

**Canada**

**Harold Clarke, University of Windsor  
Jane Jenson, Carleton University  
Lawrence LeDuc, University of Windsor  
Jon Pammett, Carleton University**

**Canadian Election Study, 1974**

**Study Documentation**

August 6, 2020

# Metadata Production

<b>Metadata Producer(s)</b>	Scholars Portal (SP) , Ontario Council of University Libraries
<b>Production Date</b>	August 20, 2017
<b>Version</b>	Version September 15, 2017  Version October 20, 2017
<b>Identification</b>	CES-E-1974

# Table of Contents

Overview.....	4
Scope & Coverage.....	4
Producers & Sponsors.....	5
Sampling.....	5
Data Collection.....	5
Accessibility.....	5
Rights & Disclaimer.....	5
Files Description.....	6
CPS&PES&MBS&WEB 2011 final.....	6
Variables Group(s).....	7
Study Procedures.....	7
Interest in Election and Politics.....	7
Life Satisfaction and Economic State.....	7
Political Efficacy.....	7
Recent Federal Election Participation.....	8
General Political Participation.....	8
Provincial Political Participation.....	8
Local or Municipal Political Participation.....	9
Regional Attitudes.....	9
Feelings about province, Region, Canada, United States, England and France.....	10
Provincial Favoritism.....	10
Roles of Federal and Provincial Governments.....	10
Issues: General.....	11
Bilingualism.....	11
Foreign Investment.....	11
Inflation.....	12
Separatism.....	12
Majority Government.....	12
Federal Party Identification.....	12
Parent Federal Party Identification.....	13
Campaign Information Sources.....	13
Federal Voting Behaviour.....	13
Electoral Decision.....	14
Thermometer Items: Parties, Leaders Candidates.....	15
Federal Party Leader Images.....	15
Federal Party Images.....	16
Provincial Party Identification.....	18
Parents' Provincial Party Identification.....	18
Party Likes and Dislikes.....	18
Provincial Voting Behaviour.....	21
Background Information.....	22
R's Occupation.....	22
R's Marital Status.....	22
Head of Household's Occupation.....	23
R's Education.....	23
Demographic Information.....	23
Attitude Toward Parliament.....	24
R's Social Class and Religion.....	25
R' Birthplace, Where Lived and Language.....	25
R's Union Affiliations.....	26
R' Age, Income , Sex.....	26
Variables Description.....	27
CPS&PES&MBS&WEB 2011 final.....	28

## Canadian Election Study, 1974 (CES 1974)

### *Étude électorale canadienne 1974*

Overview	
Type	Canadian Election Study
Identification	CES-E-1974
Series	<p>The primary mandate of the Canadian Election Study is to provide a thorough account of the election, to underline the main reasons why people vote the way they do, to indicate what does and does not change during the campaign and from one election to another, and to highlight similarities and differences between voting and elections in Canada and in other democratic countries.</p> <p>The second mandate is to contribute to the development of scientific knowledge regarding the motivations of voters and the meanings of elections and election campaigns in democratic societies.</p> <p>The third mandate is to assemble a rich set of data about Canadians' attitudes and opinions on a wide variety of social, economic, and political issues, and to make that data publicly available to researchers in political science, sociology, economics, communications, and journalism.</p>
<p><b>Abstract</b></p> <p>Conducted in the months following the July 8, 1974, election in Canada, this study explored the Canadian electorate's political attitudes and behavior at both the federal and provincial levels.</p> <p>Respondents were asked about their interest in politics, their images of party leaders, opinions on political and social issues, campaign information sources and political involvement, as well as their voting history and party identification. Other items dealt more specifically with the 1974 campaign and election. Besides ascertaining how respondents voted, the study attempted to establish when voting decisions were made, and for what reasons. Also explored were attitudes on political and social issues like bilingualism, foreign investment, inflation, separatism, and majority government. "Feeling thermometers" were used to gauge respondents' feelings toward their provinces, Canada as a whole, and other countries, as well as toward specific parties, party leaders, and candidates in the 1974 federal election. A number of items dealt with Canadian federalism, comparing federal and provincial government influence.</p> <p>Demographic data include sex, age, marital status, education, occupation, and organizational membership. In addition, extensive information is provided about occupational and social mobility of male respondents' fathers, grandfathers, and sons. In order to maximize the number of questions that could be administered, two forms of the interview were developed. Half of the respondents were administered Form 1 and the others Form 2. While many items in the two forms are identical, there are also several items that are present in one form only.</p>	
Kind of Data	Survey data
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
Keywords	Debate, Leaders, Candidates, Votes, Voting, Media
Topics	Election, Politics, Public Opinion
Time Period(s)	1974
Countries	Canada
<p><b>Geographic Coverage</b></p> <p>Canada, Provinces</p>	
<p><b>Universe</b></p> <p>Canadian Electorate</p>	

## Producers & Sponsors

<b>Primary Investigator(s)</b>	Harold Clarke, University of Windsor Jane Jenson, Carleton University Lawrence LeDuc, University of Windsor Jon Pammett, Carleton University
<b>Other Producer(s)</b>	Institute for Social Research (ISR) , York University , Distributor
<b>Funding Agency/ies</b>	Elections Canada

## Sampling

### **Weighting**

(1) This study contains a weight variable (Ref. 4) that corrects for oversampling by province, and produces a representative national sample Data Collection Notes: of the Canadian voters. In addition there is a weight variable (Ref. 5) for use in the analysis of the maritime provinces alone. (2) The frequencies inserted for variables in the body of the codebook are for the national cross-section sample only. Frequencies reflect the decimal weight (Ref.4) and have been rounded to the nearest integer before being included in the codebook. As a result, they do not always add up to the expected N.

## Data Collection

<b>Data Collection Dates</b>	start 1974-08-04 end 1974-12-21
------------------------------	------------------------------------

## Accessibility

<b>Distributor(s)</b>	Canadian Opinion Research Archive
<b>Citation Requirements</b> Publications based on CES data collection should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.	

## Rights & Disclaimer

<b>Disclaimer</b> The original collector of the data and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.	
<b>Copyright</b>	Copyright (c) Institute for Social Research, 2012

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final	
# Cases	2562
# Variable(s)	481

# Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 41 group(s)

Group Study Procedures							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V1	ICPSR STUDY NUMBER 7379	discrete	numeric-4.0	2562	0	-
2	V2	RESPONDENT ID NUMBER	continuous	numeric-4.0	2562	0	-
3	V3	HALF SAMPLE NUMBER	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
4	V4	NATIONAL SAMPLE WEIGHT	discrete	numeric-8.3	2562	0	-
5	V5	MARITIME SUB-SAMPLE WGT	discrete	numeric-6.2	2562	0	-
6	V6	PROVINCE	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
7	V7	CONSTITUENCY	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
8	V8	POLL	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
9	V9	COMMUNITY SIZE	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
10	V10	WEEK OF INTERVIEW	discrete	numeric-2.0	2562	0	-

Group Interest in Election and Politics							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V11	INTEREST IN ELECTION	discrete	numeric-1.0	2559	3	-
2	V12	INTEREST IN POLITICS	discrete	numeric-1.0	2558	4	-
3	V13	PAY MORE ATTN TO FED-PRV	discrete	numeric-1.0	1459	1103	-
4	V14	LEVEL OF GOVT MOST IMP	discrete	numeric-1.0	2396	166	-

Group Life Satisfaction and Economic State							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V15	MATERIAL SATISFACTION	discrete	numeric-1.0	1294	1268	-
2	V16	GOVT IMPACT ON MAT SATIS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1249	1313	-
3	V17	MATERIAL STATE IN 4 YRS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1300	1262	-
4	V18	ECON COMP WITH GROW UP	discrete	numeric-1.0	1287	1275	-
5	V19	LIFE SATISFACTION	discrete	numeric-1.0	1290	1272	-
6	V20	GOVT IMPACT ON LIFE SAT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1253	1309	-

Group Political Efficacy							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V21	MP'S LOSE TOUCH	discrete	numeric-1.0	1262	1300	-
2	V22	GOVT DOESNT CARE	discrete	numeric-1.0	1262	1300	-
3	V23	POLITICS COMPLICATED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1262	1300	-
4	V24	DONT HAVE SAY	discrete	numeric-1.0	1262	1300	-
5	V25	SO MANY VOTERS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1262	1300	-

### Group Recent Federal Election Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V26	READ NEWSPAPER-ELECTION	discrete	numeric-1.0	1259	1303	-
2	V27	DISCUSS POLITICS-ELECT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1259	1303	-
3	V28	CONVINCE FRIENDS-ELECT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1261	1301	-
4	V29	WORK IN COMMUNITY-ELECT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1257	1305	-
5	V30	ATTEND MEETING-ELECT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1260	1302	-
6	V31	CONTACT OFFICIALS-ELECT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1260	1302	-
7	V32	CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY-ELECT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1260	1302	-
8	V33	SIGN OR STICKER-ELECTION	discrete	numeric-1.0	1259	1303	-

### Group General Political Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V34	READ NEWSPAPER-FED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1255	1307	-
2	V35	DISCUSS POLITICS-FED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1254	1308	-
3	V36	CONVINCE FRIENDS-FED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1253	1309	-
4	V37	WORK IN COMMUNITY-FED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1250	1312	-
5	V38	ATTEND MEETING-FED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1253	1309	-
6	V39	CONTACT OFFICIALS-FED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1250	1312	-
7	V40	CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY-FED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1250	1312	-
8	V41	SIGN OR STICKER-FED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1247	1315	-

### Group Provincial Political Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V42	READ NEWSPAPERS-PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	1256	1306	-
2	V43	DISCUSS POLITICS-PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	1255	1307	-



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	V44	CONVINCE FRIENDS-PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	1250	1312	-
4	V45	WORK IN COMMUNITY-PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	1250	1312	-
5	V46	ATTEND MEETING-PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	1251	1311	-
6	V47	CONTACT OFFICIALS-PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	1251	1311	-
7	V48	CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY-PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	1252	1310	-
8	V49	SIGN OR STICKER-PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	1246	1316	-

### Group Local or Municipal Political Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V50	READ NEWSPAPER-LOCAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1253	1309	-
2	V51	DISCUSS POLITICS-LOCAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1251	1311	-
3	V52	CONVINCE FRIENDS-LOCAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1250	1312	-
4	V53	WORK IN COMMUNITY-LOCAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1248	1314	-
5	V54	ATTEND MEETING-LOCAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1248	1314	-
6	V55	CONTACT OFFICIALS-LOCAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1249	1313	-
7	V56	CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY-LOCAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1247	1315	-
8	V57	SIGN OR STICKER-LOCAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1246	1316	-

### Group Regional Attitudes

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V58	MAP-1ST RESP PLACEMENT	discrete	numeric-1.0	2093	469	-
2	V59	MAP-1ST RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-4.0	2092	470	-
3	V60	MAP-2ND RESP PLACEMENT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1650	912	-
4	V61	MAP-2ND RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-4.0	1650	912	-
5	V62	MAP-3RD RESP PLACEMENT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1334	1228	-
6	V63	MAP-3RD RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-4.0	1334	1228	-
7	V64	MAP-4TH RESP PLACEMENT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1079	1483	-
8	V65	MAP-4TH RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-4.0	1079	1483	-
9	V66	MAP-5TH RESP PLACEMENT	discrete	numeric-1.0	837	1725	-
10	V67	MAP-5TH RESPONSE	continuous	numeric-4.0	835	1727	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	V68	REGION R LIVES IN	continuous	numeric-2.0	2533	29	-
12	V69	OTHER REGIONS OF CANADA	continuous	numeric-4.0	1432	1130	-
13	V70	FEEL CLOSER TO FED-PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	2514	48	-
14	V71	PROV GOVTS MORE POWERFUL	continuous	numeric-4.0	2026	536	-

### Group Feelings about province, Region, Canada, United States, England and France

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V72	FEEL ABOUT PROV	continuous	numeric-2.0	2521	41	-
2	V73	PROV AS PLACE TO LIVE	continuous	numeric-2.0	2528	34	-
3	V74	FEEL ABOUT GOVT OF PROV	continuous	numeric-2.0	2455	107	-
4	V75	FEEL ABOUT REGION	discrete	numeric-2.0	1137	1425	-
5	V76	REGION AS PLACE TO LIVE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1140	1422	-
6	V77	FEEL ABOUT CANADA	continuous	numeric-2.0	2523	39	-
7	V78	CANADA AS A PLACE TO LIV	continuous	numeric-2.0	2511	51	-
8	V79	FEEL ABOUT GOVT OF CAN	continuous	numeric-2.0	2483	79	-
9	V80	FEEL ABOUT U.S.A.	continuous	numeric-2.0	2347	215	-
10	V81	FEEL ABOUT ENGLAND	continuous	numeric-2.0	2191	371	-
11	V82	FEEL ABOUT FRANCE	continuous	numeric-2.0	2099	463	-

### Group Provincial Favoritism

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V83	PROV BEAR MORE THAN SHR	continuous	numeric-4.0	1853	709	-
2	V84	PROV BENEFIT MORE TH SHR	continuous	numeric-4.0	1868	694	-

### Group Roles of Federal and Provincial Governments

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V85	GOVT RESP FOR EDUCATION	discrete	numeric-1.0	2383	179	-
2	V86	GOVT RESP FOREIGN AFF	discrete	numeric-1.0	2318	244	-
3	V87	GOVT RESP FOR LOCAL GOVT	discrete	numeric-1.0	2296	266	-
4	V88	GOVT RESP FOR HOSP INS	discrete	numeric-1.0	2421	141	-
5	V89	GOVT RESP UNEMPL INS	discrete	numeric-1.0	2377	185	-
6	V90	GOVT RESP FOR ECONOMY	discrete	numeric-1.0	2370	192	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	V91	SAME PARTY RUN FED-PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	2314	248	-
8	V92	SAME PARTY RUN-INTENSITY	discrete	numeric-1.0	615	1947	-

### Group Issues: General

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V93	MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE	continuous	numeric-2.0	2278	284	-
2	V94	FEEL ABOUT IMP ISSUE	continuous	numeric-3.0	1776	786	-
3	V95	ARTICULATENESS-1ST ISSUE	discrete	numeric-1.0	1776	786	-
4	V96	PARTY CLOSEST ON ISSUE	discrete	numeric-1.0	1627	935	-
5	V97	ISSUE IMPT IN VOTING	discrete	numeric-1.0	1735	827	-
6	V98	2ND MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE	continuous	numeric-2.0	1698	864	-
7	V99	FEEL ABOUT 2ND ISSUE	continuous	numeric-3.0	836	1726	-
8	V100	ARTICULATENESS-2ND ISSUE	discrete	numeric-1.0	836	1726	-
9	V101	PARTY CLOSEST ON 2ND ISS	discrete	numeric-1.0	790	1772	-
10	V102	2ND ISSUE IMP IN VOTING	discrete	numeric-1.0	838	1724	-

### Group Bilingualism

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V103	BILINGUALISM-LANGUAGE	discrete	numeric-2.0	1033	1529	-
2	V104	BILINGUALISM-PRESCRIP	discrete	numeric-2.0	919	1643	-
3	V105	BILINGUALISM-REG,PROV	discrete	numeric-2.0	328	2234	-
4	V106	BILINGUALISM-COMPULSION	discrete	numeric-2.0	158	2404	-
5	V107	BILINGUALISM-PERS,IMPERS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1142	1420	-
6	V108	BILINGUALISM-POS,NEG	discrete	numeric-1.0	1042	1520	-
7	V109	BILINGUALISM-ARTICULATE	discrete	numeric-1.0	1150	1412	-
8	V110	BILINGUALISM-PARTY CLOSE	discrete	numeric-1.0	617	1945	-

### Group Foreign Investment

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V111	FOR INVEST-INEVITABLE	discrete	numeric-1.0	265	2297	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	V112	FOR INVEST-CHARACTERIST	continuous	numeric-2.0	769	1793	-
3	V113	FOR INVEST-DOMINATION	discrete	numeric-1.0	143	2419	-
4	V114	FOR INVEST-ACTION	discrete	numeric-1.0	302	2260	-
5	V115	FOR INVEST-POS,NEG	discrete	numeric-1.0	833	1729	-
6	V116	FOR INVEST-ARTICULATE	discrete	numeric-1.0	938	1624	-
7	V117	FOR INVEST-PARTY CLOSE	discrete	numeric-1.0	806	1756	-

### Group Inflation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V118	INFLATION-CONTENT	continuous	numeric-2.0	829	1733	-
2	V119	INFLATION-CAUSES	discrete	numeric-2.0	158	2404	-
3	V120	INFLATION-ACTION	discrete	numeric-1.0	145	2417	-
4	V121	INFLATION-HOW MUCH AFPEC	discrete	numeric-1.0	877	1685	-
5	V122	INFLATION-WAY AFFECTED	continuous	numeric-2.0	727	1835	-
6	V123	INFLATION-ARTICULATE	discrete	numeric-1.0	873	1689	-
7	V124	INFLATION-PARTY CLOSE	discrete	numeric-1.0	506	2056	-

### Group Separatism

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V125	FAVOUR SEPARATISM	discrete	numeric-1.0	1169	1393	-
2	V126	SEPARATISM-INTENSITY	discrete	numeric-1.0	1073	1489	-
3	V127	SEPARATISM LIKELY	discrete	numeric-1.0	1199	1363	-

### Group Majority Government

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V128	MAJ GOVT VS MINORITY	discrete	numeric-1.0	1197	1365	-
2	V129	MAJORITY GOVT-SWITCH	discrete	numeric-1.0	1162	1400	-
3	V130	MAJ GOVT ISSUE INFL VOTE	discrete	numeric-1.0	485	2077	-

### Group Federal Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V131	FEDERAL PARTY ID	discrete	numeric-1.0	2389	173	-
2	V132	FED PARTY ID INTENSITY	discrete	numeric-1.0	2042	520	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	V133	FEEL CLOSER TO FED PARTY	discrete	numeric-1.0	436	2126	-
4	V134	FED PARTY CLOSER TO	discrete	numeric-1.0	138	2424	-
5	V135	ANY PAST FED PARTY ID	discrete	numeric-1.0	2470	92	-
6	V136	PAST FED PARTY ID	discrete	numeric-1.0	799	1763	-
7	V137	WHEN CHANGED FED PARTY	discrete	numeric-1.0	763	1799	-
8	V138	WHY CHG FED PTY-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	746	1816	-
9	V139	WHY CHG FED PTY-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	121	2441	-

### Group Parent Federal Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V140	FATHER FED PARTY?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2118	444	-
2	V141	FATHER FED PARTY ID	discrete	numeric-1.0	1430	1132	-
3	V142	FATHER FED PARTY INTENS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1412	1150	-
4	V143	MOTHER FED PARTY?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2081	481	-
5	V144	MOTHER FED PARTY ID	discrete	numeric-1.0	1055	1507	-
6	V145	MOTHER FED PARTY INTENS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1038	1524	-
7	V146	PARENTS FED POL INTEREST	discrete	numeric-1.0	2304	258	-

### Group Campaign Information Sources

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V147	CAMPAIGN CONTACT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1244	1318	-
2	V148	PARTY CONTACT	discrete	numeric-2.0	414	2148	-
3	V149	CANDIDATE CONTACT	discrete	numeric-2.0	191	2371	-
4	V150	OTHER CAMPAIGN CONTACT	discrete	numeric-1.0	1244	1318	-
5	V151	OTHER PARTY CONTACT	continuous	numeric-2.0	952	1610	-
6	V152	HOW CONTACTED	discrete	numeric-1.0	992	1570	-
7	V153	CAMPAIGN-NEWSPAPER	discrete	numeric-1.0	1254	1308	-
8	V154	CAMPAIGN-TELEVISION	discrete	numeric-1.0	1251	1311	-
9	V155	CAMPAIGN-RADIO	discrete	numeric-1.0	1246	1316	-
10	V156	MOST IMPORTANT MEDIA	discrete	numeric-1.0	1227	1335	-

### Group Federal Voting Behaviour

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V157	VOTE FREQUENCY-FED ELEC	discrete	numeric-1.0	2558	4	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	V158	ALWAYS VOTE SAME-FED	discrete	numeric-1.0	2371	191	-
3	V159	FED PARTY ALWAYS VOTED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1224	1338	-
4	V160	CHOICE OR NONCONTEST-FED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1099	1463	-
5	V161	VOTED IN 1974 FED ELEC	discrete	numeric-1.0	2461	101	-
6	V162	VOTE IN 1974 FED ELEC	discrete	numeric-1.0	1979	583	-
7	V163	WHY NOT VOTE 1974 F ELEC	discrete	numeric-2.0	431	2131	-
8	V164	PARTY WD HAVE VOTED 1974	discrete	numeric-1.0	371	2191	-
9	V165	MOST IMP-LDR,CAND,PTY	discrete	numeric-1.0	2359	203	-
10	V166	2ND MOST IMP-LDR,CAND,PT	discrete	numeric-1.0	2248	314	-
11	V167	LEAST IMP-LDR,CAND,PTY	discrete	numeric-1.0	2250	312	-
12	V168	ISSUES OR PERSONAL QUAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1363	1199	-
13	V169	WHICH ISSUES-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	652	1910	-
14	V170	WHICH ISSUES-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	652	1910	-
15	V171	PARTY-APPROACH OR ISSUES	discrete	numeric-1.0	889	1673	-
16	V172	WHICH ISSUES-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	347	2215	-
17	V173	WHICH ISSUES-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	347	2215	-
18	V174	VOTED IN 1972	discrete	numeric-1.0	2500	62	-
19	V175	VOTE IN 1972 FED ELEC	discrete	numeric-1.0	1970	592	-
20	V176	WHY SWITCH 72-74,1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-2.0	329	2233	-
21	V177	WHY SWITCH 72-74,2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-2.0	112	2450	-
22	V178	VOTED IN 1968	discrete	numeric-1.0	2441	121	-
23	V179	VOTE IN 1968 FED ELEC	discrete	numeric-1.0	1456	1106	-
24	V180	2ND CHOICE PARTY-1974	discrete	numeric-1.0	1923	639	-
25	V181	PARTY LEAST PREF-1974	discrete	numeric-1.0	2098	464	-

### Group Electoral Decision

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V182	TIME OF VOTE DECISION	discrete	numeric-2.0	993	1569	-
2	V183	SURE ALL ALONG	discrete	numeric-1.0	1008	1554	-
3	V184	OTHER PARTY CONSIDERED	discrete	numeric-1.0	216	2346	-
4	V185	REAL REASON VOTED-1ST RP	continuous	numeric-2.0	978	1584	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	V186	REAL REASON VOTED-2ND RP	continuous	numeric-2.0	580	1982	-
6	V187	REAL REASON VOTED-3RD RP	continuous	numeric-2.0	258	2304	-

### Group Thermometer Items: Parties, Leaders Candidates

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V188	LIKE TRUDEAU-THERM	continuous	numeric-2.0	2457	105	-
2	V189	LIKE LOCAL LIB CAN- THERM	continuous	numeric-2.0	2150	412	-
3	V190	LIKE LIB PARTY-THERM	continuous	numeric-2.0	2424	138	-
4	V191	LIKE STANFIELD-THERM	continuous	numeric-2.0	2429	133	-
5	V192	LIKE LOCAL PC CAND- THERM	continuous	numeric-2.0	2094	468	-
6	V193	LIKE PC PARTY-THERM	continuous	numeric-2.0	2377	185	-
7	V194	LIKE LEWIS-THERM	continuous	numeric-2.0	2237	325	-
8	V195	LIKE LOCAL NDP CAND- THER	continuous	numeric-2.0	1651	911	-
9	V196	LIKE NDP-THERM	continuous	numeric-2.0	2191	371	-
10	V197	LIKE CAOUETTE-THERM	continuous	numeric-2.0	1918	644	-
11	V198	LIKE LOCAL SC CAND- THERM	discrete	numeric-2.0	802	1760	-
12	V199	LIKE SC PARTY-THERM	continuous	numeric-2.0	1848	714	-

### Group Federal Party Leader Images

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V200	LIKE TRUDEAU-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	1259	1303	-
2	V201	LIKE TRUDEAU-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	923	1639	-
3	V202	LIKE TRUDEAU-3RD RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	594	1968	-
4	V203	DISLIKE TRUDEAU-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	1259	1303	-
5	V204	DISLIKE TRUDEAU-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	850	1712	-
6	V205	DISLIKE TRUDEAU-3RD RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	685	1877	-
7	V206	LIKE STANFIELD-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	1257	1305	-
8	V207	LIKE STANFIELD-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	882	1680	-
9	V208	LIKE STANFIELD-3RD RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	701	1861	-
10	V209	DISLIKE STANFIELD-1ST RP	continuous	numeric-2.0	1258	1304	-
11	V210	DISLIKE STANFIELD-2ND RP	continuous	numeric-2.0	814	1748	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	V211	DISLIKE STANFIELD-3RD RP	continuous	numeric-2.0	620	1942	-
13	V212	LIKE LEWIS-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	1257	1305	-
14	V213	LIKE LEWIS-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	952	1610	-
15	V214	LIKE LEWIS-3RD RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	755	1807	-
16	V215	DISLIKE LEWIS-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	1259	1303	-
17	V216	DISLIKE LEWIS-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	939	1623	-
18	V217	DISLIKE LEWIS-3RD RESP	discrete	numeric-2.0	870	1692	-
19	V218	LIKE CAQUETTE-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	1256	1306	-
20	V219	LIKE CAQUETTE-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	1002	1560	-
21	V220	LIKE CAQUETTE-3RD RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	863	1699	-
22	V221	DISLIKE CAQUETTE-1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-2.0	1257	1305	-
23	V222	DISLIKE CAQUETTE-2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-2.0	1019	1543	-
24	V223	DISLIKE CAQUETTE-3RD RSP	discrete	numeric-2.0	966	1596	-

### Group Federal Party Images

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V224	LIKE LIB-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
2	V225	LIKE LIB-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	1442	1120	-
3	V226	LIKE LIB-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	1440	1122	-
4	V227	LIKE LIB-CONT 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	592	1970	-
5	V228	LIKE LIB-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	574	1988	-
6	V229	LIKE LIB-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	574	1988	-
7	V230	DISLIKE LIB-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
8	V231	DISLIKE LIB-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	1365	1197	-
9	V232	DISLIKE LIB-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	1365	1197	-
10	V233	DISLIKE LIB-CONT 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	502	2060	-
11	V234	DISLIKE LIB-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	479	2083	-
12	V235	DISLIKE LIB-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	479	2083	-
13	V236	LIKE PC-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
14	V237	LIKE PC-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	933	1629	-
15	V238	LIKE PC-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	932	1630	-
16	V239	LIKE PC-CONT 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	243	2319	-



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
17	V240	LIKE PC-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	229	2333	-
18	V241	LIKE PC-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	229	2333	-
19	V242	DISLIKE PC-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
20	V243	DISLIKE PC-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	1108	1454	-
21	V244	DISLIKE PC-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	1106	1456	-
22	V245	DISLIKE PC-CONT 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	293	2269	-
23	V246	DISLIKE PC-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	286	2276	-
24	V247	DISLIKE PC-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	286	2276	-
25	V248	LIKE NDP-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
26	V249	LIKE NDP-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	848	1714	-
27	V250	LIKE NDP-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	849	1713	-
28	V251	LIKE NDP-CONT 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	281	2281	-
29	V252	LIKE NDP-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	267	2295	-
30	V253	LIKE NDP-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	267	2295	-
31	V254	DISLIKE NDP-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
32	V255	DISLIKE NDP-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	898	1664	-
33	V256	DISLIKE NDP-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	896	1666	-
34	V257	DISLIKE NDP-CONT 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	207	2355	-
35	V258	DISLIKE NDP-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	200	2362	-
36	V259	DISLIKE NDP-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	200	2362	-
37	V260	LIKE SC-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
38	V261	LIKE SC-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	513	2049	-
39	V262	LIKE SC-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	512	2050	-
40	V263	LIKE SC-CONT 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	139	2423	-
41	V264	LIKE SC-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	126	2436	-
42	V265	LIKE SC-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	126	2436	-
43	V266	DISLIKE SC-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
44	V267	DISLIKE SC-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	555	2007	-
45	V268	DISLIKE SC-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	554	2008	-
46	V269	DISLIKE SC-CONT 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	111	2451	-
47	V270	DISLIKE SC-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	98	2464	-
48	V271	DISLIKE SC-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	98	2464	-
49	V272	DIFF BTW PARTIES-FEDERAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	2469	93	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
50	V273	DIFF BTW PARTIES- PROVNCL	discrete	numeric-1.0	2438	124	-

### Group Provincial Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V274	PROV PARTY ID	discrete	numeric-1.0	2116	446	-
2	V275	PROV PARTY ID INTENSITY	discrete	numeric-1.0	2110	452	-
3	V276	FEEL CLOSER TO PROV PART	discrete	numeric-1.0	330	2232	-
4	V277	PROV PARTY CLOSER TO	discrete	numeric-1.0	93	2469	-
5	V278	ANY PAST PROV PARTY ID	discrete	numeric-1.0	2411	151	-
6	V279	PAST PROV PARTY ID	discrete	numeric-1.0	624	1938	-
7	V280	WHEN CHANGED PROV PARTY	discrete	numeric-2.0	546	2016	-
8	V281	WHY CHG PROV PTY-1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-2.0	574	1988	-
9	V282	WHY CHG PROV PTY-2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-2.0	78	2484	-

### Group Parents' Provincial Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V283	FATHER PROV PARTY?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2045	517	-
2	V284	FATHER PROV PARTY ID	discrete	numeric-1.0	1322	1240	-
3	V285	FATHER PROV PARTY INTENS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1312	1250	-
4	V286	MOTHER PROV PARTY?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1986	576	-
5	V287	MOTHER PROV PARTY ID	discrete	numeric-1.0	1034	1528	-
6	V288	MOTHER PROV PARTY INTENS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1030	1532	-
7	V289	PARENTS PROV POL INTERST	discrete	numeric-1.0	2184	378	-

### Group Party Likes and Dislikes

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V290	LIKE PROV PC-CONT 1ST RP	continuous	numeric-3.0	1860	702	-
2	V291	LIKE PROV PC-ART 1ST RP	discrete	numeric-1.0	670	1892	-
3	V292	LIKE PROV PC-INT 1ST RP	discrete	numeric-1.0	670	1892	-
4	V293	LIKE PROV PC-CONT 2ND RP	continuous	numeric-3.0	239	2323	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	V294	LIKE PROV PC-ART 2ND RP	discrete	numeric-1.0	230	2332	-
6	V295	LIKE PROV PC-INT 2ND RP	discrete	numeric-1.0	230	2332	-
7	V296	DISLIKE PR PC-CONT 1ST R	continuous	numeric-3.0	1860	702	-
8	V297	DISLIKE PR PC-ART 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	669	1893	-
9	V298	DISLIKE PR PC-INT 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	669	1893	-
10	V299	DISLIKE PR PC-CONT 2ND R	continuous	numeric-3.0	234	2328	-
11	V300	DISLIKE PR PC-ART 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	230	2332	-
12	V301	DISLIKE PR PC-INT 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	230	2332	-
13	V302	LIKE PROV LIB-CONT 1ST R	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
14	V303	LIKE PROV LIB-ART 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	949	1613	-
15	V304	LIKE PROV LIB-INT 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	948	1614	-
16	V305	LIKE PROV LIB-CONT 2ND R	continuous	numeric-3.0	282	2280	-
17	V306	LIKE PROV LIB-ART 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	273	2289	-
18	V307	LIKE PROV LIB-INT 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	274	2288	-
19	V308	DISLIKE PR LIB-CON 1ST R	continuous	numeric-3.0	2562	0	-
20	V309	DISLIKE PR LIB-ART 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	906	1656	-
21	V310	DISLIKE PR LIB-INT 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	906	1656	-
22	V311	DISLIKE PR LIB-CON 2ND R	continuous	numeric-3.0	249	2313	-
23	V312	DISLIKE PR LIB-ART 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	247	2315	-
24	V313	DISLIKE PR LIB-INT 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	247	2315	-
25	V314	LIKE PROV NDP-CONT 1ST R	continuous	numeric-3.0	1860	702	-
26	V315	LIKE PROV NDP-ART 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	556	2006	-
27	V316	LIKE PROV NDP-INT 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	556	2006	-
28	V317	LIKE PROV NDP-CONT 2ND R	continuous	numeric-3.0	196	2366	-
29	V318	LIKE PROV NDP-ART 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	189	2373	-
30	V319	LIKE PROV NDP-INT 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	189	2373	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
31	V320	DISLIKE PR NDP-CON 1ST R	continuous	numeric-3.0	1860	702	-
32	V321	DISLIKE PR NDP-ART 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	595	1967	-
33	V322	DISLIKE PR NDP-INT 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	595	1967	-
34	V323	DISLIKE PR NDP-CON 2ND R	continuous	numeric-3.0	205	2357	-
35	V324	DISLIKE PR NDP-ART 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	201	2361	-
36	V325	DISLIKE PR NDP-INT 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	201	2361	-
37	V326	LIKE PROV SC-CON 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	1246	1316	-
38	V327	LIKE PROV SC-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	289	2273	-
39	V328	LIKE PROV SC-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	289	2273	-
40	V329	LIKE PROV SC-CON 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	86	2476	-
41	V330	LIKE PROV SC-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	82	2480	-
42	V331	LIKE PROV SC-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	82	2480	-
43	V332	DISLIKE PR SC-CON 1ST RP	continuous	numeric-3.0	1246	1316	-
44	V333	DISLIKE PR SC-ART 1ST RP	discrete	numeric-1.0	377	2185	-
45	V334	DISLIKE PR SC-INT 1ST RP	discrete	numeric-1.0	377	2185	-
46	V335	DISLIKE PR SC-CON 2ND RP	continuous	numeric-3.0	107	2455	-
47	V336	DISLIKE PR SC-ART 2ND RP	discrete	numeric-1.0	103	2459	-
48	V337	DISLIKE PR SC-INT 2ND RP	discrete	numeric-1.0	103	2459	-
49	V338	LIKE PQ-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	702	1860	-
50	V339	LIKE PQ-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	272	2290	-
51	V340	LIKE PQ-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	272	2290	-
52	V341	LIKE PQ-CONT 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	100	2462	-
53	V342	LIKE PQ-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	99	2463	-
54	V343	LIKE PQ-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	99	2463	-
55	V344	DISLIKE PQ-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	702	1860	-
56	V345	DISLIKE PQ-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	393	2169	-
57	V346	DISLIKE PQ-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	393	2169	-
58	V347	DISLIKE PQ-CONT 2ND RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	116	2446	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
59	V348	DISLIKE PQ-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	111	2451	-
60	V349	DISLIKE PQ-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	111	2451	-
61	V350	LIKE UN NAT-CONT 1ST RSP	continuous	numeric-3.0	702	1860	-
62	V351	LIKE UN NAT-ART 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	119	2443	-
63	V352	LIKE UN NAT-INT 1ST RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	119	2443	-
64	V353	LIKE UN NAT-CONT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-3.0	28	2534	-
65	V354	LIKE UN NAT-ART 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	21	2541	-
66	V355	LIKE UN NAT-INT 2ND RSP	discrete	numeric-1.0	21	2541	-
67	V356	DISLIKE UN NAT-CON 1ST R	continuous	numeric-3.0	702	1860	-
68	V357	DISLIKE UN NAT-ART 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	181	2381	-
69	V358	DISLIKE UN NAT-INT 1ST R	discrete	numeric-1.0	181	2381	-
70	V359	DISLIKE UN NAT-CON 2ND R	continuous	numeric-3.0	49	2513	-
71	V360	DISLIKE UN NAT-ART 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	46	2516	-
72	V361	DISLIKE UN NAT-INT 2ND R	discrete	numeric-1.0	46	2516	-

### Group Provincial Voting Behaviour

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V362	PROV VOTER	discrete	numeric-1.0	2543	19	-
2	V363	ALWAYS VOTE SAME PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	2235	327	-
3	V364	PROV PARTY ALWYS VOTED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1211	1351	-
4	V365	CHOICE OR CONTEST	discrete	numeric-1.0	971	1591	-
5	V366	VOTED LAST PROV ELECTION	discrete	numeric-1.0	2272	290	-
6	V367	VOTE-LAST PROV ELECTION	discrete	numeric-1.0	1958	604	-
7	V368	WHY NOT VOTE-LST PROV EL	discrete	numeric-2.0	400	2162	-
8	V369	PROV PTY WD HAVE VOTED	discrete	numeric-1.0	269	2293	-
9	V370	MOST IMP-CAND,LDR,PARTY	discrete	numeric-1.0	2202	360	-
10	V371	2ND MOST IMP-LDR,CAND,PT	discrete	numeric-1.0	2115	447	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	V372	LEAST IMP-CAND,LDR,PARTY	discrete	numeric-1.0	2097	465	-
12	V373	ISSUES OR PERSONAL QUAL	discrete	numeric-1.0	1166	1396	-
13	V374	WHICH ISSUES-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	389	2173	-
14	V375	WHICH ISSUES-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	389	2173	-
15	V376	PARTY-APPRCH OR ISSUES	discrete	numeric-1.0	940	1622	-
16	V377	WHICH ISSUES-1ST RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	270	2292	-
17	V378	WHICH ISSUES-2ND RESP	continuous	numeric-2.0	270	2292	-
18	V379	WHY VOTE DIFF PROV-FED 1	continuous	numeric-2.0	454	2108	-
19	V380	WHY VOTE DIFF PROV-FED 2	continuous	numeric-2.0	454	2108	-

### Group Background Information

<b>Subgroup(s)</b>	R's Occupation , R's Marital Status , Head of Household's Occupation , R's Education , Demographic Information , Attitude Toward Parliament , R's Social Class and Religion , R' Birthplace, Where Lived and Language , R's Union Affiliations , R' Age, Income , Sex
--------------------	---

### Group R's Occupation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V381	OCCUPATION-SHORT FORM R	discrete	numeric-2.0	2561	1	-
2	V382	MANUAL/NON-MAN/FARM R	discrete	numeric-1.0	1402	1160	-
3	V383	EXECUTIVE POSITION? R	discrete	numeric-1.0	628	1934	-
4	V384	NO. OF EMPLOYEES R	continuous	numeric-2.0	177	2385	-
5	V385	NO. WORK UNDER R R	continuous	numeric-2.0	624	1938	-
6	V386	TYPE OF BUSINESS R	discrete	numeric-2.0	637	1925	-
7	V387	SPECIAL TRAINING R	discrete	numeric-1.0	258	2304	-
8	V388	SKILLED WORKER R	discrete	numeric-1.0	621	1941	-
9	V389	NO. WORK UNDER R R	continuous	numeric-2.0	613	1949	-
10	V390	YRS. EXPERIENCE R	continuous	numeric-2.0	634	1928	-
11	V391	OWN OR MANAGE FARM R	discrete	numeric-1.0	78	2484	-
12	V392	YRS. WORKED ON FARM R	discrete	numeric-2.0	10	2552	-
13	V393	NO. ACRES ON FARM R	discrete	numeric-2.0	69	2493	-
14	V394	MAIN PRODUCT OF FARM R	discrete	numeric-2.0	72	2490	-
15	V395	BLISHEN SCORE R	continuous	numeric-7.2	2562	0	-

### Group R's Marital Status

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V396	MARITAL STATUS R	discrete	numeric-1.0	2556	6	-

### Group Head of Household's Occupation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V397	STREAM CODE	discrete	numeric-1.0	1512	1050	-
2	V398	OCCUPATION-SHORT FORM HH	discrete	numeric-2.0	1475	1087	-
3	V399	MANUAL/NON-MAN/FARM HH	discrete	numeric-1.0	1462	1100	-
4	V400	EXECUTIVE POSITION HH	discrete	numeric-1.0	457	2105	-
5	V401	NO. OF EMPLOYEES HH	continuous	numeric-2.0	169	2393	-
6	V402	NO. WORK UNDER HH HH	continuous	numeric-2.0	406	2156	-
7	V403	TYPE OF BUSINESS HH	discrete	numeric-2.0	473	2089	-
8	V404	SPECIAL TRAINING HH	discrete	numeric-1.0	348	2214	-
9	V405	SKILLED WORKER HH	discrete	numeric-1.0	777	1785	-
10	V406	NO. WORK UNDER HH HH	continuous	numeric-2.0	720	1842	-
11	V407	YRS. EXPERIENCE HH	continuous	numeric-2.0	755	1807	-
12	V408	OWN OR MANAGE FARM HH	discrete	numeric-1.0	150	2412	-
13	V409	YRS. WORKED ON FARM HH	discrete	numeric-2.0	6	2556	-
14	V410	NO. ACRES ON FARM HH	discrete	numeric-2.0	132	2430	-
15	V411	MAIN PRODUCT OF FARM HH	discrete	numeric-2.0	145	2417	-
16	V412	BLISHEN SCORE HH	continuous	numeric-7.2	2562	0	-

### Group R's Education

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V413	YRS. SCHOOL ATTENDED	continuous	numeric-2.0	2552	10	-
2	V414	HIGHEST SCHOOL GRADE	discrete	numeric-2.0	2518	44	-
3	V415	HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETED	discrete	numeric-1.0	2544	18	-
4	V416	POST SECONDARY EDUCATION	discrete	numeric-1.0	1202	1360	-
5	V417	DEGREE OBTAINED	discrete	numeric-1.0	733	1829	-

### Group Demographic Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V418	FATHER LIVING OR YR DIED	discrete	numeric-1.0	2029	533	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	V419	FATHER AGE OR AGE DIED	continuous	numeric-2.0	1145	1417	-
3	V420	FATHER OCCUP-BLISHEN SCL	continuous	numeric-2.0	1163	1399	-
4	V421	FATHER RESIDENCE	discrete	numeric-1.0	1173	1389	-
5	V422	FATHER OWN FARM	discrete	numeric-1.0	356	2206	-
6	V423	FATHER BORN IN CANADA	discrete	numeric-1.0	1175	1387	-
7	V424	FATHER EDUCATION	continuous	numeric-2.0	812	1750	-
8	V425	GRDFATHER LIV OR YR DIED	discrete	numeric-1.0	1130	1432	-
9	V426	GRDFATHER AGE OR AGE DIE	continuous	numeric-2.0	704	1858	-
10	V427	GRDFATHER OCCUP-BLISHEN	continuous	numeric-2.0	947	1615	-
11	V428	GRDFATHER RESIDENCE	discrete	numeric-1.0	1065	1497	-
12	V429	GRDFATHER OWN FARM	discrete	numeric-1.0	486	2076	-
13	V430	GRDFATHER BORN IN CANADA	discrete	numeric-1.0	1094	1468	-
14	V431	GRDFATHER EDUCATION	discrete	numeric-2.0	209	2353	-
15	V432	R HAVE CHILDREN	discrete	numeric-1.0	924	1638	-
16	V433	SON OR DAUGHTER	discrete	numeric-1.0	799	1763	-
17	V434	AGE OF OLDEST SON	continuous	numeric-2.0	641	1921	-
18	V435	SON OCCUP-BLISHEN SCALE	continuous	numeric-2.0	362	2200	-

### Group Attitude Toward Parliament

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V436	MP-VOTERS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1206	1356	-
2	V437	MP-PARTY	discrete	numeric-1.0	1197	1365	-
3	V438	MP-CIVIL SERVICE	discrete	numeric-1.0	1117	1445	-
4	V439	MP-GROUPS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1149	1413	-
5	V440	MP-FRIENDS AND ADVISERS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1171	1391	-
6	V441	MP-OWN BELIEFS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1190	1372	-
7	V442	R HAS WRITTEN MP	discrete	numeric-1.0	1241	1321	-
8	V443	MP DO ANYTHING FOR R	discrete	numeric-1.0	1239	1323	-
9	V444	WHAT MP HAS DONE FOR R	discrete	numeric-2.0	141	2421	-
10	V445	MP WOULD READ LETTER	discrete	numeric-1.0	1068	1494	-
11	V446	MP WOULD ANSWER LETTER	discrete	numeric-1.0	721	1841	-
12	V447	MP WOULD ACT ON PROBLEM	discrete	numeric-1.0	700	1862	-



**Group R's Social Class and Religion**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V448	R THINKS OF SOCIAL CLASS	discrete	numeric-1.0	2454	108	-
2	V449	SUBJECTIVE SOCIAL CLASS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1132	1430	-
3	V450	SUBJ SOC CLASS-PROBE	discrete	numeric-1.0	1317	1245	-
4	V451	FEEL CLOSE TO CLASS	discrete	numeric-1.0	2252	310	-
5	V452	CONFLICT BETWEEN CLASSES	discrete	numeric-1.0	2433	129	-
6	V453	RELIGION	continuous	numeric-2.0	2426	136	-
7	V454	FREQ OF CHURCH ATTEND	discrete	numeric-1.0	2419	143	-
8	V455	SUBJECTIVE RELIGIOUSITY	discrete	numeric-1.0	2401	161	-

**Group R' Birthplace, Where Lived and Language**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V456	COUNTRY OF BIRTH	discrete	numeric-2.0	2562	0	-
2	V457	YEAR CAME TO CANADA	continuous	numeric-2.0	395	2167	-
3	V458	HOW LONG LIVED IN PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
4	V459	LIVED IN OTHER PROV	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
5	V460	LIVED IN NEWFOUNDLAND	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
6	V461	LIVED IN PRINCE EDW ISL	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
7	V462	LIVED IN NOVA SCOTIA	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
8	V463	LIVED IN NEW BRUNSWICK	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
9	V464	LIVED IN QUEBEC	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
10	V465	LIVED IN ONTARIO	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
11	V466	LIVED IN MANITOBA	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
12	V467	LIVED IN SASKATCHEWAN	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
13	V468	LIVED IN ALBERTA	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
14	V469	LIVED IN BRITISH COLUMB	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
15	V470	ETHNICITY	continuous	numeric-2.0	2410	152	-
16	V471	LANGUAGE SPOKEN AS CHILD	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
17	V472	LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
18	V473	SPEAK OTHER LANGUAGES	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
19	V474	GREW UP CITY, FARM, ETC.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
20	V475	GREW UP NEAR LARGE CITY	discrete	numeric-1.0	1694	868	-

### Group R's Union Affiliations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V476	TRADE UNION MEMBERSHIP	discrete	numeric-2.0	2543	19	-
2	V477	FAMILY UNION MEMBERSHIP	discrete	numeric-1.0	2501	61	-

### Group R' Age, Income , Sex

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	V478	AGE	continuous	numeric-2.0	2550	12	-
2	V479	INCOME	discrete	numeric-1.0	2501	61	-
3	V480	SEX	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-
4	V481	LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	0	-

# Variables Description

**Dataset contains 481 variable(s)**

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # V1: ICPSR STUDY NUMBER 7379

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 7379-7379] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7379		2562	100.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V2: RESPONDENT ID NUMBER

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9252] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5016.678 /-] [StdDev=2255.765 /-]

### # V3: HALF SAMPLE NUMBER

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HALF SAMPLE ONE	1300	50.7%
2	HALF SAMPLE TWO	1262	49.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V4: NATIONAL SAMPLE WEIGHT

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.125-1250] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.125		97	3.8%
0.5		416	16.2%
1		1347	52.6%
1.25		702	27.4%
125	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	0	
500	NEWFOUNDLAND, NOVA SCOTIA, NEW BRUNSWICK	0	
1000	QUEBEC, MANITOBA, SASKATCHEWAN, ALBERTA, BRITISH	0	
1250	ONTARIO	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V5: MARITIME SUB-SAMPLE WGT

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-100] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NON-MARITIME PROVINCE	2049	80.0%
0.25		97	3.8%
1		416	16.2%
25	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	0	
100	NEWFOUNDLAND, NOVA SCOTIA, NEW BRUNSWICK	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*











### # V6: PROVINCE

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=\*]

# File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

## # V6: PROVINCE

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NEWFOUNDLAND	102	 4.0%
1	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	97	 3.8%
2	NOVA SCOTIA	180	 7.0%
3	NEW BRUNSWICK	134	 5.2%
4	QUEBEC	702	 27.4%
5	ONTARIO	702	 27.4%
6	MANITOBA	113	 4.4%
7	SASKATCHEWAN	101	 3.9%
8	ALBERTA	179	 7.0%
9	BRITISH COLUMBIA	252	 9.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # V7: CONSTITUENCY

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-908] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=488.067 /-] [StdDev=224.988 /-]

## # V8: POLL










Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-506] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=112.168 /-] [StdDev=74.011 /-]

## # V9: COMMUNITY SIZE

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]





Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OVER 500,000 (CITY CORE)	354	 13.8%
2	OVER 500,000 (ADJACENT SUBURB)	258	 10.1%
3	OVER 500,000 (DISTANT SUBURB)	228	 8.9%
4	100,000 - 500,000	378	 14.8%
5	30,000 - 100,000	227	 8.9%
6	10,000 - 30,000	150	 5.9%
7	1,000 - 10,000	298	 11.6%
8	RURAL NON-FARM	507	 19.8%
9	FARM	162	 6.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # V10: WEEK OF INTERVIEW

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEEK OF AUGUST 4, 1974	8	 0.3%
2	WEEK OF AUGUST 11, 1974	75	 2.9%
3	WEEK OF AUGUST 18, 1974	153	 6.0%
4	WEEK OF AUGUST 25, 1974	315	 12.3%

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # V10: WEEK OF INTERVIEW

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	WEEK OF SEPTEMBER 1, 1974	373	14.6%
6	WEEK OF SEPTEMBER 8, 1974	396	15.5%
7	WEEK OF SEPTEMBER 15, 1974	371	14.5%
8	WEEK OF SEPTEMBER 22, 1974	357	13.9%
9	WEEK OF SEPTEMBER 29, 1974	238	9.3%
10	WEEK OF OCTOBER 6, 1974	111	4.3%
11	WEEK OF OCTOBER 13, 1974	32	1.2%
12	WEEK OF OCTOBER 20, 1974	26	1.0%
13	WEEK OF OCTOBER 27, 1974	37	1.4%
14	WEEK OF NOVEMBER 3, 1974	33	1.3%
15	WEEK OF NOVEMBER 10, 1974	8	0.3%
16	WEEK OF NOVEMBER 17, 1974	11	0.4%
17	WEEK OF NOVEMBER 24, 1974	5	0.2%
18	WEEK OF DECEMBER 1, 1974	3	0.1%
19	DECEMBER 8 TO DECEMBER 21, 1974	10	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V11: INTEREST IN ELECTION

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2559 /-] [Invalid=3 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY INTERESTED	750	29.3%
2	FAIRLY INTERESTED	953	37.2%
3	SLIGHTLY INTERESTED	618	24.2%
4	NOT AT ALL INTERESTED	238	9.3%
8	DK	3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V12: INTEREST IN POLITICS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2558 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY CLOSELY	353	13.8%
2	FAIRLY CLOSELY	1121	43.8%
3	NOT MUCH AT ALL	1084	42.4%
8	DK	4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.






