Canada

André Blais, Université de Montréal
Henry Brady, University of California at Berkeley
Elisabeth Gidengil, McGill University
Richard Johnston, University of British Columbia
Neil Nevitte, University of Calgary

Canadian Election Study, 1993

Study Documentation

August 6, 2020

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Scholars Portal (SP) , Ontario Council of University Libraries
Production Date	August 19, 2017
Version	Version September 15, 2017 Version October 19, 2017
Identification	CES-E-1993

Table of Contents

Canadian Election Study, 1993 (CES 1993)
Étude électorale canadienne 1993

Overview

Type	Canadian Election Study
Identification	CES-E-1993
Series	The primary mandate of the Canadian Election Study is to provide a thorough account of the election, to underline the main reasons why people vote the way they do, to indicate what does and does not change during the campaign and from one election to another, and to highlight similarities and differences between voting and elections in Canada and in other democratic countries. The second mandate is to contribute to the development of scientific knowledge regarding the motivations of voters and the meanings of elections and election campaigns in democratic societies. The third mandate is to assemble a rich set of data about Canadians' attitudes and opinions on a wide variety of social, economic, and political issues, and to make that data publicly available to researchers in political science, sociology, economics, communications, and journalism.
Kind of Data	Survey data
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage

Keywords	Debate, Leaders, Candidates, Votes, Voting, Media
Topics	Election, Politics, Public Opinion
Time Period(s)	1992-1994
Countries	Canada
Geographic Coverage Canada, Provinces	
Universe Canadian Electorate	

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	André Blais, Université de Montréal Henry Brady, University of California at Berkeley Elisabeth Gidengil, McGill University Richard Johnston, University of British Columbia Neil Nevitte, University of Calgary
Other Producer(s)	Institute for Social Research (ISR) , York University , Distributor
Funding Agency/ies	Elections Canada

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	Pre-Referendum Survey: start 1992-09-24 Pre-Referendum Survey: end 1992-10-25 Post-Referendum Survey: start 1992-10-31 Post-Referendum Survey: end 1992-11-02

	Post Election Survey: start 1993-09-10
	Post Election Survey: end 1993-11-21
	Mail Back Survey: start 1993-11-25
	Mail Back Survey: end 1994-03-05
Time Period(s)	start 1992
	end 1993

Data Collection Notes

The data set for the 1993 Canadian Election Study includes one or more interviews with 4,871 respondents. Over half of the 2,530 respondents to the first or pre-referendum survey completed all four telephone surveys and provided answers to over 500 survey items. Almost 90 percent of the respondents first interviewed as part of the campaign-period survey also participated in the post-election survey conducted in the six weeks following the election. (Taken from: The 1993 Canadian Election Study Incorporating the 1992 Referendum Survey on the Charlottetown Accord Technical Documentation)

Accessibility

| **Distributor(s)** | Canadian Opinion Research Archive |

Citation Requirements

Publications based on CES data collection should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic ciations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer

The original collector of the data and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

| **Copyright** | Copyright (c) Institute for Social Research, 2012 |

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final	
# Cases	4871
# Variable(s)	872

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 69 group(s)

Group 1992 Referendum Survey on the Charlottetown Accord	
Subgroup(s)	1992 Pre-Referendum Survey , 1992 Post-Referendum Survey , 1992 Referendum Survey Weights , Geographic Variables , Respondent Identification

Group 1993 Canadian Election Study	
Subgroup(s)	Geographic Variables , Respondent Identification , 1993 Campaign Period Survey , 1993 Post Election Survey , 1993 Mail Back Survey , Weights

Group REF/PR/CPS/PES/MBS Respondents

Notes

Survey administration variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFLINK	Link Between Pre-Referendum and Post-Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
2	CESTYPE	Interview Type-Canadian Election Survey	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
3	RTYPE1	Respondent - Pre Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
4	RTYPE2	Respondent - Pre & Post Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2223	2648	-
5	RTYPE3	Respondent - CES/Campaign Period	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
6	RTYPE4	Respondent - CES/Post Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3340	1531	-
7	RTYPE5	Respondent - CES/Mail Back Survey	discrete	numeric-1.0	2209	2662	-
8	RTYPE6	Respondent - Panel: Ref & PR & CPS & PES & MBS	discrete	numeric-1.0	887	3984	-
9	RTYPE7	Respondent - Panel: Ref &PR & CPS & PES	discrete	numeric-1.0	1312	3559	-
10	RTYPE8	Respondent - Panel: Ref & PR & CPS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1434	3437	-
11	RTYPE9	Respondent - RDD: CPS & PES & MBS	discrete	numeric-1.0	1322	3549	-
12	RTYPE10	Respondent - RDD: CPS & PES	discrete	numeric-1.0	2028	2843	-
13	RTYPE11	Respondent - RDD: CPS	discrete	numeric-1.0	2341	2530	-
14	RLINK	Linking Ref/PR/CPS/PES/ MBS Respondents	discrete	numeric-1.0	4871	0	-

Group 1992 Pre-Referendum Survey

Subgroup(s)	Attention to the Campaign , Vote Intentions , Gut Feelings , Elements of the Package , Exepectations for the Outcome , Intervenors , Community Identification , Elections , Demographics , REF Sampling and Study Procedures

Group 1992 Post-Referendum Survey

Subgroup(s)	Preliminaries , The Vote , Elements of the Agreement , Aftermath , Feelings , Financial Situation , PR Sampling and Study Procedures

Group Geographic Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSPROV	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
2	CPSAREA	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	3775	1096	-

Group Respondent Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSRGEN	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-

Group 1993 Campaign Period Survey

Subgroup(s)	Vote Intentions , Interest and Campaign Activites , Personal Fiances and the Economy , Leader and Party Evaluation , Leader Traits , Strategic Contingencies , Placements II , Policy I , National Economic Conditions , Provincial Economic Conditions , Placements I , Policy II , Party Identification and Vote History , Media Use , Background , CPS Sampling and Study Procedures

Group 1993 Post Election Survey

Subgroup(s)	The Vote , Interest and Media , Campaign Activities and Contact , Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation , Policy , Collectivities , Leader Traits , Interest Groups , Party Identification , PES Sampling and Study Procedures

Group 1993 Mail Back Survey

Subgroup(s)	Section A - Issues I , Section B - Issues II , Section C - Societal Influences , Section D - Public Rights , Section E - Issues III , Section F - Confidence in Institutions , Section G - Issues IV , Section H - Issues V , Section I - Goals , Section J -Self-Identification , Demographics , MBS Sampling and Study Procedures

Group Weights

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSHHWGT	Household Weight - CES Campaign	continuous	numeric-5.3	4871	0	-
2	CPSPWGT1	Provincial Weight: All - CES Campaign	continuous	numeric-5.3	4871	0	-
3	CPSPWGT2	Provincial Weight: No Quebec- CES Campaign	continuous	numeric-5.3	4871	0	-
4	CPSNWGT1	National Weight: All - CES Campaign	continuous	numeric-5.3	4871	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	CPSNWGT2	National Weight: No Quebec - CES Campaign	continuous	numeric-5.3	4871	0	-

Group 1992 Referendum Survey Weights

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFHHWGT	Household Weight - Referendum	discrete	numeric-5.3	2530	2341	-
2	REFPWGT1	Provincial Weight [All] - Referendum	discrete	numeric-5.3	2530	2341	-
3	REFPWGT2	Provincial Weight [No Quebec]-Referendum	discrete	numeric-5.3	1529	3342	-
4	REFNWGT1	National Weight - Referendum	discrete	numeric-6.3	2530	2341	-
5	REFNWGT2	National Weight [No Quebec] - Referendum	discrete	numeric-6.3	1529	3342	-

Group Section A - Issues I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSA1	We Have Gone Too Far in Pushing Equal Rights in this Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	2070	2801	We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.
2	MBSA2	People Who Do Not Get Ahead Should Blame Themselves Not the System	discrete	numeric-1.0	2142	2729	Most people who don't get ahead should not blame the system; they have only themselves to blame.
3	MBSA3	A Big Problem in Canada is Not Giving Everyone an Equal Chance	discrete	numeric-1.0	2143	2728	One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance
4	MBSA4	People Who Work Hard Get What They Want	discrete	numeric-1.0	2174	2697	If people work hard they almost always get what they want.
5	MBSA5	It is Not a Big Problem if Some Have More of a Chance in Life	discrete	numeric-1.0	2081	2790	It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.
6	MBSA6	Lay off Women Whose Husbands Have Jobs First	discrete	numeric-1.0	2148	2723	If a company has to lay off some of its employees, the first workers to be laid off should be women whose husbands have jobs.
7	MBSA7	Too Much Emphasis is Placed on Individual Freedom	discrete	numeric-1.0	1976	2895	In our society today, too much emphasis is placed on individual freedom at the expense of the community's interest.
8	MBSA8	The Government Must Do More to Reduce Income Gap Between Rich and Poor	discrete	numeric-1.0	2132	2739	The government must do more to reduce the income gap between rich and poor Canadians
9	MBSA9	The Use of Strikes Ends Up Hurting Workers	discrete	numeric-1.0	2092	2779	The use of strikes to improve wages and working conditions just ends up hurting the workers.
10	MBSA10	Discrimination Makes it Difficult for Women to Get Jobs Equal to Their Abilities	discrete	numeric-1.0	2132	2739	Discrimination makes it extremely difficult for women to get jobs equal to their abilities.
11	MBSA11	Under the Private Enterprise System Working People Do Not Get Their Fair Share of What They Produce	discrete	numeric-1.0	1900	2971	Under the private enterprise system, working people do not get their fair share of what they produce.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	MBSA12	Protecting the Environment is More Important Than Creating Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	1965	2906	Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs.
13	MBSA13	When Businesses Are Allowed to Make as Much Money as They Can, Everyone Profits	discrete	numeric-1.0	2077	2794	When businesses are allowed to make as much money as they can, everyone profits in the long run, including the poor.
14	MBSA14	The Welfare State Makes People Less Willing to Look After Themselves	discrete	numeric-1.0	2139	2732	The welfare state makes people nowadays less willing to look after themselves.
15	MBSA15	Pornographic Films and Magazines Should be Banned	discrete	numeric-1.0	2039	2832	Pornographic films and magazines should be banned.
16	MBSA16	Public Money Should Not be Used to Support Any Religious Schools	discrete	numeric-1.0	1982	2889	Public money should not be used to support any religious schools.
17	MBSA17	People Do Not Have Enough Respect for Traditional Values	discrete	numeric-1.0	2099	2772	People today don't have enough respect for traditional values.
18	MBSA18	The Bible is the Actual Word of God and is to be Taken Literally	discrete	numeric-1.0	1932	2939	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally word for word.
19	MBSA19	We Should Look After Canadians Born in This Country First and Others Second	discrete	numeric-1.0	2103	2768	We should look after Canadians born in this country first and others second.

Group Section B - Issues II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSB1	The Fact That Some Regions in Canada are Poorer:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1959	2912	The fact that some regions in Canada are poorer than others: Should be accepted as the normal result of market forces, means that governments should give incentives for firms to create jobs in the poorer regions, or undecided.
2	MBSB2	A Person's Wage Should Depend On:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1874	2997	A person's wages should depend on: How much he or she needs to live decently, the importance of the job, or undecided.
3	MBSB3	If the System of Private Enterprise Were Abolished:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1679	3192	If the system of private enterprise were abolished: Most people would work hard anyway, very few people would do their best, or undecided.
4	MBSB4	The Government Should <Standard of Living>:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1911	2960	The government should: See to it that everyone has a decent standard of living, should leave people to get ahead on their own, or undecided.
5	MBSB5	The Government Should <Environment>:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1684	3187	The government should: Do more to protect the environment, even if this means higher taxes, keep taxes from going up, even if this means doing less for the environment, or undecided.
6	MBSB6	Workers and Management:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1985	2886	Workers and management: Have conflicting interests and are natural enemies, share the same interests in the long run, or undecided.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	MBSB7	Competition:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1878	2993	Competition: Leads to excellence, brings out the worst in human nature, or undecided.
8	MBSB8	When it Comes to Job Hiring:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2004	2867	When it comes to job hiring: Quotas should be used to increase the number of women in good jobs, hiring should be based strictly on merit, or undecided.
9	MBSB9	Closer to Your View <People on Welfare>:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2018	2853	Which comes closer to your own view: People on welfare who are physically able should be required to work, requiring people on welfare to work will end up taking jobs away from other people, or undecided.
10	MBSB10	Resolving Conflict:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2101	2770	When it comes to resolving conflicts, which is more important: Searching for common ground and reaching a compromise, Debating the pros and cons and deciding who is right, or undecided.

Group Section C - Societal Influences

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSC1A	Influence Has> Labour Unions	discrete	numeric-1.0	2118	2753	Labour unions
2	MBSC1B	Influence Should Have> Labour Unions	discrete	numeric-1.0	2073	2798	Labour unions
3	MBSC2A	Influence Has> Farmers	discrete	numeric-1.0	2085	2786	Farmers
4	MBSC2B	Influence Should Have> Farmers	discrete	numeric-1.0	2076	2795	Farmers
5	MBSC3A	Influence Has>Big Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	2106	2765	Big business
6	MBSC3B	Influence Should Have> Big Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	2066	2805	Big business
7	MBSC4A	Influence Has> Media	discrete	numeric-1.0	2118	2753	Media
8	MBSC4B	Influence Should Have> Media	discrete	numeric-1.0	2066	2805	Media
9	MBSC5A	Influence Has> Intellectuals	discrete	numeric-1.0	2014	2857	Intellectuals
10	MBSC5B	Influence Should Have> Intellectuals	discrete	numeric-1.0	1969	2902	Intellectuals
11	MBSC6A	Influence Has> Banks	discrete	numeric-1.0	2085	2786	Banks
12	MBSC6B	Influence Should Have> Banks	discrete	numeric-1.0	2049	2822	Banks
13	MBSC7A	Influence Has> Consumers	discrete	numeric-1.0	2076	2795	Consumers
14	MBSC7B	Influence Should Have> Consumers	discrete	numeric-1.0	2067	2804	Consumers
15	MBSC8A	Influence Has> Feminists	discrete	numeric-1.0	2087	2784	Feminists
16	MBSC8B	Influence Should Have> Feminists	discrete	numeric-1.0	2034	2837	Feminists
17	MBSC9A	Influence Has> Aboriginal Peoples	discrete	numeric-1.0	2091	2780	Aboriginal peoples
18	MBSC9B	Influence Should Have> Aboriginal Peoples	discrete	numeric-1.0	2037	2834	Aboriginal peoples

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
19	MBSC10A	Influence Has> Racial Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	2078	2793	Racial minorities
20	MBSC10B	Influence Should Have> Racial Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	2033	2838	Racial minorities
21	MBSC11A	Influence Has> People on Welfare	discrete	numeric-1.0	2095	2776	People on welfare
22	MBSC11B	Influence Should Have> People on Welfare	discrete	numeric-1.0	2041	2830	People on welfare
23	MBSC12A	Influence Has> Small Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	2082	2789	Small business
24	MBSC12B	Influence Should Have> Small Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	2069	2802	Small business
25	MBSC13A	Influence Has> Old People	discrete	numeric-1.0	2088	2783	Old people
26	MBSC13B	Influence Should Have> Old People	discrete	numeric-1.0	2069	2802	Old people
27	MBSC14A	Influence Has> The Police	discrete	numeric-1.0	2094	2777	The police
28	MBSC14B	Influence Should Have> The Police	discrete	numeric-1.0	2070	2801	The police
29	MBSC15A	Influence Has> Homosexuals	discrete	numeric-1.0	2098	2773	Homosexuals
30	MBSC15B	Influence Should Have> Homosexuals	discrete	numeric-1.0	2018	2853	Homosexuals
31	MBSC16A	Influence Has> Anti-Abortion Groups	discrete	numeric-1.0	2078	2793	Anti abortion groups
32	MBSC16B	Influence Should Have> Anti-Abortion Groups	discrete	numeric-1.0	2029	2842	Anti abortion groups

Group Section D - Public Rights

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSD1	Those Elected to Parliament Lose Touch with the People	discrete	numeric-1.0	2151	2720	Generally, those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people.
2	MBSD2	I Would Rather Trust Down-to-Earth Thinking of Ordinary People Than in Experts	discrete	numeric-1.0	2077	2794	I'd rather put my trust in the down to earth thinking of ordinary people than in experts.
3	MBSD3	Politics and Government Seem so Complicated	discrete	numeric-1.0	2175	2696	Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.
4	MBSD4	The Problem with Democracy is that Most People Don't Really Know What's Best for Them	discrete	numeric-1.0	2086	2785	The problem with democracy is that most people don't really know what's best for them.
5	MBSD5	Do Not Think the Government Cares What People Like Me Think	discrete	numeric-1.0	2160	2711	I don't think the government cares much what people like me think
6	MBSD6	People have the Sense to Tell Whether the Government is Doing a Good Job	discrete	numeric-1.0	2150	2721	Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job.
7	MBSD7	Major Issues Are Too Complicated for Voters	discrete	numeric-1.0	2146	2725	The major issues of the day are too complicated for most voters.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	MBSD8	People Like Me Do Not Have Any Say About What the Government Does	discrete	numeric-1.0	2161	2710	People like me don't have any say about what the government does.
9	MBSD9	We Could Solve National Problems if Decisions Could be Brought Back to the People at Grass Roots	discrete	numeric-1.0	2064	2807	We could probably solve most of our big national problems if decisions could be brought back to the people at the grass roots.
10	MBSD10	The Government Should Pay the Most Attention to Well-Informed Citizens	discrete	numeric-1.0	2090	2781	The government should pay the most attention to those citizens who are well informed.
11	MBSD11	All Provinces Should be Treated the Same	discrete	numeric-1.0	2126	2745	All provinces should be treated the same, no matter how big or small they are.
12	MBSD12	In Democracy No Decisions Should be Made in Secret	discrete	numeric-1.0	2140	2731	In a democracy, no political decisions should be made in secret.
13	MBSD13	All Federal Parties Are Basically the Same	discrete	numeric-1.0	2108	2763	All federal parties are basically the same; there isn't really a choice.
14	MBSD14	Political Parties Spend Too Much Time Catering to Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	2020	2851	Political parties spend too much time catering to minorities.
15	MBSD15	People in Quebec Talk About Separation, but Do Not Mean It	discrete	numeric-1.0	1951	2920	People in Quebec keep talking about separation but they don't really mean it.
16	MBSD16	Government Services Should be Provided in French in Quebec, and English in the Rest of Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2104	2767	Federal government services should be provided in only one language, French in Quebec and English in the rest of Canada.

Group Section E - Issues III

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSE1	Your View> Treatment of People:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2063	2808	Which comes closer to your own view: No matter how we treat people, some will turn out to be better than others, if we really gave everyone an equal chance, almost everyone would turn out to be equally worthwhile, or undecided.
2	MBSE2	The Feminist Movement:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1753	3118	The feminist movement: Just tries to get equal treatment for women, puts men down, or undecided.
3	MBSE3	More Important in Democratic Society:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1756	3115	Which is more important in a democratic society: Letting the majority decide, protecting the needs and rights of minorities, or undecided.
4	MBSE4	Your View> Equality of Men and Women:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2065	2806	Which comes closer to your own view: Men and women can only be equal when they have the same responsibilities in government, business, and the family, equality can exist even when men and women have very different responsibilities, or undecided.
5	MBSE5	If a Provincial Law Conflicts with the Canadian Charter of Rights, Who Should Have the Final Say?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1647	3224	If the courts say that a provincial law conflicts with the Canadian Charter of Rights, who should have the final say? The Courts because they are in the best position to decide what is just and unjust, the provincial legislature

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							because they are the representatives of the people, or undecided.
6	MBSE6	Your View> Marital Violence:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1880	2991	Which comes closer to your own view: The government must crack down on marital violence even if that means interfering in people's private lives, couples should basically be left to sort out their own problems, or undecided.
7	MBSE7	Members of the RCMP:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2009	2862	Members of the RCMP: Should have the right to wear a turban for religious reasons, should all wear the same hat regardless of their religion, or undecided.
8	MBSE8	Feminist Movement Encourages Women:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1915	2956	The feminist movement encourages women: To be independent and speak up for themselves, to be selfish and think only of themselves, or undecided.
9	MBSE9	Your View> Aboriginal Peoples:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1900	2971	Which comes closer to your own view: If Aboriginal peoples tried harder, they could be as well off as other Canadians, social and economic conditions make it almost impossible for most Aboriginal Canadians to overcome poverty, or undecided.
10	MBSE10	Federal Elections Make Politicians Pay Attention to What People Think	discrete	numeric-1.0	2147	2724	Do you think having federal elections makes politicians pay a good deal, some, a little, or very little attention to what people think?
11	MBSE11	If We Stopped Having Elections, Do You Think That Life Would Be:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1990	2881	If we stopped having elections, do you think that life would be:

Group Section F - Confidence in Institutions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSF1	Confidence> Organised Religion	discrete	numeric-1.0	2173	2698	Organised Religion
2	MBSF2	Confidence> Armed Forces	discrete	numeric-1.0	2178	2693	The armed forces
3	MBSF3	Confidence> Education System	discrete	numeric-1.0	2186	2685	The education system
4	MBSF4	Confidence> Legal System	discrete	numeric-1.0	2170	2701	The legal system
5	MBSF5	Confidence> Social Security System	discrete	numeric-1.0	2160	2711	The social security system
6	MBSF6	Confidence> Civil Service	discrete	numeric-1.0	2145	2726	The civil service
7	MBSF7	Confidence> Newspapers	discrete	numeric-1.0	2172	2699	Newspapers
8	MBSF8	Confidence> Labour Unions	discrete	numeric-1.0	2162	2709	Labour unions
9	MBSF9	Confidence> Police	discrete	numeric-1.0	2177	2694	The police
10	MBSF10	Confidence> Federal Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	2177	2694	The federal government
11	MBSF11	Confidence> Provincial Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	2171	2700	Your provincial government
12	MBSF12	Confidence> Big Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	2167	2704	Big business
13	MBSF13	Confidence> TV News	discrete	numeric-1.0	2184	2687	TV news

Group Section G - Issues IV

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSG1	Canadians Should Particiate in Peacekeeping Operations Even if it Means Putting the Lives of Canadian Soldiers at Risk	discrete	numeric-1.0	2091	2780	Canada should participate in peacekeeping operations abroad even if it means putting the lives of Canadian soldiers at risk.
2	MBSG2	Society Would be Better if We All Had Similar Values	discrete	numeric-1.0	2106	2765	Society would be better off if we all had similar values and ideals.
3	MBSG3	Respect for Authority is One of the Most Important Things Children Should Learn	discrete	numeric-1.0	2154	2717	Respect for authority is one of the most important things that children should learn.
4	MBSG4	Most French Canadians Support Bilingualism in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	1904	2967	Most French Canadians support official bilingualism in Canada.
5	MBSG5	Most English Canadians Support Bilingualism in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	1994	2877	Most English Canadians support official bilingualism in Canada.
6	MBSG6	Too Many Recent Immigrants Do Not Want to Fit Into Canadian Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	1991	2880	Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit into Canadian society.
7	MBSG7	For Most Questions There is Just One Right Answer	discrete	numeric-1.0	2077	2794	For most questions there is just one right answer, once a person is able to get all the facts
8	MBSG8	When it Comes to Caring for Babies and Small Children, Men Are Less Patient and Giving Than Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	2141	2730	When it comes to caring for babies and small children, men by nature are less patient and giving than women.
9	MBSG9	There is Something Wrong with a Woman Who Does Not Want to Have Children	discrete	numeric-1.0	2108	2763	There is something wrong with a woman who doesn't want to have children.
10	MBSG10	Most Members of Ethnic Minorities Do Not Want Special Programmes for Their Group	discrete	numeric-1.0	1678	3193	Most members of ethnic minorities do not want special programmes for their own group.

Group Section H - Issues V

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSH1	Do You Think That People Running the Government:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2004	2867	Do you think that: Quite a few of the people running the government are a little crooked, not very many are crooked, hardly any of them are crooked, or don't know.
2	MBSH2	Do You Think That People in the Government:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2177	2694	Do you think that people in the government: Waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, don't waste very much of it, or don't know.
3	MBSH3	How Much of the Time Can You Trust the Government in Ottawa to Do What is Right:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2094	2777	How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Ottawa to do what is right: Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or don't know.
4	MBSH4	Do You Feel That People Running the Government:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1994	2877	Do you feel that: Almost all of the people running the government are smart people who usually know what they are doing, quite a few of them don't

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							seem to know what they are doing, or don't know.

Group Section I - Goals

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSI1A1	Goal> Maintaining High Rate of Economic Growth	discrete	numeric-1.0	2195	2676	Maintaining a high rate of economic growth.
2	MBSI1A2	Goal> Maintaining High Rate of Economic Growth	discrete	numeric-1.0	2195	2676	Maintaining a high rate of economic growth.
3	MBSI1B1	Goal> Making Sure Country Has Strong Defence Forces	discrete	numeric-1.0	2195	2676	Making sure this country has strong defence forces.
4	MBSI1B2	Goal> Making Sure Country Has Strong Defence Forces	discrete	numeric-1.0	2195	2676	Making sure this country has strong defence forces.
5	MBSI1C1	Goal> Seeing that People Have More Say in How Things Get Decided at Work and in Their Communities	discrete	numeric-1.0	2195	2676	Seeing that people have more say in how things get decided at work and in their communities.
6	MBSI1C2	Goal> Seeing that People Have More Say in How Things Get Decided at Work and in Their Communities	discrete	numeric-1.0	2195	2676	Seeing that people have more say in how things get decided at work and in their communities.
7	MBSI1D1	Goal> Making Cities and Countryside More Beautiful	discrete	numeric-1.0	2195	2676	Trying to make our cities and countryside more beautiful.
8	MBSI1D2	Goal> Making Cities and Countryside More Beautiful	discrete	numeric-1.0	2195	2676	Trying to make our cities and countryside more beautiful.
9	MBSI2A1	Goal> Maintaining Order in the Nation	discrete	numeric-1.0	2196	2675	Maintaining order in the nation.
10	MBSI2A2	Goal> Maintaining Order in the Nation	discrete	numeric-1.0	2196	2675	Maintaining order in the nation.
11	MBSI2B1	Goal> Giving People More Say in Government Decisions	discrete	numeric-1.0	2196	2675	Giving people more say in government decisions.
12	MBSI2B2	Goal> Giving People More Say in Government Decisions	discrete	numeric-1.0	2196	2675	Giving people more say in government decisions.
13	MBSI2C1	Goal> Fighting Rising Prices	discrete	numeric-1.0	2196	2675	Fighting rising prices.
14	MBSI2C2	Goal> Fighting Rising Prices	discrete	numeric-1.0	2196	2675	Fighting rising prices.
15	MBSI2D1	Goal> Protecting Freedom of Speech	discrete	numeric-1.0	2196	2675	Protecting freedom of speech.
16	MBSI2D2	Goal>Protecting Freedom of Speech	discrete	numeric-1.0	2196	2675	Protecting freedom of speech.
17	MBSI3A1	Goal> Maintaining a Stable Economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2197	2674	Maintaining a stable economy.
18	MBSI3A2	Goal> Maintaining a Stable Economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2197	2674	Maintaining a stable economy.
19	MBSI3B1	Goal> Progressing Toward a Less Impersonal, More Humane Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	2197	2674	Progress toward a less impersonal, more humane society.
20	MBSI3B2	Goal> Progressing Toward a Less Impersonal, More Humane Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	2197	2674	Progress toward a less impersonal, more humane society.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
21	MBSI3C1	Goal> The Fight Against Crime	discrete	numeric-1.0	2197	2674	The fight against crime.
22	MBSI3C2	Goal>The Fight Against Crime	discrete	numeric-1.0	2197	2674	The fight against crime.
23	MBSI3D1	Goal> Progressing Toward a Society Where Ideas Count More Than Money	discrete	numeric-1.0	2197	2674	Progress towards a society where ideas count more than money.
24	MBSI3D2	Goal> Progressing Toward a Society Where Ideas Count More Than Money	discrete	numeric-1.0	2197	2674	Progress towards a society where ideas count more than money.

Group Section J -Self-Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSJA	Group Closest to You Regarding Views and Feelings	discrete	numeric-2.0	2085	2786	A.Please indicate which group is closest to you in its views and feelings about things by writing the appropriate number in the space provided:
2	MBSJB	Other Group Close to You Regarding Views and Feelings	discrete	numeric-2.0	1589	3282	B.Is there any other group which is close to you in its views and feelings about things? If so, please indicate the group by writing the appropriate number in the space provided:

Group Demographics

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSAGE	Respondent's Year of Birth	continuous	numeric-4.0	2196	2675	Tn what year were you born?
2	MBSRGEN	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	2198	2673	What is your gender?

Group Attention to the Campaign

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFA1	Informed About Constitutional Agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	2499	2372	Would you say you are VERY WELL informed, FAIRLY WELL informed, NOT VERY WELL informed, or NOT AT ALL informed about the constitutional agreement?
2	REFA2	Number of Days Watched News on TV	discrete	numeric-2.0	2519	2352	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you watch the NEWS on T.V.?
3	REFA3	In the Past Week, TV Commercials Seen for the Yes or No Side	discrete	numeric-1.0	2470	2401	In the past week, did you see any TV commercials for the Yes or No side?
4	REFA4	In the Past Week, Radio Commercials Heard for the Yes or No Side	discrete	numeric-1.0	2494	2377	In the past week, did you hear any radio commercials for the Yes or No side?
5	REFA5	Number of Days Read a Daily Newspaper in the Past Week	discrete	numeric-1.0	2519	2352	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you read a daily newspaper?
6	REFA6	In the Past Week Seen, Read, or Heard About Polls on the Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2507	2364	IN THE PAST WEEK, have you seen, read, or heard about polls on the referendum?

Group Vote Intentions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFC1	Likeliness to Vote in the Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2476	2395	Are you VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY to vote in the referendum?
2	REFC2C	Do You Think You Will Vote Yes or No	discrete	numeric-1.0	1060	3811	The referendum question asks, "Do you agree that the constitution of Canada should be renewed on the basis of the agreement reached on August 28th, 1992." If you vote, do/ Do you think you will vote YES or NO?
3	REFC2F	Do You Think You Will Vote Yes or No	discrete	numeric-1.0	640	4231	If you vote do/ Do you think you will vote YES or NO?
4	REFC3	Which Way Are You Leaning: Yes or No	discrete	numeric-1.0	341	4530	Which way are you leaning: YES or NO?
5	REFC4	Is This Your Final Decision	discrete	numeric-1.0	1791	3080	Is your decision final, or could you change your mind?
6	REFC5	Satisfaction with How Agreement Reached	discrete	numeric-1.0	2122	2749	Are you VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED, or VERY DISSATISFIED with HOW the agreement was reached?

Group Gut Feelings

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFD1	No Agreement Will Satisfy Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	1401	3470	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements. No agreement will satisfy Quebec; they will always ask for more.
2	REFD2	Agreement Will Reduce Quebec to Province	discrete	numeric-1.0	800	4071	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements. The AGREEMENT will reduce Quebec to the status of a province like the others.
3	REFD3	Agreement is the Best Compromise We Can Get	discrete	numeric-1.0	2040	2831	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements. The agreement IS THE BEST compromise we can get under the circumstances.
4	REFD4	Agreement Will Allow Us to Move on to Other Problems	discrete	numeric-1.0	2199	2672	The agreement will allow us to move on to other problems, like the economy.
5	REFD5	Voting No to Agreement Means Saying Yes to Quebec's Independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	2164	2707	Voting NO to this agreement means saying YES to Quebec's independence.
6	REFD6	In the Agreement, is Quebec a Winner or Loser	discrete	numeric-1.0	1995	2876	In the agreement, is Quebec a WINNER or a LOSER?
7	REFD7	Winner or Loser> Province	discrete	numeric-1.0	1169	3702	In the agreement, is [province in wich respondent resides] a WINNER or a LOSER?
8	REFD8	Winner or Loser> Federal Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	1949	2922	In the agreement is the federal government a winner or a loser?
9	REFD9	Winner or Loser> Aboriginal Peoples	discrete	numeric-1.0	1847	3024	Aboriginal peoples? In the agreement, are they Winners or Losers?
10	REFD10	In Agreement: Winner or Loser> Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	1559	3312	Women? In the agreement, are they Winners or Losers?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	REFD11	In Agreement: Winner or Loser> The West	discrete	numeric-1.0	1679	3192	The West In the agreement, is it a Winner or a Loser?
12	REFD12	Meech Lake Accord> For or Against	discrete	numeric-1.0	1690	3181	What about the Meech Lake Accord? At the time, were you for or against it?
13	REFD13	Constitutional Agreement vs. Meech Lake	discrete	numeric-1.0	1937	2934	In your opinion, is this constitutional agreement better than the Meech Lake Accord, worse or not much different?
14	REFD14	Vote For/Against Sovereignty in 1980 Quebec Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	894	3977	In the 1980 Quebec referendum on sovereignty association did you vote YES (for sovereignty), NO (against sovereignty), or did you not vote?
15	REFD15	Did You See TV Debate Between Bourassa and Parizeau	discrete	numeric-1.0	436	4435	Did you see the TV debate between Robert Bourassa and Jacques Parizeau?
16	REFD16	Who Performed Best in the Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	382	4489	Who/ From what you have heard, who performed the BEST in that debate: Robert Bourassa or Jacques Parizeau?
17	REFD17	Have You Seen TV Debate Among Premiers/Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	787	4084	Have you seen a TV debate among Premiers or party leaders on the constitutional agreement?
18	REFD18	Who Took Part in TV Debate	discrete	numeric-4.0	256	4615	Who took part in the debate?
19	REFD19	Which Side Performed Best in TV Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	181	4690	Which side performed best in the debate, the yes side or the no side?

Group Elements of the Package

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFE1	Preference>Senate as it is Now, Senate as Proposed, Do Away with Senate	discrete	numeric-1.0	732	4139	Which of the following do you prefer? ...The Senate AS IT IS NOW, ...the Senate AS PROPOSED in the constitutional agreement, ...OR DOING AWAY with the Senate altogether?
2	REFE2	Preference>Senate as Proposed, Do Away with Senate, Senate as it is Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	732	4139	Which of the following do you prefer? ...The Senate AS PROPOSED in the constitutional agreement, ...OR DOING AWAY with the Senate altogether, ...OR The Senate AS IT IS NOW?
3	REFE3	Preference> Do Away with Senate, Senate as it is Now, Senate as Proposed	discrete	numeric-1.0	493	4378	Which of the following do you prefer? ...DOING AWAY with the Senate altogether, ...OR the Senate AS IT IS NOW, ...OR the Senate AS PROPOSED in the constitutional agreement ?
4	REFE4	Should Each Province Have an Equal Number of Senators	discrete	numeric-1.0	2334	2537	If you had to choose, should each province HAVE AN EQUAL NUMBER of Senators or should BIGGER provinces have MORE Senators?
5	REFE5	Agreement Gives Senate Amount of Power	discrete	numeric-1.0	1708	3163	Does the agreement give the Senate TOO MUCH, TOO LITTLE, or about the RIGHT AMOUNT OF POWER?
6	REFE6	Should Women be Guaranteed Seats in Senate	discrete	numeric-1.0	983	3888	Should women be guaranteed seats in the Senate?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	REFE7	Should Women be Guaranteed Half of the Seats in Senate	discrete	numeric-1.0	838	4033	Should women be guaranteed half the seats in the Senate?
8	REFE8	Should Aboriginal Peoples be Guaranteed Seats in Senate	discrete	numeric-1.0	560	4311	Should Aboriginal peoples be guaranteed seats in the Senate?
9	REFE9	Proposal to Recognize Aboriginal Peoples to Govern Themselves	discrete	numeric-1.0	2265	2606	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the PROPOSAL to recognize the right of Canada's Aboriginal peoples to govern themselves?
10	REFE10	Proposal to Recognize Quebec as a Distinct Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	1387	3484	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the PROPOSAL to recognize Quebec as a DISTINCT SOCIETY?
11	REFE11	Proposal to Recognize Quebec One Quarter of the Seats in the House of Commons	discrete	numeric-1.0	2228	2643	[fill rc1] Quebec has been guaranteed one quarter of the seats in the House of Commons[fill rc2].
12	REFE12	Is the French Language Threatened in Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	971	3900	In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?
13	REFE13	With This Agreement Will it be Easier for the Courts to Strike Down Quebec's Language Laws	discrete	numeric-1.0	615	4256	With this agreement will it be easier for the courts to strike down Quebec's language laws?

Group Exepectations for the Outcome

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFF1	Likelihood that Yes Side Will Win> Province	discrete	numeric-1.0	2154	2717	In [fill rc3], is it VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY or, VERY UNLIKELY that the YES side will win?
2	REFF2	Likelihood that Yes Side Will Win> Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	1286	3585	What about Quebec? (Is it VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY that the YES side will win?)
3	REFF3	Likelihood that Yes Side Will Win> Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2179	2692	In Canada as a whole? (Is it VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY that the YES side will win?)
4	REFF4	Is Agreement is Approved, Will Constitutional Question be Settled?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2304	2567	If the agreement is approved, do you think the constitutional question will be settled?
5	REFF6	If the No Side Wins in Quebec and Canada> Will the Constitutional Question Disappear	discrete	numeric-1.0	2193	2678	Will the constitutional question simply disappear? (if the NO side wins in BOTH Quebec AND the rest of Canada)
6	REFF7	If the No Side Wins in Quebec and Canada> Will Quebec Seperate from Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2144	2727	Will Quebec separate from Canada? (if the NO side wins in both Quebec and the rest of Canada)
7	REFF9	If Quebec Votes No and Rest of Canada Votes Yes> Will the Constitutional Question Disappear	discrete	numeric-1.0	2109	2762	Will the constitutional question simply disappear? (if QUEBEC votes NO and the rest of Canada votes YES?)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	REFF10	If Quebec Votes No and Rest of Canada Votes Yes> Will Quebec Seperate from Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2109	2762	Will Quebec separate from Canada? (if QUEBEC votes NO and the rest of Canada votes YES?)
9	REFF11	Opinion on Quebec Sovereignty	discrete	numeric-1.0	918	3953	"What is your opinion of Quebec sovereignty?"(Asked to a random half of respondents.)"What is your opinion of Quebec sovereignty, that is Quebec is no longer a part of Canada?"(Asked to random half of respondents.)
10	REFF12	Opinion on Quebec Separation	discrete	numeric-1.0	1477	3394	What is your opinion on Quebec separation? Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed.
11	REFF13	If Quebec Seperates from Canada> Likeliness of Canada Forming an Economic Association with Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	2257	2614	If Quebec separates from Canada, how likely is it that Canada will form an economic association with Quebec? (Is it VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY)
12	REFF14	If Quebec Seperates from Canada> Your Standard of Living	discrete	numeric-1.0	2380	2491	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?
13	REFF15	If Quebec Seperates from Canada> Your Standard of Living Will be How Much Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	180	4691	A LOT better or only A LITTLE better?
14	REFF16	If Quebec Seperates from Canada> Your Standard of Living Will be How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	772	4099	A LOT worse or only A LITTLE worse?

Group Intervenors

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFG1A	Public Position> Pierre Trudeau	discrete	numeric-1.0	2144	2727	First, has PIERRE TRUDEAU taken a public position on the agreement?
2	REFG1B	For or Against> Pierre Trudeau	discrete	numeric-1.0	1818	3053	Has he come out FOR or AGAINST?
3	REFG2A	Public Position> Business Community	discrete	numeric-1.0	1732	3139	Has THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY taken a public position on the agreement?
4	REFG2B	For or Against> Business Community	discrete	numeric-1.0	1461	3410	Has it come out FOR or AGAINST?
5	REFG3A	Public Position> Women's Movement	discrete	numeric-1.0	1683	3188	Has the WOMEN'S MOVEMENT taken a public position on the agreement?
6	REFG3B	For or Against> Women's Movement	discrete	numeric-1.0	1368	3503	Has it come out FOR or AGAINST?
7	REFG4A	Public Position> Union Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	1523	3348	Have UNION LEADERS taken a public position on the agreement?
8	REFG4B	For or Against> Union Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	1252	3619	Have they come out FOR or AGAINST?
9	REFG5A	Public Position> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-1.0	927	3944	Has PRESTON MANNING taken a public position on the agreement?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
10	REFG5B	For or Against> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-1.0	784	4087	Has he come out FOR or AGAINST?
11	REFG6A	Public Position> Peter Lougheed	discrete	numeric-1.0	660	4211	Has PETER LOUGHEED taken a public position on the agreement?
12	REFG6B	For or Against> Peter Lougheed	discrete	numeric-1.0	488	4383	Has he come out FOR or AGAINST?
13	REFG7A	Public Position> Claude Castonguay	discrete	numeric-1.0	318	4553	Has CLAUDE CASTONGUAY taken a public position on the agreement?
14	REFG7B	For or Against> Claude Castonguay	discrete	numeric-1.0	239	4632	Has he come out FOR or AGAINST?
15	REFG8A	Public Position> Jean Allaire	discrete	numeric-1.0	549	4322	Has JEAN ALLAIRE taken a public position on the agreement?
16	REFG8B	For or Against> Jean Allaire	discrete	numeric-1.0	473	4398	Has he come out FOR or AGAINST?

Group Community Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFH1	Which Government Looks After Your Needs Best	discrete	numeric-1.0	2417	2454	Which government looks after your interests and needs the best: the government of Canada or the government of [fill rc3]?
2	REFH2	How Do You Feel About> Pierre Trudeau	discrete	numeric-3.0	2417	2454	How do you FEEL about PIERRE TRUDEAU? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
3	REFH3	How Do You Feel About> Brian Mulroney	discrete	numeric-3.0	2464	2407	How do you FEEL about BRIAN MULRONEY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
4	REFH4	How Do You Feel About> Robert Bourassa	discrete	numeric-3.0	2263	2608	How do you FEEL about ROBERT BOURASSA? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
5	REFH5	How Do You Feel About> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-3.0	2323	2548	JEAN CHRETIEN (How do you FEEL about JEAN CHRETIEN?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
6	REFH6	How Do You Feel About> Audrey McLaughlin	discrete	numeric-3.0	1828	3043	AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN (How do you FEEL about AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
7	REFH7	How Do You Feel About> Your Premier	discrete	numeric-3.0	1472	3399	How do you FEEL about [fill rc1]? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
8	REFH8	How Do You Feel About> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-3.0	1080	3791	PRESTON MANNING (How do you FEEL about PRESTON MANNING?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	REFH9	How Do You Feel About> Peter Lougheed	discrete	numeric-3.0	1027	3844	PETER LOUGHEED (How do you FEEL about PETER LOUGHEED?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
10	REFH10	How Do You Feel About> Lucien Bouchard	discrete	numeric-3.0	827	4044	LUCIEN BOUCHARD? (How do you FEEL about LUCIEN BOUCHARD) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
11	REFH11	How Do You Feel About> Jacques Parizeau	discrete	numeric-3.0	962	3909	JACQUES PARIZEAU (How do you FEEL about JACQUES PARIZEAU?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
12	REFH12	It is Up to the Government to Ensure People's Basic Needs are Met	discrete	numeric-1.0	2457	2414	It's up to the government to ensure that people's basic needs are met. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
13	REFH13	I Would Want to Make Sure Something Works Before Taking a Chance on It	discrete	numeric-1.0	2470	2401	I'd want to make sure something works before I will take a chance on it. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
14	REFH14	We Need Quotas to Increase the Number of Women in Good Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	2381	2490	We need quotas to increase the number of women in good jobs. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
15	REFH15	People Like Me Don't Have Any Say About What the Government Does	discrete	numeric-1.0	2476	2395	People like me don't have any say about what the government does. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
16	REFH16	Canada Has Two Founding Peoples: French and English	discrete	numeric-1.0	2456	2415	Canada has two founding peoples, French and English. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
17	REFH17	Canada Has Three Founding Peoples: French, English, and Aboriginal Peoples	discrete	numeric-1.0	2437	2434	Canada has three founding peoples, French, English, and Aboriginal peoples. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
18	REFH18	We Should Make No Distinctions: We Are All Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	2490	2381	We should make no distinctions, we are all Canadians. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
19	REFH19	People Who Come to Live in Canada Should Try Harder to be Like Other Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	2422	2449	People who come to live in Canada should try harder to be more like other Canadians. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
20	REFH20	Which is More Important in a Democratic Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	2225	2646	Which is more important in a democratic society: LETTING THE MAJORITY DECIDE, or PROTECTING THE NEEDS AND RIGHTS OF MINORITIES?
21	REFH21	When it Comes to Politics, Where Do You Place Yourself	discrete	numeric-1.0	2318	2553	When it comes to politics, do you think of yourself as being on "the left," on "the right," in the centre, or none of these?
22	REFH22	How Much Do Feel Either Left or Right	discrete	numeric-1.0	438	4433	Very much to the left, or somewhat to the left? (If respondent answered "left" for #refh21.) Very much to the right, or somewhat to the right? (If respondent answered "right" for #refh21.)
23	REFH23	How Much Should be Done to Promote Women's Interests	discrete	numeric-1.0	2490	2381	How much do YOU THINK should be done for women: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?
24	REFH24	How Much Should be Done to Promote Aboriginal Interests	discrete	numeric-1.0	2460	2411	Now how much should be done for Aboriginal peoples? MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?
25	REFH26	How Do You Feel About> Canada	discrete	numeric-3.0	2482	2389	How do you feel about CANADA? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
26	REFH27	How Do You Feel About> Quebec	discrete	numeric-3.0	2425	2446	How do you feel about QUEBEC? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
27	REFH28	How Do You Feel About> Province	discrete	numeric-3.0	1511	3360	How do you feel about [fill rc3]? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
28	REFH29	How Do You Feel About> English Canadians	discrete	numeric-3.0	2431	2440	ENGLISH CANADIANS? (How do you feel about ENGLISH CANADIANS?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
29	REFH30	How Do You Feel About> Immigrants	discrete	numeric-3.0	2424	2447	IMMIGRANTS? (How do you feel about IMMIGRANTS?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
30	REFH31	How Do You Feel About> Women's Movement	discrete	numeric-3.0	2396	2475	The WOMEN'S MOVEMENT (How do you feel about the WOMEN'S MOVEMENT) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
31	REFH32	How Do You Feel About> Business Community	discrete	numeric-3.0	2358	2513	The BUSINESS COMMUNITY (How do you feel about the BUSINESS COMMUNITY?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
32	REFH33	How Do You Feel About> The Media	discrete	numeric-3.0	2442	2429	The MEDIA (How do you feel about the MEDIA?)/ (Media includes TV, radio and newspapers)/ (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
33	REFH34	How Do You Feel About> Unions	discrete	numeric-3.0	2403	2468	UNIONS (How do you feel about UNIONS?)/ (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Group Elections

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFI1C	Federal Party Affiliation	discrete	numeric-1.0	2329	2542	Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, [fill rc1] or none of these?
2	REFI2	Did You Vote in the Last Federal Election in 1988	discrete	numeric-1.0	2468	2403	Did you vote in the last Federal election in 1988?
3	REFI3	Party Voted for in the Last Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	1673	3198	Which party did you vote for?
4	REFI4C	If a Federal Election Were Held Today, Which Party Would You Vote For	discrete	numeric-2.0	1729	3142	If a FEDERAL election were held today, which party would you vote for? The PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE party, the LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party, the Bloc Quebecois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec)/Reform (all others), or some other party?
5	REFI5	Party Leaning Towards	discrete	numeric-2.0	222	4649	Which party are you leaning toward?
6	REFI6	Did You Vote in the Last Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	2468	2403	Did you vote in the last provincial election held in [fill rc3] [fill rc1].
7	REFI7	Party Voted for in Last Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	1607	3264	Which party did you vote for?
8	REFI8	If a Provincial Election Was Held Today, Who Would You Vote For	discrete	numeric-2.0	1869	3002	REFI8 question details
9	REFI10	Which Party Are You Leaning Towards	discrete	numeric-2.0	130	4741	Which party are you leaning toward?

Group Demographics

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFN1	Respondent's Year of Birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	2513	2358	First, in what year were you born?
2	REFN2	Highest Level of Education Completed	discrete	numeric-2.0	2519	2352	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?
3	REFN3	Respondent's Present Employment Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	2509	2362	Are you presently working for pay? Or are you unemployed? Retired? A student? A homemaker? Or something else?
4	REFN4	Respondent's Main Occupation	discrete	numeric-4.0	2378	2493	REFN4 question details
5	REFN5	Self-Employed or Working for Someone Else	discrete	numeric-1.0	2407	2464	REFN5 question details
6	REFN6	Type of Organisation Works For	discrete	numeric-1.0	1854	3017	REFN6 question details
7	REFN7	Type of Government Works For	discrete	numeric-1.0	325	4546	Is that the federal, the provincial or a local government?
8	REFN8	Does a Household Member Belong to a Union	discrete	numeric-1.0	2500	2371	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?
9	REFN9	Religious Affiliation	discrete	numeric-2.0	2495	2376	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, another religion or none?
10	REFN10	Importance of God in Respondent's Life	discrete	numeric-1.0	2202	2669	In your life, would you say God is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	REFN12	Respondent's Country of Birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	2525	2346	In what country were you born?
12	REFN13	Ethnic or Cultural Group	discrete	numeric-2.0	2311	2560	To what ethnic or cultural group did your ancestors belong on coming to this continent?(If respondent was born in Canada or the United States) / To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? (All others)
13	REFN14	Importance of Ethnic Background	discrete	numeric-1.0	2497	2374	Is your ethnic background VERY important to you, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT important AT ALL?
14	REFN15	Language Usually Spoken at Home	discrete	numeric-2.0	2527	2344	What language do you usually speak at home?
15	REFN16	Language First Learned and Still Understood	discrete	numeric-2.0	2527	2344	What is the first language you learned and still understand?
16	REFN17	Can You Carry on a Conversation in French	discrete	numeric-1.0	1546	3325	Can you carry on a conversation in French?
17	REFN18	Total Household Income>Thousands	discrete	numeric-3.0	1788	3083	Could you please tell me your total household income. Be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages. TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, what was your TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME before taxes and other deductions for 1991?
18	REFN19	Total Household Income> Categories	discrete	numeric-2.0	508	4363	We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...
19	REFN20	How Many Separate Phone Numbers in Residence	discrete	numeric-1.0	2522	2349	How many SEPARATE RESIDENTIAL telephone NUMBERS do you have in this residence?
20	REFN21	Number of Phone Numbers for Children, Fax, Computer, Etc	discrete	numeric-1.0	277	4594	How many of these telephone numbers are for children under 18 years of age, for FAX machines, computers etc?

