
0.8861		325	8.9%
0.9453		116	3.2%

wespwgt: Provincial Weight - Western Provinces

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1.0453		118	3.2%
1.0998		381	10.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

wesnwgt: National Weight - Western Provinces

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4.96527953] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3651 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2711	74.3%
0.46768358		100	2.7%
0.49892934		27	0.7%
0.55170934		35	1.0%
0.58047444		133	3.6%
0.93536716		182	5.0%
0.99785868		75	2.1%
1.10341868		66	1.8%
1.16094888		190	5.2%
1.40305074		32	0.9%
1.49678802		11	0.3%
1.65512802		11	0.3%
1.74142332		35	1.0%
1.87073432		7	0.2%
1.99571736		3	0.1%
2.20683736		5	0.1%
2.32189776		14	0.4%
2.3384179		3	0.1%
2.80610148		1	0.0%
2.9023722		7	0.2%
3.48284664		2	0.1%
4.96527953		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

newnwgt: New National Weight - Time Series

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.088738169831258-5.26965316988149] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3630 /-] [Invalid=21 /-] [Mean=0.998 /-] [StdDev=0.61 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p97_lib: Result 97 Liberal

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8.72-74.8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=36.666 /-] [StdDev=13.375 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p97_ref: Result 97 Reform

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.83-70.99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2433 /-] [Invalid=1218 /-] [Mean=26.444 /-] [StdDev=18.151 /-]

# **p97_ref: Result 97 Reform**	
Universe	All respondents

# **p97_ndp: Result 97 NDP**	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.65-51.1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=10.708 /-] [StdDev=11.206 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# **p97_pc: Result 97 PC**	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2.23-63.11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=20.193 /-] [StdDev=10.939 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# **p97_bq: Result 97 BQ**	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4.1-63.53] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1250 /-] [Invalid=2401 /-] [Mean=38.957 /-] [StdDev=11.592 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# **p97_chp: Result 97 CHP of Canada**	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.18-3.9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=607 /-] [Invalid=3044 /-] [Mean=1.244 /-] [StdDev=0.764 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# **p97_act: Result 97 Canadian Action**	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.18-1.71] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=584 /-] [Invalid=3067 /-] [Mean=0.673 /-] [StdDev=0.337 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p97_gree: Result 97 Green Party

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.45-6.06] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=930 /-] [Invalid=2721 /-] [Mean=1.534 /-] [StdDev=0.931 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p97_ind: Result 97 Independent #1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.16-20.86] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=357 /-] [Invalid=3294 /-] [Mean=1.872 /-] [StdDev=3.709 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p97_ind2: Result 97 Independent #2

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.33-9.13] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=72 /-] [Invalid=3579 /-] [Mean=2.598 /-] [StdDev=3.66 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p97_ind3: Result 97 Independent #3

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.16-0.55] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=3630 /-] [Mean=0.29 /-] [StdDev=0.188 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p97_marx: Result 97 Marxist-Leninist

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.12-0.99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=752 /-] [Invalid=2899 /-] [Mean=0.424 /-] [StdDev=0.22 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p97_nat: Result 97 Natural Law

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.2-2.31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1676 /-] [Invalid=1975 /-] [Mean=0.656 /-] [StdDev=0.347 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p97_na: Result 97 No Affiliation #1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.18-3.46] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=316 /-] [Invalid=3335 /-] [Mean=0.772 /-] [StdDev=0.784 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p97_na2: Result 97 No Affiliation #2

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.18-45.03] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=57 /-] [Invalid=3594 /-] [Mean=4.321 /-] [StdDev=12.738 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p00_all: Result 00 Alliance

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.96-74.28] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3631 /-] [Invalid=20 /-] [Mean=22.682 /-] [StdDev=20.079 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p00_ndp: Result 00 NDP