### # V13: PAY MORE ATTN TO FED-PRV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1459 /-] [Invalid=1103 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL	447	30.6%
2	PROVINCIAL	279	19.1%

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final








### # V13: PAY MORE ATTN TO FED-PRV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	LOCAL	114	 7.8%
4	ALL ABOUT EQUALLY	397	 27.2%
5	FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL EQUALLY	179	 12.3%
6	FEDERAL AND LOCAL EQUALLY	22	 1.5%
7	PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL EQUALLY	21	 1.4%
8	DK	15	
9	INAP	1088	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V14: LEVEL OF GOVT MOST IMP





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2396 /-] [Invalid=166 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL	812	 33.9%
2	PROVINCIAL	781	 32.6%
3	LOCAL	237	 9.9%
4	ALL ABOUT EQUALLY	353	 14.7%
5	FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL EQUALLY	162	 6.8%
6	FEDERAL AND LOCAL EQUALLY	15	 0.6%
7	PROVINCIAL AND LOCAL EQUALLY	36	 1.5%
8	DK	166	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V15: MATERIAL SATISFACTION




Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1294 /-] [Invalid=1268 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY SATISFIED	251	 19.4%
2	FAIRLY SATISFIED	603	 46.6%
3	LITTLE DISSATISFIED	291	 22.5%
4	VERY DISSATISFIED	149	 11.5%
8	DK	6	
9	INAP	1262	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V16: GOVT IMPACT ON MAT SATIS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1249 /-] [Invalid=1313 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GREAT DEAL	474	 38.0%
2	SOMETHING	403	 32.3%
3	NOT MUCH	372	 29.8%
8	DK	45	
9	INAP	1268	

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # V16: GOVT IMPACT ON MAT SATIS

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V17: MATERIAL STATE IN 4 YRS

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1300 /-] [Invalid=1262 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BETTER OFF	305	23.5%
2	WORSE OFF	387	29.8%
3	ABOUT THE SAME	504	38.8%
4	DK	104	8.0%
9	INAP	1262	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V18: ECON COMP WITH GROW UP

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1287 /-] [Invalid=1275 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MUCH BETTER OFF NOW	471	36.6%
2	SOMEWHAT BETTER OFF NOW	379	29.4%
3	ABOUT THE SAME	253	19.7%
4	SOMEWHAT WORSE OFF NOW	129	10.0%
5	MUCH WORSE OFF NOW	55	4.3%
8	DK	13	
9	INAP	1262	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V19: LIFE SATISFACTION

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1290 /-] [Invalid=1272 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY SATISFIED	436	33.8%
2	FAIRLY SATISFIED	634	49.1%
3	LITTLE DISSATISFIED	168	13.0%
4	VERY DISSATISFIED	52	4.0%
8	DK	10	
9	INAP	1262	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V20: GOVT IMPACT ON LIFE SAT

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1253 /-] [Invalid=1309 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GREAT DEAL	272	21.7%
2	SOMETHING	449	35.8%
3	NOT MUCH	532	42.5%
8	DK	37	



## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # V20: GOVT IMPACT ON LIFE SAT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	INAP	1272	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V21: MP'S LOSE TOUCH

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1262 /-] [Invalid=1300 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	61	4.8%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	220	17.4%
2	AGREE	566	44.8%
3	DISAGREE	371	29.4%
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	44	3.5%
9	INAP	1300	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V22: GOVT DOESNT CARE

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1262 /-] [Invalid=1300 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	63	5.0%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	187	14.8%
2	AGREE	514	40.7%
3	DISAGREE	450	35.7%
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	48	3.8%
9	INAP	1300	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V23: POLITICS COMPLICATED

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1262 /-] [Invalid=1300 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	23	1.8%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	254	20.1%
2	AGREE	576	45.6%
3	DISAGREE	345	27.3%
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	64	5.1%
9	INAP	1300	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V24: DONT HAVE SAY

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1262 /-] [Invalid=1300 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	36	2.9%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	206	16.3%

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final






### # V24: DONT HAVE SAY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	AGREE	474	 37.6%
3	DISAGREE	477	 37.8%
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	69	 5.5%
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V25: SO MANY VOTERS





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1262 /-] [Invalid=1300 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	18	 1.4%
1	STRONGLY AGREE	55	 4.4%
2	AGREE	136	 10.8%
3	DISAGREE	625	 49.5%
4	STRONGLY DISAGREE	428	 33.9%
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V26: READ NEWSPAPER-ELECTION





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1259 /-] [Invalid=1303 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	531	 42.2%
2	SOMETIMES	343	 27.2%
3	SELDOM	225	 17.9%
4	NEVER	160	 12.7%
8	DK	3	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V27: DISCUSS POLITICS-ELECT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1259 /-] [Invalid=1303 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	358	 28.4%
2	SOMETIMES	450	 35.7%
3	SELDOM	276	 21.9%
4	NEVER	175	 13.9%
8	DK	3	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V28: CONVINCE FRIENDS-ELECT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1261 /-] [Invalid=1301 /-]

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # V28: CONVINCE FRIENDS-ELECT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	117	9.3%
2	SOMETIMES	155	12.3%
3	SELDOM	140	11.1%
4	NEVER	849	67.3%
8	DK	1	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V29: WORK IN COMMUNITY-ELECT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1257 /-] [Invalid=1305 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	84	6.7%
2	SOMETIMES	210	16.7%
3	SELDOM	163	13.0%
4	NEVER	800	63.6%
8	DK	5	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V30: ATTEND MEETING-ELECT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1260 /-] [Invalid=1302 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	74	5.9%
2	SOMETIMES	187	14.8%
3	SELDOM	164	13.0%
4	NEVER	835	66.3%
8	DK	2	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V31: CONTACT OFFICIALS-ELECT

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1260 /-] [Invalid=1302 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	46	3.7%
2	SOMETIMES	164	13.0%
3	SELDOM	163	12.9%
4	NEVER	887	70.4%
8	DK	2	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # V32: CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY-ELECT

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1260 /-] [Invalid=1302 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	47	3.7%
2	SOMETIMES	98	7.8%
3	SELDOM	72	5.7%
4	NEVER	1043	82.8%
8	DK	2	
9	INAP	1300	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V33: SIGN OR STICKER-ELECTION

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1259 /-] [Invalid=1303 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	74	5.9%
2	SOMETIMES	131	10.4%
3	SELDOM	59	4.7%
4	NEVER	995	79.0%
8	DK	3	
9	INAP	1300	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V34: READ NEWSPAPER-FED

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1255 /-] [Invalid=1307 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	505	40.2%
2	SOMETIMES	363	28.9%
3	SELDOM	217	17.3%
4	NEVER	170	13.5%
8	DK	7	
9	INAP	1300	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V35: DISCUSS POLITICS-FED

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1254 /-] [Invalid=1308 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	292	23.3%
2	SOMETIMES	462	36.8%
3	SELDOM	275	21.9%
4	NEVER	225	17.9%
8	DK	8	
9	INAP	1300	

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # V35: DISCUSS POLITICS-FED

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V36: CONVINCE FRIENDS-FED

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1253 /-] [Invalid=1309 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	103	8.2%
2	SOMETIMES	157	12.5%
3	SELDOM	126	10.1%
4	NEVER	867	69.2%
8	DK	9	
9	INAP	1300	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V37: WORK IN COMMUNITY-FED

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1250 /-] [Invalid=1312 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	66	5.3%
2	SOMETIMES	206	16.5%
3	SELDOM	162	13.0%
4	NEVER	816	65.3%
8	DK	12	
9	INAP	1300	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V38: ATTEND MEETING-FED

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1253 /-] [Invalid=1309 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	67	5.3%
2	SOMETIMES	200	16.0%
3	SELDOM	152	12.1%
4	NEVER	834	66.6%
8	DK	9	
9	INAP	1300	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V39: CONTACT OFFICIALS-FED

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=1250 /-] [Invalid=1312 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	39	3.1%
2	SOMETIMES	143	11.4%
3	SELDOM	176	14.1%
4	NEVER	892	71.4%

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # V39: CONTACT OFFICIALS-FED

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	DK	12	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V40: CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY-FED

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1250 /-] [Invalid=1312 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	48	3.8%
2	SOMETIMES	93	7.4%
3	SELDOM	74	5.9%
4	NEVER	1035	82.8%
8	DK	12	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V41: SIGN OR STICKER-FED

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1247 /-] [Invalid=1315 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	62	5.0%
2	SOMETIMES	117	9.4%
3	SELDOM	54	4.3%
4	NEVER	1014	81.3%
8	DK	15	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V42: READ NEWSPAPERS-PROV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1256 /-] [Invalid=1306 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	525	41.8%
2	SOMETIMES	361	28.7%
3	SELDOM	204	16.2%
4	NEVER	166	13.2%
8	DK	6	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.


### # V43: DISCUSS POLITICS-PROV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1255 /-] [Invalid=1307 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	326	26.0%

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final





### # V43: DISCUSS POLITICS-PROV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	SOMETIMES	452	 36.0%
3	SELDOM	250	 19.9%
4	NEVER	227	 18.1%
8	DK	7	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V44: CONVINCE FRIENDS-PROV





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1250 /-] [Invalid=1312 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	113	 9.0%
2	SOMETIMES	168	 13.4%
3	SELDOM	115	 9.2%
4	NEVER	854	 68.3%
8	DK	12	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V45: WORK IN COMMUNITY-PROV





Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1250 /-] [Invalid=1312 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	74	 5.9%
2	SOMETIMES	205	 16.4%
3	SELDOM	147	 11.8%
4	NEVER	824	 65.9%
8	DK	12	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V46: ATTEND MEETING-PROV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1251 /-] [Invalid=1311 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	72	 5.8%
2	SOMETIMES	197	 15.7%
3	SELDOM	150	 12.0%
4	NEVER	832	 66.5%
8	DK	11	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V47: CONTACT OFFICIALS-PROV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
-------------	--

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # V47: CONTACT OFFICIALS-PROV

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1251 /-] [Invalid=1311 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	40	3.2%
2	SOMETIMES	149	11.9%
3	SELDOM	148	11.8%
4	NEVER	914	73.1%
8	DK	11	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V48: CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY-PROV

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1252 /-] [Invalid=1310 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	47	3.8%
2	SOMETIMES	94	7.5%
3	SELDOM	71	5.7%
4	NEVER	1040	83.1%
8	DK	10	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V49: SIGN OR STICKER-PROV

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1246 /-] [Invalid=1316 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	65	5.2%
2	SOMETIMES	122	9.8%
3	SELDOM	50	4.0%
4	NEVER	1009	81.0%
8	DK	16	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V50: READ NEWSPAPER-LOCAL

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1253 /-] [Invalid=1309 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	489	39.0%
2	SOMETIMES	330	26.3%
3	SELDOM	213	17.0%
4	NEVER	221	17.6%
8	DK	9	
9	INAP	1300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



# V51: DISCUSS POLITICS-LOCAL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1251 /-] [Invalid=1311 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	293	<div></div> 23.4%
2	SOMETIMES	401	<div></div> 32.1%
3	SELDOM	267	<div></div> 21.3%
4	NEVER	290	<div></div> 23.2%
8	DK	11	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V52: CONVINCE FRIENDS-LOCAL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1250 /-] [Invalid=1312 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	85	<div></div> 6.8%
2	SOMETIMES	149	<div></div> 11.9%
3	SELDOM	119	<div></div> 9.5%
4	NEVER	897	<div></div> 71.8%
8	DK	12	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V53: WORK IN COMMUNITY-LOCAL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1248 /-] [Invalid=1314 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	95	<div></div> 7.6%
2	SOMETIMES	230	<div></div> 18.4%
3	SELDOM	144	<div></div> 11.5%
4	NEVER	779	<div></div> 62.4%
8	DK	14	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V54: ATTEND MEETING-LOCAL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1248 /-] [Invalid=1314 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	70	<div></div> 5.6%
2	SOMETIMES	153	<div></div> 12.3%
3	SELDOM	149	<div></div> 11.9%
4	NEVER	876	<div></div> 70.2%
8	DK	14	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V55: CONTACT OFFICIALS-LOCAL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1249 /-] [Invalid=1313 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	55	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2	SOMETIMES	174	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
3	SELDOM	136	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
4	NEVER	884	<div><div></div></div> 70.8%
8	DK	13	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V56: CAMPAIGN ACTIVITY-LOCAL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1247 /-] [Invalid=1315 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	38	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
2	SOMETIMES	64	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
3	SELDOM	76	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
4	NEVER	1069	<div><div></div></div> 85.7%
8	DK	15	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V57: SIGN OR STICKER-LOCAL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1246 /-] [Invalid=1316 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OFTEN	44	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
2	SOMETIMES	81	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
3	SELDOM	46	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
4	NEVER	1075	<div><div></div></div> 86.3%
8	DK	16	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V58: MAP-1ST RESP PLACEMENT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2093 /-] [Invalid=469 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PLACED, AND WRITTEN ON PLACE	551	<div><div></div></div> 26.3%
2	PLACED REGIONAL OR PROVINCIAL REFERENCE BUT NOT	134	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
3	GENERAL, NON-PLACED	1408	<div><div></div></div> 67.3%
0	NO RESPONSE	469	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V59: MAP-1ST RESPONSE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2230] [Missing=*/0]		

# V59: MAP-1ST RESPONSE			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2092 /-] [Invalid=470 /-] [Mean=1757.758 /-] [StdDev=476.87 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO RESPONSE, NA	470	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V60: MAP-2ND RESP PLACEMENT			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1650 /-] [Invalid=912 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PLACED, AND WRITTEN ON PLACE	500	<div></div> 30.3%
2	PLACED REGIONAL OR PROVINCIAL REFERENCE BUT NOT	124	<div></div> 7.5%
3	GENERAL, NON-PLACED	1026	<div></div> 62.2%
0	NO RESPONSE	912	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V61: MAP-2ND RESPONSE			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2229] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1650 /-] [Invalid=912 /-] [Mean=1700.572 /-] [StdDev=546.455 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO RESPONSE, NA	912	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V62: MAP-3RD RESP PLACEMENT			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1334 /-] [Invalid=1228 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PLACED, AND WRITTEN ON PLACE	438	<div></div> 32.8%
2	PLACED REGIONAL OR PROVINCIAL REFERENCE BUT NOT	84	<div></div> 6.3%
3	GENERAL, NON-PLACED	812	<div></div> 60.9%
0	NO RESPONSE	1228	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V63: MAP-3RD RESPONSE			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2229] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1334 /-] [Invalid=1228 /-] [Mean=1659.69 /-] [StdDev=603.261 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO RESPONSE, NA	1228	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V64: MAP-4TH RESP PLACEMENT			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1079 /-] [Invalid=1483 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PLACED, AND WRITTEN ON PLACE	381	<div></div> 35.3%
2	PLACED REGIONAL OR PROVINCIAL REFERENCE BUT NOT	69	<div></div> 6.4%

# V64: MAP-4TH RESP PLACEMENT			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	GENERAL, NON-PLACED	629	<div></div> 58.3%
0	NO RESPONSE	1483	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V65: MAP-4TH RESPONSE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2229] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1079 -/] [Invalid=1483 -/] [Mean=1641.537 -/] [StdDev=603.679 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO RESPONSE, NA	1483	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V66: MAP-5TH RESP PLACEMENT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=837 -/] [Invalid=1725 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PLACED, AND WRITTEN ON PLACE	319	<div></div> 38.1%
2	PLACED REGIONAL OR PROVINCIAL REFERENCE BUT NOT	55	<div></div> 6.6%
3	GENERAL, NON-PLACED	463	<div></div> 55.3%
0	NO RESPONSE	1725	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V67: MAP-5TH RESPONSE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2229] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=835 -/] [Invalid=1727 -/] [Mean=1606.553 -/] [StdDev=654.128 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO RESPONSE, NA	1727	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V68: REGION R LIVES IN			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2533 -/] [Invalid=29 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	DOES NOT THINK OF CANADA AS BEING DIVIDED INTO	752	<div></div> 100.0%
98	DK	0	
99	DONT KNOW WHICH REGIONS	29	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V69: OTHER REGIONS OF CANADA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9999] [Missing=*/8888/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1432 -/] [Invalid=1130 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	THERE ARE NO OTHER REGIONS, NONE	23	<div></div> 100.0%
8888	DK, NOT SURE	104	
9999	NA; INAP	1026	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V70: FEEL CLOSER TO FED-PROV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2514 /-] [Invalid=48 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL	718	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
2	PROVINCIAL	1196	<div><div></div></div> 47.6%
3	BOTH EQUALLY	385	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
4	NEITHER	215	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
8	DK	48	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V71: PROV GOVTS MORE POWERFUL			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9999] [Missing=*/8888/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2026 /-] [Invalid=536 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL	650	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
8888	DK, NO OPINION	478	
9999	DONT KNOW WHICH PROVINCES	58	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V72: FEEL ABOUT PROV			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2521 /-] [Invalid=41 /-] [Mean=78.139 /-] [StdDev=20.001 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	41	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V73: PROV AS PLACE TO LIVE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2528 /-] [Invalid=34 /-] [Mean=83.105 /-] [StdDev=17.942 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	34	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V74: FEEL ABOUT GOVT OF PROV			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2455 /-] [Invalid=107 /-] [Mean=55.569 /-] [StdDev=24.52 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	107	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V75: FEEL ABOUT REGION			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1137 /-] [Invalid=1425 /-] [Mean=74.574 /-] [StdDev=19.518 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
3		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

# V75: FEEL ABOUT REGION			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
20		12	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
25		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
30		13	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
40		25	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
45		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
50		137	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
60		79	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
65		7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
70		170	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
75		47	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
80		255	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
82		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
85		20	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
90		172	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
95		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
97		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99		170	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
0	NO OPINION; INAP	1425	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V76: REGION AS PLACE TO LIVE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1140 /-] [Invalid=1422 /-] [Mean=78.683 /-] [StdDev=19.164 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	1422	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V77: FEEL ABOUT CANADA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2523 /-] [Invalid=39 /-] [Mean=83.818 /-] [StdDev=18.128 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	39	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V78: CANADA AS A PLACE TO LIV			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2511 /-] [Invalid=51 /-] [Mean=87.682 /-] [StdDev=15.366 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	51	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V79: FEEL ABOUT GOVT OF CAN			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2483 /-] [Invalid=79 /-] [Mean=62.657 /-] [StdDev=22.363 /-]		

# V79: FEEL ABOUT GOVT OF CAN			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	79	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V80: FEEL ABOUT U.S.A.			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2347 /-] [Invalid=215 /-] [Mean=48.473 /-] [StdDev=23.436 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	215	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V81: FEEL ABOUT ENGLAND			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2191 /-] [Invalid=371 /-] [Mean=50.954 /-] [StdDev=22.852 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V82: FEEL ABOUT FRANCE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2099 /-] [Invalid=463 /-] [Mean=43.258 /-] [StdDev=20.989 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	463	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V83: PROV BEAR MORE THAN SHR			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9999] [Missing=*/8888/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1853 /-] [Invalid=709 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL	706	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
8888	DK, NO OPINION	660	
9999	DONT KNOW WHICH PROVINCES	49	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V84: PROV BENEFIT MORE TH SHR			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9999] [Missing=*/8888/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1868 /-] [Invalid=694 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO GOVERNMENTS MORE POWERFUL	682	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
8888	DK, NO OPINION	641	
9999	DONT KNOW WHICH PROVINCES	53	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V85: GOVT RESP FOR EDUCATION			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2383 /-] [Invalid=179 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL	371	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%

# V85: GOVT RESP FOR EDUCATION			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	PROVINCIAL	1635	<div></div> 68.6%
3	BOTH EQUALLY	377	<div></div> 15.8%
0	NO OPINION	27	
8	DK	152	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V86: GOVT RESP FOREIGN AFF			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2318 /-] [Invalid=244 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL	2065	<div></div> 89.1%
2	PROVINCIAL	77	<div></div> 3.3%
3	BOTH EQUALLY	176	<div></div> 7.6%
0	NO OPINION	32	
8	DK	212	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V87: GOVT RESP FOR LOCAL GOVT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2296 /-] [Invalid=266 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL	189	<div></div> 8.2%
2	PROVINCIAL	1838	<div></div> 80.1%
3	BOTH EQUALLY	269	<div></div> 11.7%
0	NO OPINION	51	
8	DK	215	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V88: GOVT RESP FOR HOSP INS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2421 /-] [Invalid=141 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL	651	<div></div> 26.9%
2	PROVINCIAL	1510	<div></div> 62.4%
3	BOTH EQUALLY	260	<div></div> 10.7%
0	NO OPINION	15	
8	DK	126	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V89: GOVT RESP UNEMPL INS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2377 /-] [Invalid=185 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL	1493	<div></div> 62.8%
2	PROVINCIAL	628	<div></div> 26.4%
3	BOTH EQUALLY	256	<div></div> 10.8%



# V89: GOVT RESP UNEMPL INS			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	30	
8	DK	155	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V90: GOVT RESP FOR ECONOMY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2370 /-] [Invalid=192 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	FEDERAL	1643	<div></div> 69.3%
2	PROVINCIAL	184	<div></div> 7.8%
3	BOTH EQUALLY	543	<div></div> 22.9%
0	NO OPINION	31	
8	DK	161	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V91: SAME PARTY RUN FED-PROV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2314 /-] [Invalid=248 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SAME PARTY SHOULD NOT CONTROL BOTH LEVELS OF	624	<div></div> 27.0%
2	MAKES NO DIFFERENCE	1659	<div></div> 71.7%
3	SAME PARTY SHOULD CONTROL BOTH	31	<div></div> 1.3%
0	NO OPINION	105	
8	DK	143	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V92: SAME PARTY RUN-INTENSITY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=615 /-] [Invalid=1947 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY STRONGLY	251	<div></div> 40.8%
2	FAIRLY STRONGLY	289	<div></div> 47.0%
3	NOT VERY STRONGLY	75	<div></div> 12.2%
8	DK	9	
9	INAP	1938	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V93: MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-88] [Missing=*/88]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2278 /-] [Invalid=284 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO IMPORTANT ISSUES	478	<div></div> 100.0%
88	DK	284	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V94: FEEL ABOUT IMP ISSUE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/888/999]		

# V94: FEEL ABOUT IMP ISSUE			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1776 /-] [Invalid=786 /-] [Mean=279.677 /-] [StdDev=249.297 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	DK, NOT STATED	24	
999	INAP	762	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V95: ARTICULATENESS-1ST ISSUE			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1776 /-] [Invalid=786 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	130	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
2	MEDIUM	738	<div><div></div></div> 41.6%
3	LOW	908	<div><div></div></div> 51.1%
8	DK	24	
9	INAP	762	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V96: PARTY CLOSEST ON ISSUE			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1627 /-] [Invalid=935 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NONE	190	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
1	LIBERALS	781	<div><div></div></div> 48.0%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVES	411	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
3	N	165	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	53	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
5	OTHER	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
8	DK	173	
9	INAP	762	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V97: ISSUE IMPT IN VOTING			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1735 /-] [Invalid=827 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	988	<div><div></div></div> 56.9%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	524	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	223	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
8	DK	65	
9	INAP	762	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V98: 2ND MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/88/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1698 /-] [Invalid=864 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OTHER ISSUE	842	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%

# V98: 2ND MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	DK	102	
99	INAP	762	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V99: FEEL ABOUT 2ND ISSUE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/888/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=836 /-] [Invalid=1726 /-] [Mean=468.087 /-] [StdDev=231.447 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
888	DK, NOT STATED	20	
999	INAP	1706	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V100: ARTICULATENESS-2ND ISSUE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=836 /-] [Invalid=1726 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	61	<div></div> 7.3%
2	MEDIUM	391	<div></div> 46.8%
3	LOW	384	<div></div> 45.9%
8	DK	20	
9	INAP	1706	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V101: PARTY CLOSEST ON 2ND ISS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=790 /-] [Invalid=1772 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NONE	102	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
1	LIBERALS	374	<div><div></div></div> 47.3%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVES	190	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
3	N	95	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	21	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
5	OTHER	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
8	DK	66	
9	INAP	1706	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V102: 2ND ISSUE IMP IN VOTING			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=838 /-] [Invalid=1724 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	429	<div><div></div></div> 51.2%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	287	<div><div></div></div> 34.2%
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	122	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
8	DK	18	
9	INAP	1706	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V103: BILINGUALISM-LANGUAGE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1033 /-] [Invalid=1529 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	RESPONSE OF LANGUAGE GENERALLY, RESPONSE OF	179	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
2	FRENCH SOLE RESPONSE (BILINGUALISM IS SPEAKING	141	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
3	ENGLISH SOLE RESPONSE (BILINGUALISM IS SPEAKING	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
4	FRENCH AND ENGLISH (LES DEUX LANGUES)	331	<div><div></div></div> 32.0%
5	OTHER LANGUAGE, ENGLISH OR FRENCH AND OTHER	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
6	FRENCH SOLE RESPONSE, SPEAKING FRENCH	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
8	SPEAKING TWO LANGUAGES (DEUX LANGUES)	98	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
19	GENERAL REFERENCE TO SCHOOLS	31	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
20	LEARNING FRENCH IN SCHOOL	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
21	LEARNING ENGLISH IN SCHOOL	0	
22	LEARNING FRENCH FOR JOB	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
23	LEARNING ENGLISH FOR JOB	11	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
25	GENERAL REFERENCE TO JOBS	49	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
26	LEARNING ENGLISH FOR JOBS AND TRAVEL	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
27	LEARNING ENGLISH FOR TRAVEL	11	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
30	LANGUAGE LEGISLATION	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
31	BILL 22, WITH REFERENCE TO LANGUAGE	27	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
32	OFFICIAL LANGUAGES ACT	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

# V103: BILINGUALISM-LANGUAGE			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
33	SEPARATISM (INDEPENDENCE-FRENCH)	33	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
0	INAP	1306	
99	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	223	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V104: BILINGUALISM-PRESCRIP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=919 /-] [Invalid=1643 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MANY LANGUAGES, ANY LANGUAGE SHOULD BE ALLOWED	50	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
2	ENGLISH SHOULD BE ONLY LANGUAGE (ITS AN ENGLISH	64	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
3	ENGLISH PRIMARY LANGUAGE, FRENCH OPTIONAL	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
4	ENGLISH PRIMARY LANGUAGE, ANY OTHER LANGUAGE	23	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
5	FRENCH SHOULD BE ONLY LANGUAGE	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
6	FRENCH PRIMARY LANGUAGE, ENGLISH OR OTHER LANGUAGE	38	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
7	FRENCH IN QUEBEC, ENGLISH ELSEWHERE	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
8	FRENCH AND ENGLISH IN QUEBEC (BILINGUALISM),	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
9	FRENCH WHERE THERE ARE FRENCH PEOPLE	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
10	BILINGUALISM IS A GOOD THING; PEOPLE SHOULD BE	266	<div><div></div></div> 28.9%
11	FRENCH AND ENGLISH EVERYWHERE	66	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
12	NO COMPULSORY SECOND LANGUAGE	148	<div><div></div></div> 16.1%
13	PEOPLE SHOULD NOT BE FORCED TO SPEAK FRENCH	42	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
14	PEOPLE SHOULD NOT BE FORCED TO SPEAK ENGLISH	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
15	O	26	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
16	FRENCH SHOULD BE TAUGHT IN SCHOOLS	52	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
17	ENGLISH SHOULD BE TAUGHT IN SCHOOLS	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
18	OTHER PRESCRIPTIONS	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
19	FRENCH NOT COMPULSORY, BUT SHOULD BE TAUGHT IN	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
20	ENGLISH NOT COMPULSORY, BUT SHOULD BE TAUGHT IN	0	
21	FRENCH SHOULD BE PRESERVED AS CULTURE AND LANGUAGE	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
22	ANGLOPHONES SHOULD BE AS BILINGUAL AS FRANCOPHONES	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
0	INAP	1306	
99	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	337	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V105: BILINGUALISM-REG,PROV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=328 /-] [Invalid=2234 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	O	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2	O	0	
3	O	0	

# V105: BILINGUALISM-REG,PROV			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	O	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
5	O	20	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
6	O	4	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
7	O	0	
8	NOT HERE, NOT IN THIS REGION	9	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
9	O	6	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
10	O	0	
11	O	8	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
15	QUEBEC, BOURASSA	185	<div><div></div></div> 56.4%
19	NEEDED HERE, (REQUIRED, NECESSARY)	11	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
20	NEEDED IN ALL REGIONS/PROVINCES	78	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
0	INAP	1306	
99	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	928	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V106: BILINGUALISM-COMPULSION			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=158 /-] [Invalid=2404 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	COMPULSION BY GOVERNMENT (GENERAL)	22	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
2	COMPULSION BY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT	6	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
3	COMPULSION BY QUEBEC	17	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
4	COMPULSION BY THE FRENCH	10	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
5	COMPULSION BY THE LIBERALS	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6	COMPULSION BY TRUDEAU	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
7	COMPULSION IN EDUCATION	16	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
8	COMPULSION IN WORK	16	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
9	COMBINATIONS	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
10	GENERAL RESPONSE OF COMPULSION	67	<div><div></div></div> 42.4%
11	COMPULSION, QUEBEC AND LIBERALS	0	
0	INAP	1306	
99	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	1098	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V107: BILINGUALISM-PERS,IMPERS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1142 /-] [Invalid=1420 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	IMPERSONAL OR SYSTEMIC	964	<div><div></div></div> 84.4%
2	PERSONAL TO INDIVIDUAL (REFERENCE TO PERSONAL	178	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
0	INAP	1306	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	114	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V108: BILINGUALISM-POS,NEG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		

<b># V108: BILINGUALISM-POS,NEG</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1042 /-] [Invalid=1520 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	COMPLETELY POSITIVE	376	36.1%
2	QUALIFIED POSITIVE	243	23.3%
3	NEUTRAL	49	4.7%
4	QUALIFIED NEGATIVE (NOT COMPULSORY)	161	15.5%
5	COMPLETELY NEGATIVE	213	20.4%
0	INAP	1306	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	214	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># V109: BILINGUALISM-ARTICULATE</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1150 /-] [Invalid=1412 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	HIGH	183	15.9%
2	MEDIUM	443	38.5%
3	LOW	524	45.6%
0	INAP	1306	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	106	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># V110: BILINGUALISM-PARTY CLOSE</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=617 /-] [Invalid=1945 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	LIBERAL	443	71.8%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	114	18.5%
3	N	29	4.7%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT/CREDITISTE	19	3.1%
5	OTHER	12	1.9%
0	NONE; INAP	1460	
8	DK	332	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	153	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># V111: FOR INVEST-INEVITABLE</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=265 /-] [Invalid=2297 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	INEVITABLE, ITS INEVITABLE; ITS NECESSARY	204	77.0%
2	CAUSED BY LACK OF CAPITAL IN CANADA	24	9.1%
3	CAUSED BY CHARACTERISTICS OF CANADIANS E	37	14.0%
0	INAP	1274	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	1023	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V112: FOR INVEST-CHARACTERIST			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=769 /-] [Invalid=1793 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GENERAL REFERENCE, ONE COUNTRY IN ANOTHER	47	<div></div> 6.1%
2	REFERENCE TO MULTINATIONAL CORPORATION	1	<div></div> 0.1%
3	GENERAL REFERENCE, TRADE	39	<div></div> 5.1%
4	GENERAL REFERENCE, INVESTMENT OR ECONOMY	96	<div></div> 12.5%
5	U	9	<div></div> 1.2%
6	U	26	<div></div> 3.4%
7	U	3	<div></div> 0.4%
8	U	8	<div></div> 1.0%
9	U	2	<div></div> 0.3%
10	LAND	2	<div></div> 0.3%
11	MANUFACTURING	18	<div></div> 2.3%
12	OIL	7	<div></div> 0.9%
13	OTHER NATURAL RESOURCES	20	<div></div> 2.6%
14	OIL AND NATURAL RESOURCES	1	<div></div> 0.1%
15	OTHER COMBINATIONS	15	<div></div> 2.0%
16	U	13	<div></div> 1.7%
19	FOREIGNERS BUYING IN CANADA (OTHER COUNTRIES, NO	146	<div></div> 19.0%
20	U	108	<div></div> 14.0%
21	COUNTRIES OTHER THAN U	12	<div></div> 1.6%
22	U	35	<div></div> 4.6%
23	MULTINATIONAL CORPORATIONS INVESTING IN CANADA	12	<div></div> 1.6%
30	CANADA INVESTING ABROAD, FOREIGN TRADE OR AID	149	<div></div> 19.4%
0	INAP	1274	
99	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	519	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V113: FOR INVEST-DOMINATION			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=143 /-] [Invalid=2419 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	DOMINATION (GENERAL)	46	<div></div> 32.2%
2	DOMINATION BY U	82	<div></div> 57.3%
3	DOMINATION BY U	4	<div></div> 2.8%
4	DOMINATION BY OTHER COUNTRY	6	<div></div> 4.2%
5	DOMINATION BY MULTINATIONAL CORPORATIONS	5	<div></div> 3.5%
0	INAP	1274	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	1145	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V114: FOR INVEST-ACTION			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=302 /-] [Invalid=2260 /-]		



# V114: FOR INVEST-ACTION			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GENERAL REFERENCE TO ACTION, E	52	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
2	GENERAL REFERENCE, CONTROL NEEDED	163	<div><div></div></div> 54.0%
3	GENERAL GOVERNMENT ACTION	27	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
4	SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO FOREIGN INVESTMENTS REVIEW	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
5	SPECIFIC REFERENCES TO OTHER GOVERNMENT POLICIES	37	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
6	INDIVIDUAL PERSONS ACTION NEEDED	22	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
0	INAP	1274	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	986	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V115: FOR INVEST-POS,NEG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=833 /-] [Invalid=1729 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	COMPLETELY POSITIVE TOWARDS FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN	246	<div><div></div></div> 29.5%
2	QUALIFIED POSITIVE (THINK ITS GOOD BUT)	230	<div><div></div></div> 27.6%
3	NEUTRAL	20	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
4	QUALIFIED NEGATIVE	119	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
5	COMPLETELY NEGATIVE	218	<div><div></div></div> 26.2%
0	INAP	1274	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	455	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V116: FOR INVEST-ARTICULATE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=938 /-] [Invalid=1624 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	133	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
2	MEDIUM	359	<div><div></div></div> 38.3%
3	LOW	446	<div><div></div></div> 47.5%
0	INAP	1274	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	350	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V117: FOR INVEST-PARTY CLOSE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=806 /-] [Invalid=1756 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	300	<div><div></div></div> 37.2%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	93	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
3	N	108	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT/CREDITISTE	22	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
5	OTHER	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
8	DK	277	<div><div></div></div> 34.4%

# V117: FOR INVEST-PARTY CLOSE			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NONE	121	
9	NA; INAP	1635	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V118: INFLATION-CONTENT			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=829 /-] [Invalid=1733 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GENERAL REFERENCE TO PROBLEM (WHAT A TERRIBLE	158	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
2	HIGH PRICES, HIGH COST OF LIVING	291	<div><div></div></div> 35.1%
3	INCOME, WAGES TOO LOW	19	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
4	PRICES AND WAGES GO TOGETHER TO CREATE A SPIRAL,	23	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
5	PRICES AND WAGES	77	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
6	WAGES TOO HIGH	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
7	IMPOSSIBLE TO SAVE	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	REFERENCE TO FOOD (AND OTHER)	78	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
11	REFERENCE TO FUEL (AND OTHER)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
12	REFERENCE TO HOUSING (AND OTHER)	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
13	REFERENCE TO OTHER SPECIFICS	19	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
14	REFERENCE TO FOOD AND FUEL (AND OTHER)	11	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
15	REFERENCE TO FOOD AND HOUSING (AND OTHER)	20	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
16	REFERENCE TO FUEL AND HOUSING (AND OTHER)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
17	REFERENCE TO FOOD, FUEL AND HOUSING (AND OTHER)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
20	RESPONSE OF DEPRESSION OR RECESSION (HEADING FOR A	49	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
21	DEPRESSION RESPONSE COMBINED WITH OTHER GENERAL	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
22	DEPRESSION RESPONSE COMBINED WITH FOOD (AND OTHER)	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
23	DEPRESSION RESPONSE COMBINED WITH FUEL (AND OTHER)	0	
24	DEPRESSION RESPONSE COMBINED WITH HOUSING (AND	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
25	DEPRESSION RESPONSE COMBINED WITH OTHER SPECIFIC	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
26	DEPRESSION RESPONSE COMBINED WITH FOOD AND FUEL (AND	0	
27	DEPRESSION RESPONSE COMBINED WITH FOOD AND HOUSING	0	
28	DEPRESSION RESPONSE COMBINED WITH FUEL AND HOUSING	0	
29	DEPRESSION RESPONSE COMBINED WITH FOOD, FUEL AND	0	
30	DEPRESSION RESPONSE COMBINED WITH WAGES AND PRICES	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
40	ITS A WORLD-WIDE PHENOMENON	35	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
0	INAP	1642	
99	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	91	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V119: INFLATION-CAUSES			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=158 /-] [Invalid=2404 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UNIONS, HIGH SETTLEMENTS, WAGES, STRIKES	15	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
2	COMPANIES, BIG BUSINESS	20	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
3	GOVERNMENT ACTION (I	10	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
4	GOVERNMENT INACTION	26	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
5	SHORTAGES	8	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
6	INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOUR, INDIVIDUAL PEOPLES ACTION,	34	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
7	WORLD SITUATION	11	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
8	SPIRAL	8	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
10	UNIONS AND COMPANIES	4	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
11	UNIONS AND GOVERNMENT ACTION	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
12	UNIONS AND GOVERNMENT INACTION	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
13	UNIONS AND SHORTAGES	0	
14	UNIONS AND INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOUR	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
15	COMPANIES AND GOVERNMENT ACTION	6	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
16	COMPANIES AND GOVERNMENT INACTION	6	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
17	COMPANIES AND SHORTAGES	0	
18	COMPANIES AND INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOUR	0	
19	GOVERNMENT ACTION AND GOVERNMENT INACTION	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
20	GOVERNMENT ACTION AND SHORTAGES	0	
21	GOVERNMENT ACTION AND INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOUR	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
22	GOVERNMENT INACTION AND SHORTAGES	0	
23	GOVERNMENT INACTION AND INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOUR	0	
24	SHORTAGES AND INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOUR	0	
25	MULTIPLE COMBINATIONS	0	
0	INAP	1642	
99	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	762	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V120: INFLATION-ACTION			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=145 /-] [Invalid=2417 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GENERAL ACTION, ACTION NEEDS TO BE TAKEN	47	<div><div></div></div> 32.4%
2	GENERAL GOVERNMENT ACTION	25	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
3	SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO WAGE AND PRICE CONTROL	25	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
4	SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO OTHER POLICY (GOVERNMENT)	24	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
5	SPECIFIC CONTROL OR POLICY, NO GOVERNMENT REFERENCE	14	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
6	INDIVIDUAL ACTION NEEDED, CHANGE IN BEHAVIOUR	10	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
0	INAP	1642	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	775	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V121: INFLATION-HOW MUCH AFFEC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=877 /-] [Invalid=1685 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A GREAT DEAL	366	<div></div> 41.7%
2	SOME	380	<div></div> 43.3%
3	NOT MUCH AT ALL	131	<div></div> 14.9%
8	DK	28	
9	NA; INAP	1657	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V122: INFLATION-WAY AFFECTED			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=727 /-] [Invalid=1835 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GENERAL REFERENCE TO PRICES/EVERYTHING	152	<div></div> 20.9%
2	LACK OF ABILITY TO SAVE	9	<div></div> 1.2%
3	FOOD	133	<div></div> 18.3%
4	CLOTHING	10	<div></div> 1.4%
5	FUEL	2	<div></div> 0.3%
6	HOUSING	20	<div></div> 2.8%
7	MACHINERY	9	<div></div> 1.2%
8	COSTS OF BUSINESS OPERATION	24	<div></div> 3.3%
9	OTHER	20	<div></div> 2.8%
10	FOOD AND CLOTHING	97	<div></div> 13.3%
11	FOOD AND FUEL	45	<div></div> 6.2%
12	FOOD AND HOUSING	25	<div></div> 3.4%
13	FOOD AND OTHER	23	<div></div> 3.2%
14	CLOTHING AND FUEL	4	<div></div> 0.6%
15	CLOTHING AND HOUSING	6	<div></div> 0.8%
16	CLOTHING AND OTHER	5	<div></div> 0.7%
17	FUEL AND HOUSING	3	<div></div> 0.4%
18	FUEL AND OTHER	3	<div></div> 0.4%
19	HOUSING AND OTHER	2	<div></div> 0.3%
20	OTHER COMBINATIONS	4	<div></div> 0.6%
40	GENERAL REFERENCE TO INCOME	30	<div></div> 4.1%
41	WAGES	8	<div></div> 1.1%
42	PENSIONS	15	<div></div> 2.1%
43	INVESTMENTS	7	<div></div> 1.0%
44	BUSINESS INCOME	2	<div></div> 0.3%
50	INCOME AND PRICES (GENERAL)	40	<div></div> 5.5%
51	INCOME AND LACK OF ABILITY TO SAVE	3	<div></div> 0.4%
52	INCOME AND FOOD	5	<div></div> 0.7%
53	INCOME AND CLOTHING	1	<div></div> 0.1%
54	INCOME AND FUEL	0	
55	INCOME AND HOUSING	2	<div></div> 0.3%
56	INCOME AND MACHINERY	0	

# V122: INFLATION-WAY AFFECTED			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
57	INCOME AND COST OF BUSINESS OPERATION	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
58	INCOME AND OTHER	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
60	INCOME AND FOOD AND CLOTHING	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
61	INCOME AND FOOD AND FUEL	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
62	INCOME AND FOOD AND HOUSING	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
63	INCOME AND FOOD AND OTHER	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
64	INCOME AND CLOTHING AND FUEL	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
65	INCOME AND CLOTHING AND HOUSING	0	
66	INCOME AND CLOTHING AND OTHER	0	
67	INCOME AND FUEL AND HOUSING	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
68	INCOME AND FUEL AND OTHER	0	
69	INCOME AND HOUSING AND OTHER	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
70	INCOME AND MULTIPLE COMBINATIONS	0	
0	INAP	1816	
99	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	19	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V123: INFLATION-ARTICULATE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=873 /-] [Invalid=1689 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	136	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
2	MEDIUM	285	<div><div></div></div> 32.6%
3	LOW	452	<div><div></div></div> 51.8%
0	INAP	1642	
9	NA, NO ANSWER ON THIS DIMENSION	47	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V124: INFLATION-PARTY CLOSE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=506 /-] [Invalid=2056 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	273	<div><div></div></div> 54.0%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	135	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
3	N	65	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT/CREDITISTE	24	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
5	OTHER	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
0	NONE	2	
8	DK	386	
9	NA; INAP	1668	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V125: FAVOUR SEPARATISM			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1169 /-] [Invalid=1393 /-]		