Group REF Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	IDNUM	Respondent Identification Number	continuous	numeric-6.0	4871	0	-
2	REFPROV	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
3	REFDAY	Daily Sample	continuous	numeric-2.0	2530	2341	-
4	REFSUBS	Sample Replicate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
5	REFAREA	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	2530	2341	-
6	REFDATE	Date of Interview [MMDDYY]	continuous	numeric-6.0	2530	2341	-
7	REFLANG	Language of Interview	discrete	character-1	2530	0	-
8	REFINTN	Interviewer's Number	discrete	numeric-3.0	2530	2341	-
9	REFATEMP	Total Number of Call Attempts	continuous	numeric-2.0	2530	2341	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
10	REFREFUS	Number of Refusals Before Completion	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
11	REFCONT	Total Times Respondent Contacted	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
12	REFANS	Number of Times Telephone Answered	continuous	numeric-2.0	2530	2341	-
13	REFRES	Result Code	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
14	REFRN2	Question Ordering	discrete	numeric-1.0	1971	2900	-
15	REFRN3	Question Wording [refe11]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
16	REFRN4	Question Ordering	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
17	REFRN5	Question Wording [refe11]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
18	REFRN7	Question Wording [refe11]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
19	REFRN8	Question Ordering	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
20	REFRN9	Question Ordering	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
21	REFRN11	Question Ordering	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
22	REFRN14	Question Wording [refe6, refe7, refe8]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
23	REFJF1	Timer [refc2e,refc2f]	continuous	numeric-4.0	2526	2345	-
24	REFJF2	Timer [refi1c]	continuous	numeric-4.0	2529	2342	-
25	REFJF3	Timer [ref14c]	continuous	numeric-4.0	2530	2341	-
26	REFADULT	Number of Adults in Household	discrete	numeric-2.0	2530	2341	-
27	REFCOMM	Comments Recorded by Interviewer	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
28	REFRGEN	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
29	REFINT1	Interest in the Referendum Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	2470	2401	Would you say you are VERY INTERESTED, FAIRLY INTERESTED, NOT VERY INTERESTED, or NOT AT ALL interested in the referendum campaign?

Group Geographic Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFPROV	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-
2	REFAREA	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	2530	2341	-

Group Respondent Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	IDNUM	Respondent Identification Number	continuous	numeric-6.0	4871	0	-
2	REFRGEN	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-

Group Preliminaries

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PRA1	How Do You Feel About Outcome of the Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2027	2844	How do you feel about the OUTCOME OF THE REFERENDUM? Are you VERY pleased, PLEASED, DISpleased, or VERY displeased?
2	PRA2	Proposals Mentioned by Media and Politicians	discrete	numeric-1.0	2217	2654	What proposals in the agreement do you remember the media and politicians talking about before the referendum vote?
3	PRA2A	Amount of Knowledge About Proposals Mentioned	discrete	numeric-1.0	1510	3361	-
4	PRA2B	Specific Proposal> Aboriginal People	discrete	numeric-1.0	1510	3361	-
5	PRA2C	Specific Proposal> Senate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1510	3361	-
6	PRA2D	Specific Proposal> Distinct Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	1510	3361	-
7	PRA2E	Specific Proposal> Distribution of Seats	discrete	numeric-1.0	1510	3361	-
8	PRA2F	Specific Proposal> Quebec/French	discrete	numeric-1.0	1510	3361	-
9	PRA2G	Specific Proposal> Women's Issues	discrete	numeric-1.0	1510	3361	-
10	PRA2H	Specific Proposal> Veto/Unanimity	discrete	numeric-1.0	1509	3362	-
11	PRA2I	Specific Proposal> Powers-Federal and Provincial	discrete	numeric-1.0	1508	3363	-

Group The Vote

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PRB1	Did You Vote in the Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2219	2652	Did YOU vote in the referendum?
2	PRB2	Why Did You Not Vote	discrete	numeric-2.0	278	4593	Why did you NOT vote?
3	PRB3	Did You Vote Yes or Did You Vote No	discrete	numeric-1.0	1784	3087	Did you vote YES, or did you vote NO?
4	PRB4A	Preference for the Vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	1761	3110	Would you say your preference for the YES side was VERY strong, FAIRLY strong, or NOT VERY strong? (If respondent answered "yes" for #prb3.) Would you say your preference for the NO side was VERY strong, FAIRLY strong, or NOT VERY strong? (If respondent answered "no" for #prb3.)
5	PRB4B	Did You Change Your Mind on How to Vote During Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	1768	3103	Did you change your mind on how to vote during the campaign?
6	PRB4C	What Made You Change Your Mind	discrete	numeric-4.0	351	4520	What made you change your mind?
7	PRB5	Did You See the TV Debate Between Robert Bourassa and Jacques Parizeau	discrete	numeric-1.0	855	4016	Did you see the TV debate between Robert Bourassa and Jacques Parizeau?

Group Elements of the Agreement

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PRC1	Proposal to Recognize Aboriginal Peoples to Govern Themselves	discrete	numeric-1.0	2082	2789	Recognizing the right of Canada's Aboriginal peoples to govern themselves. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
2	PRC2	Proposal to Guarantee Quebec One Quarter of Seats in the House of Commons	discrete	numeric-1.0	2053	2818	Giving Quebec a guarantee of one quarter of the seats in the House of Commons. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
3	PRC3	Proposal to Recognize Quebec as Distinct Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	2105	2766	Recognizing Quebec as a distinct society. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)
4	PRC4	In Recognizing Quebec as a Distinct Society, Did the Agreement Go:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1988	2883	Did the agreement go TOO FAR, JUST FAR ENOUGH or NOT FAR ENOUGH in recognizing Quebec as a distinct society?
5	PRC6	Each Province Should Have an Equal Number of Senators, or Bigger Provinces Should Have More Senators	discrete	numeric-1.0	2066	2805	Do you think each province should HAVE AN EQUAL NUMBER of Senators, or should BIGGER provinces have MORE Senators?
6	PRC7	Agreement Gave Senate How Much Power:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1681	3190	Did the agreement give the Senate TOO MUCH, TOO LITTLE, or about the RIGHT AMOUNT OF POWER?
7	PRC8	Should We Recognize Aboriginal Self Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	2007	2864	EVEN THOUGH NONE of the other parts of the agreement will be implemented, do you think we should recognize Aboriginal self government?

Group Aftermath

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PRD1	Who Should Have Final Say in Changing the Constitution	discrete	numeric-1.0	2131	2740	Who should have the final say in changing the Constitution? The people in a referendum, or our elected representatives at the Federal and Provincial levels?
2	PRD2	What Should We Do Now> Come Up With a Better Deal or Put Constitution Aside	discrete	numeric-1.0	2138	2733	What should we do now should we try to come up with a better deal, or should we put the constitution aside?
3	PRD3	Agreement Could be Reached That Would be Acceptable to Most Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	2142	2729	Is it VERY likely, SOMEWHAT likely, somewhat UNLIKELY or VERY unlikely that an agreement could be reached that would be acceptable to most Canadians?
4	PRD4	Should Quebec Have a Referendum on Whether They Want to Stay in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2027	2844	Should Quebec have a referendum on whether they want to stay in Canada before Constitutional talks start again?
5	PRD5	Federalism as it is Now or Sovereigty	discrete	numeric-1.0	753	4118	If you had to choose between federalism AS IT IS NOW and Quebec sovereignty, that is Quebec is no longer a part of Canada, which would you choose?
6	PRD8	Likeliness of Quebec Separating from Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2099	2772	Is it VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY or VERY UNLIKELY that Quebec WILL separate from Canada?
7	PRD9	If Quebec Separates> Closeness of Quebec and Canada's Economic Association	discrete	numeric-1.0	2038	2833	IF Quebec separates, should Quebec and Canada form an economic association that is very close, fairly close, not very

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							close, or should there be no economic association at all?

Group Feelings

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PRH2	How Do You Feel About> Pierre Trudeau	discrete	numeric-3.0	2174	2697	How do you FEEL about PIERRE TRUDEAU? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
2	PRH3	How Do You Feel About> Brian Mulroney	discrete	numeric-3.0	2194	2677	How do you FEEL about BRIAN MULRONEY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
3	PRH4	How Do You Feel About> Robert Bourassa	discrete	numeric-3.0	2056	2815	How do you FEEL about ROBERT BOURASSA? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
4	PRH5	How Do You Feel About> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-3.0	2107	2764	JEAN CHRETIEN (How do you FEEL about JEAN CHRETIEN?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
5	PRH6	How Do You Feel About> Audrey McLaughlin	discrete	numeric-3.0	1746	3125	AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN (How do you FEEL about AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
6	PRH7	How Do You Feel About> Premier	discrete	numeric-3.0	1338	3533	How do you FEEL about [fill rc1] (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
7	PRH8	How Do You Feel About> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-3.0	1113	3758	PRESTON MANNING (How do you FEEL about PRESTON MANNING?)/ (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
8	PRH10	How Do You Feel About> Lucien Bouchard	discrete	numeric-3.0	769	4102	LUCIEN BOUCHARD (How do you FEEL about LUCIEN BOUCHARD?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
9	PRH11	How Do You Feel About> Jacques Parizeau	discrete	numeric-3.0	841	4030	JACQUES PARIZEAU (How do you FEEL about JACQUES PARIZEAU?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
10	PRH26	How Do You Feel About> Canada	discrete	numeric-3.0	2198	2673	How do you feel about CANADA? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	PRH27	How Do You Feel About> Quebec	discrete	numeric-3.0	2166	2705	How do you feel about QUEBEC? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
12	PRH1	How Do You Feel About> Politicians	discrete	numeric-3.0	2169	2702	How do you FEEL about politicians in general? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Group Financial Situation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PRF1	Better or Worse Off Financially Than a Year Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	2204	2667	Would you say that you are BETTER off or WORSE off financially than you were a year ago, or about the SAME?
2	PRF2	Better or Worse Off Financially a Year From Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	2152	2719	Looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially or WORSE off or just about the SAME as now?
3	PRF3	Respondent's Year of Birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	2206	2665	Can you tell me in what year were you born?

Group PR Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	REFPOST	Postal Code	discrete	character-3	2408	0	Would you please tell me the first three letters of your postal code?
2	REFINTER	Interest of Questions	discrete	numeric-1.0	2508	2363	I just have two final questions: first, would you say these questions have been VERY interesting, SOMEWHAT interesting or NOT VERY interesting?
3	REFLENG	Perceived Interview Time	discrete	numeric-2.0	2418	2453	And how many minutes do you think it took to complete this questionnaire?
4	REFBLISH	Occupation: Respondent> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score	continuous	numeric-6.2	2252	2619	-
5	REFPINPR	Respondent> Pineo-Porter 1981 Category	discrete	numeric-5.2	2350	2521	-
6	PRPROV	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	2223	2648	-
7	PRDAY	Daily Sample	continuous	numeric-2.0	2223	2648	-
8	PRSUBS	Sample Replicate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2223	2648	-
9	PRAREA	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	2223	2648	-
10	PRDATE	Date of Interview [MMDDYY]	continuous	numeric-6.0	2223	2648	-
11	PRLANG	Language of Interview	discrete	character-1	2223	0	-
12	PRINTN	Interviewer's Number	discrete	numeric-3.0	2223	2648	-
13	PRATEMPT	Total Number of Call Attempts	continuous	numeric-2.0	2223	2648	-
14	PRREFUS	Number of Refusals Before Completion	discrete	numeric-1.0	2223	2648	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
15	PRCONT	Total Times Respondent Contacted	discrete	numeric-1.0	2223	2648	-
16	PRANS	Number of Times Telephone Answered	continuous	numeric-2.0	2223	2648	-
17	PRTIME	Length of Interview	continuous	numeric-2.0	2223	2648	-
18	PRRES	Result Code	discrete	numeric-1.0	2223	2648	-
19	PRRN1	Question Ordering	discrete	numeric-1.0	2223	2648	-
20	PRJF1	Timer [prb4b]	continuous	numeric-5.0	1773	3098	-
21	PRJF2	Timer [prh26]	continuous	numeric-4.0	2223	2648	-
22	PRJF3	Timer [prh27]	continuous	numeric-5.0	2223	2648	-
23	PRCOMM	Comments Recorded by Interviewer	discrete	numeric-1.0	2223	2648	-
24	PRRGEN	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	2223	2648	-
25	PRINTER	Interest of Questions	discrete	numeric-1.0	2215	2656	First, would you say these questions have been VERY interesting, SOMEWHAT interesting or NOT VERY interesting?
26	PRLENG	Respondent's Perceived Interview Time	discrete	numeric-2.0	2156	2715	And how many minutes do you think it took to complete this questionnaire?
27	REFTYPE	Interview Type - Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	2341	-

Group Vote Intentions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSA1	Most Important Issue to You Personally	discrete	numeric-2.0	3225	1646	In the upcoming election, what do you think will be the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY?
2	CPSA2	How Likely Will Vote on Election Day	discrete	numeric-1.0	3723	1148	How likely is it that you will vote on election day? Would you say VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY?
3	CPSA3	Party You Think You Will Vote For	discrete	numeric-2.0	2654	2217	CPSA3 question details
4	CPSA4	Party Leaning Towards	discrete	numeric-2.0	722	4149	Which party are you leaning toward now?" (If respondent answered "don't know" for #cpsa3) "Is there a party you are leaning towards?" (If respondent answered "none" for #cpsa3)
5	CPSA5	Final Choice, or May Still Change Mind	discrete	numeric-1.0	2546	2325	Is your choice final, or could you change your mind?
6	CPSA6	If That Party Did Not Have a Candidate, Who Would You Vote For	discrete	numeric-2.0	2580	2291	CPSA6 question details
7	CPSA7A	Party Definitely Would Not Vote For-1st	discrete	numeric-1.0	3032	1839	For which party would you definitely NOT VOTE?
8	CPSA7B	Party Definitely Would Not Vote For-2nd	discrete	numeric-1.0	2938	1933	-

Group Interest and Campaign Activites

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSB1	Interest in Federal Election Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3751	1120	Would you say that you are very interested, fairly interested, not very interested, or not at all interested in the campaign?
2	CPSB2	Contacted by Local Candidate/Party Worker	discrete	numeric-1.0	3759	1112	In the past week, were you contacted in person or by telephone by any of the local candidates or party workers in your riding?
3	CPSB2A	Party That Candidate/Worker Was From -1st Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	618	4253	Which party were they from?
4	CPSB2B	Party That Candidate/Worker Was From -2nd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	610	4261	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone in the past week?)
5	CPSB2C	Party That Candidate/Worker Was From -3rd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	169	4702	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone in the past week?)
6	CPSB3	Past Week> Discussed Politics With Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3772	1099	Over the past week, have you discussed politics with other people?
7	CPSB4	How About Issues in the Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3748	1123	Would you say you are VERY WELL informed, FAIRLY WELL informed, NOT VERY WELL informed, or NOT AT ALL informed about the issues in the campaign?

Group Personal Fiances and the Economy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSC1	Better or Worse Off Financially Than a Year Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	3733	1138	Would you say that you are BETTER off or WORSE off financially than you were a year ago?
2	CPSC1A	Much or Somewhat Better Off Than a Year Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	852	4019	Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off?
3	CPSC1B	Much or Somewhat Worse Off Than a Year Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	1841	3030	Is that MUCH worse off or SOMEWHAT worse off?
4	CPSC2	Better or Worse Off Financially a Year From Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	3472	1399	Do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially, WORSE off, or just about the same as now?
5	CPSC2A	Much or Somewhat Better Off a Year From Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	867	4004	Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off?
6	CPSC2B	Much or Somewhat Worse Off a Year From Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	611	4260	Is that MUCH worse off or SOMEWHAT worse off?
7	CPSC3	Economic Policies of the Federal Government Made You:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3646	1225	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made you BETTER off, WORSE off, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH OF A DIFFERENCE either way?

Group Leader and Party Evaluation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSD1A	How Well Informed About> Kim Campbell	discrete	numeric-1.0	3726	1145	First, Kim Campbell. Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	CPSD1B	How Well Informed About> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-1.0	3734	1137	And what about Jean Chretien? Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien?
3	CPSD1C	How Well Informed About> Audrey McLaughlin	discrete	numeric-1.0	3702	1169	Audrey McLaughlin? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin?)
4	CPSD1D	How Well Informed About> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-1.0	2719	2152	Preston Manning? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning?)
5	CPSD1E	How Well Informed About> Lucien Bouchard	discrete	numeric-1.0	992	3879	Lucien Bouchard? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard?)
6	CPSD2A	Rating> Kim Campbell	discrete	numeric-3.0	3328	1543	How would you rate KIM CAMPBELL? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
7	CPSD2B	Rating> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-3.0	3294	1577	How would you rate JEAN CHRETIEN? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?) informed about Jean Chretien?
8	CPSD2C	Rating> Audrey McLaughlin	discrete	numeric-3.0	2637	2234	How would you rate AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?) informed about Audrey McLaughlin?)
9	CPSD2D	Rating> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-3.0	1988	2883	How would you rate PRESTON MANNING? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
10	CPSD2E	Rating> Lucien Bouchard	discrete	numeric-3.0	817	4054	How would you rate LUCIEN BOUCHARD? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
11	CPSD2G	Rating> Federal Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3578	1293	How would you rate the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
12	CPSD2H	Rating> Federal Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3580	1291	How would you rate the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
13	CPSD2I	Rating> Federal New Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3467	1404	How would you rate the FEDERAL NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
14	CPSD2J	Rating> Reform Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	2479	2392	How would you rate THE REFORM PARTY? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
15	CPSD2K	Rating> Bloc Quebecois	discrete	numeric-3.0	934	3937	How would you rate THE BLOC Québécois? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
16	CPSD2F	Rating> Brian Mulroney	discrete	numeric-3.0	3618	1253	How would you rate BRIAN MULRONEY? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
17	CPSD3	Importance that Prime Minister Speaks French Really Well	discrete	numeric-1.0	3752	1119	How important is it that Canada's Prime Minister speaks French really well. Is it VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

Group Leader Traits

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSI1A	Describe> Campbell> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	3360	1511	KIM CAMPBELL. Does "INTELLIGENT" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
2	CPSI1B	Describe> Campbell> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	3304	1567	Does "ARROGANT" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
3	CPSI1C	Describe> Campbell> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3149	1722	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
4	CPSI1D	Describe> Campbell> Speaks for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	3190	1681	"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?" (Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
5	CPSI1E	Describe> Campbell> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	3265	1606	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
6	CPSI1F	Describe> Campbell> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3080	1791	"COMPASSIONATE?" (Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
7	CPSI1I	Kim Campbell Speaks French:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2842	2029	Do you think Kim Campbell speaks French VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
8	CPSI1J	Kim Campbell's Cabinet Job Before Prime Minister	discrete	numeric-2.0	3465	1406	Do you happen to know what Kim Campbell's cabinet job was before she became Prime Minister?
9	CPSI2A	Describe> Chretien> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	3334	1537	Does "INTELLIGENT" describe JEAN CHRETIEN: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
10	CPSI2B	Describe> Chretien> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	3280	1591	Does "ARROGANT" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
11	CPSI2C	Describe> Chretien> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3181	1690	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Jean

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
12	CPSI2D	Describe> Chretien> Speaks for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	2863	2008	"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?" (Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
13	CPSI2E	Describe> Chretien> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	3252	1619	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
14	CPSI2F	Describe> Chretien> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3033	1838	(Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
15	CPSI3A	Describe> McLaughlin> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2615	2256	Does "INTELLIGENT" describe AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
16	CPSI3B	Describe> McLaughlin> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	2556	2315	Does "ARROGANT" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
17	CPSI3C	Describe> McLaughlin> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2477	2394	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY WELL, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
18	CPSI3D	Describe> McLaughlin> Speaks for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	2521	2350	"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?" (Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
19	CPSI3E	Describe> McLaughlin> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	2558	2313	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
20	CPSI3F	Describe> McLaughlin> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2428	2443	"COMPASSIONATE?" (Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
21	CPSI4A	Describe> Manning> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	1919	2952	Does "INTELLIGENT" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
22	CPSI4B	Describe> Manning> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	1877	2994	Does "ARROGANT" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
23	CPSI4C	Describe> Manning> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	1797	3074	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
24	CPSI4D	Describe> Manning> Speaks for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	1619	3252	"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?" (Does "CAN REALLY

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
25	CPSI4E	Describe> Manning> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	1872	2999	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
26	CPSI4F	Describe> Manning> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1721	3150	"COMPASSIONATE?" (Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
27	CPSI5A	Describe> Bouchard> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	599	4272	Does "INTELLIGENT" describe LUCIEN BOUCHARD: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
28	CPSI5B	Describe> Bouchard> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	594	4277	Does "ARROGANT" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
29	CPSI5C	Describe> Bouchard> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	579	4292	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
30	CPSI5D	Describe> Bouchard> Speaks for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	508	4363	"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?" (Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
31	CPSI5E	Describe> Bouchard> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	589	4282	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
32	CPSI5F	Describe> Bouchard> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	534	4337	"COMPASSIONATE?" (Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
33	CPSI6	Party Leader That Would Make the Best Prime Minister	discrete	numeric-2.0	3118	1753	In your opinion, which party leader would make the best Prime Minister?

Group Strategic Contingencies

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSJ1A	Conservative Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	3547	1324	The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
2	CPSJ1B	Liberal Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	3554	1317	The LIBERAL party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
3	CPSJ1C	NDP's Chances> Winning In Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	3533	1338	The NEW DEMOCRATIC party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
4	CPSJ1D	Reform Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	2586	2285	The REFORM party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
5	CPSJ1E	Bloc Quebecois' Chances> Winning In Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	925	3946	The BLOC Québécois' chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
6	CPSJ2A	Conservative Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3614	1257	The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
7	CPSJ2B	Liberal Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3616	1255	The LIBERAL party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
8	CPSJ2C	NDP's Chances> Winning In Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3596	1275	The NEW DEMOCRATIC party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
9	CPSJ2D	Reform Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3483	1388	The REFORM party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
10	CPSJ2E	Bloc Quebecois' Chances> Winning the Majority of Seats in Quebec	discrete	numeric-3.0	3308	1563	The BLOC Québécois' chances of winning the MAJORITY OF SEATS in QUEBEC? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
11	CPSJ3	Province Representation in Ottawa By Strong Cabinet Minister	discrete	numeric-1.0	3735	1136	How important is it that [fill pppp] be represented in Ottawa by strong Cabinet Ministers? Would you say VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important or NOT important at all?

Group Placements II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSK1A	How Much Power Should Trade Unions Have	discrete	numeric-1.0	3716	1155	First, how much power do you think TRADE UNIONS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about this?
2	CPSK1B	Liberals> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have	discrete	numeric-1.0	2948	1923	How much power does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want unions to have? (MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)
3	CPSK1C	Conservatives> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have	discrete	numeric-1.0	2948	1923	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)
4	CPSK1D	NDP> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have	discrete	numeric-1.0	2947	1924	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does the FEDERAL NDP want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)
5	CPSK1E	Reform> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have	discrete	numeric-1.0	2143	2728	The REFORM party? (Does the REFORM Party want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)
6	CPSK1F	Bloc Quebecois> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have	discrete	numeric-1.0	803	4068	The BLOC Québécois? (Does the BLOC Québécois want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)
7	CPSK2A	How Much Should Be Done for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	3714	1157	How much do you think should be done for WOMEN: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?
8	CPSK2B	Liberals> How Much They Want to Do for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	3326	1545	What does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want to do for women? (MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)
9	CPSK2C	Conservatives> How Much They Want to Do for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	3325	1546	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want to do MUCH MORE for women, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)
10	CPSK2D	Ndp> How Much They Want to Do for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	3323	1548	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE for women, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	CPSK2E	Reform> How Much They Want to Do for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	2426	2445	The REFORM party? (Does the REFORM party want to do MUCH MORE for women, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)
12	CPSK2F	Bloc Quebecois> How Much They Want to Do for Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	890	3981	The BLOC Québécois? (Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE for women, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)
13	CPSK3A	What Should Be Done for Racial Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3752	1119	How much do you think should be done for RACIAL MINORITIES: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?
14	CPSK3B	Liberals> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3005	1866	What does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want to do for racial minorities? (MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)
15	CPSK3C	Conservatives> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3004	1867	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want to do MUCH MORE, for racial minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)
16	CPSK3D	NDP> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	3004	1867	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE, for racial minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)
17	CPSK3E	Reform> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	2252	2619	The REFORM party? (Does the REFORM party want to do MUCH MORE, for racial minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)
18	CPSK3F	Bloc Quebecois> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	750	4121	The BLOC Québécois? (Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE, for racial minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Group Policy I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSG1	Favour or Oppose Goods and Services Tax	discrete	numeric-1.0	3712	1159	In 1991 the Federal government adopted a new tax on goods and services, the GST. All things considered, are you VERY MUCH IN FAVOUR, SOMEWHAT IN FAVOUR, SOMEWHAT OPPOSED, or VERY MUCH OPPOSED to the GST?
2	CPSG2A	GST is Necessary to Reduce the Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	3514	1357	The GST is necessary to reduce the deficit.
3	CPSG2B	GST is Unfair to Poor People	discrete	numeric-1.0	3596	1275	The GST is unfair to poor people. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE,

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE, or don't you really know?)
4	CPSG3A1	Which Federal Party Supports GST- 1st Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	3770	1101	Do you happen to know which of the FEDERAL parties support the GST?
5	CPSG3A2	Which Federal Party Supports GST - 2nd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	2542	2329	-
6	CPSG3A3	Which Federal Party Supports GST - 3rd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	595	4276	-
7	CPSG3A4	Which Federal Party Supports GST- 4th Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	127	4744	-
8	CPSG3A5	Which Federal Party Supports GST - 5th Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	29	4842	-
9	CPSG3B1	Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 1st Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	3614	1257	And which of the federal parties OPPOSE the GST?
10	CPSG3B2	Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 2nd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	2267	2604	-
11	CPSG3B3	Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 3rd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	790	4081	-
12	CPSG3B4	Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 4th Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	160	4711	-
13	CPSG3B5	Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 5th Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	19	4852	-
14	CPSG4	Canada Can Get By Without GST	discrete	numeric-1.0	3551	1320	Do you think Canada can get by without the GST? (Asked to random half of respondents) / Do you think Canada can get by without a tax like the GST? (Asked to random half of respondents)
15	CPSG5	Canada Should Admit More Immigrants or Fewer	discrete	numeric-1.0	3566	1305	Do you think Canada should admit MORE immigrants or FEWER immigrants than at present?
16	CPSG6A	Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1099	3772	One: abortion should NEVER be permitted, Two: should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor, OR Three: should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE?
17	CPSG6B	Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1296	3575	One: Abortion should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor, Two: should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE OR Three: should NEVER be permitted?
18	CPSG6C	Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1307	3564	One: Abortion should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE, Two: abortion should NEVER be permitted, OR Three: should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor?
19	CPSG7A	Society Would Be Better Off if More Women Stayed Home with Their Children	discrete	numeric-1.0	3673	1198	Society would be better off if more women stayed home with their children. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)
20	CPSG7B	Homosexual Couples Should Be Allowed to Legally Marry	discrete	numeric-1.0	3491	1380	Homosexual couples should be allowed to get legally married. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)
21	CPSG7C	Capital Punishment is Never Justified	discrete	numeric-1.0	3556	1315	Capital punishment is never justified, no matter what the crime. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)
22	CPSG7D	A Woman is More Likely to Be Assaulted By a Male Partner	discrete	numeric-1.0	3506	1365	A woman is more likely to be assaulted by her male partner than by anyone else. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)
23	CPSG7E	Only People Who Are Legally Married Should Have Children	discrete	numeric-1.0	3665	1206	Only people who are legally married should be having children. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)
24	CPSG7F	The Government's Decision to Buy New Helicopters is the Right One	discrete	numeric-1.0	3345	1526	The government's decision to buy new helicopters for the navy is basically the right one. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)
25	CPSG7G	Politicians Are Willing to Say Anything to Get Elected	discrete	numeric-1.0	3735	1136	Politicians are willing to say anything to get elected. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)
26	CPSG7H	Politicians Are No More Corrupt Than Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3616	1255	Politicians are NO MORE corrupt than anybody else. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)
27	CPSG7I	Governments Cannot Do Much to Solve Our Economic Problems	discrete	numeric-1.0	3674	1197	Governments cannot do much to solve our economic problems. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)
28	CPSG8A	Aboriginal People Should Have the Right to Make Their Own Laws or Should Abide By the Same Laws As Other Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	1874	2997	Which comes closest to your own view: One: Aboriginal people should have the right to make their own laws. OR Two: Aboriginal people should abide by the same laws as other Canadians.
29	CPSG8B	Aboriginal People Should Abide By the Same Laws As Other Canadians or Should Have the Right to Make Their Own Laws	discrete	numeric-1.0	1747	3124	Which comes closest to your own view: One: Aboriginal people should abide by the same laws as other Canadians. OR Two: Aboriginal people should have the right to make their own laws.
30	CPSG11	Opinion on Quebec Sovereignty	discrete	numeric-1.0	959	3912	What is your opinion on Quebec sovereignty, that is, Quebec is no longer a part of Canada? Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed.
31	CPSG12	If Quebec Separates From Canada, Your Standard of Living Will:	discrete	numeric-1.0	938	3933	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?
32	CPSG13	Standard of Living Will Get How Much Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	81	4790	A LOT better or only A LITTLE better?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
33	CPSG14	Standard of Living Will Get How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	424	4447	A LOT worse or only A LITTLE worse?
34	CPSG15	Is the French Language Threatened in Quebec	discrete	numeric-1.0	983	3888	In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?

Group National Economic Conditions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSH1	Past Year> Economy of the Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	3703	1168	Would you say that over the PAST YEAR Canada's economy has GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or GOTTEN WORSE?
2	CPSH1A	Canada's Economy> How Much Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	263	4608	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
3	CPSH1B	Canada's Economy> How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	2361	2510	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?
4	CPSH2	Next 12 Months> Economy of the Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	3586	1285	What about the NEXT 12 months? Do you expect Canada's economy to get BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?
5	CPSH3	Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3591	1280	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy BETTER, WORSE, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH DIFFERENCE either way?
6	CPSH3A	Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy> How Much Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	158	4713	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
7	CPSH3B	Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy> How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	1657	3214	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?
8	CPSH4	Unemployment Rate in Canada These Days	discrete	numeric-4.1	3080	1791	What would you say the unemployment rate in CANADA is these days, approximately?
9	CPSH5	Inflation Rate in Canada These Days	discrete	numeric-4.1	2249	2622	What would you say the inflation rate in CANADA is these days, approximately?
10	CPSH6	Federal Government's Deficit	discrete	numeric-3.0	3765	1106	What would you say the federal government's deficit is, approximately?

Group Provincial Economic Conditions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSE1	Past Year>Economic Condition in Province	discrete	numeric-1.0	3718	1153	What about economic conditions in [fill pppp]. Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR economic conditions in [fill pppp] have gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse
2	CPSE1A	Economic Conditions> How Much Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	290	4581	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
3	CPSE1B	Economic Conditions> How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	2506	2365	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?
4	CPSE2	Federal Economic Policies Influence Provincial Economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3552	1319	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made the [fill pppp] economy BETTER, WORSE, or

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH DIFFERENCE either way?
5	CPSE2A	Federal Policies Influence> How Much Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	118	4753	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
6	CPSE2B	Federal Policies Influence> How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	1688	3183	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

Group Placements I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSF1A	How Much Should Be Done to Promote:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3698	1173	CPSF1A question details
2	CPSF1B	Liberals> How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2295	2576	How much does the Federal Liberal party want to do to promote French: / How much does the Federal Liberal party want to do to for Quebec: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
3	CPSF1C	Conservative Party> How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2164	2707	How much does the Federal Conservative party want to do to promote French: / How much does the Federal Conservative party want to do to for Quebec: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
4	CPSF1D	NDP> How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1790	3081	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS to promote French? / Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for Quebec?)
5	CPSF1E	Reform Party>How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1384	3487	The REFORM PARTY? (Does the REFORM PARTY want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS to promote French? / Does the REFORM PARTY want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for Quebec?)
6	CPSF1F	Bloc Quebecois> How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:	discrete	numeric-1.0	720	4151	The BLOC Québécois? (Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS to promote French? / Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for Quebec?)
7	CPSF2A	Do You Think Canada Should Have Closer Ties to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3713	1158	How about Canada's ties with the United States? Should Canada's ties with the United States be MUCH CLOSER, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, MUCH MORE DISTANT or haven't you thought much about this?
8	CPSF2B	Liberals> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	2443	2428	Does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?
9	CPSF2C	Conservative Party> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	2578	2293	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)
10	CPSF2D	NDP> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	2095	2776	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)
11	CPSF2E	Reform> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	1269	3602	The REFORM party? (Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)
12	CPSF2F	Bloc Quebecois> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	504	4367	The BLOC Québécois? (Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)
13	CPSF3A	How Much Should Be Done For Business People	discrete	numeric-1.0	3620	1251	How much do you think should be done for BUSINESS PEOPLE: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?
14	CPSF3B	Liberals> Want to Do For Business People	discrete	numeric-1.0	2265	2606	What does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want to do for business people? Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?
15	CPSF3C	Conservative Party> Want to Do For Business People	discrete	numeric-1.0	2334	2537	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)
16	CPSF3D	NDP> Want to Do For Business People	discrete	numeric-1.0	2058	2813	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)
17	CPSF3E	Reform> Want to Do For Business People	discrete	numeric-1.0	1351	3520	The REFORM party? (Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)
18	CPSF3F	Bloc Quebecois> Want to Do For Business People	discrete	numeric-1.0	467	4404	The BLOC Québécois? (Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)

Group Policy II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSL1	Free Trade Agreement With United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3575	1296	In 1988 Canada signed a Free Trade Agreement with the United States. All things considered, do you support the agreement or do you oppose it?
2	CPSL2A	Agreement is Necessary to Ensure Large Market	discrete	numeric-1.0	3209	1662	The agreement is necessary to make sure we have a large market for our products. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?
3	CPSL2B	Unemployment has Gone Up Because of Agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	3357	1514	Unemployment has gone up BECAUSE of this agreement. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)
4	CPSL3	Free Trade Agreement With US and Mexico	discrete	numeric-1.0	3481	1390	Canada and the United States have reached a NEW trade agreement which includes MEXICO. All things considered, do you support this agreement or do you oppose it?
5	CPSL3A	How Strongly Do You Support or Oppose Agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	3273	1598	How strongly do you support this agreement? (If respondent answered "support" for #cpsl3)/ How strongly do you oppose this agreement? (If respondent answered "oppose" for #cpsl3)? Would you say VERY STRONGLY, SOMEWHAT STRONGLY or NOT VERY STRONGLY?
6	CPSL3B	If You Had to Choose, Would You Support or Oppose Agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	193	4678	If you had to choose would you support or oppose this agreement?
7	CPSL4A	The New Agreement is Necessary to Maintain Market Position	discrete	numeric-1.0	2751	2120	The first is: The NEW agreement is necessary to maintain Canada's position in the United States' market. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?
8	CPSL4B	Unemployment Will Go Up Because of New Agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	3196	1675	Because of the NEW agreement unemployment in Canada will go up. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)
9	CPSL6A	Pay Higher Taxes to Reduce Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	3715	1156	Would you, PERSONALLY, be willing to pay HIGHER taxes to help reduce the deficit?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
10	CPSL6B	Pay Higher Taxes to Maintain Social Programmes	discrete	numeric-1.0	3697	1174	CPSL6B question details
11	CPSL5A	Reduce Deficit or Maintain Social Programmes	discrete	numeric-1.0	1694	3177	On the deficit, which comes closest to your own view? One: We must reduce the deficit even if that means cutting programmes. OR Two: Governments must maintain programmes even if that means continuing to run a deficit.
12	CPSL5B	Maintain Programmes or Reduce Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	1619	3252	On the deficit, which comes closest to your own view? One: Governments must maintain programmes even if that means continuing to run a deficit. OR Two: We must reduce the deficit even if that means cutting programmes.
13	CPSL7A	Cut Spending> Defence	discrete	numeric-1.0	3665	1206	Defence? (Should DEFENCE spending be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
14	CPSL7B	Cut Spending> Welfare	discrete	numeric-1.0	3675	1196	Welfare? (Should Welfare be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
15	CPSL7C	Cut Spending> Pensions and Old Age Security	discrete	numeric-1.0	3737	1134	Pensions and Old Age Security? (Should Pensions be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
16	CPSL7D	Cut Spending> Health Care	discrete	numeric-1.0	3742	1129	Health Care? (Should Health Care be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
17	CPSL7E	Cut Spending> Unemployment Insurance	discrete	numeric-1.0	3686	1185	Unemployment Insurance? (Should Unemployment Insurance be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
18	CPSL7F	Cut Spending> Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	3738	1133	Education? (Should Education be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
19	CPSL7G	Cut Spending> Aid to Developing Countries	discrete	numeric-1.0	3686	1185	Aid to developing countries? (Should Aid to poor countries be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
20	CPSL8A	Conservatives Win> What Happens to Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	3404	1467	Suppose the Conservatives win the election, what do you think will happen to the deficit? Would a Conservative government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?
21	CPSL8B	Liberals Win> What Happens to Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	3385	1486	What if the Liberals win? (Would a Liberal government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)
22	CPSL8C	NDP Win> What Happens to Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	3078	1793	And the NDP? (Would an NDP government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)
23	CPSL8D	Reform Party Win> What Happens to Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	2148	2723	And the Reform party? (Would a Reform government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
24	CPSL8E	Bloc Quebecois Win> What Happen to Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	725	4146	And the Bloc Québécois? (Would a government which included the Bloc make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)
25	CPSL9A	Views: Reduce Unemployment or Control Inflation	discrete	numeric-1.0	1684	3187	As a general rule, the government should: One: Reduce unemployment even if it means higher inflation OR Two: Control inflation even if it means higher unemployment.
26	CPSL9B	Views: Control Inflation or Reduce Unemployment	discrete	numeric-1.0	1618	3253	As a general rule, the government should: One: Control inflation even if it means higher unemployment. OR Two: Reduce unemployment even if it means higher inflation
27	CPSL10A	Views on Government Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	1765	3106	Which comes closer to your own view: One: The government should not provide services to people who can pay for them out of their own pocket. OR Two: We can only be sure everyone's needs are met if the government provides the same services to all.
28	CPSL10B	Views on Government Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	1843	3028	Which comes closer to your own view: One: We can only be sure everyone's needs are met if the government provides the same services to all. OR Two: The government should not provide services to people who can pay for them out of their own pocket.
29	CPSL11A	Views: Health Care System	discrete	numeric-1.0	1767	3104	Which comes closer to your own view: One: If people had to pay a fee each time they go to [fill rc3] there would be less waste in the health care system. OR Two: If people had to pay a fee, [fill rc1] people would not be able to get the health care they need.
30	CPSL11B	Views: Health Care System	discrete	numeric-1.0	1825	3046	Which comes closer to your own view: One: If people had to pay a fee, [fill rc1] people would not be able to get the health care they need. OR Two: If people had to pay a fee each time they go to [fill rc3] there would be less waste in the health care system.

Group Party Identification and Vote History

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSM1	Federal Party Identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	3530	1341	CPSM1 question details
2	CPSM2	How Strongly do You Feel?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2434	2437	How strongly [fill rc3] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
3	CPSM3	Are You a Little Closer to One Federal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	1188	3683	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
4	CPSM4	Which Federal Party Are You Closer To	discrete	numeric-1.0	402	4469	Which party is that?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	CPSM5	Did You Vote in the Last Federal Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3712	1159	Did you vote in the last Federal election in 1988?
6	CPSM6	Party Voted for in Last Federal Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	2707	2164	Which party did you vote for?
7	CPSM7	Provincial Party Identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	3566	1305	CPSM7 question details
8	CPSM8	How Strongly Do You Feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	2309	How strongly [fill rc3] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
9	CPSM9	A Little Closer to One Provincial Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	1052	3819	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the provincial parties than to the others?
10	CPSM10	Which Provincial Party Are You Closer To	discrete	numeric-2.0	273	4598	Which party is that?
11	CPSM11	Did You Vote in the Last Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3727	1144	Did you vote in the last provincial election held in [fill pppp][fill rc1].
12	CPSM12	Party Voted for in Last Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-2.0	2745	2126	Which party did you vote for?
13	CPSM13	If a Provincial Election Were Held Today, Which Party Would You Vote For:	discrete	numeric-2.0	2998	1873	CPSM13 question details
14	CPSM14	Provincial Party Leaning Towards	discrete	numeric-2.0	232	4639	Which party are you leaning toward?
15	CPSM15	Did You Vote on the Constitutional Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	3606	1265	Did you vote on the constitutional referendum on October 26 1992?
16	CPSM16	How Did You Vote on Constitutional Referendum	discrete	numeric-1.0	2484	2387	Did you vote YES, or did you vote NO?

Group Media Use

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSN1	Number of Days in the Past Week Did You Watch the News onTV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3762	1109	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you watch the news on TV
2	CPSN1A	Seen TV Commercials for a Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3123	1748	In the past week, did you see any television commercials for a political party?
3	CPSN2	Number of Days in the Past Week Did You Listen to the News on the Radio	discrete	numeric-1.0	3757	1114	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you listen to the news on the radio?
4	CPSN2A	Heard Radio Commercials for a Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2713	2158	In the past week, did you hear any radio commercials for a political party?
5	CPSN3	Number of Days in the Past Week Did You Read the Newspaper?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3767	1104	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you read a newspaper?
6	CPSN3A	Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	319	4552	What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?
7	CPSN3B	Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	738	4133	What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	CPSN3C	Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	790	4081	What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?
9	CPSN3D	Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	747	4124	What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?
10	CPSN3E	Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	370	4501	What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?
11	CPSN4	Did You See the English TV Debate Among Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	1731	3140	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?
12	CPSN4A	Which Leader Performed Best in the Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	953	3918	In your opinion, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw the English TV debate among party leaders) / From what you have heard, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (All others)
13	CPSN4B	Which Leader Performed Worst in the Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	876	3995	And which leader performed the WORST?
14	CPSN5	Did You See the French TV Debate Among Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	1818	3053	Did you see the FRENCH TV debate among the party leaders?
15	CPSN5A	Which Leader Performed Best in the Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	889	3982	In your opinion, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (Asked only to respondents who saw the French TV debate among party leaders) / From what you have heard, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (All others)
16	CPSN5B	Which Leader Performed Worst in the Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	864	4007	And which leader performed the WORST?
17	CPSN6	In the Past Week Have You Heard or Read Polls About Parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	3743	1128	IN THE PAST WEEK, have you read or heard any polls about how well the parties are doing?
18	CPSN7	Main Source of Information About Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3699	1172	What is your MAIN source of information about the election: TV, radio, newspapers, family, or friends?
19	CPSN8	Which Source is Most Important	discrete	numeric-1.0	1003	3868	And between TV, radio, and newspapers? Which is MOST important?

Group Background

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSAGE	Respondent's Year of Birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	3759	1112	First, in what year were you born?
2	CPSO2	Respondent's Marital Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	3764	1107	Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?
3	CPSO3	Highest Level of Education Completed	discrete	numeric-2.0	2334	2537	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?
4	CPSJOB1	Employment Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	3762	1109	Are you presently working for pay, are you unemployed, retired, a student, or a homemaker?
5	CPSJOB2	Main Income Earner	discrete	numeric-1.0	598	4273	We would like to know if you are the main income earner in your household?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	CPSJOB3	Are You or the Main Wage Earner Self-Employed	discrete	numeric-1.0	3451	1420	CPSJOB3 question details
7	CPSJOB4	Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]	discrete	numeric-4.0	3295	1576	CPSJOB4 question details
8	CPSJOB5	Work for Private Firm, Public Sector, or Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	2562	2309	CPSJOB5 question details
9	CPSJOB5A	Work for Federal or Provincial Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	257	4614	Is that the federal or provincial government?
10	CPSJOB6	Do You or a Household Member Belong to a Union	discrete	numeric-1.0	3400	1471	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?
11	CPSJOB7	Out of Work or Laid Off During the Last Year	discrete	numeric-1.0	2652	2219	CPSJOB7 question details
12	CPSO9	Religious Affiliation	discrete	numeric-2.0	3715	1156	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, another religion, or none?
13	CPSO9A	Church or Denomination	discrete	numeric-2.0	1257	3614	What church or denomination is that?
14	CPSO10	In Your Life, the Importance of God	discrete	numeric-1.0	2287	2584	In your life, would you say God is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?
15	CPSO11	Country of Birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	2330	2541	In what country were you born?
16	CPSO12	Year Came to Live in Canada	discrete	numeric-4.0	306	4565	In what year did you come to live in Canada?
17	CPSO13	Ethnic or Cultural Group	discrete	numeric-2.0	2101	2770	To what ethnic or cultural group did your ancestors belong on coming to this continent? (If respondent was born in Canada or USA)/ To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? (All others)
18	CPSO13A	Importance of Ethnic Background	discrete	numeric-1.0	2308	2563	Is your ethnic background VERY important to you, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT important AT ALL?
19	CPSO14	Language You Usually Speak at Home	discrete	numeric-2.0	2334	2537	What language do you usually speak at home?
20	CPSO15	Language First learned and Still Understood	discrete	numeric-2.0	2332	2539	What is the first language you learned and still understand?
21	CPSO16	Can You Carry on a Conversation in French	discrete	numeric-1.0	2625	2246	Can you carry on a conversation in French?
22	CPSO18	Total Household Income> Thousands	discrete	numeric-3.0	2823	2048	Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, what was your TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME before taxes and other deductions for 1992?
23	CPSO18A	Total Household Income> Category	discrete	numeric-2.0	674	4197	We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...
24	CPSO19	Number of Children Under 18 Year That Live in Your Home	discrete	numeric-1.0	3762	1109	How many children under 18 years live in your home?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
25	CPSO20	Number of Separate Residential Telephone Numbers at Residence	discrete	numeric-1.0	3761	1110	How many SEPARATE RESIDENTIAL telephone NUMBERS do you have in this residence?
26	CPSO21	Number of Phone Numbers for Children, Fax Machines, Computers...	discrete	numeric-1.0	399	4472	How many of these telephone numbers are for children under 18 years of age, for FAX machines, computers etc?
27	CPSPOST	Postal Code [Forward Sortation Area]	discrete	character-3	3771	0	Can you please tell me the first three digits of your postal code?
28	CPSINTER	Interest of Questions	discrete	numeric-1.0	3753	1118	Would you say these questions have been VERY interesting, SOMEWHAT interesting or NOT VERY interesting?
29	CPSLENG	Respondent's Perceived Interview Length	discrete	numeric-2.0	3622	1249	And how many minutes do you think it took to complete this questionnaire?
30	CPSKNOW	Interviewer Rating> Level of Knowledge	discrete	numeric-1.0	3593	1278	-
31	CPSBLISH	Occupation:Respondent> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score	continuous	numeric-6.2	3176	1695	-
32	CPSPINPR	Respondent> Pineo-Porter 1981 Category	discrete	numeric-2.0	3256	1615	-

Group The Vote

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PESA1	Most Important Issue to You in Campaign	discrete	numeric-2.0	2900	1971	In the campaign, what was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY?
2	PESA2	Did You Vote in the Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3336	1535	Did YOU vote in the election?
3	PESA4	Which Party Did You Vote For	discrete	numeric-2.0	2733	2138	PESA4 question details
4	PESA4A	Preference for Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2708	2163	Would you say that your preference for [fill rc3] was VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG or NOT VERY STRONG?
5	PESA4B	When You Decided that You Were Going to Vote	discrete	numeric-1.0	2710	2161	When did you decide that you were going to vote for [fill rc3]? Was it before the campaign began, before the debate, during or just after the debate, in the last two weeks of the campaign, or election day?
6	PESA5A	If You Had Voted, Party You Would Have Voted For	discrete	numeric-2.0	363	4508	PESA5A question details
7	PESA6	Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win in Riding	discrete	numeric-2.0	3074	1797	Thinking back to Election Day, which party did you think would win in YOUR RIDING?
8	PESA7	Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win Second in Riding	discrete	numeric-2.0	2782	2089	Which party did you think would finish second in YOUR RIDING?
9	PESA8	How Close You Thought Election Would be in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	3149	1722	How close did you think the election would be in your riding: VERY CLOSE, FAIRLY CLOSE, NOT VERY CLOSE, or NOT CLOSE AT ALL?
10	PESA9	Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win in Country	discrete	numeric-2.0	3108	1763	And on Election day, which party did you think would win the most seats in THE WHOLE COUNTRY?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	PESA10	Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win Second in Country	discrete	numeric-2.0	2919	1952	Which party did you think would have the second highest number of seats in THE WHOLE COUNTRY?
12	PESA11	Better to Have Majority or Minority Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3217	1654	Do you think it is better to have a MAJORITY government, a MINORITY government or does it make no difference?
13	PESA12	Bloc Quebecois Should be Allowed to Form Official Opposition	discrete	numeric-1.0	3043	1828	Do you think the Bloc Québécois should be allowed to form the official opposition?