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.64-58.39] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3590 /-] [Invalid=61 /-] [Mean=8.351 /-] [StdDev=9.521 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# p00_pc: Result 00 PC	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.65-53.62] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3547 /-] [Invalid=104 /-] [Mean=13.15 /-] [StdDev=11.27 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# p00_lib: Result 00 Liberal	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6.19-81.24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=40.174 /-] [StdDev=14.441 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# p00_bq: Result 00 BQ	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4.27-66.17] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1250 /-] [Invalid=2401 /-] [Mean=41.045 /-] [StdDev=12.697 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# p00_act: Result 00 Canadian Action	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.21-3.21] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=732 /-] [Invalid=2919 /-] [Mean=0.842 /-] [StdDev=0.661 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# p00_com: Result 00 Communist	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.13-2.14] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=587 /-] [Invalid=3064 /-] [Mean=0.401 /-] [StdDev=0.286 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# p00_gree: Result 00 Green Party	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.4-6.48] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1238 /-] [Invalid=2413 /-] [Mean=2.15 /-] [StdDev=1.186 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# p00_ind: Result 00 Independent #1	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.17-10.34] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=356 /-] [Invalid=3295 /-] [Mean=1.535 /-] [StdDev=2.405 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# p00_mari: Result 00 Marijuana Party	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.81-4.85] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=981 /-] [Invalid=2670 /-] [Mean=2.071 /-] [StdDev=0.747 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# p00_marx: Result 00 Marxist-Leninist Party	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.08-1.09] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1063 /-] [Invalid=2588 /-] [Mean=0.326 /-] [StdDev=0.17 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# p00_nat: Result 00 Natural Law Party	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.11-2.14] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=955 /-] [Invalid=2696 /-] [Mean=0.602 /-] [StdDev=0.462 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p00_na: Result 00 No Affiliation #1

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.1-41.29] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=636 /-] [Invalid=3015 /-] [Mean=1.67 /-] [StdDev=5.436 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p00_ind2: Result 00 Independent #2

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.45-0.45] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9 /-] [Invalid=3642 /-] [Mean=0.45 /-] [StdDev=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p00_na2: Result 00 No Affiliation #2

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.44-5.57] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=30 /-] [Invalid=3621 /-] [Mean=3.005 /-] [StdDev=2.609 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in97_lib: Incumbent 1997 Liberal

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=0.469 /-] [StdDev=0.499 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in97_pc: Incumbent 1997 PC

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=0.0121 /-] [StdDev=0.109 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in97_ref: Incumbent 1997 Reform

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=0.125 /-] [StdDev=0.33 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in97_ndp: Incumbent 1997 NDP

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=0.0178 /-] [StdDev=0.132 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in97_bq: Incumbent 1997 BQ

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=0.208 /-] [StdDev=0.406 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in00_lib: Incumbent 2000 Liberal

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=0.457 /-] [StdDev=0.498 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in00_pc: Incumbent 2000 PC

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=0.0647 /-] [StdDev=0.246 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in00_ac: Incumbent 2000 Canadian Alliance

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=0.157 /-] [StdDev=0.364 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in00_ndp: Incumbent 2000 NDP

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=0.0499 /-] [StdDev=0.218 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in00_bq: Incumbent 2000 BQ

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=0.175 /-] [StdDev=0.38 /-]
Universe	All respondents

sex_li_1: Liberal Candidate Sex

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	male	2849	78.1%
1	female	801	21.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex_al_1: Alliance Candidate Sex

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	male	3222	88.3%
1	female	428	11.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex_pc_1: PC Candidate Sex

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	male	3176	87.0%
1	female	474	13.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex_nd_1: NDP Candidate Sex

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	male	2607	71.4%

sex_nd_1: NDP Candidate Sex

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	female		1043		28.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex_bq_1: BQ Candidate Sex

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
0	male		3313		90.8%
1	female		337	9.2%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

populati: CONSTITUENCY POPULATION

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29190-149468] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=96927.058 /-] [StdDev=17298.915 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pop_male: Size Population Male