# V125: FAVOUR SEPARATISM			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	IN FAVOUR	149	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
2	OPPOSED	929	<div><div></div></div> 79.5%
3	QUALIFIED ANSWERS	91	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
0	NO OPINION	83	
8	DK	48	
9	INAP	1262	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V126: SEPARATISM-INTENSITY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1073 /-] [Invalid=1489 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY STRONGLY	654	<div><div></div></div> 61.0%
2	FAIRLY STRONGLY	330	<div><div></div></div> 30.8%
3	NOT VERY STRONGLY	89	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
8	DK	5	
9	INAP	1484	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V127: SEPARATISM LIKELY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1199 /-] [Invalid=1363 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY LIKELY	82	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
2	FAIRLY LIKELY	213	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
3	NOT VERY LIKELY	904	<div><div></div></div> 75.4%
8	DK	101	
9	INAP	1262	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V128: MAJ GOVT VS MINORITY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1197 /-] [Invalid=1365 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MAJORITY	695	<div><div></div></div> 58.1%
2	MINORITY	349	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
3	IT DEPENDS	153	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
0	NO OPINION	32	
8	DK	33	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V129: MAJORITY GOVT-SWITCH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1162 /-] [Invalid=1400 /-]		

# V129: MAJORITY GOVT-SWITCH

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	VERY LIKELY	233	<div></div>	20.1%
2	SOMEWHAT LIKELY	259	<div></div>	22.3%
3	NOT AT ALL LIKELY	670	<div></div>	57.7%
8	DK	100		
9	INAP	1300		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# V130: MAJ GOVT ISSUE INFL VOTE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=485 -] [Invalid=2077 -]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	A GREAT DEAL	151	<div></div>	31.1%
2	SOMETHING	150	<div></div>	30.9%
3	NOTHING AT ALL	184	<div></div>	37.9%
8	DK	7		
9	INAP	2070		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# V131: FEDERAL PARTY ID

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/7/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2389 -] [Invalid=173 -]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	INDEPENDENT OR NONE	335	<div></div>	14.0%
1	LIBERAL	1185	<div></div>	49.6%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	565	<div></div>	23.7%
3	N	228	<div></div>	9.5%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	74	<div></div>	3.1%
5	OTHER	2	<div></div>	0.1%
7	REFUSED	30		
8	DK	143		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# V132: FED PARTY ID INTENSITY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2042 -] [Invalid=520 -]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	VERY STRONGLY	698	<div></div>	34.2%
2	FAIRLY STRONGLY	996	<div></div>	48.8%
3	NOT VERY STRONGLY	348	<div></div>	17.0%
8	DK	12		
9	INAP	508		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# V133: FEEL CLOSER TO FED PARTY

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=436 -] [Invalid=2126 -]

# V133: FEEL CLOSER TO FED PARTY			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	165	<div><div></div></div> 37.8%
2	NO	271	<div><div></div></div> 62.2%
0	REFUSED	13	
8	DK	59	
9	INAP	2054	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V134: FED PARTY CLOSER TO			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=138 -/] [Invalid=2424 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	64	<div><div></div></div> 46.4%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	46	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
3	N	24	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	4	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
0	REFUSED	19	
8	DK	8	
9	INAP	2397	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V135: ANY PAST FED PARTY ID			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2470 -/] [Invalid=92 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	817	<div><div></div></div> 33.1%
2	NO	1653	<div><div></div></div> 66.9%
0	REFUSED	7	
8	DK	85	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V136: PAST FED PARTY ID			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=799 -/] [Invalid=1763 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	250	<div><div></div></div> 31.3%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	323	<div><div></div></div> 40.4%
3	N	146	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	80	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
0	REFUSED	8	
8	DK	10	
9	INAP	1745	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V137: WHEN CHANGED FED PARTY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=763 -/] [Invalid=1799 -/]		



# V137: WHEN CHANGED FED PARTY			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LAST ELECTION; JULY 8,1974; 1974	186	<div></div> 24.4%
2	1973; LAST YEAR; 1 YEAR AGO	33	<div></div> 4.3%
3	1971 - 1972; 2 OR 3 YEARS AGO	90	<div></div> 11.8%
4	1969 - 1970; 4 OR 5 YEARS AGO	24	<div></div> 3.1%
5	1967 - 1968; 6 OR 7 YEARS AGO	102	<div></div> 13.4%
6	1966 OR EARLIER; 8 OR MORE YEARS AGO	145	<div></div> 19.0%
7	GENERAL - A LONG TIME AGO	28	<div></div> 3.7%
8	OTHER ANSWERS, TIME NOT SPECIFIED, UNABLE TO	155	<div></div> 20.3%
0	DK, NO ANSWER, NOT SPECIFIED	36	
9	INAP	1763	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V138: WHY CHG FED PTY-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=746 /-] [Invalid=1816 /-] [Mean=29.296 /-] [StdDev=19.73 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	NO ANSWER, CANT REMEMBER, REFUSED	71	
99	INAP	1745	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V139: WHY CHG FED PTY-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=121 /-] [Invalid=2441 /-] [Mean=28.752 /-] [StdDev=17.777 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	625	
88	NO ANSWER, CANT REMEMBER, REFUSED	71	
99	INAP	1745	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V140: FATHER FED PARTY?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=444 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1485	<div></div> 70.1%
2	NO	633	<div></div> 29.9%
0	REFUSED	4	
8	DK	440	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V141: FATHER FED PARTY ID			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1430 /-] [Invalid=1132 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	733	<div></div> 51.3%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	571	<div></div> 39.9%
3	N	88	<div></div> 6.2%

# V141: FATHER FED PARTY ID			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	35	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
5	OTHER	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
0	REFUSED	7	
8	DK	48	
9	INAP	1077	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V142: FATHER FED PARTY INTENS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1412 /-] [Invalid=1150 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY STRONG	804	<div><div></div></div> 56.9%
2	FAIRLY STRONG	481	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
3	NOT VERY STRONG	127	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
8	DK	18	
9	INAP	1132	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V143: MOTHER FED PARTY?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2081 /-] [Invalid=481 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1098	<div><div></div></div> 52.8%
2	NO	983	<div><div></div></div> 47.2%
0	REFUSED	4	
8	DK	477	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V144: MOTHER FED PARTY ID			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1055 /-] [Invalid=1507 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	577	<div><div></div></div> 54.7%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	394	<div><div></div></div> 37.3%
3	N	52	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	31	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
5	OTHER	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
0	REFUSED	5	
8	DK	38	
9	INAP	1464	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V145: MOTHER FED PARTY INTENS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1038 /-] [Invalid=1524 /-]		

# V145: MOTHER FED PARTY INTENS			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY STRONG	474	<div><div></div></div> 45.7%
2	FAIRLY STRONG	426	<div><div></div></div> 41.0%
3	NOT VERY STRONG	138	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
8	DK	17	
9	INAP	1507	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V146: PARENTS FED POL INTEREST			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2304 /-] [Invalid=258 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY INTERESTED	839	<div><div></div></div> 36.4%
2	SOMEWHAT INTERESTED	1033	<div><div></div></div> 44.8%
3	NOT AT ALL INTERESTED	425	<div><div></div></div> 18.4%
4	FATHER INTERESTED, MOTHER NOT	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
5	MOTHER INTERESTED, FATHER NOT	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
0	REFUSED	4	
8	DK	254	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V147: CAMPAIGN CONTACT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1244 /-] [Invalid=1318 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	445	<div><div></div></div> 35.8%
2	NO	799	<div><div></div></div> 64.2%
8	DK	18	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V148: PARTY CONTACT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=414 /-] [Invalid=2148 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL ONLY	88	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
2	P	91	<div><div></div></div> 22.0%
3	N	43	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT ONLY	6	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
5	LIBERAL AND P	77	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
6	LIBERAL AND N	20	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
7	LIBERAL AND SOCIAL CREDIT	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
8	P	11	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
9	P	0	
10	N	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
11	LIB	62	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
12	LIB	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

## # V148: PARTY CONTACT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	LIB	0	
14	P	0	
15	LIB	9	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
16	COMMUNIST ONLY	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
17	LIB	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
18	LIB	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
19	LIB	0	
20	LIB	0	
21	LIB	0	
22	LIB	0	
23	LIB	0	
24	LIB	0	
25	LIB	0	
26	OTHER ONLY	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
27	P	0	
0	NA	26	
88	DK	5	
99	INAP	2117	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # V149: CANDIDATE CONTACT

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/88/99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=191 /-] [Invalid=2371 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL ONLY	46	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
2	P	51	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
3	N	18	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT ONLY	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
5	LIBERAL AND P	33	<div><div></div></div> 17.3%
6	LIBERAL AND N	7	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
7	LIBERAL AND SOCIAL CREDIT	0	
8	P	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
9	P	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	N	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
11	LIB	21	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
12	LIB	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
13	LIB	0	
14	P	0	
15	LIB	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
16	COMMUNIST ONLY	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
17	LIB	0	
18	LIB	0	
19	LIB	0	
20	LIB	0	
21	LIB	0	

# V149: CANDIDATE CONTACT			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	LIB	0	
23	LIB	0	
24	LIB	1	<div></div> 0.5%
25	LIB	0	
26	OTHER ONLY	2	<div></div> 1.0%
27	P	0	
0	NA	248	
88	DK	6	
99	INAP	2117	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V150: OTHER CAMPAIGN CONTACT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1244 /-] [Invalid=1318 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	992	<div></div> 79.7%
2	NO	252	<div></div> 20.3%
8	DK	18	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V151: OTHER PARTY CONTACT			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/88/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=952 /-] [Invalid=1610 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL ONLY	102	<div></div> 10.7%
2	P	64	<div></div> 6.7%
3	N	17	<div></div> 1.8%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT ONLY	7	<div></div> 0.7%
5	LIBERAL AND P	191	<div></div> 20.1%
6	LIBERAL AND N	30	<div></div> 3.2%
7	LIBERAL AND SOCIAL CREDIT	11	<div></div> 1.2%
8	P	24	<div></div> 2.5%
9	P	2	<div></div> 0.2%
10	N	1	<div></div> 0.1%
11	LIB	317	<div></div> 33.3%
12	LIB	23	<div></div> 2.4%
13	LIB	2	<div></div> 0.2%
14	P	3	<div></div> 0.3%
15	LIB	135	<div></div> 14.2%
16	COMMUNIST ONLY	2	<div></div> 0.2%
17	LIB	6	<div></div> 0.6%
18	LIB	4	<div></div> 0.4%
19	LIB	2	<div></div> 0.2%
20	LIB	1	<div></div> 0.1%
21	LIB	4	<div></div> 0.4%
22	LIB	0	
23	LIB	0	
24	LIB	3	<div></div> 0.3%
25	LIB	0	
26	OTHER ONLY	0	
27	P	1	<div></div> 0.1%
0	NA	0	
88	DK	40	
99	INAP	1570	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V152: HOW CONTACTED			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=992 /-] [Invalid=1570 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MAIL ONLY (ANY LITERATURE LEFT AT DOOR OR	870	<div></div> 87.7%
2	PHONE ONLY	24	<div></div> 2.4%
3	OTHER ONLY	1	<div></div> 0.1%
4	MAIL AND PHONE	97	<div></div> 9.8%
5	MAIL AND OTHER	0	
6	PHONE AND OTHER	0	
7	MAIL AND PHONE AND OTHER	0	

# V152: HOW CONTACTED			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	DK	0	
9	INAP	1570	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V153: CAMPAIGN-NEWSPAPER			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1254 /-] [Invalid=1308 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	QUITE A BIT	484	<div></div> 38.6%
2	SOMETHING	435	<div></div> 34.7%
3	NOT MUCH	335	<div></div> 26.7%
8	DK	8	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V154: CAMPAIGN-TELEVISION			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1251 /-] [Invalid=1311 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	QUITE A FEW	534	<div></div> 42.7%
2	SOME	473	<div></div> 37.8%
3	ALMOST NONE	244	<div></div> 19.5%
8	DK	11	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V155: CAMPAIGN-RADIO			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1246 /-] [Invalid=1316 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	QUITE A FEW	259	<div></div> 20.8%
2	SOME	409	<div></div> 32.8%
3	ALMOST NONE	578	<div></div> 46.4%
8	DK	16	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V156: MOST IMPORTANT MEDIA			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1227 /-] [Invalid=1335 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	RADIO	73	<div></div> 5.9%
2	TELEVISION	497	<div></div> 40.5%
3	NEWSPAPERS	381	<div></div> 31.1%
4	RADIO AND TELEVISION	43	<div></div> 3.5%
5	RADIO AND NEWSPAPERS	12	<div></div> 1.0%

# V156: MOST IMPORTANT MEDIA			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	TELEVISION AND NEWSPAPERS	152	<div></div> 12.4%
7	ALL EQUALLY	69	<div></div> 5.6%
8	DK	35	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V157: VOTE FREQUENCY-FED ELEC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2558 /-] [Invalid=4 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ALL	1525	<div></div> 59.6%
2	MOST	673	<div></div> 26.3%
3	SOME	222	<div></div> 8.7%
4	NONE	98	<div></div> 3.8%
5	NOT ELIGIBLE BEFORE	40	<div></div> 1.6%
8	DK	4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V158: ALWAYS VOTE SAME-FED			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2371 /-] [Invalid=191 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SAME	1255	<div></div> 52.9%
2	DIFFERENT	1116	<div></div> 47.1%
0	REFUSED	3	
8	DK	46	
9	INAP	142	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V159: FED PARTY ALWAYS VOTED			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1224 /-] [Invalid=1338 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	739	<div></div> 60.4%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	325	<div></div> 26.6%
3	N	114	<div></div> 9.3%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	39	<div></div> 3.2%
5	OTHER	2	<div></div> 0.2%
6	SPOILED BALLOT	5	<div></div> 0.4%
0	REFUSED	25	
8	DK	6	
9	INAP	1307	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V160: CHOICE OR NONCONTEST-FED			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1099 /-] [Invalid=1463 /-]		



# V160: CHOICE OR NONCONTEST-FED			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHOICE	1071	<div><div></div></div> 97.5%
2	DID NOT CONTEST	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
8	DK	17	
9	INAP	1446	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V161: VOTED IN 1974 FED ELEC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2461 /-] [Invalid=101 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VOTED	2094	<div><div></div></div> 85.1%
2	DID NOT VOTE	367	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
8	DK	3	
9	INAP	98	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V162: VOTE IN 1974 FED ELEC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1979 /-] [Invalid=583 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	1037	<div><div></div></div> 52.4%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	620	<div><div></div></div> 31.3%
3	N	221	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	64	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
5	OTHER	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
6	SPOILED BALLOT	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
0	REFUSED	87	
8	DK	28	
9	INAP	468	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V163: WHY NOT VOTE 1974 F ELEC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=431 /-] [Invalid=2131 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OUT OF TOWN	73	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
2	ON VACATION, HOLIDAYS	75	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
3	IN HOSPITAL, SICK, BLIND	61	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
4	FORGOT ABOUT IT, DIDNT KNOW WHEN, WHERE VOTING	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
5	BUSY	43	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
6	COULDN'T DECIDE, DIDNT KNOW CANDIDATES, PARTIES	25	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
7	NOT INTERESTED, JUST DIDNT BOTHER	55	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
8	RELIGIOUS REASONS	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
9	DID NOT WANT TO VOTE	13	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
10	FELT OWN PARTY WOULD WIN WITHOUT MY VOTE	0	
11	PROTEST, SPOILED BALLOT	9	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%

# V163: WHY NOT VOTE 1974 F ELEC			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	WOULDNT MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE	30	<div></div> 7.0%
13	NEVER VOTE	6	<div></div> 1.4%
14	OUT OF TOWN ON BUSINESS, AT SCHOOL, AND OTHER	21	<div></div> 4.9%
15	INELIGIBLE, NOT ENUMERATED	9	<div></div> 2.1%
16	REFUSED, WOULDNT SAY	2	<div></div> 0.5%
0	DK, NO PARTICULAR REASON	30	
88	CANT REMEMBER	4	
99	INAP	2097	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V164: PARTY WD HAVE VOTED 1974			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=371 -/] [Invalid=2191 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERALS	191	<div></div> 51.5%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	92	<div></div> 24.8%
3	N	59	<div></div> 15.9%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	21	<div></div> 5.7%
5	OTHER	0	
6	SPOILED BALLOT	8	<div></div> 2.2%
0	REFUSED	3	
8	DK	91	
9	INAP	2097	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V165: MOST IMP-LDR,CAND,PTY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2359 -/] [Invalid=203 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PARTY LEADERS	771	<div></div> 32.7%
2	CANDIDATES	650	<div></div> 27.6%
3	THE PARTIES	938	<div></div> 39.8%
8	DK	106	
9	INAP	97	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V166: 2ND MOST IMP-LDR,CAND,PT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2248 -/] [Invalid=314 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PARTY LEADERS	884	<div></div> 39.3%
2	CANDIDATES	775	<div></div> 34.5%
3	THE PARTIES	589	<div></div> 26.2%
8	DK	217	
9	INAP	97	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V167: LEAST IMP-LDR,CAND,PTY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2250 /-] [Invalid=312 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PARTY LEADERS	628	<div></div> 27.9%
2	CANDIDATES	851	<div></div> 37.8%
3	THE PARTIES	771	<div></div> 34.3%
8	DK	215	
9	INAP	97	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V168: ISSUES OR PERSONAL QUAL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1363 /-] [Invalid=1199 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	STAND ON ISSUES	739	<div></div> 54.2%
2	PERSONAL QUALITIES	624	<div></div> 45.8%
8	DK	58	
9	INAP	1141	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V169: WHICH ISSUES-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=652 /-] [Invalid=1910 /-] [Mean=14.442 /-] [StdDev=12.959 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	DK, CANT REMEMBER	85	
98	REFUSED	2	
99	INAP	1823	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V170: WHICH ISSUES-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=652 /-] [Invalid=1910 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	424	<div></div> 100.0%
88	DK, CANT REMEMBER	85	
98	REFUSED	2	
99	INAP	1823	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V171: PARTY-APPROACH OR ISSUES			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=889 /-] [Invalid=1673 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	POSITION ON ISSUES	380	<div></div> 42.7%
2	GENERAL APPROACH	509	<div></div> 57.3%
8	DK	49	
9	INAP	1624	

# V171: PARTY-APPROACH OR ISSUES			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V172: WHICH ISSUES-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=347 /-] [Invalid=2215 /-] [Mean=8.85 /-] [StdDev=10.977 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	DK, CANT REMEMBER	33	
98	REFUSED	0	
99	INAP	2182	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V173: WHICH ISSUES-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=347 /-] [Invalid=2215 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	173	100.0%
88	DK, CANT REMEMBER	33	
98	REFUSED	0	
99	INAP	2182	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V174: VOTED IN 1972			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2500 /-] [Invalid=62 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VOTED	2137	85.5%
2	DIDNT VOTE	221	8.8%
3	NOT ELIGIBLE	142	5.7%
8	DONT REMEMBER	62	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V175: VOTE IN 1972 FED ELEC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1970 /-] [Invalid=592 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	1084	55.0%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	558	28.3%
3	N	236	12.0%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	82	4.2%
5	OTHER	2	0.1%
6	SPOILED BALLOT	8	0.4%
0	REFUSED	66	
8	DK, DONT REMEMBER	101	
9	INAP	425	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V176: WHY SWITCH 72-74,1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/88/99]		

# V176: WHY SWITCH 72-74,1ST RSP			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=329 /-] [Invalid=2233 /-] [Mean=19.304 /-] [StdDev=13.22 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO, NOT REALLY, NO REAL REASON	5	
88	DK, CANT REMEMBER, NOT STATED	12	
99	INAP	2216	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V177: WHY SWITCH 72-74,2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=112 /-] [Invalid=2450 /-] [Mean=18.482 /-] [StdDev=14.028 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO, NOT REALLY, NO REAL REASON; NO SECOND RESPONSE	222	
88	DK, CANT REMEMBER, NOT STATED	12	
99	INAP	2216	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V178: VOTED IN 1968			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2441 /-] [Invalid=121 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VOTED	1688	69.2%
2	DIDNT VOTE	206	8.4%
3	NOT ELIGIBLE THEN	547	22.4%
8	DONT REMEMBER	121	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V179: VOTE IN 1968 FED ELEC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1456 /-] [Invalid=1106 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERALS	845	58.0%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	407	28.0%
3	N	146	10.0%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	55	3.8%
5	OTHER	2	0.1%
6	SPOILED BALLOT	1	0.1%
0	REFUSED	59	
8	DK, DONT REMEMBER	173	
9	INAP	874	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V180: 2ND CHOICE PARTY-1974			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/7/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1923 /-] [Invalid=639 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	WOULD NOT VOTE	481	25.0%

### # V180: 2ND CHOICE PARTY-1974

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	437	22.7%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	492	25.6%
3	N	328	17.1%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	158	8.2%
5	OTHER	17	0.9%
6	SPOILED BALLOT	10	0.5%
7	REFUSED	26	
8	DK	613	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V181: PARTY LEAST PREF-1974

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2098 /-] [Invalid=464 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	253	12.1%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	325	15.5%
3	N	689	32.8%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	597	28.5%
5	COMMUNIST	164	7.8%
6	OTHER OR MULTIPLE RESPONSE	70	3.3%
0	REFUSED	17	
8	DK	447	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V182: TIME OF VOTE DECISION

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=993 /-] [Invalid=1569 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KNEW ALL ALONG, ALWAYS VOTE FOR THE SAME PARTY	168	16.9%
2	QUITE AWHILE BEFORE THE ELECTION WAS CALLED	90	9.1%
3	WHEN THE ELECTION WAS CALLED	282	28.4%
4	6 WEEKS BEFORE	37	3.7%
5	5 WEEKS BEFORE	5	0.5%
6	4 WEEKS BEFORE	77	7.8%
7	3 WEEKS BEFORE	25	2.5%
8	2 WEEKS BEFORE	83	8.4%
9	1 WEEK BEFORE	115	11.6%
10	AT POLL, ELECTION DAY	69	6.9%
11	REFERENCE TO SPECIFIC EVENT (UNDATED)	18	1.8%
12	OTHER	6	0.6%
13	SEVERAL OR A FEW WEEKS BEFORE	18	1.8%
88	DK	54	
99	NA; INAP	1515	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# V183: SURE ALL ALONG			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1008 /-] [Invalid=1554 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SURE ALL ALONG	782	<div></div> 77.6%
2	CONSIDERED OTHER	226	<div></div> 22.4%
8	DK	29	
9	NA; INAP	1525	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V184: OTHER PARTY CONSIDERED			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=216 /-] [Invalid=2346 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	72	<div></div> 33.3%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	76	<div></div> 35.2%
3	N	40	<div></div> 18.5%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT/CREDITISTE	20	<div></div> 9.3%
5	OTHER	8	<div></div> 3.7%
8	DK	6	
9	NA; INAP	2340	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V185: REAL REASON VOTED-1ST RP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=978 /-] [Invalid=1584 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TO HAVE GOOD GOVERNMENT, FOR GOOD OF THE PEOPLE	25	<div></div> 2.6%
2	TRADITIONAL VOTE	22	<div></div> 2.2%
10	LIBERALS - PRO	104	<div></div> 10.6%
11	LIBERALS - CON	28	<div></div> 2.9%
12	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVES - PRO	41	<div></div> 4.2%
13	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVES - CON	15	<div></div> 1.5%
14	N	24	<div></div> 2.5%
15	N	3	<div></div> 0.3%
16	SOCIAL CREDIT - PRO	3	<div></div> 0.3%
17	SOCIAL CREDIT - CON	1	<div></div> 0.1%
18	PARTY	27	<div></div> 2.8%
20	LEADERSHIP (GENERAL REFERENCE), THE LEADERS	32	<div></div> 3.3%
21	TRUDEAU - PRO	62	<div></div> 6.3%
22	TRUDEAU - CON	18	<div></div> 1.8%
23	STANFIELD - PRO	11	<div></div> 1.1%
24	STANFIELD - CON	7	<div></div> 0.7%
25	LEWIS - PRO	3	<div></div> 0.3%
26	LEWIS - CON	2	<div></div> 0.2%
27	CAOQUETTE - PRO	0	

# V185: REAL REASON VOTED-1ST RP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28	CAOINETTE - CON	0	
30	LOCAL CANDIDATE - PRO	78	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
31	LOCAL CANDIDATE - CON	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
32	LOCAL CANDIDATE (CANNOT DETERMINE DIRECTION)	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
40	ISSUES (GENERAL)	20	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
41	INFLATION (GENERAL)	124	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
42	INFLATION (SPECIFIC)	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
43	WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS - PRO	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
44	WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS - NEUTRAL	15	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
45	WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS - CON	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
46	BUDGET - PRO	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
47	BUDGET - NEUTRAL	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
48	BUDGET - CON	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
49	BILINGUALISM - PRO	0	
50	BILINGUALISM - NEUTRAL	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
51	BILINGUALISM - CON	0	
57	RELATIONS WITH OTHER COUNTRIES, FOREIGN POLICY	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
58	AGRICULTURE	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
59	HEALTH CARE	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
60	THE ECONOMY	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
61	TAXES	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
62	GOVERNMENT SPENDING	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
63	WELFARE	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
64	HOUSING	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
65	FOREIGN INVESTMENT	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
66	ENERGY POLICY	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
67	PENSIONS	34	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
68	FAMILY ALLOWANCES	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
69	UNEMPLOYMENT/JOBS	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
70	PROTEST VOTE	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
71	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT - PRO	50	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
72	MINORITY GOVERNMENT - PRO	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
73	PARTY REPRESENTS THE WORKING CLASS	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
74	PARTY REPRESENTS THE MIDDLE CLASS	0	
75	PARTY REPRESENTS REGIONAL INTERESTS	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
80	PERSONAL OR FAMILY JOBS AFFECTED	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
81	CIVIC DUTY	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
85	OTHER	33	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
88	DK	56	
99	NA; INAP	1528	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V186: REAL REASON VOTED-2ND RP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=580 /-] [Invalid=1982 /-]		



# **# V186: REAL REASON VOTED-2ND RP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TO HAVE GOOD GOVERNMENT, FOR GOOD OF THE PEOPLE	14	2.4%
2	TRADITIONAL VOTE	3	0.5%
10	LIBERALS - PRO	45	7.8%
11	LIBERALS - CON	14	2.4%
12	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVES - PRO	16	2.8%
13	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVES - CON	13	2.2%
14	N	7	1.2%
15	N	1	0.2%
16	SOCIAL CREDIT - PRO	1	0.2%
17	SOCIAL CREDIT - CON	0	
18	PARTY	19	3.3%
20	LEADERSHIP (GENERAL REFERENCE), THE LEADERS	24	4.1%
21	TRUDEAU - PRO	30	5.2%
22	TRUDEAU - CON	9	1.6%
23	STANFIELD - PRO	9	1.6%
24	STANFIELD - CON	15	2.6%
25	LEWIS - PRO	2	0.3%
26	LEWIS - CON	1	0.2%
27	CAOINETTE - PRO	3	0.5%
28	CAOINETTE - CON	0	
30	LOCAL CANDIDATE - PRO	21	3.6%
31	LOCAL CANDIDATE - CON	7	1.2%
32	LOCAL CANDIDATE (CANNOT DETERMINE DIRECTION)	4	0.7%
40	ISSUES (GENERAL)	36	6.2%
41	INFLATION (GENERAL)	66	11.4%
42	INFLATION (SPECIFIC)	9	1.6%
43	WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS - PRO	6	1.0%
44	WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS - NEUTRAL	3	0.5%
45	WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS - CON	9	1.6%
46	BUDGET - PRO	3	0.5%
47	BUDGET - NEUTRAL	1	0.2%
48	BUDGET - CON	1	0.2%
49	BILINGUALISM - PRO	3	0.5%
50	BILINGUALISM - NEUTRAL	11	1.9%
51	BILINGUALISM - CON	2	0.3%
57	RELATIONS WITH OTHER COUNTRIES, FOREIGN POLICY	6	1.0%
58	AGRICULTURE	5	0.9%
59	HEALTH CARE	3	0.5%
60	THE ECONOMY	8	1.4%
61	TAXES	16	2.8%
62	GOVERNMENT SPENDING	6	1.0%
63	WELFARE	5	0.9%
64	HOUSING	11	1.9%
65	FOREIGN INVESTMENT	5	0.9%

### # V186: REAL REASON VOTED-2ND RP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
66	ENERGY POLICY	11	1.9%
67	PENSIONS	23	4.0%
68	FAMILY ALLOWANCES	4	0.7%
69	UNEMPLOYMENT/JOB	15	2.6%
70	PROTEST VOTE	3	0.5%
71	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT - PRO	11	1.9%
72	MINORITY GOVERNMENT - PRO	0	
73	PARTY REPRESENTS THE WORKING CLASS	5	0.9%
74	PARTY REPRESENTS THE MIDDLE CLASS	0	
75	PARTY REPRESENTS REGIONAL INTERESTS	11	1.9%
80	PERSONAL OR FAMILY JOBS AFFECTED	6	1.0%
81	CIVIC DUTY	1	0.2%
85	OTHER	17	2.9%
88	DK	3	
99	NA; INAP	1979	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V187: REAL REASON VOTED-3RD RP

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=258 /-] [Invalid=2304 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TO HAVE GOOD GOVERNMENT, FOR GOOD OF THE PEOPLE	8	3.1%
2	TRADITIONAL VOTE	3	1.2%
10	LIBERALS - PRO	14	5.4%
11	LIBERALS - CON	4	1.6%
12	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVES - PRO	2	0.8%
13	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVES - CON	4	1.6%
14	N	3	1.2%
15	N	3	1.2%
16	SOCIAL CREDIT - PRO	0	
17	SOCIAL CREDIT - CON	1	0.4%
18	PARTY	1	0.4%
20	LEADERSHIP (GENERAL REFERENCE), THE LEADERS	8	3.1%
21	TRUDEAU - PRO	7	2.7%
22	TRUDEAU - CON	4	1.6%
23	STANFIELD - PRO	3	1.2%
24	STANFIELD - CON	9	3.5%
25	LEWIS - PRO	1	0.4%
26	LEWIS - CON	0	
27	CAOINETTE - PRO	0	
28	CAOINETTE - CON	0	
30	LOCAL CANDIDATE - PRO	11	4.3%
31	LOCAL CANDIDATE - CON	3	1.2%
32	LOCAL CANDIDATE (CANNOT DETERMINE DIRECTION)	1	0.4%
40	ISSUES (GENERAL)	13	5.0%

# V187: REAL REASON VOTED-3RD RP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
41	INFLATION (GENERAL)	17	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
42	INFLATION (SPECIFIC)	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
43	WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS - PRO	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
44	WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS - NEUTRAL	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
45	WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS - CON	9	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
46	BUDGET - PRO	0	
47	BUDGET - NEUTRAL	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
48	BUDGET - CON	0	
49	BILINGUALISM - PRO	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
50	BILINGUALISM - NEUTRAL	9	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
51	BILINGUALISM - CON	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
57	RELATIONS WITH OTHER COUNTRIES, FOREIGN POLICY	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
58	AGRICULTURE	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
59	HEALTH CARE	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
60	THE ECONOMY	10	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
61	TAXES	6	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
62	GOVERNMENT SPENDING	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
63	WELFARE	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
64	HOUSING	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
65	FOREIGN INVESTMENT	7	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
66	ENERGY POLICY	7	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
67	PENSIONS	7	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
68	FAMILY ALLOWANCES	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
69	UNEMPLOYMENT/JOBS	8	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
70	PROTEST VOTE	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
71	MAJORITY GOVERNMENT - PRO	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
72	MINORITY GOVERNMENT - PRO	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
73	PARTY REPRESENTS THE WORKING CLASS	4	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
74	PARTY REPRESENTS THE MIDDLE CLASS	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
75	PARTY REPRESENTS REGIONAL INTERESTS	6	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
80	PERSONAL OR FAMILY JOBS AFFECTED	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
81	CIVIC DUTY	0	
85	OTHER	20	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
88	DK	3	
99	NA; INAP	2301	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V188: LIKE TRUDEAU-THERM			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2457 /-] [Invalid=105 /-] [Mean=61.917 /-] [StdDev=27.284 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	105	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V189: LIKE LOCAL LIB CAN-THERM			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		

# V189: LIKE LOCAL LIB CAN-THERM			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2150 /-] [Invalid=412 /-] [Mean=58.093 /-] [StdDev=25.009 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	412	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V190: LIKE LIB PARTY-THERM			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2424 /-] [Invalid=138 /-] [Mean=62.238 /-] [StdDev=23.312 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	138	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V191: LIKE STANFIELD-THERM			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2429 /-] [Invalid=133 /-] [Mean=47.383 /-] [StdDev=24.765 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	133	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V192: LIKE LOCAL PC CAND-THERM			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2094 /-] [Invalid=468 /-] [Mean=55.365 /-] [StdDev=25.658 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	468	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V193: LIKE PC PARTY-THERM			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2377 /-] [Invalid=185 /-] [Mean=53.633 /-] [StdDev=22.211 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	185	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V194: LIKE LEWIS-THERM			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2237 /-] [Invalid=325 /-] [Mean=47.355 /-] [StdDev=25.179 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	325	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V195: LIKE LOCAL NDP CAND-THER			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1651 /-] [Invalid=911 /-] [Mean=44.245 /-] [StdDev=24.937 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION OR NO CANDIDATE	911	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V196: LIKE NDP-THERM			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2191 /-] [Invalid=371 /-] [Mean=43.634 /-] [StdDev=24.446 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V197: LIKE CAOUETTE-THERM			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1918 /-] [Invalid=644 /-] [Mean=43.677 /-] [StdDev=25.342 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	644	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V198: LIKE LOCAL SC CAND-THERM			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=802 /-] [Invalid=1760 /-] [Mean=41.177 /-] [StdDev=25.413 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		109	<div></div> 13.6%
2		1	<div></div> 0.1%
3		1	<div></div> 0.1%
5		1	<div></div> 0.1%
10		60	<div></div> 7.5%
15		2	<div></div> 0.2%
20		49	<div></div> 6.1%
25		5	<div></div> 0.6%
30		54	<div></div> 6.7%
40		76	<div></div> 9.5%
45		5	<div></div> 0.6%
50		259	<div></div> 32.3%
60		59	<div></div> 7.4%
65		2	<div></div> 0.2%
70		45	<div></div> 5.6%
75		6	<div></div> 0.7%
80		23	<div></div> 2.9%
85		2	<div></div> 0.2%
90		22	<div></div> 2.7%
95		1	<div></div> 0.1%
99		20	<div></div> 2.5%
0	NO OPINION OR NO CANDIDATE	1760	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V199: LIKE SC PARTY-THERM			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1848 /-] [Invalid=714 /-] [Mean=38.001 /-] [StdDev=24.254 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO OPINION	714	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V200: LIKE TRUDEAU-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1259 /-] [Invalid=1303 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, SMART, CAPABLE, BRIGHT, CLEVER, KNOWS	125	9.9%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, STRAIGHTFORWARD, FRANK, DIRECT,	71	5.6%
3	PERSONALITY, NICE PERSONALITY, NICE PERSON, I LIKE	69	5.5%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, SPEAKING ABILITY OR MANNER	64	5.1%
5	GOOD MAN, FINE MAN	17	1.4%
6	WORKS HARD, DOING A GOOD JOB, TRIES HARD, GETS	39	3.1%
7	GOOD LEADER, LEADERSHIP QUALITIES, HIS LEADERSHIP,	61	4.8%
8	CALM, COOL, EASY MANNER, UNRUFFLED	13	1.0%
9	GOOD FAMILY MAN, HIS FAMILY, HIS WIFE, HAS SETTLED	29	2.3%
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	4	0.3%
11	GOOD LOOKING, HANDSOME, HIS APPEARANCE	10	0.8%
12	BILINGUAL	9	0.7%
13	FRENCH CANADIAN	14	1.1%
14	DYNAMIC, ENERGETIC, FORCEFUL, VIGOROUS	29	2.3%
15	HE IS YOUNG, YOUTHFUL, LOOKS YOUNG FOR HIS AGE	12	1.0%
16	INTELLECTUAL, SCHOLAR, WELL-EDUCATED	16	1.3%
17	OUTSPOKEN, SAYS WHAT HE THINKS, BLUNT, MIND OF HIS	27	2.1%
18	CHARMING, A LADIES MAN	5	0.4%
19	MODERN, UP TO DATE, PROGRESSIVE, MOVES ONWARD,	7	0.6%
20	COLOURFUL, FLAMBOYANT, FLAIR, HIS STYLE, CLASSY	12	1.0%
21	BROAD MINDED, OPEN-MINDED, TOLERANT	4	0.3%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN	12	1.0%
23	INTERESTING	1	0.1%
24	SWINGER, SKIER, ATHLETE	5	0.4%
25	CHEERFUL, PLEASANT, ALWAYS SMILING	4	0.3%
26	HIS COURAGE, GUTS, SPUNK, BRAVERY	5	0.4%
27	DECISIVE, ABLE TO MAKE DECISIONS, DOESNT EVADE	14	1.1%
28	HE IS JUST, FAIR	5	0.4%
29	AGGRESSIVE, STANDS BEHIND HIS CONVICTIONS	15	1.2%
30	GOOD INTERNATIONALLY, GOOD IN FOREIGN AFFAIRS	19	1.5%
31	THE WAY HE HANDLED F	8	0.6%
32	THE WAY HE IS HANDLING QUEBEC, AGAINST SEPARATISM,	0	
33	STANDS UP FOR FRENCH LANGUAGE OR FRENCH PEOPLE, HE	0	
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA, INTERESTED IN	14	1.1%
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, INTERESTED IN PEOPLE,	6	0.5%
36	HE HAS HELPED THE PEOPLE	2	0.2%
37	HE CARES ABOUT OLD PEOPLE, HAS DONE A LOT FOR OLD	8	0.6%

## # V200: LIKE TRUDEAU-1ST RESP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38	HE IS FOR YOUNG PEOPLE, DONE A LOT FOR YOUNGER	7	0.6%
39	HE IS FOR ALL WALKS OF LIFE, EVERYONE	0	
40	SERIOUS, MATURE, CAREFUL, CONTROLS HIMSELF	4	0.3%
41	A GENTLEMAN, DIGNIFIED, DISTINGUISHED, I RESPECT	7	0.6%
42	HAS A WAY WITH PEOPLE, HAS CHARISMA, HIS	12	1.0%
43	REFRESHING, DIFFERENT, NICEST EGOMANIAC AROUND	2	0.2%
44	NOT PATRONIZING	0	
45	GOOD UPBRINGING, COMES FROM A GOOD BACKGROUND,	1	0.1%
46	HANDLES PROBLEMS OR CRISES WELL	2	0.2%
47	CONFIDENT, VERY POSITIVE	3	0.2%
48	NOT A BAD CHAP, HE IS O	11	0.9%
49	HE IS OUTSTANDING, PERFECT, THE IDEAL MAN, LIKE	3	0.2%
50	HE ACTS QUICKLY	0	
51	HE HAS HIS OWN MONEY, IS A RICH MAN, NOT IN IT FOR	4	0.3%
52	HIS AMBITION	3	0.2%
53	HE KEEPS HIS PROMISES OR MOST OF HIS PROMISES,	5	0.4%
54	WILLING TO ADMIT MISTAKES, MAKE COMPROMISES	1	0.1%
55	LIKE THE WAY HE IS RUNNING THE COUNTRY	0	
56	TRYING TO KEEP PEACE	1	0.1%
57	WORK HE DID AS MINISTER OF JUSTICE, OVERHAULING OF	5	0.4%
58	BECOMING MORE SOCIALISTIC	2	0.2%
59	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION, HIGH PRICES, COST	2	0.2%
60	RAISED FAMILY ALLOWANCE, WIDOWS ALLOWANCE,	5	0.4%
61	HE IS STRONG	8	0.6%
62	HE IS A DIPLOMAT, STATESMAN	8	0.6%
63	HE IS REALISTIC	1	0.1%
64	HE HAS A GOOD TEAM	3	0.2%
65	RAN A GOOD CAMPAIGN	1	0.1%
66	DOESNT MAKE TOO MANY PROMISES	1	0.1%
67	LIKE HIS IDEAS, MOST OF HIS IDEAS, GOOD IDEAS,	6	0.5%
68	HE DID A GOOD JOB IN MINORITY GOVERNMENT OR WHEN	1	0.1%
69	THE WAY HE HANDLED EMPLOYMENT, JOBS	2	0.2%
70	LIKE HIS POLICIES (UNSPECIFIED)	4	0.3%
71	HANDLES PRESS WELL	3	0.2%
72	GOOD IMAGE	1	0.1%
73	HIS APPROACH, MANNER, THE WAY HE ACTS, GENERAL LIKE	4	0.3%
74	HE TRAVELS	0	
75	HE KEEPS PEOPLE OR THE COUNTRY INFORMED OF WHAT IS	4	0.3%
76	SYMPATHETIC, UNDERSTANDING (UNSPECIFIED)	2	0.2%
77	THE WAY HE HANDLES MEETINGS, KNOWS HOW TO DIRECT	1	0.1%

# V200: LIKE TRUDEAU-1ST RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78	HIS INDEPENDENCE	2	0.2%
79	HIS OUTLOOK ON LIFE	1	0.1%
80	COMES OVER ON T	0	
81	DONE A FEW THINGS FOR THE FARMERS (ANY RESPONSE OF	1	0.1%
82	HIS ATTITUDE TOWARDS BUSINESS	1	0.1%
83	MEDICARE, OTHER WELFARE	0	
84	ECONOMIC POLICIES (INCLUDING TAXES)	1	0.1%
85	THE WAY HE IS HANDLING THE OIL CRISIS	0	
86	I HAVE CONFIDENCE IN HIM	0	
87	HE GIVES HELP TO THE MUNICIPALITIES	0	
89	HE WANTS TO BUILD A SEA PORT	1	0.1%
94	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	51	4.1%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	277	22.0%
96	LIKED NOTHING, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	37	2.9%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	2	0.2%
98	NA; INAP	1303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			



# V201: LIKE TRUDEAU-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=923 /-] [Invalid=1639 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, SMART, CAPABLE, BRIGHT, CLEVER, KNOWS	53	5.7%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, STRAIGHTFORWARD, FRANK, DIRECT,	35	3.8%
3	PERSONALITY, NICE PERSONALITY, NICE PERSON, I LIKE	29	3.1%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, SPEAKING ABILITY OR MANNER	44	4.8%
5	GOOD MAN, FINE MAN	6	0.7%
6	WORKS HARD, DOING A GOOD JOB, TRIES HARD, GETS	23	2.5%
7	GOOD LEADER, LEADERSHIP QUALITIES, HIS LEADERSHIP,	35	3.8%
8	CALM, COOL, EASY MANNER, UNRUFFLED	9	1.0%
9	GOOD FAMILY MAN, HIS FAMILY, HIS WIFE, HAS SETTLED	12	1.3%
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	5	0.5%
11	GOOD LOOKING, HANDSOME, HIS APPEARANCE	8	0.9%
12	BILINGUAL	13	1.4%
13	FRENCH CANADIAN	2	0.2%
14	DYNAMIC, ENERGETIC, FORCEFUL, VIGOROUS	7	0.8%
15	HE IS YOUNG, YOUTHFUL, LOOKS YOUNG FOR HIS AGE	11	1.2%
16	INTELLECTUAL, SCHOLAR, WELL-EDUCATED	12	1.3%
17	OUTSPOKEN, SAYS WHAT HE THINKS, BLUNT, MIND OF HIS	25	2.7%
18	CHARMING, A LADIES MAN	3	0.3%
19	MODERN, UP TO DATE, PROGRESSIVE, MOVES ONWARD,	4	0.4%
20	COLOURFUL, FLAMBOYANT, FLAIR, HIS STYLE, CLASSY	7	0.8%
21	BROAD MINDED, OPEN-MINDED, TOLERANT	4	0.4%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN	9	1.0%
23	INTERESTING	0	
24	SWINGER, SKIER, ATHLETE	5	0.5%
25	CHEERFUL, PLEASANT, ALWAYS SMILING	2	0.2%
26	HIS COURAGE, GUTS, SPUNK, BRAVERY	3	0.3%
27	DECISIVE, ABLE TO MAKE DECISIONS, DOESNT EVADE	12	1.3%
28	HE IS JUST, FAIR	5	0.5%
29	AGGRESSIVE, STANDS BEHIND HIS CONVICTIONS	15	1.6%
30	GOOD INTERNATIONALLY, GOOD IN FOREIGN AFFAIRS	14	1.5%
31	THE WAY HE HANDLED F	2	0.2%
32	THE WAY HE IS HANDLING QUEBEC, AGAINST SEPARATISM,	6	0.7%
33	STANDS UP FOR FRENCH LANGUAGE OR FRENCH PEOPLE, HE	2	0.2%
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA, INTERESTED IN	16	1.7%
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, INTERESTED IN PEOPLE,	9	1.0%
36	HE HAS HELPED THE PEOPLE	5	0.5%
37	HE CARES ABOUT OLD PEOPLE, HAS DONE A LOT FOR OLD	7	0.8%