Group Interest and Media

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PESB1	Interest in Federal Election Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3335	1536	Would you say that you were VERY interested, FAIRLY interested, NOT very interested, or NOT AT ALL interested in the past federal election campaign?
2	PESB2	Attentioned Paid to TV News About Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3335	1536	How much attention did you pay to the news on TV about the federal election campaign? Would you say, A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?
3	PESB3	Attention Paid to Newspapers About Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3334	1537	How much attention did you pay to articles in the NEWSPAPERS about the election campaign? Would you say, A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?
4	PESB4	Attention Paid to Radio News About Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3337	1534	How much attention did you pay to the news on the RADIO about the election campaign? Would you say, A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?
5	PESB5	Did You See French TV Debate Among Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	3335	1536	Did you see the FRENCH TV debate among the party leaders?
6	PESB5A	Leader Who Performed Best in French Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	1784	3087	In your opinion, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw the French TV debate among party leaders) / From what you have heard, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (All others)
7	PESB5B	Leader Who Performed Worst in French Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	1650	3221	And which leader performed the WORST?
8	PESB6	Did You See English TV Debate Among Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	3330	1541	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?
9	PESB6A	Leader Who Performed Best in English Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	2147	2724	In your opinion, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw the English TV debate among party leaders)/ From what you have heard, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (All others)
10	PESB6B	Leader Who Performed Worst in English Debate	discrete	numeric-2.0	1853	3018	And which leader performed the WORST?

Group Campaign Activities and Contact

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PESC1	During Campaign> Discuss Politics With Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3336	1535	DURING THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN, did you discuss politics with other people?
2	PESC2	During Campaign> Did You Help a Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3339	1532	DURING THE CAMPAIGN did you help a party, for example, by going to a meeting or rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a lawn sign?
3	PESC2A	During Campaign> Which Party Helped	discrete	numeric-2.0	350	4521	Which party or parties was that?
4	PESC2B	During Campaign> Did You Help Any Other Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	356	4515	Any other party?
5	PESC3	During Campaign> Contacted by Candidates	discrete	numeric-1.0	3335	1536	DURING THE CAMPAIGN, were you contacted in person or by telephone by any of the local candidates or party workers in your riding?
6	PESC3A	During Campaign> Party Contacted By-1st Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	1451	3420	Which party were they from?
7	PESC3B	During Campaign> Party Contacted By-2nd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	1436	3435	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone during the campaign?)
8	PESC3C	During Campaign> Party Contacted By-3rd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	578	4293	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone during the campaign?)
9	PESC3D	During Campaign> Party Contacted By-4th Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	187	4684	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone during the campaign?)
10	PESC5	Informed About Issues in the Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3332	1539	Would you say you were VERY WELL informed, FAIRLY WELL informed, NOT VERY WELL informed, or NOT AT ALL informed about the issues in the campaign?

Group Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PESD1A	How Well Informed About> Kim Campbell	discrete	numeric-1.0	3316	1555	First, Kim Campbell. Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell?
2	PESD1B	How Well Informed About> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-1.0	3330	1541	And what about Jean Chretien? Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien?
3	PESD1C	How Well Informed About> Audrey McLaughlin	discrete	numeric-1.0	3318	1553	Audrey McLaughlin? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin?)
4	PESD1D	How Well Informed About> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-1.0	3317	1554	Preston Manning? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning?)
5	PESD1E	How Well Informed About> Lucien Bouchard	discrete	numeric-1.0	3308	1563	Lucien Bouchard? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard?)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	PESD2A	Rating> Kim Campbell	discrete	numeric-3.0	3034	1837	KIM CAMPBELL? (How would you rate KIM CAMPBELL?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
7	PESD2B	Rating> Jean Chretien	discrete	numeric-3.0	3149	1722	JEAN CHRETIEN? (How would you rate JEAN CHRETIEN?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
8	PESD2C	Rating> Audrey McLaughlin	discrete	numeric-3.0	2617	2254	AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN? (How would you rate AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
9	PESD2D	Rating> Preston Manning	discrete	numeric-3.0	2488	2383	PRESTON MANNING? (How would you rate PRESTON MANNING?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
10	PESD2E	Rating> Lucien Bouchard	discrete	numeric-3.0	2371	2500	LUCIEN BOUCHARD? (How would you rate LUCIEN BOUCHARD?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
11	PESD2G	Rating> Federal Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3235	1636	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY? (How would you rate the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
12	PESD2H	Rating> Federal Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3247	1624	The FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY? (How would you rate the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
13	PESD2I	Rating> Federal New Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3144	1727	The FEDERAL NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY? (How would you rate the FEDERAL NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
14	PESD2J	Rating> Reform Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3069	1802	The REFORM PARTY? (How would you rate the REFORM PARTY?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
15	PESD2K	Rating> Bloc Quebecois	discrete	numeric-3.0	3090	1781	The BLOC Québécois? (How would you rate the BLOC Québécois?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
16	PESD4A	How Well Informed About> Conservative Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3314	1557	First, the Conservative candidate. Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the Conservative candidate?
17	PESD4B	How Well Informed About> Liberal Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3316	1555	The Liberal candidate? Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the Liberal candidate?
18	PESD4C	How Well Informed About> NDP Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3304	1567	The NDP candidate? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the NDP candidate?)
19	PESD4D	How Well Informed About> Reform Party Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2449	2422	The Reform Party candidate? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the Reform Party candidate?)
20	PESD4E	How Well Informed About> Bloc Quebecois Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	853	4018	The Bloc Québécois candidate? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about The Bloc Québécois candidate?)
21	PESD5A	Rating> Conservative Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	2158	2713	The CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE? (How would you rate the CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
22	PESD5B	Rating> Liberal Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	2423	2448	The LIBERAL CANDIDATE? (How would you rate the LIBERAL CANDIDATE?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
23	PESD5C	Rating> NDP Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	1635	3236	The NDP CANDIDATE? (How would you rate the NDP CANDIDATE?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
24	PESD5D	Rating> Reform Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	1440	3431	The REFORM CANDIDATE? (How would you rate the REFORM CANDIDATE?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
25	PESD5E	Rating> Bloc Quebecois Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	538	4333	The BLOC Québécois CANDIDATE? (How would you rate THE BLOC Québécois CANDIDATE?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
26	PESD5F	Rating> Provincial Premier	discrete	numeric-3.0	3220	1651	PESD5F question details
27	PESD5G	Rating> Pierre Trudeau	discrete	numeric-3.0	3164	1707	How would you rate PIERRE TRUDEAU? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
28	PESD5H	Rating> Jean Charest	discrete	numeric-3.0	2797	2074	How would you rate JEAN CHAREST? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

Group Policy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PESE1A	Attention Federal Government Gives to Province	discrete	numeric-1.0	3118	1753	In general, has the federal government given MORE, ABOUT THE SAME, or LESS attention to [province in

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							which respondent resides] than to other provinces?
2	PESE2B	Opinion on Quebec Sovereignty	discrete	numeric-1.0	835	4036	What is your opinion on Quebec sovereignty, that is, Quebec is no longer a part of Canada? Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed.
3	PESE4A	Reduce Unemployment or Reduce the Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	1540	3331	As a general rule, the government should: One: Reduce unemployment even if it means the deficit stays high OR Two: Reduce the deficit even if it means unemployment stays high
4	PESE4B	Reduce the Deficit or Reduce Unemployment	discrete	numeric-1.0	1549	3322	As a general rule, the government should: One: Reduce the deficit even if it means unemployment stays high OR Two: Reduce unemployment even if it means the deficit stays high
5	PESE5A	Views on Pensions to Elderly People	discrete	numeric-1.0	1663	3208	Which comes closer to your own view: One: We can only be sure the needs of ALL elderly people are met if the government gives pensions to all. OR Two: The government should not provide pensions to elderly people with above average incomes.
6	PESE5B	Views on Pensions to Elderly People	discrete	numeric-1.0	1588	3283	Which comes closer to your own view: One: The government should NOT provide pensions to elderly people with above average incomes. OR Two: We can only be sure the needs of ALL elderly people are met if the government gives pensions to all.
7	PESE8	The Only Way to Create Jobs is to Eliminate the Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	3138	1733	Could you tell me if you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE with the following statements: The ONLY way to create jobs is to ELIMINATE the deficit. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)
8	PESE9	To Maintain Social Programmes We Must Eliminate the Deficit	discrete	numeric-1.0	3154	1717	To maintain our social programmes, we must ELIMINATE the deficit. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?
9	PESE12	NDP Provincial Government Proves that the NDP Can't Be Trusted to Govern	discrete	numeric-1.0	2856	2015	NDP provincial governments prove that the NDP can't be trusted to govern. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)
10	PESE13	Any Government that Accepts a High Level of Unemployment Deserves to be Defeated	discrete	numeric-1.0	3206	1665	Any government that accepts a high level of unemployment deserves to be defeated. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)
11	PESE15	The Government Should Leave it Entirely to the Private Sector to Create Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3229	1642	The government should leave it ENTIRELY to the private sector to create jobs. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE,

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)
12	PESE15B	We Must Crack Down on Crime, Even it People Lose Their Rights	discrete	numeric-1.0	3112	1759	We must crack down on crime, even if that means people lose their rights. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)
13	PESE16	Jean Chretien Betrayed Quebec in the Constitutional Negotiations	discrete	numeric-1.0	701	4170	Jean Chretien betrayed Quebec in the constitutional negotiations. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)
14	PESE17A	Which Party Promised to Do Away With NAFTA - 1st Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	3335	1536	Do you happen to know which party promised to DO AWAY with the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) ?
15	PESE17B	Which Party Promised to Do Away With NAFTA - 2nd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	2107	2764	-
16	PESE17C	Which Party Promised to Do Away With NAFTA - 3rd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	199	4672	-
17	PESE17D	Which Party Promised to Do Away With NAFTA - 4th Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	23	4848	-
18	PESE18A	Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Three Years - 1st Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	3335	1536	Do you happen to know which party promised to ELIMINATE the deficit in THREE years?
19	PESE18B	Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Three Years - 2nd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	2499	2372	-
20	PESE18C	Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Three Years - 3rd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	48	4823	-
21	PESE18D	Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Three Years - 4th Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	5	4866	-
22	PESE19A	Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Five Years - 1st Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	3333	1538	And do you happen to know which party promised to ELIMINATE the deficit in FIVE years?
23	PESE19B	Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Five Years - 2nd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	2253	2618	-
24	PESE19C	Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Five Years - 3rd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	44	4827	-
25	PESE19D	Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Five Years - 4th Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	2	4869	-
26	PESE20A	Which Party Pomised to Increase Spending on Public Works - 1st Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	3336	1535	Do you happen to know which party promised to increase spending on public works?
27	PESE20B	Which Party Pomised to Increase Spending on Public Works - 2nd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	1849	3022	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
28	PESE20C	Which Party Pomised to Increase Spending on Public Works - 3rd Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	35	4836	-
29	PESE20D	Which Party Pomised to Increase Spending on Public Works - 4th Mention	discrete	numeric-2.0	3	4868	-

Group Collectivities

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PESF1	Rating> How Do You Feel About Canada	discrete	numeric-3.0	3316	1555	How do you feel about CANADA? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
2	PESF2	Rating> How Do You Feel About Quebec	discrete	numeric-3.0	3270	1601	How do you feel about QUEBEC? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
3	PESF4	Rating> How Do You Feel About the United States	discrete	numeric-3.0	3253	1618	How do you feel about the UNITED STATES? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
4	PESF6	Rating> How Do You Feel About Racial Minorities	discrete	numeric-3.0	3155	1716	RACIAL MINORITIES? (How do you feel about racial minorities?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
5	PESF7	Rating> How Do You Feel About Aboriginal Peoples	discrete	numeric-3.0	3227	1644	ABORIGINAL PEOPLES? (How do you feel about Aboriginal Peoples?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Group Leader Traits

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PESG1A	Describe> Campbell> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	2994	1877	(Now what about..) KIM CAMPBELL. Does "ARROGANT" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
2	PESG1B	Describe> Campbell> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2956	1915	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
3	PESG1C	Describe> Campbell> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	2993	1878	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
4	PESG1D	Describe> Campbell> Aggressive	discrete	numeric-1.0	2999	1872	"AGGRESSIVE" (Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
5	PESG2A	Describe> Chretien> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	3099	1772	(Now what about..) JEAN CHRETIEN. Does "ARROGANT" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	PESG2B	Describe> Chretien> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3047	1824	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
7	PESG2C	Describe> Chretien> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	3076	1795	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
8	PESG2D	Describe> Chretien> Aggressive	discrete	numeric-1.0	3106	1765	"AGGRESSIVE" (Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe JEAN CHRETIEN: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
9	PESG3A	Describe> McLaughlin> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	2534	2337	(Now what about..) AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN. Does "ARROGANT" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
10	PESG3B	Describe> McLaughlin> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2488	2383	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY WELL, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
11	PESG3C	Describe> McLaughlin> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	2528	2343	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
12	PESG3D	Describe> McLaughlin> Aggressive	discrete	numeric-1.0	2538	2333	"AGRESSIVE" (Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
13	PESG4A	Describe> Manning> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	2000	2871	(Now what about..) PRESTON MANNING. Does "ARROGANT" describe Preston Manning: VERY well,FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
14	PESG4B	Describe> Manning> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	1923	2948	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
15	PESG4C	Describe> Manning> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	1992	2879	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
16	PESG4D	Describe> Manning> Aggressive	discrete	numeric-1.0	2002	2869	"AGGRESSIVE" (Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
17	PESG5A	Describe> Bouchard> Arrogant	discrete	numeric-1.0	772	4099	(Now what about..) LUCIEN BOUCHARD. Does "ARROGANT" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
18	PESG5B	Describe> Bouchard> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	767	4104	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Lucien

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
19	PESG5C	Describe> Bouchard> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	773	4098	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
20	PESG5D	Describe> Bouchard> Aggressive	discrete	numeric-1.0	769	4102	"AGGRESSIVE" (Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe LUCIEN BOUCHARD: VERY well,FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)

Group Interest Groups

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PESH1	Rating> How Do You Feel About Farmers	discrete	numeric-3.0	3270	1601	How do you feel about FARMERS? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
2	PESH2	Rating> How Do You Feel About People on Welfare	discrete	numeric-3.0	3217	1654	How do you feel about PEOPLE ON WELFARE? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
3	PESH3	Rating> How Do You Feel About Small Business	discrete	numeric-3.0	3296	1575	SMALL BUSINESS? (How do you feel about small business?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
4	PESH4	Rating> How Do You Feel About Labour Unions	discrete	numeric-3.0	3274	1597	LABOUR UNIONS? (How do you feel about labour unions?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
5	PESH5	Rating> How Do You Feel About Old People	discrete	numeric-3.0	3300	1571	OLD PEOPLE? (How do you feel about old people?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
6	PESH6	Rating> How Do You Feel About Big Business	discrete	numeric-3.0	3298	1573	BIG BUSINESS? (How do you feel about big business?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
7	PESH7	Rating> How Do You Feel About Feminists	discrete	numeric-3.0	3202	1669	FEMINISTS? (How do you feel about feminists?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
8	PESH8	Rating> How Do You Feel About the Police	discrete	numeric-3.0	3312	1559	THE POLICE? (How do you feel about the police?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
9	PESH9	Rating> How Do You Feel About Homosexuals	discrete	numeric-3.0	3209	1662	HOMOSEXUALS? (How do you feel about homosexuals?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
10	PESH10	Rating> How Do You Feel About Anti-Abortion Groups	discrete	numeric-3.0	3227	1644	ANTI ABORTION GROUPS? (How do you feel about anti abortion groups?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Group Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PESL1	Federal Party Identification	discrete	numeric-2.0	3244	1627	PESL1 question details
2	PESL2	How Strongly Do You Feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	2430	2441	PESL2 question details
3	PESL3	Are You a Little Closer to One Federal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	823	4048	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
4	PESL4	Which Federal Party Are You Closer To	discrete	numeric-1.0	338	4533	Which party is that?
5	PESL5	Satisfaction with the Way Democracy Works in Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	3275	1596	On the whole, are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?

Group PES Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PESPROV	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3340	1531	-
2	PESCODE	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	3340	1531	-
3	PESDATE	Date of Interview [MMDDYY]	continuous	numeric-6.0	3340	1531	-
4	PESPANEL	RDD Respondent or Panel Respondent	discrete	numeric-1.0	3340	1531	-
5	PESIGEN	Interviewer's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	2865	2006	-
6	PESLANG	Language of Interview	discrete	character-1	3340	0	-
7	PESCOMM	Comments Recorded by Interviewer	discrete	numeric-1.0	3340	1531	-
8	PESINTN	Interviewer's Number [800-899=French]	discrete	numeric-3.0	3340	1531	-
9	PESATEMP	Total Number of Call Attempts	continuous	numeric-2.0	3340	1531	-
10	PESREF	Number of Refusals Before Completion	discrete	numeric-1.0	3340	1531	-
11	PESCONT	Total Times Respondent Contacted	discrete	numeric-1.0	3340	1531	-
12	PESANS	Number of Times Telephone Answered	discrete	numeric-2.0	3340	1531	-
13	PESTIME	Length of Interview	continuous	numeric-2.0	3285	1586	-
14	PESRES	Outcome of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3340	1531	-
15	PESRN2	Question Ordering [pese4a,pese4b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3340	1531	-
16	PESRN3	Question Ordering [pese5a,pese5b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3340	1531	-
17	PESRN12	Question Ordering [pesd2a--pesd2e]	continuous	numeric-2.0	3340	1531	-
18	PESRN13	Question Ordering [pesd2g--pesd2k]	continuous	numeric-2.0	3340	1531	-
19	PESRN14	Question Ordering [pesg1a,g2a,g3a,g4a]	continuous	numeric-2.0	3340	1531	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
20	PESRN18	Question Ordering [pesd5a--pesd5e]	continuous	numeric-2.0	3340	1531	-
21	PESJF2	Timer [pesl1]	continuous	numeric-4.0	3340	1531	-
22	PESRGEN	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3340	1531	-
23	PESAGE	Respondent's Year of Birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	3330	1541	Please tell me in what year were you born?
24	PESINTER	Interest of Questions	discrete	numeric-1.0	3332	1539	Would you say these questions have been VERY interesting, SOMEWHAT interesting or NOT VERY interesting?
25	PESLENG	Respondent's Perceived Interview Length	discrete	numeric-2.0	3256	1615	And how many minutes do you think it took to complete this questionnaire?
26	PESPOST	Postal Code <Forward Sortation Area>	discrete	character-3	2959	0	-
27	PESKNOW	Interviewer Rating> Level of Knowledge	discrete	numeric-1.0	2886	1985	-

Group MBS Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MBSPANEL	CPS RDD Respondent or Panel Respondent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2209	2662	-
2	MBSQLANG	Language of Questionnaire	discrete	numeric-1.0	2209	2662	-
3	MBSPROV	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	2209	2662	-

Group CPS Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CPSPROV	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
2	CPSDAY	Day of Sample Release	continuous	numeric-2.0	3775	1096	-
3	CPSSUBS	Sample Subsets -Replicate	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
4	CPSAREA	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	3775	1096	-
5	CPSDATE	Date of Interview [MMDDYY]	continuous	numeric-6.0	3775	1096	-
6	CPSPANEL	RDD Respondent or Panel Respondent	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
7	CPSIGEN	Interviewer's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3301	1570	-
8	CPSLANG	Language of Interview	discrete	character-1	3775	0	-
9	CPSADULT	Number of Adults in Household	discrete	numeric-2.0	3775	1096	-
10	CPSCOMM	Comments Recorded by Interviewer	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
11	CPSINTN	Interviewer's Number [800-899=French]	discrete	numeric-3.0	3767	1104	-
12	CPSATEMP	Total Number of Call Attempts	continuous	numeric-2.0	3775	1096	-
13	CPSREFUS	Number of Refusals Before Completion	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
14	CPSCONT	Total Times Respondent Contacted	discrete	numeric-2.0	3775	1096	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
15	CPSANS	Number of Times Telephone Answered	continuous	numeric-2.0	3775	1096	-
16	CPSTIME	Length of Interview	continuous	numeric-2.0	3699	1172	-
17	CPSRES	Outcome of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
18	CPSRN1	Question Wording [cpsf1a--cpsf1f]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
19	CPSRN2	Question [cpsl6a,cpsl6b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
20	CPSRN3	Question Wording [cpsl11a,cpsl11b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
21	CPSRN4	Question Wording [cpsl11a,cpsl11b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
22	CPSRN5	Question Wording [cpsg4]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
23	CPSRN6	Question Wording [cpsl6b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
24	CPSRN7	Question Ordering [cpsl5a,cpsl5b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
25	CPSRN8	Question Ordering [cpsf10a,cpsf10b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
26	CPSRN9	Question Ordering [cpsf11a,cpsf11b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
27	CPSRN10	Question Ordering [cpsg6a,cpsg6b,cpsg6c]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
28	CPSRN11	Question Ordering [cpsl7a--l7e,cpsl8a--l8e]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
29	CPSRN12	Question Ordering [cpsd2a--cpsd2e]	continuous	numeric-2.0	3775	1096	-
30	CPSRN13	Question Ordering [cpsd2g--cpsd2k]	continuous	numeric-2.0	3775	1096	-
31	CPSRN14	Question Ordering [cpsi1a,i2a,i3a,i4a]	continuous	numeric-2.0	3775	1096	-
32	CPSRN15	Question Ordering [cpsj1a--d,cpsj2a--d]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
33	CPSRN16	Question Ordering [cpsj1a,j1b,j1c,j1d]	continuous	numeric-2.0	3775	1096	-
34	CPSRN18	Question Ordering [cpsj2a,j2b,j2c,j2d]	continuous	numeric-2.0	3775	1096	-
35	CPSRN19	Question Ordering [cpsl7a--g,cpsl8a--e]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
36	CPSRN20	Question Ordering [cpsl7a--g,cpsl8a--e]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
37	CPSRN21	Question Ordering [cpsl9a,cpsl9b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-
38	CPSJF1	Timer [cpsa3]	continuous	numeric-5.0	3775	1096	-
39	CPSJF2	Timer [cpsm1]	continuous	numeric-4.0	3775	1096	-
40	CPSRGEN	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3775	1096	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 872 variable(s)

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

IDNUM: Respondent Identification Number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100004-290522] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=206186.612 /-] [StdDev=52394.634 /-]
Universe	All respondents

REFPROV: Province of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nfld	51	2.0%
1	Pei	67	2.6%
2	N.S.	64	2.5%
3	N.B.	53	2.1%
4	Quebec	1001	39.6%
5	Ontario	563	22.3%
6	Manitoba	124	4.9%
7	Sask.	101	4.0%
8	Alberta	236	9.3%
9	B.C.	270	10.7%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFDAY: Daily Sample

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-32] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-] [Mean=16.039 /-] [StdDev=9.024 /-]
Universe	All respondents

REFSUBS: Sample Replicate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		649	25.7%
2		623	24.6%
3		645	25.5%
4		541	21.4%
5		72	2.8%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFAREA: Telephone Area Code

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 204-902] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-] [Mean=526.525 /-] [StdDev=167.185 /-]
Universe	All respondents

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFDATE: Date of Interview [MMDDYY]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 92492-102592] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-] [Mean=99858.126 /-] [StdDev=3363.401 /-]
Universe	All respondents

REFLANG: Language of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E	English	1612	63.7%
F	French	918	36.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFINTN: Interviewer's Number

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		91	3.6%
4		61	2.4%
8		101	4.0%
9		96	3.8%
10		37	1.5%
12		40	1.6%
14		66	2.6%
16		20	0.8%
17		39	1.5%
19		39	1.5%
35		29	1.1%
41		78	3.1%
66		117	4.6%
70		67	2.6%
81		195	7.7%
84		60	2.4%
86		51	2.0%
101		97	3.8%
108		69	2.7%
109		32	1.3%
114		72	2.8%
121		94	3.7%
123		80	3.2%
124		23	0.9%
126		99	3.9%
127		60	2.4%

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFINTN: Interviewer's Number

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
132		28	1.1%
140		259	10.2%
146		57	2.3%
209		164	6.5%
226		56	2.2%
239		64	2.5%
999	Supervisor	89	3.5%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFATEMP: Total Number of Call Attempts

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-30] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-] [Mean=3.783 /-] [StdDev=3.551 /-]
Universe	All respondents

REFREFUS: Number of Refusals Before Completion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2419	95.6%
1		110	4.3%
2		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFCONT: Total Times Respondent Contacted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2102	83.1%
2		320	12.6%
3		88	3.5%
4		15	0.6%
5		4	0.2%
8		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFANS: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-28] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-] [Mean=2.482 /-] [StdDev=2.474 /-]
Universe	All respondents

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFRES: Result Code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	2530	100.0%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFRN2: Question Ordering

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1971 /-] [Invalid=2900 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		996	50.5%
2		975	49.5%
Sysmiss		2900	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFRN3: Question Wording [refe11]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1249	49.4%
2	Inreturn for...	1281	50.6%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFRN4: Question Ordering

| Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		933	36.9%
2		945	37.4%
3		652	25.8%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFRN5: Question Wording [refe11]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFRN5: Question Wording [refe11]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1293	51.1%
2	Nolonger part of	1237	48.9%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFRN7: Question Wording [refe11]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1338	52.9%
2	Regardlessof pop	1192	47.1%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFRN8: Question Ordering

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1248	49.3%
2		1282	50.7%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFRN9: Question Ordering

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1259	49.8%
2		1271	50.2%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFRN11: Question Ordering

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1299	51.3%
2		1231	48.7%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFRN14: Question Wording [refe6, refe7, refe8]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		900		35.6%
2		961		38.0%
3		669		26.4%
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFJF1: Timer [refc2e,refc2f]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5591] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2526 /-] [Invalid=2345 /-] [Mean=318.336 /-] [StdDev=454.853 /-]
Universe	All respondents

REFJF2: Timer [refi1c]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-7245] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2529 /-] [Invalid=2342 /-] [Mean=343.104 /-] [StdDev=511.111 /-]
Universe	All respondents

REFJF3: Timer [ref14c]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5-7145] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-] [Mean=271.728 /-] [StdDev=385.973 /-]
Universe	All respondents

REFADULT: Number of Adults in Household

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		705		27.9%
2		1392		55.0%
3		296		11.7%
4		97		3.8%
5		28		1.1%
6		9		0.4%
7		1		0.0%
9		1		0.0%
10		1		0.0%
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFCOMM: Comments Recorded by Interviewer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFCOMM: Comments Recorded by Interviewer

Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	197	7.8%
5	No	2333	92.2%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFRGEN: Respondent's Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1234	48.8%
5	Female	1296	51.2%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFINT1: Interest in the Referendum Campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2470 /-] [Invalid=2401 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say you are VERY INTERESTED, FAIRLY INTERESTED, NOT VERY INTERESTED, or NOT AT ALL interested in the referendum campaign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	746	30.2%
3	Fairly	1087	44.0%
5	Not very	423	17.1%
7	Not at all	214	8.7%
8	D.K.	55	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFA1: Informed About Constitutional Agreement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2499 /-] [Invalid=2372 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say you are VERY WELL informed, FAIRLY WELL informed, NOT VERY WELL informed, or NOT AT ALL informed about the constitutional agreement?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very well	181	7.2%
3	Fairly well	928	37.1%
5	Not very well	1079	43.2%
7	Not at all	311	12.4%
8	D.K.	28	

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFA1: Informed About Constitutional Agreement

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFA2: Number of Days Watched News on TV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2519 /-] [Invalid=2352 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you watch the NEWS on T.V.?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they do not watch TV, go to #refa4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If you are asked, THE CANADIAN NEWS.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		337	13.4%
1		171	6.8%
2		257	10.2%
3		291	11.6%
4		204	8.1%
5		192	7.6%
6		68	2.7%
7		983	39.0%
8	Do not watch tv	16	0.6%
98	D.K.	11	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFA3: In the Past Week, TV Commercials Seen for the Yes or No Side

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2470 /-] [Invalid=2401 /-]
Universe	Not asked to respondents who answered that they do not watch TV for #refa2.
Literal question	In the past week, did you see any TV commercials for the Yes or No side?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1830	74.1%
5	No & not watch	640	25.9%
8	D.K.	44	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2357	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFA4: In the Past Week, Radio Commercials Heard for the Yes or No Side

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2494 /-] [Invalid=2377 /-]
Universe	All respondents

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFA4: In the Past Week, Radio Commercials Heard for the Yes or No Side

Literal question	In the past week, did you hear any radio commercials for the Yes or No side?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1263	50.6%
5	No & not listen	1231	49.4%
8	D.K.	36	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFA5: Number of Days Read a Daily Newspaper in the Past Week

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2519 /-] [Invalid=2352 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you read a daily newspaper?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		598	23.7%
1		304	12.1%
2		287	11.4%
3		204	8.1%
4		121	4.8%
5		117	4.6%
6		106	4.2%
7		782	31.0%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFA6: In the Past Week Seen, Read, or Heard About Polls on the Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2507 /-] [Invalid=2364 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	IN THE PAST WEEK, have you seen, read, or heard about polls on the referendum?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1799	71.8%
5	No	708	28.2%
8	D.K.	23	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFC1: Likeliness to Vote in the Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2476 /-] [Invalid=2395 /-]
Universe	All respondents

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFC1: Likeliness to Vote in the Referendum

Literal question	Are you VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY to vote in the referendum?
Post-question	If respondent refuses to answer, go to #refc3.
Notes	A random 50% of the respondents were asked this section after item refa6. The other 50% were asked this section after completing Section H: Community Identification. Code at item refch20 managed this experiment.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	1875	75.7%
2	Somewhat likely	350	14.1%
3	Somewhat unlikely	105	4.2%
4	Very unlikely	122	4.9%
6	Will not vote	20	0.8%
7	Will spoilballot	4	0.2%
8	D.K.	50	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFC2C: Do You Think You Will Vote Yes or No

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1060 /-] [Invalid=3811 /-]
Universe	There are two versions of the voting intention item, refc2a and refc2d. A random 50% of the respondents were asked version refc2a. A random 50% were asked version refc2d. Code at item refch4 controlled delivery of the two versions. Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #refc1.
Pre-question	INTERVIEWER: The next question is a response time item. You will be asked to read the question, then enter g as you finish reading the last word of the question. When the respondent gives you a substantive response, enter g again. After entering the second g the response categories will be displayed.
Literal question	The referendum question asks, "Do you agree that the constitution of Canada should be renewed on the basis of the agreement reached on August 28th, 1992." If you vote, do/ Do you think you will vote YES or NO?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", go to #refc3.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION! INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS TO ANSWER.
Notes	A random 50% of the respondents were asked this section after item refa6. The other 50% were asked this section after completing Section H: Community Identification. Code at item refch20 managed this experiment. The wording of item refc2a was modified depending on the respondent's answer to item refc1. Respondents who indicated they were "Very" or "Somewhat likely" to vote in the referendum were asked "Do you think you will vote YES or NO" after the preamble. Respondents who gave any other answer to item refc1 (except for a complete refusal) were asked "If you do vote, do you think you will vote YES or NO?" A response time experiment was performed at item refc2a. The elapsed time between the interviewer reading the last word of the question and the respondent beginning to answer was measured in 100ths of a second increments. Item refch90 contains instructions to the interviewer on the procedure to follow for the response time experiment.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	483	45.6%
5	No	566	53.4%
7	Spoil ballot	11	1.0%
8	D.K.	426	
9	Refused	67	
Sysmiss		3318	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFC2F: Do You Think You Will Vote Yes or No

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=640 /-] [Invalid=4231 /-]
Universe	There are two versions of the voting intention item, refc2a and refc2d. A random 50% of the respondents were asked version refc2a. A random 50% were asked version refc2d. Code at item refch4 controlled delivery of the two versions. Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #refc1.
Literal question	If you vote do/ Do you think you will vote YES or NO?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", go to #refc3.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION!INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS TO ANSWER.
Notes	A random 50% of the respondents were asked this section after item refa6. The other 50% were asked this section after completing Section H: Community Identification. Code at item refch20 managed this experiment. The wording of item refc2d was modified depending on the respondent's answer to item refc1. Respondents who indicated they were "Very" or "Somewhat likely" to vote in the referendum were asked "Do you think you will vote YES or NO". Respondents who gave any other answer to item refc1 (except for a complete refusal) were asked "If you do vote, do you think you will vote YES or NO?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	250		39.1%
5	No	384		60.0%
7	Spoil ballot	6	0.9%	
8	D.K.	279		
9	Refused	54		
Sysmiss		3898		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFC3: Which Way Are You Leaning: Yes or No

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=341 /-] [Invalid=4530 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "don't know" for #refc2c/#refc2f.
Literal question	Which way are you leaning: YES or NO?
Post-question	Go to #refc5.
Notes	A random 50% of the respondents were asked this section after item refa6. The other 50% were asked this section after completing Section H: Community Identification. Code at item refch20 managed this experiment.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	173		50.7%
5	No	166		48.7%
7	Spoil ballot	2	0.6%	
8	D.K.	359		
9	Refused	9		
Sysmiss		4162		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFC4: Is This Your Final Decision

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1791 /-] [Invalid=3080 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know" for #refc2c/#refc2f.
Literal question	Is your decision final, or could you change your mind?

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFC4: Is This Your Final Decision

Notes	A random 50% of the respondents were asked this section after item refa6. The other 50% were asked this section after completing Section H: Community Identification. Code at item refch20 managed this experiment.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes,final	1194	66.7%
5	May change	597	33.3%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		3050	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFC5: Satisfaction with How Agreement Reached

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2122 /-] [Invalid=2749 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Are you VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED, or VERY DISSATISFIED with HOW the agreement was reached?
Notes	A random 50% of the respondents were asked this section after item refa6. The other 50% were asked this section after completing Section H: Community Identification. Code at item refch20 managed this experiment. Code at refch22 ensured that the 50% of respondents who received Section C: after Section H: were routed to Section I: after completing Section C:.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	115	5.4%
3	Somewhat satisfy	711	33.5%
5	Somewhat dissatisfy	670	31.6%
7	Very dissatisfy	626	29.5%
8	D.K.	384	
9	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD1: No Agreement Will Satisfy Quebec

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1401 /-] [Invalid=3470 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements. No agreement will satisfy Quebec; they will always ask for more.
Post-question	Go to #refd3
Interviewer's instructions	If required: Do you agree or disagree?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	974	69.5%
5	Disagree	427	30.5%
8	D.K./depends/qualify	125	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFD2: Agreement Will Reduce Quebec to Province

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=800 /-] [Invalid=4071 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements. The AGREEMENT will reduce Quebec to the status of a province like the others.
Post-question	Code at item refch95 ensured that the 50% of respondents who were asked refd3 refd4 refd5 refd1 refd2 were routed to refd6 after completing refd2.
Interviewer's instructions	If required: Do you agree or disagree?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Agree	405		50.6%
5	Disagree	395		49.4%
8	D.K./depends/qualify	190		
9	Refused	11		
Sysmiss		3870		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD3: Agreement is the Best Compromise We Can Get

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2040 /-] [Invalid=2831 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements. The agreement IS THE BEST compromise we can get under the circumstances.
Interviewer's instructions	If required: Do you agree or disagree?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Agree	842		41.3%
5	Disagree	1198		58.7%
8	D.K./depends/qualify	467		
9	Refused	23		
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD4: Agreement Will Allow Us to Move on to Other Problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2199 /-] [Invalid=2672 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The agreement will allow us to move on to other problems, like the economy.
Interviewer's instructions	If required: Do you agree or disagree?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Agree	1299		59.1%
5	Disagree	900		40.9%
8	D.K./depends/qualify	321		
9	Refused	10		
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFD5: Voting No to Agreement Means Saying Yes to Quebec's Independence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2164 /-] [Invalid=2707 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Voting NO to this agreement means saying YES to Quebec's independence.
Post-question	Code at item ch96 ensured that the 50% of respondents who were asked refd3 refd4 refd5 refd1 refd2 were routed to refd1 after completing refd5.
Interviewer's instructions	If required: Do you agree or disagree?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	653	30.2%
5	Disagree	1511	69.8%
8	D.K./depends/qualify	344	
9	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD6: In the Agreement, is Quebec a Winner or Loser

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1995 /-] [Invalid=2876 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the agreement, is Quebec a WINNER or a LOSER?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Winner	1060	53.1%
3	Neither	246	12.3%
5	Loser	689	34.5%
8	D.K.	522	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD7: Winner or Loser> Province

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1169 /-] [Invalid=3702 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	In the agreement, is [province in wich respondent resides] a WINNER or a LOSER?
Notes	The wording of item refd7 was modified to fill the respondent's home province.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Winner	442	37.8%
3	Neither	157	13.4%
5	Loser	570	48.8%
8	D.K.	358	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final

REFD8: Winner or Loser> Federal Government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1949 /-] [Invalid=2922 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the agreement is the federal government a winner or a loser?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Winner	1096	56.2%
3	Neither	163	8.4%
5	Loser	690	35.4%
8	D.K.	566	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD9: Winner or Loser> Aboriginal Peoples

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1847 /-] [Invalid=3024 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Aboriginal peoples? In the agreement, are they Winners or Losers?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Winners	1244	67.4%
3	Neither	120	6.5%
5	Losers	483	26.2%
8	D.K.	674	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD10: In Agreement: Winner or Loser> Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1559 /-] [Invalid=3312 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Women? In the agreement, are they Winners or Losers?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Winners	587		37.7%
3	Neither	312		20.0%
5	Losers	660		42.3%
8	D.K.	961		
9	Refused	10		
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD11: In Agreement: Winner or Loser> The West

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1679 /-] [Invalid=3192 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The West In the agreement, is it a Winner or a Loser?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Winner	729		43.4%
3	Neither	214		12.7%
5	Loser	736		43.8%
8	D.K.	839		
9	Refused	12		
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD12: Meech Lake Accord> For or Against

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1690 /-] [Invalid=3181 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What about the Meech Lake Accord? At the time, were you for or against it?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	For	651		38.5%
5	Against	1039		61.5%
8	D.K.	821		
9	Refused	19		
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD13: Constitutional Agreement vs. Meech Lake

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1937 /-] [Invalid=2934 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In your opinion, is this constitutional agreement better than the Meech Lake Accord, worse or not much different?

REFD13: Constitutional Agreement vs. Meech Lake

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	484	25.0%
3	Worse	302	15.6%
5	Not much different	1151	59.4%
8	D.K./depends/qualify	580	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD14: Vote For/Against Sovereignty in 1980 Quebec Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=894 /-] [Invalid=3977 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	In the 1980 Quebec referendum on sovereignty association did you vote YES (for sovereignty), NO (against sovereignty), or did you not vote?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes<for>	274	30.6%
5	No<against>	258	28.9%
6	Did not vote/spoiled	233	26.1%
7	Not eligible	129	14.4%
8	D.K.	80	
9	Refused	27	
Sysmiss		3870	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD15: Did You See TV Debate Between Bourassa and Parizeau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=436 /-] [Invalid=4435 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Not asked before October 13.
Literal question	Did you see the TV debate between Robert Bourassa and Jacques Parizeau?
Post-question	If respondent refuses to answer, go to #refe1.
Notes	Code at item refd15 ensured that respondents were not asked items refd15 and refd16 until after the debate had taken place.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	273	62.6%
5	No	163	37.4%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4434	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD16: Who Performed Best in the Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=382 /-] [Invalid=4489 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #refd15.
Literal question	Who/ From what you have heard, who performed the BEST in that debate: Robert Bourassa or Jacques Parizeau?

REFD16: Who Performed Best in the Debate

Post-question	Go to #refe1.
Notes	Code at item refd15 ensured that respondents were not asked items refd15 and refd16 until after the debate had taken place. There are two versions of item refd16, depending on the respondent's answer to refd15. Those who had seen the debate were asked "who performed the BEST in that debate...". Respondents who had not seen the debate were asked "From what you have heard, who performed the BEST in that debate..."

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Bourassa	216		56.5%
5	Parizeau	66		17.3%
7	Both/neither/tie	100		26.2%
8	D.K.	52		
9	Refused	2		
Sysmiss		4435		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD17: Have You Seen TV Debate Among Premiers/Party Leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=787 /-] [Invalid=4084 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #refd15. Not asked before October 10.
Literal question	Have you seen a TV debate among Premiers or party leaders on the constitutional agreement?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #refd18. All others go to #refe1.
Notes	Code at item refd17 ensured that respondents were not asked the question until after debates had taken place.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	254		32.3%
5	No	533		67.7%
8	D.K.	5		
9	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		4079		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD18: Who Took Part in TV Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=*/9999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=256 /-] [Invalid=4615 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #refd17.
Literal question	Who took part in the debate?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter all information given by respondent. .

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Response given	195		76.2%
9998	D.K./notremember	61		23.8%
9999	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		4615		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFD19: Which Side Performed Best in TV Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=181 /-] [Invalid=4690 /-]

REFD19: Which Side Performed Best in TV Debate

Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #refd17.		
Literal question	Which side performed best in the debate, the yes side or the no side?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes side	97	53.6%
5	No side	84	46.4%
8	D.K.	72	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4617	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE1: Preference>Senate as it is Now, Senate as Proposed, Do Away with Senate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=732 /-] [Invalid=4139 /-]
Universe	A random 1/3 of the respondents were asked #refe1, a random 1/3 were asked #refe2, and a random 1/3 were asked #refe3.
Literal question	Which of the following do you prefer? ...The Senate AS IT IS NOW, ...the Senate AS PROPOSED in the constitutional agreement, ...OR DOING AWAY with the Senate altogether?
Post-question	Go to #refe4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	As now	110	15.0%
3	As proposed	174	23.8%
5	Doing awaywithit	448	61.2%
8	D.K.	197	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		3938	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE2: Preference>Senate as Proposed, Do Away with Senate, Senate as it is Now

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=732 /-] [Invalid=4139 /-]
Universe	A random 1/3 of the respondents were asked #refe1, a random 1/3 were asked #refe2, and a random 1/3 were asked #refe3.
Literal question	Which of the following do you prefer? ...The Senate AS PROPOSED in the constitutional agreement, ...OR DOING AWAY with the Senate altogether, ...OR The Senate AS IT IS NOW?
Post-question	Go to #refe4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	As proposed	162	22.1%
3	Doing awaywithit	361	49.3%
5	As now	209	28.6%
8	D.K.	206	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		3926	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE3: Preference> Do Away with Senate, Senate as it is Now, Senate as Proposed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=493 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	A random 1/3 of the respondents were asked #refe1, a random 1/3 were asked #refe2, and a random 1/3 were asked #refe3.

REFE3: Preference> Do Away with Senate, Senate as it is Now, Senate as Proposed

Literal question	Which of the following do you prefer? ...DOING AWAY with the Senate altogether, ...OR the Senate AS IT IS NOW, ...OR the Senate AS PROPOSED in the constitutional agreement ?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doing awaywithit	237	48.1%
3	As now	100	20.3%
5	As proposed	156	31.6%
8	D.K.	153	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		4219	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE4: Should Each Province Have an Equal Number of Senators

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2334 /-] [Invalid=2537 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If you had to choose, should each province HAVE AN EQUAL NUMBER of Senators or should BIGGER provinces have MORE Senators?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #refe6.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Even if "R" wants to abolish the Senate, She/He MAY have an opinion on these items. DO NOT enter 7 unless told by Respondent. BIGGER MEANS PROVINCES WITH THE MOST PEOPLE.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Equal number	1201	51.5%
5	Bigger= more	1036	44.4%
7	Abolish senate	97	4.2%
8	D.K.	190	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE5: Agreement Gives Senate Amount of Power

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1708 /-] [Invalid=3163 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #refe4.
Literal question	Does the agreement give the Senate TOO MUCH, TOO LITTLE, or about the RIGHT AMOUNT OF POWER?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Even if "R" wants to abolish the Senate, She/He MAY have an opinion on these items. DO NOT enter 7 unless told by Respondent.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much	634	37.1%
3	Too little	338	19.8%
5	Right amount	684	40.0%
7	Abolish senate	52	3.0%
8	D.K.	617	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2537	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE6: Should Women be Guaranteed Seats in Senate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=983 /-] [Invalid=3888 /-]
Universe	A random 1/3 of the respondents were asked #refe6, a random 1/3 were asked #refe7, and a random 1/3 were asked #refe8.
Literal question	Should women be guaranteed seats in the Senate?
Post-question	Go to #refe9.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Even if "R" wants to abolish the Senate, She/He MAY have an opinion on these items. DO NOT enter 7 unless told by Respondent.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	632	64.3%
5	No	339	34.5%
7	Abolish senate	12	1.2%
8	D.K.	59	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3827	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE7: Should Women be Guaranteed Half of the Seats in Senate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=838 /-] [Invalid=4033 /-]
Universe	A random 1/3 of the respondents were asked #refe6, a random 1/3 were asked #refe7, and a random 1/3 were asked #refe8.
Literal question	Should women be guaranteed half the seats in the Senate?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Even if "R" wants to abolish the Senate, She/He MAY have an opinion on these items. DO NOT enter 7 unless told by Respondent.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	405	48.3%
5	No	421	50.2%
7	Abolish senate	12	1.4%
8	D.K.	41	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3989	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE8: Should Aboriginal Peoples be Guaranteed Seats in Senate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=560 /-] [Invalid=4311 /-]
Universe	A random 1/3 of the respondents were asked #refe6, a random 1/3 were asked #refe7, and a random 1/3 were asked #refe8.
Literal question	Should Aboriginal peoples be guaranteed seats in the Senate?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Even if "R" wants to abolish the Senate, She/He MAY have an opinion on these items. DO NOT enter 7 unless told by Respondent.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	396	70.7%
5	No	151	27.0%
7	Abolish senate	13	2.3%
8	D.K.	40	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		4267	

REFE8: Should Aboriginal Peoples be Guaranteed Seats in Senate

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE9: Proposal to Recognize Aboriginal Peoples to Govern Themselves

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2265 /-] [Invalid=2606 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the PROPOSAL to recognize the right of Canada's Aboriginal peoples to govern themselves?
Post-question	Go to #refe10 if not already asked. Otherwise go to #refe11.
Notes	A random half of the respondents were asked #refe9 then #refe10, and a random half were asked #refe10, then #refe9. Code at #refch94, #refch98 and #refch99 implemented this order experiment.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	1451	64.1%
5	Disagree	814	35.9%
8	D.K.	257	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE10: Proposal to Recognize Quebec as a Distinct Society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1387 /-] [Invalid=3484 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the PROPOSAL to recognize Quebec as a DISTINCT SOCIETY?
Post-question	Go to #refe9 if not already asked. Otherwise go to #refe11.
Notes	A random half of the respondents were asked #refe9 then #refe10, and a random half were asked #refe10, then #refe9. Code at #refch94, #refch98 and #refch99 implemented this order experiment.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	785	56.6%
5	Disagree	602	43.4%
8	D.K.	166	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		3313	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE11: Proposal to Recognize Quebec One Quarter of the Seats in the House of Commons

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2228 /-] [Invalid=2643 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	[fill rc1] Quebec has been guaranteed one quarter of the seats in the House of Commons[fill rc2].
Notes	There were four different versions of item refe11. When refrn3 equalled 1, rc1 was blank. When refrn3 equalled 2 rc1 was filled with the phrase "In return for losing most of its Senate seats,". When refrn7 equalled 1, rc2 was blank. When refrn7 equalled 2 rc2 was filled with the phrase ", regardless of its population."

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	714	32.0%
5	Disagree	1514	68.0%

REFE11: Proposal to Recognize Quebec One Quarter of the Seats in the House of Commons

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	294	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE12: Is the French Language Threatened in Quebec

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=971 /-] [Invalid=3900 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	536	55.2%
5	No, not threatened	435	44.8%
8	D.K.	29	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3870	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFE13: With This Agreement Will it be Easier for the Courts to Strike Down Quebec's Language Laws

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=615 /-] [Invalid=4256 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	With this agreement will it be easier for the courts to strike down Quebec's language laws?
Notes	The response "don't know" INCLUDES don't know about Quebec's language law.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	324	52.7%
5	No	291	47.3%
8	D.K.	380	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		3870	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF1: Likelihood that Yes Side Will Win> Province

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2154 /-] [Invalid=2717 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In [fill rc3], is it VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY or, VERY UNLIKELY that the YES side will win?
Notes	The wording of #reff1 was modified to fill the respondent's home province in the space [fill rc3].