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14945-74880] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=47567.464 /-] [StdDev=8579.758 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m0_4: Male 0-4 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1060-6760] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3233.096 /-] [StdDev=893.343 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m5_9: Male 5-9 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 970-6280] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3360.462 /-] [StdDev=925.482 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m10_14: Male 10-14 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 960-6015] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3389.281 /-] [StdDev=885.792 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m15_19: Male 15-19 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1170-5490] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3366.205 /-] [StdDev=727.238 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m20_24: Male 20-24 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1035-5875] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3214.907 /-] [StdDev=749.801 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m25_29: Male 25-29 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 920-9575] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3394.199 /-] [StdDev=1162.531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m30_34: Male 30-34 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1185-9355] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=4121.147 /-] [StdDev=1147.935 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m35_39: Male 35-39 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1180-6970] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=4257.671 /-] [StdDev=987.27 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m40_44: Male 40-44 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1145-6120] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3885.015 /-] [StdDev=803.036 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m45_49: Male 45-49 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1135-5655] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3517.905 /-] [StdDev=737.221 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m50_54: Male 50-54 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 830-4335] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2744.345 /-] [StdDev=586.366 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m55_59: Male 55-59 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 560-3575] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2166.071 /-] [StdDev=434.142 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m60_64: Male 60-64 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 395-3450] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1946.59 /-] [StdDev=426.246 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m65_69: Male 65-69 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 245-3240] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1750.955 /-] [StdDev=451.318 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m70_74: Male 70-74 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 150-2760] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1406.21 /-] [StdDev=409.027 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m75_79: Male 75-79 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 90-2075] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=927.614 /-] [StdDev=308.781 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m80_84: Male 80-84 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 40-1490] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=554.895 /-] [StdDev=212.75 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m85: Male 85+ Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30-1130] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=330.971 /-] [StdDev=145.401 /-]
Universe	All respondents

pop_fem: Size Population Female

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14245-74590] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=49359.884 /-] [StdDev=8868.187 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f0_4: Female 0-4 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1010-6215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3083.723 /-] [StdDev=845.516 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f5_9: Female 5-9 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 930-6035] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3204.315 /-] [StdDev=877.218 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f10_14: Female 10-14 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 930-5465] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3215.353 /-] [StdDev=825.455 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f15_19: Female 15-19 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1170-5095] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3214.595 /-] [StdDev=654.325 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f20_24: Female 20-24 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 895-6045] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3201.241 /-] [StdDev=862.931 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f25_29: Female 25-29 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 895-10195] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3445.485 /-] [StdDev=1154.19 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f30_34: Female 30-34 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1190-8185] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=4188.578 /-] [StdDev=1074.789 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f35_39: Female 35-39 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1185-7205] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=4343.505 /-] [StdDev=996.832 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f40_44: Female 40-44 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1115-6330] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3966.999 /-] [StdDev=851.874 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f45_49: Female 45-49 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1080-5735] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3558.574 /-] [StdDev=777.772 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f50_54: Female 50-54 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 740-4215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2762.048 /-] [StdDev=595.053 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f55_59: Female 55-59 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 425-3380] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2218.718 /-] [StdDev=469.278 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f60_64: Female 60-64 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 275-3355] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2048.388 /-] [StdDev=486.12 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f65_69: Female 65-69 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 230-3485] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1972.516 /-] [StdDev=545.027 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f70_74: Female 70-74 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 155-3585] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1810.645 /-] [StdDev=586.042 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f75_79: Female 75-79 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 95-3325] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1363.901 /-] [StdDev=485.824 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f80_84: Female 80-84 Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 40-3040] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=961.429 /-] [StdDev=389.851 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f85: Female 85+ Years

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 40-2885] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=799.268 /-] [StdDev=363.662 /-]
Universe	All respondents

p_tot_15: Population 15 and Over

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22055-112700] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=77441.077 /-] [StdDev=14054.872 /-]
Universe	All respondents

single: Never Married

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7075-59000] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=25711.653 /-] [StdDev=7565.002 /-]
Universe	All respondents

married: Married

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11870-68180] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=38608.245 /-] [StdDev=9305.178 /-]
Universe	All respondents

separate: Separated (Still Legally Married)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 425-4395] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2286.01 /-] [StdDev=798.331 /-]
Universe	All respondents

divorced: Divorced

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 825-12770] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=5828.916 /-] [StdDev=2118.918 /-]
Universe	All respondents

widowed: Widowed

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 685-9555] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=5006.115 /-] [StdDev=1322.762 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cens_fam: Total Census Families

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7875-41385] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=26331.045 /-] [StdDev=5009.517 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cens_2p: Census Families with 2 Persons

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2275-18125] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=11566.489 /-] [StdDev=2479.181 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cens_3p: Census Families with 3 Persons

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1915-9390] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=5981.232 /-] [StdDev=1299.235 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cens_4p: Census Families with 4 Persons