# **# V201: LIKE TRUDEAU-2ND RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38	HE IS FOR YOUNG PEOPLE, DONE A LOT FOR YOUNGER	0	
39	HE IS FOR ALL WALKS OF LIFE, EVERYONE	0	
40	SERIOUS, MATURE, CAREFUL, CONTROLS HIMSELF	4	0.4%
41	A GENTLEMAN, DIGNIFIED, DISTINGUISHED, I RESPECT	5	0.5%
42	HAS A WAY WITH PEOPLE, HAS CHARISMA, HIS	10	1.1%
43	REFRESHING, DIFFERENT, NICEST EGOMANIAC AROUND	1	0.1%
44	NOT PATRONIZING	1	0.1%
45	GOOD UPBRINGING, COMES FROM A GOOD BACKGROUND,	2	0.2%
46	HANDLES PROBLEMS OR CRISES WELL	10	1.1%
47	CONFIDENT, VERY POSITIVE	6	0.7%
48	NOT A BAD CHAP, HE IS O	1	0.1%
49	HE IS OUTSTANDING, PERFECT, THE IDEAL MAN, LIKE	0	
50	HE ACTS QUICKLY	2	0.2%
51	HE HAS HIS OWN MONEY, IS A RICH MAN, NOT IN IT FOR	4	0.4%
52	HIS AMBITION	0	
53	HE KEEPS HIS PROMISES OR MOST OF HIS PROMISES,	1	0.1%
54	WILLING TO ADMIT MISTAKES, MAKE COMPROMISES	1	0.1%
55	LIKE THE WAY HE IS RUNNING THE COUNTRY	1	0.1%
56	TRYING TO KEEP PEACE	0	
57	WORK HE DID AS MINISTER OF JUSTICE, OVERHAULING OF	0	
58	BECOMING MORE SOCIALISTIC	0	
59	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION, HIGH PRICES, COST	6	0.7%
60	RAISED FAMILY ALLOWANCE, WIDOWS ALLOWANCE,	1	0.1%
61	HE IS STRONG	4	0.4%
62	HE IS A DIPLOMAT, STATESMAN	4	0.4%
63	HE IS REALISTIC	2	0.2%
64	HE HAS A GOOD TEAM	3	0.3%
65	RAN A GOOD CAMPAIGN	1	0.1%
66	DOESNT MAKE TOO MANY PROMISES	1	0.1%
67	LIKE HIS IDEAS, MOST OF HIS IDEAS, GOOD IDEAS,	5	0.5%
68	HE DID A GOOD JOB IN MINORITY GOVERNMENT OR WHEN	2	0.2%
69	THE WAY HE HANDLED EMPLOYMENT, JOBS	1	0.1%
70	LIKE HIS POLICIES (UNSPECIFIED)	2	0.2%
71	HANDLES PRESS WELL	3	0.3%
72	GOOD IMAGE	0	
73	HIS APPROACH, MANNER, THE WAY HE ACTS, GENERAL LIKE	2	0.2%
74	HE TRAVELS	1	0.1%
75	HE KEEPS PEOPLE OR THE COUNTRY INFORMED OF WHAT IS	1	0.1%
76	SYMPATHETIC, UNDERSTANDING (UNSPECIFIED)	1	0.1%
77	THE WAY HE HANDLES MEETINGS, KNOWS HOW TO DIRECT	1	0.1%

# V201: LIKE TRUDEAU-2ND RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78	HIS INDEPENDENCE	1	0.1%
79	HIS OUTLOOK ON LIFE	0	
80	COMES OVER ON T	1	0.1%
81	DONE A FEW THINGS FOR THE FARMERS (ANY RESPONSE OF	0	
82	HIS ATTITUDE TOWARDS BUSINESS	1	0.1%
83	MEDICARE, OTHER WELFARE	1	0.1%
84	ECONOMIC POLICIES (INCLUDING TAXES)	1	0.1%
85	THE WAY HE IS HANDLING THE OIL CRISIS	1	0.1%
86	I HAVE CONFIDENCE IN HIM	1	0.1%
87	HE GIVES HELP TO THE MUNICIPALITIES	0	
89	HE WANTS TO BUILD A SEA PORT	0	
94	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	51	5.5%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	277	30.0%
96	LIKED NOTHING, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	37	4.0%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	2	0.2%
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	336	
98	NA; INAP	1303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V202: LIKE TRUDEAU-3RD RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=594 /-] [Invalid=1968 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, SMART, CAPABLE, BRIGHT, CLEVER, KNOWS	16	2.7%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, STRAIGHTFORWARD, FRANK, DIRECT,	10	1.7%
3	PERSONALITY, NICE PERSONALITY, NICE PERSON, I LIKE	9	1.5%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, SPEAKING ABILITY OR MANNER	18	3.0%
5	GOOD MAN, FINE MAN	6	1.0%
6	WORKS HARD, DOING A GOOD JOB, TRIES HARD, GETS	8	1.3%
7	GOOD LEADER, LEADERSHIP QUALITIES, HIS LEADERSHIP,	10	1.7%
8	CALM, COOL, EASY MANNER, UNRUFFLED	4	0.7%
9	GOOD FAMILY MAN, HIS FAMILY, HIS WIFE, HAS SETTLED	10	1.7%
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	2	0.3%
11	GOOD LOOKING, HANDSOME, HIS APPEARANCE	3	0.5%
12	BILINGUAL	5	0.8%
13	FRENCH CANADIAN	1	0.2%
14	DYNAMIC, ENERGETIC, FORCEFUL, VIGOROUS	6	1.0%
15	HE IS YOUNG, YOUTHFUL, LOOKS YOUNG FOR HIS AGE	4	0.7%
16	INTELLECTUAL, SCHOLAR, WELL-EDUCATED	6	1.0%
17	OUTSPOKEN, SAYS WHAT HE THINKS, BLUNT, MIND OF HIS	6	1.0%
18	CHARMING, A LADIES MAN	3	0.5%

## # V202: LIKE TRUDEAU-3RD RESP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19	MODERN, UP TO DATE, PROGRESSIVE, MOVES ONWARD,	2	0.3%
20	COLOURFUL, FLAMBOYANT, FLAIR, HIS STYLE, CLASSY	1	0.2%
21	BROAD MINDED, OPEN-MINDED, TOLERANT	1	0.2%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN	2	0.3%
23	INTERESTING	0	
24	SWINGER, SKIER, ATHLETE	0	
25	CHEERFUL, PLEASANT, ALWAYS SMILING	1	0.2%
26	HIS COURAGE, GUTS, SPUNK, BRAVERY	2	0.3%
27	DECISIVE, ABLE TO MAKE DECISIONS, DOESNT EVADE	9	1.5%
28	HE IS JUST, FAIR	1	0.2%
29	AGGRESSIVE, STANDS BEHIND HIS CONVICTIONS	4	0.7%
30	GOOD INTERNATIONALLY, GOOD IN FOREIGN AFFAIRS	11	1.9%
31	THE WAY HE HANDLED F	0	
32	THE WAY HE IS HANDLING QUEBEC, AGAINST SEPARATISM,	2	0.3%
33	STANDS UP FOR FRENCH LANGUAGE OR FRENCH PEOPLE, HE	1	0.2%
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA, INTERESTED IN	3	0.5%
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, INTERESTED IN PEOPLE,	1	0.2%
36	HE HAS HELPED THE PEOPLE	2	0.3%
37	HE CARES ABOUT OLD PEOPLE, HAS DONE A LOT FOR OLD	2	0.3%
38	HE IS FOR YOUNG PEOPLE, DONE A LOT FOR YOUNGER	0	
39	HE IS FOR ALL WALKS OF LIFE, EVERYONE	1	0.2%
40	SERIOUS, MATURE, CAREFUL, CONTROLS HIMSELF	0	
41	A GENTLEMAN, DIGNIFIED, DISTINGUISHED, I RESPECT	0	
42	HAS A WAY WITH PEOPLE, HAS CHARISMA, HIS	11	1.9%
43	REFRESHING, DIFFERENT, NICEST EGOMANIAC AROUND	1	0.2%
44	NOT PATRONIZING	0	
45	GOOD UPBRINGING, COMES FROM A GOOD BACKGROUND,	1	0.2%
46	HANDLES PROBLEMS OR CRISES WELL	1	0.2%
47	CONFIDENT, VERY POSITIVE	1	0.2%
48	NOT A BAD CHAP, HE IS O	0	
49	HE IS OUTSTANDING, PERFECT, THE IDEAL MAN, LIKE	0	
50	HE ACTS QUICKLY	0	
51	HE HAS HIS OWN MONEY, IS A RICH MAN, NOT IN IT FOR	2	0.3%
52	HIS AMBITION	0	
53	HE KEEPS HIS PROMISES OR MOST OF HIS PROMISES,	1	0.2%
54	WILLING TO ADMIT MISTAKES, MAKE COMPROMISES	1	0.2%
55	LIKE THE WAY HE IS RUNNING THE COUNTRY	2	0.3%
56	TRYING TO KEEP PEACE	0	
57	WORK HE DID AS MINISTER OF JUSTICE, OVERHAULING OF	1	0.2%
58	BECOMING MORE SOCIALISTIC	0	

# V202: LIKE TRUDEAU-3RD RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION, HIGH PRICES, COST	1	0.2%
60	RAISED FAMILY ALLOWANCE, WIDOWS ALLOWANCE,	2	0.3%
61	HE IS STRONG	4	0.7%
62	HE IS A DIPLOMAT, STATESMAN	2	0.3%
63	HE IS REALISTIC	1	0.2%
64	HE HAS A GOOD TEAM	2	0.3%
65	RAN A GOOD CAMPAIGN	0	
66	DOESNT MAKE TOO MANY PROMISES	1	0.2%
67	LIKE HIS IDEAS, MOST OF HIS IDEAS, GOOD IDEAS,	3	0.5%
68	HE DID A GOOD JOB IN MINORITY GOVERNMENT OR WHEN	0	
69	THE WAY HE HANDLED EMPLOYMENT, JOBS	1	0.2%
70	LIKE HIS POLICIES (UNSPECIFIED)	3	0.5%
71	HANDLES PRESS WELL	0	
72	GOOD IMAGE	1	0.2%
73	HIS APPROACH, MANNER, THE WAY HE ACTS, GENERAL LIKE	1	0.2%
74	HE TRAVELS	3	0.5%
75	HE KEEPS PEOPLE OR THE COUNTRY INFORMED OF WHAT IS	0	
76	SYMPATHETIC, UNDERSTANDING (UNSPECIFIED)	3	0.5%
77	THE WAY HE HANDLES MEETINGS, KNOWS HOW TO DIRECT	0	
78	HIS INDEPENDENCE	0	
79	HIS OUTLOOK ON LIFE	0	
80	COMES OVER ON T	0	
81	DONE A FEW THINGS FOR THE FARMERS (ANY RESPONSE OF	0	
82	HIS ATTITUDE TOWARDS BUSINESS	0	
83	MEDICARE, OTHER WELFARE	0	
84	ECONOMIC POLICIES (INCLUDING TAXES)	1	0.2%
85	THE WAY HE IS HANDLING THE OIL CRISIS	1	0.2%
86	I HAVE CONFIDENCE IN HIM	1	0.2%
87	HE GIVES HELP TO THE MUNICIPALITIES	1	0.2%
89	HE WANTS TO BUILD A SEA PORT	0	
94	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	51	8.6%
95	NO NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	277	46.6%
96	LIKED NOTHING, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	37	6.2%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	2	0.3%
0	NO THIRD RESPONSE	665	
98	NA; INAP	1303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V203: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1259 /-] [Invalid=1303 /-]		

## # V203: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-1ST RESP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TOO ARROGANT, ARROGANT AT TIMES, OVERBEARING	87	6.9%
2	TRAVELS TOO MUCH, TAKES TOO MANY HOLIDAYS	39	3.1%
3	PLAYBOY, PLAYBOY IMAGE OR ANTICS	13	1.0%
4	DOESNT GET MUCH DONE, HASNT DONE MUCH, DOESNT	33	2.6%
5	NOT CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, NOT INTERESTED IN	19	1.5%
6	NOT CONCERNED ABOUT, NOT INTERESTED IN OR DOESNT	4	0.3%
7	NOT CONCERNED ABOUT, NOT INTERESTED IN OR DOESNT	5	0.4%
8	LACK OF CONCERN FOR THE COUNTRY OR CANADA, ONLY	11	0.9%
9	LACK OF CONCERN ABOUT WHAT IS GOING ON IN	6	0.5%
10	LACK OF CONCERN, INTEREST, OR UNDERSTANDING	6	0.5%
11	EVERYTHING	20	1.6%
12	SPENDS TOO MUCH OF OUR MONEY (TAXPAYERS MONEY) ON	2	0.2%
13	GIVES OR LOANS TOO MUCH MONEY TO OTHER COUNTRIES,	1	0.1%
14	TOO MUCH MONEY SPENT ON GRANTS (O	1	0.1%
15	SPENDS TOO MUCH MONEY (OTHER SPECIFIC RESPONSES)	2	0.2%
16	SPENDS, WASTES TOO MUCH MONEY (UNSPECIFIED)	8	0.6%
17	GIVES TOO MUCH TO QUEBEC OR FRENCH CANADA, FAVOURS	14	1.1%
18	FRENCH LANGUAGE ISSUE, CATERING TO BILINGUALISM,	10	0.8%
19	TOO MUCH FOR ONTARIO OR OTTAWA	0	
20	TOO MUCH FOR THE EAST, FORGETS THE WEST	8	0.6%
21	NOT ENOUGH DONE FOR QUEBEC, THINKS OF OTHER	2	0.2%
22	HE IS FRENCH	5	0.4%
23	HE DOESNT KEEP HIS PROMISES, TOO MANY PROMISES	18	1.4%
24	HE IS DISHONEST, NOT SINCERE	9	0.7%
25	HIS LANGUAGE, USES BAD WORDS, SWEARS, IS RUDE	15	1.2%
26	HE TALKS TOO MUCH	4	0.3%
27	SARCASTIC	6	0.5%
28	CONCEITED, INFLATED EGO, SNOB	17	1.4%
29	PERSONALITY, NOT A LIKEABLE MAN, JUST DONT LIKE	19	1.5%
30	MANNER, ATTITUDE, THE WAY HE ACTS, GENERAL	27	2.1%
31	HIS INFORMALITY, NOT DIGNIFIED, TOO FLAMBOYANT	11	0.9%
32	DOESNT ANSWER QUESTIONS DIRECTLY, AVOIDS TAKING	19	1.5%
33	SHRUG OF SHOULDER ATTITUDE, SO WHAT ATTITUDE	7	0.6%
34	TOO DICTATORIAL, TOO INDEPENDENT, DOESNT CONSULT	6	0.5%
35	IMAGE HE PRESENTS AS LEADER OF CANADA, NOT AN	5	0.4%
36	HE IS JUST A FIGUREHEAD, A FRONT MAN	2	0.2%
37	HIS APPEARANCE, LOOKS, SPECIFIC MANNERISMS, VOICE	20	1.6%

# **# V203: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-1ST RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38	TOO AMBITIOUS, POWER HUNGRY, DO ANYTHING FOR VOTES	7	0.6%
39	COOL, ALOOF, NOT FRIENDLY	13	1.0%
40	TRUDEAUMANIA STYLE, THE WAY HE WON VOTES WITH	5	0.4%
41	THE WAY HE RUNS COUNTRY OR GOVERNMENT	6	0.5%
42	COULD DO A LOT BETTER, COULD DO MORE	4	0.3%
43	NOT DO ANYTHING OR MUCH ABOUT INFLATION, COST OF	24	1.9%
44	NOT A GOOD LEADER	3	0.2%
45	HIS MAJORITY	0	
46	HIS PARTY, HE IS A LIBERAL, HIS POLITICS	3	0.2%
47	TOO EXTREME IN HIS THINKING, TOO SOCIALISTIC	4	0.3%
48	HE IS TOO RICH, NEVER HAD TO SUFFER OR WORK	6	0.5%
49	HIS MARRYING SUCH A YOUNG GIRL	0	
50	NOT A POLITICIAN	1	0.1%
51	HIS CABINET SHUFFLE	2	0.2%
52	RELIES TOO MUCH ON SOME OF HIS MINISTERS OR CAREER	4	0.3%
53	HE IS IMPATIENT	7	0.6%
54	HE IS UNFAIR	1	0.1%
55	OIL POLICY, FUEL CRISIS, PIPELINE	1	0.1%
56	HANDLING OF UNEMPLOYMENT, UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	4	0.3%
57	HANDLING OF OLD AGE SECURITY, NOT ENOUGH FOR AGED	0	
58	HANDLING OF WELFARE, ABUSE OF WELFARE	2	0.2%
59	HANDLING OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS	11	0.9%
60	HANDLING OF IMMIGRATION	0	
61	HANDLING OF QUEBEC QUESTION, SEPARATISM	2	0.2%
62	HANDLING OF LARGE CORPORATIONS, TOO MUCH FOR LARGE	2	0.2%
63	HANDLING OF AMERICAN CONTROL	1	0.1%
64	HANDLING OF TAXES, TAXES TOO HIGH	5	0.4%
65	HANDLING OF AGRICULTURE OR FARM PROBLEMS, NOT	2	0.2%
66	HANDLING OF LEGISLATION ON MORAL ISSUES, VIEWS ON	8	0.6%
67	HANDLING OF L	2	0.2%
68	DISLIKE HIS POLICIES (UNSPECIFIED)	10	0.8%
69	HIS BEHAVIOUR WITH THE PRESS, BELITTLES THE PRESS	2	0.2%
70	TOO INTELLECTUAL, SMART	3	0.2%
71	HE HAS CHANGED	3	0.2%
72	TOO OUTSPOKEN	3	0.2%
73	POOR SPEAKER	3	0.2%
74	HIS TEMPER	1	0.1%
75	HIS SPEECHES DURING THE ELECTION, THE TRAIN	1	0.1%
76	LACK OF POLICIES, NO SET POLICIES, DONT HEAR ABOUT	0	
77	TOO MUCH FOR EAST AND PRAIRIES (IGNORES B	1	0.1%

# V203: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-1ST RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78	I DONT TRUST HIM	0	
79	HE USES HIS WIFE TO GAIN POPULARITY, WORRIED HIS	3	0.2%
80	SPENDS TOO MUCH TIME WITH HIS FAMILY	1	0.1%
81	HIS ECONOMIC POLICIES	1	0.1%
82	NOT AGGRESSIVE ENOUGH, TOO CONSERVATIVE	2	0.2%
83	TOO NARROW	5	0.4%
84	CHANGES HIS MIND TOO OFTEN	4	0.3%
85	HIS IDEAS (UNSPECIFIED)	1	0.1%
86	DOESNT DO ANYTHING ABOUT UNIONS, DOESNT PREVENT	0	
87	HE IS A NON-VETERAN	0	
89	HIS EMOTIONS SHOW THROUGH	1	0.1%
90	NOT A TYPICAL WHOLESOME CANADIAN	0	
91	REALLY NOT A MAN	0	
92	HE CRITICIZES OTHERS TOO OFTEN	0	
93	HE DOESNT USE HIS WIFE TO GAIN POPULARITY	0	
94	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	70	5.6%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	505	40.1%
96	NOTHING DISLIKE, LIKE HIM	26	2.1%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	2	0.2%
98	NA; INAP	1303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V204: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=850 /-] [Invalid=1712 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TOO ARROGANT, ARROGANT AT TIMES, OVERBEARING	10	1.2%
2	TRAVELS TOO MUCH, TAKES TOO MANY HOLIDAYS	11	1.3%
3	PLAYBOY, PLAYBOY IMAGE OR ANTICS	3	0.4%
4	DOESNT GET MUCH DONE, HASNT DONE MUCH, DOESNT	7	0.8%
5	NOT CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, NOT INTERESTED IN	6	0.7%
6	NOT CONCERNED ABOUT, NOT INTERESTED IN OR DOESNT	3	0.4%
7	NOT CONCERNED ABOUT, NOT INTERESTED IN OR DOESNT	2	0.2%
8	LACK OF CONCERN FOR THE COUNTRY OR CANADA, ONLY	7	0.8%
9	LACK OF CONCERN ABOUT WHAT IS GOING ON IN	6	0.7%
10	LACK OF CONCERN, INTEREST, OR UNDERSTANDING	3	0.4%
11	EVERYTHING	2	0.2%
12	SPENDS TOO MUCH OF OUR MONEY (TAXPAYERS MONEY) ON	4	0.5%
13	GIVES OR LOANS TOO MUCH MONEY TO OTHER COUNTRIES,	1	0.1%
14	TOO MUCH MONEY SPENT ON GRANTS (O	1	0.1%
15	SPENDS TOO MUCH MONEY (OTHER SPECIFIC RESPONSES)	2	0.2%



## # V204: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-2ND RESP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16	SPENDS, WASTES TOO MUCH MONEY (UNSPECIFIED)	1	0.1%
17	GIVES TOO MUCH TO QUEBEC OR FRENCH CANADA, FAVOURS	11	1.3%
18	FRENCH LANGUAGE ISSUE, CATERING TO BILINGUALISM,	6	0.7%
19	TOO MUCH FOR ONTARIO OR OTTAWA	2	0.2%
20	TOO MUCH FOR THE EAST, FORGETS THE WEST	6	0.7%
21	NOT ENOUGH DONE FOR QUEBEC, THINKS OF OTHER	0	
22	HE IS FRENCH	1	0.1%
23	HE DOESNT KEEP HIS PROMISES, TOO MANY PROMISES	7	0.8%
24	HE IS DISHONEST, NOT SINCERE	6	0.7%
25	HIS LANGUAGE, USES BAD WORDS, SWEARS, IS RUDE	5	0.6%
26	HE TALKS TOO MUCH	0	
27	SARCASTIC	1	0.1%
28	CONCEITED, INFLATED EGO, SNOB	7	0.8%
29	PERSONALITY, NOT A LIKEABLE MAN, JUST DONT LIKE	6	0.7%
30	MANNER, ATTITUDE, THE WAY HE ACTS, GENERAL	3	0.4%
31	HIS INFORMALITY, NOT DIGNIFIED, TOO FLAMBOYANT	3	0.4%
32	DOESNT ANSWER QUESTIONS DIRECTLY, AVOIDS TAKING	9	1.1%
33	SHRUG OF SHOULDER ATTITUDE, SO WHAT ATTITUDE	0	
34	TOO DICTATORIAL, TOO INDEPENDENT, DOESNT CONSULT	8	0.9%
35	IMAGE HE PRESENTS AS LEADER OF CANADA, NOT AN	2	0.2%
36	HE IS JUST A FIGUREHEAD, A FRONT MAN	2	0.2%
37	HIS APPEARANCE, LOOKS, SPECIFIC MANNERISMS, VOICE	2	0.2%
38	TOO AMBITIOUS, POWER HUNGRY, DO ANYTHING FOR VOTES	2	0.2%
39	COOL, ALOOF, NOT FRIENDLY	4	0.5%
40	TRUDEAUMANIA STYLE, THE WAY HE WON VOTES WITH	1	0.1%
41	THE WAY HE RUNS COUNTRY OR GOVERNMENT	3	0.4%
42	COULD DO A LOT BETTER, COULD DO MORE	2	0.2%
43	NOT DO ANYTHING OR MUCH ABOUT INFLATION, COST OF	10	1.2%
44	NOT A GOOD LEADER	1	0.1%
45	HIS MAJORITY	3	0.4%
46	HIS PARTY, HE IS A LIBERAL, HIS POLITICS	2	0.2%
47	TOO EXTREME IN HIS THINKING, TOO SOCIALISTIC	0	
48	HE IS TOO RICH, NEVER HAD TO SUFFER OR WORK	4	0.5%
49	HIS MARRYING SUCH A YOUNG GIRL	1	0.1%
50	NOT A POLITICIAN	0	
51	HIS CABINET SHUFFLE	2	0.2%
52	RELIES TOO MUCH ON SOME OF HIS MINISTERS OR CAREER	1	0.1%
53	HE IS IMPATIENT	1	0.1%
54	HE IS UNFAIR	0	
55	OIL POLICY, FUEL CRISIS, PIPELINE	2	0.2%

# **# V204: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-2ND RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
56	HANDLING OF UNEMPLOYMENT, UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	3	0.4%
57	HANDLING OF OLD AGE SECURITY, NOT ENOUGH FOR AGED	2	0.2%
58	HANDLING OF WELFARE, ABUSE OF WELFARE	2	0.2%
59	HANDLING OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS	1	0.1%
60	HANDLING OF IMMIGRATION	2	0.2%
61	HANDLING OF QUEBEC QUESTION, SEPARATISM	3	0.4%
62	HANDLING OF LARGE CORPORATIONS, TOO MUCH FOR LARGE	4	0.5%
63	HANDLING OF AMERICAN CONTROL	1	0.1%
64	HANDLING OF TAXES, TAXES TOO HIGH	0	
65	HANDLING OF AGRICULTURE OR FARM PROBLEMS, NOT	3	0.4%
66	HANDLING OF LEGISLATION ON MORAL ISSUES, VIEWS ON	3	0.4%
67	HANDLING OF L	2	0.2%
68	DISLIKE HIS POLICIES (UNSPECIFIED)	2	0.2%
69	HIS BEHAVIOUR WITH THE PRESS, BELITTLES THE PRESS	3	0.4%
70	TOO INTELLECTUAL, SMART	2	0.2%
71	HE HAS CHANGED	0	
72	TOO OUTSPOKEN	1	0.1%
73	POOR SPEAKER	0	
74	HIS TEMPER	3	0.4%
75	HIS SPEECHES DURING THE ELECTION, THE TRAIN	0	
76	LACK OF POLICIES, NO SET POLICIES, DONT HEAR ABOUT	2	0.2%
77	TOO MUCH FOR EAST AND PRAIRIES (IGNORES B	0	
78	I DONT TRUST HIM	1	0.1%
79	HE USES HIS WIFE TO GAIN POPULARITY, WORRIED HIS	2	0.2%
80	SPENDS TOO MUCH TIME WITH HIS FAMILY	0	
81	HIS ECONOMIC POLICIES	1	0.1%
82	NOT AGGRESSIVE ENOUGH, TOO CONSERVATIVE	1	0.1%
83	TOO NARROW	0	
84	CHANGES HIS MIND TOO OFTEN	1	0.1%
85	HIS IDEAS (UNSPECIFIED)	0	
86	DOESNT DO ANYTHING ABOUT UNIONS, DOESNT PREVENT	2	0.2%
87	HE IS A NON-VETERAN	0	
89	HIS EMOTIONS SHOW THROUGH	1	0.1%
90	NOT A TYPICAL WHOLESOME CANADIAN	0	
91	REALLY NOT A MAN	3	0.4%
92	HE CRITICIZES OTHERS TOO OFTEN	1	0.1%
93	HE DOESNT USE HIS WIFE TO GAIN POPULARITY	0	
94	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	70	8.2%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	505	59.4%
96	NOTHING DISLIKE, LIKE HIM	26	3.1%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	2	0.2%
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	409	

# V204: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-2ND RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	NA; INAP	1303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V205: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-3RD RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=685 /-] [Invalid=1877 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	TOO ARROGANT, ARROGANT AT TIMES, OVERBEARING	1	0.1%
2	TRAVELS TOO MUCH, TAKES TOO MANY HOLIDAYS	6	0.9%
3	PLAYBOY, PLAYBOY IMAGE OR ANTICS	0	
4	DOESNT GET MUCH DONE, HASNT DONE MUCH, DOESNT	1	0.1%
5	NOT CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, NOT INTERESTED IN	3	0.4%
6	NOT CONCERNED ABOUT, NOT INTERESTED IN OR DOESNT	1	0.1%
7	NOT CONCERNED ABOUT, NOT INTERESTED IN OR DOESNT	0	
8	LACK OF CONCERN FOR THE COUNTRY OR CANADA, ONLY	2	0.3%
9	LACK OF CONCERN ABOUT WHAT IS GOING ON IN	1	0.1%
10	LACK OF CONCERN, INTEREST, OR UNDERSTANDING	0	
11	EVERYTHING	0	
12	SPENDS TOO MUCH OF OUR MONEY (TAXPAYERS MONEY) ON	0	
13	GIVES OR LOANS TOO MUCH MONEY TO OTHER COUNTRIES,	1	0.1%
14	TOO MUCH MONEY SPENT ON GRANTS (O	0	
15	SPENDS TOO MUCH MONEY (OTHER SPECIFIC RESPONSES)	3	0.4%
16	SPENDS, WASTES TOO MUCH MONEY (UNSPECIFIED)	0	
17	GIVES TOO MUCH TO QUEBEC OR FRENCH CANADA, FAVOURS	4	0.6%
18	FRENCH LANGUAGE ISSUE, CATERING TO BILINGUALISM,	1	0.1%
19	TOO MUCH FOR ONTARIO OR OTTAWA	1	0.1%
20	TOO MUCH FOR THE EAST, FORGETS THE WEST	1	0.1%
21	NOT ENOUGH DONE FOR QUEBEC, THINKS OF OTHER	0	
22	HE IS FRENCH	0	
23	HE DOESNT KEEP HIS PROMISES, TOO MANY PROMISES	1	0.1%
24	HE IS DISHONEST, NOT SINCERE	1	0.1%
25	HIS LANGUAGE, USES BAD WORDS, SWEARS, IS RUDE	1	0.1%
26	HE TALKS TOO MUCH	0	
27	SARCASTIC	1	0.1%
28	CONCEITED, INFLATED EGO, SNOB	0	
29	PERSONALITY, NOT A LIKEABLE MAN, JUST DONT LIKE	2	0.3%
30	MANNER, ATTITUDE, THE WAY HE ACTS, GENERAL	1	0.1%
31	HIS INFORMALITY, NOT DIGNIFIED, TOO FLAMBOYANT	2	0.3%
32	DOESNT ANSWER QUESTIONS DIRECTLY, AVOIDS TAKING	2	0.3%

# **# V205: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-3RD RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
33	SHRUG OF SHOULDER ATTITUDE, SO WHAT ATTITUDE	1	0.1%
34	TOO DICTATORIAL, TOO INDEPENDENT, DOESNT CONSULT	6	0.9%
35	IMAGE HE PRESENTS AS LEADER OF CANADA, NOT AN	1	0.1%
36	HE IS JUST A FIGUREHEAD, A FRONT MAN	1	0.1%
37	HIS APPEARANCE, LOOKS, SPECIFIC MANNERISMS, VOICE	2	0.3%
38	TOO AMBITIOUS, POWER HUNGRY, DO ANYTHING FOR VOTES	0	
39	COOL, ALOOF, NOT FRIENDLY	0	
40	TRUDEAUMANIA STYLE, THE WAY HE WON VOTES WITH	1	0.1%
41	THE WAY HE RUNS COUNTRY OR GOVERNMENT	1	0.1%
42	COULD DO A LOT BETTER, COULD DO MORE	0	
43	NOT DO ANYTHING OR MUCH ABOUT INFLATION, COST OF	6	0.9%
44	NOT A GOOD LEADER	1	0.1%
45	HIS MAJORITY	0	
46	HIS PARTY, HE IS A LIBERAL, HIS POLITICS	1	0.1%
47	TOO EXTREME IN HIS THINKING, TOO SOCIALISTIC	1	0.1%
48	HE IS TOO RICH, NEVER HAD TO SUFFER OR WORK	2	0.3%
49	HIS MARRYING SUCH A YOUNG GIRL	0	
50	NOT A POLITICIAN	0	
51	HIS CABINET SHUFFLE	1	0.1%
52	RELIES TOO MUCH ON SOME OF HIS MINISTERS OR CAREER	0	
53	HE IS IMPATIENT	0	
54	HE IS UNFAIR	0	
55	OIL POLICY, FUEL CRISIS, PIPELINE	1	0.1%
56	HANDLING OF UNEMPLOYMENT, UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	0	
57	HANDLING OF OLD AGE SECURITY, NOT ENOUGH FOR AGED	0	
58	HANDLING OF WELFARE, ABUSE OF WELFARE	0	
59	HANDLING OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS	4	0.6%
60	HANDLING OF IMMIGRATION	0	
61	HANDLING OF QUEBEC QUESTION, SEPARATISM	0	
62	HANDLING OF LARGE CORPORATIONS, TOO MUCH FOR LARGE	1	0.1%
63	HANDLING OF AMERICAN CONTROL	3	0.4%
64	HANDLING OF TAXES, TAXES TOO HIGH	0	
65	HANDLING OF AGRICULTURE OR FARM PROBLEMS, NOT	1	0.1%
66	HANDLING OF LEGISLATION ON MORAL ISSUES, VIEWS ON	0	
67	HANDLING OF L	0	
68	DISLIKE HIS POLICIES (UNSPECIFIED)	0	
69	HIS BEHAVIOUR WITH THE PRESS, BELITTLES THE PRESS	1	0.1%
70	TOO INTELLECTUAL, SMART	0	
71	HE HAS CHANGED	0	
72	TOO OUTSPOKEN	0	

# V205: DISLIKE TRUDEAU-3RD RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
73	POOR SPEAKER	0	
74	HIS TEMPER	0	
75	HIS SPEECHES DURING THE ELECTION, THE TRAIN	1	0.1%
76	LACK OF POLICIES, NO SET POLICIES, DONT HEAR ABOUT	0	
77	TOO MUCH FOR EAST AND PRAIRIES (IGNORES B	0	
78	I DONT TRUST HIM	1	0.1%
79	HE USES HIS WIFE TO GAIN POPULARITY, WORRIED HIS	3	0.4%
80	SPENDS TOO MUCH TIME WITH HIS FAMILY	0	
81	HIS ECONOMIC POLICIES	1	0.1%
82	NOT AGGRESSIVE ENOUGH, TOO CONSERVATIVE	0	
83	TOO NARROW	0	
84	CHANGES HIS MIND TOO OFTEN	0	
85	HIS IDEAS (UNSPECIFIED)	0	
86	DOESNT DO ANYTHING ABOUT UNIONS, DOESNT PREVENT	0	
87	HE IS A NON-VETERAN	1	0.1%
89	HIS EMOTIONS SHOW THROUGH	0	
90	NOT A TYPICAL WHOLESOME CANADIAN	1	0.1%
91	REALLY NOT A MAN	0	
92	HE CRITICIZES OTHERS TOO OFTEN	1	0.1%
93	HE DOESNT USE HIS WIFE TO GAIN POPULARITY	0	
94	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	70	10.2%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	505	73.7%
96	NOTHING DISLIKE, LIKE HIM	26	3.8%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	2	0.3%
0	NO THIRD RESPONSE	574	
98	NA; INAP	1303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V206: LIKE STANFIELD-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1257 /-] [Invalid=1305 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, CAPABLE, CLEVER, BRILLIANT	32	2.5%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, HAS INTEGRITY, STRAIGHTFORWARD,	194	15.4%
3	NICE PERSON, LIKE HIM, GOOD PERSONALITY	34	2.7%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, IMPROVED SPEAKER	4	0.3%
5	GOOD MAN	39	3.1%
6	WORKS HARD, TRIES HARD, DOES HIS BEST	10	0.8%
7	GOOD LEADER, WOULD MAKE A GOOD PRIME MINISTER, HAS	17	1.4%
8	CALM	7	0.6%
9	HE IS A FAMILY MAN, HAS A NICE FAMILY	1	0.1%
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	4	0.3%
11	NICE LOOKING, LOOKS HONEST OR SINCERE, HIS	2	0.2%
12	HE TRIES VERY HARD TO LEARN FRENCH, HIS FRENCH	22	1.8%

# **# V206: LIKE STANFIELD-1ST RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	NOVA SCOTIAN, TYPICAL OF EASTERN PROVINCES, FROM	8	0.6%
14	HE IS A GENTLEMAN, DIGNIFIED	13	1.0%
15	ANY RESPONSE OF OLD MAN IN A FAVOURABLE CONTEXT	0	
16	TRUSTWORTHY	0	
17	HE IS HUMBLE, HOME STYLE, MODEST, NOT A SHOW-OFF,	8	0.6%
18	HE IS O	10	0.8%
19	CONSERVATIVE, MODERATE	5	0.4%
20	HE IS A GOOD OR SMART BUSINESSMAN	10	0.8%
21	BETTER THAN TRUDEAU, AS GOOD AS TRUDEAU (ANY	8	0.6%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN, OLD-TIME POLITICIAN, HAS	4	0.3%
23	HE IS KIND, GENEROUS, SYMPATHETIC, UNDERSTANDING	6	0.5%
24	HIGH MORAL STANDARDS, DOESNT SWEAR, DECENT	4	0.3%
25	NICE FRIENDLY FELLOW, PLEASANT PERSON, WARM	7	0.6%
26	HIS COURAGE	4	0.3%
27	STEADY, RELIABLE	9	0.7%
28	EARNEST, SERIOUS	8	0.6%
29	DETERMINATION, KEEPS TRYING, HAS STAMINA, TENACITY,	10	0.8%
30	MORE HUMAN, DOWN TO EARTH	6	0.5%
31	HE IS A STATESMAN, LIKE A STATESMAN, DIPLOMAT	4	0.3%
32	IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION, HIGH PRICES, COST OF	8	0.6%
33	LIKE HIS POLICY ON WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS,	5	0.4%
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA	7	0.6%
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, CANADIAN PEOPLE, IN	13	1.0%
36	HE HAS HELPED PEOPLE, DONE A LOT FOR PEOPLE, WOULD	0	
37	HE CARES ABOUT OLD PEOPLE, WOULD RAISE PENSIONS	6	0.5%
38	LIKED HIM ON T	1	0.1%
39	I DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, HARD TO SAY, HASNT	25	2.0%
40	HAS GOOD INTENTIONS, MEANS WELL, WILLING TO TRY	4	0.3%
41	HAS A LOT OF STAMINA	0	
42	HE IS RESPECTED, ADMIRE, I RESPECT OR ADMIRE HIM	2	0.2%
43	HE IS A GO-GETTER, STANDS BEHIND HIS CONVICTIONS,	3	0.2%
44	I LIKE HIS IDEAS, GOOD IDEAS	8	0.6%
45	HE DID A GOOD JOB IN NOVA SCOTIA	2	0.2%
46	HIS QUALITIES, SOME THINGS (UNSPECIFIED)	7	0.6%
47	HE IS DIFFERENT	0	
48	LIKE HIS POLICIES, GOOD POLICIES	7	0.6%
49	HE PERFORMED WELL IN HIS CAMPAIGN, WANTED TO DEAL	1	0.1%
50	HELPS INDUSTRY	0	
51	PLANS CAREFULLY	1	0.1%

# V206: LIKE STANFIELD-1ST RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
52	HAS NO STRONG POINTS (NO LIKES MENTIONED)	2	0.2%
53	HAS A GOOD IMAGE	3	0.2%
54	LOYAL TO PARTY, REPRESENTS THE PARTY	2	0.2%
55	AWARE OF HIS BAD IMAGE, KNOWS HIS FAULTS	0	
56	HE IS EXPERIENCED, HAS THE POTENTIAL	1	0.1%
57	WOULD MAKE A GOOD ADMINISTRATOR, IS A GOOD	1	0.1%
58	FOR THE WORKING MAN	0	
59	GOOD JOB IN OPPOSITION	3	0.2%
60	WOULD SAVE US MONEY	0	
61	HE IS OUTSPOKEN, SPEAKS HIS MIND	4	0.3%
62	INTERESTED IN THE WEST	0	
63	WANTS TO BE FAIR, FAIR MAN	5	0.4%
64	HIS MANNER, HIS WAY (UNSPECIFIED)	2	0.2%
65	HE IS TRYING HARD IN QUEBEC, WITH FRENCH PEOPLE	0	
66	TOO BAD HE LOST, I FEEL SORRY FOR HIM	1	0.1%
67	HIS ECONOMIC POLICIES	1	0.1%
68	HIS LONG UNDERWEAR	3	0.2%
69	RAISED FAMILY ALLOWANCE	0	
70	PROUD TO BE A CANADIAN	0	
71	HE LIKES TO GARDEN	0	
72	HE CARES ABOUT UNEMPLOYMENT	1	0.1%
73	IF HE WAS FRENCH CANADIAN HE WOULD DO BETTER	1	0.1%
74	HE HELPS INDIANS	1	0.1%
88	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	88	7.0%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	475	37.8%
96	NOTHING LIKED, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	52	4.1%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	21	1.7%
98	NA; INAP	1305	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V207: LIKE STANFIELD-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=882 /-] [Invalid=1680 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, CAPABLE, CLEVER, BRILLIANT	17	1.9%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, HAS INTEGRITY, STRAIGHTFORWARD,	33	3.7%
3	NICE PERSON, LIKE HIM, GOOD PERSONALITY	8	0.9%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, IMPROVED SPEAKER	6	0.7%
5	GOOD MAN	5	0.6%
6	WORKS HARD, TRIES HARD, DOES HIS BEST	19	2.2%
7	GOOD LEADER, WOULD MAKE A GOOD PRIME MINISTER, HAS	8	0.9%
8	CALM	1	0.1%
9	HE IS A FAMILY MAN, HAS A NICE FAMILY	3	0.3%
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	1	0.1%

# **# V207: LIKE STANFIELD-2ND RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	NICE LOOKING, LOOKS HONEST OR SINCERE, HIS	3	0.3%
12	HE TRIES VERY HARD TO LEARN FRENCH, HIS FRENCH	8	0.9%
13	NOVA SCOTIAN, TYPICAL OF EASTERN PROVINCES, FROM	2	0.2%
14	HE IS A GENTLEMAN, DIGNIFIED	3	0.3%
15	ANY RESPONSE OF OLD MAN IN A FAVOURABLE CONTEXT	1	0.1%
16	TRUSTWORTHY	1	0.1%
17	HE IS HUMBLE, HOME STYLE, MODEST, NOT A SHOW-OFF,	5	0.6%
18	HE IS O	1	0.1%
19	CONSERVATIVE, MODERATE	1	0.1%
20	HE IS A GOOD OR SMART BUSINESSMAN	4	0.5%
21	BETTER THAN TRUDEAU, AS GOOD AS TRUDEAU (ANY	4	0.5%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN, OLD-TIME POLITICIAN, HAS	2	0.2%
23	HE IS KIND, GENEROUS, SYMPATHETIC, UNDERSTANDING	8	0.9%
24	HIGH MORAL STANDARDS, DOESNT SWEAR, DECENT	2	0.2%
25	NICE FRIENDLY FELLOW, PLEASANT PERSON, WARM	1	0.1%
26	HIS COURAGE	2	0.2%
27	STEADY, RELIABLE	3	0.3%
28	EARNEST, SERIOUS	2	0.2%
29	DETERMINATION, KEEPS TRYING, HAS STAMINA, TENACITY,	9	1.0%
30	MORE HUMAN, DOWN TO EARTH	1	0.1%
31	HE IS A STATESMAN, LIKE A STATESMAN, DIPLOMAT	1	0.1%
32	IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION, HIGH PRICES, COST OF	6	0.7%
33	LIKE HIS POLICY ON WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS,	4	0.5%
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA	4	0.5%
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, CANADIAN PEOPLE, IN	11	1.2%
36	HE HAS HELPED PEOPLE, DONE A LOT FOR PEOPLE, WOULD	5	0.6%
37	HE CARES ABOUT OLD PEOPLE, WOULD RAISE PENSIONS	2	0.2%
38	LIKED HIM ON T	0	
39	I DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, HARD TO SAY, HASNT	0	
40	HAS GOOD INTENTIONS, MEANS WELL, WILLING TO TRY	4	0.5%
41	HAS A LOT OF STAMINA	0	
42	HE IS RESPECTED, ADMIRER, I RESPECT OR ADMIRE HIM	1	0.1%
43	HE IS A GO-GETTER, STANDS BEHIND HIS CONVICTIONS,	6	0.7%
44	I LIKE HIS IDEAS, GOOD IDEAS	7	0.8%
45	HE DID A GOOD JOB IN NOVA SCOTIA	3	0.3%
46	HIS QUALITIES, SOME THINGS (UNSPECIFIED)	0	
47	HE IS DIFFERENT	0	
48	LIKE HIS POLICIES, GOOD POLICIES	5	0.6%
49	HE PERFORMED WELL IN HIS CAMPAIGN, WANTED TO DEAL	0	



# V207: LIKE STANFIELD-2ND RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	HELPS INDUSTRY	1	0.1%
51	PLANS CAREFULLY	0	
52	HAS NO STRONG POINTS (NO LIKES MENTIONED)	0	
53	HAS A GOOD IMAGE	1	0.1%
54	LOYAL TO PARTY, REPRESENTS THE PARTY	1	0.1%
55	AWARE OF HIS BAD IMAGE, KNOWS HIS FAULTS	1	0.1%
56	HE IS EXPERIENCED, HAS THE POTENTIAL	2	0.2%
57	WOULD MAKE A GOOD ADMINISTRATOR, IS A GOOD	1	0.1%
58	FOR THE WORKING MAN	0	
59	GOOD JOB IN OPPOSITION	1	0.1%
60	WOULD SAVE US MONEY	1	0.1%
61	HE IS OUTSPOKEN, SPEAKS HIS MIND	2	0.2%
62	INTERESTED IN THE WEST	2	0.2%
63	WANTS TO BE FAIR, FAIR MAN	1	0.1%
64	HIS MANNER, HIS WAY (UNSPECIFIED)	3	0.3%
65	HE IS TRYING HARD IN QUEBEC, WITH FRENCH PEOPLE	1	0.1%
66	TOO BAD HE LOST, I FEEL SORRY FOR HIM	1	0.1%
67	HIS ECONOMIC POLICIES	1	0.1%
68	HIS LONG UNDERWEAR	0	
69	RAISED FAMILY ALLOWANCE	1	0.1%
70	PROUD TO BE A CANADIAN	1	0.1%
71	HE LIKES TO GARDEN	1	0.1%
72	HE CARES ABOUT UNEMPLOYMENT	0	
73	IF HE WAS FRENCH CANADIAN HE WOULD DO BETTER	0	
74	HE HELPS INDIANS	0	
88	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	88	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	475	<div><div></div></div> 53.9%
96	NOTHING LIKED, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	52	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	21	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	375	
98	NA; INAP	1305	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V208: LIKE STANFIELD-3RD RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=701 /-] [Invalid=1861 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, CAPABLE, CLEVER, BRILLIANT	2	0.3%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, HAS INTEGRITY, STRAIGHTFORWARD,	8	1.1%
3	NICE PERSON, LIKE HIM, GOOD PERSONALITY	4	0.6%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, IMPROVED SPEAKER	1	0.1%
5	GOOD MAN	0	
6	WORKS HARD, TRIES HARD, DOES HIS BEST	3	0.4%
7	GOOD LEADER, WOULD MAKE A GOOD PRIME MINISTER, HAS	3	0.4%