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	365	16.9%
3	Somewhat likely	631	29.3%
5	Somewhat unlikely	565	26.2%
7	Very unlikely	593	27.5%
8	D.K.	366	

REFF1: Likelihood that Yes Side Will Win> Province

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF2: Likelihood that Yes Side Will Win> Quebec

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1286 /-] [Invalid=3585 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	What about Quebec? (Is it VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY that the YES side will win?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	212	16.5%
3	Somewhat likely	366	28.5%
5	Somewhat unlikely	365	28.4%
7	Very unlikely	343	26.7%
8	D.K.	240	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF3: Likelihood that Yes Side Will Win> Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2179 /-] [Invalid=2692 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In Canada as a whole? (Is it VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, VERY UNLIKELY that the YES side will win?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	396	18.2%
3	Somewhat likely	868	39.8%
5	Somewhat unlikely	585	26.8%
7	Very unlikely	330	15.1%
8	D.K.	341	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF4: Is Agreement is Approved, Will Constitutional Question be Settled?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2304 /-] [Invalid=2567 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If the agreement is approved, do you think the constitutional question will be settled?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	376	16.3%
5	No	1928	83.7%
8	D.K.	223	
9	Refused	3	

REFF4: Is Agreement is Approved, Will Constitutional Question be Settled?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF6: If the No Side Wins in Quebec and Canada> Will the Constitutional Question Disappear

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2193 /-] [Invalid=2678 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	In your opinion what will happen if the NO side wins in BOTH Quebec AND the rest of Canada.
Literal question	Will the constitutional question simply disappear? (if the NO side wins in BOTH Quebec AND the rest of Canada)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	352	16.1%
5	No	1841	83.9%
8	D.K.	332	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF7: If the No Side Wins in Quebec and Canada> Will Quebec Seperate from Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2144 /-] [Invalid=2727 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Will Quebec separate from Canada? (if the NO side wins in both Quebec and the rest of Canada)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	659	30.7%
5	No	1485	69.3%
8	D.K.	379	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF9: If Quebec Votes No and Rest of Canada Votes Yes> Will the Constitutional Question Disappear

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2109 /-] [Invalid=2762 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Now, what will happen if QUEBEC votes NO BUT the rest of Canada votes YES?
Literal question	Will the constitutional question simply disappear? (if QUEBEC votes NO and the rest of Canada votes YES?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	351	16.6%
5	No	1758	83.4%
8	D.K.	416	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF10: If Quebec Votes No and Rest of Canada Votes Yes> Will Quebec Seperate from Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

REFF10: If Quebec Votes No and Rest of Canada Votes Yes> Will Quebec Seperate from Canada

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2109 /-] [Invalid=2762 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Will Quebec separate from Canada? (if QUEBEC votes NO and the rest of Canada votes YES?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	652	30.9%
5	No	1457	69.1%
8	D.K.	415	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF11: Opinion on Quebec Sovereignty

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=918 /-] [Invalid=3953 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	"What is your opinion of Quebec sovereignty?"(Asked to a random half of respondents.)"What is your opinion of Quebec sovereignty, that is Quebec is no longer a part of Canada?"(Asked to random half of respondents.)
Post-question	Go to #reff13.
Notes	There were two version of item reff11.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Neither	39	4.2%
1	Very favourable	159	17.3%
3	Somewhat favourable	263	28.6%
5	Somewhat opposed	193	21.0%
7	Very opposed	264	28.8%
8	D.K.	68	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		3870	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF12: Opinion on Quebec Separation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1477 /-] [Invalid=3394 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is your opinion on Quebec separation? Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Neither	78	5.3%
1	Very favourable	82	5.6%
3	Somewhat favourable	158	10.7%
5	Somewhat opposed	418	28.3%
7	Very opposed	741	50.2%
8	D.K.	50	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF13: If Quebec Seperates from Canada> Likeliness of Canada Forming an Economic Association with Quebec

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2257 /-] [Invalid=2614 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If Quebec separates from Canada, how likely is it that Canada will form an economic association with Quebec? (Is it VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Neither	16	0.7%
1	Very likely	733	32.5%
3	Somewhat likely	854	37.8%
5	Somewhat unlikely	355	15.7%
7	Very unlikely	299	13.2%
8	D.K.	268	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF14: If Quebec Seperates from Canada> Your Standard of Living

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2380 /-] [Invalid=2491 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent answers "get better", go to #reff15. If respondent answers "get worse", got to #reff16. All others go to #refg1a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	189	7.9%
3	Get worse	802	33.7%
5	Stay the same	1389	58.4%
8	D.K.	144	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF15: If Quebec Seperates from Canada> Your Standard of Living Will be How Much Better

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=180 /-] [Invalid=4691 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "get better" for #ref14.
Literal question	A LOT better or only A LITTLE better?
Post-question	Go to #refg1a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	70	38.9%
5	A little	110	61.1%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4682	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFF16: If Quebec Seperates from Canada> Your Standard of Living Will be How Much Worse

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=772 /-] [Invalid=4099 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "get worse" for #ref14.
Literal question	A LOT worse or only A LITTLE worse?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	374	48.4%
5	A little	398	51.6%
8	D.K.	30	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4069	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG1A: Public Position> Pierre Trudeau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2144 /-] [Invalid=2727 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	I'm going to name SOME people and groups who MIGHT take a public position on the Constitutional agreement. For each can you tell me AS FAR AS YOU KNOW if they have taken a PUBLIC position on the agreement.
Literal question	First, has PIERRE TRUDEAU taken a public position on the agreement?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #refg1b. All others go to #refg2a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1989	92.8%
5	No	155	7.2%
8	D.K.	383	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG1B: For or Against> Pierre Trudeau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1818 /-] [Invalid=3053 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #refg1a.
Literal question	Has he come out FOR or AGAINST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	233	12.8%
5	Against	1585	87.2%
8	D.K.	171	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2882	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG2A: Public Position> Business Community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1732 /-] [Invalid=3139 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Has THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY taken a public position on the agreement?

REFG2A: Public Position> Business Community

	Post-question		If respondent answers "yes", go to #ref2gb. All others go to #ref3ga.		

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes		1536		88.7%
5	No		196	11.3%	
8	D.K.		791		
9	Refused		7		
Sysmiss			2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG2B: For or Against> Business Community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1461 /-] [Invalid=3410 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #refg2a.
Literal question	Has it come out FOR or AGAINST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	For	997		68.2%
3	Some yes,some no	206	14.1%	
5	Against	258	17.7%	
8	D.K.	75		
9	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		3335		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG3A: Public Position> Women's Movement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1683 /-] [Invalid=3188 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Has the WOMEN'S MOVEMENT taken a public position on the agreement?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #ref3gb. All others go to #ref4ga.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	1489		88.5%
5	No	194	11.5%	
8	D.K.	844		
9	Refused	2		
Sysmiss		2342		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG3B: For or Against> Women's Movement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1368 /-] [Invalid=3503 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #ref3ga.
Literal question	Has it come out FOR or AGAINST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	For	257	18.8%	
3	Some yes,some no	168	12.3%	
5	Against	943		68.9%

REFG3B: For or Against> Women's Movement

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	121	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3382	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG4A: Public Position> Union Leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1523 /-] [Invalid=3348 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Have UNION LEADERS taken a public position on the agreement?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #refg4b. All others go to #refg5a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	1339		87.9%
5	No	184	12.1%	
8	D.K.	1004		
9	Refused	3		
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG4B: For or Against> Union Leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1252 /-] [Invalid=3619 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #refg4a.
Literal question	Have they come out FOR or AGAINST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	For	498		39.8%
3	Some yes,some no	129	10.3%	
5	Against	625		49.9%
8	D.K.	87		
9	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		3532		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG5A: Public Position> Preston Manning

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=927 /-] [Invalid=3944 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Has PRESTON MANNING taken a public position on the agreement?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #refg5b. All others go to #refg6a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	866		93.4%
5	No	61	6.6%	
8	D.K.	601		
9	Refused	1		
Sysmiss		3342		

REFG5A: Public Position> Preston Manning

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG5B: For or Against> Preston Manning

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=784 /-] [Invalid=4087 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #refg5a.
Literal question	Has he come out FOR or AGAINST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	63	8.0%
5	Against	721	92.0%
8	D.K.	82	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG6A: Public Position> Peter Lougheed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=660 /-] [Invalid=4211 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Has PETER LOUGHEED taken a public position on the agreement?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #refgb. All others go to #refg7a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	592	89.7%
5	No	68	10.3%
8	D.K.	869	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG6B: For or Against> Peter Lougheed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=488 /-] [Invalid=4383 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #refg6a.
Literal question	Has he come out FOR or AGAINST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	413	84.6%
5	Against	75	15.4%
8	D.K.	104	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4279	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG7A: Public Position> Claude Castonguay

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=318 /-] [Invalid=4553 /-]

# REFG7A: Public Position> Claude Castonguay			
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	Has CLAUDE CASTONGUAY taken a public position on the agreement?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes" go to #refg7b. All others go to #g8a.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	280	88.1%
5	No	38	11.9%
8	D.K.	681	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3870	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

REFG7B: For or Against> Claude Castonguay

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=239 /-] [Invalid=4632 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #g7a.
Literal question	Has he come out FOR or AGAINST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	For	183		76.6%
5	Against	56		23.4%
8	D.K.	40		
9	Refused	1		
Sysmiss		4591		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG8A: Public Position> Jean Allaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=549 /-] [Invalid=4322 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Has JEAN ALLAIRE taken a public position on the agreement?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #refg8b. All others go to #refh1.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	522		95.1%
5	No	27		4.9%
8	D.K.	449		
9	Refused	3		
Sysmiss		3870		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFG8B: For or Against> Jean Allaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=473 /-] [Invalid=4398 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #g8a.
Literal question	Has he come out FOR or AGAINST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	For	65		13.7%
5	Against	408		86.3%
8	D.K.	49		
9	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		4349		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH1: Which Government Looks After Your Needs Best

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2417 /-] [Invalid=2454 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which government looks after your interests and needs the best: the government of Canada or the government of [fill rc3]?
Notes	The wording of #refh1 was modified to fill the respondent's home province in the space [fill rc3].

REFH1: Which Government Looks After Your Needs Best

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Canada	511	21.1%
3	Province	1135	47.0%
5	Not choose/both	324	13.4%
7	Neither	447	18.5%
8	D.K.	104	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH2: How Do You Feel About> Pierre Trudeau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2417 /-] [Invalid=2454 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	I am going to name some people and ask you how you feel about them on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 and 100 are positive. Ratings between 0 and 50 are negative. You may use any number from 0 to 100.
Literal question	How do you FEEL about PIERRE TRUDEAU? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	248	10.3%
1		9	0.4%
2		11	0.5%
3		3	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		16	0.7%
8		1	0.0%
9		2	0.1%
10		108	4.5%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		9	0.4%
19		1	0.0%
20		71	2.9%
25		109	4.5%
30		85	3.5%
34		1	0.0%
35		24	1.0%
38		2	0.1%
40		141	5.8%
42		5	0.2%
45		45	1.9%
47		2	0.1%
48		2	0.1%
49		23	1.0%
50		484	20.0%
51		15	0.6%

REFH2: How Do You Feel About> Pierre Trudeau

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
52		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
55		32	1.3%
58		1	0.0%
60		222	9.2%
61		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		61	2.5%
66		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		121	5.0%
75		221	9.1%
78		1	0.0%
80		116	4.8%
85		28	1.2%
87		1	0.0%
89		2	0.1%
90		72	3.0%
95		23	1.0%
96		2	0.1%
98		3	0.1%
99		14	0.6%
100	Very positive	71	2.9%
998	D.K.	105	
999	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH3: How Do You Feel About> Brian Mulroney

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2464 /-] [Invalid=2407 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you FEEL about BRIAN MULRONEY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	468	19.0%
1		33	1.3%
2		37	1.5%
3		10	0.4%
4		3	0.1%
5		60	2.4%
6		1	0.0%
7		3	0.1%
8		1	0.0%
9		2	0.1%

REFH3: How Do You Feel About> Brian Mulroney

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		192	7.8%
11		2	0.1%
12		2	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		28	1.1%
18		1	0.0%
20		137	5.6%
22		6	0.2%
23		1	0.0%
25		144	5.8%
27		2	0.1%
28		1	0.0%
30		186	7.5%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		45	1.8%
40		207	8.4%
41		1	0.0%
42		3	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		51	2.1%
46		3	0.1%
48		8	0.3%
49		26	1.1%
50		352	14.3%
51		10	0.4%
52		6	0.2%
53		2	0.1%
55		43	1.7%
59		2	0.1%
60		144	5.8%
64		1	0.0%
65		34	1.4%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		52	2.1%
75		64	2.6%
76		1	0.0%
80		40	1.6%
83		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		3	0.1%

REFH3: How Do You Feel About> Brian Mulroney

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88		1	0.0%
90		13	0.5%
95		4	0.2%
100	Very positive	17	0.7%
998	D.K.	58	
999	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH4: How Do You Feel About> Robert Bourassa

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2263 /-] [Invalid=2608 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you FEEL about ROBERT BOURASSA? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	200	8.8%
1		13	0.6%
2		10	0.4%
3		6	0.3%
4		4	0.2%
5		36	1.6%
6		3	0.1%
7		3	0.1%
8		4	0.2%
9		1	0.0%
10		112	4.9%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
15		25	1.1%
16		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		84	3.7%
21		1	0.0%
25		111	4.9%
26		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		136	6.0%
31		1	0.0%
32		1	0.0%
35		30	1.3%
36		1	0.0%
40		186	8.2%
42		2	0.1%
44		1	0.0%

REFH4: How Do You Feel About> Robert Bourassa

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
45		50	2.2%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		20	0.9%
50		505	22.3%
51		17	0.8%
52		6	0.3%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		54	2.4%
56		1	0.0%
57		1	0.0%
60		240	10.6%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
65		46	2.0%
66		1	0.0%
70		114	5.0%
75		112	4.9%
76		1	0.0%
80		47	2.1%
82		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		7	0.3%
90		27	1.2%
95		3	0.1%
98		2	0.1%
99		3	0.1%
100	Very positive	20	0.9%
998	D.K.	259	
999	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH5: How Do You Feel About> Jean Chretien

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2323 /-] [Invalid=2548 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	JEAN CHRETIEN (How do you FEEL about JEAN CHRETIEN?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	262	11.3%
1		14	0.6%
2		12	0.5%
3		5	0.2%

REFH5: How Do You Feel About> Jean Chretien

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		2	0.1%
5		40	1.7%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
8		5	0.2%
10		108	4.6%
11		1	0.0%
12		3	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
15		33	1.4%
18		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		112	4.8%
22		3	0.1%
25		107	4.6%
28		2	0.1%
30		142	6.1%
33		2	0.1%
35		33	1.4%
36		1	0.0%
40		226	9.7%
41		1	0.0%
42		4	0.2%
45		57	2.5%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		3	0.1%
49		16	0.7%
50		430	18.5%
51		6	0.3%
52		4	0.2%
55		74	3.2%
60		246	10.6%
63		1	0.0%
65		49	2.1%
66		1	0.0%
67		2	0.1%
68		1	0.0%
70		101	4.3%
72		2	0.1%
75		102	4.4%
80		43	1.9%
85		9	0.4%
89		1	0.0%
90		23	1.0%

REFH5: How Do You Feel About> Jean Chretien

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
92		1	0.0%
94		1	0.0%
95		5	0.2%
98		1	0.0%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very positive	16	0.7%
998	D.K.	198	
999	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH6: How Do You Feel About> Audrey McLaughlin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1828 /-] [Invalid=3043 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN (How do you FEEL about AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	92	5.0%
1		5	0.3%
2		9	0.5%
3		4	0.2%
4		4	0.2%
5		18	1.0%
6		2	0.1%
8		5	0.3%
10		63	3.4%
11		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
15		22	1.2%
19		1	0.1%
20		75	4.1%
21		1	0.1%
22		1	0.1%
23		2	0.1%
25		83	4.5%
30		108	5.9%
32		1	0.1%
35		19	1.0%
38		3	0.2%
39		2	0.1%
40		162	8.9%
41		1	0.1%
45		47	2.6%
46		1	0.1%

REFH6: How Do You Feel About> Audrey McLaughlin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
48		4	0.2%
49		5	0.3%
50		444	24.3%
51		12	0.7%
52		4	0.2%
53		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		63	3.4%
56		2	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		2	0.1%
60		245	13.4%
62		1	0.1%
65		62	3.4%
69		1	0.1%
70		98	5.4%
71		1	0.1%
72		2	0.1%
75		70	3.8%
76		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
80		39	2.1%
82		1	0.1%
85		4	0.2%
88		1	0.1%
90		13	0.7%
95		3	0.2%
97		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
100	Very positive	11	0.6%
998	D.K.	692	
999	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH7: How Do You Feel About> Your Premier

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1472 /-] [Invalid=3399 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	How do you FEEL about [fill rc1]? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
Notes	The wording of #refh7 was modified to fill the name of the respondent's province in rc1.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	128	8.7%
1		8	0.5%

REFH7: How Do You Feel About> Your Premier

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		12	0.8%
3		5	0.3%
4		1	0.1%
5		26	1.8%
6		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
10		66	4.5%
12		1	0.1%
15		14	1.0%
20		55	3.7%
21		1	0.1%
25		45	3.1%
27		1	0.1%
30		77	5.2%
32		3	0.2%
35		21	1.4%
36		1	0.1%
37		2	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
40		126	8.6%
42		4	0.3%
45		39	2.6%
48		2	0.1%
49		6	0.4%
50		223	15.1%
51		6	0.4%
52		5	0.3%
53		1	0.1%
55		30	2.0%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
60		173	11.8%
61		1	0.1%
65		36	2.4%
67		1	0.1%
68		2	0.1%
70		96	6.5%
72		3	0.2%
75		94	6.4%
77		1	0.1%
78		2	0.1%
80		63	4.3%
85		19	1.3%
90		32	2.2%

REFH7: How Do You Feel About> Your Premier

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
92		1	0.1%
95		5	0.3%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
100	Very positive	26	1.8%
998	D.K.	54	
999	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH8: How Do You Feel About> Preston Manning

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1080 /-] [Invalid=3791 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	PRESTON MANNING (How do you FEEL about PRESTON MANNING?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	104	9.6%
1		8	0.7%
2		9	0.8%
3		3	0.3%
4		1	0.1%
5		17	1.6%
7		4	0.4%
8		2	0.2%
10		62	5.7%
11		1	0.1%
13		1	0.1%
15		12	1.1%
20		58	5.4%
25		35	3.2%
30		75	6.9%
32		2	0.2%
35		16	1.5%
39		1	0.1%
40		105	9.7%
42		2	0.2%
43		1	0.1%
44		1	0.1%
45		29	2.7%
47		2	0.2%
48		2	0.2%
49		6	0.6%
50		228	21.1%
51		7	0.6%

REFH8: How Do You Feel About> Preston Manning

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
52		2	0.2%
53		1	0.1%
55		26	2.4%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
60		96	8.9%
61		1	0.1%
62		2	0.2%
65		14	1.3%
70		49	4.5%
75		41	3.8%
80		21	1.9%
82		1	0.1%
85		5	0.5%
90		7	0.6%
95		2	0.2%
98		2	0.2%
100	Very positive	13	1.2%
998	D.K.	444	
999	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH9: How Do You Feel About> Peter Lougheed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1027 /-] [Invalid=3844 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	PETER LOUGHEED (How do you FEEL about PETER LOUGHEED?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	40	3.9%
1		1	0.1%
2		3	0.3%
3		1	0.1%
5		8	0.8%
6		2	0.2%
8		1	0.1%
10		20	1.9%
12		1	0.1%
15		7	0.7%
20		29	2.8%
22		1	0.1%
25		30	2.9%
26		1	0.1%

REFH9: How Do You Feel About> Peter Lougheed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		36	3.5%
34		1	0.1%
35		18	1.8%
40		86	8.4%
42		2	0.2%
45		15	1.5%
46		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		4	0.4%
50		304	29.6%
51		1	0.1%
52		4	0.4%
55		35	3.4%
58		3	0.3%
60		146	14.2%
65		28	2.7%
69		1	0.1%
70		74	7.2%
72		1	0.1%
75		54	5.3%
78		1	0.1%
80		26	2.5%
84		1	0.1%
85		7	0.7%
90		19	1.9%
95		1	0.1%
98		1	0.1%
100	Very positive	11	1.1%
998	D.K.	497	
999	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH10: How Do You Feel About> Lucien Bouchard

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=827 /-] [Invalid=4044 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	LUCIEN BOUCHARD? (How do you FEEL about LUCIEN BOUCHARD) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	89	10.8%
1		3	0.4%
2		2	0.2%
3		3	0.4%
4		1	0.1%

REFH10: How Do You Feel About> Lucien Bouchard

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		8	1.0%
8		2	0.2%
10		25	3.0%
12		3	0.4%
15		10	1.2%
18		2	0.2%
20		42	5.1%
25		29	3.5%
26		1	0.1%
29		1	0.1%
30		35	4.2%
35		9	1.1%
36		2	0.2%
40		60	7.3%
42		1	0.1%
45		9	1.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		1	0.1%
50		126	15.2%
51		6	0.7%
52		2	0.2%
53		2	0.2%
55		16	1.9%
57		1	0.1%
60		118	14.3%
62		1	0.1%
65		16	1.9%
68		1	0.1%
70		55	6.7%
75		53	6.4%
80		42	5.1%
85		5	0.6%
90		16	1.9%
95		6	0.7%
98		2	0.2%
99		1	0.1%
100	Very positive	19	2.3%
998	D.K.	170	
999	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		3870	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH11: How Do You Feel About> Jacques Parizeau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=962 /-] [Invalid=3909 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.

REFH11: How Do You Feel About> Jacques Parizeau

Literal question	JACQUES PARIZEAU (How do you FEEL about JACQUES PARIZEAU?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	117	12.2%
1		3	0.3%
2		7	0.7%
3		2	0.2%
4		2	0.2%
5		11	1.1%
7		4	0.4%
9		1	0.1%
10		34	3.5%
12		1	0.1%
15		10	1.0%
20		28	2.9%
22		1	0.1%
24		2	0.2%
25		35	3.6%
30		44	4.6%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		17	1.8%
40		61	6.3%
41		1	0.1%
42		1	0.1%
45		16	1.7%
47		1	0.1%
48		2	0.2%
49		2	0.2%
50		146	15.2%
51		3	0.3%
52		4	0.4%
53		1	0.1%
54		2	0.2%
55		25	2.6%
56		2	0.2%
60		111	11.5%
64		1	0.1%
65		23	2.4%
68		2	0.2%
70		61	6.3%
74		1	0.1%
75		53	5.5%
79		1	0.1%
80		60	6.2%
82		1	0.1%

REFH11: How Do You Feel About> Jacques Parizeau

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
85		7	0.7%
90		27	2.8%
95		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
100	Very positive	24	2.5%
998	D.K.	34	
999	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		3870	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH12: It is Up to the Government to Ensure People's Basic Needs are Met

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2457 /-] [Invalid=2414 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Please tell me if you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following statements. The first one is:
Literal question	It's up to the government to ensure that people's basic needs are met. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	1971	80.2%
5	Disagree	486	19.8%
8	D.K.	68	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH13: I Would Want to Make Sure Something Works Before Taking a Chance on It

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2470 /-] [Invalid=2401 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	I'd want to make sure something works before I will take a chance on it. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	1802	73.0%
5	Disagree	668	27.0%
8	D.K.	55	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH14: We Need Quotas to Increase the Number of Women in Good Jobs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2381 /-] [Invalid=2490 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We need quotas to increase the number of women in good jobs. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	1392	58.5%
5	Disagree	989	41.5%

REFH14: We Need Quotas to Increase the Number of Women in Good Jobs

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	144	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH15: People Like Me Don't Have Any Say About What the Government Does

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2476 /-] [Invalid=2395 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	People like me don't have any say about what the government does. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	928	37.5%
5	Disagree	1548	62.5%
8	D.K.	51	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH16: Canada Has Two Founding Peoples: French and English

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2456 /-] [Invalid=2415 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Canada has two founding peoples, French and English. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	1603	65.3%
5	Disagree	784	31.9%
7	Aboriginals	69	2.8%
8	D.K.	70	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH17: Canada Has Three Founding Peoples: French, English, and Aboriginal Peoples

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2437 /-] [Invalid=2434 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Canada has three founding peoples, French, English, and Aboriginal peoples. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	1696	69.6%
5	Disagree	741	30.4%
8	D.K.	90	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH18: We Should Make No Distinctions: We Are All Canadians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2490 /-] [Invalid=2381 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We should make no distinctions, we are all Canadians. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Agree	2166		87.0%
5	Disagree	324	13.0%	
8	D.K.	36		
9	Refused	4		
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH19: People Who Come to Live in Canada Should Try Harder to be Like Other Canadians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2422 /-] [Invalid=2449 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	People who come to live in Canada should try harder to be more like other Canadians. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Agree	1708		70.5%
5	Disagree	714	29.5%	
8	D.K.	95		
9	Refused	12		
Sysmiss		2342		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH20: Which is More Important in a Democratic Society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2225 /-] [Invalid=2646 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which is more important in a democratic society: LETTING THE MAJORITY DECIDE, or PROTECTING THE NEEDS AND RIGHTS OF MINORITIES?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Majority decide	1312		59.0%
5	Protect minority	913	41.0%	
8	D.K.	286		
9	Refused	19		
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH21: When it Comes to Politics, Where Do You Place Yourself

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2318 /-] [Invalid=2553 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	When it comes to politics, do you think of yourself as being on "the left," on "the right," in the centre, or none of these?
Post-question	If respondent answers "left", or "right", go to #refh22. All others go to #refh23.

REFH21: When it Comes to Politics, Where Do You Place Yourself

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left	223	9.6%
3	Right	220	9.5%
5	Centre	1111	47.9%
7	None of these	764	33.0%
8	Depends/d.K.	201	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH22: How Much Do Feel Either Left or Right

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=438 /-] [Invalid=4433 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Very much to the left, or somewhat to the left? (If respondent answered "left" for #refh21.) Very much to the right, or somewhat to the right? (If respondent answered "right" for #refh21.)
Notes	The wording of #refh22 was modified depending on the respondent's choice of left or right in #refh21.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verymuch	85	19.4%
5	Somewhat	353	80.6%
8	Depends/d.K.	5	
Sysmiss		4428	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH23: How Much Should be Done to Promote Women's Interests

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2490 /-] [Invalid=2381 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Some people feel that too much is being done to promote the interests of women. Others feel that not enough is being done for women.
Literal question	How much do YOU THINK should be done for women: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	550	22.1%
2	Somewhat more	888	35.7%
3	Same as now	661	26.5%
4	Somewhat less	76	3.1%
5	Muchless	28	1.1%
7	Not thought much	287	11.5%
8	D.K.	31	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH24: How Much Should be Done to Promote Aboriginal Interests

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2460 /-] [Invalid=2411 /-]

REFH24: How Much Should be Done to Promote Aboriginal Interests

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Now how much should be done for Aboriginal peoples? MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	444	18.0%
2	Somewhat more	654	26.6%
3	Same as now	656	26.7%
4	Somewhat less	257	10.4%
5	Muchless	209	8.5%
7	Not thought much	240	9.8%
8	D.K.	62	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH26: How Do You Feel About> Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2482 /-] [Invalid=2389 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	I'd like to use the thermometer again. Just as a reminder the thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 and 100 are positive. Ratings between 0 and 50 are negative. You may use any number from 0 to 100.
Literal question	How do you feel about CANADA? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	25	1.0%
2		5	0.2%
5		6	0.2%
10		19	0.8%
15		3	0.1%
20		13	0.5%
25		32	1.3%
30		33	1.3%
35		8	0.3%
40		63	2.5%
45		14	0.6%
49		4	0.2%
50		248	10.0%
51		3	0.1%
52		2	0.1%
55		19	0.8%
60		145	5.8%
65		28	1.1%
66		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		140	5.6%
75		222	8.9%

REFH26: How Do You Feel About> Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		298	12.0%
82		1	0.0%
85		62	2.5%
86		1	0.0%
87		2	0.1%
88		3	0.1%
89		4	0.2%
90		254	10.2%
92		1	0.0%
94		1	0.0%
95		107	4.3%
96		1	0.0%
98		13	0.5%
99		40	1.6%
100	Very positive	658	26.5%
998	D.K.	41	
999	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH27: How Do You Feel About> Quebec

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2425 /-] [Invalid=2446 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about QUEBEC? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	65	2.7%
1		6	0.2%
2		4	0.2%
3		2	0.1%
5		7	0.3%
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
10		48	2.0%
15		3	0.1%
20		47	1.9%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		38	1.6%
28		1	0.0%
30		81	3.3%
31		1	0.0%

REFH27: How Do You Feel About> Quebec

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
33		1	0.0%
35		14	0.6%
40		114	4.7%
45		25	1.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		9	0.4%
50		402	16.6%
51		7	0.3%
52		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
55		24	1.0%
56		1	0.0%
60		187	7.7%
62		1	0.0%
65		39	1.6%
67		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		159	6.6%
74		1	0.0%
75		248	10.2%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		242	10.0%
82		1	0.0%
83		1	0.0%
85		36	1.5%
86		1	0.0%
88		2	0.1%
90		174	7.2%
93		1	0.0%
95		38	1.6%
96		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%
98		7	0.3%
99		15	0.6%
100	Very positive	356	14.7%
998	D.K.	97	
999	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH28: How Do You Feel About> Province

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1511 /-] [Invalid=3360 /-]

REFH28: How Do You Feel About> Province

Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	How do you feel about [fill rc3]? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
Notes	The wording of #refh1 was modified to fill the respondent's home province in the space [fill rc3].

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	4	0.3%
1		2	0.1%
5		1	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
10		6	0.4%
15		2	0.1%
20		5	0.3%
25		5	0.3%
30		12	0.8%
35		4	0.3%
40		17	1.1%
45		6	0.4%
49		3	0.2%
50		86	5.7%
52		1	0.1%
54		1	0.1%
55		8	0.5%
60		68	4.5%
65		29	1.9%
70		91	6.0%
73		1	0.1%
75		162	10.7%
76		1	0.1%
78		1	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
80		221	14.6%
84		3	0.2%
85		52	3.4%
88		1	0.1%
89		2	0.1%
90		200	13.2%
92		1	0.1%
93		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		53	3.5%
97		3	0.2%
98		9	0.6%
99		16	1.1%
100	Very positive	430	28.5%
998	D.K.	16	
999	Refused	2	

REFH28: How Do You Feel About> Province

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH29: How Do You Feel About> English Canadians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2431 /-] [Invalid=2440 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	ENGLISH CANADIANS? (How do you feel about ENGLISH CANADIANS?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	31	1.3%
1		4	0.2%
2		2	0.1%
3		1	0.0%
4		1	0.0%
5		5	0.2%
10		12	0.5%
15		2	0.1%
20		26	1.1%
23		1	0.0%
25		25	1.0%
30		32	1.3%
35		14	0.6%
40		84	3.5%
42		1	0.0%
45		19	0.8%
49		7	0.3%
50		376	15.5%
51		3	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		22	0.9%
60		195	8.0%
65		36	1.5%
69		1	0.0%
70		175	7.2%
72		2	0.1%
75		278	11.4%
79		1	0.0%
80		288	11.8%
84		2	0.1%
85		46	1.9%
89		1	0.0%
90		204	8.4%
92		1	0.0%
95		55	2.3%

REFH29: How Do You Feel About> English Canadians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98		5	0.2%
99		11	0.5%
100	Very positive	461	19.0%
998	D.K.	86	
999	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH30: How Do You Feel About> Immigrants

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2424 /-] [Invalid=2447 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	IMMIGRANTS? (How do you feel about IMMIGRANTS?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	53	2.2%
1		2	0.1%
2		1	0.0%
3		2	0.1%
5		10	0.4%
10		36	1.5%
15		5	0.2%
20		54	2.2%
25		59	2.4%
29		1	0.0%
30		70	2.9%
35		23	0.9%
36		1	0.0%
40		152	6.3%
42		1	0.0%
43		2	0.1%
45		27	1.1%
49		3	0.1%
50		495	20.4%
51		3	0.1%
52		2	0.1%
55		38	1.6%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		259	10.7%
65		52	2.1%
66		1	0.0%
69		2	0.1%
70		215	8.9%
72		2	0.1%

REFH30: How Do You Feel About> Immigrants

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
75		225	9.3%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		211	8.7%
85		34	1.4%
88		1	0.0%
90		115	4.7%
93		1	0.0%
95		36	1.5%
96		1	0.0%
98		4	0.2%
99		5	0.2%
100	Very positive	216	8.9%
998	D.K.	94	
999	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH31: How Do You Feel About> Women's Movement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2396 /-] [Invalid=2475 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The WOMEN'S MOVEMENT (How do you feel about the WOMEN'S MOVEMENT) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	26	1.1%
1		1	0.0%
2		3	0.1%
5		6	0.3%
10		26	1.1%
12		1	0.0%
15		5	0.2%
20		27	1.1%
25		35	1.5%
29		1	0.0%
30		58	2.4%
32		1	0.0%
35		16	0.7%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		98	4.1%
45		27	1.1%
46		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		4	0.2%

REFH31: How Do You Feel About> Women's Movement

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50		507	21.2%
51		6	0.3%
52		3	0.1%
54		2	0.1%
55		37	1.5%
56		1	0.0%
57		1	0.0%
60		311	13.0%
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		66	2.8%
68		1	0.0%
69		2	0.1%
70		248	10.4%
72		1	0.0%
75		251	10.5%
76		1	0.0%
80		214	8.9%
85		39	1.6%
88		1	0.0%
90		105	4.4%
94		1	0.0%
95		26	1.1%
97		1	0.0%
98		4	0.2%
99		7	0.3%
100	Very positive	219	9.1%
998	D.K.	127	
999	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH32: How Do You Feel About> Business Community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2358 /-] [Invalid=2513 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The BUSINESS COMMUNITY (How do you feel about the BUSINESS COMMUNITY?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	24	1.0%
1		1	0.0%
2		1	0.0%
5		4	0.2%
6		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%

REFH32: How Do You Feel About> Business Community

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		18	0.8%
12		1	0.0%
15		3	0.1%
20		20	0.8%
24		1	0.0%
25		25	1.1%
30		36	1.5%
35		15	0.6%
40		118	5.0%
45		32	1.4%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		1	0.0%
49		8	0.3%
50		492	20.9%
51		4	0.2%
52		3	0.1%
55		40	1.7%
58		1	0.0%
60		325	13.8%
62		4	0.2%
65		78	3.3%
66		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		2	0.1%
70		268	11.4%
75		269	11.4%
76		1	0.0%
78		2	0.1%
80		259	11.0%
85		43	1.8%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		95	4.0%
95		24	1.0%
98		2	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	Very positive	127	5.4%
998	D.K.	163	
999	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH33: How Do You Feel About> The Media

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2442 /-] [Invalid=2429 /-]

REFH33: How Do You Feel About> The Media

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The MEDIA (How do you feel about the MEDIA?)/ (Media includes TV, radio and newspapers)/ (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	47	1.9%
1		2	0.1%
2		6	0.2%
4		1	0.0%
5		13	0.5%
10		44	1.8%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		9	0.4%
20		63	2.6%
24		1	0.0%
25		44	1.8%
27		1	0.0%
30		81	3.3%
34		1	0.0%
35		26	1.1%
37		1	0.0%
40		168	6.9%
41		1	0.0%
42		1	0.0%
43		1	0.0%
45		48	2.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		3	0.1%
50		577	23.6%
51		5	0.2%
52		3	0.1%
53		1	0.0%
55		50	2.0%
60		401	16.4%
65		76	3.1%
66		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		206	8.4%
72		3	0.1%
75		210	8.6%
80		191	7.8%
83		1	0.0%
85		21	0.9%
90		57	2.3%
95		8	0.3%

REFH33: How Do You Feel About> The Media

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99		3	0.1%
100	Very positive	61	2.5%
998	D.K.	83	
999	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFH34: How Do You Feel About> Unions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2403 /-] [Invalid=2468 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	UNIONS (How do you feel about UNIONS?)/ (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
Post-question	Item refch21 redirects the random half of the respondents who received Section C: Vote Intention after Section H: to the first item of Section C:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	124	5.2%
1		5	0.2%
2		7	0.3%
3		3	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		21	0.9%
10		84	3.5%
11		1	0.0%
12		2	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
15		14	0.6%
20		100	4.2%
24		1	0.0%
25		72	3.0%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		139	5.8%
35		31	1.3%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		236	9.8%
45		58	2.4%
46		1	0.0%
48		3	0.1%
49		4	0.2%
50		539	22.4%
51		8	0.3%
52		4	0.2%

REFH34: How Do You Feel About> Unions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
53		3	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		58	2.4%
57		1	0.0%
60		255	10.6%
64		1	0.0%
65		68	2.8%
68		2	0.1%
70		157	6.5%
72		1	0.0%
75		142	5.9%
78		2	0.1%
80		120	5.0%
85		19	0.8%
88		1	0.0%
90		42	1.7%
95		6	0.2%
100	Very positive	60	2.5%
998	D.K.	123	
999	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFI1C: Federal Party Affiliation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2329 /-] [Invalid=2542 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	INTERVIEWER: The next question is a response time item. You will be asked to read the question, then enter g as you finish reading the last word of the question. When the respondent gives you a substantive response, enter g again. After entering the second g the response categories will be displayed.
Literal question	Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, [fill rc1] or none of these?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION! INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS TO ANSWER.
Notes	In space rc1, respondents in Quebec were asked about the "Bloc Quebecois"; respondents outside Quebec were asked about the "Reform".

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	675	29.0%
2	P.C.	398	17.1%
3	N.D.P.	235	10.1%
4	Reform	77	3.3%
5	Bloc quebecois	212	9.1%
6	None of these	732	31.4%
8	D.K.	135	
9	Refused	66	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFI2: Did You Vote in the Last Federal Election in 1988

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2468 /-] [Invalid=2403 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you vote in the last Federal election in 1988?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #refi3. All others go to #refi4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	1994		80.8%
5	No	405		16.4%
7	Not eligible	69		2.8%
8	D.K.	60		
9	Refused	2		
Sysmiss		2341		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFI3: Party Voted for in the Last Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1673 /-] [Invalid=3198 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the last Federal election, as indicated in #refi2.
Literal question	Which party did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	P.C.	686		41.0%
2	Liberal	597		35.7%
3	N.D.P.	300		17.9%
4	Reform	21		1.3%
5	Other	37		2.2%
6	Bloc quebecois	8		0.5%
7	Parti quebecois	24		1.4%
98	D.K.	199		
99	Refused	122		
Sysmiss		2877		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFI4C: If a Federal Election Were Held Today, Which Party Would You Vote For

| Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1729 /-] [Invalid=3142 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	A response time experiment was performed at item refi4a. The elapsed time between the interviewer reading the last word of the question and the respondent beginning to answer was measured in 100ths of a second increments.
Literal question	If a FEDERAL election were held today, which party would you vote for? The PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE party, the LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party, the Bloc Quebecois (if respondent resides in the province of Quebec)/ Reform (all others), or some other party?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", go to #refi5. All others go to #refi6.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION! INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS TO ANSWER

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Spoil ballot	28		1.6%

REFI4C: If a Federal Election Were Held Today, Which Party Would You Vote For

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	P.C.	271	15.7%
2	Liberal	647	37.4%
3	N.D.P.	249	14.4%
4	Reform	150	8.7%
5	Bloc quebecois	273	15.8%
6	Other	40	2.3%
7	Will not vote	71	4.1%
98	D.K.,depends	705	
99	Refused	96	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFI5: Party Leaning Towards

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=222 /-] [Invalid=4649 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "don't know" for #refi4c.
Literal question	Which party are you leaning toward?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	P.C.	48	21.6%
2	Liberal	97	43.7%
3	N.D.P.	33	14.9%
4	Reform	16	7.2%
5	Other	21	9.5%
6	Bloc quebecois	7	3.2%
7	Parti quebecois	0	
98	D.K.	409	
99	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		4229	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFI6: Did You Vote in the Last Provincial Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2468 /-] [Invalid=2403 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you vote in the last provincial election held in [fill rc3] [fill rc1].
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #refi7. All others go to #refi8.
Notes	The wording of #refi6 was modified to fill the respondent's home province in rc3, and the date of the last Provincial election in the respondent's home province in rc1.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1874	75.9%
5	No	535	21.7%
7	Not eligible	59	2.4%
8	D.K.	60	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2341	

REFI6: Did You Vote in the Last Provincial Election

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFI7: Party Voted for in Last Provincial Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1607 /-] [Invalid=3264 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which party did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	31	1.9%
1	P.C.	315	19.6%
2	Liberal	609	37.9%
3	N.D.P.	350	21.8%
4	Social credit	37	2.3%
5	Parti quebecois	260	16.2%
6	Cor	5	0.3%
98	D.K.	155	
99	Refused	112	
Sysmiss		2997	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFI8: If a Provincial Election Was Held Today, Who Would You Vote For

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1869 /-] [Invalid=3002 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If a PROVINCIAL election were held today, which party would you vote for? The LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party, THE REFORM PARTY or some other party? (Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of British Columbia) If a PROVINCIAL election were held today, which party would you vote for? The LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party, Parti Québécois, or some other party? (Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec) If a PROVINCIAL election were held today, which party would you vote for? The PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE party, the LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party, The REFORM PARTY, Confederation of Regions Party (COR) or some other party? (Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of New Brunswick) If a PROVINCIAL election were held today, which party would you vote for? The PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE party, the LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party, The REFORM PARTY, or some other party? (All others)
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", go to #refi10. All others go to #refn1.
Notes	The wording of #refi8 was modified depending on the respondent's home province to include only the names of Provincial active political parties. For example those provinces where no Provincial Progressive Conservative party exists had the Progressive Conservative party excluded from the question. The [specify] command allowed the interviewer to enter unlimited open ended text.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Spoil ballot	12	0.6%
1	P.C.	265	14.2%
2	Liberal	746	39.9%
3	N.D.P.	279	14.9%
4	Social credit	24	1.3%
5	Parti quebecois	346	18.5%
6	Cor	8	0.4%
7	Reform	100	5.4%
8	Other	37	2.0%

REFI8: If a Provincial Election Was Held Today, Who Would You Vote For

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Will not vote	52	2.8%
98	D.K.	565	
99	Refused	96	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFI10: Which Party Are You Leaning Towards

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=130 /-] [Invalid=4741 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "don't know" for #refi10.
Literal question	Which party are you leaning toward?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	4	3.1%
1	P.C.	27	20.8%
2	Liberal	53	40.8%
3	N.D.P.	18	13.8%
4	Social credit	3	2.3%
5	Parti quebecois	19	14.6%
6	Cor	0	
7	Reform	6	4.6%
98	D.K.	383	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		4355	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN1: Respondent's Year of Birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1897-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2513 /-] [Invalid=2358 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Before we finish, we want to get a little information about your background. We need this information to make sure we are talking to a cross-section of Canadians.
Literal question	First, in what year were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1897		1	0.0%
1899		1	0.0%
1901		1	0.0%
1902		1	0.0%
1903		1	0.0%
1904		1	0.0%
1905		4	0.2%
1906		2	0.1%
1907		3	0.1%
1908		2	0.1%
1909		4	0.2%
1910		7	0.3%

REFN1: Respondent's Year of Birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1911		5	0.2%
1912		16	0.6%
1913		11	0.4%
1914		8	0.3%
1915		11	0.4%
1916		8	0.3%
1917		12	0.5%
1918		17	0.7%
1919		10	0.4%
1920		31	1.2%
1921		18	0.7%
1922		26	1.0%
1923		24	1.0%
1924		21	0.8%
1925		33	1.3%
1926		19	0.8%
1927		15	0.6%
1928		18	0.7%
1929		32	1.3%
1930		26	1.0%
1931		26	1.0%
1932		29	1.2%
1933		25	1.0%
1934		23	0.9%
1935		21	0.8%
1936		24	1.0%
1937		24	1.0%
1938		28	1.1%
1939		25	1.0%
1940		31	1.2%
1941		33	1.3%
1942		35	1.4%
1943		42	1.7%
1944		40	1.6%
1945		38	1.5%
1946		48	1.9%
1947		57	2.3%
1948		47	1.9%
1949		44	1.8%
1950		69	2.7%
1951		60	2.4%
1952		68	2.7%
1953		73	2.9%
1954		54	2.1%
1955		76	3.0%

REFN1: Respondent's Year of Birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1956		67	2.7%
1957		79	3.1%
1958		71	2.8%
1959		71	2.8%
1960		77	3.1%
1961		63	2.5%
1962		75	3.0%
1963		51	2.0%
1964		64	2.5%
1965		57	2.3%
1966		46	1.8%
1967		52	2.1%
1968		45	1.8%
1969		46	1.8%
1970		48	1.9%
1971		45	1.8%
1972		37	1.5%
1973		58	2.3%
1974		32	1.3%
9997	Other	0	
9998	D.K.	1	
9999	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN2: Highest Level of Education Completed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2519 /-] [Invalid=2352 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?
Interviewer's instructions	Do not read list.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No schooling	6	0.2%
2	Some elementary	57	2.3%
3	Complete elem.	88	3.5%
4	Some hs./jr high	496	19.7%
5	Complete highscl	646	25.6%
6	Some tech/caat	189	7.5%
7	Complete caat/tech	358	14.2%
8	Some university	192	7.6%
9	B.A.	346	13.7%
10	Masters	83	3.3%
11	Profesnl,ph.D.	58	2.3%
98	D.K.	4	
99	Refused	7	

REFN2: Highest Level of Education Completed

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN3: Respondent's Present Employment Status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2509 /-] [Invalid=2362 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Are you presently working for pay? Or are you unemployed? Retired? A student? A homemaker? Or something else?
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #refn8. All others go to #refn4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working now	1563	62.3%
2	Laid off	10	0.4%
3	Unemployed	175	7.0%
4	Disabled	19	0.8%
5	Retired	357	14.2%
6	Student	177	7.1%
7	Homemaker	208	8.3%
8	D.K.	13	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN4: Respondent's Main Occupation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1113-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2378 /-] [Invalid=2493 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #refn3.
Literal question	What is your main occupation? (If respondent is working) / What was your main occupation? (If respondent is laid off, unemployed, or retired) / What is your family's principal wage earner's main occupation? (If respondent is disabled or a student)/ What is your spouse's main occupation? (If respondent is a homemaker)
Interviewer's instructions	RECORD EXACT OCCUPATION END WITH ///. If unemployed, record most recent occupation
Notes	The text of the question was modified depending on the respondent's answer to item refn3. For example retired respondents were asked "What WAS your main occupation".