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1745-11185] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=6007.263 /-] [StdDev=1749.415 /-]
Universe	All respondents

cens_5p: Census Families with 5 or More Persons

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 370-5850] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2774.973 /-] [StdDev=928.145 /-]
Universe	All respondents

nbmena_p: Total Households

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8920-70585] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=36911.164 /-] [StdDev=7934.906 /-]
Universe	All respondents

h1_perso: Households 1 Person

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1040-38440] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=9244.755 /-] [StdDev=5288.014 /-]
Universe	All respondents

h2_perso: Households 2 Person

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2090-24310] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=11750.778 /-] [StdDev=2935.083 /-]
Universe	All respondents

h3_perso: Households 3 Person

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1915-9675] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=6233.201 /-] [StdDev=1224.559 /-]
Universe	All respondents

h4_5_per: Households 4-5 Person

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2545-15400] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=8610.182 /-] [StdDev=2355.226 /-]
Universe	All respondents

h6_perso: Households 6 Person

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 165-4185] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1071.029 /-] [StdDev=617.612 /-]
Universe	All respondents

av_perhh: Average Number of Persons in Private Households

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1.6-3.7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1.6		16	0.4%
1.8		65	1.8%
1.9		43	1.2%
2		67	1.8%
2.1		67	1.8%
2.2		144	3.9%
2.3		178	4.9%
2.4		250	6.8%
2.5		493	13.5%
2.6		667	18.3%
2.7		513	14.1%
2.8		358	9.8%
2.9		356	9.8%
3		244	6.7%
3.1		62	1.7%
3.2		50	1.4%
3.3		25	0.7%
3.4		27	0.7%
3.5		8	0.2%
3.7		17	0.5%
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# pop_birt: Total Population by Place of Birth	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29085-149035] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=95809.163 /-] [StdDev=17229.912 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# born_can: Born in Canada	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28595-121265] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=80971.477 /-] [StdDev=15984.333 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# immig: Total Population Immigrant	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 225-76440] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=14303.707 /-] [StdDev=15149.737 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# nperm: Non-Permanent Residents	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5230] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=533.323 /-] [StdDev=794.121 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# tot_moth: Mother Tongue Total Population	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29085-149035] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=95809.056 /-] [StdDev=17229.67 /-]
Universe	All respondents

single_r: Single Responses Total

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28945-145405] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=94573.466 /-] [StdDev=16834.922 /-]
Universe	All respondents

english: French

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 240-108970] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=51812.441 /-] [StdDev=36080.19 /-]
Universe	All respondents

french: English

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 110-118895] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=29786.266 /-] [StdDev=38154.568 /-]
Universe	All respondents

non_off: Non-Official Languages

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 65-69415] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=12974.005 /-] [StdDev=14251.533 /-]
Universe	All respondents

multi_r: Multiple Responses Total

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-4660] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1235.547 /-] [StdDev=936.766 /-]
Universe	All respondents

eng_fren: English and French

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-1675] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=398.571 /-] [StdDev=373.072 /-]
Universe	All respondents

ang_noff: English and Non-Official

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4135] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=668.636 /-] [StdDev=773.734 /-]
Universe	All respondents

fre_noff: French and Non-Official

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2290] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=134.927 /-] [StdDev=310.142 /-]
Universe	All respondents

e_f_noff: English/French Non-Official

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-450] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=32.005 /-] [StdDev=65.401 /-]
Universe	All respondents

t_labour: Total By Labor Force Activity

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21945-112280] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=76330.51 /-] [StdDev=13940.148 /-]
Universe	All respondents

in_labou: In Labour Force

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14385-81360] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=49670.185 /-] [StdDev=10941.894 /-]
Universe	All respondents

employed: Employed

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11000-75615] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=44506.11 /-] [StdDev=10964.428 /-]
Universe	All respondents

unemploy: Unemployed (In the Labour)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3.5-35.2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=10.95 /-] [StdDev=4.811 /-]
Universe	All respondents

not_labo: Not In the Labour Force (Inactive)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7180-42560] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=26659.658 /-] [StdDev=5705.492 /-]
Universe	All respondents

part_rat: Participation Rate (Labour)