# **# V208: LIKE STANFIELD-3RD RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	CALM	1	0.1%
9	HE IS A FAMILY MAN, HAS A NICE FAMILY	0	
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	3	0.4%
11	NICE LOOKING, LOOKS HONEST OR SINCERE, HIS	0	
12	HE TRIES VERY HARD TO LEARN FRENCH, HIS FRENCH	1	0.1%
13	NOVA SCOTIAN, TYPICAL OF EASTERN PROVINCES, FROM	0	
14	HE IS A GENTLEMAN, DIGNIFIED	2	0.3%
15	ANY RESPONSE OF OLD MAN IN A FAVOURABLE CONTEXT	0	
16	TRUSTWORTHY	1	0.1%
17	HE IS HUMBLE, HOME STYLE, MODEST, NOT A SHOW-OFF,	2	0.3%
18	HE IS O	0	
19	CONSERVATIVE, MODERATE	0	
20	HE IS A GOOD OR SMART BUSINESSMAN	1	0.1%
21	BETTER THAN TRUDEAU, AS GOOD AS TRUDEAU (ANY	0	
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN, OLD-TIME POLITICIAN, HAS	0	
23	HE IS KIND, GENEROUS, SYMPATHETIC, UNDERSTANDING	4	0.6%
24	HIGH MORAL STANDARDS, DOESNT SWEAR, DECENT	0	
25	NICE FRIENDLY FELLOW, PLEASANT PERSON, WARM	0	
26	HIS COURAGE	0	
27	STEADY, RELIABLE	0	
28	EARNEST, SERIOUS	1	0.1%
29	DETERMINATION, KEEPS TRYING, HAS STAMINA, TENACITY,	1	0.1%
30	MORE HUMAN, DOWN TO EARTH	2	0.3%
31	HE IS A STATESMAN, LIKE A STATESMAN, DIPLOMAT	0	
32	IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION, HIGH PRICES, COST OF	3	0.4%
33	LIKE HIS POLICY ON WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS,	1	0.1%
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA	3	0.4%
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, CANADIAN PEOPLE, IN	2	0.3%
36	HE HAS HELPED PEOPLE, DONE A LOT FOR PEOPLE, WOULD	0	
37	HE CARES ABOUT OLD PEOPLE, WOULD RAISE PENSIONS	1	0.1%
38	LIKED HIM ON T	0	
39	I DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, HARD TO SAY, HASNT	0	
40	HAS GOOD INTENTIONS, MEANS WELL, WILLING TO TRY	3	0.4%
41	HAS A LOT OF STAMINA	1	0.1%
42	HE IS RESPECTED, ADMIRED, I RESPECT OR ADMIRE HIM	0	
43	HE IS A GO-GETTER, STANDS BEHIND HIS CONVICTIONS,	0	
44	I LIKE HIS IDEAS, GOOD IDEAS	0	
45	HE DID A GOOD JOB IN NOVA SCOTIA	1	0.1%
46	HIS QUALITIES, SOME THINGS (UNSPECIFIED)	0	
47	HE IS DIFFERENT	0	

# V208: LIKE STANFIELD-3RD RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
48	LIKE HIS POLICIES, GOOD POLICIES	1	0.1%
49	HE PERFORMED WELL IN HIS CAMPAIGN, WANTED TO DEAL	0	
50	HELPS INDUSTRY	0	
51	PLANS CAREFULLY	0	
52	HAS NO STRONG POINTS (NO LIKES MENTIONED)	0	
53	HAS A GOOD IMAGE	0	
54	LOYAL TO PARTY, REPRESENTS THE PARTY	1	0.1%
55	AWARE OF HIS BAD IMAGE, KNOWS HIS FAULTS	0	
56	HE IS EXPERIENCED, HAS THE POTENTIAL	2	0.3%
57	WOULD MAKE A GOOD ADMINISTRATOR, IS A GOOD	0	
58	FOR THE WORKING MAN	1	0.1%
59	GOOD JOB IN OPPOSITION	0	
60	WOULD SAVE US MONEY	0	
61	HE IS OUTSPOKEN, SPEAKS HIS MIND	0	
62	INTERESTED IN THE WEST	0	
63	WANTS TO BE FAIR, FAIR MAN	0	
64	HIS MANNER, HIS WAY (UNSPECIFIED)	1	0.1%
65	HE IS TRYING HARD IN QUEBEC, WITH FRENCH PEOPLE	1	0.1%
66	TOO BAD HE LOST, I FEEL SORRY FOR HIM	1	0.1%
67	HIS ECONOMIC POLICIES	2	0.3%
68	HIS LONG UNDERWEAR	0	
69	RAISED FAMILY ALLOWANCE	0	
70	PROUD TO BE A CANADIAN	0	
71	HE LIKES TO GARDEN	0	
72	HE CARES ABOUT UNEMPLOYMENT	0	
73	IF HE WAS FRENCH CANADIAN HE WOULD DO BETTER	0	
74	HE HELPS INDIANS	0	
88	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	88	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	475	<div><div></div></div> 67.8%
96	NOTHING LIKED, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	52	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	21	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
0	NO THIRD RESPONSE	556	
98	NA; INAP	1305	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V209: DISLIKE STANFIELD-1ST RP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1258 /-] [Invalid=1304 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NOT DYNAMIC ENOUGH, TOO SLOW, LACKS DRIVE	36	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
2	NOT DECISIVE ENOUGH, TOO HESITANT, NOT SURE OF	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
3	PERSONALITY, POOR PERSONALITY, LACKS PERSONALITY	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
4	POOR SPEAKER, CANT GET MESSAGE ACROSS, ANY RESPONSE	103	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%

## # V209: DISLIKE STANFIELD-1ST RP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	SPEAKS FRENCH POORLY, DOESNT SPEAK ENOUGH FRENCH,	48	3.8%
6	BORING, NOT COLOURFUL ENOUGH, DULL	36	2.9%
7	NOT A GOOD LEADER, DOESNT HAVE OR LACKS LEADERSHIP	68	5.4%
8	NOT AGGRESSIVE ENOUGH, NOT ENOUGH FIGHT IN HIM,	17	1.4%
9	TOO WEAK, NOT STRONG ENOUGH, WE NEED A STRONGER	13	1.0%
10	TOO OLD, HIS AGE, WE NEED A YOUNGER MAN	34	2.7%
11	EVERYTHING	17	1.4%
12	HIS WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS OR FREEZE, PRICE	22	1.7%
13	HIS POLICIES, PLATFORM	18	1.4%
14	HIS IDEAS, MOST OF HIS IDEAS	7	0.6%
15	UNDECIDED ON ISSUES, COULDNT SEEM TO STICK TO	11	0.9%
16	NOT MODERN ENOUGH, OLD FASHIONED IDEAS, NOT UP WITH	6	0.5%
17	HIS APATHY, INDIFFERENCE, VERY COOL	3	0.2%
18	DIDNT DO A GOOD JOB AS PREMIER OF NOVA SCOTIA	2	0.2%
19	TOO MUCH FOR NOVA SCOTIA	1	0.1%
20	MORE FOR THE EAST	0	
21	CANT REPRESENT FRENCH CANADA, NOT POPULAR IN	5	0.4%
22	HE IS ENGLISH, LOOKS ENGLISH	3	0.2%
23	TOO MANY PROMISES	3	0.2%
24	HE IS DISHONEST, NOT SINCERE, TOO SUPERFICIAL	7	0.6%
25	HE IS RUDE	1	0.1%
26	HE TALKS TOO MUCH	6	0.5%
27	TOO CRITICAL, NOT CONSTRUCTIVE IN HIS CRITICISM,	22	1.7%
28	TOO ARROGANT, BOASTFUL	6	0.5%
29	TOO PETTY, TOO NARROW-MINDED, TOO MUCH OF A GRANNY,	7	0.6%
30	ATTITUDE, MANNER, JUST HIS WAY, HIS APPROACH,	19	1.5%
31	DOESNT INSPIRE CONFIDENCE, LACKS CONFIDENCE OF	11	0.9%
32	POOR CAMPAIGNER, SMUTTY CAMPAIGN	5	0.4%
33	NOT INTELLIGENT ENOUGH, NOT CAPABLE, NOT A GOOD	15	1.2%
34	NOT A POLITICIAN	10	0.8%
35	POOR IMAGE, NO PUBLIC APPEAL	14	1.1%
36	NOT MUCH CHARISMA, NO APPEAL, LEAVES YOU FLAT	12	1.0%
37	HIS APPEARANCE, LOOKS	10	0.8%
38	HIS APPEARANCE ON T	8	0.6%
39	TOO SNEERING, DERISIVE	2	0.2%
40	TOO QUIET, DOESNT SAY MUCH	3	0.2%
41	DIDNT LIKE WHAT HE DID IN MONCTON, WHAT HE DID TO	3	0.2%
42	FORCING THE ELECTION	3	0.2%
43	DOESNT KNOW WHEN TO GIVE UP	2	0.2%
44	NO APPEAL TO YOUNG, JUST FOR THE OLD	1	0.1%
45	BIASED	1	0.1%

# V209: DISLIKE STANFIELD-1ST RP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46	BACKED OFF FROM CONSERVATIVE PRINCIPLES	0	
47	HE HASNT DONE MUCH, DONE A POOR JOB	4	0.3%
48	NOT INTERESTED IN PEOPLE	3	0.2%
49	NOT INTERESTED IN WORKING PEOPLE	0	
50	NOT INTERESTED IN THE POOR	1	0.1%
51	TOO MUCH FOR THE RICH	1	0.1%
52	TOO MUCH FOR INDUSTRY OR BIG BUSINESS	3	0.2%
53	NOT DOING MUCH ABOUT INFLATION, COST OF LIVING,	4	0.3%
54	HE IS NOT A REALIST	2	0.2%
55	HE IS A CONSERVATIVE, HIS PARTY, HIS POLITICS	6	0.5%
56	HE IS TOO RICH	2	0.2%
57	NOT FOR THE OLD, LIBERALS GIVE MORE TO AGED, POLICY	1	0.1%
58	TOO AMBITIOUS, TOO ANXIOUS, OBSESSED WITH MAJORITY	3	0.2%
59	CARRIES A GRUDGE	1	0.1%
60	DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, HASNT HAD A CHANCE TO	9	0.7%
61	DIEFENBAKER (ANY RESPONSE)	2	0.2%
62	DOESNT HAVE INTERNATIONAL RESPECT, NOT GOOD IN	1	0.1%
63	GOT CREDIT FOR NEW FLAG	1	0.1%
64	TOO HONEST, TOO GOOD A PERSON	0	
65	LOWERED UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE, WELFARE POLICY	1	0.1%
66	NO POLICIES	0	
67	SOCIALIST APPROACH	1	0.1%
68	UNORGANIZED	0	
69	HE LEFT NOVA SCOTIA, NOT CONCERNED WITH NOVA SCOTIA	1	0.1%
88	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	67	5.3%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	455	36.2%
96	NOTHING DISLIKED, LIKE HIM	17	1.4%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	9	0.7%
98	NA; INAP	1304	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V210: DISLIKE STANFIELD-2ND RP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=814 /-] [Invalid=1748 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NOT DYNAMIC ENOUGH, TOO SLOW, LACKS DRIVE	10	1.2%
2	NOT DECISIVE ENOUGH, TOO HESITANT, NOT SURE OF	8	1.0%
3	PERSONALITY, POOR PERSONALITY, LACKS PERSONALITY	18	2.2%
4	POOR SPEAKER, CANT GET MESSAGE ACROSS, ANY RESPONSE	35	4.3%
5	SPEAKS FRENCH POORLY, DOESNT SPEAK ENOUGH FRENCH,	10	1.2%

# **# V210: DISLIKE STANFIELD-2ND RP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	BORING, NOT COLOURFUL ENOUGH, DULL	7	0.9%
7	NOT A GOOD LEADER, DOESNT HAVE OR LACKS LEADERSHIP	30	3.7%
8	NOT AGGRESSIVE ENOUGH, NOT ENOUGH FIGHT IN HIM,	7	0.9%
9	TOO WEAK, NOT STRONG ENOUGH, WE NEED A STRONGER	8	1.0%
10	TOO OLD, HIS AGE, WE NEED A YOUNGER MAN	10	1.2%
11	EVERYTHING	0	
12	HIS WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS OR FREEZE, PRICE	14	1.7%
13	HIS POLICIES, PLATFORM	6	0.7%
14	HIS IDEAS, MOST OF HIS IDEAS	2	0.2%
15	UNDECIDED ON ISSUES, COULDN'T SEEM TO STICK TO	5	0.6%
16	NOT MODERN ENOUGH, OLD FASHIONED IDEAS, NOT UP WITH	5	0.6%
17	HIS APATHY, INDIFFERENCE, VERY COOL	2	0.2%
18	DIDNT DO A GOOD JOB AS PREMIER OF NOVA SCOTIA	0	
19	TOO MUCH FOR NOVA SCOTIA	0	
20	MORE FOR THE EAST	2	0.2%
21	CANT REPRESENT FRENCH CANADA, NOT POPULAR IN	1	0.1%
22	HE IS ENGLISH, LOOKS ENGLISH	2	0.2%
23	TOO MANY PROMISES	1	0.1%
24	HE IS DISHONEST, NOT SINCERE, TOO SUPERFICIAL	2	0.2%
25	HE IS RUDE	1	0.1%
26	HE TALKS TOO MUCH	1	0.1%
27	TOO CRITICAL, NOT CONSTRUCTIVE IN HIS CRITICISM,	9	1.1%
28	TOO ARROGANT, BOASTFUL	1	0.1%
29	TOO PETTY, TOO NARROW-MINDED, TOO MUCH OF A GRANNY,	2	0.2%
30	ATTITUDE, MANNER, JUST HIS WAY, HIS APPROACH,	8	1.0%
31	DOESNT INSPIRE CONFIDENCE, LACKS CONFIDENCE OF	6	0.7%
32	POOR CAMPAIGNER, SMUTTY CAMPAIGN	3	0.4%
33	NOT INTELLIGENT ENOUGH, NOT CAPABLE, NOT A GOOD	2	0.2%
34	NOT A POLITICIAN	1	0.1%
35	POOR IMAGE, NO PUBLIC APPEAL	8	1.0%
36	NOT MUCH CHARISMA, NO APPEAL, LEAVES YOU FLAT	0	
37	HIS APPEARANCE, LOOKS	5	0.6%
38	HIS APPEARANCE ON T	5	0.6%
39	TOO SNEERING, DERISIVE	2	0.2%
40	TOO QUIET, DOESNT SAY MUCH	2	0.2%
41	DIDNT LIKE WHAT HE DID IN MONCTON, WHAT HE DID TO	3	0.4%
42	FORCING THE ELECTION	0	
43	DOESNT KNOW WHEN TO GIVE UP	0	
44	NO APPEAL TO YOUNG, JUST FOR THE OLD	2	0.2%
45	BIASED	0	
46	BACKED OFF FROM CONSERVATIVE PRINCIPLES	0	
47	HE HASNT DONE MUCH, DONE A POOR JOB	2	0.2%

# V210: DISLIKE STANFIELD-2ND RP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
48	NOT INTERESTED IN PEOPLE	2	0.2%
49	NOT INTERESTED IN WORKING PEOPLE	3	0.4%
50	NOT INTERESTED IN THE POOR	1	0.1%
51	TOO MUCH FOR THE RICH	1	0.1%
52	TOO MUCH FOR INDUSTRY OR BIG BUSINESS	0	
53	NOT DOING MUCH ABOUT INFLATION, COST OF LIVING,	1	0.1%
54	HE IS NOT A REALIST	0	
55	HE IS A CONSERVATIVE, HIS PARTY, HIS POLITICS	4	0.5%
56	HE IS TOO RICH	0	
57	NOT FOR THE OLD, LIBERALS GIVE MORE TO AGED, POLICY	0	
58	TOO AMBITIOUS, TOO ANXIOUS, OBSESSED WITH MAJORITY	2	0.2%
59	CARRIES A GRUDGE	1	0.1%
60	DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, HASNT HAD A CHANCE TO	0	
61	DIEFENBAKER (ANY RESPONSE)	1	0.1%
62	DOESNT HAVE INTERNATIONAL RESPECT, NOT GOOD IN	0	
63	GOT CREDIT FOR NEW FLAG	0	
64	TOO HONEST, TOO GOOD A PERSON	0	
65	LOWERED UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE, WELFARE POLICY	1	0.1%
66	NO POLICIES	0	
67	SOCIALIST APPROACH	0	
68	UNORGANIZED	1	0.1%
69	HE LEFT NOVA SCOTIA, NOT CONCERNED WITH NOVA SCOTIA	0	
88	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	67	8.2%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	455	55.9%
96	NOTHING DISLIKED, LIKE HIM	17	2.1%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	9	1.1%
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	444	
98	NA; INAP	1304	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V211: DISLIKE STANFIELD-3RD RP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=620 /-] [Invalid=1942 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NOT DYNAMIC ENOUGH, TOO SLOW, LACKS DRIVE	3	0.5%
2	NOT DECISIVE ENOUGH, TOO HESITANT, NOT SURE OF	3	0.5%
3	PERSONALITY, POOR PERSONALITY, LACKS PERSONALITY	2	0.3%
4	POOR SPEAKER, CANT GET MESSAGE ACROSS, ANY RESPONSE	9	1.5%
5	SPEAKS FRENCH POORLY, DOESNT SPEAK ENOUGH FRENCH,	2	0.3%
6	BORING, NOT COLOURFUL ENOUGH, DULL	2	0.3%

# **# V211: DISLIKE STANFIELD-3RD RP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	NOT A GOOD LEADER, DOESNT HAVE OR LACKS LEADERSHIP	5	0.8%
8	NOT AGGRESSIVE ENOUGH, NOT ENOUGH FIGHT IN HIM,	3	0.5%
9	TOO WEAK, NOT STRONG ENOUGH, WE NEED A STRONGER	2	0.3%
10	TOO OLD, HIS AGE, WE NEED A YOUNGER MAN	1	0.2%
11	EVERYTHING	0	
12	HIS WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS OR FREEZE, PRICE	1	0.2%
13	HIS POLICIES, PLATFORM	0	
14	HIS IDEAS, MOST OF HIS IDEAS	0	
15	UNDECIDED ON ISSUES, COULDN'T SEEM TO STICK TO	2	0.3%
16	NOT MODERN ENOUGH, OLD FASHIONED IDEAS, NOT UP WITH	4	0.6%
17	HIS APATHY, INDIFFERENCE, VERY COOL	1	0.2%
18	DIDNT DO A GOOD JOB AS PREMIER OF NOVA SCOTIA	0	
19	TOO MUCH FOR NOVA SCOTIA	0	
20	MORE FOR THE EAST	0	
21	CANT REPRESENT FRENCH CANADA, NOT POPULAR IN	0	
22	HE IS ENGLISH, LOOKS ENGLISH	0	
23	TOO MANY PROMISES	0	
24	HE IS DISHONEST, NOT SINCERE, TOO SUPERFICIAL	0	
25	HE IS RUDE	0	
26	HE TALKS TOO MUCH	1	0.2%
27	TOO CRITICAL, NOT CONSTRUCTIVE IN HIS CRITICISM,	1	0.2%
28	TOO ARROGANT, BOASTFUL	0	
29	TOO PETTY, TOO NARROW-MINDED, TOO MUCH OF A GRANNY,	3	0.5%
30	ATTITUDE, MANNER, JUST HIS WAY, HIS APPROACH,	1	0.2%
31	DOESNT INSPIRE CONFIDENCE, LACKS CONFIDENCE OF	1	0.2%
32	POOR CAMPAIGNER, SMUTTY CAMPAIGN	1	0.2%
33	NOT INTELLIGENT ENOUGH, NOT CAPABLE, NOT A GOOD	0	
34	NOT A POLITICIAN	0	
35	POOR IMAGE, NO PUBLIC APPEAL	2	0.3%
36	NOT MUCH CHARISMA, NO APPEAL, LEAVES YOU FLAT	3	0.5%
37	HIS APPEARANCE, LOOKS	1	0.2%
38	HIS APPEARANCE ON T	2	0.3%
39	TOO SNEERING, DERISIVE	1	0.2%
40	TOO QUIET, DOESNT SAY MUCH	0	
41	DIDNT LIKE WHAT HE DID IN MONCTON, WHAT HE DID TO	1	0.2%
42	FORCING THE ELECTION	1	0.2%
43	DOESNT KNOW WHEN TO GIVE UP	0	
44	NO APPEAL TO YOUNG, JUST FOR THE OLD	2	0.3%
45	BIASED	0	
46	BACKED OFF FROM CONSERVATIVE PRINCIPLES	1	0.2%
47	HE HASNT DONE MUCH, DONE A POOR JOB	2	0.3%
48	NOT INTERESTED IN PEOPLE	2	0.3%



# V211: DISLIKE STANFIELD-3RD RP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49	NOT INTERESTED IN WORKING PEOPLE	2	0.3%
50	NOT INTERESTED IN THE POOR	0	
51	TOO MUCH FOR THE RICH	0	
52	TOO MUCH FOR INDUSTRY OR BIG BUSINESS	0	
53	NOT DOING MUCH ABOUT INFLATION, COST OF LIVING,	0	
54	HE IS NOT A REALIST	1	0.2%
55	HE IS A CONSERVATIVE, HIS PARTY, HIS POLITICS	1	0.2%
56	HE IS TOO RICH	0	
57	NOT FOR THE OLD, LIBERALS GIVE MORE TO AGED, POLICY	1	0.2%
58	TOO AMBITIOUS, TOO ANXIOUS, OBSESSED WITH MAJORITY	0	
59	CARRIES A GRUDGE	0	
60	DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, HASNT HAD A CHANCE TO	0	
61	DIEFENBAKER (ANY RESPONSE)	0	
62	DOESNT HAVE INTERNATIONAL RESPECT, NOT GOOD IN	0	
63	GOT CREDIT FOR NEW FLAG	0	
64	TOO HONEST, TOO GOOD A PERSON	0	
65	LOWERED UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE, WELFARE POLICY	0	
66	NO POLICIES	0	
67	SOCIALIST APPROACH	0	
68	UNORGANIZED	1	0.2%
69	HE LEFT NOVA SCOTIA, NOT CONCERNED WITH NOVA SCOTIA	0	
88	NO OPINION, DK, NOT STATED	67	10.8%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	455	73.4%
96	NOTHING DISLIKED, LIKE HIM	17	2.7%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	9	1.5%
0	NO THIRD RESPONSE	638	
98	NA; INAP	1304	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V212: LIKE LEWIS-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1257 /-] [Invalid=1305 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, KNOWS WHAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT,	28	2.2%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, STRAIGHTFORWARD	73	5.8%
3	NICE PERSON, PERSONALITY, NICE MAN, I LIKE HIM,	37	2.9%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, A VERY FINE SPEAKER, ARTICULATE,	76	6.0%
5	GOOD MAN	20	1.6%
6	GOOD WORKER, WORKS HARD, HE TRIES HARD, GETS THINGS	25	2.0%
7	GOOD LEADER, HAS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES, WOULD MAKE	15	1.2%
8	CALM, COMES ON QUIETLY	3	0.2%

## # V212: LIKE LEWIS-1ST RESP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	HIS WIFE, HIS SON, GOOD FAMILY MAN	3	0.2%
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	3	0.2%
11	NICE LOOKING, HIS SMILE, FRIENDLY FACE	11	0.9%
12	SPEAKS GOOD FRENCH, HE IS BILINGUAL	1	0.1%
13	HE IS SCOTTISH	1	0.1%
14	BECAUSE HE IS A FOREIGNER	1	0.1%
15	HE IS DEDICATED TO HIS PARTY, WORKS HARD FOR N	10	0.8%
16	SELF-EDUCATED MAN, SELF-MADE MAN	1	0.1%
17	OUTSPOKEN, SPEAKS HIS MIND	15	1.2%
18	CHARMING	3	0.2%
19	I LIKE HIS IDEAS, GOOD IDEAS	14	1.1%
20	HE IS HUMANE, SYMPATHETIC, UNDERSTANDING	10	0.8%
21	HIS POLICIES, PROGRAMMES, PLATFORM	15	1.2%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN	4	0.3%
23	INTERESTING, FASCINATING	0	
24	DOWN TO EARTH TYPE OF MAN, PRACTICAL, REALISTIC,	3	0.2%
25	FRIENDLY, EASY TO TALK TO, WARM, CHARISMA, PLEASANT	9	0.7%
26	HIS COURAGE, GUTS	4	0.3%
27	DECISIVE, ABLE TO MAKE DECISIONS	4	0.3%
28	HE IS JUST, FAIR, REASONABLE	3	0.2%
29	AGGRESSIVE, FIGHTS FOR WHAT HE BELIEVES, STANDS	14	1.1%
30	DID A GOOD JOB IN OPPOSITION, KEPT OTHER PARTIES	11	0.9%
31	HE IS A GOOD STATESMAN	3	0.2%
32	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION OR HIGH PRICES OR	6	0.5%
33	HIS EFFORTS FOR FRENCH CANADIANS	0	
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA, DONE A LOT FOR CANADA	4	0.3%
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT COMMON OR ORDINARY PEOPLE,	12	1.0%
36	HE HELPS PEOPLE	2	0.2%
37	HE CARES ABOUT OLD PEOPLE, HAS DONE A LOT FOR OLD	1	0.1%
38	HE IS FOR WORKING MAN OR WORKING PEOPLE	8	0.6%
39	I DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM (NO LIKES MENTIONED)	38	3.0%
40	HE IS FOR LABOUR	2	0.2%
41	HE IS FOR THE WORKING CLASS	1	0.1%
42	HE IS FOR THE FARMERS, DOES MORE FOR AGRICULTURE	4	0.3%
43	GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT PROBLEMS, HE UNDERSTANDS,	3	0.2%
44	ADMITS WHEN HE DOES NOT KNOW ANSWER	0	
45	WORKS FOR POOR, UNDERPRIVILEGED	3	0.2%
46	LIKE HIS IDEAS ON CORPORATE TAXES, BIG CORPORATIONS	4	0.3%
47	REALLY BELIEVES IN SOCIALISM, LIVES UP TO HIS	6	0.5%
48	HE IS O	13	1.0%

# V212: LIKE LEWIS-1ST RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49	GOOD AT COMPROMISE	2	0.2%
50	ABILITY TO CLARIFY ISSUES AND THE WAY HE PUTS THEM	3	0.2%
51	LIKE HIS IDEA ABOUT GETTING PENSIONS AT SIXTY	2	0.2%
53	DETERMINED, HE KEEPS TRYING	10	0.8%
54	TRUE CANADIAN	2	0.2%
55	POLICY ON HOUSING	1	0.1%
56	TRYING TO GET MORE INDUSTRY	0	
57	HIS CAMPAIGN, WENT DOOR TO DOOR	1	0.1%
58	NOT CONTROLLED BY BIG BUSINESS	0	
59	HIS POLICY ON EXPOSURE OF WHERE CAMPAIGN FUNDS	1	0.1%
60	BETTER IMMIGRATION POLICY	0	
61	HE IS STRONG	2	0.2%
62	POLICY ON GUARANTEED WAGE	0	
63	GOOD BUSINESS MAN	0	
64	POLICY ON TAXES	0	
65	SORRY HE LOST ELECTION, TREATED BADLY IN LAST	2	0.2%
66	WOULD BE A GOOD CANDIDATE	2	0.2%
67	HIS PARTY, IT IS A GOOD PARTY	3	0.2%
68	OTHER SPECIFIC WELFARE POLICIES	0	
69	DID A LOT FOR HIS CONSTITUENCY	0	
70	TRIED TO HELP WEST OR WEST COAST	1	0.1%
71	HIS T	1	0.1%
72	ATTITUDE TOWARD BUREAUCRACY	2	0.2%
73	HIS PROMISES SOUND GOOD	0	
74	HIS STYLE	2	0.2%
75	HIS ENERGY	1	0.1%
76	EXPERIENCED	1	0.1%
77	CAN CONVINCE ME	0	
78	NOT IN POLITICS JUST FOR THE MONEY	0	
79	SERIOUS	1	0.1%
80	THIRD PARTY IS NECESSARY TO SPLIT VOTES	0	
81	HE IS RETIRED	1	0.1%
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	150	11.9%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	444	35.3%
96	NOTHING LIKED, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	31	2.5%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	64	5.1%
98	NA; INAP	1305	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V213: LIKE LEWIS-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=952 /-] [Invalid=1610 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, KNOWS WHAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT,	12	1.3%

# **# V213: LIKE LEWIS-2ND RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	HONEST, SINCERE, STRAIGHTFORWARD	22	2.3%
3	NICE PERSON, PERSONALITY, NICE MAN, I LIKE HIM,	7	0.7%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, A VERY FINE SPEAKER, ARTICULATE,	25	2.6%
5	GOOD MAN	3	0.3%
6	GOOD WORKER, WORKS HARD, HE TRIES HARD, GETS THINGS	15	1.6%
7	GOOD LEADER, HAS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES, WOULD MAKE	16	1.7%
8	CALM, COMES ON QUIETLY	0	
9	HIS WIFE, HIS SON, GOOD FAMILY MAN	4	0.4%
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	1	0.1%
11	NICE LOOKING, HIS SMILE, FRIENDLY FACE	5	0.5%
12	SPEAKS GOOD FRENCH, HE IS BILINGUAL	8	0.8%
13	HE IS SCOTTISH	0	
14	BECAUSE HE IS A FOREIGNER	0	
15	HE IS DEDICATED TO HIS PARTY, WORKS HARD FOR N	4	0.4%
16	SELF-EDUCATED MAN, SELF-MADE MAN	0	
17	OUTSPOKEN, SPEAKS HIS MIND	2	0.2%
18	CHARMING	3	0.3%
19	I LIKE HIS IDEAS, GOOD IDEAS	10	1.1%
20	HE IS HUMANE, SYMPATHETIC, UNDERSTANDING	2	0.2%
21	HIS POLICIES, PROGRAMMES, PLATFORM	5	0.5%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN	3	0.3%
23	INTERESTING, FASCINATING	1	0.1%
24	DOWN TO EARTH TYPE OF MAN, PRACTICAL, REALISTIC,	7	0.7%
25	FRIENDLY, EASY TO TALK TO, WARM, CHARISMA, PLEASANT	9	0.9%
26	HIS COURAGE, GUTS	1	0.1%
27	DECISIVE, ABLE TO MAKE DECISIONS	2	0.2%
28	HE IS JUST, FAIR, REASONABLE	1	0.1%
29	AGGRESSIVE, FIGHTS FOR WHAT HE BELIEVES, STANDS	11	1.2%
30	DID A GOOD JOB IN OPPOSITION, KEPT OTHER PARTIES	4	0.4%
31	HE IS A GOOD STATESMAN	0	
32	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION OR HIGH PRICES OR	2	0.2%
33	HIS EFFORTS FOR FRENCH CANADIANS	0	
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA, DONE A LOT FOR CANADA	4	0.4%
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT COMMON OR ORDINARY PEOPLE,	13	1.4%
36	HE HELPS PEOPLE	3	0.3%
37	HE CARES ABOUT OLD PEOPLE, HAS DONE A LOT FOR OLD	3	0.3%
38	HE IS FOR WORKING MAN OR WORKING PEOPLE	9	0.9%
40	HE IS FOR LABOUR	1	0.1%
41	HE IS FOR THE WORKING CLASS	0	
42	HE IS FOR THE FARMERS, DOES MORE FOR AGRICULTURE	0	

# **# V213: LIKE LEWIS-2ND RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43	GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT PROBLEMS, HE UNDERSTANDS,	1	0.1%
44	ADMITS WHEN HE DOES NOT KNOW ANSWER	2	0.2%
45	WORKS FOR POOR, UNDERPRIVILEGED	2	0.2%
46	LIKE HIS IDEAS ON CORPORATE TAXES, BIG CORPORATIONS	3	0.3%
47	REALLY BELIEVES IN SOCIALISM, LIVES UP TO HIS	2	0.2%
48	HE IS O	0	
49	GOOD AT COMPROMISE	0	
50	ABILITY TO CLARIFY ISSUES AND THE WAY HE PUTS THEM	4	0.4%
51	LIKE HIS IDEA ABOUT GETTING PENSIONS AT SIXTY	1	0.1%
53	DETERMINED, HE KEEPS TRYING	0	
54	TRUE CANADIAN	1	0.1%
55	POLICY ON HOUSING	1	0.1%
56	TRYING TO GET MORE INDUSTRY	1	0.1%
57	HIS CAMPAIGN, WENT DOOR TO DOOR	2	0.2%
58	NOT CONTROLLED BY BIG BUSINESS	1	0.1%
59	HIS POLICY ON EXPOSURE OF WHERE CAMPAIGN FUNDS	0	
60	BETTER IMMIGRATION POLICY	2	0.2%
61	HE IS STRONG	1	0.1%
62	POLICY ON GUARANTEED WAGE	0	
63	GOOD BUSINESS MAN	1	0.1%
64	POLICY ON TAXES	0	
65	SORRY HE LOST ELECTION, TREATED BADLY IN LAST	6	0.6%
66	WOULD BE A GOOD CANDIDATE	2	0.2%
67	HIS PARTY, IT IS A GOOD PARTY	1	0.1%
68	OTHER SPECIFIC WELFARE POLICIES	0	
69	DID A LOT FOR HIS CONSTITUENCY	1	0.1%
70	TRIED TO HELP WEST OR WEST COAST	1	0.1%
71	HIS T	3	0.3%
72	ATTITUDE TOWARD BUREAUCRACY	0	
73	HIS PROMISES SOUND GOOD	1	0.1%
74	HIS STYLE	1	0.1%
75	HIS ENERGY	0	
76	EXPERIENCED	0	
77	CAN CONVINCE ME	0	
78	NOT IN POLITICS JUST FOR THE MONEY	3	0.3%
79	SERIOUS	0	
80	THIRD PARTY IS NECESSARY TO SPLIT VOTES	1	0.1%
81	HE IS RETIRED	0	
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	150	15.8%
89	I DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM (NO LIKES MENTIONED)	0	
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	444	46.6%
96	NOTHING LIKED, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	31	3.3%

# V213: LIKE LEWIS-2ND RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	64	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	305	
98	NA; INAP	1305	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V214: LIKE LEWIS-3RD RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=755 /-] [Invalid=1807 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, KNOWS WHAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT,	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, STRAIGHTFORWARD	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
3	NICE PERSON, PERSONALITY, NICE MAN, I LIKE HIM,	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, A VERY FINE SPEAKER, ARTICULATE,	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
5	GOOD MAN	0	
6	GOOD WORKER, WORKS HARD, HE TRIES HARD, GETS THINGS	0	
7	GOOD LEADER, HAS LEADERSHIP QUALITIES, WOULD MAKE	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	CALM, COMES ON QUIETLY	0	
9	HIS WIFE, HIS SON, GOOD FAMILY MAN	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	0	
11	NICE LOOKING, HIS SMILE, FRIENDLY FACE	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
12	SPEAKS GOOD FRENCH, HE IS BILINGUAL	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
13	HE IS SCOTTISH	0	
14	BECAUSE HE IS A FOREIGNER	0	
15	HE IS DEDICATED TO HIS PARTY, WORKS HARD FOR N	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
16	SELF-EDUCATED MAN, SELF-MADE MAN	0	
17	OUTSPOKEN, SPEAKS HIS MIND	0	
18	CHARMING	0	
19	I LIKE HIS IDEAS, GOOD IDEAS	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
20	HE IS HUMANE, SYMPATHETIC, UNDERSTANDING	0	
21	HIS POLICIES, PROGRAMMES, PLATFORM	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
23	INTERESTING, FASCINATING	0	
24	DOWN TO EARTH TYPE OF MAN, PRACTICAL, REALISTIC,	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
25	FRIENDLY, EASY TO TALK TO, WARM, CHARISMA, PLEASANT	0	
26	HIS COURAGE, GUTS	0	
27	DECISIVE, ABLE TO MAKE DECISIONS	0	
28	HE IS JUST, FAIR, REASONABLE	0	
29	AGGRESSIVE, FIGHTS FOR WHAT HE BELIEVES, STANDS	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
30	DID A GOOD JOB IN OPPOSITION, KEPT OTHER PARTIES	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
31	HE IS A GOOD STATESMAN	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
32	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION OR HIGH PRICES OR	0	
33	HIS EFFORTS FOR FRENCH CANADIANS	0	

# **# V214: LIKE LEWIS-3RD RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA, DONE A LOT FOR CANADA	0	
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT COMMON OR ORDINARY PEOPLE,	3	0.4%
36	HE HELPS PEOPLE	2	0.3%
37	HE CARES ABOUT OLD PEOPLE, HAS DONE A LOT FOR OLD	0	
38	HE IS FOR WORKING MAN OR WORKING PEOPLE	5	0.7%
40	HE IS FOR LABOUR	0	
41	HE IS FOR THE WORKING CLASS	0	
42	HE IS FOR THE FARMERS, DOES MORE FOR AGRICULTURE	1	0.1%
43	GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT PROBLEMS, HE UNDERSTANDS,	1	0.1%
44	ADMITS WHEN HE DOES NOT KNOW ANSWER	0	
45	WORKS FOR POOR, UNDERPRIVILEGED	1	0.1%
46	LIKE HIS IDEAS ON CORPORATE TAXES, BIG CORPORATIONS	2	0.3%
47	REALLY BELIEVES IN SOCIALISM, LIVES UP TO HIS	0	
48	HE IS O	0	
49	GOOD AT COMPROMISE	1	0.1%
50	ABILITY TO CLARIFY ISSUES AND THE WAY HE PUTS THEM	0	
51	LIKE HIS IDEA ABOUT GETTING PENSIONS AT SIXTY	0	
53	DETERMINED, HE KEEPS TRYING	0	
54	TRUE CANADIAN	0	
55	POLICY ON HOUSING	0	
56	TRYING TO GET MORE INDUSTRY	0	
57	HIS CAMPAIGN, WENT DOOR TO DOOR	0	
58	NOT CONTROLLED BY BIG BUSINESS	0	
59	HIS POLICY ON EXPOSURE OF WHERE CAMPAIGN FUNDS	0	
60	BETTER IMMIGRATION POLICY	0	
61	HE IS STRONG	0	
62	POLICY ON GUARANTEED WAGE	1	0.1%
63	GOOD BUSINESS MAN	0	
64	POLICY ON TAXES	0	
65	SORRY HE LOST ELECTION, TREATED BADLY IN LAST	2	0.3%
66	WOULD BE A GOOD CANDIDATE	0	
67	HIS PARTY, IT IS A GOOD PARTY	1	0.1%
68	OTHER SPECIFIC WELFARE POLICIES	0	
69	DID A LOT FOR HIS CONSTITUENCY	0	
70	TRIED TO HELP WEST OR WEST COAST	1	0.1%
71	HIS T	1	0.1%
72	ATTITUDE TOWARD BUREAUCRACY	0	
73	HIS PROMISES SOUND GOOD	0	
74	HIS STYLE	1	0.1%
75	HIS ENERGY	0	
76	EXPERIENCED	0	

# V214: LIKE LEWIS-3RD RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
77	CAN CONVINCE ME	1	0.1%
78	NOT IN POLITICS JUST FOR THE MONEY	0	
79	SERIOUS	0	
80	THIRD PARTY IS NECESSARY TO SPLIT VOTES	0	
81	HE IS RETIRED	0	
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	150	19.9%
89	I DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM (NO LIKES MENTIONED)	0	
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	444	58.8%
96	NOTHING LIKED, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	31	4.1%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	64	8.5%
0	NO THIRD RESPONSE	502	
98	NA; INAP	1305	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V215: DISLIKE LEWIS-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1259 /-] [Invalid=1303 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIS PARTY, HIS POLITICS, HE IS IN THE WRONG PARTY	33	2.6%
2	HIS POLICIES, PLATFORM, SOME OF HIS POLICIES, HIS	34	2.7%
3	HIS IDEAS, N	19	1.5%
4	HE BROUGHT THE GOVERNMENT DOWN, FORCED THE ELECTION	27	2.1%
5	CORPORATE RIP-OFF, AGAINST CORPORATIONS	10	0.8%
6	TIES WITH UNIONS, DONT LIKE UNIONS	8	0.6%
7	NOT A GOOD LEADER, WOULDNT WANT HIM AS LEADER OF	12	1.0%
8	NOT AGGRESSIVE ENOUGH	3	0.2%
9	TOO WEAK, NOT STRONG ENOUGH	9	0.7%
10	TOO OLD	9	0.7%
11	EVERYTHING	12	1.0%
12	TOO SOCIALISTIC, SOCIALISM	9	0.7%
13	WELFARE PROGRAM, WELFARE STATE	0	
14	SUPPORTED THE LIBERALS OR TRUDEAU TOO MUCH	5	0.4%
15	DIDNT SUPPORT THE LIBERALS ENOUGH, FOUND TOO MUCH	4	0.3%
16	LOST IN HIS OWN RIDING, RAN A BAD CAMPAIGN	3	0.2%
17	DIDNT STICK TO WHAT HE SAID, CHANGES HIS MIND,	10	0.8%
18	MYSTERIOUS, HEDGES, DOESNT GIVE DECISIONS	2	0.2%
19	HE IS TOO PUSHY OR AGGRESSIVE, ALWAYS FIGHTING OR	13	1.0%
20	MORE FOR THE EAST	2	0.2%
21	VERBAL ATTACKS, NAME CALLING, NEVER GIVES OTHER	9	0.7%
22	OVER CONFIDENT	1	0.1%
23	NOT A GOOD IMAGE FOR THE PARTY, DOESNT BENEFIT	0	



# **# V215: DISLIKE LEWIS-1ST RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	INSINCERE	3	0.2%
25	MAKES STATEMENTS WITHOUT FACTS, OR TWISTS FACTS	3	0.2%
26	POOR SPEAKER, TOO REPETITIVE	5	0.4%
27	SENSATIONALISTIC	2	0.2%
28	TOO ARROGANT	9	0.7%
29	EASY FOR HIM TO MAKE PROMISES WHEN HE KNOWS HE	2	0.2%
30	ATTITUDE, MANNER, HIS WAY, GENERAL DISLIKE (NOT	21	1.7%
31	HIS ATTITUDE TOWARD CANADIAN PEOPLE, MANIPULATION	4	0.3%
32	TOO POLITICAL, WORKED TOO HARD FOR HIS CAUSE, NOT	7	0.6%
33	NOT CAPABLE, DOESNT KNOW WHAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT	4	0.3%
34	NOT A POLITICIAN	3	0.2%
35	NOT REALISTIC, TOO VISIONARY, SOLUTIONS SOUND SO	9	0.7%
36	LACK OF PERSONALITY	3	0.2%
37	HIS APPEARANCE, LOOKS	3	0.2%
38	DO AWAY WITH FREE ENTERPRISE, CONTROL OF INDUSTRY,	7	0.6%
39	PEOPLE THINK OF SOCIALISM AS COMMUNISM	3	0.2%
40	DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM	23	1.8%
41	OLD AGE PENSION AT SIXTY	1	0.1%
42	TOO MEDDLESOME	1	0.1%
43	SPEAKS FRENCH POORLY	4	0.3%
44	HE IS A CLOWN	3	0.2%
45	CANT REPRESENT FRENCH CANADIANS OR QUEBEC	3	0.2%
46	DONT TRUST HIM, DONT BELIEVE HIM	7	0.6%
47	HE IS BORING	2	0.2%
48	OVERDOES HIS OPPOSITION, DOESNT KNOW HOW TO	3	0.2%
49	TOO AMBITIOUS	2	0.2%
50	TOO CLOSE TO COMMUNISM	4	0.3%
51	MORE FOR WEST	1	0.1%
52	SEEMS AGAINST ANYONE WITH MONEY	1	0.1%
53	AFRAID OF F	2	0.2%
54	TOO INFLUENTIAL, HIS MANIPULATING WAYS	2	0.2%
55	DOMINEERING, BIT OF A DICTATOR	1	0.1%
56	POLICIES INVOLVE SPENDING OF TOO MUCH MONEY	1	0.1%
57	TOO MUCH CONTROL IN THE LAST HOUSE	2	0.2%
58	DIDNT LIKE HIM ON T	2	0.2%
59	HIS SONS	1	0.1%
60	OTHER SPECIFIC POLICIES (WOMENS ISSUES, MEDICARE,	4	0.3%
61	HIS SINGLE-MINDEDNESS	2	0.2%
62	WOULDN'T HELP WORKERS WHEN THEY WERE ON STRIKE	0	
63	WOULDN'T VOTE FOR HIM	0	
64	CHANGED HIS IMAGE	1	0.1%

# V215: DISLIKE LEWIS-1ST RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65	NOT A CONSTRUCTIVE PERSON	1	0.1%
66	TOO EASILY LEAD BY RADICAL MEMBERS OF HIS PARTY,	4	0.3%
67	HIS JEWISHNESS THAT COMES OUT	2	0.2%
68	HIS QUITTING THE PARTY	1	0.1%
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	157	12.5%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	656	52.1%
96	NOTHING DISLIKED, LIKE HIM	11	0.9%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	32	2.5%
98	NA, INAP	1303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V216: DISLIKE LEWIS-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=939 /-] [Invalid=1623 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIS PARTY, HIS POLITICS, HE IS IN THE WRONG PARTY	5	0.5%
2	HIS POLICIES, PLATFORM, SOME OF HIS POLICIES, HIS	5	0.5%
3	HIS IDEAS, N	3	0.3%
4	HE BROUGHT THE GOVERNMENT DOWN, FORCED THE ELECTION	2	0.2%
5	CORPORATE RIP-OFF, AGAINST CORPORATIONS	3	0.3%
6	TIES WITH UNIONS, DONT LIKE UNIONS	0	
7	NOT A GOOD LEADER, WOULDNT WANT HIM AS LEADER OF	0	
8	NOT AGGRESSIVE ENOUGH	3	0.3%
9	TOO WEAK, NOT STRONG ENOUGH	0	
10	TOO OLD	2	0.2%
11	EVERYTHING	1	0.1%
12	TOO SOCIALISTIC, SOCIALISM	6	0.6%
13	WELFARE PROGRAM, WELFARE STATE	2	0.2%
14	SUPPORTED THE LIBERALS OR TRUDEAU TOO MUCH	1	0.1%
15	DIDNT SUPPORT THE LIBERALS ENOUGH, FOUND TOO MUCH	1	0.1%
16	LOST IN HIS OWN RIDING, RAN A BAD CAMPAIGN	0	
17	DIDNT STICK TO WHAT HE SAID, CHANGES HIS MIND,	3	0.3%
18	MYSTERIOUS, HEDGES, DOESNT GIVE DECISIONS	0	
19	HE IS TOO PUSHY OR AGGRESSIVE, ALWAYS FIGHTING OR	2	0.2%
20	MORE FOR THE EAST	0	
21	VERBAL ATTACKS, NAME CALLING, NEVER GIVES OTHER	3	0.3%
22	OVER CONFIDENT	2	0.2%
23	NOT A GOOD IMAGE FOR THE PARTY, DOESNT BENEFIT	2	0.2%
24	INSINCERE	1	0.1%
25	MAKES STATEMENTS WITHOUT FACTS, OR TWISTS FACTS	1	0.1%
26	POOR SPEAKER, TOO REPETITIVE	1	0.1%