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1113		10	0.4%
1116		7	0.3%
1119		3	0.1%
1130		21	0.9%
1131		1	0.0%
1132		1	0.0%
1133		8	0.3%
1134		1	0.0%
1135		19	0.8%
1136		5	0.2%
1137		35	1.5%
1141		1	0.0%
1142		11	0.5%

REFN4: Respondent's Main Occupation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1143		6	0.3%
1145		7	0.3%
1147		3	0.1%
1149		5	0.2%
1151		1	0.0%
1152		4	0.2%
1153		2	0.1%
1155		1	0.0%
1157		8	0.3%
1158		4	0.2%
1171		63	2.6%
1173		1	0.0%
1174		11	0.5%
1179		21	0.9%
2111		4	0.2%
2117		1	0.0%
2119		1	0.0%
2131		3	0.1%
2133		3	0.1%
2135		2	0.1%
2139		1	0.0%
2141		2	0.1%
2142		2	0.1%
2143		12	0.5%
2144		9	0.4%
2145		10	0.4%
2147		14	0.6%
2153		2	0.1%
2157		5	0.2%
2161		4	0.2%
2163		12	0.5%
2164		4	0.2%
2165		12	0.5%
2183		34	1.4%
2311		4	0.2%
2315		5	0.2%
2319		1	0.0%
2331		12	0.5%
2333		5	0.2%
2343		9	0.4%
2349		1	0.0%
2351		8	0.3%
2353		1	0.0%
2399		3	0.1%
2511		9	0.4%

REFN4: Respondent's Main Occupation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2711		10	0.4%
2719		3	0.1%
2731		59	2.5%
2733		33	1.4%
2739		2	0.1%
2791		7	0.3%
2792		5	0.2%
2793		4	0.2%
2795		2	0.1%
2799		1	0.0%
3111		10	0.4%
3113		2	0.1%
3115		1	0.0%
3117		1	0.0%
3119		1	0.0%
3130		2	0.1%
3131		61	2.6%
3134		5	0.2%
3135		16	0.7%
3136		1	0.0%
3137		2	0.1%
3138		1	0.0%
3139		4	0.2%
3151		6	0.3%
3152		7	0.3%
3155		2	0.1%
3156		11	0.5%
3158		5	0.2%
3169		1	0.0%
3311		5	0.2%
3313		7	0.3%
3314		4	0.2%
3315		1	0.0%
3319		1	0.0%
3330		2	0.1%
3332		3	0.1%
3334		1	0.0%
3335		2	0.1%
3337		1	0.0%
3339		2	0.1%
3351		10	0.4%
3360		2	0.1%
3370		5	0.2%
3375		1	0.0%
4111		72	3.0%

REFN4: Respondent's Main Occupation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4113		5	0.2%
4130		1	0.0%
4131		44	1.9%
4133		43	1.8%
4135		8	0.3%
4137		1	0.0%
4139		2	0.1%
4140		1	0.0%
4141		1	0.0%
4143		14	0.6%
4150		4	0.2%
4151		1	0.0%
4153		7	0.3%
4155		7	0.3%
4157		1	0.0%
4161		3	0.1%
4170		1	0.0%
4171		28	1.2%
4172		6	0.3%
4173		5	0.2%
4175		6	0.3%
4177		2	0.1%
4179		3	0.1%
4190		12	0.5%
4191		1	0.0%
4192		1	0.0%
4193		2	0.1%
4194		6	0.3%
4195		1	0.0%
4197		47	2.0%
4199		18	0.8%
5130		61	2.6%
5131		3	0.1%
5133		14	0.6%
5135		103	4.3%
5141		1	0.0%
5145		2	0.1%
5149		1	0.0%
5170		6	0.3%
5171		15	0.6%
5172		8	0.3%
5173		1	0.0%
5177		1	0.0%
5179		1	0.0%
5190		3	0.1%

REFN4: Respondent's Main Occupation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5191		2	0.1%
5193		3	0.1%
6111		5	0.2%
6112		9	0.4%
6113		1	0.0%
6115		24	1.0%
6116		1	0.0%
6117		5	0.2%
6119		1	0.0%
6120		14	0.6%
6121		27	1.1%
6123		12	0.5%
6125		25	1.1%
6129		1	0.0%
6130		4	0.2%
6133		5	0.2%
6142		7	0.3%
6143		13	0.5%
6145		2	0.1%
6147		12	0.5%
6149		1	0.0%
6160		1	0.0%
6162		1	0.0%
6190		1	0.0%
6191		18	0.8%
6198		7	0.3%
7113		39	1.6%
7115		45	1.9%
7119		1	0.0%
7180		1	0.0%
7183		2	0.1%
7185		3	0.1%
7195		10	0.4%
7197		1	0.0%
7199		1	0.0%
7313		7	0.3%
7319		2	0.1%
7510		1	0.0%
7513		7	0.3%
7516		1	0.0%
7517		1	0.0%
7518		2	0.1%
7519		4	0.2%
7711		1	0.0%
7715		2	0.1%

REFN4: Respondent's Main Occupation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7717		5	0.2%
7718		3	0.1%
7719		1	0.0%
8130		1	0.0%
8131		1	0.0%
8149		2	0.1%
8159		1	0.0%
8160		1	0.0%
8161		1	0.0%
8176		1	0.0%
8179		4	0.2%
8210		1	0.0%
8213		6	0.3%
8215		8	0.3%
8217		6	0.3%
8225		1	0.0%
8228		4	0.2%
8229		1	0.0%
8230		1	0.0%
8238		1	0.0%
8239		4	0.2%
8250		1	0.0%
8253		5	0.2%
8258		6	0.3%
8279		2	0.1%
8295		1	0.0%
8310		4	0.2%
8311		1	0.0%
8313		26	1.1%
8315		1	0.0%
8330		2	0.1%
8331		1	0.0%
8333		8	0.3%
8334		3	0.1%
8335		15	0.6%
8336		1	0.0%
8355		1	0.0%
8391		1	0.0%
8510		3	0.1%
8513		5	0.2%
8515		2	0.1%
8526		2	0.1%
8527		1	0.0%
8529		1	0.0%
8530		1	0.0%

REFN4: Respondent's Main Occupation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8533		9	0.4%
8534		1	0.0%
8535		3	0.1%
8537		9	0.4%
8541		2	0.1%
8548		1	0.0%
8553		3	0.1%
8555		1	0.0%
8562		1	0.0%
8563		10	0.4%
8569		1	0.0%
8570		1	0.0%
8580		2	0.1%
8581		27	1.1%
8582		1	0.0%
8583		1	0.0%
8584		22	0.9%
8587		1	0.0%
8589		2	0.1%
8591		2	0.1%
8593		1	0.0%
8595		16	0.7%
8599		3	0.1%
8710		2	0.1%
8711		5	0.2%
8713		1	0.0%
8715		2	0.1%
8718		4	0.2%
8719		3	0.1%
8731		2	0.1%
8733		15	0.6%
8735		7	0.3%
8780		22	0.9%
8781		24	1.0%
8782		4	0.2%
8783		2	0.1%
8784		1	0.0%
8785		2	0.1%
8786		2	0.1%
8787		2	0.1%
8791		7	0.3%
8796		3	0.1%
8798		29	1.2%
8799		5	0.2%
9111		5	0.2%

REFN4: Respondent's Main Occupation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9130		1	0.0%
9131		1	0.0%
9133		3	0.1%
9153		1	0.0%
9159		1	0.0%
9170		8	0.3%
9171		6	0.3%
9173		3	0.1%
9175		37	1.6%
9179		2	0.1%
9190		2	0.1%
9199		1	0.0%
9310		1	0.0%
9311		4	0.2%
9313		2	0.1%
9315		3	0.1%
9317		5	0.2%
9318		3	0.1%
9510		2	0.1%
9512		5	0.2%
9514		2	0.1%
9519		2	0.1%
9530		1	0.0%
9531		1	0.0%
9539		7	0.3%
9557		1	0.0%
9910		1	0.0%
9918		4	0.2%
9919		12	0.5%
9921		15	0.6%
9922		1	0.0%
9923		8	0.3%
9924		1	0.0%
9926		23	1.0%
9994	Housewife	61	2.6%
9995	Student	28	1.2%
9996	Disabled	3	0.1%
9997	Retired	25	1.1%
9998	D.K.	3	
9999	Not codeable,na	105	
Sysmiss		2385	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN5: Self-Employed or Working for Someone Else

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2407 /-] [Invalid=2464 /-]

REFN5: Self-Employed or Working for Someone Else

Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #refn3.
Literal question	Are you self employed or do you work for someone else? (If respondent is working) / Were you self employed or did you work for someone else? (If respondent is laid off, unemployed, disabled, or retired) / Is you family's principal wage eaner self employed or does he/she work for someone else? (If respondent is a student) / Is(Was) your spouse self employed or does(did) he/she work for someone else? (If respondent is a homemaker)
Post-question	If respondent answers "work for someone else", go to #refn6. All others go to #refn8.
Notes	The wording of #refn5 was modified depending on the respondent's answer to #refn3. For example retired respondents were asked "Were you self-employed, or did you work for someone else?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-employed	471	19.6%
5	Someone else	1936	80.4%
8	D.K.	90	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2362	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN6: Type of Organisation Works For

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1854 /-] [Invalid=3017 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #refn3.
Literal question	Do you work for ... (If respondent is working now) / Did you work for ... (If respondent is laid off, unemployed, disable, or retired) / Does he/she work for...(If respondent is a student) / Does your spouse work for... (If respondent is a homemaker) ...a private firm, a government organization, a government-owned enterprise, public sector.
Post-question	If respondent answers "a government organization", or "a government-owned enterprise" go to #refn7. All others go to #refn8.
Notes	"Government organization" includes Federal and Provincial ministries. "Government owned enterprises" includes organisations and companies owned by Provincial and Federal governments. "Public Sector" includes hospitals, schools, police, universities, etc.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private firm	1108	59.8%
3	Government	247	13.3%
5	Government owned	90	4.9%
7	Public sector	409	22.1%
8	D.K.	80	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2933	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN7: Type of Government Works For

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=325 /-] [Invalid=4546 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #refn3, and who answered "a government organisation", or "a government-owned enterprise" for #refn6.
Literal question	Is that the federal, the provincial or a local government?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal	114	35.1%
3	Provincial	163	50.2%
5	Local	48	14.8%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4534	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN8: Does a Household Member Belong to a Union

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2500 /-] [Invalid=2371 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	857	34.3%
5	No	1643	65.7%
8	D.K.	24	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2341	

REFN8: Does a Household Member Belong to a Union

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN9: Religious Affiliation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2495 /-] [Invalid=2376 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, another religion or none?
Post-question	If respondent provides a religion, go to #refn10. All others go to #refn12.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Protestant	753	30.2%
2	Catholic	1318	52.8%
3	Jewish	31	1.2%
5	Other	108	4.3%
7	None	285	11.4%
98	D.K.	7	
99	Refused	28	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN10: Importance of God in Respondent's Life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2202 /-] [Invalid=2669 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who stated a religion for #refn9.
Literal question	In your life, would you say God is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1199	54.5%
3	Somewhat	786	35.7%
5	Not very	155	7.0%
7	Not at all	62	2.8%
8	D.K.	16	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2644	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN12: Respondent's Country of Birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2525 /-] [Invalid=2346 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In what country were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	3	0.1%
1	Canada	2194	86.9%
2	British isles	72	2.9%
3	Middle east	11	0.4%
4	France	8	0.3%

REFN12: Respondent's Country of Birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Germany	21	0.8%
6	Greece	5	0.2%
7	Holland	16	0.6%
8	Hungary	7	0.3%
9	Italy	10	0.4%
10	Poland	9	0.4%
11	Russia/ ussr	3	0.1%
12	Usa	21	0.8%
13	Yugoslavia,etc.	6	0.2%
14	Other european	34	1.3%
15	India	9	0.4%
16	China/ hong kong	10	0.4%
17	Other asia	27	1.1%
18	Latin america	19	0.8%
19	Caribbean	19	0.8%
20	Africa	11	0.4%
21	Oceania	5	0.2%
22	Czech/slovakia/bohem	5	0.2%
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN13: Ethnic or Cultural Group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2311 /-] [Invalid=2560 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	To what ethnic or cultural group did your ancestors belong on coming to this continent?(If respondent was born in Canada or the United States) / To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? (All others)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	37	1.6%
1	English/british	432	18.7%
2	Irish	191	8.3%
3	Scottish	209	9.0%
4	Welsh	13	0.6%
5	Chinese	22	1.0%
6	Czech/slovak	11	0.5%
7	Finnish	17	0.7%
8	French	775	33.5%
9	German/austrian	123	5.3%
10	Greek	15	0.6%
11	Hungarian	17	0.7%
12	Indian/pakistani	14	0.6%
13	Italian	56	2.4%
14	Japanese	3	0.1%

REFN13: Ethnic or Cultural Group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Jewish	13	0.6%
16	Native	48	2.1%
17	Negro/black afr	15	0.6%
18	Low countries	53	2.3%
19	Polish	27	1.2%
20	Portuguese/spanish	23	1.0%
21	Russian	21	0.9%
22	Scandinavian	49	2.1%
23	Ukrainian	58	2.5%
24	W.Indian	14	0.6%
25	Oceanic	2	0.1%
26	Yugoslav,etc.	11	0.5%
27	Middle/south america	6	0.3%
28	Other asian	16	0.7%
29	Other european	7	0.3%
30	Other middle east	13	0.6%
98	D.K.	209	
99	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN14: Importance of Ethnic Background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2497 /-] [Invalid=2374 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Is your ethnic background VERY important to you, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT important AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	727	29.1%
2	Somewhat	1007	40.3%
3	Not very	486	19.5%
4	Not at all	277	11.1%
8	D.K.	30	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN15: Language Usually Spoken at Home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2527 /-] [Invalid=2344 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What language do you usually speak at home?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	French	909	36.0%
3	English	1533	60.7%

REFN15: Language Usually Spoken at Home

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Other	85	3.4%
98	D.K.	1	
99	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN16: Language First Learned and Still Understood

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2527 /-] [Invalid=2344 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is the first language you learned and still understand?
Post-question	If respondent provides a language other than French, go to #refn17. All others go to #refn18.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	French	924	36.6%
3	English	1324	52.4%
5	Other	279	11.0%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN17: Can You Carry on a Conversation in French

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1546 /-] [Invalid=3325 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "French" for #refn15, or #refn16.
Literal question	Can you carry on a conversation in French?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	219	14.2%
3	A little	237	15.3%
5	No	1090	70.5%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3324	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN18: Total Household Income>Thousands

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1788 /-] [Invalid=3083 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Could you please tell me your total household income. Be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages. TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, what was your TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME before taxes and other deductions for 1991?
Post-question	If respondent provides income, go to #refn20. Otherwise go to #refn19.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		5	0.3%

REFN18: Total Household Income>Thousands

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		5	0.3%
3		2	0.1%
4		4	0.2%
5		12	0.7%
6		13	0.7%
7		10	0.6%
8		7	0.4%
9		12	0.7%
10		32	1.8%
11		10	0.6%
12		33	1.8%
13		20	1.1%
14		12	0.7%
15		39	2.2%
16		11	0.6%
17		12	0.7%
18		34	1.9%
19		7	0.4%
20		70	3.9%
21		7	0.4%
22		22	1.2%
23		14	0.8%
24		23	1.3%
25		80	4.5%
26		8	0.4%
27		9	0.5%
28		14	0.8%
29		9	0.5%
30		113	6.3%
31		11	0.6%
32		16	0.9%
33		9	0.5%
34		6	0.3%
35		84	4.7%
36		11	0.6%
37		8	0.4%
38		12	0.7%
39		4	0.2%
40		131	7.3%
41		1	0.1%
42		13	0.7%
43		6	0.3%
44		5	0.3%
45		66	3.7%
46		6	0.3%

REFN18: Total Household Income>Thousands

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47		9	0.5%
48		12	0.7%
49		1	0.1%
50		127	7.1%
51		1	0.1%
52		9	0.5%
53		6	0.3%
54		6	0.3%
55		59	3.3%
56		4	0.2%
57		3	0.2%
58		4	0.2%
59		1	0.1%
60		96	5.4%
61		3	0.2%
62		4	0.2%
65		36	2.0%
66		1	0.1%
67		4	0.2%
68		1	0.1%
70		62	3.5%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
75		51	2.9%
77		1	0.1%
80		54	3.0%
82		1	0.1%
85		12	0.7%
86		1	0.1%
87		4	0.2%
88		1	0.1%
90		29	1.6%
92		1	0.1%
93		2	0.1%
95		3	0.2%
97		2	0.1%
99		5	0.3%
100		54	3.0%
105		4	0.2%
110		9	0.5%
120		8	0.4%
125		4	0.2%
130		4	0.2%
135		2	0.1%
140		5	0.3%

REFN18: Total Household Income>Thousands

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
144		1	0.1%
145		1	0.1%
150		13	0.7%
155		1	0.1%
160		2	0.1%
180		1	0.1%
200		13	0.7%
250		3	0.2%
300		2	0.1%
350		1	0.1%
500		1	0.1%
675		1	0.1%
900		1	0.1%
950		1	0.1%
998	D.K.	490	
999	Refused	252	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN19: Total Household Income> Categories

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=508 /-] [Invalid=4363 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	<$20,000	179	35.2%
2	$20,000-$29,999	111	21.9%
3	$30,000-$39,999	72	14.2%
4	$40,000-$49,999	51	10.0%
5	$50,000-$59,999	26	5.1%
6	$60,000-$69,999	22	4.3%
7	$70,000-$79,999	15	3.0%
8	$80,000-$89,999	8	1.6%
9	$90,000-$99,999	2	0.4%
10	>100,000	22	4.3%
98	D.K.	85	
99	Refused	152	
Sysmiss		4126	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN20: How Many Separate Phone Numbers in Residence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2522 /-] [Invalid=2349 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many SEPARATE RESIDENTIAL telephone NUMBERS do you have in this residence?

REFN20: How Many Separate Phone Numbers in Residence

Post-question	If respondent has more than one residential telephone number at their residence, go to #refn21. All others go to #refpost.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: We do NOT want the number of phones.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2243	88.9%
2		241	9.6%
3		33	1.3%
4		2	0.1%
5		2	0.1%
6		1	0.0%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFN21: Number of Phone Numbers for Children, Fax, Computer, Etc

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=277 /-] [Invalid=4594 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many of these telephone numbers are for children under 18 years of age, for FAX machines, computers etc?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	174	62.8%
1		92	33.2%
2		10	3.6%
3		1	0.4%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4592	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFPOST: Postal Code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2408 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you please tell me the first three letters of your postal code?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter ONLY the first THREE letters of the postal code
Notes	Timing of the substantive portion of the interview finished when this item was displayed.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
a0a		3	0.1%
a0b		2	0.1%
a0c		1	0.0%
a0g		3	0.1%
a0h		2	0.1%
a0j		1	0.0%
a0k		7	0.3%
a0n		5	0.2%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
a0r		1	0.0%
a1a		1	0.0%
a1b		5	0.2%
a1e		2	0.1%
a1l		1	0.0%
a1n		3	0.1%
a1v		1	0.0%
a2a		3	0.1%
a2c		1	0.0%
a2h		4	0.2%
a2n		1	0.0%
a2v		2	0.1%
b0a		3	0.1%
b0g		1	0.0%
b0h		2	0.1%
b0j		4	0.2%
b0k		3	0.1%
b0n		6	0.2%
b0p		6	0.2%
b0s		2	0.1%
b0t		1	0.0%
b0w		4	0.2%
b1a		1	0.0%
b1c		1	0.0%
b1e		1	0.0%
b1n		1	0.0%
b1p		4	0.2%
b1v		1	0.0%
b2b		1	0.0%
b2g		3	0.1%
b2h		1	0.0%
b2n		3	0.1%
b2w		3	0.1%
b2x		1	0.0%
b2y		1	0.0%
b3a		2	0.1%
b3h		1	0.0%
b3j		2	0.1%
b3l		4	0.2%
b3m		1	0.0%
b3r		2	0.1%
b3s		1	0.0%
b3w		1	0.0%
b4c		1	0.0%
b4e		1	0.0%

REFPOST: Postal Code

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
b4n		2	0.1%
b5r		1	0.0%
b6e		1	0.0%
b7b		1	0.0%
b9l		1	0.0%
c0a		18	0.7%
c0b		18	0.7%
c0v		1	0.0%
c1a		16	0.7%
c1e		1	0.0%
c1n		9	0.4%
c3w		1	0.0%
e0a		3	0.1%
e0b		5	0.2%
e0c		1	0.0%
e0e		1	0.0%
e0g		6	0.2%
e0h		2	0.1%
e0j		1	0.0%
e1a		3	0.1%
e1b		1	0.0%
e1c		2	0.1%
e1e		1	0.0%
e2a		2	0.1%
e2k		1	0.0%
e2l		4	0.2%
e3a		2	0.1%
e3b		5	0.2%
e3c		2	0.1%
e3l		2	0.1%
e3v		3	0.1%
e4v		1	0.0%
g0a		16	0.7%
g0b		1	0.0%
g0c		12	0.5%
g0e		2	0.1%
g0g		2	0.1%
g0h		3	0.1%
g0j		3	0.1%
g0k		9	0.4%
g0l		8	0.3%
g0m		6	0.2%
g0n		2	0.1%
g0p		2	0.1%
g0r		15	0.6%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
g0s		8	0.3%
g0t		3	0.1%
g0v		2	0.1%
g0w		5	0.2%
g0x		17	0.7%
g0y		3	0.1%
g0z		1	0.0%
g1a		1	0.0%
g1c		4	0.2%
g1e		4	0.2%
g1f		1	0.0%
g1g		2	0.1%
g1h		7	0.3%
g1j		2	0.1%
g1k		4	0.2%
g1l		1	0.0%
g1m		1	0.0%
g1p		3	0.1%
g1r		4	0.2%
g1s		2	0.1%
g1t		1	0.0%
g1v		6	0.2%
g1w		5	0.2%
g1x		3	0.1%
g2a		2	0.1%
g2b		2	0.1%
g2c		1	0.0%
g2e		4	0.2%
g2g		2	0.1%
g2j		2	0.1%
g2k		1	0.0%
g2l		3	0.1%
g3a		2	0.1%
g3j		1	0.0%
g3k		3	0.1%
g3y		1	0.0%
g4n		1	0.0%
g4r		5	0.2%
g4s		2	0.1%
g4w		1	0.0%
g4z		1	0.0%
g5a		2	0.1%
g5b		1	0.0%
g5c		1	0.0%
g5h		1	0.0%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
g5l		8	0.3%
g5r		4	0.2%
g5v		1	0.0%
g5y		3	0.1%
g6b		2	0.1%
g6e		1	0.0%
g6g		4	0.2%
g6k		1	0.0%
g6l		1	0.0%
g6p		2	0.1%
g6t		2	0.1%
g6v		1	0.0%
g6w		2	0.1%
g6x		2	0.1%
g6z		1	0.0%
g7a		1	0.0%
g7b		4	0.2%
g7e		1	0.0%
g7f		1	0.0%
g7g		7	0.3%
g7h		4	0.2%
g7j		1	0.0%
g7s		2	0.1%
g7x		7	0.3%
g7y		2	0.1%
g7z		2	0.1%
g8a		1	0.0%
g8c		1	0.0%
g8h		2	0.1%
g8k		1	0.0%
g8l		1	0.0%
g8p		3	0.1%
g8t		8	0.3%
g8v		1	0.0%
g8x		1	0.0%
g8y		3	0.1%
g8z		2	0.1%
g9a		2	0.1%
g9c		1	0.0%
g9m		2	0.1%
g9n		2	0.1%
g9p		3	0.1%
g9t		2	0.1%
g9x		3	0.1%
h1a		2	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
h1b		4	0.2%
h1c		1	0.0%
h1e		5	0.2%
h1f		1	0.0%
h1g		5	0.2%
h1h		4	0.2%
h1k		2	0.1%
h1l		5	0.2%
h1m		7	0.3%
h1p		2	0.1%
h1r		3	0.1%
h1s		1	0.0%
h1t		3	0.1%
h1v		1	0.0%
h1w		4	0.2%
h1x		1	0.0%
h1y		2	0.1%
h1z		2	0.1%
h2a		2	0.1%
h2b		3	0.1%
h2c		3	0.1%
h2e		5	0.2%
h2f		1	0.0%
h2g		2	0.1%
h2h		2	0.1%
h2j		6	0.2%
h2k		5	0.2%
h2l		6	0.2%
h2m		3	0.1%
h2n		2	0.1%
h2p		5	0.2%
h2r		2	0.1%
h2s		6	0.2%
h2t		2	0.1%
h2v		5	0.2%
h2w		2	0.1%
h2x		6	0.2%
h2y		1	0.0%
h3b		1	0.0%
h3c		2	0.1%
h3e		1	0.0%
h3g		1	0.0%
h3h		3	0.1%
h3j		1	0.0%
h3k		2	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
h3l		5	0.2%
h3m		1	0.0%
h3n		1	0.0%
h3r		1	0.0%
h3s		8	0.3%
h3t		2	0.1%
h3v		2	0.1%
h3w		2	0.1%
h3x		5	0.2%
h3z		3	0.1%
h4a		4	0.2%
h4b		1	0.0%
h4c		3	0.1%
h4e		4	0.2%
h4g		2	0.1%
h4h		2	0.1%
h4j		2	0.1%
h4k		2	0.1%
h4l		4	0.2%
h4n		5	0.2%
h4r		1	0.0%
h4v		3	0.1%
h4w		3	0.1%
h6f		1	0.0%
h7a		2	0.1%
h7c		1	0.0%
h7e		1	0.0%
h7f		1	0.0%
h7g		1	0.0%
h7h		2	0.1%
h7k		3	0.1%
h7l		4	0.2%
h7m		8	0.3%
h7n		3	0.1%
h7p		1	0.0%
h7r		3	0.1%
h7s		1	0.0%
h7t		2	0.1%
h7v		1	0.0%
h7w		2	0.1%
h7x		3	0.1%
h8c		1	0.0%
h8f		2	0.1%
h8m		1	0.0%
h8n		1	0.0%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
h8r		2	0.1%
h8t		4	0.2%
h8y		5	0.2%
h8z		1	0.0%
h9b		2	0.1%
h9g		1	0.0%
h9h		1	0.0%
h9j		2	0.1%
h9r		1	0.0%
h9s		2	0.1%
h9w		4	0.2%
j0a		4	0.2%
j0b		5	0.2%
j0c		1	0.0%
j0e		5	0.2%
j0g		3	0.1%
j0h		8	0.3%
j0j		6	0.2%
j0k		21	0.9%
j0l		5	0.2%
j0m		3	0.1%
j0n		3	0.1%
j0p		4	0.2%
j0r		9	0.4%
j0t		4	0.2%
j0v		4	0.2%
j0w		3	0.1%
j0x		8	0.3%
j0y		6	0.2%
j0z		9	0.4%
j1a		2	0.1%
j1c		1	0.0%
j1e		2	0.1%
j1g		5	0.2%
j1h		6	0.2%
j1j		4	0.2%
j1k		1	0.0%
j1l		3	0.1%
j1m		2	0.1%
j1n		3	0.1%
j1s		4	0.2%
j1x		4	0.2%
j1y		1	0.0%
j2b		4	0.2%
j2c		5	0.2%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
j2e		1	0.0%
j2g		4	0.2%
j2h		2	0.1%
j2j		1	0.0%
j2k		2	0.1%
j2n		1	0.0%
j2t		3	0.1%
j2v		1	0.0%
j2w		5	0.2%
j2x		2	0.1%
j3a		1	0.0%
j3b		5	0.2%
j3e		1	0.0%
j3g		1	0.0%
j3l		6	0.2%
j3m		1	0.0%
j3p		2	0.1%
j3r		1	0.0%
j3t		1	0.0%
j3v		2	0.1%
j3y		15	0.6%
j4b		4	0.2%
j4k		5	0.2%
j4l		5	0.2%
j4m		2	0.1%
j4r		3	0.1%
j4s		1	0.0%
j4t		1	0.0%
j4v		1	0.0%
j4w		2	0.1%
j4x		3	0.1%
j4y		3	0.1%
j4z		3	0.1%
j5a		1	0.0%
j5r		1	0.0%
j5y		2	0.1%
j5z		2	0.1%
j6a		7	0.3%
j6e		5	0.2%
j6j		3	0.1%
j6k		2	0.1%
j6n		1	0.0%
j6r		1	0.0%
j6s		1	0.0%
j6t		2	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
j6v		1	0.0%
j6w		5	0.2%
j6z		2	0.1%
j7a		2	0.1%
j7e		3	0.1%
j7g		2	0.1%
j7h		1	0.0%
j7j		1	0.0%
j7k		1	0.0%
j7l		2	0.1%
j7p		1	0.0%
j7r		2	0.1%
j7v		3	0.1%
j7y		5	0.2%
j7z		3	0.1%
j8c		3	0.1%
j8k		1	0.0%
j8l		2	0.1%
j8p		5	0.2%
j8r		2	0.1%
j8t		12	0.5%
j8x		2	0.1%
j8y		1	0.0%
j8z		2	0.1%
j9e		2	0.1%
j9h		2	0.1%
j9l		2	0.1%
j9p		4	0.2%
j9t		1	0.0%
j9x		6	0.2%
j9z		4	0.2%
k0a		8	0.3%
k0b		1	0.0%
k0c		6	0.2%
k0e		2	0.1%
k0g		1	0.0%
k0h		2	0.1%
k0j		2	0.1%
k0k		5	0.2%
k0l		2	0.1%
k0m		1	0.0%
k1b		1	0.0%
k1c		1	0.0%
k1e		1	0.0%
k1g		1	0.0%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
k1h		1	0.0%
k1j		2	0.1%
k1k		5	0.2%
k1l		1	0.0%
k1s		2	0.1%
k1v		4	0.2%
k1y		1	0.0%
k2a		2	0.1%
k2b		2	0.1%
k2c		1	0.0%
k2e		1	0.0%
k2g		2	0.1%
k2h		3	0.1%
k2j		1	0.0%
k2k		1	0.0%
k2l		2	0.1%
k2p		1	0.0%
k2s		1	0.0%
k4k		1	0.0%
k4m		1	0.0%
k6a		2	0.1%
k6j		1	0.0%
k6v		1	0.0%
k7h		1	0.0%
k7l		2	0.1%
k7m		2	0.1%
k7p		1	0.0%
k7s		1	0.0%
k8a		2	0.1%
k8n		1	0.0%
k9h		2	0.1%
k9j		5	0.2%
k9v		3	0.1%
l0a		1	0.0%
l0e		2	0.1%
l0g		3	0.1%
l0k		5	0.2%
l0l		1	0.0%
l0m		1	0.0%
l0n		2	0.1%
l0p		3	0.1%
l0r		2	0.1%
l0s		1	0.0%
l1a		2	0.1%
l1b		1	0.0%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
l1e		1	0.0%
l1g		1	0.0%
l1h		3	0.1%
l1j		1	0.0%
l1k		2	0.1%
l1n		2	0.1%
l1r		1	0.0%
l1s		2	0.1%
l1t		4	0.2%
l1v		1	0.0%
l1w		2	0.1%
l2e		1	0.0%
l2g		2	0.1%
l2j		2	0.1%
l2n		3	0.1%
l2r		3	0.1%
l2v		1	0.0%
l3b		1	0.0%
l3c		4	0.2%
l3g		1	0.0%
l3k		2	0.1%
l3p		5	0.2%
l3r		2	0.1%
l3s		1	0.0%
l3t		2	0.1%
l3v		2	0.1%
l3y		1	0.0%
l4a		1	0.0%
l4b		1	0.0%
l4c		2	0.1%
l4e		1	0.0%
l4g		1	0.0%
l4h		1	0.0%
l4j		4	0.2%
l4l		3	0.1%
l4m		3	0.1%
l4n		2	0.1%
l4t		1	0.0%
l4w		1	0.0%
l4y		4	0.2%
l4z		1	0.0%
l5a		1	0.0%
l5c		1	0.0%
l5g		3	0.1%
l5j		2	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
l5l		2	0.1%
l5n		3	0.1%
l5r		1	0.0%
l5v		1	0.0%
l6a		1	0.0%
l6c		1	0.0%
l6h		2	0.1%
l6j		1	0.0%
l6l		1	0.0%
l6m		2	0.1%
l6s		3	0.1%
l6t		2	0.1%
l6v		2	0.1%
l6w		2	0.1%
l6x		2	0.1%
l6y		2	0.1%
l7f		1	0.0%
l7g		1	0.0%
l7j		1	0.0%
l7l		1	0.0%
l7n		3	0.1%
l7p		1	0.0%
l7s		1	0.0%
l7t		2	0.1%
l8g		2	0.1%
l8h		1	0.0%
l8k		3	0.1%
l8l		2	0.1%
l8m		1	0.0%
l8n		1	0.0%
l8p		1	0.0%
l8s		1	0.0%
l8w		1	0.0%
l9b		1	0.0%
l9g		2	0.1%
l9h		2	0.1%
l9t		4	0.2%
l9w		1	0.0%
l9y		1	0.0%
m1e		1	0.0%
m1g		1	0.0%
m1k		3	0.1%
m1n		1	0.0%
m1p		2	0.1%
m1r		2	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
m1t		3	0.1%
m1v		4	0.2%
m2h		2	0.1%
m2j		3	0.1%
m2l		1	0.0%
m2n		1	0.0%
m2r		1	0.0%
m3a		4	0.2%
m3b		1	0.0%
m3j		1	0.0%
m3k		2	0.1%
m3l		1	0.0%
m3n		3	0.1%
m4b		2	0.1%
m4c		5	0.2%
m4e		1	0.0%
m4f		1	0.0%
m4g		2	0.1%
m4j		1	0.0%
m4k		3	0.1%
m4l		1	0.0%
m4m		1	0.0%
m4p		2	0.1%
m4r		1	0.0%
m4s		2	0.1%
m4w		1	0.0%
m4y		2	0.1%
m5a		1	0.0%
m5b		1	0.0%
m5j		1	0.0%
m5m		1	0.0%
m5n		3	0.1%
m5s		1	0.0%
m5t		2	0.1%
m5v		1	0.0%
m6b		1	0.0%
m6c		1	0.0%
m6e		1	0.0%
m6g		3	0.1%
m6j		3	0.1%
m6k		2	0.1%
m6m		1	0.0%
m6n		1	0.0%
m6p		2	0.1%
m6r		2	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
m6s		2	0.1%
m8w		1	0.0%
m8y		1	0.0%
m8z		1	0.0%
m9a		1	0.0%
m9b		1	0.0%
m9c		1	0.0%
m9n		2	0.1%
m9p		1	0.0%
m9r		3	0.1%
m9v		1	0.0%
m9w		4	0.2%
n0a		2	0.1%
n0b		3	0.1%
n0c		1	0.0%
n0e		1	0.0%
n0g		7	0.3%
n0h		1	0.0%
n0j		2	0.1%
n0l		2	0.1%
n0m		5	0.2%
n0n		1	0.0%
n0p		4	0.2%
n0r		3	0.1%
n1a		2	0.1%
n1b		1	0.0%
n1e		1	0.0%
n1g		1	0.0%
n1h		2	0.1%
n1j		1	0.0%
n1m		1	0.0%
n1r		4	0.2%
n1s		2	0.1%
n2b		1	0.0%
n2e		2	0.1%
n2h		1	0.0%
n2l		3	0.1%
n2m		2	0.1%
n2p		1	0.0%
n2t		2	0.1%
n2z		2	0.1%
n3e		1	0.0%
n3l		1	0.0%
n3p		1	0.0%
n3r		3	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
n3s		2	0.1%
n3t		1	0.0%
n3y		1	0.0%
n4g		1	0.0%
n4k		2	0.1%
n4l		1	0.0%
n4s		2	0.1%
n5a		3	0.1%
n5p		3	0.1%
n5r		1	0.0%
n5v		1	0.0%
n5w		2	0.1%
n5x		1	0.0%
n5y		1	0.0%
n5z		3	0.1%
n6a		2	0.1%
n6c		1	0.0%
n6e		1	0.0%
n6g		3	0.1%
n6h		1	0.0%
n6j		1	0.0%
n6k		3	0.1%
n7a		1	0.0%
n7f		1	0.0%
n7l		1	0.0%
n7m		3	0.1%
n7s		1	0.0%
n7t		3	0.1%
n8a		1	0.0%
n8n		1	0.0%
n8r		1	0.0%
n8w		1	0.0%
n8x		1	0.0%
n8y		2	0.1%
n9a		1	0.0%
n9c		1	0.0%
n9g		1	0.0%
n9h		2	0.1%
n9j		1	0.0%
n9r		1	0.0%
n9v		1	0.0%
p0a		1	0.0%
p0b		2	0.1%
p0c		2	0.1%
p0h		3	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
p0j		2	0.1%
p0m		4	0.2%
p0n		2	0.1%
p0t		2	0.1%
p1a		1	0.0%
p1b		1	0.0%
p1c		1	0.0%
p1l		1	0.0%
p1p		1	0.0%
p1r		1	0.0%
p1y		1	0.0%
p2l		1	0.0%
p3a		3	0.1%
p3b		4	0.2%
p3e		3	0.1%
p3h		2	0.1%
p6a		2	0.1%
p6c		1	0.0%
p6l		1	0.0%
p7a		3	0.1%
p7b		2	0.1%
p8n		1	0.0%
p9a		2	0.1%
p9j		1	0.0%
r0a		4	0.2%
r0b		3	0.1%
r0c		7	0.3%
r0e		5	0.2%
r0g		3	0.1%
r0j		4	0.2%
r0k		2	0.1%
r0l		2	0.1%
r0m		1	0.0%
r1a		1	0.0%
r1n		2	0.1%
r2c		3	0.1%
r2g		2	0.1%
r2h		3	0.1%
r2j		2	0.1%
r2k		1	0.0%
r2l		1	0.0%
r2m		4	0.2%
r2n		1	0.0%
r2r		1	0.0%
r2v		3	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
r2w		6	0.2%
r2x		3	0.1%
r2y		1	0.0%
r2z		1	0.0%
r3a		1	0.0%
r3b		3	0.1%
r3c		3	0.1%
r3e		3	0.1%
r3g		4	0.2%
r3j		3	0.1%
r3l		1	0.0%
r3m		2	0.1%
r3n		2	0.1%
r3p		1	0.0%
r3r		6	0.2%
r3t		6	0.2%
r3v		1	0.0%
r3w		1	0.0%
r3x		1	0.0%
r5a		1	0.0%
r7a		3	0.1%
r7b		3	0.1%
r8a		1	0.0%
r8n		3	0.1%
s0a		7	0.3%
s0c		4	0.2%
s0e		5	0.2%
s0g		7	0.3%
s0h		1	0.0%
s0i		1	0.0%
s0j		3	0.1%
s0k		3	0.1%
s0l		4	0.2%
s0m		3	0.1%
s0n		1	0.0%
s0p		1	0.0%
s3n		1	0.0%
s4h		4	0.2%
s4n		3	0.1%
s4p		1	0.0%
s4r		2	0.1%
s4t		5	0.2%
s4x		2	0.1%
s4z		1	0.0%
s6c		1	0.0%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
s6h		1	0.0%
s6v		5	0.2%
s7h		3	0.1%
s7j		2	0.1%
s7k		7	0.3%
s7l		4	0.2%
s7m		1	0.0%
s7n		4	0.2%
s9a		1	0.0%
s9h		3	0.1%
t0a		4	0.2%
t0b		8	0.3%
t0c		5	0.2%
t0e		7	0.3%
t0g		4	0.2%
t0h		8	0.3%
t0j		2	0.1%
t0k		6	0.2%
t0l		6	0.2%
t0m		8	0.3%
t1a		2	0.1%
t1b		2	0.1%
t1e		1	0.0%
t1h		4	0.2%
t1r		2	0.1%
t1v		2	0.1%
t1y		4	0.2%
t2a		4	0.2%
t2c		1	0.0%
t2e		4	0.2%
t2h		1	0.0%
t2j		5	0.2%
t2k		7	0.3%
t2l		1	0.0%
t2m		3	0.1%
t2n		2	0.1%
t2p		1	0.0%
t2r		2	0.1%
t2s		2	0.1%
t2t		1	0.0%
t2v		3	0.1%
t2w		2	0.1%
t2x		2	0.1%
t2y		2	0.1%
t3a		5	0.2%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
t3c		1	0.0%
t3e		6	0.2%
t3h		1	0.0%
t3j		1	0.0%
t3k		3	0.1%
t3l		1	0.0%
t4e		1	0.0%
t4n		3	0.1%
t4v		1	0.0%
t5a		2	0.1%
t5b		1	0.0%
t5e		2	0.1%
t5g		1	0.0%
t5h		3	0.1%
t5m		1	0.0%
t5n		2	0.1%
t5r		1	0.0%
t5t		2	0.1%
t5w		2	0.1%
t5x		2	0.1%
t5y		2	0.1%
t5z		3	0.1%
t6c		1	0.0%
t6g		2	0.1%
t6h		5	0.2%
t6j		5	0.2%
t6k		1	0.0%
t6l		4	0.2%
t6r		1	0.0%
t7n		1	0.0%
t7o		1	0.0%
t7s		2	0.1%
t7v		1	0.0%
t7x		2	0.1%
t8a		4	0.2%
t8b		1	0.0%
t8c		1	0.0%
t8l		2	0.1%
t8n		3	0.1%
t8v		1	0.0%
t9a		1	0.0%
t9e		1	0.0%
t9h		2	0.1%
t9k		1	0.0%
t9n		2	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
t9v		1	0.0%
tn4		1	0.0%
v06		1	0.0%
v0b		3	0.1%
v0c		3	0.1%
v0e		6	0.2%
v0g		2	0.1%
v0h		6	0.2%
v0j		6	0.2%
v0k		3	0.1%
v0m		1	0.0%
v0n		6	0.2%
v0r		6	0.2%
v0s		4	0.2%
v0w		2	0.1%
v0x		4	0.2%
v1c		2	0.1%
v1g		1	0.0%
v1j		2	0.1%
v1l		1	0.0%
v1m		1	0.0%
v1s		1	0.0%
v1t		3	0.1%
v1v		1	0.0%
v1w		1	0.0%
v1x		3	0.1%
v1y		2	0.1%
v2a		3	0.1%
v2b		1	0.0%
v2c		1	0.0%
v2e		3	0.1%
v2g		2	0.1%
v2j		3	0.1%
v2k		1	0.0%
v2l		3	0.1%
v2m		1	0.0%
v2p		2	0.1%
v2s		1	0.0%
v2t		2	0.1%
v2v		3	0.1%
v2x		4	0.2%
v3a		6	0.2%
v3b		3	0.1%
v3e		1	0.0%
v3h		2	0.1%

REFPOST: Postal Code

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
v3j		6	0.2%
v3k		3	0.1%
v3m		5	0.2%
v3r		6	0.2%
v3s		4	0.2%
v3v		1	0.0%
v3w		4	0.2%
v3y		1	0.0%
v4a		2	0.1%
v4b		2	0.1%
v4c		3	0.1%
v4m		1	0.0%
v4p		1	0.0%
v5e		1	0.0%
v5g		1	0.0%
v5h		2	0.1%
v5j		1	0.0%
v5l		3	0.1%
v5m		1	0.0%
v5n		1	0.0%
v5s		2	0.1%
v5t		2	0.1%
v5w		1	0.0%
v5x		1	0.0%
v5z		3	0.1%
v6a		2	0.1%
v6e		4	0.2%
v6g		1	0.0%
v6j		4	0.2%
v6k		3	0.1%
v6m		1	0.0%
v6n		2	0.1%
v6r		3	0.1%
v6t		1	0.0%
v6v		1	0.0%
v6w		1	0.0%
v6y		1	0.0%
v7b		1	0.0%
v7c		1	0.0%
v7e		3	0.1%
v7k		2	0.1%
v7m		4	0.2%
v7r		1	0.0%
v7t		1	0.0%
v7v		1	0.0%

REFPOST: Postal Code

REFPOST: Postal Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
v8a		2	0.1%
v8b		1	0.0%
v8j		1	0.0%
v8l		2	0.1%
v8n		3	0.1%
v8p		1	0.0%
v8r		1	0.0%
v8s		1	0.0%
v8t		1	0.0%
v8v		3	0.1%
v8x		2	0.1%
v8z		1	0.0%
v9a		1	0.0%
v9b		1	0.0%
v9f		1	0.0%
v9k		2	0.1%
v9l		2	0.1%
v9n		5	0.2%
v9r		3	0.1%
v9s		1	0.0%
v9w		3	0.1%
v9y		2	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFINTER: Interest of Questions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2508 /-] [Invalid=2363 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	I just have two final questions: first, would you say these questions have been VERY interesting, SOMEWHAT interesting or NOT VERY interesting?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interesting	1082	43.1%
3	Somewhat	1231	49.1%
5	Not very	195	7.8%
8	D.K.	14	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFLENG: Perceived Interview Time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2418 /-] [Invalid=2453 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And how many minutes do you think it took to complete this questionnaire?

REFLENG: Perceived Interview Time

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2	0.1%
2		7	0.3%
3		27	1.1%
4		21	0.9%
5		207	8.6%
6		44	1.8%
7		59	2.4%
8		57	2.4%
9		8	0.3%
10		715	29.6%
11		6	0.2%
12		96	4.0%
13		17	0.7%
14		10	0.4%
15		613	25.4%
16		6	0.2%
17		15	0.6%
18		4	0.2%
20		301	12.4%
21		3	0.1%
22		4	0.2%
23		2	0.1%
24		2	0.1%
25		45	1.9%
26		1	0.0%
27		3	0.1%
28		1	0.0%
30		114	4.7%
33		2	0.1%
35		4	0.2%
38		1	0.0%
40		2	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
45		9	0.4%
60		7	0.3%
65		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%
98	D.K.	105	
99	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFBLISH: Occupation: Respondent> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20.38-101.74] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2252 /-] [Invalid=2619 /-] [Mean=43.957 /-] [StdDev=14.171 /-]

# **REFBLISH: Occupation: Respondent> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score**	
Universe	All respondents

# **REFPINPR: Respondent> Pineo-Porter 1981 Category**	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*/19/20]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2350 /-] [Invalid=2521 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No answer	0	
1	Self-emp profs	24	1.0%
2	Employed profs	286	12.2%
3	Hi-level managers	64	2.7%
4	Semi-profs	189	8.0%
5	Technicians	47	2.0%
6	Mid-managers	142	6.0%
7	Supervisors	110	4.7%
8	Foremen/women	59	2.5%
9	Sk.Clerical+sales	182	7.7%
10	Skilled crafts	224	9.5%
11	Farmers	85	3.6%
12	Semi-sk.Cl.+sales	285	12.1%
13	Semi-skilled manual	163	6.9%
14	Unsk.Cler.+sales	126	5.4%
15	Unskilled manual	258	11.0%
16	Farm labourers	17	0.7%
17	Student	28	1.2%
18	Homemaker	61	2.6%
19	D.K.	3	
20	Not codeable,na	133	
Sysmiss		2385	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **PRPROV: Province of Interview**	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nfld	47	2.1%
1	Pei	60	2.7%
2	N.S.	57	2.6%
3	N.B.	43	1.9%
4	Quebec	858	38.6%
5	Ontario	491	22.1%
6	Manitoba	110	4.9%
7	Sask.	93	4.2%
8	Alberta	220	9.9%
9	B.C.	244	11.0%

PRPROV: Province of Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRDAY: Daily Sample

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-32] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-] [Mean=16.202 /-] [StdDev=9.02 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PRSUBS: Sample Replicate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		574	25.8%
2		549	24.7%
3		572	25.7%
4		469	21.1%
5		59	2.7%
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRAREA: Telephone Area Code

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 204-902] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-] [Mean=524.781 /-] [StdDev=167.324 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PRDATE: Date of Interview [MMDDYY]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 103192-120292] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-] [Mean=110412.243 /-] [StdDev=1559.234 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PRLANG: Language of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E	English	1430	64.3%
F	French	793	35.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRINTN: Interviewer's Number

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		170	7.6%

PRINTN: Interviewer's Number

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		58	2.6%
8		57	2.6%
9		73	3.3%
19		34	1.5%
35		55	2.5%
66		57	2.6%
70		136	6.1%
81		143	6.4%
84		20	0.9%
86		21	0.9%
101		121	5.4%
104		23	1.0%
108		125	5.6%
114		17	0.8%
121		95	4.3%
123		86	3.9%
124		25	1.1%
126		148	6.7%
127		138	6.2%
140		182	8.2%
141		12	0.5%
146		26	1.2%
209		207	9.3%
226		62	2.8%
239		14	0.6%
828		108	4.9%
999	Supervisor	10	0.4%
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRATEMPT: Total Number of Call Attempts

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-33] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-] [Mean=3.619 /-] [StdDev=3.948 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PRREFUS: Number of Refusals Before Completion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2154	96.9%
1		66	3.0%
2		3	0.1%
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRCONT: Total Times Respondent Contacted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1735	78.0%
2		375	16.9%
3		85	3.8%
4		20	0.9%
5		5	0.2%
6		2	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRANS: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-30] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-] [Mean=2.532 /-] [StdDev=2.775 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PRTIME: Length of Interview

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-79] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-] [Mean=10.253 /-] [StdDev=3.14 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	Units are in minutes.

PRRES: Result Code

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	2223	100.0%
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRRN1: Question Ordering

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1090	49.0%
2		1133	51.0%
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRJF1: Timer [prb4b]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-21147] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1773 /-] [Invalid=3098 /-] [Mean=197.645 /-] [StdDev=555.555 /-]

# PRJF1: Timer [prb4b]	
Universe	All respondents

# PRJF2: Timer [prh26]	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9041] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-] [Mean=437.538 /-] [StdDev=586.823 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# PRJF3: Timer [prh27]	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10090] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-] [Mean=596.754 /-] [StdDev=733.363 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# PRCOMM: Comments Recorded by Interviewer	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	84	3.8%
5	No	2139	96.2%
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# PRRGEN: Respondent's Gender	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter Respondent's gender.
Notes	Code at this item initialises callback routine, assigns a run time command to a function key, begins timing the substantive portion of the interview, and stores the respondent's first name (from Pre-Referendum questionnaire) in the variable "name".

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1102	49.6%
5	Female	1121	50.4%
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# PRA1: How Do You Feel About Outcome of the Referendum	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2027 /-] [Invalid=2844 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about the OUTCOME OF THE REFERENDUM? Are you VERY pleased, PLEASED, DISpleased, or VERY displeased?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very pleased	464	22.9%
3	Pleased	1006	49.6%
5	Displeased	477	23.5%
7	Very displeased	80	3.9%
8	D.K.	180	

PRA1: How Do You Feel About Outcome of the Referendum

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
9	Refused		16	
Sysmiss			2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRA2: Proposals Mentioned by Media and Politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2217 /-] [Invalid=2654 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What proposals in the agreement do you remember the media and politicians talking about before the referendum vote?
Post-question	Go to #prb1.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter ONLY the first THREE proposals mentioned. PROBE WITH "Do you remember anything else?" If the respondent only mentions two proposals or less.

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Answered		1551		70.0%
8	D.K.		666		30.0%
9	Refused		6		
Sysmiss			2648		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRA2A: Amount of Knowledge About Proposals Mentioned

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1510 /-] [Invalid=3361 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
0	Nocorrectmention		561		37.2%
1	1correct mention		422		27.9%
2	2correctmentions		358		23.7%
3	3correctmentions		169		11.2%
Sysmiss			3361		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRA2B: Specific Proposal> Aboriginal People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1510 /-] [Invalid=3361 /-]
Universe	Entered only if respondent mentioned proposal for #refa2.

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned		591		39.1%
1	Mentioned		919		60.9%
Sysmiss			3361		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRA2C: Specific Proposal> Senate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1510 /-] [Invalid=3361 /-]
Universe	Entered only if respondent mentioned proposal for #refa2.