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 50.4-76.9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=64.845 /-] [StdDev=5.626 /-]
Universe	All respondents

em_pop_r: Employment-Population Ratio

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.2-72.4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=57.941 /-] [StdDev=7.405 /-]
Universe	All respondents

unem_rat: Unemployment Rate

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3.5-35.2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=10.95 /-] [StdDev=4.811 /-]
Universe	All respondents

t_school: Total Population, 15+, By Highest Level of Schooling

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21945-112280] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=76330.177 /-] [StdDev=13940.414 /-]
Universe	All respondents

less_9: Less Than Grade 9

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2160-24750] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=9585.355 /-] [StdDev=4561.711 /-]
Universe	All respondents

no9_13: Grades 9 to 13 without Secondary School Graduation

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5495-28870] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=16802.844 /-] [StdDev=4433.515 /-]
Universe	All respondents

gra9_13: Grades 9 to 13 with Secondary School Graduation

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2395-19750] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=11140.033 /-] [StdDev=3295.474 /-]
Universe	All respondents

trad_cer: Trades Certificate or Diploma

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 785-5260] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2880.033 /-] [StdDev=880.335 /-]
Universe	All respondents

no_post: Non-University without Certificate

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1005-8930] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=5009.086 /-] [StdDev=1554.723 /-]
Universe	All respondents

gra_post: Non-University with Certificate or Diploma

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4015-22450] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=13414.555 /-] [StdDev=3478.235 /-]
Universe	All respondents

no_univ: University without Certificate or Diploma

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 265-8900] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3056.305 /-] [StdDev=1972.995 /-]
Universe	All respondents

gra_univ: University with Certificate or Diploma

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1175-9285] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=4282.775 /-] [StdDev=1713.819 /-]
Universe	All respondents

degr_uni: With Bachelor's Degree or Higher

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1465-37885] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=10156.297 /-] [StdDev=6905.531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

tot_mobi: Total by Mobility Status 5 Years Ago

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26890-136100] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=89471.456 /-] [StdDev=15892.342 /-]
Universe	All respondents

non_move: Non-Movers

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 17650-72760] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=50942.137 /-] [StdDev=9179.847 /-]
Universe	All respondents

movers: Movers

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6630-83460] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=38528.955 /-] [StdDev=13101.478 /-]
Universe	All respondents

av_incom: Average Income Individuals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 16110-43310] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=24502.188 /-] [StdDev=4259.741 /-]
Universe	All respondents

med_inco: Median Income Individuals

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11574-30060] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=18716.047 /-] [StdDev=3450.436 /-]
Universe	All respondents

std_err: Standard Error of Average Income

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 78-749] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=195.735 /-] [StdDev=75.794 /-]
Universe	All respondents

avhh_inc: Average Household Income

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27568-82647] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=47098.166 /-] [StdDev=9725.729 /-]
Universe	All respondents

mdhh_inc: Median Household Income

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21355-68766] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=39791.479 /-] [StdDev=9074.447 /-]
Universe	All respondents

std_erhh: Standard Error Average Household Income

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 197-1569] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=423.78 /-] [StdDev=158.189 /-]
Universe	All respondents

low_inc: Incidence of Low Income Percentage

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6.2-49.7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=20.055 /-] [StdDev=7.909 /-]
Universe	All respondents

av_inc_m: Average Income Male

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 17877-55344] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=30338.639 /-] [StdDev=5711.024 /-]
Universe	All respondents

md_inc_m: Median Income Male

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 13942-39640] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=25155.762 /-] [StdDev=4840.419 /-]
Universe	All respondents

std_er_m: Standard Error Average Income Male

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 131-1344] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=332.846 /-] [StdDev=151.576 /-]
Universe	All respondents

av_inc_f: Average Income Female

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11611-33676] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=18563.141 /-] [StdDev=3294.168 /-]
Universe	All respondents

md_inc_f: Median Income Female

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10000-26306] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=14476.345 /-] [StdDev=2607.142 /-]
Universe	All respondents

std_er_f: Standard Error Average Income Female

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 78-656] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=182.039 /-] [StdDev=50.397 /-]
Universe	All respondents

bycat_15: Total Labour Force 15+, By Occupational Categories

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14385-81360] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=49670.114 /-] [StdDev=10942.14 /-]
Universe	All respondents

not_app: Not Applicable

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 250-5125] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1716.94 /-] [StdDev=786.611 /-]
Universe	All respondents

all_occ: All Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 13655-79535] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=47952.621 /-] [StdDev=10826.956 /-]
Universe	All respondents

a_manag: Management Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 815-10350] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=4297.61 /-] [StdDev=1833.981 /-]
Universe	All respondents

b_busin: Business, Finance, Administration

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1410-18660] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=9060.744 /-] [StdDev=3390.495 /-]
Universe	All respondents

c_scienc: Natural Appled Science

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 400-7205] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2410.636 /-] [StdDev=1282.953 /-]
Universe	All respondents

d_health: Health Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 425-4420] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2486.901 /-] [StdDev=688.594 /-]
Universe	All respondents

e_social: Social Science, Education, Government Service

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 820-9175] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3351.753 /-] [StdDev=1381.491 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_arts_c: Arts, Culture, Recreation, Sports