# **# V216: DISLIKE LEWIS-2ND RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27	SENSATIONALISTIC	0	
28	TOO ARROGANT	1	0.1%
29	EASY FOR HIM TO MAKE PROMISES WHEN HE KNOWS HE	3	0.3%
30	ATTITUDE, MANNER, HIS WAY, GENERAL DISLIKE (NOT	3	0.3%
31	HIS ATTITUDE TOWARD CANADIAN PEOPLE, MANIPULATION	0	
32	TOO POLITICAL, WORKED TOO HARD FOR HIS CAUSE, NOT	2	0.2%
33	NOT CAPABLE, DOESNT KNOW WHAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT	1	0.1%
34	NOT A POLITICIAN	0	
35	NOT REALISTIC, TOO VISIONARY, SOLUTIONS SOUND SO	2	0.2%
36	LACK OF PERSONALITY	2	0.2%
37	HIS APPEARANCE, LOOKS	0	
38	DO AWAY WITH FREE ENTERPRISE, CONTROL OF INDUSTRY,	2	0.2%
39	PEOPLE THINK OF SOCIALISM AS COMMUNISM	1	0.1%
40	DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM	0	
41	OLD AGE PENSION AT SIXTY	0	
42	TOO MEDDLESOME	1	0.1%
43	SPEAKS FRENCH POORLY	0	
44	HE IS A CLOWN	0	
45	CANT REPRESENT FRENCH CANADIANS OR QUEBEC	0	
46	DONT TRUST HIM, DONT BELIEVE HIM	1	0.1%
47	HE IS BORING	0	
48	OVERDOES HIS OPPOSITION, DOESNT KNOW HOW TO	2	0.2%
49	TOO AMBITIOUS	1	0.1%
50	TOO CLOSE TO COMMUNISM	1	0.1%
51	MORE FOR WEST	1	0.1%
52	SEEMS AGAINST ANYONE WITH MONEY	0	
53	AFRAID OF F	0	
54	TOO INFLUENTIAL, HIS MANIPULATING WAYS	1	0.1%
55	DOMINEERING, BIT OF A DICTATOR	0	
56	POLICIES INVOLVE SPENDING OF TOO MUCH MONEY	2	0.2%
57	TOO MUCH CONTROL IN THE LAST HOUSE	0	
58	DIDNT LIKE HIM ON T	0	
59	HIS SONS	0	
60	OTHER SPECIFIC POLICIES (WOMENS ISSUES, MEDICARE,	2	0.2%
61	HIS SINGLE-MINDEDNESS	1	0.1%
62	WOULDNT HELP WORKERS WHEN THEY WERE ON STRIKE	1	0.1%
63	WOULDNT VOTE FOR HIM	0	
64	CHANGED HIS IMAGE	0	
65	NOT A CONSTRUCTIVE PERSON	2	0.2%
66	TOO EASILY LEAD BY RADICAL MEMBERS OF HIS PARTY,	0	
67	HIS JEWISHNESS THAT COMES OUT	1	0.1%
68	HIS QUITTING THE PARTY	0	

# V216: DISLIKE LEWIS-2ND RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	157	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	656	<div><div></div></div> 69.9%
96	NOTHING DISLIKED, LIKE HIM	11	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	32	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	320	
98	NA, INAP	1303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V217: DISLIKE LEWIS-3RD RESP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=870 /-] [Invalid=1692 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIS PARTY, HIS POLITICS, HE IS IN THE WRONG PARTY	0	
2	HIS POLICIES, PLATFORM, SOME OF HIS POLICIES, HIS	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
3	HIS IDEAS, N	0	
4	HE BROUGHT THE GOVERNMENT DOWN, FORCED THE ELECTION	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5	CORPORATE RIP-OFF, AGAINST CORPORATIONS	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
6	TIES WITH UNIONS, DONT LIKE UNIONS	0	
7	NOT A GOOD LEADER, WOULDNT WANT HIM AS LEADER OF	0	
8	NOT AGGRESSIVE ENOUGH	0	
9	TOO WEAK, NOT STRONG ENOUGH	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	TOO OLD	0	
11	EVERYTHING	0	
12	TOO SOCIALISTIC, SOCIALISM	0	
13	WELFARE PROGRAM, WELFARE STATE	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
14	SUPPORTED THE LIBERALS OR TRUDEAU TOO MUCH	0	
15	DIDNT SUPPORT THE LIBERALS ENOUGH, FOUND TOO MUCH	0	
16	LOST IN HIS OWN RIDING, RAN A BAD CAMPAIGN	0	
17	DIDNT STICK TO WHAT HE SAID, CHANGES HIS MIND,	0	
18	MYSTERIOUS, HEDGES, DOESNT GIVE DECISIONS	0	
19	HE IS TOO PUSHY OR AGGRESSIVE, ALWAYS FIGHTING OR	0	
20	MORE FOR THE EAST	0	
21	VERBAL ATTACKS, NAME CALLING, NEVER GIVES OTHER	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
22	OVER CONFIDENT	0	
23	NOT A GOOD IMAGE FOR THE PARTY, DOESNT BENEFIT	0	
24	INSINCERE	0	
25	MAKES STATEMENTS WITHOUT FACTS, OR TWISTS FACTS	0	
26	POOR SPEAKER, TOO REPETITIVE	0	
27	SENSATIONALISTIC	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
28	TOO ARROGANT	0	
29	EASY FOR HIM TO MAKE PROMISES WHEN HE KNOWS HE	0	

# **# V217: DISLIKE LEWIS-3RD RESP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	ATTITUDE, MANNER, HIS WAY, GENERAL DISLIKE (NOT	0	
31	HIS ATTITUDE TOWARD CANADIAN PEOPLE, MANIPULATION	0	
32	TOO POLITICAL, WORKED TOO HARD FOR HIS CAUSE, NOT	0	
33	NOT CAPABLE, DOESNT KNOW WHAT HE IS TALKING ABOUT	0	
34	NOT A POLITICIAN	0	
35	NOT REALISTIC, TOO VISIONARY, SOLUTIONS SOUND SO	0	
36	LACK OF PERSONALITY	1	0.1%
37	HIS APPEARANCE, LOOKS	1	0.1%
38	DO AWAY WITH FREE ENTERPRISE, CONTROL OF INDUSTRY,	0	
39	PEOPLE THINK OF SOCIALISM AS COMMUNISM	0	
40	DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM	0	
41	OLD AGE PENSION AT SIXTY	0	
42	TOO MEDDLESOME	0	
43	SPEAKS FRENCH POORLY	0	
44	HE IS A CLOWN	0	
45	CANT REPRESENT FRENCH CANADIANS OR QUEBEC	0	
46	DONT TRUST HIM, DONT BELIEVE HIM	0	
47	HE IS BORING	0	
48	OVERDOES HIS OPPOSITION, DOESNT KNOW HOW TO	0	
49	TOO AMBITIOUS	0	
50	TOO CLOSE TO COMMUNISM	0	
51	MORE FOR WEST	0	
52	SEEMS AGAINST ANYONE WITH MONEY	0	
53	AFRAID OF F	0	
54	TOO INFLUENTIAL, HIS MANIPULATING WAYS	1	0.1%
55	DOMINEERING, BIT OF A DICTATOR	0	
56	POLICIES INVOLVE SPENDING OF TOO MUCH MONEY	0	
57	TOO MUCH CONTROL IN THE LAST HOUSE	0	
58	DIDNT LIKE HIM ON T	0	
59	HIS SONS	0	
60	OTHER SPECIFIC POLICIES (WOMENS ISSUES, MEDICARE,	0	
61	HIS SINGLE-MINDEDNESS	0	
62	WOULDNT HELP WORKERS WHEN THEY WERE ON STRIKE	0	
63	WOULDNT VOTE FOR HIM	0	
64	CHANGED HIS IMAGE	1	0.1%
65	NOT A CONSTRUCTIVE PERSON	0	
66	TOO EASILY LEAD BY RADICAL MEMBERS OF HIS PARTY,	1	0.1%
67	HIS JEWISHNESS THAT COMES OUT	0	
68	HIS QUITTING THE PARTY	0	
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	157	18.0%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	656	75.4%
96	NOTHING DISLIKED, LIKE HIM	11	1.3%

# V217: DISLIKE LEWIS-3RD RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	32	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
0	NO THIRD RESPONSE	389	
98	NA, INAP	1303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V218: LIKE CAOUETTE-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1256 /-] [Invalid=1306 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, SMART, BRILLIANT	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, DIRECT, VERY MUCH HIMSELF	50	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
3	VIBRANT PERSONALITY, LIKE HIM, LIKE HIM A LOT,	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, GOOD ORATOR, LIKE LISTENING TO HIM	84	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
5	GOOD MAN	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
6	HARD WORKER, WORKS HARD, TRIES HARD	21	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
7	GOOD LEADER, WOULD BE A GOOD LEADER	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
8	NEVER GETS EMBARRASSED	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	FAMILY MAN	0	
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
11	HIS APPEARANCE	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
12	BILINGUAL	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
13	FRENCH CANADIAN	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
14	ANIMATED, PERKINESS, HIS FIRE, ENERGETIC, DYNAMIC,	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
15	HE IS DEDICATED TO HIS CAUSE, REALLY BELIEVES IN	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
16	HIS DETERMINATION, HE NEVER GIVES UP, PERSISTENT,	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
17	OUTSPOKEN, SAYS WHAT HE THINKS	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
18	HIS CHARM	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
19	GOOD IDEAS, PRINCIPLES	17	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
20	COLOURFUL, HIS STYLE, A CHARACTER	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
21	GOOD POLICIES, GOOD PROGRAM	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
23	INTERESTING, DIFFERENT	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
24	COMICAL, A CLOWN, LIKE A COMEDIAN, ENTERTAINING	25	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
25	FRIENDLY, EASY TO MEET, WARM	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
26	HIS COURAGE, GUTS	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
27	GOOD IN OPPOSITION	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
28	HE IS JUST, FAIR	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
29	HE IS A FIGHTER	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
30	HE IS DOWN TO EARTH, REALISTIC	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
31	A SIMPLE MAN	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
32	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION, HIGH PRICES, COST	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
33	WANTS TO HELP FRENCH CANADIANS, HE DEFENDS FRENCH	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA	0	

# V218: LIKE CAOUETTE-1ST RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, CANADIANS, ORDINARY	4	0.3%
36	HE HAS HELPED PEOPLE	0	
37	HE CARES FOR US, WE NEED HIM	1	0.1%
38	LIKED HIM ON T	1	0.1%
39	I DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, HARD TO SAY, HASNT	32	2.5%
40	HIS MONEY MACHINE, HIS MONETARY SYSTEM	5	0.4%
41	HE IS A GENTLEMAN, POLITE	3	0.2%
42	DOESNT EVADE THE ISSUES, ANSWERS ALL QUESTIONS	1	0.1%
43	HE IS IN TOUCH, WE SEE HIM OFTEN, CLOSE TO HIS	0	
44	EARNEST, SERIOUS	0	
45	HE WORKS FOR THE POOR, WANTS TO HELP THE POOR, HE	1	0.1%
46	KNOWS WHAT IS NEEDED OR WANTED, AWARE OF PROBLEMS,	4	0.3%
47	HE HAS BROADENED, MAKES MORE SENSE THAN A FEW YEARS	1	0.1%
48	HE IS O	3	0.2%
49	LIKE HIS PARTY	1	0.1%
50	SMALL PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE IN HIM	0	
51	HE WANTS TO KEEP QUEBEC IN CANADA	0	
52	SYMPATHETIC	4	0.3%
53	HE KEEPS HIS PROMISES	1	0.1%
54	TRUE CANADIAN	1	0.1%
55	HE IS FOR THE PENSIONERS, INCREASED OLD AGE	1	0.1%
56	I TRUST HIM, HE IS CONVINCING	1	0.1%
57	PROGRESSIVE	0	
58	MORE SOCIALISTIC, POLICIES ON SOCIAL WELFARE	2	0.2%
59	HE WORKS FOR MIDDLE CLASS	1	0.1%
60	HIS ATTITUDE THAT YOUNG PEOPLE SHOULD WORK HARDER,	1	0.1%
61	HIS FORTITUDE	0	
62	NO CONNECTION WITH THE WEST	0	
63	POLICY ON GUARANTEED WAGE OR WORKING HOURS	0	
64	IF HE WERE IN WE WOULD SEE IF EVERYTHING HE SAYS IS	1	0.1%
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	228	18.2%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	451	35.9%
96	NOTHING LIKED, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	26	2.1%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	89	7.1%
98	NA; INAP	1306	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V219: LIKE CAOUETTE-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1002 /-] [Invalid=1560 /-]		

## # V219: LIKE CAOUETTE-2ND RESP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, SMART, BRILLIANT	3	0.3%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, DIRECT, VERY MUCH HIMSELF	30	3.0%
3	VIBRANT PERSONALITY, LIKE HIM, LIKE HIM A LOT,	5	0.5%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, GOOD ORATOR, LIKE LISTENING TO HIM	20	2.0%
5	GOOD MAN	2	0.2%
6	HARD WORKER, WORKS HARD, TRIES HARD	15	1.5%
7	GOOD LEADER, WOULD BE A GOOD LEADER	6	0.6%
8	NEVER GETS EMBARRASSED	2	0.2%
9	FAMILY MAN	0	
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	3	0.3%
11	HIS APPEARANCE	0	
12	BILINGUAL	0	
13	FRENCH CANADIAN	0	
14	ANIMATED, PERKINESS, HIS FIRE, ENERGETIC, DYNAMIC,	5	0.5%
15	HE IS DEDICATED TO HIS CAUSE, REALLY BELIEVES IN	13	1.3%
16	HIS DETERMINATION, HE NEVER GIVES UP, PERSISTENT,	7	0.7%
17	OUTSPOKEN, SAYS WHAT HE THINKS	19	1.9%
18	HIS CHARM	0	
19	GOOD IDEAS, PRINCIPLES	15	1.5%
20	COLOURFUL, HIS STYLE, A CHARACTER	2	0.2%
21	GOOD POLICIES, GOOD PROGRAM	3	0.3%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN	0	
23	INTERESTING, DIFFERENT	1	0.1%
24	COMICAL, A CLOWN, LIKE A COMEDIAN, ENTERTAINING	3	0.3%
25	FRIENDLY, EASY TO MEET, WARM	1	0.1%
26	HIS COURAGE, GUTS	3	0.3%
27	GOOD IN OPPOSITION	4	0.4%
28	HE IS JUST, FAIR	0	
29	HE IS A FIGHTER	5	0.5%
30	HE IS DOWN TO EARTH, REALISTIC	3	0.3%
31	A SIMPLE MAN	1	0.1%
32	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION, HIGH PRICES, COST	1	0.1%
33	WANTS TO HELP FRENCH CANADIANS, HE DEFENDS FRENCH	3	0.3%
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA	0	
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, CANADIANS, ORDINARY	6	0.6%
36	HE HAS HELPED PEOPLE	1	0.1%
37	HE CARES FOR US, WE NEED HIM	1	0.1%
38	LIKED HIM ON T	1	0.1%
39	I DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, HARD TO SAY, HASNT	0	
40	HIS MONEY MACHINE, HIS MONETARY SYSTEM	2	0.2%
41	HE IS A GENTLEMAN, POLITE	0	
42	DOESNT EVADE THE ISSUES, ANSWERS ALL QUESTIONS	1	0.1%



# V219: LIKE CAOUETTE-2ND RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43	HE IS IN TOUCH, WE SEE HIM OFTEN, CLOSE TO HIS	3	0.3%
44	EARNEST, SERIOUS	0	
45	HE WORKS FOR THE POOR, WANTS TO HELP THE POOR, HE	3	0.3%
46	KNOWS WHAT IS NEEDED OR WANTED, AWARE OF PROBLEMS,	2	0.2%
47	HE HAS BROADENED, MAKES MORE SENSE THAN A FEW YEARS	0	
48	HE IS O	0	
49	LIKE HIS PARTY	0	
50	SMALL PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE IN HIM	1	0.1%
51	HE WANTS TO KEEP QUEBEC IN CANADA	1	0.1%
52	SYMPATHETIC	1	0.1%
53	HE KEEPS HIS PROMISES	2	0.2%
54	TRUE CANADIAN	1	0.1%
55	HE IS FOR THE PENSIONERS, INCREASED OLD AGE	3	0.3%
56	I TRUST HIM, HE IS CONVINCING	1	0.1%
57	PROGRESSIVE	1	0.1%
58	MORE SOCIALISTIC, POLICIES ON SOCIAL WELFARE	0	
59	HE WORKS FOR MIDDLE CLASS	0	
60	HIS ATTITUDE THAT YOUNG PEOPLE SHOULD WORK HARDER,	0	
61	HIS FORTITUDE	1	0.1%
62	NO CONNECTION WITH THE WEST	0	
63	POLICY ON GUARANTEED WAGE OR WORKING HOURS	0	
64	IF HE WERE IN WE WOULD SEE IF EVERYTHING HE SAYS IS	1	0.1%
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	228	22.8%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	451	45.0%
96	NOTHING LIKED, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	26	2.6%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	89	8.9%
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	254	
98	NA; INAP	1306	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V220: LIKE CAOUETTE-3RD RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=863 /-] [Invalid=1699 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	INTELLIGENT, SMART, BRILLIANT	3	0.3%
2	HONEST, SINCERE, DIRECT, VERY MUCH HIMSELF	5	0.6%
3	VIBRANT PERSONALITY, LIKE HIM, LIKE HIM A LOT,	2	0.2%
4	GOOD SPEAKER, GOOD ORATOR, LIKE LISTENING TO HIM	3	0.3%
5	GOOD MAN	1	0.1%
6	HARD WORKER, WORKS HARD, TRIES HARD	8	0.9%
7	GOOD LEADER, WOULD BE A GOOD LEADER	6	0.7%

## # V220: LIKE CAOUETTE-3RD RESP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	NEVER GETS EMBARRASSED	0	
9	FAMILY MAN	0	
10	SENSE OF HUMOUR	2	0.2%
11	HIS APPEARANCE	0	
12	BILINGUAL	0	
13	FRENCH CANADIAN	0	
14	ANIMATED, PERKINESS, HIS FIRE, ENERGETIC, DYNAMIC,	0	
15	HE IS DEDICATED TO HIS CAUSE, REALLY BELIEVES IN	1	0.1%
16	HIS DETERMINATION, HE NEVER GIVES UP, PERSISTENT,	3	0.3%
17	OUTSPOKEN, SAYS WHAT HE THINKS	5	0.6%
18	HIS CHARM	1	0.1%
19	GOOD IDEAS, PRINCIPLES	0	
20	COLOURFUL, HIS STYLE, A CHARACTER	0	
21	GOOD POLICIES, GOOD PROGRAM	2	0.2%
22	A GOOD POLITICIAN	1	0.1%
23	INTERESTING, DIFFERENT	0	
24	COMICAL, A CLOWN, LIKE A COMEDIAN, ENTERTAINING	2	0.2%
25	FRIENDLY, EASY TO MEET, WARM	0	
26	HIS COURAGE, GUTS	1	0.1%
27	GOOD IN OPPOSITION	2	0.2%
28	HE IS JUST, FAIR	2	0.2%
29	HE IS A FIGHTER	0	
30	HE IS DOWN TO EARTH, REALISTIC	2	0.2%
31	A SIMPLE MAN	1	0.1%
32	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT INFLATION, HIGH PRICES, COST	1	0.1%
33	WANTS TO HELP FRENCH CANADIANS, HE DEFENDS FRENCH	2	0.2%
34	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT CANADA	0	
35	HE IS CONCERNED ABOUT PEOPLE, CANADIANS, ORDINARY	2	0.2%
36	HE HAS HELPED PEOPLE	0	
37	HE CARES FOR US, WE NEED HIM	0	
38	LIKED HIM ON T	0	
39	I DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, HARD TO SAY, HASNT	0	
40	HIS MONEY MACHINE, HIS MONETARY SYSTEM	0	
41	HE IS A GENTLEMAN, POLITE	0	
42	DOESNT EVADE THE ISSUES, ANSWERS ALL QUESTIONS	0	
43	HE IS IN TOUCH, WE SEE HIM OFTEN, CLOSE TO HIS	1	0.1%
44	EARNEST, SERIOUS	0	
45	HE WORKS FOR THE POOR, WANTS TO HELP THE POOR, HE	2	0.2%
46	KNOWS WHAT IS NEEDED OR WANTED, AWARE OF PROBLEMS,	1	0.1%
47	HE HAS BROADENED, MAKES MORE SENSE THAN A FEW YEARS	0	

# V220: LIKE CAOUETTE-3RD RESP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
48	HE IS O	0	
49	LIKE HIS PARTY	0	
50	SMALL PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE IN HIM	0	
51	HE WANTS TO KEEP QUEBEC IN CANADA	0	
52	SYMPATHETIC	1	0.1%
53	HE KEEPS HIS PROMISES	1	0.1%
54	TRUE CANADIAN	0	
55	HE IS FOR THE PENSIONERS, INCREASED OLD AGE	1	0.1%
56	I TRUST HIM, HE IS CONVINCING	0	
57	PROGRESSIVE	0	
58	MORE SOCIALISTIC, POLICIES ON SOCIAL WELFARE	0	
59	HE WORKS FOR MIDDLE CLASS	0	
60	HIS ATTITUDE THAT YOUNG PEOPLE SHOULD WORK HARDER,	0	
61	HIS FORTITUDE	1	0.1%
62	NO CONNECTION WITH THE WEST	0	
63	POLICY ON GUARANTEED WAGE OR WORKING HOURS	1	0.1%
64	IF HE WERE IN WE WOULD SEE IF EVERYTHING HE SAYS IS	2	0.2%
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	228	26.4%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	451	52.3%
96	NOTHING LIKED, CANT STAND HIM, DISLIKE EVERYTHING	26	3.0%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	89	10.3%
0	NO THIRD RESPONSE	393	
98	NA; INAP	1306	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V221: DISLIKE CAOUETTE-1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1257 /-] [Invalid=1305 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIS PARTY, HIS POLITICS	21	1.7%
2	HIS POLICIES, HIS PLATFORM, HIS PROGRAM	23	1.8%
3	HIS IDEAS	9	0.7%
4	HE IS FRENCH, HE SHOULD SPEAK ENGLISH	16	1.3%
5	HE IS ONLY FOR FRENCH CANADA OR QUEBEC	14	1.1%
6	UNREALISTIC IDEAS, POLICIES, PROGRAMS	34	2.7%
7	NOT A GOOD LEADER, NOT A MAN TO BE THERE	10	0.8%
8	HIS LANGUAGE IS BAD	3	0.2%
9	TALKS TOO MUCH	11	0.9%
10	TOO OLD, SHOULD RETIRE	5	0.4%
11	EVERYTHING	11	0.9%
12	HE SCREAMS TOO MUCH, HE RANTS AND RAVES	14	1.1%
13	HE IS TOO RADICAL	7	0.6%
14	HE IS CRAZY, HAS NO BRAINS	3	0.2%

# **# V221: DISLIKE CAOUETTE-1ST RSP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	A LITTLE HITLER, TOO DICTATORIAL, A REAL FASCIST	4	0.3%
16	HE IS DISHONEST, A PHONEY, NOT SINCERE	5	0.4%
17	A DEMAGOGUE	2	0.2%
18	HE IS TOO OUTSPOKEN	1	0.1%
19	TOO HONEST	3	0.2%
20	HIS MONEY MACHINE, HIS MONETARY SYSTEM, ECONOMIC	6	0.5%
21	HIS PERSONALITY	3	0.2%
22	HE IS VERY LOCAL, REPRESENTS A VERY SMALL GROUP	7	0.6%
23	VERY ARROGANT	3	0.2%
24	DONT TRUST HIM, HE SCARES ME	1	0.1%
25	TOO FORCEFUL, TOO DYNAMIC	3	0.2%
26	NOT A GOOD SPEAKER, CANT STAND TO LISTEN TO HIM	9	0.7%
27	TRUDEAUS MAN, A HIDDEN LIBERAL	1	0.1%
28	A COMIC, SCATTERBRAIN	5	0.4%
29	INEFFECTIVE, HASNT DONE MUCH, WOULDNT DO MUCH	5	0.4%
30	HIS MANNER, THE WAY HE ACTS, GENERAL DISLIKE	11	0.9%
31	CAMPAIGN STYLE, GANGSTER-LIKE WITH BLACK CAR	1	0.1%
32	ACCUSATIONS AGAINST OTHER PARTIES OR PEOPLE, TOO	9	0.7%
33	TOO PARTISAN	0	
34	OLD FASHIONED PROGRAM, SAME THING EVERY ELECTION	5	0.4%
35	TOO WEAK, NOT STRONG ENOUGH	4	0.3%
36	TOO PATERNALISTIC	1	0.1%
37	HIS APPEARANCE	1	0.1%
38	FOR SEPARATISM, SOME OF HIS THOUGHTS ON SEPARATISM	1	0.1%
39	HE WAS A DRAFT DODGER DURING THE WAR	3	0.2%
40	DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, DONT PAY ATTENTION TO	10	0.8%
41	DISAGREEMENT WITH SAMSON	1	0.1%
42	NOT CONCERNED WITH QUEBECS NEEDS	0	
43	DOESNT ALWAYS KNOW WHAT HE IS SAYING	4	0.3%
44	RACISM	0	
45	TOO SURE OF HIMSELF	1	0.1%
46	HAS NO PLATFORM	1	0.1%
47	HE DOESNT GET THROUGH TO THE PEOPLE	1	0.1%
48	HARPING ABOUT FAT CAT BUSINESS	0	
49	PROPOSES SOCIAL CREDIT PROGRAMS	1	0.1%
50	SHOULD CAMPAIGN MORE HERE THEN WE WOULD KNOW	1	0.1%
51	DOESNT KEEP PACE WITH OTHER PROVINCES	0	
52	URNS HIS HAT ON ISSUES	1	0.1%
53	IS UNEDUCATED TO THE FACT THAT WERE NOT ALL	0	
54	WORKING FOR THE WEST	0	
55	OTHER SPECIFIC DISLIKES WITH REFERENCE TO HIS	3	0.2%

# V221: DISLIKE CAOINETTE-1ST RSP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	246	<div></div> 19.6%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	634	<div></div> 50.4%
96	NOTHING DISLIKED, LIKE HIM	12	<div></div> 1.0%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	66	<div></div> 5.3%
98	NA; INAP	1305	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V222: DISLIKE CAOINETTE-2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1019 /-] [Invalid=1543 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIS PARTY, HIS POLITICS	4	<div></div> 0.4%
2	HIS POLICIES, HIS PLATFORM, HIS PROGRAM	4	<div></div> 0.4%
3	HIS IDEAS	0	
4	HE IS FRENCH, HE SHOULD SPEAK ENGLISH	3	<div></div> 0.3%
5	HE IS ONLY FOR FRENCH CANADA OR QUEBEC	4	<div></div> 0.4%
6	UNREALISTIC IDEAS, POLICIES, PROGRAMS	6	<div></div> 0.6%
7	NOT A GOOD LEADER, NOT A MAN TO BE THERE	3	<div></div> 0.3%
8	HIS LANGUAGE IS BAD	2	<div></div> 0.2%
9	TALKS TOO MUCH	1	<div></div> 0.1%
10	TOO OLD, SHOULD RETIRE	0	
11	EVERYTHING	0	
12	HE SCREAMS TOO MUCH, HE RANTS AND RAVES	3	<div></div> 0.3%
13	HE IS TOO RADICAL	1	<div></div> 0.1%
14	HE IS CRAZY, HAS NO BRAINS	1	<div></div> 0.1%
15	A LITTLE HITLER, TOO DICTATORIAL, A REAL FASCIST	2	<div></div> 0.2%
16	HE IS DISHONEST, A PHONEY, NOT SINCERE	0	
17	A DEMAGOGUE	1	<div></div> 0.1%
18	HE IS TOO OUTSPOKEN	0	
19	TOO HONEST	0	
20	HIS MONEY MACHINE, HIS MONETARY SYSTEM, ECONOMIC	5	<div></div> 0.5%
21	HIS PERSONALITY	1	<div></div> 0.1%
22	HE IS VERY LOCAL, REPRESENTS A VERY SMALL GROUP	1	<div></div> 0.1%
23	VERY ARROGANT	0	
24	DONT TRUST HIM, HE SCARES ME	0	
25	TOO FORCEFUL, TOO DYNAMIC	2	<div></div> 0.2%
26	NOT A GOOD SPEAKER, CANT STAND TO LISTEN TO HIM	2	<div></div> 0.2%
27	TRUDEAUS MAN, A HIDDEN LIBERAL	1	<div></div> 0.1%
28	A COMIC, SCATTERBRAIN	1	<div></div> 0.1%
29	INEFFECTIVE, HASNT DONE MUCH, WOULDNT DO MUCH	1	<div></div> 0.1%
30	HIS MANNER, THE WAY HE ACTS, GENERAL DISLIKE	2	<div></div> 0.2%
31	CAMPAIGN STYLE, GANGSTER-LIKE WITH BLACK CAR	0	
32	ACCUSATIONS AGAINST OTHER PARTIES OR PEOPLE, TOO	1	<div></div> 0.1%
33	TOO PARTISAN	0	

# V222: DISLIKE CAOUETTE-2ND RSP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
34	OLD FASHIONED PROGRAM, SAME THING EVERY ELECTION	1	0.1%
35	TOO WEAK, NOT STRONG ENOUGH	0	
36	TOO PATERNALISTIC	0	
37	HIS APPEARANCE	1	0.1%
38	FOR SEPARATISM, SOME OF HIS THOUGHTS ON SEPARATISM	2	0.2%
39	HE WAS A DRAFT DODGER DURING THE WAR	0	
40	DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, DONT PAY ATTENTION TO	0	
41	DISAGREEMENT WITH SAMSON	0	
42	NOT CONCERNED WITH QUEBEC'S NEEDS	1	0.1%
43	DOESNT ALWAYS KNOW WHAT HE IS SAYING	1	0.1%
44	RACISM	1	0.1%
45	TOO SURE OF HIMSELF	0	
46	HAS NO PLATFORM	0	
47	HE DOESNT GET THROUGH TO THE PEOPLE	0	
48	HARPING ABOUT FAT CAT BUSINESS	1	0.1%
49	PROPOSES SOCIAL CREDIT PROGRAMS	0	
50	SHOULD CAMPAIGN MORE HERE THEN WE WOULD KNOW	0	
51	DOESNT KEEP PACE WITH OTHER PROVINCES	1	0.1%
52	URNS HIS HAT ON ISSUES	0	
53	IS UNEDUCATED TO THE FACT THAT WERE NOT ALL	0	
54	WORKING FOR THE WEST	0	
55	OTHER SPECIFIC DISLIKES WITH REFERENCE TO HIS	0	
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	246	24.1%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	634	62.2%
96	NOTHING DISLIKED, LIKE HIM	12	1.2%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	66	6.5%
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	238	
98	NA; INAP	1305	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V223: DISLIKE CAOUETTE-3RD RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*/0/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=966 /-] [Invalid=1596 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIS PARTY, HIS POLITICS	0	
2	HIS POLICIES, HIS PLATFORM, HIS PROGRAM	1	0.1%
3	HIS IDEAS	0	
4	HE IS FRENCH, HE SHOULD SPEAK ENGLISH	0	
5	HE IS ONLY FOR FRENCH CANADA OR QUEBEC	1	0.1%
6	UNREALISTIC IDEAS, POLICIES, PROGRAMS	1	0.1%
7	NOT A GOOD LEADER, NOT A MAN TO BE THERE	0	
8	HIS LANGUAGE IS BAD	0	
9	TALKS TOO MUCH	1	0.1%

## # V223: DISLIKE CAOINETTE-3RD RSP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	TOO OLD, SHOULD RETIRE	0	
11	EVERYTHING	0	
12	HE SCREAMS TOO MUCH, HE RANTS AND RAVES	0	
13	HE IS TOO RADICAL	0	
14	HE IS CRAZY, HAS NO BRAINS	0	
15	A LITTLE HITLER, TOO DICTATORIAL, A REAL FASCIST	0	
16	HE IS DISHONEST, A PHONEY, NOT SINCERE	0	
17	A DEMAGOGUE	0	
18	HE IS TOO OUTSPOKEN	0	
19	TOO HONEST	0	
20	HIS MONEY MACHINE, HIS MONETARY SYSTEM, ECONOMIC	0	
21	HIS PERSONALITY	0	
22	HE IS VERY LOCAL, REPRESENTS A VERY SMALL GROUP	0	
23	VERY ARROGANT	0	
24	DONT TRUST HIM, HE SCARES ME	0	
25	TOO FORCEFUL, TOO DYNAMIC	0	
26	NOT A GOOD SPEAKER, CANT STAND TO LISTEN TO HIM	1	0.1%
27	TRUDEAUS MAN, A HIDDEN LIBERAL	0	
28	A COMIC, SCATTERBRAIN	0	
29	INEFFECTIVE, HASNT DONE MUCH, WOULDNT DO MUCH	1	0.1%
30	HIS MANNER, THE WAY HE ACTS, GENERAL DISLIKE	0	
31	CAMPAIGN STYLE, GANGSTER-LIKE WITH BLACK CAR	0	
32	ACCUSATIONS AGAINST OTHER PARTIES OR PEOPLE, TOO	0	
33	TOO PARTISAN	1	0.1%
34	OLD FASHIONED PROGRAM, SAME THING EVERY ELECTION	1	0.1%
35	TOO WEAK, NOT STRONG ENOUGH	0	
36	TOO PATERNALISTIC	0	
37	HIS APPEARANCE	0	
38	FOR SEPARATISM, SOME OF HIS THOUGHTS ON SEPARATISM	0	
39	HE WAS A DRAFT DODGER DURING THE WAR	0	
40	DONT KNOW ENOUGH ABOUT HIM, DONT PAY ATTENTION TO	0	
41	DISAGREEMENT WITH SAMSON	0	
42	NOT CONCERNED WITH QUEBECS NEEDS	0	
43	DOESNT ALWAYS KNOW WHAT HE IS SAYING	0	
44	RACISM	0	
45	TOO SURE OF HIMSELF	0	
46	HAS NO PLATFORM	0	
47	HE DOESNT GET THROUGH TO THE PEOPLE	0	
48	HARPING ABOUT FAT CAT BUSINESS	0	
49	PROPOSES SOCIAL CREDIT PROGRAMS	0	
50	SHOULD CAMPAIGN MORE HERE THEN WE WOULD KNOW	0	

# V223: DISLIKE CAOUETTE-3RD RSP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
51	DOESNT KEEP PACE WITH OTHER PROVINCES	0	
52	TURNES HIS HAT ON ISSUES	0	
53	IS UNEDUCATED TO THE FACT THAT WERE NOT ALL	0	
54	WORKING FOR THE WEST	0	
55	OTHER SPECIFIC DISLIKES WITH REFERENCE TO HIS	0	
88	DK, NO OPINION, NOT STATED	246	<div><div></div></div> 25.5%
95	NO, NOTHING IN PARTICULAR	634	<div><div></div></div> 65.6%
96	NOTHING DISLIKED, LIKE HIM	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
97	DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT HIM	66	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
0	NO THIRD RESPONSE	291	
98	NA; INAP	1305	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V224: LIKE LIB-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=602.263 /-] [StdDev=362.257 /-]		
# V225: LIKE LIB-ART 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1442 /-] [Invalid=1120 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
2	MEDIUM	101	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
3	LOW	1332	<div><div></div></div> 92.4%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1120	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V226: LIKE LIB-INT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1440 /-] [Invalid=1122 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	1357	<div><div></div></div> 94.2%
2	STRONG	83	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1122	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V227: LIKE LIB-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=592 /-] [Invalid=1970 /-] [Mean=285.701 /-] [StdDev=222.068 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	1970	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V228: LIKE LIB-ART 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=574 /-] [Invalid=1988 /-]		



# V228: LIKE LIB-ART 2ND RSP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	6	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2	MEDIUM	40	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
3	LOW	528	<div><div></div></div> 92.0%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	1988	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V229: LIKE LIB-INT 2ND RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=574 /-] [Invalid=1988 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	536	<div><div></div></div> 93.4%
2	STRONG	38	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	1988	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V230: DISLIKE LIB-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=625.57 /-] [StdDev=350.428 /-]	
# V231: DISLIKE LIB-ART 1ST RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1365 /-] [Invalid=1197 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
2	MEDIUM	145	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
3	LOW	1196	<div><div></div></div> 87.6%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1197	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V232: DISLIKE LIB-INT 1ST RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1365 /-] [Invalid=1197 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	1236	<div><div></div></div> 90.5%
2	STRONG	129	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1197	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V233: DISLIKE LIB-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=502 /-] [Invalid=2060 /-] [Mean=331.775 /-] [StdDev=219.555 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	2060	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V234: DISLIKE LIB-ART 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=479 /-] [Invalid=2083 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	11	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
2	MEDIUM	49	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
3	LOW	419	<div><div></div></div> 87.5%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2083	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V235: DISLIKE LIB-INT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=479 /-] [Invalid=2083 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	415	<div><div></div></div> 86.6%
2	STRONG	64	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2083	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V236: LIKE PC-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=734.883 /-] [StdDev=335.116 /-]		
# V237: LIKE PC-ART 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=933 /-] [Invalid=1629 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2	MEDIUM	49	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
3	LOW	880	<div><div></div></div> 94.3%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1629	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V238: LIKE PC-INT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=932 /-] [Invalid=1630 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	875	<div><div></div></div> 93.9%
2	STRONG	57	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1630	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V239: LIKE PC-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=243 /-] [Invalid=2319 /-] [Mean=344.963 /-] [StdDev=274.967 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	2319	

# V239: LIKE PC-CONT 2ND RSP			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V240: LIKE PC-ART 2ND RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=229 -/] [Invalid=2333 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	0	
2	MEDIUM	13	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
3	LOW	216	<div><div></div></div> 94.3%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2333	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V241: LIKE PC-INT 2ND RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=229 -/] [Invalid=2333 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	213	<div><div></div></div> 93.0%
2	STRONG	16	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2333	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V242: DISLIKE PC-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2562 -/] [Invalid=0 -/] [Mean=675.518 -/] [StdDev=371.576 -/]	
# V243: DISLIKE PC-ART 1ST RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1108 -/] [Invalid=1454 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	12	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
2	MEDIUM	70	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
3	LOW	1026	<div><div></div></div> 92.6%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1454	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V244: DISLIKE PC-INT 1ST RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1106 -/] [Invalid=1456 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	1011	<div><div></div></div> 91.4%
2	STRONG	95	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1456	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V245: DISLIKE PC-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=293 -/] [Invalid=2269 -/] [Mean=297.464 -/] [StdDev=266.803 -/]	

# V245: DISLIKE PC-CONT 2ND RSP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	2269	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V246: DISLIKE PC-ART 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=286 /-] [Invalid=2276 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	6	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
2	MEDIUM	30	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
3	LOW	250	<div><div></div></div> 87.4%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2276	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V247: DISLIKE PC-INT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=286 /-] [Invalid=2276 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	257	<div><div></div></div> 89.9%
2	STRONG	29	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2276	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V248: LIKE NDP-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=755.219 /-] [StdDev=324.232 /-]		
# V249: LIKE NDP-ART 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=848 /-] [Invalid=1714 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2	MEDIUM	68	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
3	LOW	777	<div><div></div></div> 91.6%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1714	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V250: LIKE NDP-INT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=849 /-] [Invalid=1713 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	792	<div><div></div></div> 93.3%
2	STRONG	57	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1713	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V251: LIKE NDP-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=281 -/] [Invalid=2281 -/] [Mean=329.217 -/] [StdDev=238.738 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	2281	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V252: LIKE NDP-ART 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=267 -/] [Invalid=2295 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	2	0.7%
2	MEDIUM	22	8.2%
3	LOW	243	91.0%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2295	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V253: LIKE NDP-ART 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=267 -/] [Invalid=2295 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	250	93.6%
2	STRONG	17	6.4%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2295	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V254: DISLIKE NDP-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 -/] [Invalid=0 -/] [Mean=746.384 -/] [StdDev=333.644 -/]		
# V255: DISLIKE NDP-ART 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=898 -/] [Invalid=1664 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	8	0.9%
2	MEDIUM	84	9.4%
3	LOW	806	89.8%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1664	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V256: DISLIKE NDP-INT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=896 -/] [Invalid=1666 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	787	87.8%
2	STRONG	109	12.2%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1666	

# V256: DISLIKE NDP-INT 1ST RSP			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V257: DISLIKE NDP-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=207 -/] [Invalid=2355 -/] [Mean=313.343 -/] [StdDev=260.588 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	2355	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V258: DISLIKE NDP-ART 2ND RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=200 -/] [Invalid=2362 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
2	MEDIUM	21	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
3	LOW	174	<div><div></div></div> 87.0%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V259: DISLIKE NDP-INT 2ND RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=200 -/] [Invalid=2362 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	169	<div><div></div></div> 84.5%
2	STRONG	31	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2362	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V260: LIKE SC-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2562 -/] [Invalid=0 -/] [Mean=832.143 -/] [StdDev=285.627 -/]	
# V261: LIKE SC-ART 1ST RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=513 -/] [Invalid=2049 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	6	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2	MEDIUM	37	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
3	LOW	470	<div><div></div></div> 91.6%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	2049	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V262: LIKE SC-INT 1ST RSP			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=512 -/] [Invalid=2050 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	484	<div><div></div></div> 94.5%

# V262: LIKE SC-INT 1ST RSP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	STRONG	28	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	2050	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V263: LIKE SC-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=139 /-] [Invalid=2423 /-] [Mean=345.266 /-] [StdDev=288.145 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	2423	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V264: LIKE SC-ART 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=126 /-] [Invalid=2436 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	3	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
2	MEDIUM	6	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
3	LOW	117	<div><div></div></div> 92.9%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2436	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V265: LIKE SC-INT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=126 /-] [Invalid=2436 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	123	<div><div></div></div> 97.6%
2	STRONG	3	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2436	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V266: DISLIKE SC-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=841.923 /-] [StdDev=259.804 /-]		
# V267: DISLIKE SC-ART 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=555 /-] [Invalid=2007 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2	MEDIUM	28	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
3	LOW	520	<div><div></div></div> 93.7%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	2007	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V268: DISLIKE SC-INT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		

# V268: DISLIKE SC-INT 1ST RSP			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=554 -/] [Invalid=2008 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	515	<div><div></div></div> 93.0%
2	STRONG	39	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	2008	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V269: DISLIKE SC-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=111 -/] [Invalid=2451 -/] [Mean=437.027 -/] [StdDev=312.805 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	2451	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V270: DISLIKE SC-ART 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=98 -/] [Invalid=2464 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	2	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2	MEDIUM	6	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
3	LOW	90	<div><div></div></div> 91.8%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2464	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V271: DISLIKE SC-INT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=98 -/] [Invalid=2464 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	90	<div><div></div></div> 91.8%
2	STRONG	8	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2464	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V272: DIFF BTW PARTIES-FEDERAL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2469 -/] [Invalid=93 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GREAT DEAL OF DIFFERENCE	1167	<div><div></div></div> 47.3%
2	SOME DIFFERENCE	770	<div><div></div></div> 31.2%
3	NO DIFFERENCE	517	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
4	DEPENDS	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
8	DK	87	
9	NA	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V273: DIFF BTW PARTIES-PROVNCL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		



# V273: DIFF BTW PARTIES-PROVNCL			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2438 /-] [Invalid=124 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GREAT DEAL OF DIFFERENCE	1199	<div><div></div></div> 49.2%
2	SOME DIFFERENCE	739	<div><div></div></div> 30.3%
3	NO DIFFERENCE	489	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
4	DEPENDS	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
8	DK	119	
9	NA	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V274: PROV PARTY ID			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2116 /-] [Invalid=446 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	1015	<div><div></div></div> 48.0%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	567	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
3	N	258	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	132	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
5	OTHER	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
6	UNION NATIONALE	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
7	PARTI QUEBECOIS	116	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
0	NONE, INDEPENDENT	123	
8	DK	285	
9	REFUSED	38	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V275: PROV PARTY ID INTENSITY			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2110 /-] [Invalid=452 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY STRONGLY	776	<div><div></div></div> 36.8%
2	FAIRLY	1017	<div><div></div></div> 48.2%
3	NOT VERY STRONGLY	317	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
8	DK	6	
9	NA, INAP	446	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V276: FEEL CLOSER TO PROV PART			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=330 /-] [Invalid=2232 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	115	<div><div></div></div> 34.8%
2	NO	215	<div><div></div></div> 65.2%
0	REFUSED	20	
8	DK	96	
9	INAP	2116	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

### # V277: PROV PARTY CLOSER TO

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=93 /-] [Invalid=2469 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	38	40.9%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	31	33.3%
3	N	14	15.1%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	4	4.3%
5	OTHER	0	
6	UNION NATIONALE	3	3.2%
7	PARTI QUEBECOIS	3	3.2%
0	REFUSED	13	
8	DK	9	
9	INAP	2447	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V278: ANY PAST PROV PARTY ID

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2411 /-] [Invalid=151 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	641	26.6%
2	NO	1770	73.4%
0	REFUSED	13	
8	DK	138	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V279: PAST PROV PARTY ID

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=624 /-] [Invalid=1938 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	177	28.4%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	165	26.4%
3	N	82	13.1%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	106	17.0%
5	OTHER	7	1.1%
6	UNION NATIONALE	59	9.5%
7	PARTI QUEBECOIS	28	4.5%
0	REFUSED	7	
8	DK	10	
9	INAP	1921	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # V280: WHEN CHANGED PROV PARTY

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=546 /-] [Invalid=2016 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LAST ELECTION	59	10.8%

## # V280: WHEN CHANGED PROV PARTY

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	1973, LAST YEAR, 1 YEAR AGO	28	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
3	1972, 2 YEARS AGO	42	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
4	1971, 3 YEARS AGO	41	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
5	1970, 4 YEARS AGO	30	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
6	1969, 5 YEARS AGO	37	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
7	1968, 6 YEARS AGO	42	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
8	1967, 7 YEARS AGO	12	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
9	1966, 8 YEARS AGO	11	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
10	1965, 9 YEARS AGO	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
11	1964, 10 YEARS AGO	15	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
12	1963, 11 OR MORE YEARS AGO	61	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
13	GENERAL, A LONG TIME AGO	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
14	HAVENT REALLY CHANGED, TEMPORARY CHANGE, DONT	53	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
15	SINCE, AFTER LAST ELECTION (UNABLE TO DETERMINE	23	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
16	TIME NOT SPECIFIED, UNABLE TO DETERMINE FROM	71	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
17	THIS YEAR, 1974	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
0	DK, NO ANSWER, NOT SPECIFIED	78	
99	NA; INAP	1938	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # V281: WHY CHG PROV PTY-1ST RSP

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=574 /-] [Invalid=1988 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LEADERSHIP, UNSPECIFIED	35	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
2	APPROVAL OF LIBERAL LEADER	11	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
3	DISAPPROVAL OF LIBERAL LEADER	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
4	APPROVAL OF P	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
5	DISAPPROVAL OF P	20	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
6	APPROVAL OF N	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
7	DISAPPROVAL OF N	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8	APPROVAL OF OTHER PARTY LEADER (OTHER THAN	0	
9	DISAPPROVAL OF OTHER PARTY LEADER (OTHER THAN UNION	0	
10	CANDIDATE(S), UNSPECIFIED	39	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
11	APPROVAL OF LIBERAL CANDIDATE	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
12	DISAPPROVAL OF LIBERAL CANDIDATE	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
13	APPROVAL OF P	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
14	DISAPPROVAL OF P	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
15	APPROVAL OF N	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
16	DISAPPROVAL OF N	0	
17	APPROVAL OF OTHER PARTY CANDIDATE	0	
18	DISAPPROVAL OF OTHER PARTY CANDIDATE (OTHER THAN	0	
19	SPECIFIC POLICY, UNSPECIFIED	25	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%