PRA2C: Specific Proposal> Senate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	950		62.9%
1	Mentioned	560		37.1%
Sysmiss		3361		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRA2D: Specific Proposal> Distinct Society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1510 /-] [Invalid=3361 /-]
Universe	Entered only if respondent mentioned proposal for #refa2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	1202		79.6%
1	Mentioned	308		20.4%
Sysmiss		3361		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRA2E: Specific Proposal> Distribution of Seats

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1510 /-] [Invalid=3361 /-]
Universe	Entered only if respondent mentioned proposal for #refa2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	1232		81.6%
1	Mentioned	278		18.4%
Sysmiss		3361		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRA2F: Specific Proposal> Quebec/French

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1510 /-] [Invalid=3361 /-]
Universe	Entered only if respondent mentioned proposal for #refa2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	1178		78.0%
1	Mentioned	332		22.0%
Sysmiss		3361		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRA2G: Specific Proposal> Women's Issues

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1510 /-] [Invalid=3361 /-]
Universe	Entered only if respondent mentioned proposal for #refa2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not mentioned	1401		92.8%
1	Mentioned	109		7.2%
Sysmiss		3361		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **PRA2H: Specific Proposal> Veto/Unanimity**					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1509 /-] [Invalid=3362 /-]			
Universe		Entered only if respondent mentioned proposal for #refa2.			
Value	**Label**		**Cases**	**Percentage**	
0	Not mentioned		1417		93.9%
1	Mentioned		92	6.1%	
Sysmiss			3362		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

# **PRA2I: Specific Proposal> Powers-Federal and Provincial**					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1508 /-] [Invalid=3363 /-]			
Universe		Entered only if respondent mentioned proposal for #refa2.			
Value	**Label**		**Cases**	**Percentage**	
0	Not mentioned		1401		92.9%
1	Mentioned		107	7.1%	
Sysmiss			3363		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

PRB1: Did You Vote in the Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2219 /-] [Invalid=2652 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did YOU vote in the referendum?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #prb3. If respondent answers "no", go to #prb2. All others go to #prb5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1930	87.0%
5	No	289	13.0%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRB2: Why Did You Not Vote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99/97]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=278 /-] [Invalid=4593 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not vote in the referendum, as indicated in #prb1.
Literal question	Why did you NOT vote?
Post-question	Go to #prb5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not interested	45	16.2%
1	Opposed to ref	3	1.1%
2	Angryway conducted	2	0.7%
3	Spoiled ballot	1	0.4%
4	Not enumerated	47	16.9%
5	Health/ absence	63	22.7%
6	Myvote notmatter	14	5.0%
7	Too busy	6	2.2%
8	Not understand issue	37	13.3%
9	Not eligible	9	3.2%
10	Work commitments	24	8.6%
11	Not get to booth	24	8.6%
12	Undecided	3	1.1%
97	Not codeable	5	
98	D.K.	5	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4582	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRB3: Did You Vote Yes or Did You Vote No

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1784 /-] [Invalid=3087 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the referendum, as indicated in #prb1.
Literal question	Did you vote YES, or did you vote NO?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", or "no", go to #prb4a. All others go to #prb5.

PRB3: Did You Vote Yes or Did You Vote No

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Voted yes	696		39.0%
5	Voted no	1077		60.4%
7	Spoiled ballot	11	0.6%	
8	D.K.	4		
9	Refused	142		
Sysmiss		2941		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRB4A: Preference for the Vote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1761 /-] [Invalid=3110 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who stated that they voted "yes" or "no" for #prb3.
Literal question	Would you say your preference for the YES side was VERY strong, FAIRLY strong, or NOT VERY strong? (If respondent answered "yes" for #prb3.) Would you say your preference for the NO side was VERY strong, FAIRLY strong, or NOT VERY strong? (If respondent answered "no" for #prb3.)
Notes	The wording of item prb4a was modified depending on the respondent's answer to item prb3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very strong	709		40.3%
3	Fairly strong	739		42.0%
5	Not very strong	313	17.8%	
8	D.K.	12		
9	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		3098		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRB4B: Did You Change Your Mind on How to Vote During Campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1768 /-] [Invalid=3103 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	INTERVIEWER: The next question is a response time item. You will be asked to read the question, then enter g as you finish reading the last word of the question. When the respondent gives you a substantive response, enter g again. After entering the second g the response categories will be displayed.
Literal question	Did you change your mind on how to vote during the campaign?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #prb4c. All others go to #prb5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION! INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS TO ANSWER.
Notes	A response time experiment was performed at #prb4b. The elapsed time between the interviewer reading the last word of the question and the respondent beginning to answer was measured in 100ths of a second increments.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	376	21.3%	
5	No	1392		78.7%
8	D.K.	5		
9	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		3098		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRB4C: What Made You Change Your Mind

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999/9997]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=351 /-] [Invalid=4520 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who changed their mind on how to vote during the campaign, as indicated in #prb4b.
Literal question	What made you change your mind?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter all information given by respondent.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Readcopy agreement	26	7.4%
2	Talked & listened	38	10.8%
3	Media,tv,radio	31	8.8%
4	Attended meetings	2	0.6%
5	Debate	5	1.4%
9	Got more info	37	10.5%
10	Proposals/content	16	4.6%
11	25% seats for pq	4	1.1%
12	> seats in house	1	0.3%
13	Senate	2	0.6%
14	Aboriginal selfgov	3	0.9%
15	Social charter	1	0.3%
16	Too many parts	7	2.0%
17	No legal text	2	0.6%
18	Besttheycould do	14	4.0%
19	Not enough time	21	6.0%
20	Supporters	10	2.8%
21	Trudeau	7	2.0%
22	Mulroney	4	1.1%
23	Parizeau	3	0.9%
24	Bob white,labour	1	0.3%
25	Elected reps	1	0.3%
26	Joe clark	1	0.3%
27	Vanderzalm	1	0.3%
28	Bourassa	6	1.7%
29	Preston manning	2	0.6%
30	Campaign,ads	6	1.7%
31	Threats,yes	6	1.7%
32	Threats,no	6	1.7%
33	Notwarrantchange	5	1.4%
34	No side convince	5	1.4%
35	Yesside convince	5	1.4%
36	Felt threatened	11	3.1%
40	Cdn/que issues	1	0.3%
41	Que get too much	7	2.0%
42	Notwant pq separate	5	1.4%
43	Keepcanadatogether	12	3.4%
44	Treat all same	7	2.0%
51	Economy	6	1.7%

PRB4C: What Made You Change Your Mind

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
52	Free trade	1	0.3%
53	Settle, move on	16	4.6%
54	Polls-prediction	2	0.6%
59	Flipped a coin	4	1.1%
9997	Not codeable	21	
9998	D.K.	4	
9999	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4495	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRB5: Did You See the TV Debate Between Robert Bourassa and Jacques Parizeau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=855 /-] [Invalid=4016 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Did you see the TV debate between Robert Bourassa and Jacques Parizeau?
Post-question	If respondent refuses to answer, go to #prc1. All others go to #prc6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	554	64.8%
5	No	301	35.2%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4013	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRC1: Proposal to Recognize Aboriginal Peoples to Govern Themselves

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2082 /-] [Invalid=2789 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Do you AGREE or DISAGREE with the following proposals?
Literal question	Recognizing the right of Canada's Aboriginal peoples to govern themselves. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	1342	64.5%
5	Disagree	740	35.5%
8	D.K.	132	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRC2: Proposal to Guarantee Quebec One Quarter of Seats in the House of Commons

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2053 /-] [Invalid=2818 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Giving Quebec a guarantee of one quarter of the seats in the House of Commons. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	733	35.7%

PRC2: Proposal to Guarantee Quebec One Quarter of Seats in the House of Commons

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Disagree	1320	64.3%
8	D.K.	160	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRC3: Proposal to Recognize Quebec as Distinct Society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2105 /-] [Invalid=2766 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Recognizing Quebec as a distinct society. (Do you AGREE or DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Agree	1237	58.8%
5	Disagree	868	41.2%
8	D.K.	112	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRC4: In Recognizing Quebec as a Distinct Society, Did the Agreement Go:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1988 /-] [Invalid=2883 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did the agreement go TOO FAR, JUST FAR ENOUGH or NOT FAR ENOUGH in recognizing Quebec as a distinct society?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too far	699	35.2%
3	Just far enough	720	36.2%
5	Not far enough	569	28.6%
8	D.K.	226	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRC6: Each Province Should Have an Equal Number of Senators, or Bigger Provinces Should Have More Senators

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2066 /-] [Invalid=2805 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think each province should HAVE AN EQUAL NUMBER of Senators, or should BIGGER provinces have MORE Senators?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Even if "R" wants to abolish the Senate, She/He MAY have an opinion on these items. DO NOT enter 7 unless told by Respondent. BIGGER MEANS PROVINCES WITH THE MOST PEOPLE.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Equal number	991	48.0%
3	Bigger= more	0	
5	Right amount	979	47.4%

PRC6: Each Province Should Have an Equal Number of Senators, or Bigger Provinces Should Have More Senators

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Abolish senate	96	4.6%
8	D.K.	153	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRC7: Agreement Gave Senate How Much Power:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1681 /-] [Invalid=3190 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did the agreement give the Senate TOO MUCH, TOO LITTLE, or about the RIGHT AMOUNT OF POWER?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Even if "R" wants to abolish the Senate, She/He MAY have an opinion on these items. DO NOT enter 7 unless told by Respondent.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Too much	500	29.7%
3	Too little	367	21.8%
5	Right amount	733	43.6%
7	Abolish senate	81	4.8%
8	D.K.	531	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRC8: Should We Recognize Aboriginal Self Government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2007 /-] [Invalid=2864 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	EVEN THOUGH NONE of the other parts of the agreement will be implemented, do you think we should recognize Aboriginal self government?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1313	65.4%
5	No	694	34.6%
8	D.K.	209	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRD1: Who Should Have Final Say in Changing the Constitution

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2131 /-] [Invalid=2740 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Who should have the final say in changing the Constitution? The people in a referendum, or our elected representatives at the Federal and Provincial levels?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	People in ref	1480	69.5%
3	Elected reps	585	27.5%

PRD1: Who Should Have Final Say in Changing the Constitution

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Depends,both,neither	66	3.1%
8	D.K.	91	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRD2: What Should We Do Now> Come Up With a Better Deal or Put Constitution Aside

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2138 /-] [Invalid=2733 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What should we do now should we try to come up with a better deal, or should we put the constitution aside?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better deal	651	30.4%
5	Put aside	1466	68.6%
7	Both	21	1.0%
8	D.K.	82	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRD3: Agreement Could be Reached That Would be Acceptable to Most Canadians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2142 /-] [Invalid=2729 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Is it VERY likely, SOMEWHAT likely, somewhat UNLIKELY or VERY unlikely that an agreement could be reached that would be acceptable to most Canadians?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	238	11.1%
3	Somewhat likely	658	30.7%
5	Somewhat unlikely	592	27.6%
7	Very unlikely	654	30.5%
8	D.K.	79	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRD4: Should Quebec Have a Referendum on Whether They Want to Stay in Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2027 /-] [Invalid=2844 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Should Quebec have a referendum on whether they want to stay in Canada before Constitutional talks start again?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1256	62.0%
5	No	618	30.5%
7	It is up to quebec	153	7.5%

PRD4: Should Quebec Have a Referendum on Whether They Want to Stay in Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	187	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRD5: Federalism as it is Now or Sovereigty

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=753 /-] [Invalid=4118 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	If you had to choose between federalism AS IT IS NOW and Quebec sovereignty, that is Quebec is no longer a part of Canada, which would you choose?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federalism as is now	407	54.1%
5	Quebec sovereignty	346	45.9%
8	D.K.	96	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		4013	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRD8: Likeliness of Quebec Separating from Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2099 /-] [Invalid=2772 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Is it VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY or VERY UNLIKELY that Quebec WILL separate from Canada?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	185	8.8%
3	Somewhat likely	482	23.0%
5	Somewhat unlikely	776	37.0%
7	Very unlikely	656	31.3%
8	D.K.	120	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRD9: If Quebec Separates> Closeness of Quebec and Canada's Economic Association

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2038 /-] [Invalid=2833 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	IF Quebec separates, should Quebec and Canada form an economic association that is very close, fairly close, not very close, or should there be no economic association at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very close	625	30.7%
3	Fairly close	835	41.0%
5	Not very close	173	8.5%
7	No economic assoc.	405	19.9%

PRD9: If Quebec Separates> Closeness of Quebec and Canada's Economic Association

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	170	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH2: How Do You Feel About> Pierre Trudeau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2174 /-] [Invalid=2697 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	I am going to name some people and ask you how you feel about them on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 and 100 are positive. Ratings between 0 and 50 are negative. You may use any number from 0 to 100. IF NEEDED: We will be asking only a small portion of the questions, just to see if attitudes and opinions have changed over the course of the Referendum.
Literal question	How do you FEEL about PIERRE TRUDEAU? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	211	9.7%
1		4	0.2%
2		11	0.5%
3		8	0.4%
4		1	0.0%
5		30	1.4%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
8		3	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		87	4.0%
15		10	0.5%
20		77	3.5%
22		1	0.0%
25		75	3.4%
28		1	0.0%
30		83	3.8%
35		18	0.8%
38		2	0.1%
39		2	0.1%
40		162	7.5%
42		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		41	1.9%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		2	0.1%
49		19	0.9%
50		434	20.0%
51		9	0.4%

PRH2: How Do You Feel About> Pierre Trudeau

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
52		5	0.2%
53		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		43	2.0%
56		2	0.1%
58		1	0.0%
60		210	9.7%
62		2	0.1%
65		40	1.8%
67		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		140	6.4%
72		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		141	6.5%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		115	5.3%
85		16	0.7%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		58	2.7%
92		1	0.0%
95		17	0.8%
98		5	0.2%
99		6	0.3%
100	Very positive	61	2.8%
998	D.K.	44	
999	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH3: How Do You Feel About> Brian Mulroney

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2194 /-] [Invalid=2677 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you FEEL about BRIAN MULRONEY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	362	16.5%
1		28	1.3%
2		21	1.0%
3		7	0.3%

PRH3: How Do You Feel About> Brian Mulroney

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		2	0.1%
5		52	2.4%
7		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		147	6.7%
12		2	0.1%
15		33	1.5%
18		1	0.0%
20		141	6.4%
23		2	0.1%
25		110	5.0%
26		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		182	8.3%
31		1	0.0%
32		2	0.1%
33		2	0.1%
35		31	1.4%
38		2	0.1%
40		235	10.7%
42		1	0.0%
45		62	2.8%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		5	0.2%
49		12	0.5%
50		322	14.7%
51		6	0.3%
52		1	0.0%
55		37	1.7%
57		2	0.1%
58		1	0.0%
60		161	7.3%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
65		30	1.4%
67		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		66	3.0%
75		63	2.9%
80		22	1.0%
85		5	0.2%
86		1	0.0%
90		11	0.5%

PRH3: How Do You Feel About> Brian Mulroney

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very positive	6	0.3%
998	D.K.	23	
999	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH4: How Do You Feel About> Robert Bourassa

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2056 /-] [Invalid=2815 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you FEEL about ROBERT BOURASSA? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	136	6.6%
1		7	0.3%
2		9	0.4%
3		4	0.2%
4		4	0.2%
5		21	1.0%
6		1	0.0%
8		2	0.1%
10		74	3.6%
11		1	0.0%
15		22	1.1%
16		1	0.0%
20		83	4.0%
21		1	0.0%
23		2	0.1%
25		75	3.6%
29		1	0.0%
30		117	5.7%
35		26	1.3%
38		1	0.0%
40		196	9.5%
41		1	0.0%
45		59	2.9%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		1	0.0%
49		11	0.5%
50		483	23.5%
51		12	0.6%

PRH4: How Do You Feel About> Robert Bourassa

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
52		5	0.2%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		52	2.5%
56		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		235	11.4%
62		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		48	2.3%
68		1	0.0%
70		116	5.6%
75		115	5.6%
76		1	0.0%
80		65	3.2%
82		1	0.0%
85		10	0.5%
90		21	1.0%
91		1	0.0%
92		1	0.0%
95		8	0.4%
100	Very positive	14	0.7%
998	D.K.	161	
999	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH5: How Do You Feel About> Jean Chretien

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=2764 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	JEAN CHRETIEN (How do you FEEL about JEAN CHRETIEN?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	227	10.8%
1		9	0.4%
2		10	0.5%
3		6	0.3%
5		24	1.1%
7		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		95	4.5%
15		14	0.7%
18		1	0.0%

PRH5: How Do You Feel About> Jean Chretien

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		90	4.3%
22		2	0.1%
24		1	0.0%
25		84	4.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		134	6.4%
35		32	1.5%
40		234	11.1%
43		1	0.0%
45		41	1.9%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		6	0.3%
49		10	0.5%
50		463	22.0%
51		11	0.5%
52		3	0.1%
53		1	0.0%
55		60	2.8%
57		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		218	10.3%
61		1	0.0%
62		2	0.1%
64		1	0.0%
65		42	2.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		103	4.9%
72		2	0.1%
75		83	3.9%
78		1	0.0%
80		46	2.2%
85		2	0.1%
90		16	0.8%
92		1	0.0%
95		5	0.2%
98		2	0.1%
100	Very positive	13	0.6%
998	D.K.	112	
999	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH6: How Do You Feel About> Audrey McLaughlin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1746 /-] [Invalid=3125 /-]

PRH6: How Do You Feel About> Audrey McLaughlin

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN (How do you FEEL about AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	83	4.8%
1		4	0.2%
2		8	0.5%
3		5	0.3%
4		2	0.1%
5		18	1.0%
8		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
10		53	3.0%
12		2	0.1%
15		15	0.9%
18		1	0.1%
20		73	4.2%
21		1	0.1%
25		78	4.5%
28		1	0.1%
30		90	5.2%
32		1	0.1%
35		31	1.8%
37		1	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
40		193	11.1%
42		1	0.1%
45		42	2.4%
47		2	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		10	0.6%
50		412	23.6%
51		5	0.3%
52		4	0.2%
54		1	0.1%
55		70	4.0%
56		1	0.1%
60		229	13.1%
61		1	0.1%
62		2	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
65		50	2.9%
66		2	0.1%
68		1	0.1%
69		2	0.1%
70		99	5.7%

PRH6: How Do You Feel About> Audrey McLaughlin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
71		1	0.1%
75		74	4.2%
80		36	2.1%
85		5	0.3%
90		16	0.9%
95		4	0.2%
98		2	0.1%
100	Very positive	9	0.5%
998	D.K.	472	
999	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH7: How Do You Feel About> Premier

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1338 /-] [Invalid=3533 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you FEEL about [fill rc1] (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
Notes	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. The wording of #prh7 was modified to fill the name of the Premier from the respondent's province in rc1.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	111	8.3%
1		8	0.6%
2		11	0.8%
3		4	0.3%
5		24	1.8%
6		1	0.1%
9		1	0.1%
10		64	4.8%
12		1	0.1%
15		9	0.7%
20		61	4.6%
25		51	3.8%
27		1	0.1%
28		1	0.1%
30		83	6.2%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		12	0.9%
37		1	0.1%
38		1	0.1%
40		123	9.2%
41		1	0.1%
42		1	0.1%

PRH7: How Do You Feel About> Premier

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
44		1	0.1%
45		27	2.0%
46		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%
49		9	0.7%
50		201	15.0%
51		3	0.2%
52		5	0.4%
53		1	0.1%
54		2	0.1%
55		40	3.0%
59		1	0.1%
60		150	11.2%
61		1	0.1%
62		1	0.1%
64		1	0.1%
65		28	2.1%
70		102	7.6%
75		70	5.2%
78		1	0.1%
80		48	3.6%
85		10	0.7%
88		1	0.1%
90		35	2.6%
95		3	0.2%
97		1	0.1%
100	Very positive	21	1.6%
998	D.K.	24	
999	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3506	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH8: How Do You Feel About> Preston Manning

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1113 /-] [Invalid=3758 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	PRESTON MANNING (How do you FEEL about PRESTON MANNING?)/(The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	107	9.6%
1		9	0.8%
2		5	0.4%
5		25	2.2%
8		1	0.1%
10		68	6.1%

PRH8: How Do You Feel About> Preston Manning

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12		1	0.1%
15		8	0.7%
20		51	4.6%
22		1	0.1%
25		48	4.3%
28		1	0.1%
29		2	0.2%
30		60	5.4%
31		1	0.1%
35		18	1.6%
38		1	0.1%
40		118	10.6%
45		32	2.9%
48		1	0.1%
49		7	0.6%
50		233	20.9%
51		4	0.4%
52		2	0.2%
54		1	0.1%
55		42	3.8%
56		1	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
60		104	9.3%
65		23	2.1%
67		1	0.1%
70		47	4.2%
72		1	0.1%
75		40	3.6%
80		22	2.0%
85		2	0.2%
89		1	0.1%
90		12	1.1%
95		2	0.2%
100	Very positive	9	0.8%
998	D.K.	249	
999	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3506	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH10: How Do You Feel About> Lucien Bouchard

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=769 /-] [Invalid=4102 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	LUCIEN BOUCHARD (How do you FEEL about LUCIEN BOUCHARD?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

PRH10: How Do You Feel About> Lucien Bouchard

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	62	8.1%
2		3	0.4%
3		2	0.3%
4		1	0.1%
5		8	1.0%
10		18	2.3%
12		1	0.1%
15		9	1.2%
20		21	2.7%
22		2	0.3%
25		36	4.7%
30		24	3.1%
32		1	0.1%
35		9	1.2%
40		65	8.5%
42		1	0.1%
45		14	1.8%
50		147	19.1%
52		2	0.3%
55		22	2.9%
57		1	0.1%
58		2	0.3%
60		112	14.6%
65		13	1.7%
70		62	8.1%
75		54	7.0%
80		35	4.6%
85		5	0.7%
90		19	2.5%
92		1	0.1%
95		2	0.3%
97		1	0.1%
99		2	0.3%
100	Very positive	12	1.6%
998	D.K.	88	
999	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4013	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH11: How Do You Feel About> Jacques Parizeau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=841 /-] [Invalid=4030 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	JACQUES PARIZEAU (How do you FEEL about JACQUES PARIZEAU?) (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

PRH11: How Do You Feel About> Jacques Parizeau

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	91	10.8%
1		1	0.1%
2		6	0.7%
3		2	0.2%
4		1	0.1%
5		12	1.4%
7		1	0.1%
10		26	3.1%
12		1	0.1%
15		3	0.4%
20		24	2.9%
23		1	0.1%
25		20	2.4%
30		33	3.9%
35		5	0.6%
39		2	0.2%
40		76	9.0%
45		18	2.1%
48		2	0.2%
49		4	0.5%
50		139	16.5%
51		1	0.1%
52		2	0.2%
54		1	0.1%
55		18	2.1%
59		2	0.2%
60		101	12.0%
65		22	2.6%
69		1	0.1%
70		59	7.0%
75		56	6.7%
80		51	6.1%
85		10	1.2%
90		24	2.9%
95		5	0.6%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
100	Very positive	18	2.1%
998	D.K.	15	
999	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		4013	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH26: How Do You Feel About> Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]

# PRH26: How Do You Feel About> Canada			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2198 /-] [Invalid=2673 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	INTERVIEWER: The next two questions are response time items. You will be asked to read the question, then enter g as you finish reading the last word of the question. When the respondent gives you a substantive response, enter g again. After entering the second g the response categories will be displayed.		
Literal question	How do you feel about CANADA? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION! INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS TO ANSWER.		
Notes	An order experiment was performed with #prh26 and #prh27. A random half of the respondents were asked #rh26 followed by #prh27. The other half of the respondents were asked #prh27 followed by #prh26. Response time experiment was performed. The elapsed time between the interviewer reading the last word of the question and the respondent beginning to answer was measured in 100ths of a second increments.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	14	0.6%
1		1	0.0%
2		1	0.0%
5		5	0.2%
8		1	0.0%
10		14	0.6%
15		1	0.0%
20		10	0.5%
25		14	0.6%
30		24	1.1%
35		2	0.1%
40		47	2.1%
42		2	0.1%
45		10	0.5%
48		2	0.1%
49		4	0.2%
50		190	8.6%
51		2	0.1%
55		19	0.9%
56		1	0.0%
60		124	5.6%
65		29	1.3%
70		140	6.4%
72		1	0.0%
75		181	8.2%
77		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		259	11.8%
81		1	0.0%
85		59	2.7%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		2	0.1%

PRH26: How Do You Feel About> Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90		224	10.2%
92		3	0.1%
95		87	4.0%
97		1	0.0%
98		12	0.5%
99		34	1.5%
100	Very positive	673	30.6%
998	D.K.	18	
999	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH27: How Do You Feel About> Quebec

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2166 /-] [Invalid=2705 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about QUEBEC? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION! INTERVIEWER: ENTER <g> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS TO ANSWER.
Notes	An order experiment was performed with #prh26 and #prh27. A random half of the respondents were asked #rh26 followed by #prh27. The other half of the respondents were asked #prh27 followed by #prh26. Response time experiment was performed. The elapsed time between the interviewer reading the last word of the question and the respondent beginning to answer was measured in 100ths of a second increments.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	42	1.9%
1		3	0.1%
2		1	0.0%
3		3	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		13	0.6%
7		2	0.1%
10		31	1.4%
12		1	0.0%
15		1	0.0%
20		31	1.4%
25		33	1.5%
30		42	1.9%
35		7	0.3%
38		1	0.0%
40		79	3.6%
42		1	0.0%
45		30	1.4%
48		1	0.0%
49		7	0.3%
50		360	16.6%

PRH27: How Do You Feel About> Quebec

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
51		8	0.4%
52		1	0.0%
55		20	0.9%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		200	9.2%
65		49	2.3%
70		167	7.7%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
75		199	9.2%
76		1	0.0%
80		246	11.4%
81		1	0.0%
85		46	2.1%
88		1	0.0%
90		150	6.9%
92		2	0.1%
95		41	1.9%
97		1	0.0%
98		6	0.3%
99		19	0.9%
100	Very positive	313	14.5%
998	D.K.	51	
999	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRH1: How Do You Feel About> Politicians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2169 /-] [Invalid=2702 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you FEEL about politicians in general? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	167	7.7%
1		11	0.5%
2		12	0.6%
3		5	0.2%
4		3	0.1%
5		31	1.4%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		103	4.7%

PRH1: How Do You Feel About> Politicians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13		1	0.0%
15		20	0.9%
20		102	4.7%
22		3	0.1%
25		130	6.0%
28		2	0.1%
29		1	0.0%
30		140	6.5%
31		1	0.0%
32		2	0.1%
35		36	1.7%
38		1	0.0%
40		224	10.3%
45		60	2.8%
46		2	0.1%
48		2	0.1%
49		13	0.6%
50		557	25.7%
51		11	0.5%
52		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		54	2.5%
57		1	0.0%
60		224	10.3%
64		1	0.0%
65		36	1.7%
68		1	0.0%
70		89	4.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		69	3.2%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		28	1.3%
85		4	0.2%
90		5	0.2%
95		3	0.1%
98		1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
100	Very positive	3	0.1%
998	D.K.	48	
999	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRF1: Better or Worse Off Financially Than a Year Ago

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2204 /-] [Invalid=2667 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	I have just a few questions left.
Literal question	Would you say that you are BETTER off or WORSE off financially than you were a year ago, or about the SAME?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	289	13.1%
3	Worse off	759	34.4%
5	Aboutthe same	1156	52.5%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRF2: Better or Worse Off Financially a Year From Now

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2152 /-] [Invalid=2719 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially or WORSE off or just about the SAME as now?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	466	21.7%
3	Worse off	388	18.0%
5	Aboutthe same	1298	60.3%
8	D.K.	65	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRF3: Respondent's Year of Birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1897-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2206 /-] [Invalid=2665 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Can you tell me in what year were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1897		1	0.0%
1899		1	0.0%
1901		1	0.0%
1902		1	0.0%
1903		1	0.0%
1904		1	0.0%
1905		2	0.1%
1906		2	0.1%
1907		3	0.1%
1908		2	0.1%

PRF3: Respondent's Year of Birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1909		3	0.1%
1910		6	0.3%
1911		4	0.2%
1912		12	0.5%
1913		10	0.5%
1914		5	0.2%
1915		8	0.4%
1916		7	0.3%
1917		8	0.4%
1918		13	0.6%
1919		9	0.4%
1920		27	1.2%
1921		13	0.6%
1922		17	0.8%
1923		22	1.0%
1924		18	0.8%
1925		23	1.0%
1926		17	0.8%
1927		12	0.5%
1928		16	0.7%
1929		26	1.2%
1930		22	1.0%
1931		25	1.1%
1932		23	1.0%
1933		20	0.9%
1934		20	0.9%
1935		20	0.9%
1936		17	0.8%
1937		24	1.1%
1938		22	1.0%
1939		22	1.0%
1940		23	1.0%
1941		31	1.4%
1942		27	1.2%
1943		36	1.6%
1944		38	1.7%
1945		33	1.5%
1946		42	1.9%
1947		52	2.4%
1948		42	1.9%
1949		37	1.7%
1950		62	2.8%
1951		62	2.8%
1952		62	2.8%
1953		67	3.0%

PRF3: Respondent's Year of Birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1954		45	2.0%
1955		70	3.2%
1956		58	2.6%
1957		73	3.3%
1958		66	3.0%
1959		60	2.7%
1960		69	3.1%
1961		59	2.7%
1962		69	3.1%
1963		46	2.1%
1964		51	2.3%
1965		51	2.3%
1966		39	1.8%
1967		50	2.3%
1968		38	1.7%
1969		39	1.8%
1970		41	1.9%
1971		43	1.9%
1972		34	1.5%
1973		55	2.5%
1974		29	1.3%
1977		1	0.0%
9997	Other	0	
9998	D.K.	3	
9999	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRINTER: Interest of Questions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2215 /-] [Invalid=2656 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	I just have two final questions:
Literal question	First, would you say these questions have been VERY interesting, SOMEWHAT interesting or NOT VERY interesting?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interesting	821	37.1%
3	Somewhat	1223	55.2%
5	Not very	171	7.7%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRLENG: Respondent's Perceived Interview Time

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2156 /-] [Invalid=2715 /-]

PRLENG: Respondent's Perceived Interview Time

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And how many minutes do you think it took to complete this questionnaire?
Notes	Units are in minutes.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		3	0.1%
2		39	1.8%
3		242	11.2%
4		338	15.7%
5		826	38.3%
6		138	6.4%
7		140	6.5%
8		81	3.8%
9		12	0.6%
10		227	10.5%
11		5	0.2%
12		13	0.6%
13		4	0.2%
14		1	0.0%
15		52	2.4%
16		2	0.1%
17		1	0.0%
18		2	0.1%
20		22	1.0%
22		1	0.0%
25		4	0.2%
30		3	0.1%
98	D.K.	67	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2648	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFTYPE: Interview Type - Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pre only	307	12.1%
2	Pre&post	2223	87.9%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFLINK: Link Between Pre-Referendum and Post-Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

REFLINK: Link Between Pre-Referendum and Post-Referendum

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Goodlink/onlypre	2433	96.2%
2	Probablegoodlink	74	2.9%
3	Probable badlink	23	0.9%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFHHWGT: Household Weight - Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.508-5.075] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.508		705	27.9%
1.015		1392	55.0%
1.523		296	11.7%
2.03		97	3.8%
2.538		28	1.1%
3.045		9	0.4%
3.553		1	0.0%
4.568		1	0.0%
5.075		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFPWGT1: Provincial Weight [All] - Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.168-1.636] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.168		67	2.6%
0.666		1001	39.6%
0.827		124	4.9%
0.866		51	2.0%
0.91		101	4.0%
0.977		236	9.3%
1.166		270	10.7%
1.212		53	2.1%
1.283		64	2.5%
1.636		563	22.3%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFPWGT2: Provincial Weight [No Quebec]-Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.138-1.343] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1529 /-] [Invalid=3342 /-]
Universe	All respondents

REFPWGT2: Provincial Weight [No Quebec]-Referendum

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.138		67	4.4%
0.679		124	8.1%
0.711		51	3.3%
0.747		101	6.6%
0.802		236	15.4%
0.957		270	17.7%
0.995		53	3.5%
1.053		64	4.2%
1.343		563	36.8%
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFNWGT1: National Weight - Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.085344-4.98162] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.085344		14	0.6%
0.17052		41	1.6%
0.255864		10	0.4%
0.338328		308	12.2%
0.34104		2	0.1%
0.420116		30	1.2%
0.439928		5	0.2%
0.46228		25	1.0%
0.496316		62	2.5%
0.592328		96	3.8%
0.615696		15	0.6%
0.651764		15	0.6%
0.67599		530	20.9%
0.831088		135	5.3%
0.839405		74	2.9%
0.87899		35	1.4%
0.92365		59	2.3%
0.991655		130	5.1%
1.014318		112	4.4%
1.18349		133	5.3%
1.23018		27	1.1%
1.259521		13	0.5%
1.302245		41	1.6%
1.318918		6	0.2%
1.35198		36	1.4%
1.38593		14	0.6%
1.487971		28	1.1%
1.66054		322	12.7%

REFNWGT1: National Weight - Referendum

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1.67881		4	0.2%
1.690308		11	0.4%
1.75798		3	0.1%
1.775818		32	1.3%
1.845876		6	0.2%
1.8473		1	0.0%
1.954009		7	0.3%
1.98331		13	0.5%
2.02797		2	0.1%
2.098926		3	0.1%
2.197908		1	0.0%
2.30958		1	0.0%
2.366298		1	0.0%
2.36698		8	0.3%
2.46036		4	0.2%
2.479626		1	0.0%
2.491628		68	2.7%
2.60449		1	0.0%
2.77095		1	0.0%
2.974965		2	0.1%
3.076056		1	0.0%
3.32108		25	1.0%
3.37995		1	0.0%
3.55047		1	0.0%
3.955888		1	0.0%
4.152168		10	0.4%
4.98162		3	0.1%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REFNWGT2: National Weight [No Quebec] - Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.070104-4.089435] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1529 /-] [Invalid=3342 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.070104		14	0.9%
0.14007		41	2.7%
0.210174		10	0.7%
0.28014		2	0.1%
0.344932		30	2.0%
0.361188		5	0.3%
0.379476		25	1.6%
0.407416		62	4.1%
0.486156		96	6.3%
0.50546		15	1.0%

REFNWGT2: National Weight [No Quebec] - Referendum

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.534924		15	1.0%
0.682244		135	8.8%
0.689185		74	4.8%
0.721665		35	2.3%
0.758205		59	3.9%
0.81403		130	8.5%
0.971355		133	8.7%
1.009925		27	1.8%
1.034117		13	0.9%
1.068795		41	2.7%
1.082853		6	0.4%
1.137681		14	0.9%
1.221446		28	1.8%
1.363145		322	21.1%
1.37837		4	0.3%
1.44333		3	0.2%
1.457511		32	2.1%
1.515385		6	0.4%
1.51641		1	0.1%
1.603719		7	0.5%
1.62806		13	0.9%
1.723302		3	0.2%
1.804518		1	0.1%
1.895886		1	0.1%
1.94271		8	0.5%
2.01985		4	0.3%
2.035476		1	0.1%
2.045389		68	4.4%
2.13759		1	0.1%
2.274615		1	0.1%
2.44209		2	0.1%
2.52531		1	0.1%
2.72629		25	1.6%
2.914065		1	0.1%
3.247848		1	0.1%
3.408534		10	0.7%
4.089435		3	0.2%
Sysmiss		3342	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSPROV: Province of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSPROV: Province of Interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nfld	112	3.0%
1	P.E.I.	100	2.6%
2	N.S.	98	2.6%
3	N.B.	108	2.9%
4	P.Q.	1007	26.7%
5	Ontario	953	25.2%
6	Manitoba	228	6.0%
7	Sask.	212	5.6%
8	Alberta	485	12.8%
9	B.C.	472	12.5%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSDAY: Day of Sample Release

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-45] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=21.89 /-] [StdDev=12.538 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSSUBS: Sample Subsets -Replicate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1434	38.0%
1		443	11.7%
2		454	12.0%
3		439	11.6%
4		431	11.4%
5		394	10.4%
6		119	3.2%
7		61	1.6%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSAREA: Telephone Area Code

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 204-905] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=519.517 /-] [StdDev=172.379 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSDATE: Date of Interview [MMDDYY]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 91093-102493] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=97294.377 /-] [StdDev=4608.999 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSPANEL: RDD Respondent or Panel Respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Rdd	2341		62.0%
2	Panel	1434		38.0%
Sysmiss		1096		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSIGEN: Interviewer's Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3301 /-] [Invalid=1570 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Male	1009		30.6%
5	Female	2292		69.4%
Sysmiss		1570		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSLANG: Language of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
E	English	2850		75.5%
F	French	925	24.5%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSADULT: Number of Adults in Household

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	Entered only for Canadian citizens.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		1011	26.8%	
2		2115		56.0%
3		449	11.9%	
4		144	3.8%	
5		44	1.2%	
6		9	0.2%	
7		1	0.0%	
8		1	0.0%	
10		1	0.0%	
Sysmiss		1096		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSCOMM: Comments Recorded by Interviewer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]

CPSCOMM: Comments Recorded by Interviewer

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	374	9.9%
5	No	3401	90.1%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSINTN: Interviewer's Number [800-899=French]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3767 /-] [Invalid=1104 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		28	0.7%
19		35	0.9%
66		66	1.8%
70		214	5.7%
71		84	2.2%
81		194	5.1%
84		82	2.2%
101		72	1.9%
102		95	2.5%
103		42	1.1%
104		41	1.1%
105		28	0.7%
106		166	4.4%
108		172	4.6%
109		63	1.7%
110		33	0.9%
111		54	1.4%
112		58	1.5%
114		87	2.3%
115		69	1.8%
116		80	2.1%
118		52	1.4%
120		56	1.5%
121		37	1.0%
123		72	1.9%
127		55	1.5%
140		22	0.6%
171		78	2.1%
307		42	1.1%
313		68	1.8%
317		26	0.7%
318		83	2.2%
320		52	1.4%

CPSINTN: Interviewer's Number [800-899=French]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
501		55	1.5%
503		62	1.6%
504		70	1.9%
507		105	2.8%
510		69	1.8%
512		63	1.7%
513		24	0.6%
514		84	2.2%
703		73	1.9%
706		30	0.8%
709		22	0.6%
711		47	1.2%
713		103	2.7%
729		115	3.1%
802		46	1.2%
804		28	0.7%
806		48	1.3%
807		24	0.6%
808		35	0.9%
810		47	1.2%
840		40	1.1%
999	Supervisor	171	4.5%
Sysmiss		1104	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSATEMP: Total Number of Call Attempts

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-29] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=4.453 /-] [StdDev=3.949 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSREFUS: Number of Refusals Before Completion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3555	94.2%
1		204	5.4%
2		16	0.4%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSCONT: Total Times Respondent Contacted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSCONT: Total Times Respondent Contacted

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2402	63.6%
2		932	24.7%
3		300	7.9%
4		104	2.8%
5		27	0.7%
6		6	0.2%
7		2	0.1%
10		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSANS: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-29] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=3.207 /-] [StdDev=3.039 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSTIME: Length of Interview

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3699 /-] [Invalid=1172 /-] [Mean=39.646 /-] [StdDev=10.362 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	Units are in minutes.

CPSRES: Outcome of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	3775	100.0%
2	Partial	0	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN1: Question Wording [cpsf1a--cpsf1f]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	French language	1907	50.5%
2	Quebec	1868	49.5%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN2: Question [cpsl6a,cpsl6b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]

CPSRN2: Question [cpsl6a,cpsl6b]

Universe	All respondents		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1928	51.1%
2		1847	48.9%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN3: Question Wording [cpsl11a,cpsl11b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Doctor	1878	49.7%
2	Hospital	1897	50.3%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN4: Question Wording [cpsl11a,cpsl11b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Low income	1809	47.9%
2	Some	1966	52.1%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN5: Question Wording [cpsg4]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The gst	1922	50.9%
2	Tax like the gst	1853	49.1%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN6: Question Wording [cpsl6b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1242	32.9%
2	Such as hlthcare	1285	34.0%
3	Such as welfare	1248	33.1%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN7: Question Ordering [cpsl5a,cpsl5b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1932	51.2%
2		1843	48.8%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN8: Question Ordering [cpsf10a,cpsf10b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1844	48.8%
2		1931	51.2%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN9: Question Ordering [cpsf11a,cpsf11b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1857	49.2%
2		1918	50.8%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN10: Question Ordering [cpsg6a,cpsg6b,cpsg6c]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1124	29.8%
2		1321	35.0%
3		1330	35.2%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN11: Question Ordering [cpsl7a--l7e,cpsl8a--l8e]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		709	18.8%

CPSRN11: Question Ordering [cpsl7a--l7e,cpsl8a--l8e]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2		601		15.9%
3		684		18.1%
4		604		16.0%
5		600		15.9%
6		577		15.3%
Sysmiss		1096		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN12: Question Ordering [cpsd2a--cpsd2e]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=12.651 /-] [StdDev=6.878 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSRN13: Question Ordering [cpsd2g--cpsd2k]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=12.681 /-] [StdDev=7.021 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSRN14: Question Ordering [cpsi1a,i2a,i3a,i4a]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=12.523 /-] [StdDev=6.854 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSRN15: Question Ordering [cpsj1a--d,cpsj2a--d]

|	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1		1904		50.4%
2		1871		49.6%
Sysmiss		1096		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN16: Question Ordering [cpsj1a,j1b,j1c,j1d]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=12.779 /-] [StdDev=6.986 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSRN18: Question Ordering [cpsj2a,j2b,j2c,j2d]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=12.437 /-] [StdDev=7.203 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSRN19: Question Ordering [cpsl7a--g,cpsl8a--e]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSRN19: Question Ordering [cpsl7a--g,cpsl8a--e]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		527	14.0%
2		557	14.8%
3		521	13.8%
4		595	15.8%
5		566	15.0%
6		525	13.9%
7		484	12.8%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN20: Question Ordering [cpsl7a--g,cpsl8a--e]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1943	51.5%
2		1832	48.5%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSRN21: Question Ordering [cpsl9a,cpsl9b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1899	50.3%
2		1876	49.7%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJF1: Timer [cpsa3]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-27369] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=333.106 /-] [StdDev=649.103 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSJF2: Timer [cpsm1]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7904] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-] [Mean=362.953 /-] [StdDev=474.495 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSRGEN: Respondent's Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter respondent's gender please.

CPSRGEN: Respondent's Gender

Notes	Code at this item positions the first data item, allows the interviewer to schedule callbacks if necessary, stores an identifier for the respondent if not previously stored, and assigns a run time command to a function key.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1929	51.1%
5	Female	1846	48.9%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSA1: Most Important Issue to You Personally

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3225 /-] [Invalid=1646 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the upcoming election, what do you think will be the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Cdn unity,stability	23	0.7%
1	Quebec separation	33	1.0%
2	Protect fr lang	4	0.1%
3	Quebec shld stay	4	0.1%
4	Quebec shld leave	8	0.2%
5	Wantsbloc to win	4	0.1%
6	Recognition-quebec	13	0.4%
7	Constitutional issue	3	0.1%
8	Spoiled ballot	0	
9	Future of quebec	9	0.3%
10	Needmore jobs	1226	38.0%
11	Jobs-youngpeople	53	1.6%
12	Job security	56	1.7%
13	Jobs-resource indust	20	0.6%
14	Job training	17	0.5%
20	Financial concerns	139	4.3%
21	Debt-reduce/control	67	2.1%
22	Debt-eliminate	9	0.3%
23	Deficit-reduce/contl	331	10.3%
24	Deficit-eliminate	43	1.3%
25	Transfer payments	0	
30	Economic concerns	117	3.6%
31	Cost of living	7	0.2%
32	Interest rates	3	0.1%
33	Economic recovery	18	0.6%
34	Economic stimulation	33	1.0%
35	Farming/fishing	21	0.7%
36	Small business	17	0.5%
40	Cost of government	2	0.1%
41	Control gov spending	40	1.2%
42	Reduce perks,salary	7	0.2%
43	Fiscal responsibilty	18	0.6%

CPSA1: Most Important Issue to You Personally

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
44	Immigration costs	5	0.2%
45	Cut back welfare	5	0.2%
46	Helicopter issues	4	0.1%
50	Taxes	42	1.3%
51	Abolish gst/taxes	17	0.5%
52	Lower gst/taxes	61	1.9%
53	Taxes too high	33	1.0%
54	Taxbreak smlbusiness	4	0.1%
55	Fairer taxation	3	0.1%
60	Social programs	60	1.9%
61	Old age pensions	66	2.0%
62	Child-care	6	0.2%
63	Cutbacks-social pgms	47	1.5%
64	No uic/welfare cuts	3	0.1%
66	Education	24	0.7%
67	Education-system	5	0.2%
68	Care of elderly	1	0.0%
69	Health care	182	5.6%
70	Lack family values	13	0.4%
71	Abortion issues	5	0.2%
72	Environment issues	16	0.5%
73	Minority issues	14	0.4%
76	Crime,violence high	6	0.2%
77	Harsher penalties	2	0.1%
78	Young offenders	5	0.2%
79	Crime-other	1	0.0%
80	Free trade,nafta	15	0.5%
81	Toomuch todo usa	1	0.0%
82	Ft/nafta-good	2	0.1%
83	Jobslost-nafta	11	0.3%
84	Keepjobs in canada	5	0.2%
85	Ft/nafta-cancel	10	0.3%
86	Ft/nafta-unsure	0	
90	Get rid of kim	9	0.3%
91	Needmore integrity	92	2.9%
92	Need stable govt	49	1.5%
93	Revamp election	7	0.2%
94	Want chretian/libs	4	0.1%
95	Want a change	15	0.5%
96	Western provinces	0	
97	Concerned whowins	30	0.9%
98	D.K.,notcodeable,oth	540	
99	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSA2: How Likely Will Vote on Election Day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3723 /-] [Invalid=1148 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How likely is it that you will vote on election day? Would you say VERY LIKELY, SOMEWHAT LIKELY, SOMEWHAT UNLIKELY, or VERY UNLIKELY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very likely	3044	81.8%
3	Somewhat likely	419	11.3%
5	Somewhatunlikely	90	2.4%
7	Very unlikely	170	4.6%
8	D.K.	51	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSA3: Party You Think You Will Vote For

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2654 /-] [Invalid=2217 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	INTERVIEWER: The next question is a response time item. You will be asked to read the question, then enter c as you finish reading the last word of the question. When the respondent gives you a substantive response, enter c again. After entering the second c the response categories will be displayed.
Literal question	If you do vote, which party do you think you will vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the Bloc Quebecois or another party? (If respondent resides in the province of Quebec) If you do vote, which party do you think you will vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the Reform Party, or another party? (All others)
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cpsa5. If respondent states that they will not vote, go to #cpsb1. If respondent answers "none" or "don't know", go to #cpsa4. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #cps7a.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION! INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS TO ANSWER.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	98	3.7%
1	Conservative	544	20.5%
2	Liberal	965	36.4%
3	Ndp	178	6.7%
4	Reform	419	15.8%
5	Bloc quebecois	339	12.8%
96	Will not vote	48	1.8%
97	None	63	2.4%
98	D.K.	989	
99	Refused	132	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSA4: Party Leaning Towards

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=722 /-] [Invalid=4149 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "none", or "don't know" for #cpsa3.