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 235-7135] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1335.214 /-] [StdDev=1016.494 /-]
Universe	All respondents

g_sales: Sales and Service Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3200-21105] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=12489.018 /-] [StdDev=3098.222 /-]
Universe	All respondents

h_trades: Trades, Transport, Equipment Operators

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1400-14220] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=6700.425 /-] [StdDev=2150.311 /-]
Universe	All respondents

i_primar: Occupations Unique to Primary Sector

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 115-13820] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2215.774 /-] [StdDev=2352.876 /-]
Universe	All respondents

j_manuf: Occupations Unique to Processing, Manufacturing and Utilities

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 495-11255] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=3600.766 /-] [StdDev=2021.44 /-]
Universe	All respondents

male_lab: Total Male Labour Force, 15+, By Broad Categories

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 8225-43205] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=26886.785 /-] [StdDev=5690.215 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_na: Male Occupation Not Applicable

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 75-3045] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=846.703 /-] [StdDev=469.755 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_occ_a: Male Occupation Management Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 525-7250] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2939.219 /-] [StdDev=1290.719 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_occ_b: Male Occupation Business, Finance Administrative

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 330-6835] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2554.582 /-] [StdDev=1225.491 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_occ_c: Male Occupation Natural and Applied Science

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 335-5810] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1979.878 /-] [StdDev=1009.656 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_occ_d: Male Occupation Health Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 55-1840] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=538.871 /-] [StdDev=270.279 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_occ_e: Male Occupation Social Science, Education, Government Service

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 305-3985] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1372.433 /-] [StdDev=672.039 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_occ_f: Male Occupation Art, Culture, Recreation and Sport

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 85-4135] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=626.374 /-] [StdDev=537.039 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_occ_g: Male Occupation Sales and Service Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1020-10650] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=5440.214 /-] [StdDev=1711.6 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_occ_h: Male Occupation Trades, Transport and Equipement Operators

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1230-13515] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=6298.316 /-] [StdDev=2031.105 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_occ_i: Male Occupation Unique to Primary Sector

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-10405] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1736.63 /-] [StdDev=1796.687 /-]
Universe	All respondents

m_occ_j: Male Occupation Unique to Processing, Manufacturing and Utilities

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 375-7125] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=2549.523 /-] [StdDev=1333.027 /-]
Universe	All respondents

fem_labo: Total Female Labour Force, 15+ By Broad Categories

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 6160-39015] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=22782.748 /-] [StdDev=5384.302 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_napp: Female Occupation Not Applicable

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 170-2160] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=870.189 /-] [StdDev=349.363 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_occ_a: Female Occupation Management Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 245-3790] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1358.304 /-] [StdDev=582.785 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_occ_b: Female Occupation Business, Finance and Administrative Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1075-13020] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=6505.592 /-] [StdDev=2260.78 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_occ_c: Female Occupation Natural and Applied Science

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30-1565] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=430.538 /-] [StdDev=287.909 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_occ_d: Health Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 365-3140] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1947.632 /-] [StdDev=489.637 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_occ_e: Female Occupation Social Science, Education, Government Service

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 490-5235] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1978.766 /-] [StdDev=738.958 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_occ_f: Female Occupation Art, Culture, Recreation and Sports

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 130-3005] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=708.447 /-] [StdDev=491.63 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_occ_g: Female Occupation Sales and Service Occupations

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2185-12220] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=7048.378 /-] [StdDev=1645.317 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_occ_h: Female Occupation Trades, Transport and Equipement Operators

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100-925] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=401.84 /-] [StdDev=159.449 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_occ_i: Female Occupation Unique to Primary Industry

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-3415] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=478.466 /-] [StdDev=592.407 /-]
Universe	All respondents

f_occ_j: Female Occupation Unique to Processing, Manufacturing and Utilities

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 70-4235] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3650 /-] [Invalid=1 /-] [Mean=1050.784 /-] [StdDev=822.132 /-]
Universe	All respondents