## # V281: WHY CHG PROV PTY-1ST RSP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC LIBERAL POLICY	3	0.5%
21	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC LIBERAL POLICY	5	0.9%
22	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC P	1	0.2%
23	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC P	8	1.4%
24	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC N	7	1.2%
25	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC N	13	2.3%
26	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC POLICY OF ANOTHER PARTY	0	
27	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC POLICY OF ANOTHER	0	
28	GENERAL POLICIES, UNSPECIFIED	16	2.8%
29	APPROVAL OF GENERAL LIBERAL POLICIES	4	0.7%
30	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL LIBERAL POLICIES	5	0.9%
31	APPROVAL OF GENERAL P	3	0.5%
32	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL P	3	0.5%
33	APPROVAL OF GENERAL N	3	0.5%
34	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL N	7	1.2%
35	APPROVAL OF GENERAL POLICIES OF ANOTHER PARTY	0	
36	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL POLICIES OF ANOTHER	0	
37	PERFORMANCE, UNSPECIFIED	15	2.6%
38	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF LIBERAL PERFORMANCE	4	0.7%
39	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF LIBERAL PERFORMANCE	23	4.0%
40	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF P	5	0.9%
41	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF P	9	1.6%
42	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF N	6	1.0%
43	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF N	14	2.4%
44	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF SOCIAL CREDIT PERFORMANCE	4	0.7%
45	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF SOCIAL CREDIT	16	2.8%
46	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE OF ANOTHER	0	
47	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE OF ANOTHER	2	0.3%
48	POSITIVE RESPONSE TO A FEDERAL FACTOR	4	0.7%
49	NEGATIVE RESPONSE TO A FEDERAL FACTOR	9	1.6%
50	RESPONSE TO A FEDERAL FACTOR, ATTITUDE UNSPECIFIED	7	1.2%
51	PERSONAL REASONS, FACTORS	40	7.0%
52	THE MAN (DONT KNOW IF LEADER OR CANDIDATE)	10	1.7%
53	VAGUE REASONS, FOR A CHANGE, EVERYTHING IN	54	9.4%
54	WANTED THE LIBERALS TO HAVE A MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	1	0.2%
55	WANTED THE P	1	0.2%
56	N	3	0.5%
57	SOCIAL CREDIT NOT STRONG ENOUGH, NO CHANCE OF	4	0.7%
58	APPROVAL OF UNION NATIONALE LEADER	2	0.3%
59	DISAPPROVAL OF UNION NATIONALE LEADER	7	1.2%
60	APPROVAL OF PARTI QUEBECOIS LEADER	3	0.5%

## # V281: WHY CHG PROV PTY-1ST RSP

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
61	DISAPPROVAL OF PARTI QUEBECOIS LEADER	1	0.2%
62	APPROVAL OF UNION NATIONALE CANDIDATE	0	
63	DISAPPROVAL OF UNION NATIONALE CANDIDATE	0	
64	APPROVAL OF PARTI QUEBECOIS CANDIDATE	0	
65	DISAPPROVAL OF PARTI QUEBECOIS CANDIDATE	1	0.2%
66	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC UNION NATIONALE POLICY	0	
67	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC UNION NATIONALE POLICY	2	0.3%
68	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC PARTI QUEBECOIS POLICY	14	2.4%
69	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC PARTI QUEBECOIS POLICY	6	1.0%
70	APPROVAL OF GENERAL UNION NATIONALE POLICIES	2	0.3%
71	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL UNION NATIONALE POLICIES	4	0.7%
72	APPROVAL OF GENERAL PARTI QUEBECOIS POLICIES	8	1.4%
73	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL PARTI QUEBECOIS POLICIES	2	0.3%
74	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF UNION NATIONALE	0	
75	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF UNION NATIONALE	7	1.2%
76	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF PARTI QUEBECOIS	1	0.2%
77	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF PARTI QUEBECOIS	6	1.0%
78	APPROVAL OF SOCIAL CREDIT LEADER PERFORMANCE	2	0.3%
79	DISAPPROVAL OF SOCIAL CREDIT LEADER PERFORMANCE	13	2.3%
80	APPROVAL OF SOCIAL CREDIT CANDIDATE	1	0.2%
81	DISAPPROVAL OF SOCIAL CREDIT CANDIDATE	2	0.3%
82	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC SOCIAL CREDIT POLICY	1	0.2%
83	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC SOCIAL CREDIT POLICY	0	
84	APPROVAL OF GENERAL SOCIAL CREDIT POLICIES	5	0.9%
85	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL SOCIAL CREDIT POLICIES	3	0.5%
86	MISCELLANEOUS SINGLE RESPONSES	1	0.2%
88	NO ANSWER, CANT REMEMBER, REFUSED	50	
99	INAP	1938	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # V282: WHY CHG PROV PTY-2ND RSP

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/88/99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=78 /-] [Invalid=2484 /-]				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
1	LEADERSHIP, UNSPECIFIED	2	<div></div>	2.6%		
2	APPROVAL OF LIBERAL LEADER	2	<div></div>	2.6%		
3	DISAPPROVAL OF LIBERAL LEADER	0				
4	APPROVAL OF P	0				
5	DISAPPROVAL OF P	1			<div></div>	1.3%
6	APPROVAL OF N	0				
7	DISAPPROVAL OF N	0				
8	APPROVAL OF OTHER PARTY LEADER (OTHER THAN	0				
9	DISAPPROVAL OF OTHER PARTY LEADER (OTHER THAN UNION	0				
10	CANDIDATE(S), UNSPECIFIED	7	<div></div>	9.0%		

# **# V282: WHY CHG PROV PTY-2ND RSP**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	APPROVAL OF LIBERAL CANDIDATE	0	
12	DISAPPROVAL OF LIBERAL CANDIDATE	1	1.3%
13	APPROVAL OF P	1	1.3%
14	DISAPPROVAL OF P	1	1.3%
15	APPROVAL OF N	0	
16	DISAPPROVAL OF N	0	
17	APPROVAL OF OTHER PARTY CANDIDATE	0	
18	DISAPPROVAL OF OTHER PARTY CANDIDATE (OTHER THAN	0	
19	SPECIFIC POLICY, UNSPECIFIED	3	3.8%
20	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC LIBERAL POLICY	2	2.6%
21	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC LIBERAL POLICY	1	1.3%
22	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC P	0	
23	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC P	2	2.6%
24	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC N	1	1.3%
25	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC N	3	3.8%
26	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC POLICY OF ANOTHER PARTY	0	
27	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC POLICY OF ANOTHER	0	
28	GENERAL POLICIES, UNSPECIFIED	2	2.6%
29	APPROVAL OF GENERAL LIBERAL POLICIES	3	3.8%
30	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL LIBERAL POLICIES	1	1.3%
31	APPROVAL OF GENERAL P	0	
32	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL P	0	
33	APPROVAL OF GENERAL N	0	
34	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL N	0	
35	APPROVAL OF GENERAL POLICIES OF ANOTHER PARTY	0	
36	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL POLICIES OF ANOTHER	0	
37	PERFORMANCE, UNSPECIFIED	2	2.6%
38	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF LIBERAL PERFORMANCE	2	2.6%
39	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF LIBERAL PERFORMANCE	3	3.8%
40	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF P	0	
41	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF P	4	5.1%
42	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF N	1	1.3%
43	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF N	1	1.3%
44	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF SOCIAL CREDIT PERFORMANCE	3	3.8%
45	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF SOCIAL CREDIT	4	5.1%
46	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE OF ANOTHER	0	
47	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF PERFORMANCE OF ANOTHER	0	
48	POSITIVE RESPONSE TO A FEDERAL FACTOR	0	
49	NEGATIVE RESPONSE TO A FEDERAL FACTOR	0	
50	RESPONSE TO A FEDERAL FACTOR, ATTITUDE UNSPECIFIED	1	1.3%
51	PERSONAL REASONS, FACTORS	1	1.3%

# V282: WHY CHG PROV PTY-2ND RSP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
52	THE MAN (DONT KNOW IF LEADER OR CANDIDATE)	0	
53	VAGUE REASONS, FOR A CHANGE, EVERYTHING IN	2	<div></div> 2.6%
54	WANTED THE LIBERALS TO HAVE A MAJORITY GOVERNMENT	0	
55	WANTED THE P	0	
56	N	1	<div></div> 1.3%
57	SOCIAL CREDIT NOT STRONG ENOUGH, NO CHANCE OF	0	
58	APPROVAL OF UNION NATIONALE LEADER	0	
59	DISAPPROVAL OF UNION NATIONALE LEADER	1	<div></div> 1.3%
60	APPROVAL OF PARTI QUEBECOIS LEADER	1	<div></div> 1.3%
61	DISAPPROVAL OF PARTI QUEBECOIS LEADER	0	
62	APPROVAL OF UNION NATIONALE CANDIDATE	0	
63	DISAPPROVAL OF UNION NATIONALE CANDIDATE	0	
64	APPROVAL OF PARTI QUEBECOIS CANDIDATE	1	<div></div> 1.3%
65	DISAPPROVAL OF PARTI QUEBECOIS CANDIDATE	0	
66	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC UNION NATIONALE POLICY	0	
67	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC UNION NATIONALE POLICY	0	
68	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC PARTI QUEBECOIS POLICY	2	<div></div> 2.6%
69	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC PARTI QUEBECOIS POLICY	0	
70	APPROVAL OF GENERAL UNION NATIONALE POLICIES	0	
71	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL UNION NATIONALE POLICIES	1	<div></div> 1.3%
72	APPROVAL OF GENERAL PARTI QUEBECOIS POLICIES	3	<div></div> 3.8%
73	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL PARTI QUEBECOIS POLICIES	0	
74	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF UNION NATIONALE	0	
75	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF UNION NATIONALE	1	<div></div> 1.3%
76	FAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF PARTI QUEBECOIS	1	<div></div> 1.3%
77	UNFAVOURABLE ASSESSMENT OF PARTI QUEBECOIS	0	
78	APPROVAL OF SOCIAL CREDIT LEADER PERFORMANCE	1	<div></div> 1.3%
79	DISAPPROVAL OF SOCIAL CREDIT LEADER	4	<div></div> 5.1%
80	APPROVAL OF SOCIAL CREDIT CANDIDATE	0	
81	DISAPPROVAL OF SOCIAL CREDIT CANDIDATE	1	<div></div> 1.3%
82	APPROVAL OF SPECIFIC SOCIAL CREDIT POLICY	2	<div></div> 2.6%
83	DISAPPROVAL OF SPECIFIC SOCIAL CREDIT POLICY	1	<div></div> 1.3%
84	APPROVAL OF GENERAL SOCIAL CREDIT POLICIES	0	
85	DISAPPROVAL OF GENERAL SOCIAL CREDIT POLICIES	0	
86	MISCELLANEOUS SINGLE RESPONSES	0	
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	496	
88	NO ANSWER, CANT REMEMBER, REFUSED	50	
99	INAP	1938	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V283: FATHER PROV PARTY?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2045 /-] [Invalid=517 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1374	<div></div> 67.2%

# V283: FATHER PROV PARTY?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	NO	671	<div><div></div></div> 32.8%
0	REFUSED	6	
8	DK	511	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V284: FATHER PROV PARTY ID			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1322 /-] [Invalid=1240 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERALS	633	<div><div></div></div> 47.9%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	435	<div><div></div></div> 32.9%
3	N	93	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	74	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
5	OTHER	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
6	UNION NATIONALE	81	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
7	PARTI QUEBECOIS	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
0	REFUSED	7	
8	DK	45	
9	INAP	1188	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V285: FATHER PROV PARTY INTENS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1312 /-] [Invalid=1250 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY STRONG	722	<div><div></div></div> 55.0%
2	FAIRLY STRONGLY	504	<div><div></div></div> 38.4%
3	NOT VERY STRONG	86	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
8	DK	10	
9	INAP	1240	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V286: MOTHER PROV PARTY?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1986 /-] [Invalid=576 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1074	<div><div></div></div> 54.1%
2	NO	912	<div><div></div></div> 45.9%
0	REFUSED	7	
8	DK	569	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V287: MOTHER PROV PARTY ID			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1034 /-] [Invalid=1528 /-]		



# V287: MOTHER PROV PARTY ID				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	LIBERAL	495	<div></div>	47.9%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	331	<div></div>	32.0%
3	N	71	<div></div>	6.9%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	72	<div></div>	7.0%
5	OTHER	5	<div></div>	0.5%
6	UNION NATIONALE	58	<div></div>	5.6%
7	PARTI QUEBECOIS	2	<div></div>	0.2%
0	REFUSED	5		
8	DK	35		
9	INAP	1488		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# V288: MOTHER PROV PARTY INTENS				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1030 /-] [Invalid=1532 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	VERY STRONG	452	<div></div>	43.9%
2	FAIRLY STRONG	457	<div></div>	44.4%
3	NOT VERY STRONG	121	<div></div>	11.7%
8	DK	4		
9	INAP	1528		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# V289: PARENTS PROV POL INTERST				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=*/0/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2184 /-] [Invalid=378 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	VERY INTERESTED	801	<div></div>	36.7%
2	SOMEWHAT INTERESTED	1012	<div></div>	46.3%
3	NOT AT ALL INTERESTED	370	<div></div>	16.9%
4	FATHER INTERESTED, MOTHER NOT	1	<div></div>	0.0%
0	REFUSED	6		
8	DK	372		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# V290: LIKE PROV PC-CONT 1ST RP				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1860 /-] [Invalid=702 /-] [Mean=736.517 /-] [StdDev=333.663 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
998	INAP	702		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# V291: LIKE PROV PC-ART 1ST RP				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=670 /-] [Invalid=1892 /-]		

# V291: LIKE PROV PC-ART 1ST RP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2	MEDIUM	52	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
3	LOW	611	<div><div></div></div> 91.2%
0	NA; INAP	1892	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V292: LIKE PROV PC-INT 1ST RP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=670 /-] [Invalid=1892 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	606	<div><div></div></div> 90.4%
2	STRONG	64	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
0	NA; INAP	1892	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V293: LIKE PROV PC-CONT 2ND RP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=239 /-] [Invalid=2323 /-] [Mean=290.745 /-] [StdDev=236.635 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	1621	
998	INAP	702	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V294: LIKE PROV PC-ART 2ND RP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=230 /-] [Invalid=2332 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
2	MEDIUM	21	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3	LOW	207	<div><div></div></div> 90.0%
0	NA; INAP	2332	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V295: LIKE PROV PC-INT 2ND RP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=230 /-] [Invalid=2332 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	208	<div><div></div></div> 90.4%
2	STRONG	22	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
0	NA; INAP	2332	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V296: DISLIKE PR PC-CONT 1ST R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1860 /-] [Invalid=702 /-] [Mean=749.44 /-] [StdDev=322.493 /-]		

# V296: DISLIKE PR PC-CONT 1ST R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	INAP	702	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V297: DISLIKE PR PC-ART 1ST R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=669 /-] [Invalid=1893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	20	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
2	MEDIUM	82	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
3	LOW	567	<div><div></div></div> 84.8%
0	NA; INAP	1893	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V298: DISLIKE PR PC-INT 1ST R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=669 /-] [Invalid=1893 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	572	<div><div></div></div> 85.5%
2	STRONG	97	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
0	NA; INAP	1893	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V299: DISLIKE PR PC-CONT 2ND R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=234 /-] [Invalid=2328 /-] [Mean=335.333 /-] [StdDev=220.594 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	1626	
998	INAP	702	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V300: DISLIKE PR PC-ART 2ND R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=230 /-] [Invalid=2332 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	6	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
2	MEDIUM	26	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
3	LOW	198	<div><div></div></div> 86.1%
0	NA; INAP	2332	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V301: DISLIKE PR PC-INT 2ND R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=230 -/] [Invalid=2332 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	198	<div><div></div></div> 86.1%
2	STRONG	32	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
0	NA; INAP	2332	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V302: LIKE PROV LIB-CONT 1ST R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 -/] [Invalid=0 -/] [Mean=728.85 -/] [StdDev=340.085 -/]		
# V303: LIKE PROV LIB-ART 1ST R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=949 -/] [Invalid=1613 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2	MEDIUM	52	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
3	LOW	890	<div><div></div></div> 93.8%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1613	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V304: LIKE PROV LIB-INT 1ST R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=948 -/] [Invalid=1614 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	891	<div><div></div></div> 94.0%
2	STRONG	57	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1614	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V305: LIKE PROV LIB-CONT 2ND R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=282 -/] [Invalid=2280 -/] [Mean=296.943 -/] [StdDev=231.043 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	2280	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V306: LIKE PROV LIB-ART 2ND R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=273 -/] [Invalid=2289 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
2	MEDIUM	10	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
3	LOW	260	<div><div></div></div> 95.2%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2289	

# V306: LIKE PROV LIB-ART 2ND R			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V307: LIKE PROV LIB-INT 2ND R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=274 /-] [Invalid=2288 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	260	<div><div></div></div> 94.9%
2	STRONG	14	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2288	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V308: DISLIKE PR LIB-CON 1ST R			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=744.247 /-] [StdDev=326.588 /-]	
# V309: DISLIKE PR LIB-ART 1ST R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=906 /-] [Invalid=1656 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2	MEDIUM	80	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
3	LOW	813	<div><div></div></div> 89.7%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1656	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V310: DISLIKE PR LIB-INT 1ST R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=906 /-] [Invalid=1656 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	827	<div><div></div></div> 91.3%
2	STRONG	79	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
0	NA; NO RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS DIMENSION	1656	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V311: DISLIKE PR LIB-CON 2ND R			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-948] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=249 /-] [Invalid=2313 /-] [Mean=345.434 /-] [StdDev=217.305 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	2313	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V312: DISLIKE PR LIB-ART 2ND R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=247 /-] [Invalid=2315 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	4	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	MEDIUM	23	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%

# V312: DISLIKE PR LIB-ART 2ND R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	LOW	220	<div><div></div></div> 89.1%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2315	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V313: DISLIKE PR LIB-INT 2ND R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=247 /-] [Invalid=2315 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	221	<div><div></div></div> 89.5%
2	STRONG	26	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
0	NA; NO SECOND RESPONSE OR NOT CODEABLE ON THIS	2315	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V314: LIKE PROV NDP-CONT 1ST R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1860 /-] [Invalid=702 /-] [Mean=780.619 /-] [StdDev=310.011 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	INAP	702	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V315: LIKE PROV NDP-ART 1ST R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=556 /-] [Invalid=2006 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2	MEDIUM	28	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
3	LOW	525	<div><div></div></div> 94.4%
0	NA; INAP	2006	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V316: LIKE PROV NDP-INT 1ST R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=556 /-] [Invalid=2006 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	508	<div><div></div></div> 91.4%
2	STRONG	48	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
0	NA; INAP	2006	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V317: LIKE PROV NDP-CONT 2ND R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=196 /-] [Invalid=2366 /-] [Mean=297.332 /-] [StdDev=210.261 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	1664	
998	INAP	702	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V318: LIKE PROV NDP-ART 2ND R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=189 /-] [Invalid=2373 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	0	
2	MEDIUM	10	5.3%
3	LOW	179	94.7%
0	NA; INAP	2373	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V319: LIKE PROV NDP-INT 2ND R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=189 /-] [Invalid=2373 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	179	94.7%
2	STRONG	10	5.3%
0	NA; INAP	2373	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V320: DISLIKE PR NDP-CON 1ST R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1860 /-] [Invalid=702 /-] [Mean=771.336 /-] [StdDev=317.191 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	INAP	702	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V321: DISLIKE PR NDP-ART 1ST R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1967 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	2	0.3%
2	MEDIUM	43	7.2%
3	LOW	550	92.4%
0	NA; INAP	1967	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V322: DISLIKE PR NDP-INT 1ST R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=1967 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	518	87.1%
2	STRONG	77	12.9%
0	NA; INAP	1967	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V323: DISLIKE PR NDP-CON 2ND R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-998] [Missing=*/0/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=205 /-] [Invalid=2357 /-] [Mean=296.58 /-] [StdDev=202.306 /-]		

# V323: DISLIKE PR NDP-CON 2ND R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	1655	
998	INAP	702	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V324: DISLIKE PR NDP-ART 2ND R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=201 /-] [Invalid=2361 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2	MEDIUM	20	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
3	LOW	179	<div><div></div></div> 89.1%
0	NA; INAP	2361	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V325: DISLIKE PR NDP-INT 2ND R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=201 /-] [Invalid=2361 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	178	<div><div></div></div> 88.6%
2	STRONG	23	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
0	NA; INAP	2361	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V326: LIKE PROV SC-CON 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1246 /-] [Invalid=1316 /-] [Mean=810.309 /-] [StdDev=280.78 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	INAP	1316	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V327: LIKE PROV SC-ART 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=289 /-] [Invalid=2273 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2	MEDIUM	14	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
3	LOW	274	<div><div></div></div> 94.8%
0	NA; INAP	2273	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V328: LIKE PROV SC-INT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=289 /-] [Invalid=2273 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	283	<div><div></div></div> 97.9%
2	STRONG	6	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%



# V328: LIKE PROV SC-INT 1ST RSP			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	NA; INAP	2273	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V329: LIKE PROV SC-CON 2ND RSP			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-998] [Missing=*/0/998]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=86 /-] [Invalid=2476 /-] [Mean=282.767 /-] [StdDev=234.874 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	1160	
998	INAP	1316	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V330: LIKE PROV SC-ART 2ND RSP			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=82 /-] [Invalid=2480 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	HIGH	1	<div><div></div>1.2%</div>
2	MEDIUM	4	<div><div></div>4.9%</div>
3	LOW	77	<div><div></div>93.9%</div>
0	NA; NEW FOUNDLAND, PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND, NOVA	2480	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V331: LIKE PROV SC-INT 2ND RSP			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=82 /-] [Invalid=2480 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	WEAK	79	<div><div></div>96.3%</div>
2	STRONG	3	<div><div></div>3.7%</div>
0	NA; NEW FOUNDLAND, PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND, NOVA	2480	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V332: DISLIKE PR SC-CON 1ST RP			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1246 /-] [Invalid=1316 /-] [Mean=771.465 /-] [StdDev=300.701 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
998	INAP	1316	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V333: DISLIKE PR SC-ART 1ST RP			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=377 /-] [Invalid=2185 /-]		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	HIGH	4	<div><div></div>1.1%</div>
2	MEDIUM	30	<div><div></div>8.0%</div>
3	LOW	343	<div><div></div>91.0%</div>
0	NA; INAP	2185	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# V334: DISLIKE PR SC-INT 1ST RP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=377 -/] [Invalid=2185 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	354	<div><div></div></div> 93.9%
2	STRONG	23	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
0	NA; INAP	2185	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V335: DISLIKE PR SC-CON 2ND RP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=107 -/] [Invalid=2455 -/] [Mean=378.766 -/] [StdDev=261.68 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	1139	
998	INAP	1316	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V336: DISLIKE PR SC-ART 2ND RP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=103 -/] [Invalid=2459 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	3	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
2	MEDIUM	4	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
3	LOW	96	<div><div></div></div> 93.2%
0	NA; NEW FOUNDLAND, PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND, NOVA	2459	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V337: DISLIKE PR SC-INT 2ND RP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=103 -/] [Invalid=2459 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	90	<div><div></div></div> 87.4%
2	STRONG	13	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
0	NA; NEW FOUNDLAND, PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND, NOVA	2459	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V338: LIKE PQ-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-999] [Missing=*/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=702 -/] [Invalid=1860 -/] [Mean=690.873 -/] [StdDev=354.539 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	INAP	1860	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V339: LIKE PQ-ART 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=272 -/] [Invalid=2290 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	9	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%

# V339: LIKE PQ-ART 1ST RSP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	MEDIUM	20	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
3	LOW	243	<div><div></div></div> 89.3%
0	NA; INAP	2290	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V340: LIKE PQ-INT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=272 -/] [Invalid=2290 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	263	<div><div></div></div> 96.7%
2	STRONG	9	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
0	NA; INAP	2290	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V341: LIKE PQ-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=100 -/] [Invalid=2462 -/] [Mean=297.99 -/] [StdDev=219.035 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	602	
998	INAP	1860	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V342: LIKE PQ-ART 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=99 -/] [Invalid=2463 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	2	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2	MEDIUM	3	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
3	LOW	94	<div><div></div></div> 94.9%
0	NA; INAP	2463	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V343: LIKE PQ-INT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=99 -/] [Invalid=2463 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	97	<div><div></div></div> 98.0%
2	STRONG	2	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
0	NA; INAP	2463	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V344: DISLIKE PQ-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-998] [Missing=*/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=702 -/] [Invalid=1860 -/] [Mean=556.426 -/] [StdDev=370.418 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	INAP	1860	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V345: DISLIKE PQ-ART 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=393 /-] [Invalid=2169 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	17	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
2	MEDIUM	40	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
3	LOW	336	<div><div></div></div> 85.5%
0	NA; INAP	2169	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V346: DISLIKE PQ-INT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=393 /-] [Invalid=2169 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	373	<div><div></div></div> 94.9%
2	STRONG	20	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
0	NA; INAP	2169	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V347: DISLIKE PQ-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=116 /-] [Invalid=2446 /-] [Mean=282.69 /-] [StdDev=229.41 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	586	
998	INAP	1860	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V348: DISLIKE PQ-ART 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=111 /-] [Invalid=2451 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	5	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
2	MEDIUM	11	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
3	LOW	95	<div><div></div></div> 85.6%
0	NA; INAP	2451	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V349: DISLIKE PQ-INT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=111 /-] [Invalid=2451 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	101	<div><div></div></div> 91.0%
2	STRONG	10	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
0	NA; INAP	2451	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V350: LIKE UN NAT-CONT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998]		

# V350: LIKE UN NAT-CONT 1ST RSP			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=702 /-] [Invalid=1860 /-] [Mean=850.145 /-] [StdDev=246.808 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	INAP	1860	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V351: LIKE UN NAT-ART 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=119 /-] [Invalid=2443 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	0	
2	MEDIUM	4	<div></div> 3.4%
3	LOW	115	<div></div> 96.6%
0	NA; INAP	2443	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V352: LIKE UN NAT-INT 1ST RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=119 /-] [Invalid=2443 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	118	<div></div> 99.2%
2	STRONG	1	<div></div> 0.8%
0	NA; INAP	2443	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V353: LIKE UN NAT-CONT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/0/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=28 /-] [Invalid=2534 /-] [Mean=463.429 /-] [StdDev=385.629 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		4	<div></div> 14.3%
5		2	<div></div> 7.1%
6		1	<div></div> 3.6%
77		1	<div></div> 3.6%
128		2	<div></div> 7.1%
146		1	<div></div> 3.6%
265		1	<div></div> 3.6%
501		1	<div></div> 3.6%
510		1	<div></div> 3.6%
511		1	<div></div> 3.6%
515		1	<div></div> 3.6%
522		1	<div></div> 3.6%
710		1	<div></div> 3.6%
732		1	<div></div> 3.6%
764		1	<div></div> 3.6%
770		1	<div></div> 3.6%
870		1	<div></div> 3.6%
948		3	<div></div> 10.7%
980		2	<div></div> 7.1%
999		1	<div></div> 3.6%
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	674	
998	INAP	1860	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V354: LIKE UN NAT-ART 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=2541 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	0	
2	MEDIUM	0	
3	LOW	21	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
0	NA; INAP	2541	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V355: LIKE UN NAT-INT 2ND RSP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21 /-] [Invalid=2541 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	21	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
2	STRONG	0	
0	NA; INAP	2541	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V356: DISLIKE UN NAT-CON 1ST R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=702 /-] [Invalid=1860 /-] [Mean=798.256 /-] [StdDev=287.415 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	INAP	1860	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V357: DISLIKE UN NAT-ART 1ST R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=181 /-] [Invalid=2381 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
2	MEDIUM	8	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
3	LOW	171	<div><div></div></div> 94.5%
0	NA; INAP	2381	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V358: DISLIKE UN NAT-INT 1ST R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=181 /-] [Invalid=2381 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	175	<div><div></div></div> 96.7%
2	STRONG	6	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
0	NA; INAP	2381	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V359: DISLIKE UN NAT-CON 2ND R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-998] [Missing=*/0/998]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=49 /-] [Invalid=2513 /-] [Mean=402.041 /-] [StdDev=290.165 /-]		

# V359: DISLIKE UN NAT-CON 2ND R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND	653	
998	INAP	1860	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V360: DISLIKE UN NAT-ART 2ND R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=46 /-] [Invalid=2516 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HIGH	2	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
2	MEDIUM	2	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
3	LOW	42	<div><div></div></div> 91.3%
0	NA; INAP	2516	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V361: DISLIKE UN NAT-INT 2ND R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=46 /-] [Invalid=2516 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	WEAK	44	<div><div></div></div> 95.7%
2	STRONG	2	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
0	NA; INAP	2516	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V362: PROV VOTER			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2543 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ALL	1377	<div><div></div></div> 54.1%
2	MOST	664	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
3	SOME	256	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%
4	NONE	246	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
8	DK	19	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V363: ALWAYS VOTE SAME PROV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2235 /-] [Invalid=327 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SAME	1237	<div><div></div></div> 55.3%
2	DIFFERENT	998	<div><div></div></div> 44.7%
8	DK	56	
9	NA; INAP	271	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V364: PROV PARTY ALWAYS VOTED			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1211 /-] [Invalid=1351 /-]		



# V364: PROV PARTY ALWAYS VOTED			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	627	<div><div></div></div> 51.8%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	343	<div><div></div></div> 28.3%
3	N	127	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	65	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
5	OTHER	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
6	UNION NATIONALE	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	PARTI QUEBECOIS	42	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
8	DK	10	
9	NA; INAP	1341	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V365: CHOICE OR CONTEST			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=971 -/] [Invalid=1591 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CHOICE	943	<div><div></div></div> 97.1%
2	DID NOT CONTEST	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
8	DK	27	
9	INAP	1564	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V366: VOTED LAST PROV ELECTION			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2272 -/] [Invalid=290 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VOTED	2094	<div><div></div></div> 92.2%
2	DID NOT VOTE	172	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
3	NOT ELIGIBLE THEN	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
8	DK; NA	44	
9	INAP	246	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V367: VOTE-LAST PROV ELECTION			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1958 -/] [Invalid=604 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LIBERAL	870	<div><div></div></div> 44.4%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	542	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%
3	N	277	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	125	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
5	OTHER	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
6	UNION NATIONALE	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
7	PARTI QUEBECOIS	113	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
8	DK	86	
9	NA; INAP	518	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V368: WHY NOT VOTE-LST PROV EL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=400 /-] [Invalid=2162 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO PARTICULAR REASON, DK	31	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
1	OUT OF TOWN	26	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
2	ON VACATION, HOLIDAYS	11	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
3	IN HOSPITAL, SICK, BLIND	25	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
4	FORGOT ABOUT IT,DIDNT KNOW WHEN/WHERE VOTING WAS	9	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
5	BUSY	11	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
6	COULDNT DECIDE, DIDNT KNOW CANDIDATES/PARTIES	8	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
7	NOT INTERESTED, JUST DIDNT BOTHER	51	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
8	RELIGIOUS REASONS	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
9	DID NOT WANT TO VOTE	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
10	FELT OWN PARTY WOULD WIN WITHOUT MY VOTE	0	
11	PROTEST, SPOILED VOTE	4	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
12	WOULDNT MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE	10	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
13	NEVER VOTE	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	OUT OF TOWN ON BUSINESS, AT SCHOOL AND OTHER	23	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
15	NOT ELIGIBLE	144	<div><div></div></div> 36.0%
16	DID NOT GET ENUMERATED	17	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
17	LIVED IN ANOTHER PROVINCE AT THAT TIME	19	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
88	CANT REMEMBER, NOT STATED	18	
99	INAP	2144	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V369: PROV PTY WD HAVE VOTED			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=269 /-] [Invalid=2293 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	REFUSED	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1	LIBERAL	129	<div><div></div></div> 48.0%
2	PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE	62	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
3	N	45	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
4	SOCIAL CREDIT	15	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
5	OTHER	0	
6	UNION NATIONALE	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
7	PARTI QUEBECOIS	10	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
8	DK	154	
9	NA; INAP	2139	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V370: MOST IMP-CAND,LDR,PARTY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2202 /-] [Invalid=360 /-]		

# V370: MOST IMP-CAND,LDR,PARTY			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PARTY LEADERS	643	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
2	CANDIDATES	584	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
3	THE PARTIES	975	<div><div></div></div> 44.3%
8	DK	156	
9	NA; INAP	204	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V371: 2ND MOST IMP-LDR,CAND,PT			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2115 /-] [Invalid=447 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PARTY LEADERS	853	<div><div></div></div> 40.3%
2	CANDIDATES	721	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
3	THE PARTIES	541	<div><div></div></div> 25.6%
8	DK	243	
9	NA; INAP	204	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V372: LEAST IMP-CAND,LDR,PARTY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2097 /-] [Invalid=465 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PARTY LEADERS	630	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
2	CANDIDATES	830	<div><div></div></div> 39.6%
3	THE PARTIES	637	<div><div></div></div> 30.4%
8	DK	261	
9	NA; INAP	204	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V373: ISSUES OR PERSONAL QUAL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1166 /-] [Invalid=1396 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	STAND ON ISSUES	541	<div><div></div></div> 46.4%
2	PERSONAL QUALITIES	625	<div><div></div></div> 53.6%
8	DK	61	
9	INAP	1335	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V374: WHICH ISSUES-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=389 /-] [Invalid=2173 /-] [Mean=23.614 /-] [StdDev=14.866 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	DK/DONT REMEMBER	146	
98	REFUSED	6	
99	INAP	2021	

# V374: WHICH ISSUES-1ST RESP			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V375: WHICH ISSUES-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=389 /-] [Invalid=2173 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	287	<div></div> 100.0%
88	DK/DONT REMEMBER	146	
98	REFUSED	6	
99	INAP	2021	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V376: PARTY-APPRCH OR ISSUES			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=940 /-] [Invalid=1622 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	POSITION ON ISSUES	327	<div></div> 34.8%
2	GENERAL APPROACH	613	<div></div> 65.2%
8	DK	35	
9	INAP	1587	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V377: WHICH ISSUES-1ST RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=270 /-] [Invalid=2292 /-] [Mean=21.148 /-] [StdDev=13.819 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88	DK/DONT REMEMBER	54	
98	REFUSED	3	
99	INAP	2235	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V378: WHICH ISSUES-2ND RESP			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/88/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=270 /-] [Invalid=2292 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO SECOND RESPONSE	167	<div></div> 100.0%
88	DK/DONT REMEMBER	54	
98	REFUSED	3	
99	INAP	2235	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V379: WHY VOTE DIFF PROV-FED 1			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=454 /-] [Invalid=2108 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO, NOT REALLY/NO REAL REASON	4	<div></div> 0.9%
1	I VOTE FOR THE MAN/DEPENDS ON THE MAN	13	<div></div> 2.9%
2	THE LEADERS (NO NAMES GIVEN)/LEADERSHIP	14	<div></div> 3.1%

# **# V379: WHY VOTE DIFF PROV-FED 1**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	PROV	1	0.2%
4	LIKE PROV	5	1.1%
5	LIKE PROV	2	0.4%
6	LIKE PROV	4	0.9%
7	LIKE PROV	1	0.2%
8	DIDNT LIKE PROV	3	0.7%
9	DISLIKED PROV	3	0.7%
10	DISLIKE STANFIELD/STANFIELD NO GOOD/NOT A GOOD	1	0.2%
11	DISAGREE WITH STANFIELDS STAND ON ISSUES/ POLICIES	3	0.7%
12	LIKE STANFIELD/GOOD LEADER	4	0.9%
13	LIKE STANFIELDS POSITION/STAND ON CERTAIN ISSUES	2	0.4%
14	STANFIELD, P	2	0.4%
15	LIKE TRUDEAU/GOOD MAN/GOOD LEADER	7	1.5%
16	LIKE TRUDEAU/HIS POSITION, STAND ON ISSUES/IDEAS	1	0.2%
17	DISLIKE TRUDEAUS HANDLING OF CERTAIN ISSUES/	1	0.2%
18	DISLIKE/NOT IMPRESSED WITH FED	0	
19	DISLIKE TRUDEAU/HIS WAYS	4	0.9%
20	LIKED CANDIDATE (PROV	26	5.7%
21	LIKED CANDIDATE (FED	11	2.4%
22	DISLIKED PROVINCIAL CANDIDATE FOR PARTY VOTED FOR	2	0.4%
23	DIDNT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT FEDERAL CANDIDATE FOR	2	0.4%
24	CANDIDATES (UNSPECIFIED FED	15	3.3%
25	BECAUSE ISSUES ARE DIFFERENT/HAVE CHANGED	10	2.2%
26	VOTE FOR POLICIES/ISSUES I AGREE WITH/CONSIDER ALL	3	0.7%
27	SPECIFIC ISSUES (UNSPECIFIED FOR, AGAINST AND/OR	13	2.9%
28	LIKE CONSERVATIVE (PROV	1	0.2%
29	LIKE LIBERAL (FED	1	0.2%
30	DISLIKED/DIDNT AGREE WITH P	1	0.2%
31	CONSERVATIVE/STANFIELD POLICIES/STAND ON ISSUES	0	
32	AGREE WITH/LIKE CONSERVATIVES (FED	5	1.1%
33	N	2	0.4%
34	LIBERALS (FED	9	2.0%
35	S	7	1.5%
36	N	8	1.8%
37	P	9	2.0%
38	FEDERAL PARTY NOT STRONG, NONEXISTENT IN THIS	17	3.7%
39	S	21	4.6%
40	N	6	1.3%
41	DONT WANT N	2	0.4%
42	PROVINCIAL PARTY IS NOT A FEDERAL PARTY	18	4.0%
43	NO CANDIDATE/COULDNT VOTE THE SAME/FEDERAL PARTY	0	

# **# V379: WHY VOTE DIFF PROV-FED 1**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
44	PARTY NOT THE SAME PROVINCIALY AND FEDERALLY/ NO	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
45	SAME PARTY SHOULD NOT CONTROL BOTH LEVELS OF	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
46	FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL POLITICS NOT REALLY RELATED --	15	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
47	WANTED TO GET RID OF OLD GOVERNMENT (PROV	9	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
48	DISLIKE LIBERALS (FED	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
49	JUST NEEDED A CHANGE/TIME FOR A CHANGE/TRY SOMEONE	15	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
50	WANTED/BETTER TO HAVE A MAJORITY GOVERNMENT (FED	15	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
51	VAGUE ANSWERS: UNABLE TO DETERMINE ANY REAL REASON	6	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
52	PROTEST VOTE -- VOTED AGAINST OTHER PARTIES (PROV	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
53	FED UP WITH LIBERALS (FED	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
54	LIKED N	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
55	DISLIKED N	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
56	DISLIKED CANDIDATE (FED	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
57	LIKED N	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
58	PERSONAL REASONS/FACTORS (FOLLOW HUSBANDS VOTE,	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
59	VOTED FOR PARTY MOST LIKELY/THOUGHT HAD BEST CHANCE	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
60	THE MEN/PEOPLE ARE DIFFERENT	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
61	LIKE CONS	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
62	DISLIKED CONS	0	
63	DIDNT WANT TOO STRONG/LARGE A MAJORITY (FED	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
64	WANTED A MAJORITY (PROV	0	
65	PROTEST VOTE -- VOTED AGAINST OTHER PARTIES (FED	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
66	LIKE LIB	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
67	DISLIKE P	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
68	LIKE LIBERAL LEADER (PROV	0	
69	DIDNT WANT TOO STRONG/LARGE A MAJORITY (PROV	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
70	ISSUES/PLATFORMS (UNSPECIFIED)	18	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
71	N	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
72	DISLIKE/DISAGREE WITH LIB	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
73	LIKE UNION NATIONALE/GOOD PARTY/HAVE CONFIDENCE IN	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
74	LIKE S	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
75	DISLIKE N	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
76	PROV	0	
77	VOTED FOR LEADER PROVINCIALY	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
78	VOTED FOR PARTY/VOTED FOR BEST PARTY	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
79	LIKE IDEAS/POLICIES/PLATFORMS OF PARTI QUEBECOIS/	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
80	WANTED NEW/STRONG OPPOSITION TO PARTY IN POWER	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
81	LIKE P	0	
82	VOTED FOR THE MAN (FED	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%

### # V379: WHY VOTE DIFF PROV-FED 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
83	LIKE S	0	
84	WANTED MINORITY GOVERNMENT	1	0.2%
85	DISLIKE PARTY (UNSPECIFIED -- FED	1	0.2%
86	MISCELLANEOUS SINGLE RESPONSES	3	0.7%
87	DISLIKE S	1	0.2%
88	NOT STATED, DK/CANT REMEMBER/NO OPINION	22	4.8%
89	NO CANDIDATE FOR PROV	2	0.4%
98	REFUSED	0	
99	NA; INAP	2108	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V380: WHY VOTE DIFF PROV-FED 2

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=454 /-] [Invalid=2108 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO, NOT REALLY/NO REAL REASON; NO SECOND RESPONSE	245	54.0%
1	I VOTE FOR THE MAN/DEPENDS ON THE MAN	1	0.2%
2	THE LEADERS (NO NAMES GIVEN)/LEADERSHIP	2	0.4%
3	PROV	0	
4	LIKE PROV	4	0.9%
5	LIKE PROV	1	0.2%
6	LIKE PROV	0	
7	LIKE PROV	2	0.4%
8	DIDNT LIKE PROV	2	0.4%
9	DISLIKED PROV	4	0.9%
10	DISLIKE STANFIELD/STANFIELD NO GOOD/NOT A GOOD	2	0.4%
11	DISAGREE WITH STANFIELDS STAND ON ISSUES/ POLICIES	1	0.2%
12	LIKE STANFIELD/GOOD LEADER	2	0.4%
13	LIKE STANFIELDS POSITION/STAND ON CERTAIN ISSUES	0	
14	STANFIELD, P	1	0.2%
15	LIKE TRUDEAU/GOOD MAN/GOOD LEADER	6	1.3%
16	LIKE TRUDEAU/HIS POSITION, STAND ON ISSUES/IDEAS	3	0.7%
17	DISLIKE TRUDEAUS HANDLING OF CERTAIN ISSUES/	0	
18	DISLIKE/NOT IMPRESSED WITH FED	3	0.7%
19	DISLIKE TRUDEAU/HIS WAYS	0	
20	LIKED CANDIDATE (PROV	3	0.7%
21	LIKED CANDIDATE (FED	13	2.9%
22	DISLIKED PROVINCIAL CANDIDATE FOR PARTY VOTED FOR	3	0.7%
23	DIDNT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT FEDERAL CANDIDATE FOR	0	
24	CANDIDATES (UNSPECIFIED FED	2	0.4%
25	BECAUSE ISSUES ARE DIFFERENT/HAVE CHANGED	2	0.4%
26	VOTE FOR POLICIES/ISSUES I AGREE WITH/CONSIDER ALL	2	0.4%

# **# V380: WHY VOTE DIFF PROV-FED 2**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27	SPECIFIC ISSUES (UNSPECIFIED FOR, AGAINST AND/OR	4	0.9%
28	LIKE CONSERVATIVE (PROV	0	
29	LIKE LIBERAL (FED	5	1.1%
30	DISLIKED/DIDNT AGREE WITH P	1	0.2%
31	CONSERVATIVE/STANFIELD POLICIES/STAND ON ISSUES	0	
32	AGREE WITH/LIKE CONSERVATIVES (FED	2	0.4%
33	N	1	0.2%
34	LIBERALS (FED	8	1.8%
35	S	2	0.4%
36	N	6	1.3%
37	P	4	0.9%
38	FEDERAL PARTY NOT STRONG, NONEXISTENT IN THIS	7	1.5%
39	S	3	0.7%
40	N	3	0.7%
41	DONT WANT N	3	0.7%
42	PROVINCIAL PARTY IS NOT A FEDERAL PARTY	2	0.4%
43	NO CANDIDATE/COULDN'T VOTE THE SAME/FEDERAL PARTY	0	
44	PARTY NOT THE SAME PROVINCIALY AND FEDERALLY/ NO	0	
45	SAME PARTY SHOULD NOT CONTROL BOTH LEVELS OF	1	0.2%
46	FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL POLITICS NOT REALLY RELATED --	3	0.7%
47	WANTED TO GET RID OF OLD GOVERNMENT (PROV	6	1.3%
48	DISLIKE LIBERALS (FED	1	0.2%
49	JUST NEEDED A CHANGE/TIME FOR A CHANGE/TRY SOMEONE	5	1.1%
50	WANTED/BETTER TO HAVE A MAJORITY GOVERNMENT (FED	5	1.1%
51	VAGUE ANSWERS: UNABLE TO DETERMINE ANY REAL REASON	0	
52	PROTEST VOTE -- VOTED AGAINST OTHER PARTIES (PROV	0	
53	FED UP WITH LIBERALS (FED	3	0.7%
54	LIKED N	3	0.7%
55	DISLIKED N	1	0.2%
56	DISLIKED CANDIDATE (FED	0	
57	LIKED N	2	0.4%
58	PERSONAL REASONS/FACTORS (FOLLOW HUSBANDS VOTE,	3	0.7%
59	VOTED FOR PARTY MOST LIKELY/THOUGHT HAD BEST CHANCE	1	0.2%
60	THE MEN/PEOPLE ARE DIFFERENT	0	
61	LIKE CONS	5	1.1%
62	DISLIKED CONS	3	0.7%
63	DIDNT WANT TOO STRONG/LARGE A MAJORITY (FED	1	0.2%
64	WANTED A MAJORITY (PROV	3	0.7%
65	PROTEST VOTE -- VOTED AGAINST OTHER PARTIES (FED	2	0.4%