CPSA4: Party Leaning Towards

Literal question	"Which party are you leaning toward now?" (If respondent answered "don't know" for #cpsa3) "Is there a party you are leaning towards?" (If respondent answered "none" for #cpsa3)
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cpsa6. All others go to #cpsa7a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	13	1.8%
1	Conservative	157	21.7%
2	Liberal	207	28.7%
3	Ndp	44	6.1%
4	Reform	81	11.2%
5	Bloc quebecois	53	7.3%
97	None	167	23.1%
98	D.K.	298	
99	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		3836	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSA5: Final Choice, or May Still Change Mind

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2546 /-] [Invalid=2325 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who provided a party for #cpsa3.
Literal question	Is your choice final, or could you change your mind?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Final	1477	58.0%
5	Could change	1069	42.0%
8	D.K.	19	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2305	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSA6: If That Party Did Not Have a Candidate, Who Would You Vote For

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2580 /-] [Invalid=2291 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who selected a party for #cpsa3/#cpsa4.
Literal question	If the Liberal party did not have a candidate, which other party would you vote for? (If respondent selected "Liberal" for #cpsa3/#cpsa4) If the Reform party did not have a candidate, which other party would you vote for? (If respondent selected "Reform" for #cpsa3/#cpsa4) If the Bloc Quebecois party did not have a candidate, which other party would you vote for? (If respondent selected "Bloc Quebecois" for #cpsa3/#cpsa4) If that party did not have a candidate, which other party would you vote for? (All others)
Post-question	If respondent answers that they would not vote, go to #cpsb1.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	167	6.5%
1	Conservative	635	24.6%
2	Liberal	623	24.1%
3	Ndp	378	14.7%
4	Reform	301	11.7%
5	Bloc quebecois	73	2.8%

CPSA6: If That Party Did Not Have a Candidate, Who Would You Vote For

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Wouldnot vote	268	10.4%
97	None	135	5.2%
98	D.K.	530	
99	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1750	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSA7A: Party Definitely Would Not Vote For-1st

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3032 /-] [Invalid=1839 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who selected a party for #cpsa6.
Literal question	For which party would you definitely NOT VOTE?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they would consider voting for all parties, "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #cpsb1. All others go to #cpsa7b.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER FIRST MENTION HERE.
Notes	The version of CASES used for the 1993 Election Study does not permit multiple answers per item. Two separate items were used in this instance to permit a FIRST and SECOND mention.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Vote all parties	94	3.1%
1	Conservative	814	26.8%
2	Liberal	443	14.6%
3	Ndp	836	27.6%
4	Reform	432	14.2%
5	Bloc quebecois	299	9.9%
6	Minor party	94	3.1%
7	Major party	20	0.7%
8	D.K.	358	
9	Refused	74	
Sysmiss		1407	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSA7B: Party Definitely Would Not Vote For-2nd

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2938 /-] [Invalid=1933 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who provided a party for #cpsa7.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If required enter SECOND MENTION.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No 2nd mention	2469	84.0%
1	Conservative	99	3.4%
2	Liberal	87	3.0%
3	Ndp	107	3.6%
4	Reform	95	3.2%
5	Bloc quebecois	49	1.7%
6	Minor party	29	1.0%
7	Major party	3	0.1%

CPSA7B: Party Definitely Would Not Vote For-2nd

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1933	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSB1: Interest in Federal Election Campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3751 /-] [Invalid=1120 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say that you are very interested, fairly interested, not very interested, or not at all interested in the campaign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	951	25.4%
3	Fairly	1738	46.3%
5	Not very	733	19.5%
7	Not at all	329	8.8%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSB2: Contacted by Local Candidate/Party Worker

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3759 /-] [Invalid=1112 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past week, were you contacted in person or by telephone by any of the local candidates or party workers in your riding?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #cpsb2a. All others go to #cpsb3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	658	17.5%
5	No	3101	82.5%
8	D.K.	16	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSB2A: Party That Candidate/Worker Was From -1st Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=618 /-] [Invalid=4253 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #cpsb2.
Literal question	Which party were they from?
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cpsb2b. All others go to #b3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	186	30.1%
2	Liberal	208	33.7%
3	Ndp	98	15.9%
4	Reform	68	11.0%
5	Bloc quebecois	41	6.6%

CPSB2A: Party That Candidate/Worker Was From -1st Mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Other	17	2.8%
98	D.K.	34	
99	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		4213	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSB2B: Party That Candidate/Worker Was From -2nd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=610 /-] [Invalid=4261 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who provided a party for #cpsb2a.
Literal question	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone in the past week?)
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #csbc. All others go to #cpsb3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	441	72.3%
1	Conservative	46	7.5%
2	Liberal	49	8.0%
3	Ndp	36	5.9%
4	Reform	22	3.6%
5	Bloc quebecois	8	1.3%
6	Other	8	1.3%
98	D.K.	7	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4253	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSB2C: Party That Candidate/Worker Was From -3rd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=169 /-] [Invalid=4702 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who provided a party for #cpsb2b.
Literal question	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone in the past week?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	135	79.9%
1	Conservative	5	3.0%
2	Liberal	7	4.1%
3	Ndp	12	7.1%
4	Reform	7	4.1%
5	Bloc quebecois	2	1.2%
6	Other	1	0.6%
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4702	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSB3: Past Week> Discussed Politics With Others

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3772 /-] [Invalid=1099 /-]

CPSB3: Past Week> Discussed Politics With Others

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Over the past week, have you discussed politics with other people?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2595	68.8%
5	No	1177	31.2%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSB4: How About Issues in the Campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3748 /-] [Invalid=1123 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say you are VERY WELL informed, FAIRLY WELL informed, NOT VERY WELL informed, or NOT AT ALL informed about the issues in the campaign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	443	11.8%
3	Fairly well	1981	52.9%
5	Not very well	1098	29.3%
7	Not at all	226	6.0%
8	D.K.	26	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSC1: Better or Worse Off Financially Than a Year Ago

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3733 /-] [Invalid=1138 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say that you are BETTER off or WORSE off financially than you were a year ago?
Post-question	If respondent answers "better off", go to #cpsc1a. If respondent answers "worse off", go to #cpsc1b. All others go to #cpsc2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	860	23.0%
3	Worse off	1848	49.5%
5	Same	1025	27.5%
8	D.K.	33	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSC1A: Much or Somewhat Better Off Than a Year Ago

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=852 /-] [Invalid=4019 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "better off" for #cpsc1.
Literal question	Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off?
Post-question	Go to #cpsc2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much better	195	22.9%
5	Somewhat better	657	77.1%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4011	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSC1B: Much or Somewhat Worse Off Than a Year Ago

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1841 /-] [Invalid=3030 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "worse off" for #cpsc1.
Literal question	Is that MUCH worse off or SOMEWHAT worse off?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much worse	556	30.2%
5	Somewhat worse	1285	69.8%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3023	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSC2: Better or Worse Off Financially a Year From Now

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3472 /-] [Invalid=1399 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSC2: Better or Worse Off Financially a Year From Now

Literal question	Do you think that a year from now you will be BETTER off financially, WORSE off, or just about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent answers "better off", go to #cps2a. If respondent answers "worse off", go to #cpsc2b. All others go to #cpscc3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	882	25.4%
3	Worse	632	18.2%
5	Same	1958	56.4%
8	D.K.	298	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSC2A: Much or Somewhat Better Off a Year From Now

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=867 /-] [Invalid=4004 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "better off" for #cpsc2.
Literal question	Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off?
Post-question	Go to #cpsc3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much better	262	30.2%
5	Somewhat better	605	69.8%
8	D.K.	15	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3989	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSC2B: Much or Somewhat Worse Off a Year From Now

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=611 /-] [Invalid=4260 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "worse off" for #cpsc2.
Literal question	Is that MUCH worse off or SOMEWHAT worse off?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much worse	153	25.0%
5	Somewhat worse	458	75.0%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4239	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSC3: Economic Policies of the Federal Government Made You:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3646 /-] [Invalid=1225 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made you BETTER off, WORSE off, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH OF A DIFFERENCE either way?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better off	142	3.9%

CPSC3: Economic Policies of the Federal Government Made You:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Worse	1539	42.2%
5	Not much diff	1965	53.9%
8	D.K.	122	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD1A: How Well Informed About> Kim Campbell

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3726 /-] [Invalid=1145 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Now I want to ask you how well informed you feel about the party leaders.
Literal question	First, Kim Campbell. Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they are "not at all informed", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #d2a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	389	10.4%
3	Fairly well	2039	54.7%
5	Not very well	1040	27.9%
7	Not at all	258	6.9%
8	D.K.	41	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD1B: How Well Informed About> Jean Chretien

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3734 /-] [Invalid=1137 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they are "not at all informed", "don't know", or refused to answer any questions in this section.
Literal question	And what about Jean Chretien? Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they are "not at all informed", go to #d2a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	613	16.4%
3	Fairly well	1849	49.5%
5	Not very well	968	25.9%
7	Not at all	304	8.1%
8	D.K.	32	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD1C: How Well Informed About> Audrey McLaughlin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3702 /-] [Invalid=1169 /-]

CPSD1C: How Well Informed About> Audrey McLaughlin

Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they are "not at all informed", "don't know", or refused to answer any questions in this section.		
Literal question	Audrey McLaughlin? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin?)		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they are "not at all informed", go to #d2a.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	231	6.2%
3	Fairly well	1079	29.1%
5	Not very well	1533	41.4%
7	Not at all	859	23.2%
8	D.K.	63	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD1D: How Well Informed About> Preston Manning

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2719 /-] [Invalid=2152 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they are "not at all informed", "don't know", or refused to answer any questions in this section.
Literal question	Preston Manning? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning?)
Post-question	If respondent answers that they are "not at all informed", go to #d2a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	244	9.0%
3	Fairly well	920	33.8%
5	Not very well	970	35.7%
7	Not at all	585	21.5%
8	D.K.	44	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2102	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD1E: How Well Informed About> Lucien Bouchard

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=992 /-] [Invalid=3879 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they are "not at all informed", "don't know", or refused to answer any questions in this section.
Literal question	Lucien Bouchard? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard?)
Post-question	If respondent answers that they are "not at all informed", go to #d2a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	200	20.2%
3	Fairly well	449	45.3%
5	Not very well	214	21.6%
7	Not at all	129	13.0%
8	D.K.	10	

CPSD1E: How Well Informed About> Lucien Bouchard

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		3865	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2A: Rating> Kim Campbell

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3328 /-] [Invalid=1543 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED for #cpsd1a, were not asked the rating item.
Literal question	How would you rate KIM CAMPBELL? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	The next section of the questionnaire asked respondents to rate the party leaders. The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn12. Code at each leader item ensured that respondents who had previously indicated they were not at all informed about the leader, or had answered don't know or refused to that leader item, were not asked to rate that particular leader on the 0 to 100 scale.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	112	3.4%
1		10	0.3%
2		8	0.2%
3		3	0.1%
4		3	0.1%
5		19	0.6%
6		4	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
10		79	2.4%
12		4	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
15		22	0.7%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		80	2.4%
22		2	0.1%
25		116	3.5%
27		3	0.1%
28		2	0.1%
30		157	4.7%
32		5	0.2%
35		59	1.8%
37		1	0.0%
40		261	7.8%
42		4	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		103	3.1%
46		1	0.0%

CPSD2A: Rating> Kim Campbell

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47		5	0.2%
48		8	0.2%
49		32	1.0%
50		524	15.7%
51		18	0.5%
52		18	0.5%
53		4	0.1%
54		2	0.1%
55		106	3.2%
56		2	0.1%
57		1	0.0%
58		3	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		449	13.5%
61		1	0.0%
62		5	0.2%
64		1	0.0%
65		177	5.3%
67		2	0.1%
68		8	0.2%
69		2	0.1%
70		292	8.8%
71		1	0.0%
72		7	0.2%
73		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		275	8.3%
77		2	0.1%
78		5	0.2%
79		1	0.0%
80		170	5.1%
81		1	0.0%
82		2	0.1%
85		48	1.4%
87		2	0.1%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		47	1.4%
95		8	0.2%
98		2	0.1%
99		3	0.1%
100	Very favourable	23	0.7%
998	D.K.	104	
999	Refused	36	
Sysmiss		1403	

CPSD2A: Rating> Kim Campbell

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2B: Rating> Jean Chretien

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3294 /-] [Invalid=1577 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED for #cpsd1b, were not asked the rating item.
Literal question	How would you rate JEAN CHRETIEN? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?) informed about Jean Chretien?
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	111	3.4%
1		12	0.4%
2		9	0.3%
3		1	0.0%
5		21	0.6%
6		5	0.2%
7		2	0.1%
10		71	2.2%
12		1	0.0%
15		21	0.6%
20		76	2.3%
21		1	0.0%
22		2	0.1%
25		105	3.2%
28		2	0.1%
30		126	3.8%
32		2	0.1%
35		46	1.4%
36		1	0.0%
38		3	0.1%
40		262	8.0%
42		2	0.1%
45		97	2.9%
46		3	0.1%
47		2	0.1%
48		7	0.2%
49		20	0.6%
50		518	15.7%
51		24	0.7%
52		15	0.5%
53		3	0.1%
54		4	0.1%
55		126	3.8%
56		3	0.1%
57		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%

CPSD2B: Rating> Jean Chretien

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59		2	0.1%
60		471	14.3%
62		2	0.1%
63		3	0.1%
64		1	0.0%
65		169	5.1%
67		1	0.0%
68		13	0.4%
70		286	8.7%
71		1	0.0%
72		2	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
74		3	0.1%
75		305	9.3%
76		3	0.1%
77		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
79		5	0.2%
80		156	4.7%
81		1	0.0%
82		3	0.1%
83		3	0.1%
84		1	0.0%
85		47	1.4%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		3	0.1%
90		47	1.4%
92		2	0.1%
95		7	0.2%
96		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		6	0.2%
100	Very favourable	37	1.1%
998	D.K.	98	
999	Refused	38	
Sysmiss		1441	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2C: Rating> Audrey McLaughlin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2637 /-] [Invalid=2234 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED for #cpsd1c, were not asked the rating item.
Literal question	How would you rate AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?) informed about Audrey McLaughlin?)

CPSD2C: Rating> Audrey McLaughlin

Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	73	2.8%
1		7	0.3%
2		16	0.6%
3		7	0.3%
4		5	0.2%
5		31	1.2%
6		1	0.0%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		139	5.3%
12		3	0.1%
15		28	1.1%
20		163	6.2%
24		1	0.0%
25		128	4.9%
28		1	0.0%
29		2	0.1%
30		213	8.1%
31		1	0.0%
32		3	0.1%
33		2	0.1%
34		1	0.0%
35		76	2.9%
36		1	0.0%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		371	14.1%
42		3	0.1%
45		109	4.1%
46		3	0.1%
47		3	0.1%
48		3	0.1%
49		23	0.9%
50		475	18.0%
51		9	0.3%
52		6	0.2%
53		5	0.2%
54		2	0.1%
55		75	2.8%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
59		3	0.1%
60		265	10.0%
63		1	0.0%

CPSD2C: Rating> Audrey McLaughlin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65		90	3.4%
66		1	0.0%
68		3	0.1%
69		3	0.1%
70		107	4.1%
71		1	0.0%
72		2	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
75		75	2.8%
77		3	0.1%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		51	1.9%
85		10	0.4%
90		14	0.5%
95		3	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	Very favourable	5	0.2%
998	D.K.	170	
999	Refused	36	
Sysmiss		2028	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2D: Rating> Preston Manning

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1988 /-] [Invalid=2883 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED for #cpsd1d, were not asked the rating item.
Literal question	How would you rate PRESTON MANNING? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	85	4.3%
1		4	0.2%
2		4	0.2%
3		4	0.2%
4		4	0.2%
5		17	0.9%
6		1	0.1%
10		96	4.8%
11		1	0.1%
14		2	0.1%
15		13	0.7%
20		100	5.0%
22		1	0.1%
24		1	0.1%

# CPSD2D: Rating> Preston Manning				
Value	**Label**	**Cases**		**Percentage**
25		79		4.0%
26		1		0.1%
27		1		0.1%
29		2		0.1%
30		129		6.5%
31		1		0.1%
32		3		0.2%
35		38		1.9%
40		175		8.8%
41		1		0.1%
42		2		0.1%
45		65		3.3%
46		2		0.1%
47		4		0.2%
48		7		0.4%
49		14		0.7%
50		289		14.5%
51		14		0.7%
52		4		0.2%
54		2		0.1%
55		60		3.0%
56		3		0.2%
58		1		0.1%
59		2		0.1%
60		219		11.0%
61		1		0.1%
62		1		0.1%
63		5		0.3%
65		95		4.8%
66		1		0.1%
67		1		0.1%
68		2		0.1%
69		1		0.1%
70		122		6.1%
75		128		6.4%
76		1		0.1%
77		1		0.1%
78		2		0.1%
79		1		0.1%
80		97		4.9%
82		1		0.1%
85		27		1.4%
86		1		0.1%
87		1		0.1%
88		1		0.1%

CPSD2D: Rating> Preston Manning

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
89		1	0.1%
90		25	1.3%
95		12	0.6%
98		1	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
100	Very favourable	7	0.4%
998	D.K.	114	
999	Refused	32	
Sysmiss		2737	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2E: Rating> Lucien Bouchard

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=817 /-] [Invalid=4054 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED for #cpsd1e, were not asked the rating item.
Literal question	How would you rate LUCIEN BOUCHARD? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of party leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	41	5.0%
1		3	0.4%
2		1	0.1%
5		8	1.0%
7		2	0.2%
10		17	2.1%
14		1	0.1%
15		7	0.9%
20		21	2.6%
25		24	2.9%
30		29	3.5%
32		1	0.1%
33		1	0.1%
35		11	1.3%
40		57	7.0%
45		14	1.7%
48		3	0.4%
49		2	0.2%
50		92	11.3%
51		6	0.7%
52		4	0.5%
55		18	2.2%
57		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
60		94	11.5%
62		3	0.4%

CPSD2E: Rating> Lucien Bouchard

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65		25	3.1%
68		1	0.1%
70		81	9.9%
72		1	0.1%
75		88	10.8%
80		80	9.8%
82		2	0.2%
85		15	1.8%
90		32	3.9%
95		5	0.6%
99		3	0.4%
100	Very favourable	22	2.7%
998	D.K.	40	
999	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		4008	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2G: Rating> Federal Conservative Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3578 /-] [Invalid=1293 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How would you rate the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	The next section of the questionnaire asked respondents to rate the major political parties. The order of delivery of the parties was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn13.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	201	5.6%
1		9	0.3%
2		8	0.2%
3		8	0.2%
4		1	0.0%
5		33	0.9%
6		2	0.1%
8		5	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		128	3.6%
12		2	0.1%
15		33	0.9%
17		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		152	4.2%
22		2	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		130	3.6%
30		231	6.5%

CPSD2G: Rating> Federal Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
31		1	0.0%
32		3	0.1%
33		1	0.0%
35		86	2.4%
37		2	0.1%
38		3	0.1%
40		391	10.9%
41		1	0.0%
42		7	0.2%
43		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		122	3.4%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		8	0.2%
49		29	0.8%
50		612	17.1%
51		17	0.5%
52		9	0.3%
53		1	0.0%
54		3	0.1%
55		119	3.3%
57		1	0.0%
58		8	0.2%
60		424	11.9%
62		3	0.1%
63		3	0.1%
64		1	0.0%
65		129	3.6%
66		2	0.1%
67		3	0.1%
68		3	0.1%
69		3	0.1%
70		254	7.1%
72		5	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		194	5.4%
78		3	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		98	2.7%
85		22	0.6%
89		1	0.0%
90		24	0.7%
95		4	0.1%

CPSD2G: Rating> Federal Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99		5	0.1%
100	Very favourable	15	0.4%
998	D.K.	150	
999	Refused	47	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2H: Rating> Federal Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3580 /-] [Invalid=1291 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How would you rate the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	99	2.8%
1		4	0.1%
2		11	0.3%
3		5	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		15	0.4%
6		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
10		71	2.0%
12		1	0.0%
15		15	0.4%
20		103	2.9%
22		2	0.1%
25		103	2.9%
28		2	0.1%
30		181	5.1%
32		1	0.0%
33		2	0.1%
35		48	1.3%
38		1	0.0%
39		3	0.1%
40		293	8.2%
41		1	0.0%
42		2	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		77	2.2%
47		3	0.1%
48		6	0.2%
49		16	0.4%

CPSD2H: Rating> Federal Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50		598	16.7%
51		24	0.7%
52		14	0.4%
53		4	0.1%
54		5	0.1%
55		162	4.5%
56		1	0.0%
57		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
59		3	0.1%
60		577	16.1%
61		1	0.0%
62		3	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
64		2	0.1%
65		156	4.4%
67		1	0.0%
68		5	0.1%
69		2	0.1%
70		334	9.3%
71		2	0.1%
72		6	0.2%
75		238	6.6%
77		1	0.0%
78		2	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		202	5.6%
82		1	0.0%
83		2	0.1%
85		44	1.2%
86		1	0.0%
87		2	0.1%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		52	1.5%
92		1	0.0%
95		10	0.3%
96		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		3	0.1%
100	Very favourable	40	1.1%
998	D.K.	149	
999	Refused	46	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2I: Rating> Federal New Democratic Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3467 /-] [Invalid=1404 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How would you rate the FEDERAL NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	245	7.1%
1		9	0.3%
2		15	0.4%
3		10	0.3%
4		3	0.1%
5		56	1.6%
6		3	0.1%
7		3	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
10		195	5.6%
11		1	0.0%
12		2	0.1%
14		2	0.1%
15		55	1.6%
17		1	0.0%
18		5	0.1%
19		1	0.0%
20		268	7.7%
22		4	0.1%
24		1	0.0%
25		173	5.0%
26		2	0.1%
27		1	0.0%
29		3	0.1%
30		373	10.8%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		93	2.7%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		455	13.1%
42		1	0.0%
43		2	0.1%
44		2	0.1%
45		113	3.3%
46		2	0.1%
47		3	0.1%
48		14	0.4%

CPSD2I: Rating> Federal New Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49		16	0.5%
50		597	17.2%
51		12	0.3%
52		10	0.3%
53		3	0.1%
54		3	0.1%
55		96	2.8%
56		1	0.0%
58		3	0.1%
60		276	8.0%
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		66	1.9%
66		1	0.0%
67		2	0.1%
70		107	3.1%
72		2	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
75		81	2.3%
78		2	0.1%
80		30	0.9%
85		11	0.3%
89		1	0.0%
90		10	0.3%
95		2	0.1%
99		3	0.1%
100	Very favourable	7	0.2%
998	D.K.	260	
999	Refused	48	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2J: Rating> Reform Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2479 /-] [Invalid=2392 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	How would you rate THE REFORM PARTY? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of party delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	193	7.8%
1		9	0.4%
2		7	0.3%
3		2	0.1%
4		1	0.0%

CPSD2J: Rating> Reform Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		37	1.5%
6		2	0.1%
7		3	0.1%
8		1	0.0%
10		165	6.7%
12		2	0.1%
14		1	0.0%
15		35	1.4%
17		2	0.1%
20		180	7.3%
21		1	0.0%
22		2	0.1%
25		112	4.5%
28		2	0.1%
29		1	0.0%
30		185	7.5%
32		1	0.0%
35		39	1.6%
38		3	0.1%
39		2	0.1%
40		235	9.5%
42		4	0.2%
43		2	0.1%
45		63	2.5%
47		3	0.1%
48		8	0.3%
49		18	0.7%
50		364	14.7%
51		14	0.6%
52		5	0.2%
54		1	0.0%
55		73	2.9%
56		2	0.1%
57		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		229	9.2%
62		2	0.1%
64		2	0.1%
65		75	3.0%
66		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
69		2	0.1%
70		133	5.4%
73		1	0.0%

CPSD2J: Rating> Reform Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
75		103	4.2%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		78	3.1%
82		1	0.0%
85		18	0.7%
86		1	0.0%
90		22	0.9%
95		7	0.3%
98		2	0.1%
100	Very favourable	15	0.6%
998	D.K.	251	
999	Refused	39	
Sysmiss		2102	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2K: Rating> Bloc Quebecois

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=934 /-] [Invalid=3937 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	How would you rate THE BLOC Québécois? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	End randomized party section here.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	83	8.9%
1		5	0.5%
2		4	0.4%
3		2	0.2%
5		14	1.5%
10		30	3.2%
12		1	0.1%
15		7	0.7%
20		20	2.1%
22		1	0.1%
25		23	2.5%
30		46	4.9%
33		1	0.1%
34		1	0.1%
35		13	1.4%
38		1	0.1%
40		75	8.0%
42		2	0.2%
45		13	1.4%
47		1	0.1%
48		1	0.1%

CPSD2K: Rating> Bloc Quebecois

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49		1	0.1%
50		117	12.5%
51		6	0.6%
52		4	0.4%
54		1	0.1%
55		13	1.4%
60		123	13.2%
62		1	0.1%
65		26	2.8%
66		1	0.1%
68		1	0.1%
70		80	8.6%
72		1	0.1%
75		66	7.1%
77		1	0.1%
80		60	6.4%
85		17	1.8%
87		1	0.1%
90		31	3.3%
93		1	0.1%
95		9	1.0%
98		1	0.1%
99		3	0.3%
100	Very favourable	25	2.7%
998	D.K.	61	
999	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		3865	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD2F: Rating> Brian Mulroney

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3618 /-] [Invalid=1253 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How would you rate BRIAN MULRONEY? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	840	23.2%
1		48	1.3%
2		31	0.9%
3		6	0.2%
4		4	0.1%
5		100	2.8%
6		2	0.1%
7		4	0.1%
8		1	0.0%

CPSD2F: Rating> Brian Mulroney

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		3	0.1%
10		269	7.4%
12		5	0.1%
15		38	1.1%
17		1	0.0%
19		2	0.1%
20		195	5.4%
22		1	0.0%
24		2	0.1%
25		144	4.0%
27		2	0.1%
28		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		238	6.6%
34		1	0.0%
35		63	1.7%
36		2	0.1%
37		3	0.1%
38		4	0.1%
40		294	8.1%
41		1	0.0%
42		1	0.0%
43		1	0.0%
45		61	1.7%
47		1	0.0%
48		8	0.2%
49		20	0.6%
50		445	12.3%
51		7	0.2%
52		5	0.1%
53		3	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		65	1.8%
59		2	0.1%
60		241	6.7%
62		6	0.2%
65		66	1.8%
67		2	0.1%
68		4	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		148	4.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		118	3.3%
78		4	0.1%
80		55	1.5%

CPSD2F: Rating> Brian Mulroney

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
85		13	0.4%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		11	0.3%
95		3	0.1%
97		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		3	0.1%
100	Very favourable	11	0.3%
998	D.K.	98	
999	Refused	59	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSD3: Importance that Prime Minister Speaks French Really Well

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3752 /-] [Invalid=1119 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How important is it that Canada's Prime Minister speaks French really well. Is it VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1472	39.2%
3	Somewhat	1319	35.2%
5	Not very	462	12.3%
7	Not important	499	13.3%
8	D.K.	19	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSE1: Past Year>Economic Condition in Province

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3718 /-] [Invalid=1153 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What about economic conditions in [fill pppp]. Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR economic conditions in [fill pppp] have gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse
Post-question	If respondent answers "gotten better", go to #cpse1a. If respondent answers "gotten worse", go to #cpse1b. All others go to #cpse2.
Notes	The respondent's province was filled in the variable pppp.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Got better	291	7.8%
3	Stayed same	907	24.4%
5	Got worse	2520	67.8%
8	D.K.	57	
9	Refused	0	

CPSE1: Past Year>Economic Condition in Province

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSE1A: Economic Conditions> How Much Better

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=290 /-] [Invalid=4581 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten better" for #cpse1.
Literal question	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
Post-question	Go to #cpse2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much better	44	15.2%
5	Somewhat better	246	84.8%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4580	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSE1B: Economic Conditions> How Much Worse

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2506 /-] [Invalid=2365 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten worse" for #cpse1.
Literal question	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much worse	1332	53.2%
5	Somewhat worse	1174	46.8%
8	D.K.	13	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2351	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSE2: Federal Economic Policies Influence Provincial Economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3552 /-] [Invalid=1319 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made the [fill pppp] economy BETTER, WORSE, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH DIFFERENCE either way?
Post-question	If respondent answers "better", go to #e2a. If respondent answers "worse", go to #e2b. All others go to #cpsf1a.
Notes	The respondent's province was filled in the variable pppp.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	120	3.4%
3	Worse	1696	47.7%
5	No difference	1736	48.9%
8	D.K.	218	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1096	

CPSE2: Federal Economic Policies Influence Provincial Economy

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSE2A: Federal Policies Influence> How Much Better

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=118 /-] [Invalid=4753 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "better" for #cpse2.
Literal question	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
Post-question	Go to #cpsf1a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much better	17	14.4%
5	Somewhat better	101	85.6%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4751	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSE2B: Federal Policies Influence> How Much Worse

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1688 /-] [Invalid=3183 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "worse" for #cpse2.
Literal question	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much worse	730	43.2%
5	Somewhat worse	958	56.8%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3175	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF1A: How Much Should Be Done to Promote:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3698 /-] [Invalid=1173 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Now let's talk about promoting the French language in Canada. How much do you think should be done to promote French: / Now let's talk about Quebec. How much do you think should be done for Quebec: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?
Post-question	If respondent answers "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", of refuses to answer, go to #cpsf2a. All others go to #cpsf1b.
Notes	Split-sample wording experiment. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn1=1) were asked the question "Now let's talk about promoting the French language in Canada. How much do you think should be done to promote French?" A random half (cpsrn1=2) were asked " Now let's talk about Quebec. How much do you think should be done for Quebec?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not thought	626	16.9%
1	Much more	422	11.4%
2	Somewhat more	544	14.7%
3	Same as now	1051	28.4%
4	Somewhat less	596	16.1%

CPSF1A: How Much Should Be Done to Promote:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Much less	459	12.4%
8	D.K.	57	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF1B: Liberals> How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2295 /-] [Invalid=2576 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", of refused to answer #cpsf1a.
Literal question	How much does the Federal Liberal party want to do to promote French: / How much does the Federal Liberal party want to do to for Quebec: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
Notes	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn1=1) were asked the question "How much does the Federal Liberal party want to do to promote French?" A random half (cpsrn1=2) were asked "How much does the Federal Liberal party want to do to for Quebec?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	365	15.9%
2	Somewhat more	691	30.1%
3	Same as now	1029	44.8%
4	Somewhat less	140	6.1%
5	Much less	70	3.1%
8	D.K.	768	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1799	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF1C: Conservative Party> How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2164 /-] [Invalid=2707 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", of refused to answer #cpsf1a.
Literal question	How much does the Federal Conservative party want to do to promote French: / How much does the Federal Conservative party want to do to for Quebec: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?
Notes	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn1=1) were asked the question "How much does the Federal Conservative party want to do to promote French?" A random half (cpsrn1=2) were asked "How much does the Federal Conservative party want to do to for Quebec?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	250	11.6%
2	Somewhat more	615	28.4%
3	Same as now	1035	47.8%
4	Somewhat less	188	8.7%
5	Much less	76	3.5%
8	D.K.	896	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		1799	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF1D: NDP> How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1790 /-] [Invalid=3081 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", of refused to answer #cpsf1a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS to promote French? / Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for Quebec?)
Notes	In item cpsf1d, the question read to ALL respondents was "The FEDERAL NDP?" This was the third item in the series and therefore the full text was not necessarily read to the respondent unless clarification was needed. In one half of the cases the full text of the item read "Does the Federal NDP want to do ... to promote French?" In the other half (cpsrn1=2) the text read "Does the Federal NDP want to do... for Quebec?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	121	6.8%
2	Somewhat more	307	17.2%
3	Same as now	898	50.2%
4	Somewhat less	328	18.3%
5	Much less	136	7.6%
8	D.K.	1264	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		1799	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF1E: Reform Party>How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1384 /-] [Invalid=3487 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", of refused to answer #cpsf1a.
Literal question	The REFORM PARTY? (Does the REFORM PARTY want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS to promote French? / Does the REFORM PARTY want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for Quebec?)
Notes	Split-sample design. In one half of the cases the full text of the item read "Does the Reform party want to do ... to promote French?" In the other half (cpsrn1=2) the text read "Does the Reform party want to do... for Quebec?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	34	2.5%
2	Somewhat more	80	5.8%
3	Same as now	253	18.3%
4	Somewhat less	454	32.8%
5	Much less	563	40.7%
8	D.K.	812	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		2660	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF1F: Bloc Quebecois> How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=720 /-] [Invalid=4151 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", of refused to answer #cpsf1a.

CPSF1F: Bloc Quebecois> How Much Do They Want to Do to Promote:

Literal question	The BLOC Québécois? (Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS to promote French? / Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for Quebec?)
Notes	Split-sample design. In one half of the cases the full text of the item read "Does the Bloc Quebecois want to do ... to promote French?" In the other half (cpsrn1=2) the text read "Does the Bloc Quebecois want to do... for Quebec?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much more	384	53.3%
2	Somewhat more	201	27.9%
3	Same as now	88	12.2%
4	Somewhat less	19	2.6%
5	Much less	28	3.9%
8	D.K.	133	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		4010	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF2A: Do You Think Canada Should Have Closer Ties to the United States

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3713 /-] [Invalid=1158 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How about Canada's ties with the United States? Should Canada's ties with the United States be MUCH CLOSER, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, MUCH MORE DISTANT or haven't you thought much about this?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #cpsf3a. All others go to #cpsf2b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not thought	465	12.5%
1	Much closer	446	12.0%
2	Somewhat closer	634	17.1%
3	Same as now	1223	32.9%
4	More distant	713	19.2%
5	Muchmore distant	232	6.2%
8	D.K.	51	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF2B: Liberals> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2443 /-] [Invalid=2428 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsf2a.
Literal question	Does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much closer	198	8.1%
2	Somewhat closer	468	19.2%

CPSF2B: Liberals> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Same as now	844	34.5%
4	More distant	815	33.4%
5	Muchmore distant	118	4.8%
8	D.K.	792	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		1623	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF2C: Conservative Party> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2578 /-] [Invalid=2293 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsf2a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much closer	742	28.8%
2	Somewhat closer	1028	39.9%
3	Same as now	669	26.0%
4	More distant	89	3.5%
5	Muchmore distant	50	1.9%
8	D.K.	661	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1623	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF2D: NDP> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2095 /-] [Invalid=2776 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsf2a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much closer	75	3.6%
2	Somewhat closer	179	8.5%
3	Same as now	484	23.1%
4	More distant	863	41.2%
5	Muchmore distant	494	23.6%
8	D.K.	1137	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		1623	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF2E: Reform> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1269 /-] [Invalid=3602 /-]

CPSF2E: Reform> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States

Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsf2a.
Literal question	The REFORM party? (Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much closer	78	6.1%
2	Somewhat closer	191	15.1%
3	Same as now	386	30.4%
4	More distant	409	32.2%
5	Muchmore distant	205	16.2%
8	D.K.	1185	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2405	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF2F: Bloc Quebecois> Canada Should Be Closer to the United States

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=504 /-] [Invalid=4367 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsf2a.
Literal question	The BLOC Québécois? (Does it want Canada to have MUCH CLOSER ties with the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much closer	65	12.9%
2	Somewhat closer	118	23.4%
3	Same as now	121	24.0%
4	More distant	104	20.6%
5	Muchmore distant	96	19.0%
8	D.K.	276	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		4089	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF3A: How Much Should Be Done For Business People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3620 /-] [Invalid=1251 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for BUSINESS PEOPLE: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #cpsg1. All others go to #cpsf3b.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Businesses of all sizes, big and small.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not thought	579	16.0%
1	Muchmore	984	27.2%
2	Somewhat more	971	26.8%
3	Same as now	573	15.8%

CPSF3A: How Much Should Be Done For Business People

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat less	368	10.2%
5	Much less	145	4.0%
8	D.K.	118	
9	Refused	37	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF3B: Liberals> Want to Do For Business People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2265 /-] [Invalid=2606 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsf3a.
Literal question	What does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want to do for business people? Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	437	19.3%
2	Somewhat more	932	41.1%
3	Same as now	642	28.3%
4	Somewhat less	197	8.7%
5	Much less	57	2.5%
8	D.K.	762	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		1830	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF3C: Conservative Party> Want to Do For Business People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2334 /-] [Invalid=2537 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsf3a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	450	19.3%
2	Somewhat more	868	37.2%
3	Same as now	730	31.3%
4	Somewhat less	186	8.0%
5	Much less	100	4.3%
8	D.K.	693	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		1830	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF3D: NDP> Want to Do For Business People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2058 /-] [Invalid=2813 /-]

CPSF3D: NDP> Want to Do For Business People

Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsf3a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	244	11.9%
2	Somewhat more	398	19.3%
3	Same as now	520	25.3%
4	Somewhat less	533	25.9%
5	Much less	363	17.6%
8	D.K.	959	
9	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		1830	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF3E: Reform> Want to Do For Business People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1351 /-] [Invalid=3520 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsf3a.
Literal question	The REFORM party? (Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	247	18.3%
2	Somewhat more	433	32.1%
3	Same as now	341	25.2%
4	Somewhat less	200	14.8%
5	Much less	130	9.6%
8	D.K.	907	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		2599	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSF3F: Bloc Quebecois> Want to Do For Business People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=467 /-] [Invalid=4404 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought about it much", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsf3a.
Literal question	The BLOC Québécois? (Does it want to do MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS for business people?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	79	16.9%
2	Somewhat more	180	38.5%
3	Same as now	141	30.2%
4	Somewhat less	37	7.9%
5	Much less	30	6.4%
8	D.K.	297	

CPSF3F: Bloc Quebecois> Want to Do For Business People

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		4102	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG1: Favour or Oppose Goods and Services Tax

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3712 /-] [Invalid=1159 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In 1991 the Federal government adopted a new tax on goods and services, the GST. All things considered, are you VERY MUCH IN FAVOUR, SOMEWHAT IN FAVOUR, SOMEWHAT OPPOSED, or VERY MUCH OPPOSED to the GST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verymuch favour	169	4.6%
3	Somewhat favour	743	20.0%
5	Somewhat opposed	957	25.8%
7	Verymuch opposed	1843	49.6%
8	D.K.	57	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG2A: GST is Necessary to Reduce the Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3514 /-] [Invalid=1357 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	For the following statements please tell me if you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE, or if you don't really know.
Literal question	The GST is necessary to reduce the deficit.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	458	13.0%
3	Somewhat agree	1126	32.0%
5	Somewhatdisagree	777	22.1%
7	Stronglydisagree	1153	32.8%
8	D.K.	259	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG2B: GST is Unfair to Poor People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3596 /-] [Invalid=1275 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The GST is unfair to poor people. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE, or don't you really know?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1448	40.3%
3	Somewhat agree	759	21.1%
5	Somewhatdisagree	836	23.2%
7	Stronglydisagree	553	15.4%
8	D.K.	170	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG3A1: Which Federal Party Supports GST- 1st Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3770 /-] [Invalid=1101 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to know which of the FEDERAL parties support the GST?
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cpsg3a2. If respondent answers "all parties", go to #cpsg4. All others go to #cps3gb1.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FIRST MENTION HERE.
Notes	The version of CASES used for the 1993 Election Study does not permit multiple answers per item. Five separate items were used in this instance to permit a FIRST through FIFTH mention.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	15	0.4%
1	Conservative	2344	62.2%
2	Liberal	147	3.9%
3	Ndp	28	0.7%
4	Reform	12	0.3%
5	Bloc quebecois	2	0.1%
6	Other	9	0.2%
97	All parties	153	4.1%
98	D.K.	1060	28.1%
99	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG3A2: Which Federal Party Supports GST - 2nd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2542 /-] [Invalid=2329 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a second federal party which supports GST for #cpsg3a1.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cpsg3a3. All others go to #cpsg3b1.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE.

CPSG3A2: Which Federal Party Supports GST - 2nd Mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	1913	75.3%
1	Conservative	79	3.1%
2	Liberal	362	14.2%
3	Ndp	61	2.4%
4	Reform	82	3.2%
5	Bloc quebecois	9	0.4%
6	Other	2	0.1%
98	D.K.	34	1.3%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2329	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG3A3: Which Federal Party Supports GST - 3rd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=595 /-] [Invalid=4276 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a third federal party which supports GST for #cpsg3a1.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cpsg3a4. All others go to #cpsg3b1.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	464	78.0%
1	Conservative	2	0.3%
2	Liberal	24	4.0%
3	Ndp	54	9.1%
4	Reform	45	7.6%
5	Bloc quebecois	1	0.2%
6	Other	1	0.2%
98	D.K.	4	0.7%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4276	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG3A4: Which Federal Party Supports GST- 4th Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=127 /-] [Invalid=4744 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a fourth federal party which supports GST for #cpsg3a1.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cpsg3a5. All others go to #cpsg3b1.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	97	76.4%
1	Conservative	0	
2	Liberal	0	
3	Ndp	3	2.4%
4	Reform	17	13.4%
5	Bloc quebecois	9	7.1%
6	Other	0	

CPSG3A4: Which Federal Party Supports GST- 4th Mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	D.K.	1	0.8%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4744	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG3A5: Which Federal Party Supports GST - 5th Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=4842 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a fifth federal party which supports GST for #cpsg3a1.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FIFTH MENTION HERE.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	26	89.7%
1	Conservative	0	
2	Liberal	0	
3	Ndp	0	
4	Reform	0	
5	Bloc quebecois	3	10.3%
6	Other	0	
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4842	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG3B1: Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 1st Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3614 /-] [Invalid=1257 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And which of the federal parties OPPOSE the GST?
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cps3g2. All others go to #cpsg4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FIRST MENTION HERE.
Notes	The version of CASES used for the 1993 Election Study does not permit multiple answers per item. Five separate items were used in this instance to permit a FIRST through FIFTH mention.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	72	2.0%
1	Conservative	27	0.7%
2	Liberal	1140	31.5%
3	Ndp	743	20.6%
4	Reform	234	6.5%
5	Bloc quebecois	105	2.9%
6	Other	18	0.5%
97	All parties	33	0.9%
98	D.K.	1242	34.4%
99	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1249	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG3B2: Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 2nd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2267 /-] [Invalid=2604 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a second federal party which opposes GST for #cpsg3a1.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cps3g3. All others go to #cpsg4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No other	1454		64.1%
1	Conservative	6	0.3%	
2	Liberal	175	7.7%	
3	Ndp	414		18.3%
4	Reform	162	7.1%	
5	Bloc quebecois	22	1.0%	
6	Other	11	0.5%	
98	D.K.	23	1.0%	
99	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		2604		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG3B3: Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 3rd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=790 /-] [Invalid=4081 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a third federal party which opposes GST for #cpsg3a1.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cps3g4. All others go to #cpsg4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No other	620		78.5%
1	Conservative	0		
2	Liberal	23	2.9%	
3	Ndp	24	3.0%	
4	Reform	91	11.5%	
5	Bloc quebecois	10	1.3%	
6	Other	12	1.5%	
98	D.K.	10	1.3%	
99	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		4081		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG3B4: Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 4th Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=160 /-] [Invalid=4711 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a fourth federal party which opposes GST for #cpsg3a1.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #cps3g5. All others go to #cpsg4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	No other	140		87.5%

CPSG3B4: Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 4th Mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	1	0.6%
2	Liberal	1	0.6%
3	Ndp	0	
4	Reform	1	0.6%
5	Bloc quebecois	14	8.8%
6	Other	2	1.2%
98	D.K.	1	0.6%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4711	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG3B5: Which Federal Party Opposes GST- 5th Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19 /-] [Invalid=4852 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who mentioned a fifth federal party which opposes GST for #cpsg3a1.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FIFTH MENTION HERE.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	17	89.5%
1	Conservative	0	
2	Liberal	0	
3	Ndp	0	
4	Reform	0	
5	Bloc quebecois	1	5.3%
6	Other	1	5.3%
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4852	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG4: Canada Can Get By Without GST

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3551 /-] [Invalid=1320 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think Canada can get by without the GST? (Asked to random half of respondents) / Do you think Canada can get by without a tax like the GST? (Asked to random half of respondents)
Notes	Split-sample wording experiment.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes,get by	2247	63.3%
5	No, not get by	1304	36.7%
8	D.K.	215	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG5: Canada Should Admit More Immigrants or Fewer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

CPSG5: Canada Should Admit More Immigrants or Fewer

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3566 /-] [Invalid=1305 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think Canada should admit MORE immigrants or FEWER immigrants than at present?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	647	18.1%
3	Fewer	2278	63.9%
5	Depends/staysame	641	18.0%
8	D.K.	189	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG6A: Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1099 /-] [Invalid=3772 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. There were three different versions of the order in which the options to the abortion question were presented to the respondents. A random third of the respondents (cpsrn10=1) were asked #cpsg6a, a random third (cpsrn10=2) were asked #cpsg6b, and a random third (cpsrn10=3) were asked #cpsg6c.
Pre-question	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion:
Literal question	One: abortion should NEVER be permitted, Two: should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor, OR Three: should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE?
Post-question	Go to #cpsg7a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never permitted	94	8.6%
2	Permitted=need	388	35.3%
3	Personal choice	617	56.1%
8	D.K.	13	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		3747	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG6B: Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1296 /-] [Invalid=3575 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. There were three different versions of the order in which the options to the abortion question were presented to the respondents. A random third of the respondents (cpsrn10=1) were asked #cpsg6a, a random third (cpsrn10=2) were asked #cpsg6b, and a random third (cpsrn10=3) were asked #cpsg6c.
Pre-question	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion:
Literal question	One: Abortion should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor, Two: should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE OR Three: should NEVER be permitted?
Post-question	Go to #cpsg7a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Permitted=need	396	30.6%
2	Personal choice	755	58.3%
3	Never permitted	145	11.2%

CPSG6B: Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		3550	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG6C: Opinion> 3 Positions: Abortion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1307 /-] [Invalid=3564 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. There were three different versions of the order in which the options to the abortion question were presented to the respondents. A random third of the respondents (cpsrn10=1) were asked #cpsg6a, a random third (cpsrn10=2) were asked #cpsg6b, and a random third (cpsrn10=3) were asked #cpsg6c.
Pre-question	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion:
Literal question	One: Abortion should be a matter of the woman's PERSONAL CHOICE, Two: abortion should NEVER be permitted, OR Three: should be permitted only after NEED has been established by a doctor?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Personal choice	725	55.5%
2	Never permitted	89	6.8%
3	Permitted=need	493	37.7%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		3541	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG7A: Society Would Be Better Off if More Women Stayed Home with Their Children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3673 /-] [Invalid=1198 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Could you tell me if you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE with the following statements:
Literal question	Society would be better off if more women stayed home with their children. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	898	24.4%
3	Somewhat agree	845	23.0%
5	Somewhatdisagree	766	20.9%
7	Stronglydisagree	1164	31.7%
8	D.K.	81	
9	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG7B: Homosexual Couples Should Be Allowed to Legally Marry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3491 /-] [Invalid=1380 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSG7B: Homosexual Couples Should Be Allowed to Legally Marry

Literal question	Homosexual couples should be allowed to get legally married. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	431	12.3%
3	Somewhat agree	964	27.6%
5	Somewhatdisagree	511	14.6%
7	Stronglydisagree	1585	45.4%
8	D.K.	241	
9	Refused	43	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG7C: Capital Punishment is Never Justified

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3556 /-] [Invalid=1315 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Capital punishment is never justified, no matter what the crime. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	650	18.3%
3	Somewhat agree	537	15.1%
5	Somewhatdisagree	911	25.6%
7	Stronglydisagree	1458	41.0%
8	D.K.	201	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG7D: A Woman is More Likely to Be Assaulted By a Male Partner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3506 /-] [Invalid=1365 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	A woman is more likely to be assaulted by her male partner than by anyone else. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1422	40.6%
3	Somewhat agree	1367	39.0%
5	Somewhatdisagree	469	13.4%
7	Stronglydisagree	248	7.1%
8	D.K.	254	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG7E: Only People Who Are Legally Married Should Have Children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3665 /-] [Invalid=1206 /-]

CPSG7E: Only People Who Are Legally Married Should Have Children

Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Only people who are legally married should be having children. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	608	16.6%
3	Somewhat agree	456	12.4%
5	Somewhatdisagree	1037	28.3%
7	Stronglydisagree	1564	42.7%
8	D.K.	86	
9	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG7F: The Government's Decision to Buy New Helicopters is the Right One

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3345 /-] [Invalid=1526 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The government's decision to buy new helicopters for the navy is basically the right one. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	373	11.2%
3	Somewhat agree	751	22.5%
5	Somewhatdisagree	872	26.1%
7	Stronglydisagree	1349	40.3%
8	D.K.	415	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG7G: Politicians Are Willing to Say Anything to Get Elected

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3735 /-] [Invalid=1136 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Politicians are willing to say anything to get elected. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	2468	66.1%
3	Somewhat agree	974	26.1%
5	Somewhatdisagree	204	5.5%
7	Stronglydisagree	89	2.4%
8	D.K.	33	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG7H: Politicians Are No More Corrupt Than Others

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

CPSG7H: Politicians Are No More Corrupt Than Others

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3616 /-] [Invalid=1255 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Politicians are NO MORE corrupt than anybody else. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	756	20.9%
3	Somewhat agree	1368	37.8%
5	Somewhatdisagree	830	23.0%
7	Stronglydisagree	662	18.3%
8	D.K.	145	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG7I: Governments Cannot Do Much to Solve Our Economic Problems

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3674 /-] [Invalid=1197 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Governments cannot do much to solve our economic problems. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	371	10.1%
3	Somewhat agree	690	18.8%
5	Somewhatdisagree	1114	30.3%
7	Stronglydisagree	1499	40.8%
8	D.K.	96	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG8A: Aboriginal People Should Have the Right to Make Their Own Laws or Should Abide By the Same Laws As Other Canadians

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1874 /-] [Invalid=2997 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn20=1) were asked #psg8a, and a random half (cpsrn20=2) were asked #cpsg8b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	Which comes closest to your own view: One: Aboriginal people should have the right to make their own laws. OR Two: Aboriginal people should abide by the same laws as other Canadians.
Post-question	Go to #cpsg11.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Make own laws	319	17.0%
2	Abide by samelaw	1555	83.0%
8	D.K.	56	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		2928	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG8B: Aboriginal People Should Abide By the Same Laws As Other Canadians or Should Have the Right to Make Their Own Laws

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1747 /-] [Invalid=3124 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn20=1) were asked #psg8a, and a random half (cpsrn20=2) were asked #cpsg8b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	Which comes closest to your own view: One: Aboriginal people should abide by the same laws as other Canadians. OR Two: Aboriginal people should have the right to make their own laws.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abide by samelaw	1350	77.3%
2	Make own laws	397	22.7%
8	D.K.	65	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		3039	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG11: Opinion on Quebec Sovereignty

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=959 /-] [Invalid=3912 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	What is your opinion on Quebec sovereignty, that is, Quebec is no longer a part of Canada? Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Neither	25	2.6%
1	Very favourable	160	16.7%
3	Somewhat favour	234	24.4%
5	Somewhat opposed	202	21.1%
7	Very opposed	338	35.2%
8	D.K.	38	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		3865	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSG12: If Quebec Separates From Canada, Your Standard of Living Will:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=938 /-] [Invalid=3933 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	If Quebec separates from Canada, do you think your standard of living will get better, get worse, or stay about the same as now?
Post-question	If respondent answers "get better", go to #cpsg13. If respondent answers "get worse", go to #cpsg14. All others go to #cpsg15.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	83	8.8%
3	Get worse	435	46.4%
5	Stay the same	420	44.8%
8	D.K.	67	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3865	

# **CPSG12: If Quebec Separates From Canada, Your Standard of Living Will:**			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **CPSG13: Standard of Living Will Get How Much Better**			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=81 /-] [Invalid=4790 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who answered "get better" for #cpsg12.		
Literal question	A LOT better or only A LITTLE better?		
Post-question	Go to #cpsg15.		

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	A lot	34	42.0%
5	A little	47	58.0%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4788	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

| # **CPSG14: Standard of Living Will Get How Much Worse**			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=424 /-] [Invalid=4447 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	A LOT worse or only A LITTLE worse?		

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	A lot	264	62.3%
5	A little	160	37.7%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4436	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **CPSG15: Is the French Language Threatened in Quebec**			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=983 /-] [Invalid=3888 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	In your opinion, is the French language threatened in Quebec?		