### # V380: WHY VOTE DIFF PROV-FED 2

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
66	LIKE LIB	7	1.5%
67	DISLIKE P	0	
68	LIKE LIBERAL LEADER (PROV	2	0.4%
69	DIDNT WANT TOO STRONG/LARGE A MAJORITY (PROV	1	0.2%
70	ISSUES/PLATFORMS (UNSPECIFIED)	2	0.4%
71	N	0	
72	DISLIKE/DISAGREE WITH LIB	0	
73	LIKE UNION NATIONALE/GOOD PARTY/HAVE CONFIDENCE IN	0	
74	LIKE S	1	0.2%
75	DISLIKE N	0	
76	PROV	2	0.4%
77	VOTED FOR LEADER PROVINCIALY	1	0.2%
78	VOTED FOR PARTY/VOTED FOR BEST PARTY	1	0.2%
79	LIKE IDEAS/POLICIES/PLATFORMS OF PARTI QUEBECOIS/	3	0.7%
80	WANTED NEW/STRONG OPPOSITION TO PARTY IN POWER	4	0.9%
81	LIKE P	2	0.4%
82	VOTED FOR THE MAN (FED	1	0.2%
83	LIKE S	2	0.4%
84	WANTED MINORITY GOVERNMENT	0	
85	DISLIKE PARTY (UNSPECIFIED -- FED	0	
86	MISCELLANEOUS SINGLE RESPONSES	0	
87	DISLIKE S	0	
88	NOT STATED, DK/CANT REMEMBER/NO OPINION	22	4.8%
89	NO CANDIDATE FOR PROV	0	
98	REFUSED	0	
99	NA; INAP	2108	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V381: OCCUPATION-SHORT FORM R

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2561 /-] [Invalid=1 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HOUSEWIFE	760	29.7%
2	RETIRED	216	8.4%
3	STUDENT	119	4.6%
4	UNEMPLOYED	42	1.6%
5	NEVER WORKED	6	0.2%
6	OTHER REASONS<FOR NOT HAVING AN OCCUPATION>	14	0.5%
11	PROFESSIONAL	151	5.9%
12	SEMI PROFESSIONAL	80	3.1%
21	PROPRIETORS, MANAGERS, OR OFFICIALS (LARGE)	84	3.3%
22	PROPRIETORS, MANAGERS, OR OFFICIALS (SMALL)	169	6.6%
30	CLERICAL AND SALES	289	11.3%
41	SKILLED LABOUR	159	6.2%
42	SEMI SKILLED LABOUR	192	7.5%

# V381: OCCUPATION-SHORT FORM R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43	UNSKILLED LABOUR	198	<div></div> 7.7%
50	FARMERS AND ALL FARM RELATED OCCUPATIONS	82	<div></div> 3.2%
88	UNCLASSIFIED	0	
99	NA	1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V382: MANUAL/NON-MAN/FARM R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1402 /-] [Invalid=1160 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NON-MANUAL	669	<div></div> 47.7%
2	MANUAL	655	<div></div> 46.7%
3	FARMING	78	<div></div> 5.6%
9	NA; INAP	1160	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V383: EXECUTIVE POSITION? R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=628 /-] [Invalid=1934 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OWNER	66	<div></div> 10.5%
2	MANAGER	144	<div></div> 22.9%
3	NOT EXECUTIVE	418	<div></div> 66.6%
9	NA; INAP	1934	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V384: NO. OF EMPLOYEES R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=177 /-] [Invalid=2385 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NONE	6	<div></div> 6.7%
1	ONE PERSON	12	<div></div> 13.3%
50	FIFTY PEOPLE	1	<div></div> 1.1%
61	100 PEOPLE	15	<div></div> 16.7%
62	200 PEOPLE	16	<div></div> 17.8%
63	300 PEOPLE	6	<div></div> 6.7%
69	900 PEOPLE	1	<div></div> 1.1%
71	1000 PEOPLE	7	<div></div> 7.8%
72	2000 PEOPLE	7	<div></div> 7.8%
73	3000 PEOPLE	6	<div></div> 6.7%
79	9000 PEOPLE	0	
80	10000 OR MORE PEOPLE	13	<div></div> 14.4%
99	NA; INAP	2385	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V385: NO. WORK UNDER R R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		

# V385: NO. WORK UNDER R R			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=624 -/] [Invalid=1938 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NONE	338	<div><div></div></div> 86.2%
1	ONE PERSON	34	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
50	FIFTY PEOPLE	4	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
61	100 PEOPLE	9	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
62	200 PEOPLE	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
63	300 PEOPLE	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
69	900 PEOPLE	0	
71	1000 PEOPLE	0	
72	2000 PEOPLE	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
73	3000 PEOPLE	0	
79	9000 PEOPLE	0	
80	10000 OR MORE PEOPLE	0	
99	NA; INAP	1938	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V386: TYPE OF BUSINESS R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=637 -/] [Invalid=1925 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	AGRICULTURE	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2	MINING	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
3	CONSTRUCTION	23	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
4	MANUFACTURING (DURABLE GOODS)	47	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
5	MANUFACTURING, PROCESSING (NON-DURABLE GOODS)	37	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
6	UTILITIES, TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNICATIONS	51	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
7	WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE	106	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
8	FINANCE, INSURANCE, REAL ESTATE, ADVERTISING	61	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
9	REPAIR SERVICES	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
10	PERSONAL SERVICES	31	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
11	ENTERTAINMENT, RECREATION	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
12	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	173	<div><div></div></div> 27.2%
13	ALL GOVERNMENT	81	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
99	NA; INAP	1925	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V387: SPECIAL TRAINING R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=258 -/] [Invalid=2304 -/]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	APPRENTICESHIP	114	<div><div></div></div> 44.2%
2	SPECIAL CLASSES	106	<div><div></div></div> 41.1%
3	OTHER	38	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
9	NONE; NA; INAP	2304	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V388: SKILLED WORKER R			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=621 /-] [Invalid=1941 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	453	<div><div></div></div> 72.9%
2	NO	168	<div><div></div></div> 27.1%
9	NA; INAP	1941	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V389: NO. WORK UNDER R R			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=613 /-] [Invalid=1949 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NONE	445	<div><div></div></div> 93.1%
1	ONE PERSON	30	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
50	FIFTY PEOPLE	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
61	100 PEOPLE	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
62	200 PEOPLE	0	
63	300 PEOPLE	0	
69	900 PEOPLE	0	
71	1000 PEOPLE	0	
72	2000 PEOPLE	0	
73	3000 PEOPLE	0	
79	9000 PEOPLE	0	
80	10000 OR MORE PEOPLE	0	
99	NA; INAP	1949	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V390: YRS. EXPERIENCE R			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=634 /-] [Invalid=1928 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	LESS THAN ONE YEAR	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1	ONE YEAR	104	<div><div></div></div> 96.3%
62	SIXTY-TWO YEARS	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
99	NA; INAP	1928	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V391: OWN OR MANAGE FARM R			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=78 /-] [Invalid=2484 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OWNER	63	<div><div></div></div> 80.8%
2	MANAGER	5	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
3	OTHER FARM WORKER	10	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
9	NA; INAP	2484	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V392: YRS. WORKED ON FARM R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=10 /-] [Invalid=2552 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	LESS THAN ONE YEAR	0	
1	ONE YEAR	0	
2		1	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
3		1	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
4		3	<div><div></div></div> 30.0%
5		1	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
8		1	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
18		1	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
24		1	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
34		1	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
54	FIFTY-FOUR YEARS	0	
99	NA; INAP	2552	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V393: NO. ACRES ON FARM R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=69 /-] [Invalid=2493 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ONE ACRE	0	
4		2	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
25		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
40		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
50	FIFTY ACRES	0	
61	100 ACRES	14	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
62	200 ACRES	20	<div><div></div></div> 29.0%
63		8	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
64		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
65		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
66		6	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
68		3	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
69	900 ACRES	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
71	1000 ACRES	9	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
72	2000 ACRES	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
73		1	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
79	9000 ACRES	0	
80	10000 OR MORE ACRES	0	
99	NA; INAP	2493	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V394: MAIN PRODUCT OF FARM R			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=72 /-] [Invalid=2490 /-]	

# V394: MAIN PRODUCT OF FARM R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SUBSISTENCE	0	
2	SOYBEANS, PEANUTS, LINSEED, ETC	3	<div></div> 4.2%
3	TEXTILE PRODUCTS	0	
4	FRUIT OR VEGETABLE	0	
5	TOBACCO	0	
6	POTATOES	2	<div></div> 2.8%
7	SUGAR BEETS	0	
8	BEEF CATTLE	15	<div></div> 20.8%
9	OTHER LIVESTOCK	3	<div></div> 4.2%
10	DAIRY	14	<div></div> 19.4%
11	HAY, ALFALFA, ETC	3	<div></div> 4.2%
12	POULTRY, EGGS	3	<div></div> 4.2%
13	WHEAT	8	<div></div> 11.1%
14	OTHER GRAINS	13	<div></div> 18.1%
15	MISCELLANEOUS, UNSPECIFIED, TRUCK FARM, ETC	8	<div></div> 11.1%
99	NA; INAP	2490	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V395: BLISHEN SCORE R			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18.24-9999] [Missing=*/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=68.992 /-] [StdDev=30.097 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999	NA		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V396: MARITAL STATUS R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2556 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MARRIED	1860	<div></div> 72.8%
2	WIDOWED	153	<div></div> 6.0%
3	SEPARATED	46	<div></div> 1.8%
4	DIVORCED	37	<div></div> 1.4%
5	SINGLE	460	<div></div> 18.0%
9	NA	6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V397: STREAM CODE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1512 /-] [Invalid=1050 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	RESPONDENTS FORMER OCCUPATION	166	<div></div> 11.0%
3	RESPONDENTS REGULAR OCCUPATION	40	<div></div> 2.6%
4	OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENTS HUSBAND	988	<div></div> 65.3%
5	OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENTS FORMER HUSBAND	176	<div></div> 11.6%
6	OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENTS FATHER	142	<div></div> 9.4%

# V397: STREAM CODE			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENTS WIFE	0	
8	OCCUPATION OF RESPONDENTS MOTHER	0	
9	INAP	1050	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V398: OCCUPATION-SHORT FORM HH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1475 /-] [Invalid=1087 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	HOUSEWIFE	0	
2	RETIRED	1	0.1%
3	STUDENT	1	0.1%
4	UNEMPLOYED	0	
5	NEVER WORKED	3	0.2%
6	OTHER REASONS<FOR NOT HAVING AN OCCUPATION>	0	
11	PROFESSIONAL	108	7.3%
12	SEMI PROFESSIONAL	51	3.5%
21	PROPRIETORS, MANAGERS, OR OFFICIALS (LARGE)	76	5.2%
22	PROPRIETORS, MANAGERS, OR OFFICIALS (SMALL)	232	15.7%
30	CLERICAL AND SALES	143	9.7%
41	SKILLED LABOUR	247	16.7%
42	SEMI SKILLED LABOUR	251	17.0%
43	UNSKILLED LABOUR	205	13.9%
50	FARMERS AND ALL FARM OCCUPATIONS	157	10.6%
88	UNCLASSIFIED	1	
99	NA; INAP	1086	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V399: MANUAL/NON-MAN/FARM HH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1462 /-] [Invalid=1100 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NON-MANUAL	489	33.4%
2	MANUAL	822	56.2%
3	FARMING	151	10.3%
9	NA; INAP	1100	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V400: EXECUTIVE POSITION HH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=457 /-] [Invalid=2105 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OWNER	101	22.1%
2	MANAGER	136	29.8%
3	NOT EXECUTIVE	220	48.1%
9	NA; INAP	2105	

<b># V400: EXECUTIVE POSITION HH</b>
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>



# V401: NO. OF EMPLOYEES HH			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=169 /-] [Invalid=2393 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NONE	10	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
1	ONE PERSON	7	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
50	FIFTY PEOPLE	4	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
61	100 PEOPLE	13	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
62	200 PEOPLE	8	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
63	300 PEOPLE	2	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
69	900 PEOPLE	0	
71	1000 PEOPLE	4	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
72	2000 PEOPLE	3	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
73	3000 PEOPLE	3	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
79	9000 PEOPLE	0	
80	10000 OR MORE PEOPLE	6	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
99	NA; INAP	2393	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V402: NO. WORK UNDER HH HH			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=406 /-] [Invalid=2156 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NONE	143	<div><div></div></div> 70.4%
1	ONE PERSON	30	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
50	FIFTY PEOPLE	4	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
61	100 PEOPLE	16	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
62	200 PEOPLE	9	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
63	300 PEOPLE	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
69	900 PEOPLE	0	
71	1000 PEOPLE	0	
72	2000 PEOPLE	0	
73	3000 PEOPLE	0	
79	9000 PEOPLE	0	
80	10000 OR MORE PEOPLE	0	
99	NA; INAP	2156	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V403: TYPE OF BUSINESS HH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=473 /-] [Invalid=2089 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	AGRICULTURE	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2	MINING	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
3	CONSTRUCTION	27	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
4	MANUFACTURING (DURABLE GOODS)	52	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
5	MANUFACTURING, PROCESSING (NON-DURABLE GOODS)	30	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%

# V403: TYPE OF BUSINESS HH			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	UTILITIES, TRANSPORTATION, COMMUNICATIONS	48	<div></div> 10.1%
7	WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE	81	<div></div> 17.1%
8	FINANCE, INSURANCE, REAL ESTATE, ADVERTISING	52	<div></div> 11.0%
9	REPAIR SERVICES	7	<div></div> 1.5%
10	PERSONAL SERVICES	28	<div></div> 5.9%
11	ENTERTAINMENT, RECREATION	6	<div></div> 1.3%
12	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	96	<div></div> 20.3%
13	ALL GOVERNMENT	40	<div></div> 8.5%
99	NA; INAP	2089	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V404: SPECIAL TRAINING HH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=348 /-] [Invalid=2214 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	APPRENTICESHIP	160	<div></div> 46.0%
2	SPECIAL CLASSES	128	<div></div> 36.8%
3	OTHER	60	<div></div> 17.2%
9	NONE; NA; INAP	2214	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V405: SKILLED WORKER HH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=777 /-] [Invalid=1785 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	571	<div></div> 73.5%
2	NO	206	<div></div> 26.5%
9	NA; INAP	1785	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V406: NO. WORK UNDER HH HH			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=720 /-] [Invalid=1842 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NONE	519	<div></div> 93.0%
1	ONE PERSON	28	<div></div> 5.0%
50	FIFTY PEOPLE	2	<div></div> 0.4%
61	100 PEOPLE	4	<div></div> 0.7%
62	200 PEOPLE	2	<div></div> 0.4%
63	300 PEOPLE	0	
69	900 PEOPLE	0	
71	1000 PEOPLE	2	<div></div> 0.4%
72	2000 PEOPLE	0	
73	3000 PEOPLE	1	<div></div> 0.2%
79	9000 PEOPLE	0	
80	10000 OR MORE PEOPLE	0	

# V406: NO. WORK UNDER HH HH			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	NA; INAP	1842	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V407: YRS. EXPERIENCE HH			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=755 /-] [Invalid=1807 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	LESS THAN ONE YEAR	0	
1	ONE YEAR	53	<div><div></div></div> 98.1%
60	SIXTY YEARS	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
99	NA; INAP	1807	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V408: OWN OR MANAGE FARM HH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=150 /-] [Invalid=2412 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OWNER	140	<div><div></div></div> 93.3%
2	MANAGER	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
3	OTHER FARM WORKER	8	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
9	NA; INAP	2412	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V409: YRS. WORKED ON FARM HH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6 /-] [Invalid=2556 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	LESS THAN ONE YEAR	0	
1	ONE YEAR	0	
9		1	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
30		1	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
35		2	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
52		1	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
70	SEVENTY YEARS	1	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
99	NA; INAP	2556	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V410: NO. ACRES ON FARM HH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=132 /-] [Invalid=2430 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ONE ACRE	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
2		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
3		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
5		2	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
45		2	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%

# V410: NO. ACRES ON FARM HH				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
50	FIFTY ACRES	1	<div></div>	0.8%
61	100 ACRES	27	<div></div>	20.5%
62	200 ACRES	32	<div></div>	24.2%
63		19	<div></div>	14.4%
64		11	<div></div>	8.3%
65		8	<div></div>	6.1%
66		4	<div></div>	3.0%
68		3	<div></div>	2.3%
69	900 ACRES	0		
71	1000 ACRES	14	<div></div>	10.6%
72	2000 ACRES	5	<div></div>	3.8%
73		1	<div></div>	0.8%
79	9000 ACRES	0		
80	10000 OR MORE ACRES	0		
99	NA; INAP	2430		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# V411: MAIN PRODUCT OF FARM HH				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=145 /-] [Invalid=2417 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	SUBSISTENCE	1	<div></div>	0.7%
2	SOYBEANS, PEANUTS, LINSEED, ETC	0		
3	TEXTILE PRODUCTS	0		
4	FRUIT OR VEGETABLE	3	<div></div>	2.1%
5	TOBACCO	2	<div></div>	1.4%
6	POTATOES	4	<div></div>	2.8%
7	SUGAR BEETS	0		
8	BEEF CATTLE	12	<div></div>	8.3%
9	OTHER LIVESTOCK	6	<div></div>	4.1%
10	DAIRY	27	<div></div>	18.6%
11	HAY, ALFALFA, ETC	3	<div></div>	2.1%
12	POULTRY, EGGS	0		
13	WHEAT	23	<div></div>	15.9%
14	OTHER GRAINS	22	<div></div>	15.2%
15	MISCELLANEOUS, UNSPECIFIED, TRUCK FARM, ETC	42	<div></div>	29.0%
99	NA; INAP	2417		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# V412: BLISHEN SCORE HH				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18.24-9999] [Missing=*/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=65.927 /-] [StdDev=31.352 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
9999	NA; INAP			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# V413: YRS. SCHOOL ATTENDED			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2552 /-] [Invalid=10 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	LESS THAN ONE YEAR	15	<div><div></div></div> 75.0%
1	ONE YEAR	4	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
27	TWENTY-SEVEN YEARS	1	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
99	NA	10	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V414: HIGHEST SCHOOL GRADE			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2518 /-] [Invalid=44 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO EDUCATION	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
1	FIRST GRADE	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
3		27	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
4		56	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
5		63	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
6		86	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
7		126	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
8		299	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
9		188	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
10		336	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
11		301	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
12		541	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
13	GRADE 13	146	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
25	ALL POST SECONDARY	322	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
99	NA	44	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V415: HIGH SCHOOL COMPLETED			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2544 /-] [Invalid=18 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1121	<div><div></div></div> 44.1%
2	NO	1423	<div><div></div></div> 55.9%
8	DK	0	
9	NA	18	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# V416: POST SECONDARY EDUCATION			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1202 /-] [Invalid=1360 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	747	<div><div></div></div> 62.1%

# V416: POST SECONDARY EDUCATION			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	NO	455	<div></div> 37.9%
8	DK	1	
9	NA; INAP	1359	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V417: DEGREE OBTAINED			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=733 /-] [Invalid=1829 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	422	<div></div> 57.6%
2	NO	311	<div></div> 42.4%
8	DK	1	
9	NA; INAP	1828	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V418: FATHER LIVING OR YR DIED			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2029 /-] [Invalid=533 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	DK/DONT REMEMBER; FEMALE RESPONDENT	1428	<div></div> 70.4%
1	1919 OR EARLIER	30	<div></div> 1.5%
2	1920S	27	<div></div> 1.3%
3	1930S	63	<div></div> 3.1%
4	1940S	84	<div></div> 4.1%
5	1950S	145	<div></div> 7.1%
6	1960S	168	<div></div> 8.3%
7	1970S	84	<div></div> 4.1%
8	A LONG TIME AGO	0	
9	NA OR FATHER LIVING	533	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V419: FATHER AGE OR AGE DIED			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1145 /-] [Invalid=1417 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YOUNGER THAN 20	0	
2	IN HIS 20S	0	
3	IN HIS 30S	2	<div></div> 9.5%
4	IN HIS 40S	0	
5	IN HIS 50S	5	<div></div> 23.8%
6	IN HIS 60S	7	<div></div> 33.3%
7	IN HIS 70S	6	<div></div> 28.6%
8	IN HIS 80S	1	<div></div> 4.8%
9	IN HIS 90S	0	
20	20 YEARS OR YOUNGER	0	
99	99 YEARS OR OLDER	0	

# V419: FATHER AGE OR AGE DIED			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NA; DK/DONT REMEMBER; FEMALE RESPONDENT	1417	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V420: FATHER OCCUP-BLISHEN SCL			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1163 /-] [Invalid=1399 /-] [Mean=34.419 /-] [StdDev=13.434 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NA; DK/CANT REMEMBER	28	
99	INAP	1371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V421: FATHER RESIDENCE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1173 /-] [Invalid=1389 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CITY	438	37.3%
2	TOWN OR VILLAGE	327	27.9%
3	COUNTRY (NON-FARM)	89	7.6%
4	FARM	319	27.2%
8	DK	18	
9	INAP	1371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V422: FATHER OWN FARM			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=356 /-] [Invalid=2206 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OWN FARM	339	95.2%
2	WORKED ON FARM	17	4.8%
8	DK	4	
9	NA; INAP	2202	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V423: FATHER BORN IN CANADA			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1175 /-] [Invalid=1387 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	792	67.4%
2	NO	383	32.6%
8	DK	16	
9	INAP	1371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V424: FATHER EDUCATION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=812 /-] [Invalid=1750 /-]		

## # V424: FATHER EDUCATION

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GRADE 1; 1 YEAR	5	0.6%
2	GRADE 2; 2 YEARS	16	2.0%
3	GRADE 3; 3 YEARS	53	6.5%
4	GRADE 4; 4 YEARS	64	7.9%
5	GRADE 5; 5 YEARS	61	7.5%
6	GRADE 6; 6 YEARS	72	8.9%
7	GRADE 7; 7 YEARS	61	7.5%
8	GRADE 8; 8 YEARS	125	15.4%
9	GRADE 9; 9 YEARS	45	5.5%
10	GRADE 10; 10 YEARS	64	7.9%
11	GRADE 11; 11 YEARS	32	3.9%
12	GRADE 12; 12 YEARS	69	8.5%
13	GRADE 13; 13 YEARS	35	4.3%
14	FIRST YEAR UNIVERSITY	6	0.7%
15	SECOND YEAR UNIVERSITY	8	1.0%
16	THIRD YEAR UNIVERSITY	10	1.2%
17	FOURTH YEAR UNIVERSITY	13	1.6%
18	FIVE OR MORE YEARS UNIVERSITY (INCLUDE MEDICAL	10	1.2%
19	FIVE OR MORE YEARS UNIVERSITY (EDUCATION, THEOLOGY)	2	0.2%
20	M	2	0.2%
21	PH	1	0.1%
22	HIGH SCHOOL PLUS TEACHERS COLLEGE/BREVET, A	3	0.4%
23	HIGH SCHOOL PLUS NURSING (R	0	
24	HIGH SCHOOL PLUS COMMERCIAL/TECHNICAL COURSES/	7	0.9%
25	SOME HIGH SCHOOL PLUS COMMERCIAL/TECHNICAL COURSES/	2	0.2%
26	UNIVERSITY PLUS TEACHERS COLLEGE, NURSING(R	1	0.1%
27	GRADE 8/GRADE SCHOOL PLUS BUSINESS COLLEGE/	6	0.7%
28	NO FORMAL SCHOOLING	39	4.8%
88	DK/CANT REMEMBER/NO ANSWER	379	
99	INAP	1371	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # V425: GRDFATHER LIV OR YR DIED

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1130 /-] [Invalid=1432 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	DK/DONT REMEMBER	544	<div></div> 48.1%
1	1919 OR EARLIER	78	<div></div> 6.9%
2	1920S	68	<div></div> 6.0%
3	1930S	92	<div></div> 8.1%
4	1940S	96	<div></div> 8.5%
5	1950S	95	<div></div> 8.4%
6	1960S	96	<div></div> 8.5%
7	1970S	49	<div></div> 4.3%



# V425: GRDFATHER LIV OR YR DIED			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	A LONG TIME AGO	12	1.1%
9	NA OR GRANDFATHER LIVING; FEMALE RESPONDENT	1432	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V426: GRDFATHER AGE OR AGE DIE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=704 /-] [Invalid=1858 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YOUNGER THAN 20	0	
2	IN HIS 20S	1	1.1%
3	IN HIS 30S	3	3.4%
4	IN HIS 40S	1	1.1%
5	IN HIS 50S	10	11.4%
6	IN HIS 60S	11	12.5%
7	IN HIS 70S	28	31.8%
8	IN HIS 80S	23	26.1%
9	IN HIS 90S OR OLDER	3	3.4%
20	20 YEARS OR YOUNGER	0	
21	21 YEARS	0	
98	98 YEARS	3	3.4%
99	99 YEARS OR OLDER	5	5.7%
0	DK/DONT REMEMBER; FEMALE RESPONDENT	1858	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V427: GRDFATHER OCCUP-BLISHEN			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=947 /-] [Invalid=1615 /-] [Mean=29.523 /-] [StdDev=11.938 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NA; DK/CANT REMEMBER	244	
99	INAP	1371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V428: GRDFATHER RESIDENCE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1065 /-] [Invalid=1497 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CITY	231	21.7%
2	TOWN OR VILLAGE	277	26.0%
3	COUNTRY (NON-FARM)	82	7.7%
4	FARM	475	44.6%
8	DK	126	
9	INAP	1371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V429: GRDFATHER OWN FARM			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=486 /-] [Invalid=2076 /-]		

### # V429: GRDFATHER OWN FARM

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	OWN FARM	470	96.7%
2	WORKED ON FARM	16	3.3%
8	DK	25	
9	NA; INAP	2051	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V430: GRDFATHER BORN IN CANADA

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1094 /-] [Invalid=1468 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	572	52.3%
2	NO	522	47.7%
8	DK	97	
9	INAP	1371	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # V431: GRDFATHER EDUCATION

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/88/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=209 /-] [Invalid=2353 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	GRADE 1; 1 YEAR	4	1.9%
2	GRADE 2; 2 YEARS	10	4.8%
3	GRADE 3; 3 YEARS	21	10.0%
4	GRADE 4; 4 YEARS	24	11.5%
5	GRADE 5; 5 YEARS	13	6.2%
6	GRADE 6; 6 YEARS	15	7.2%
7	GRADE 7; 7 YEARS	6	2.9%
8	GRADE 8; 8 YEARS	19	9.1%
9	GRADE 9; 9 YEARS	7	3.3%
10	GRADE 10; 10 YEARS	5	2.4%
11	GRADE 11; 11 YEARS	4	1.9%
12	GRADE 12; 12 YEARS	9	4.3%
13	GRADE 13; 13 YEARS	9	4.3%
14	FIRST YEAR UNIVERSITY	0	
15	SECOND YEAR UNIVERSITY	1	0.5%
16	THIRD YEAR UNIVERSITY	5	2.4%
17	FOURTH YEAR UNIVERSITY	5	2.4%
18	FIVE OR MORE YEARS UNIVERSITY (INCLUDE MEDICAL	7	3.3%
19	FIVE OR MORE YEARS UNIVERSITY (EDUCATION, THEOLOGY)	0	
20	M	0	
21	PH	0	
22	HIGH SCHOOL PLUS TEACHERS COLLEGE/BREVET, A	1	0.5%
23	HIGH SCHOOL PLUS NURSING (R	0	
24	HIGH SCHOOL PLUS COMMERCIAL/TECHNICAL COURSES/	1	0.5%

# V431: GRDFATHER EDUCATION			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	SOME HIGH SCHOOL PLUS COMMERCIAL/TECHNICAL COURSES/	0	
26	UNIVERSITY PLUS TEACHERS COLLEGE, NURSING (R	0	
27	GRADE 8/GRADE SCHOOL PLUS BUSINESS COLLEGE/	0	
28	NO FORMAL SCHOOLING	43	<div></div> 20.6%
88	DK/CANT REMEMBER/NO ANSWER	982	
99	INAP	1371	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V432: R HAVE CHILDREN			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=924 -/] [Invalid=1638 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	799	<div></div> 86.5%
2	NO	125	<div></div> 13.5%
9	INAP	1638	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V433: SON OR DAUGHTER			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=799 -/] [Invalid=1763 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SON	208	<div></div> 26.0%
2	DAUGHTER	158	<div></div> 19.8%
3	BOTH	433	<div></div> 54.2%
9	INAP	1763	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V434: AGE OF OLDEST SON			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=641 -/] [Invalid=1921 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1 YEAR OR YOUNGER	39	<div></div> 100.0%
70	70 YEARS OR OLDER	0	
99	NA; INAP	1921	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V435: SON OCCUP-BLISHEN SCALE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=362 -/] [Invalid=2200 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NA; DK/CANT REMEMBER	86	<div></div> 100.0%
99	INAP	2200	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V436: MP-VOTERS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# V436: MP-VOTERS			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1206 /-] [Invalid=1356 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	869	<div><div></div></div> 72.1%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	283	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	54	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
8	DK	56	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V437: MP-PARTY			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1197 /-] [Invalid=1365 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	515	<div><div></div></div> 43.0%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	547	<div><div></div></div> 45.7%
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	135	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
8	DK	65	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V438: MP-CIVIL SERVICE			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1117 /-] [Invalid=1445 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	276	<div><div></div></div> 24.7%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	499	<div><div></div></div> 44.7%
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	342	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
8	DK	145	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V439: MP-GROUPS			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1149 /-] [Invalid=1413 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	429	<div><div></div></div> 37.3%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	500	<div><div></div></div> 43.5%
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	220	<div><div></div></div> 19.1%
8	DK	113	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V440: MP-FRIENDS AND ADVISERS			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1171 /-] [Invalid=1391 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	283	<div><div></div></div> 24.2%

# V440: MP-FRIENDS AND ADVISERS			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	535	<div></div> 45.7%
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	353	<div></div> 30.1%
8	DK	91	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V441: MP-OWN BELIEFS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1190 /-] [Invalid=1372 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY IMPORTANT	695	<div></div> 58.4%
2	FAIRLY IMPORTANT	344	<div></div> 28.9%
3	NOT VERY IMPORTANT	151	<div></div> 12.7%
8	DK	72	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V442: R HAS WRITTEN MP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1241 /-] [Invalid=1321 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	295	<div></div> 23.8%
2	NO	946	<div></div> 76.2%
8	DK	21	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V443: MP DO ANYTHING FOR R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1239 /-] [Invalid=1323 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	158	<div></div> 12.8%
2	NO	1081	<div></div> 87.2%
8	DK	23	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V444: WHAT MP HAS DONE FOR R			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=141 /-] [Invalid=2421 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	12	<div></div> 8.5%
2	WORKMENS COMPENSATION	2	<div></div> 1.4%
3	MOTHERS ALLOWANCE	0	
4	BABY BONUS, FAMILY ALLOWANCE, YOUTH ALLOWANCE	3	<div></div> 2.1%
5	PENSION (OLD AGE, CANADA)	8	<div></div> 5.7%

# V444: WHAT MP HAS DONE FOR R			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	SOCIAL WELFARE OR AID	8	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
7	HOUSING	5	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
8	LANDLORD - TENANT PROBLEM	0	
9	FARM OR AGRICULTURAL PROBLEM	5	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
10	HELP WITH LEGAL AFFAIRS	15	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
11	HELPED OR TRIED TO GET ME A JOB	20	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
12	HELPED GET PASSPORT VISA	5	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
13	HELP WITH CITIZENSHIP, IMMIGRATION	5	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
14	HE ANSWERED MY LETTER	5	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
15	SENT INFORMATION, GAVE TALK, LISTENED TO WHAT I	10	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
16	MISCELLANEOUS SINGLE RESPONSES	38	<div><div></div></div> 27.0%
0	REFUSED	5	
88	DK, CANT REMEMBER, NO ANSWER	12	
99	INAP	2404	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V445: MP WOULD READ LETTER			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1068 /-] [Invalid=1494 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	809	<div><div></div></div> 75.7%
2	NO	259	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
8	DK	194	
9	INAP	1300	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V446: MP WOULD ANSWER LETTER			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=721 /-] [Invalid=1841 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	677	<div><div></div></div> 93.9%
2	NO	44	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
8	DK	88	
9	INAP	1753	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V447: MP WOULD ACT ON PROBLEM			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=700 /-] [Invalid=1862 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	655	<div><div></div></div> 93.6%
2	NO	45	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8	DK	109	
9	INAP	1753	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V448: R THINKS OF SOCIAL CLASS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2454 /-] [Invalid=108 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	1141	<div></div> 46.5%
2	NO	1313	<div></div> 53.5%
8	DK	108	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V449: SUBJECTIVE SOCIAL CLASS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1132 /-] [Invalid=1430 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UPPER CLASS	13	<div></div> 1.1%
2	UPPER-MIDDLE CLASS	124	<div></div> 11.0%
3	MIDDLE CLASS	611	<div></div> 54.0%
4	WORKING CLASS	359	<div></div> 31.7%
5	LOWER CLASS	25	<div></div> 2.2%
8	DK	9	
9	INAP	1421	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V450: SUBJ SOC CLASS-PROBE			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1317 /-] [Invalid=1245 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	UPPER CLASS	7	<div></div> 0.5%
2	UPPER-MIDDLE CLASS	74	<div></div> 5.6%
3	MIDDLE CLASS	590	<div></div> 44.8%
4	WORKING CLASS	564	<div></div> 42.8%
5	LOWER CLASS	47	<div></div> 3.6%
7	REFUSED	35	<div></div> 2.7%
8	DK	104	
9	INAP	1141	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# V451: FEEL CLOSE TO CLASS			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2252 /-] [Invalid=310 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PRETTY CLOSE TO OWN CLASS	1318	<div></div> 58.5%
2	NOT CLOSER TO OWN CLASS	934	<div></div> 41.5%
8	DK	162	
9	INAP	148	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V452: CONFLICT BETWEEN CLASSES			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2433 /-] [Invalid=129 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BOUND TO BE CONFLICT	1283	<div></div> 52.7%
2	CAN GET ALONG TOGETHER	1150	<div></div> 47.3%
8	DK	129	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V453: RELIGION			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-88] [Missing=*/0/88]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2426 /-] [Invalid=136 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ROMAN CATHOLIC	1141	<div></div> 47.0%
2	UNITED CHURCH	493	<div></div> 20.3%
3	ANGLICAN	305	<div></div> 12.6%
4	PRESBYTERIAN	100	<div></div> 4.1%
5	BAPTIST	85	<div></div> 3.5%
6	LUTHERAN	67	<div></div> 2.8%
7	UKRAINIAN (GREEK) CATHOLIC	21	<div></div> 0.9%
8	GREEK ORTHODOX	26	<div></div> 1.1%
9	JEWISH	38	<div></div> 1.6%
10	PENTACOSTAL	21	<div></div> 0.9%
11	SALVATION ARMY	13	<div></div> 0.5%
12	JEHOVAH WITNESS	7	<div></div> 0.3%
13	MENNONITE	12	<div></div> 0.5%
14	BRETHREN (UNSPECIFIED)	6	<div></div> 0.2%
15	NO REGULAR CHURCH	15	<div></div> 0.6%
16	EVANGELICAL	7	<div></div> 0.3%
17	CHRISTIAN REFORMED	8	<div></div> 0.3%
18	CHRISTIAN	9	<div></div> 0.4%
19	LATTER DAY SAINTS	7	<div></div> 0.3%
20	CHURCH OF CHRIST, CHURCH OF GOD	8	<div></div> 0.3%
21	PROTESTANT (UNSPECIFIED)	9	<div></div> 0.4%
22	CHRISTIAN SCIENCE	1	<div></div> 0.0%
23	SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST	2	<div></div> 0.1%
24	CHRISTIAN ALLIANCE	3	<div></div> 0.1%



# V453: RELIGION			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	OTHER SPECIFIC PROTESTANT	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
26	BUDDHIST, SIKH, MOSLEM	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
27	OTHER VAGUE ANSWERS	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
0	NONE	131	
88	REFUSED, NO ANSWER	5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V454: FREQ OF CHURCH ATTEND			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2419 /-] [Invalid=143 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ONCE A WEEK	825	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
2	TWO OR THREE TIMES A MONTH	221	<div><div></div></div> 9.1%
3	ONCE A MONTH	160	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
4	FEW TIMES A YEAR OR LESS	885	<div><div></div></div> 36.6%
5	NEVER	328	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
8	DK	7	
9	INAP	136	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V455: SUBJECTIVE RELIGIOUSITY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2401 /-] [Invalid=161 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	VERY RELIGIOUS	464	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
2	FAIRLY RELIGIOUS	1318	<div><div></div></div> 54.9%
3	NOT VERY RELIGIOUS	619	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
8	DK	25	
9	INAP	136	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V456: COUNTRY OF BIRTH			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CANADA	2154	<div><div></div></div> 84.1%
2	BRITISH ISLES	135	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
3	FRANCE	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	GERMANY	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
5	HUNGARY	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6	ITALY	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
7	NETHERLANDS (HOLLAND)	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
8	POLAND	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
9	RUSSIA	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	OTHER EUROPEAN	71	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
11	UNITED STATES	43	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%

# V456: COUNTRY OF BIRTH			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	ALL OTHER	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V457: YEAR CAME TO CANADA			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/88/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=395 /-] [Invalid=2167 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	1900 OR EARLIER	1	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
1	1901	0	
72	1972	1	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
73	1973	1	<div><div></div></div> 33.3%
88	DONT REMEMBER, NOT STATED	13	
99	INAP	2154	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V458: HOW LONG LIVED IN PROV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ALL OF LIFE	1569	<div><div></div></div> 61.2%
2	MOST OF IT	561	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
3	SOME OF IT	404	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
4	ONLY A YEAR OR SO	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
8	DK	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V459: LIVED IN OTHER PROV			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	699	<div><div></div></div> 27.3%
2	NO	1863	<div><div></div></div> 72.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V460: LIVED IN NEWFOUNDLAND			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NEWFOUNDLAND	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
2	NEVER LIVED IN PROVINCE	2533	<div><div></div></div> 98.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V461: LIVED IN PRINCE EDW ISL			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# V461: LIVED IN PRINCE EDW ISL			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PRINCE EDWARD ISLAND	18	0.7%
2	NEVER LIVED IN PROVINCE	2544	99.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V462: LIVED IN NOVA SCOTIA			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NOVA SCOTIA	67	2.6%
2	NEVER LIVED IN PROVINCE	2495	97.4%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V463: LIVED IN NEW BRUNSWICK			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	NEW BRUNSWICK	68	2.7%
2	NEVER LIVED IN PROVINCE	2494	97.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V464: LIVED IN QUEBEC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	QUEBEC	151	5.9%
2	NEVER LIVED IN PROVINCE	2411	94.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V465: LIVED IN ONTARIO			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ONTARIO	236	9.2%
2	NEVER LIVED IN PROVINCE	2326	90.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V466: LIVED IN MANITOBA			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MANITOBA	108	4.2%
2	NEVER LIVED IN PROVINCE	2454	95.8%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V467: LIVED IN SASKATCHEWAN			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

# V467: LIVED IN SASKATCHEWAN			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	SASKATCHEWAN	146	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
2	NEVER LIVED IN PROVINCE	2416	<div><div></div></div> 94.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V468: LIVED IN ALBERTA			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ALBERTA	106	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
2	NEVER LIVED IN PROVINCE	2456	<div><div></div></div> 95.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V469: LIVED IN BRITISH COLUMB			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	BRITISH COLUMBIA	88	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
2	NEVER LIVED IN PROVINCE	2474	<div><div></div></div> 96.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V470: ETHNICITY			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-88] [Missing=*/88]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2410 /-] [Invalid=152 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	CZECHOSLOVAKIAN, SLOVAKIAN	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2	CHINESE, JAPANESE, OTHER ORIENTAL	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
3	ENGLISH	538	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
4	FINNISH, ESTONIAN	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
5	FRENCH	586	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
6	GERMAN, AUSTRIAN	142	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
7	GREEK, MALTESE	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
8	HUNGARIAN	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
9	IRISH	271	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
10	ITALIAN	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
11	JEWISH, HEBREW	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
12	LATVIAN, LITHUANIAN	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
13	NETHERLAND (DUTCH), BELGIAN	70	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
14	POLISH	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
15	SCANDINAVIAN (DANISH, ICELANDIC, NORWEGIAN,	58	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
16	SCOTTISH	283	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
17	RUSSIAN	21	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
18	UKRAINIAN	70	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
19	YUGOSLAVIAN	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
20	WELSH	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
21	NATIVE INDIAN OR ESKIMO	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
22	SPAIN, PORTUGAL	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%

# V470: ETHNICITY				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
23	WEST INDIAN	5	<div></div>	0.2%
24	AFRICAN	7	<div></div>	0.3%
25	OTHER EUROPEAN (ONE COUNTRY)	9	<div></div>	0.4%
26	OTHER ASIAN (ONE COUNTRY)	11	<div></div>	0.5%
27	ENGLISH AND FRENCH, BRITISH ISLES AND FRENCH	19	<div></div>	0.8%
28	BRITISH ISLES, ENGLISH, IRISH, SCOTTISH, WELSH,	45	<div></div>	1.9%
29	BRITISH ISLES AND ONE OR MORE OTHER EUROPEAN (NOT	17	<div></div>	0.7%
30	FRENCH AND OTHER (NOT BRITISH ISLES)	3	<div></div>	0.1%
31	ALL OTHER COMBINATIONS	6	<div></div>	0.2%
88	DK	152		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# V471: LANGUAGE SPOKEN AS CHILD				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	ENGLISH	1530	<div></div>	59.7%
2	FRENCH	707	<div></div>	27.6%
3	OTHER	298	<div></div>	11.6%
4	ENGLISH AND FRENCH	14	<div></div>	0.5%
5	ENGLISH AND ONE OR MORE OTHER	8	<div></div>	0.3%
6	FRENCH AND ONE OR MORE OTHER	1	<div></div>	0.0%
7	ENGLISH AND FRENCH AND ONE OR MORE OTHER	0		
8	ALL OTHER COMBINATIONS	4	<div></div>	0.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# V472: LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	ENGLISH ONLY	1691	<div></div>	66.0%
2	FRENCH ONLY	628	<div></div>	24.5%
3	ENGLISH AND FRENCH EQUALLY	83	<div></div>	3.2%
4	ENGLISH AND OTHER EQUALLY (SPECIFIED)	109	<div></div>	4.3%
5	OTHER ONLY (SPECIFIED)	45	<div></div>	1.8%
6	ENGLISH AND FRENCH AND OTHER EQUALLY	4	<div></div>	0.2%
7	FRENCH AND OTHER EQUALLY	2	<div></div>	0.1%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# V473: SPEAK OTHER LANGUAGES				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	DOES NOT SPEAK OTHER LANGUAGE	1934	<div></div>	75.5%
1	ENGLISH	314	<div></div>	12.3%

# V473: SPEAK OTHER LANGUAGES			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	FRENCH	135	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
3	ENGLISH AND FRENCH	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4	OTHER (SPECIFIED)	79	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
5	ENGLISH AND ONE OR MORE OTHER	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
6	FRENCH AND ONE OR MORE OTHER	40	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
7	TWO OR MORE OTHER LANGUAGES (NOT ENGLISH OR FRENCH)	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V474: GREW UP CITY, FARM, ETC.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	LIVED EVERYWHERE, MOVED AROUND A LOT	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
1	CITY	850	<div><div></div></div> 33.2%
2	SUBURB	183	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
3	VILLAGE, SMALL TOWN	867	<div><div></div></div> 33.8%
4	FARM	647	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
5	CITY AND FARM	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
6	SUBURB AND FARM	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7	VILLAGE AND FARM	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
8	CITY AND VILLAGE	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9	CITY AND SUBURB	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V475: GREW UP NEAR LARGE CITY			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1694 /-] [Invalid=868 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NOT STATED, SOME WERE AND SOME WERE NOT	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
1	NEAR	690	<div><div></div></div> 40.7%
2	NOT NEAR	994	<div><div></div></div> 58.7%
8	DK	18	
9	INAP	850	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V476: TRADE UNION MEMBERSHIP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-88] [Missing=*/88]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2543 /-] [Invalid=19 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO, DONT BELONG TO A TRADE OR LABOR UNION	2041	<div><div></div></div> 80.3%
1	BELONGS TO UNION, DONT KNOW WHICH ONE	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
2	UNITED STEELWORKERS OF AMERICA (U	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
3	UNITED AUTOMOBILE, AEROSPACE AND AGRICULTURAL	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
4	UNITED BROTHERHOOD OF CARPENTERS AND JOINERS OF	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%

# V476: TRADE UNION MEMBERSHIP			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF TEAMSTERS, CHAUFFEURS,	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
6	INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	OTHER SPECIFIC INTERNATIONAL	91	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
8	INTERNATIONAL UNSPECIFIED (C	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
9	CANADIAN UNION OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEES (C	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
10	NATIONAL FEDERATION OF SERVICES	44	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
11	QUEBEC TEACHERS CORPORATION	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
12	FEDERATION DES TRAVAILLEURS DU QUEBEC (F	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
13	OTHER SPECIFIC NATIONAL	64	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
14	OTHER NATIONAL UNSPECIFIED	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
15	GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES (CIVIL SERVICE, PUBLIC SERVICE	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
16	TEACHERS FEDERATION OR ASSOCIATION (ALL LEVELS)	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
17	OTHER PROFESSIONAL FEDERATIONS OR ASSOCIATIONS	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
18	FARMERS OR AGRICULTURAL GROUPS	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
19	LABOUR UNION UNSPECIFIED	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
20	OTHER VAGUE ANSWERS (UNABLE TO DETERMINE WHETHER	35	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
88	DK	19	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V477: FAMILY UNION MEMBERSHIP			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2501 /-] [Invalid=61 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	YES	731	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
2	NO	1770	<div><div></div></div> 70.8%
8	DK	61	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V478: AGE			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/0/88]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2550 /-] [Invalid=12 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	18 YEARS OLD	61	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
99	99 OR OVER	0	
0	REFUSED (NO ESTIMATE)	9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V479: INCOME			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/0/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2501 /-] [Invalid=61 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	LESS THAN \$3,000 PER YEAR	196	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
2	\$3,000 - \$4,999 PER YEAR	229	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%

# V479: INCOME			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	\$5,000 - \$7,499 PER YEAR	357	<div></div> 14.3%
4	\$7,500 - \$9,999 PER YEAR	359	<div></div> 14.4%
5	\$10,000 - \$14,999 PER YEAR	589	<div></div> 23.6%
6	\$15,000 - \$16,999 PER YEAR	232	<div></div> 9.3%
7	\$17,000 - \$19,999 PER YEAR	221	<div></div> 8.8%
8	\$20,000 OR MORE PER YEAR	318	<div></div> 12.7%
0	DK (NO ESTIMATE)	36	
9	REFUSED (NO ESTIMATE)	25	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V480: SEX			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MALE	1191	<div></div> 46.5%
2	FEMALE	1371	<div></div> 53.5%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# V481: LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	ENGLISH	1891	<div></div> 73.8%
2	FRENCH	654	<div></div> 25.5%
5	OTHER, WITH HELP OF INTERPRETER	17	<div></div> 0.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			