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Yes	501	51.0%
5	No, not	482	49.0%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3865	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

| # **CPSH1: Past Year> Economy of the Country**	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3703 /-] [Invalid=1168 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSH1: Past Year> Economy of the Country

Pre-question	Now, I want to ask you about the economy in all of CANADA.
Literal question	Would you say that over the PAST YEAR Canada's economy has GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or GOTTEN WORSE?
Post-question	If respondent answers "gotten better", go to #cpsh1a. If respondent answers "gotten worse", go to #cpsh1b. All others go to #cpsh2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Got better	265	7.2%
3	Stayed same	1067	28.8%
5	Got worse	2371	64.0%
8	D.K.	70	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSH1A: Canada's Economy> How Much Better

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=263 /-] [Invalid=4608 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten better" for #cpsh1a.
Literal question	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
Post-question	Go to #cpsh2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much better	14	5.3%
5	Somewhat better	249	94.7%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4606	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSH1B: Canada's Economy> How Much Worse

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2361 /-] [Invalid=2510 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten worse" for #cpsh1a.
Literal question	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much worse	1064	45.1%
5	Somewhat worse	1297	54.9%
8	D.K.	10	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2500	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSH2: Next 12 Months> Economy of the Country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3586 /-] [Invalid=1285 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What about the NEXT 12 months? Do you expect Canada's economy to get BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?

CPSH2: Next 12 Months> Economy of the Country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get better	849	23.7%
3	Stay the same	1909	53.2%
5	Get worse	828	23.1%
8	D.K.	183	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSH3: Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3591 /-] [Invalid=1280 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Have the policies of the FEDERAL government made Canada's economy BETTER, WORSE, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH DIFFERENCE either way?
Post-question	If respondent answers "better", go to #cpsh3a. If respondent answers "worse", go to #cpsh3b. All others go to #cpsh4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	158	4.4%
3	Worse	1659	46.2%
5	Not much diff	1774	49.4%
8	D.K.	173	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSH3A: Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy> How Much Better

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=158 /-] [Invalid=4713 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Is that MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
Post-question	Go to #cpsh4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much better	18	11.4%
5	Somewhat better	140	88.6%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4713	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSH3B: Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy> How Much Worse

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1657 /-] [Invalid=3214 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Is that MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much worse	672	40.6%

CPSH3B: Federal Policies Made Canada's Economy> How Much Worse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Somewhat worse	985	59.4%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3212	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSH4: Unemployment Rate in Canada These Days

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3080 /-] [Invalid=1791 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What would you say the unemployment rate in CANADA is these days, approximately?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If respondent gives a non numeric number ask: AND ABOUT HOW MUCH IS THAT?
Notes	Unemployment rate is measured in percantage.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0.1		1	0.0%
0.2		1	0.0%
0.8		1	0.0%
1		1	0.0%
1.4		1	0.0%
1.5		1	0.0%
1.6		2	0.1%
1.8		1	0.0%
2.5		1	0.0%
2.8		1	0.0%
3		1	0.0%
3.6		3	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		9	0.3%
5.5		1	0.0%
6		4	0.1%
6.5		3	0.1%
7		23	0.7%
7.2		9	0.3%
7.5		3	0.1%
8		39	1.3%
8.5		10	0.3%
8.6		1	0.0%
9		71	2.3%
9.1		1	0.0%
9.3		1	0.0%
9.5		13	0.4%
9.9		1	0.0%
10		300	9.7%
10.1		2	0.1%
10.2		1	0.0%

CPSH4: Unemployment Rate in Canada These Days

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10.3		3	0.1%
10.4		5	0.2%
10.5		58	1.9%
10.6		1	0.0%
10.7		1	0.0%
10.8		12	0.4%
10.9		4	0.1%
11		612	19.9%
11.2		22	0.7%
11.3		21	0.7%
11.4		15	0.5%
11.5		141	4.6%
11.6		30	1.0%
11.7		13	0.4%
11.8		16	0.5%
11.9		3	0.1%
12		370	12.0%
12.3		1	0.0%
12.4		2	0.1%
12.5		34	1.1%
12.8		2	0.1%
12.9		2	0.1%
13		114	3.7%
13.2		1	0.0%
13.5		13	0.4%
13.7		1	0.0%
14		84	2.7%
14.4		4	0.1%
14.5		5	0.2%
15		142	4.6%
15.5		3	0.1%
16		22	0.7%
16.5		4	0.1%
17		39	1.3%
17.5		11	0.4%
18		47	1.5%
18.1		1	0.0%
18.5		3	0.1%
19		15	0.5%
20		130	4.2%
20.1		1	0.0%
21		5	0.2%
21.6		1	0.0%
22		11	0.4%
22.5		4	0.1%

CPSH4: Unemployment Rate in Canada These Days

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23		5	0.2%
23.5		1	0.0%
24		2	0.1%
25		44	1.4%
25.2		1	0.0%
26		5	0.2%
27		1	0.0%
28		3	0.1%
29		1	0.0%
30		79	2.6%
32		4	0.1%
32.5		2	0.1%
33		4	0.1%
33.3		2	0.1%
35		31	1.0%
36		2	0.1%
37		2	0.1%
37.5		2	0.1%
38		1	0.0%
39		2	0.1%
40		54	1.8%
41		1	0.0%
42		2	0.1%
42.5		1	0.0%
43		1	0.0%
43.5		1	0.0%
45		4	0.1%
46		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
50		45	1.5%
51		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		9	0.3%
56		1	0.0%
57.5		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%
60		36	1.2%
62		1	0.0%
65		15	0.5%
68		1	0.0%
70		17	0.6%
72		1	0.0%
72.5		1	0.0%
75		24	0.8%
78		2	0.1%

CPSH4: Unemployment Rate in Canada These Days

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
79		2	0.1%
80		19	0.6%
85		2	0.1%
90		4	0.1%
95		1	0.0%
96	Improving	6	0.2%
97	High,too high	89	2.9%
98	D.K.	692	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSH5: Inflation Rate in Canada These Days

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2249 /-] [Invalid=2622 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What would you say the inflation rate in CANADA is these days, approximately?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If respondent gives a non numeric number ask: AND ABOUT HOW MUCH IS THAT?
Notes	Inflation rate is measured in percentage.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		19	0.8%
0.1		4	0.2%
0.2		1	0.0%
0.3		2	0.1%
0.4		2	0.1%
0.5		17	0.8%
0.8		1	0.0%
0.9		1	0.0%
1		101	4.5%
1.1		3	0.1%
1.2		6	0.3%
1.3		12	0.5%
1.4		9	0.4%
1.5		143	6.4%
1.6		24	1.1%
1.7		11	0.5%
1.8		45	2.0%
1.9		11	0.5%
2		324	14.4%
2.1		4	0.2%
2.2		4	0.2%
2.3		12	0.5%
2.4		7	0.3%
2.5		105	4.7%
2.6		2	0.1%

CPSH5: Inflation Rate in Canada These Days

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2.7		2	0.1%
2.8		9	0.4%
3		235	10.4%
3.2		1	0.0%
3.3		1	0.0%
3.4		2	0.1%
3.5		74	3.3%
3.8		4	0.2%
3.9		2	0.1%
4		198	8.8%
4.2		1	0.0%
4.3		1	0.0%
4.5		39	1.7%
4.6		1	0.0%
5		143	6.4%
5.2		2	0.1%
5.5		9	0.4%
6		77	3.4%
6.5		7	0.3%
6.8		1	0.0%
7		42	1.9%
7.2		1	0.0%
7.5		5	0.2%
7.8		1	0.0%
8		47	2.1%
8.2		2	0.1%
8.6		1	0.0%
9		9	0.4%
9.5		2	0.1%
10		56	2.5%
10.5		1	0.0%
11		11	0.5%
11.5		1	0.0%
12		25	1.1%
12.5		1	0.0%
13		9	0.4%
13.5		1	0.0%
14		4	0.2%
15		27	1.2%
16		3	0.1%
17		3	0.1%
18		2	0.1%
19		1	0.0%
20		16	0.7%
21		2	0.1%

CPSH5: Inflation Rate in Canada These Days

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22		1	0.0%
25		19	0.8%
27		1	0.0%
28		3	0.1%
30		29	1.3%
32		1	0.0%
34		2	0.1%
35		7	0.3%
38		2	0.1%
40		27	1.2%
45		9	0.4%
50		35	1.6%
52		1	0.0%
55		2	0.1%
60		23	1.0%
65		3	0.1%
67		2	0.1%
70		7	0.3%
72.5		1	0.0%
75		13	0.6%
78		1	0.0%
80		9	0.4%
82		1	0.0%
85		3	0.1%
90	90-110%	8	0.4%
95	Low,way down,small	24	1.1%
96	Same,no change	12	0.5%
97	High,too high	51	2.3%
98	D.K.	1524	
99	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSH6: Federal Government's Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3765 /-] [Invalid=1106 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What would you say the federal government's deficit is, approximately?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If respondent gives a non numeric number ask: AND ABOUT HOW MUCH IS THAT?
Notes	Federal government's deficit is measured in billions of dollars.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		28	0.7%
2		42	1.1%
3		37	1.0%
4		31	0.8%

CPSH6: Federal Government's Deficit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		38	1.0%
6		16	0.4%
7		7	0.2%
8		9	0.2%
9		7	0.2%
10		54	1.4%
11		8	0.2%
12		7	0.2%
13		11	0.3%
14		6	0.2%
15		12	0.3%
16		1	0.0%
17		4	0.1%
18		4	0.1%
19		2	0.1%
20		53	1.4%
22		5	0.1%
23		8	0.2%
24		4	0.1%
25		19	0.5%
26		4	0.1%
27		3	0.1%
28		4	0.1%
29		5	0.1%
30		197	5.2%
31		12	0.3%
32		58	1.5%
33		28	0.7%
34		43	1.1%
35		178	4.7%
36		47	1.2%
37		19	0.5%
38		30	0.8%
39		7	0.2%
40		98	2.6%
41		6	0.2%
42		9	0.2%
43		7	0.2%
44		4	0.1%
45		19	0.5%
46		2	0.1%
48		2	0.1%
50		60	1.6%
52		4	0.1%
53		1	0.0%

CPSH6: Federal Government's Deficit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
54		1	0.0%
55		4	0.1%
56		2	0.1%
57		1	0.0%
60		26	0.7%
61		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		4	0.1%
68		1	0.0%
70		4	0.1%
75		3	0.1%
80		7	0.2%
85		1	0.0%
90		3	0.1%
100		44	1.2%
103		1	0.0%
120		4	0.1%
125		1	0.0%
126		1	0.0%
130		4	0.1%
136		2	0.1%
140		3	0.1%
141		1	0.0%
144		1	0.0%
146		1	0.0%
150		12	0.3%
155		1	0.0%
156		1	0.0%
165		1	0.0%
175		1	0.0%
180		2	0.1%
185		1	0.0%
200		31	0.8%
204		1	0.0%
220		1	0.0%
230		3	0.1%
235		3	0.1%
240		2	0.1%
244		1	0.0%
250		7	0.2%
259		1	0.0%
260		1	0.0%
275		1	0.0%
300		55	1.5%
312		1	0.0%

CPSH6: Federal Government's Deficit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
325		1	0.0%
330		2	0.1%
340		1	0.0%
341		1	0.0%
345		3	0.1%
350		23	0.6%
355		1	0.0%
360		2	0.1%
365		1	0.0%
380		5	0.1%
385		1	0.0%
400		78	2.1%
407		1	0.0%
413		1	0.0%
420		4	0.1%
421		1	0.0%
425		2	0.1%
430		1	0.0%
435		2	0.1%
442		1	0.0%
450		31	0.8%
455		1	0.0%
456		1	0.0%
457		1	0.0%
460		8	0.2%
465		2	0.1%
467		1	0.0%
468		1	0.0%
469		1	0.0%
470		1	0.0%
475		4	0.1%
478		1	0.0%
480		6	0.2%
486		2	0.1%
489		1	0.0%
490		1	0.0%
497		1	0.0%
500		84	2.2%
520		1	0.0%
530		1	0.0%
550		4	0.1%
560		1	0.0%
562		1	0.0%
570		1	0.0%
580		1	0.0%

CPSH6: Federal Government's Deficit

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
587		1	0.0%
590		2	0.1%
597		1	0.0%
600		24	0.6%
625		1	0.0%
640		1	0.0%
641		1	0.0%
650		3	0.1%
680		1	0.0%
700		14	0.4%
750		1	0.0%
800		4	0.1%
994	Too high	96	2.5%
995	Millions	104	2.8%
996	Trillions	20	0.5%
997	Billions	257	6.8%
998	D.K.	1435	38.1%
999	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI1A: Describe> Campbell> Intelligent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3360 /-] [Invalid=1511 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Pre-question	Now, we'd like to know about your impressions of the party leaders. (Now what about..)
Literal question	KIM CAMPBELL. Does "INTELLIGENT" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn14. Code at each leader item ensured that respondents who had previously indicated they were not at all informed about the leader, or had answered don't know or refused to that leader item, were not asked questions about that particular leader.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	1111	33.1%
3	Fairly well	1779	52.9%
5	Not very well	363	10.8%
7	Not at all	107	3.2%
8	D.K.	85	
9	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		1403	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI1B: Describe> Campbell> Arrogant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3304 /-] [Invalid=1567 /-]

CPSI1B: Describe> Campbell> Arrogant

Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	Does "ARROGANT" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	709	21.5%
3	Fairly well	1162	35.2%
5	Not very well	951	28.8%
7	Not at all	482	14.6%
8	D.K.	137	
9	Refused	27	
Sysmiss		1403	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI1C: Describe> Campbell> Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3149 /-] [Invalid=1722 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	354	11.2%
3	Fairly well	1532	48.7%
5	Not very well	932	29.6%
7	Not at all	331	10.5%
8	D.K.	292	
9	Refused	27	
Sysmiss		1403	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI1D: Describe> Campbell> Speaks for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3190 /-] [Invalid=1681 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?" (Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	845	26.5%
3	Fairly well	1641	51.4%
5	Not very well	562	17.6%
7	Not at all	142	4.5%

CPSI1D: Describe> Campbell> Speaks for Women

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	248	
9	Refused	30	
Sysmiss		1403	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI1E: Describe> Campbell> Strong Leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3265 /-] [Invalid=1606 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	557	17.1%
3	Fairly well	1603	49.1%
5	Not very well	892	27.3%
7	Not at all	213	6.5%
8	D.K.	177	
9	Refused	26	
Sysmiss		1403	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI1F: Describe> Campbell> Compassionate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3080 /-] [Invalid=1791 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE?" (Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	355	11.5%
3	Fairly well	1576	51.2%
5	Not very well	871	28.3%
7	Not at all	278	9.0%
8	D.K.	363	
9	Refused	25	
Sysmiss		1403	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI1I: Kim Campbell Speaks French:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2842 /-] [Invalid=2029 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.

CPSI1I: Kim Campbell Speaks French:

Literal question	Do you think Kim Campbell speaks French VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	224	7.9%
3	Fairly well	1755	61.8%
5	Not very well	814	28.6%
7	Not at all	49	1.7%
8	D.K.	615	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1403	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI1J: Kim Campbell's Cabinet Job Before Prime Minister

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3465 /-] [Invalid=1406 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	Do you happen to know what Kim Campbell's cabinet job was before she became Prime Minister?
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Defense	1359	39.2%
2	Justice	290	8.4%
3	Finance	19	0.5%
4	External affairs	43	1.2%
5	Other federal	36	1.0%
6	Mp	4	0.1%
7	Municipal politician	3	0.1%
8	Lawyer/judge	23	0.7%
9	Other	3	0.1%
98	D.K.,no	1685	48.6%
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1403	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI2A: Describe> Chretien> Intelligent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3334 /-] [Invalid=1537 /-]	
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.	
Pre-question	(Now what about..) JEAN CHRETIEN.	
Literal question	Does "INTELLIGENT" describe JEAN CHRETIEN: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?	
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	893	26.8%
3	Fairly well	1831	54.9%
5	Not very well	450	13.5%
7	Not at all	160	4.8%
8	D.K.	78	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		1441	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI2B: Describe> Chretien> Arrogant

|		
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3280 /-] [Invalid=1591 /-]	
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.	
Literal question	Does "ARROGANT" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?	
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	631	19.2%
3	Fairly well	1126	34.3%
5	Not very well	1019	31.1%
7	Not at all	504	15.4%
8	D.K.	128	
9	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		1441	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI2C: Describe> Chretien> Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3181 /-] [Invalid=1690 /-]	
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.	
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)	
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	417	13.1%

CPSI2C: Describe> Chretien> Trustworthy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Fairly well	1488	46.8%
5	Not very well	851	26.8%
7	Not at all	425	13.4%
8	D.K.	225	
9	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		1441	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI2D: Describe> Chretien> Speaks for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2863 /-] [Invalid=2008 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Literal question	"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?" (Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	171	6.0%
3	Fairly well	1002	35.0%
5	Not very well	1254	43.8%
7	Not at all	436	15.2%
8	D.K.	531	
9	Refused	36	
Sysmiss		1441	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI2E: Describe> Chretien> Strong Leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3252 /-] [Invalid=1619 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	614	18.9%
3	Fairly well	1703	52.4%
5	Not very well	720	22.1%
7	Not at all	215	6.6%
8	D.K.	157	
9	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		1441	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI2F: Describe> Chretien> Compassionate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3033 /-] [Invalid=1838 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Literal question	(Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	422	13.9%
3	Fairly well	1691	55.8%
5	Not very well	650	21.4%
7	Not at all	270	8.9%
8	D.K.	372	
9	Refused	25	
Sysmiss		1441	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI3A: Describe> McLaughlin> Intelligent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2615 /-] [Invalid=2256 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Pre-question	(Now what about...) AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN.
Literal question	Does "INTELLIGENT" describe AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	502	19.2%
3	Fairly well	1564	59.8%
5	Not very well	451	17.2%
7	Not at all	98	3.7%
8	D.K.	207	
9	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		2028	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI3B: Describe> McLaughlin> Arrogant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2556 /-] [Invalid=2315 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	Does "ARROGANT" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	170	6.7%

CPSI3B: Describe> McLaughlin> Arrogant

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Fairly well	579	22.7%
5	Not very well	1074	42.0%
7	Not at all	733	28.7%
8	D.K.	267	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		2028	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI3C: Describe> McLaughlin> Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2477 /-] [Invalid=2394 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY WELL, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	386	15.6%
3	Fairly well	1444	58.3%
5	Not very well	501	20.2%
7	Not at all	146	5.9%
8	D.K.	344	
9	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		2028	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI3D: Describe> McLaughlin> Speaks for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2521 /-] [Invalid=2350 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?" (Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	782	31.0%
3	Fairly well	1410	55.9%
5	Not very well	268	10.6%
7	Not at all	61	2.4%
8	D.K.	297	
9	Refused	25	
Sysmiss		2028	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI3E: Describe> McLaughlin> Strong Leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2558 /-] [Invalid=2313 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Verywell	203		7.9%
3	Fairly well	881		34.4%
5	Not very well	1169		45.7%
7	Not at all	305		11.9%
8	D.K.	266		
9	Refused	19		
Sysmiss		2028		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI3F: Describe> McLaughlin> Compassionate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2428 /-] [Invalid=2443 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about her.
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE?" (Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Verywell	538		22.2%
3	Fairly well	1512		62.3%
5	Not very well	307		12.6%
7	Not at all	71		2.9%
8	D.K.	393		
9	Refused	22		
Sysmiss		2028		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI4A: Describe> Manning> Intelligent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1919 /-] [Invalid=2952 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Pre-question	(Now what about..) PRESTON MANNING.
Literal question	Does "INTELLIGENT" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

CPSI4A: Describe> Manning> Intelligent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	513	26.7%
3	Fairly well	1085	56.5%
5	Not very well	248	12.9%
7	Not at all	73	3.8%
8	D.K.	197	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		2737	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI4B: Describe> Manning> Arrogant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1877 /-] [Invalid=2994 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Literal question	Does "ARROGANT" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	309	16.5%
3	Fairly well	539	28.7%
5	Not very well	678	36.1%
7	Not at all	351	18.7%
8	D.K.	237	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		2737	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI4C: Describe> Manning> Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1797 /-] [Invalid=3074 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	273	15.2%
3	Fairly well	875	48.7%
5	Not very well	481	26.8%
7	Not at all	168	9.3%
8	D.K.	315	
9	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		2737	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI4D: Describe> Manning> Speaks for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1619 /-] [Invalid=3252 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Literal question	"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?" (Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	49	3.0%
3	Fairly well	439	27.1%
5	Not very well	805	49.7%
7	Not at all	326	20.1%
8	D.K.	489	
9	Refused	26	
Sysmiss		2737	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI4E: Describe> Manning> Strong Leadership

| Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1872 /-] [Invalid=2999 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	348	18.6%
3	Fairly well	906	48.4%
5	Not very well	484	25.9%
7	Not at all	134	7.2%
8	D.K.	243	
9	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		2737	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI4F: Describe> Manning> Compassionate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1721 /-] [Invalid=3150 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE?" (Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	169	9.8%

CPSI4F: Describe> Manning> Compassionate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Fairly well	820	47.6%
5	Not very well	539	31.3%
7	Not at all	193	11.2%
8	D.K.	392	
9	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		2737	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI5A: Describe> Bouchard> Intelligent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=599 /-] [Invalid=4272 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Pre-question	(Now what about..) LUCIEN BOUCHARD.
Literal question	Does "INTELLIGENT" describe LUCIEN BOUCHARD: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	188	31.4%
3	Fairly well	320	53.4%
5	Not very well	70	11.7%
7	Not at all	21	3.5%
8	D.K.	37	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		4232	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI5B: Describe> Bouchard> Arrogant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=594 /-] [Invalid=4277 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Literal question	Does "ARROGANT" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	134	22.6%
3	Fairly well	207	34.8%
5	Not very well	129	21.7%
7	Not at all	124	20.9%
8	D.K.	42	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		4232	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI5C: Describe> Bouchard> Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

CPSI5C: Describe> Bouchard> Trustworthy

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=579 /-] [Invalid=4292 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.		
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	108	18.7%
3	Fairly well	252	43.5%
5	Not very well	104	18.0%
7	Not at all	115	19.9%
8	D.K.	58	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		4232	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI5D: Describe> Bouchard> Speaks for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=508 /-] [Invalid=4363 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.		
Literal question	"CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN?" (Does "CAN REALLY SPEAK FOR WOMEN" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	51	10.0%
3	Fairly well	228	44.9%
5	Not very well	153	30.1%
7	Not at all	76	15.0%
8	D.K.	128	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		4232	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI5E: Describe> Bouchard> Strong Leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=589 /-] [Invalid=4282 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.		
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	191	32.4%
3	Fairly well	298	50.6%

CPSI5E: Describe> Bouchard> Strong Leadership

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not very well	60	10.2%
7	Not at all	40	6.8%
8	D.K.	48	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		4232	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI5F: Describe> Bouchard> Compassionate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=534 /-] [Invalid=4337 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked about him.
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE?" (Does "COMPASSIONATE" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked item cpsi6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	86	16.1%
3	Fairly well	276	51.7%
5	Not very well	106	19.9%
7	Not at all	66	12.4%
8	D.K.	103	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		4232	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSI6: Party Leader That Would Make the Best Prime Minister

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3118 /-] [Invalid=1753 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In your opinion, which party leader would make the best Prime Minister?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campbell	1002	32.1%
2	Chretien	1017	32.6%
3	Mclaughlin	152	4.9%
4	Manning	346	11.1%
5	Bouchard	212	6.8%
6	None	341	10.9%
7	Other	47	1.5%
97		1	0.0%
98	D.K.	610	
99	Refused	47	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ1A: Conservative Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]

CPSJ1A: Conservative Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3547 /-] [Invalid=1324 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Now let's talk about how the election is going for each party. We will be using a scale which runs from 0 to 100, where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory. You may use any number from 0 to 100.
Literal question	The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
Notes	A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level. The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nochance	320	9.0%
1		7	0.2%
2		2	0.1%
3		4	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		42	1.2%
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
10		122	3.4%
12		1	0.0%
15		31	0.9%
18		1	0.0%
20		157	4.4%
21		1	0.0%
22		2	0.1%
23		4	0.1%
25		113	3.2%
28		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		237	6.7%
32		1	0.0%
33		2	0.1%
35		52	1.5%
37		2	0.1%
38		2	0.1%
39		1	0.0%
40		372	10.5%
41		2	0.1%
42		1	0.0%
43		2	0.1%
45		75	2.1%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		7	0.2%
49		12	0.3%

CPSJ1A: Conservative Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50	An even chance	856	24.1%
51		2	0.1%
52		4	0.1%
53		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		69	1.9%
56		3	0.1%
57		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%
60		356	10.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		75	2.1%
68		3	0.1%
70		163	4.6%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		143	4.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		118	3.3%
82		1	0.0%
83		1	0.0%
85		25	0.7%
89		1	0.0%
90		45	1.3%
95		8	0.2%
98		1	0.0%
99		7	0.2%
100	Certain victory	72	2.0%
998	D.K.	215	
999	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ1B: Liberal Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3554 /-] [Invalid=1317 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The LIBERAL party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
Notes	A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level. The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nochance	210	5.9%

CPSJ1B: Liberal Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		3	0.1%
2		4	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		25	0.7%
7		1	0.0%
8		2	0.1%
10		71	2.0%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		22	0.6%
20		121	3.4%
23		1	0.0%
25		87	2.4%
28		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		215	6.0%
32		3	0.1%
35		35	1.0%
36		1	0.0%
37		3	0.1%
38		1	0.0%
39		2	0.1%
40		310	8.7%
41		1	0.0%
42		3	0.1%
45		53	1.5%
46		3	0.1%
48		4	0.1%
49		2	0.1%
50	An even chance	838	23.6%
51		11	0.3%
52		8	0.2%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		78	2.2%
57		3	0.1%
58		1	0.0%
60		387	10.9%
61		1	0.0%
62		2	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		84	2.4%
69		2	0.1%
70		231	6.5%

CPSJ1B: Liberal Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
71		1	0.0%
72		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		190	5.3%
78		4	0.1%
80		220	6.2%
82		1	0.0%
85		28	0.8%
87		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		86	2.4%
93		1	0.0%
95		20	0.6%
97		1	0.0%
98		3	0.1%
99		10	0.3%
100	Certain victory	144	4.1%
998	D.K.	209	
999	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ1C: NDP's Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3533 /-] [Invalid=1338 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The NEW DEMOCRATIC party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
Notes	A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level. The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nochance	1064	30.1%
1		17	0.5%
2		29	0.8%
3		11	0.3%
4		2	0.1%
5		132	3.7%
6		1	0.0%
7		5	0.1%
8		8	0.2%
9		2	0.1%
10		389	11.0%
12		6	0.2%
13		2	0.1%

CPSJ1C: NDP's Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15		46	1.3%
16		2	0.1%
17		2	0.1%
18		2	0.1%
20		309	8.7%
24		1	0.0%
25		127	3.6%
28		1	0.0%
29		4	0.1%
30		285	8.1%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		36	1.0%
37		1	0.0%
40		212	6.0%
42		2	0.1%
44		2	0.1%
45		55	1.6%
47		1	0.0%
48		6	0.2%
49		3	0.1%
50	An even chance	376	10.6%
51		3	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
54		3	0.1%
55		34	1.0%
60		127	3.6%
63		2	0.1%
65		28	0.8%
70		58	1.6%
72		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		38	1.1%
77		1	0.0%
80		36	1.0%
85		4	0.1%
90		21	0.6%
95		8	0.2%
99		3	0.1%
100	Certain victory	21	0.6%
998	D.K.	227	
999	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ1D: Reform Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2586 /-] [Invalid=2285 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec- the Reform Party did not run candidates in Quebec.
Literal question	The REFORM party's chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
Notes	A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level. The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nochance	585	22.6%
1		9	0.3%
2		25	1.0%
3		9	0.3%
4		2	0.1%
5		70	2.7%
8		1	0.0%
10		200	7.7%
12		3	0.1%
13		2	0.1%
15		35	1.4%
17		1	0.0%
20		229	8.9%
22		2	0.1%
25		89	3.4%
26		1	0.0%
30		211	8.2%
33		1	0.0%
35		37	1.4%
36		1	0.0%
37		2	0.1%
38		1	0.0%
40		183	7.1%
42		1	0.0%
45		45	1.7%
46		1	0.0%
48		4	0.2%
49		2	0.1%
50	An even chance	364	14.1%
51		2	0.1%
52		3	0.1%
55		26	1.0%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		142	5.5%
65		37	1.4%

CPSJ1D: Reform Party's Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
68		1	0.0%
70		78	3.0%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
75		59	2.3%
80		56	2.2%
85		11	0.4%
90		20	0.8%
95		5	0.2%
98		1	0.0%
99		2	0.1%
100	Certain victory	23	0.9%
998	D.K.	174	
999	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2102	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ1E: Bloc Quebecois' Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=925 /-] [Invalid=3946 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec- the Bloc Quebecois did not run candidates outside Quebec.
Literal question	The BLOC Québécois' chances of winning IN YOUR RIDING? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
Notes	A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level. The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nochance	84	9.1%
1		3	0.3%
2		5	0.5%
3		1	0.1%
5		6	0.6%
10		28	3.0%
12		1	0.1%
15		3	0.3%
20		26	2.8%
25		19	2.1%
27		1	0.1%
30		39	4.2%
35		6	0.6%
36		1	0.1%
37		1	0.1%
40		47	5.1%
45		12	1.3%
46		2	0.2%

CPSJ1E: Bloc Quebecois' Chances> Winning In Your Riding

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47		1	0.1%
48		2	0.2%
49		1	0.1%
50	An even chance	200	21.6%
51		1	0.1%
52		2	0.2%
54		1	0.1%
55		15	1.6%
60		77	8.3%
62		2	0.2%
64		1	0.1%
65		17	1.8%
66		1	0.1%
70		70	7.6%
75		61	6.6%
80		69	7.5%
85		11	1.2%
88		1	0.1%
90		28	3.0%
95		4	0.4%
98		1	0.1%
99		5	0.5%
100	Certain victory	69	7.5%
998	D.K.	76	
999	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		3865	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ2A: Conservative Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3614 /-] [Invalid=1257 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The CONSERVATIVE party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
Notes	A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level. The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nochance	130	3.6%
1		5	0.1%
2		3	0.1%
5		15	0.4%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%

CPSJ2A: Conservative Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		1	0.0%
10		47	1.3%
12		1	0.0%
15		18	0.5%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		89	2.5%
22		2	0.1%
25		99	2.7%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		213	5.9%
31		1	0.0%
32		1	0.0%
33		3	0.1%
34		1	0.0%
35		61	1.7%
36		1	0.0%
37		1	0.0%
38		4	0.1%
39		2	0.1%
40		374	10.3%
41		1	0.0%
42		10	0.3%
43		2	0.1%
44		3	0.1%
45		134	3.7%
46		4	0.1%
47		4	0.1%
48		14	0.4%
49		23	0.6%
50	An even chance	1036	28.7%
51		17	0.5%
52		8	0.2%
53		3	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		124	3.4%
57		2	0.1%
58		3	0.1%
60		406	11.2%
61		2	0.1%
62		3	0.1%
64		1	0.0%
65		98	2.7%
66		2	0.1%

CPSJ2A: Conservative Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
67		1	0.0%
68		4	0.1%
70		199	5.5%
72		1	0.0%
73		3	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		173	4.8%
79		2	0.1%
80		142	3.9%
81		2	0.1%
82		1	0.0%
85		30	0.8%
87		1	0.0%
90		29	0.8%
94		1	0.0%
95		3	0.1%
97		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		3	0.1%
100	Certain victory	36	1.0%
998	D.K.	143	
999	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ2B: Liberal Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3616 /-] [Invalid=1255 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The LIBERAL party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
Notes	A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level. The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nochance	39	1.1%
1		2	0.1%
2		1	0.0%
3		1	0.0%
5		3	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		15	0.4%
12		1	0.0%
15		7	0.2%

CPSJ2B: Liberal Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		1	0.0%
20		22	0.6%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		34	0.9%
26		1	0.0%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		65	1.8%
32		1	0.0%
35		33	0.9%
36		2	0.1%
38		3	0.1%
39		4	0.1%
40		223	6.2%
42		4	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		84	2.3%
46		1	0.0%
47		6	0.2%
48		9	0.2%
49		6	0.2%
50	An even chance	936	25.9%
51		25	0.7%
52		12	0.3%
53		4	0.1%
54		3	0.1%
55		141	3.9%
56		3	0.1%
57		2	0.1%
58		4	0.1%
59		4	0.1%
60		540	14.9%
62		1	0.0%
64		3	0.1%
65		127	3.5%
66		1	0.0%
67		2	0.1%
68		7	0.2%
69		1	0.0%
70		332	9.2%
72		2	0.1%
73		1	0.0%

CPSJ2B: Liberal Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
75		275		7.6%
76		1		0.0%
78		4		0.1%
80		271		7.5%
82		3		0.1%
83		1		0.0%
85		52		1.4%
86		1		0.0%
87		1		0.0%
88		1		0.0%
89		2		0.1%
90		110		3.0%
92		2		0.1%
93		1		0.0%
95		20		0.6%
96		1		0.0%
97		1		0.0%
98		3		0.1%
99		6		0.2%
100	Certain victory	132		3.7%
998	D.K.	142		
999	Refused	17		
Sysmiss		1096		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ2C: NDP's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3596 /-] [Invalid=1275 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The NEW DEMOCRATIC party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
Notes	A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level. The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Nochance	999		27.8%
1		28		0.8%
2		22		0.6%
3		7		0.2%
4		4		0.1%
5		135		3.8%
6		10		0.3%
7		12		0.3%
8		15		0.4%
9		4		0.1%

CPSJ2C: NDP's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		400	11.1%
12		5	0.1%
13		2	0.1%
14		2	0.1%
15		93	2.6%
16		2	0.1%
17		3	0.1%
18		6	0.2%
19		1	0.0%
20		376	10.5%
21		1	0.0%
22		4	0.1%
23		3	0.1%
24		1	0.0%
25		175	4.9%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
29		2	0.1%
30		362	10.1%
32		2	0.1%
33		2	0.1%
34		1	0.0%
35		57	1.6%
37		1	0.0%
38		2	0.1%
39		1	0.0%
40		275	7.6%
41		1	0.0%
42		1	0.0%
45		62	1.7%
46		3	0.1%
47		1	0.0%
48		7	0.2%
49		2	0.1%
50	An even chance	289	8.0%
51		2	0.1%
52		4	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		25	0.7%
56		1	0.0%
60		94	2.6%
62		1	0.0%
65		16	0.4%
68		1	0.0%
70		26	0.7%

CPSJ2C: NDP's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
72		1	0.0%
75		21	0.6%
80		6	0.2%
90		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
100	Certain victory	10	0.3%
998	D.K.	162	
999	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ2D: Reform Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3483 /-] [Invalid=1388 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The REFORM party's chances of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)
Notes	A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level. The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nochance	972	27.9%
1		18	0.5%
2		32	0.9%
3		7	0.2%
4		4	0.1%
5		109	3.1%
6		3	0.1%
7		5	0.1%
8		7	0.2%
10		349	10.0%
11		3	0.1%
12		9	0.3%
13		3	0.1%
15		60	1.7%
17		1	0.0%
18		4	0.1%
20		351	10.1%
22		1	0.0%
25		172	4.9%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%

CPSJ2D: Reform Party's Chances> Winning In Whole Country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		354	10.2%
32		3	0.1%
33		2	0.1%
35		69	2.0%
37		3	0.1%
38		4	0.1%
40		320	9.2%
41		1	0.0%
42		2	0.1%
45		49	1.4%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		7	0.2%
49		8	0.2%
50	An even chance	320	9.2%
51		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
55		26	0.7%
56		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		89	2.6%
62		1	0.0%
65		20	0.6%
67		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		30	0.9%
75		21	0.6%
78		1	0.0%
80		19	0.5%
85		1	0.0%
90		3	0.1%
95		3	0.1%
98		3	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	Certain victory	2	0.1%
998	D.K.	276	
999	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ2E: Bloc Quebecois' Chances> Winning the Majority of Seats in Quebec

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3308 /-] [Invalid=1563 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The BLOC Québécois' chances of winning the MAJORITY OF SEATS in QUEBEC? (Using the 0 to 100 scale where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.)

CPSJ2E: Bloc Quebecois' Chances> Winning the Majority of Seats in Quebec

	Notes	A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=1) were asked about the parties' chances at the riding level, and then about the parties' chances at the national level. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn15=2) were asked about the parties' chances at the national level, and then about the parties' chances at the riding level. The order of delivery of the parties at the national and riding level was random, based on a value stored in variable cpsrn16.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nochance	80	2.4%
1		3	0.1%
2		4	0.1%
3		2	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		24	0.7%
6		1	0.0%
8		3	0.1%
10		40	1.2%
12		2	0.1%
14		1	0.0%
15		12	0.4%
16		1	0.0%
17		1	0.0%
20		63	1.9%
24		1	0.0%
25		42	1.3%
30		121	3.7%
32		1	0.0%
35		32	1.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		201	6.1%
43		1	0.0%
45		55	1.7%
47		1	0.0%
48		6	0.2%
49		6	0.2%
50	An even chance	667	20.2%
51		6	0.2%
52		8	0.2%
53		3	0.1%
54		3	0.1%
55		67	2.0%
60		383	11.6%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		87	2.6%
66		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		309	9.3%

CPSJ2E: Bloc Quebecois' Chances> Winning the Majority of Seats in Quebec

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
72		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		284	8.6%
77		2	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		344	10.4%
85		46	1.4%
86		1	0.0%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		140	4.2%
92		1	0.0%
93		1	0.0%
95		17	0.5%
98		7	0.2%
99		4	0.1%
100	Certain victory	210	6.3%
998	D.K.	446	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJ3: Province Representation in Ottawa By Strong Cabinet Minister

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3735 /-] [Invalid=1136 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How important is it that [fill pppp] be represented in Ottawa by strong Cabinet Ministers? Would you say VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important or NOT important at all?
Notes	The respondent's province was filled in the variable pppp.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	2853	76.4%
3	Somewhat	673	18.0%
5	Not very	143	3.8%
7	Not important	66	1.8%
8	D.K.	37	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK1A: How Much Power Should Trade Unions Have

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3716 /-] [Invalid=1155 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Now we'd like to ask you about some groups.
Literal question	First, how much power do you think TRADE UNIONS should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about this?

CPSK1A: How Much Power Should Trade Unions Have

	Post-question		If respondent answers that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #cpsk2a. All others go to #cpsk1b.	

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Not thought much	760		20.5%
1	Muchmore	149		4.0%
2	Somewhat more	243		6.5%
3	Same as now	1033		27.8%
4	Somewhat less	962		25.9%
5	Much less	569		15.3%
8	D.K.	48		
9	Refused	11		
Sysmiss		1096		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK1B: Liberals> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2948 /-] [Invalid=1923 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk1a.
Literal question	How much power does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want unions to have? (MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Muchmore	58		2.0%
2	Somewhat more	165		5.6%
3	Same as now	989		33.5%
4	Somewhat less	635		21.5%
5	Much less	209		7.1%
8	D.K.	892		30.3%
9	Refused	8		
Sysmiss		1915		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK1C: Conservatives> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2948 /-] [Invalid=1923 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk1a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Muchmore	48		1.6%
2	Somewhat more	115		3.9%
3	Same as now	747		25.3%
4	Somewhat less	853		28.9%
5	Much less	365		12.4%
8	D.K.	820		27.8%
9	Refused	8		

CPSK1C: Conservatives> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK1D: NDP> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2947 /-] [Invalid=1924 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk1a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does the FEDERAL NDP want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	529	18.0%
2	Somewhat more	746	25.3%
3	Same as now	459	15.6%
4	Somewhat less	266	9.0%
5	Much less	148	5.0%
8	D.K.	799	27.1%
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1915	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK1E: Reform> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2143 /-] [Invalid=2728 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk1a.
Literal question	The REFORM party? (Does the REFORM Party want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	28	1.3%
2	Somewhat more	94	4.4%
3	Same as now	297	13.9%
4	Somewhat less	507	23.7%
5	Much less	424	19.8%
8	D.K.	793	37.0%
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2719	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK1F: Bloc Quebecois> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=803 /-] [Invalid=4068 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk1a.
Literal question	The BLOC Québécois? (Does the BLOC Québécois want unions to have MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS power?)

CPSK1F: Bloc Quebecois> How Much Power They Want Unions to Have

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	53	6.6%
2	Somewhat more	88	11.0%
3	Same as now	200	24.9%
4	Somewhat less	96	12.0%
5	Much less	43	5.4%
8	D.K.	323	40.2%
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4067	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK2A: How Much Should Be Done for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3714 /-] [Invalid=1157 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for WOMEN: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #cpsk3a. All others go to #cpsk2b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not thought much	384	10.3%
1	Muchmore	829	22.3%
2	Somewhat more	1364	36.7%
3	Same as now	998	26.9%
4	Somewhat less	106	2.9%
5	Much less	33	0.9%
8	D.K.	45	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK2B: Liberals> How Much They Want to Do for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3326 /-] [Invalid=1545 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk2a.
Literal question	What does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want to do for women? (MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	218	6.6%
2	Somewhat more	995	29.9%
3	Same as now	1047	31.5%
4	Somewhat less	68	2.0%
5	Much less	35	1.1%
8	D.K.	963	29.0%
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1541	

CPSK2B: Liberals> How Much They Want to Do for Women

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK2C: Conservatives> How Much They Want to Do for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3325 /-] [Invalid=1546 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk2a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want to do MUCH MORE for women, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	242	7.3%
2	Somewhat more	992	29.8%
3	Same as now	1026	30.9%
4	Somewhat less	116	3.5%
5	Much less	54	1.6%
8	D.K.	895	26.9%
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1541	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK2D: Ndp> How Much They Want to Do for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3323 /-] [Invalid=1548 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk2a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE for women, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	560	16.9%
2	Somewhat more	1025	30.8%
3	Same as now	604	18.2%
4	Somewhat less	85	2.6%
5	Much less	48	1.4%
8	D.K.	1001	30.1%
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1541	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK2E: Reform> How Much They Want to Do for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2426 /-] [Invalid=2445 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk2a.
Literal question	The REFORM party? (Does the REFORM party want to do MUCH MORE for women, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	75	3.1%
2	Somewhat more	299	12.3%

CPSK2E: Reform> How Much They Want to Do for Women

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Same as now	658	27.1%
4	Somewhat less	291	12.0%
5	Much less	148	6.1%
8	D.K.	955	39.4%
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2433	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK2F: Bloc Quebecois> How Much They Want to Do for Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=890 /-] [Invalid=3981 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk2a.
Literal question	The BLOC Québécois? (Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE for women, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	75	8.4%
2	Somewhat more	234	26.3%
3	Same as now	221	24.8%
4	Somewhat less	18	2.0%
5	Much less	15	1.7%
8	D.K.	327	36.7%
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3979	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK3A: What Should Be Done for Racial Minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3752 /-] [Invalid=1119 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much do you think should be done for RACIAL MINORITIES: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #cpsl1. All others go to #cpsk3b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not thought much	676	18.0%
1	Muchmore	360	9.6%
2	Somewhat more	760	20.3%
3	Same as now	1126	30.0%
4	Somewhat less	503	13.4%
5	Much less	265	7.1%
8	D.K.	62	1.7%
9	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK3B: Liberals> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3005 /-] [Invalid=1866 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk3a.
Literal question	What does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party want to do for racial minorities? (MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	193	6.4%
2	Somewhat more	719	23.9%
3	Same as now	934	31.1%
4	Somewhat less	99	3.3%
5	Much less	34	1.1%
8	D.K.	1026	34.1%
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1857	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK3C: Conservatives> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3004 /-] [Invalid=1867 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk3a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party want to do MUCH MORE, for racial minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	155	5.2%
2	Somewhat more	587	19.5%
3	Same as now	1068	35.6%
4	Somewhat less	148	4.9%
5	Much less	61	2.0%
8	D.K.	985	32.8%
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1857	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK3D: NDP> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3004 /-] [Invalid=1867 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk3a.
Literal question	The FEDERAL NDP? (Does the FEDERAL NDP want to do MUCH MORE, for racial minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	293	9.8%
2	Somewhat more	794	26.4%
3	Same as now	683	22.7%
4	Somewhat less	101	3.4%
5	Much less	34	1.1%
8	D.K.	1099	36.6%
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1857	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK3E: Reform> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2252 /-] [Invalid=2619 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk3a.
Literal question	The REFORM party? (Does the REFORM party want to do MUCH MORE, for racial minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	56	2.5%
2	Somewhat more	189	8.4%
3	Same as now	414	18.4%
4	Somewhat less	426	18.9%

CPSK3E: Reform> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Much less	254	11.3%
8	D.K.	913	40.5%
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		2608	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSK3F: Bloc Quebecois> How Much They Want to Do for Minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=750 /-] [Invalid=4121 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much about it", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsk3a.
Literal question	The BLOC Québécois? (Does the BLOC Québécois want to do MUCH MORE, for racial minorities, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME as now, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Muchmore	42	5.6%
2	Somewhat more	145	19.3%
3	Same as now	199	26.5%
4	Somewhat less	44	5.9%
5	Much less	28	3.7%
8	D.K.	292	38.9%
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4120	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL1: Free Trade Agreement With United States

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3575 /-] [Invalid=1296 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In 1988 Canada signed a Free Trade Agreement with the United States. All things considered, do you support the agreement or do you oppose it?
Post-question	If respondent states that they are "unaware of agreement", go to #cpsl3. All others go to #cpsl2a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unaware of fta	49	1.4%
1	Support	1475	41.3%
3	Oppose	1912	53.5%
5	Neither	139	3.9%
8	D.K.	191	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL2A: Agreement is Necessary to Ensure Large Market

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3209 /-] [Invalid=1662 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they are "unware of agreement" for #cpsl1.
Pre-question	Here are two statements about the Canada US Agreement.

CPSL2A: Agreement is Necessary to Ensure Large Market

Literal question	The agreement is necessary to make sure we have a large market for our products. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	675	21.0%
3	Somewhat agree	1297	40.4%
5	Somewhatdisagree	775	24.2%
7	Stronglydisagree	462	14.4%
8	D.K.	509	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1145	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL2B: Unemployment has Gone Up Because of Agreement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3357 /-] [Invalid=1514 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not state that they are "unaware of agreement" for #cpsl1.
Literal question	Unemployment has gone up BECAUSE of this agreement. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1218	36.3%
3	Somewhat agree	1126	33.5%
5	Somewhatdisagree	690	20.6%
7	Stronglydisagree	323	9.6%
8	D.K.	368	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1145	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL3: Free Trade Agreement With US and Mexico

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3481 /-] [Invalid=1390 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Canada and the United States have reached a NEW trade agreement which includes MEXICO. All things considered, do you support this agreement or do you oppose it?
Post-question	If respondent answers "support" or "oppose", got to #cpsl3a. If respondent answers "neither support nor oppose", or "don't know", go to #cpsl3b. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #cpsl4a. If respondent states that they are "unaware of agreement", go to #cpsl6a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Unaware of nafta	103	3.0%
1	Support	1034	29.7%
3	Oppose	2250	64.6%
5	Neither	94	2.7%
8	D.K.	287	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL3A: How Strongly Do You Support or Oppose Agreement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3273 /-] [Invalid=1598 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "support" or "oppose" for #cpsl3.
Literal question	How strongly do you support this agreement? (If respondent answered "support" for #cpsl3)/ How strongly do you oppose this agreement? (If respondent answered "oppose" for #cpsl3)? Would you say VERY STRONGLY, SOMEWHAT STRONGLY or NOT VERY STRONGLY?
Post-question	Go to #cpsl4a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	1326	40.5%
3	Somewhat	1546	47.2%
5	Not very	401	12.3%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1587	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL3B: If You Had to Choose, Would You Support or Oppose Agreement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=193 /-] [Invalid=4678 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "neither suppose nor oppose", or "don't know" for #cpsl3.
Literal question	If you had to choose would you support or oppose this agreement?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	74	38.3%
3	Oppose	83	43.0%
5	Neither	36	18.7%
8	D.K.	185	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		4490	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL4A: The New Agreement is Necessary to Maintain Market Position

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2751 /-] [Invalid=2120 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they are "unaware of agreement" for #cpsl3.
Pre-question	Here are two statements about the new agreement that includes Mexico.
Literal question	The first is: The NEW agreement is necessary to maintain Canada's position in the United States' market. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	215	7.8%
3	Somewhat agree	992	36.1%
5	Somewhatdisagree	881	32.0%
7	Stronglydisagree	663	24.1%
8	D.K.	916	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1199	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL4B: Unemployment Will Go Up Because of New Agreement

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3196 /-] [Invalid=1675 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they are "unaware of agreement" for #cpsl3.
Literal question	Because of the NEW agreement unemployment in Canada will go up. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	1142	35.7%
3	Somewhat agree	1079	33.8%
5	Somewhatdisagree	690	21.6%
7	Stronglydisagree	285	8.9%
8	D.K.	473	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1199	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL6A: Pay Higher Taxes to Reduce Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3715 /-] [Invalid=1156 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Canadian governments are running DEFICITS, they are spending more than they are raising in taxes.
Literal question	Would you, PERSONALLY, be willing to pay HIGHER taxes to help reduce the deficit?
Notes	Code at this item performs an order experiment. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn2=1) were asked item cpsl6a then cpsl6b, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn2=2) were asked item cpsl6b then cpsl6a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, pay more	838	22.6%
5	No, not pay more	2790	75.1%
7	Depends	87	2.3%
8	D.K.	52	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL6B: Pay Higher Taxes to Maintain Social Programmes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3697 /-] [Invalid=1174 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you, PERSONALLY, be willing to pay HIGHER taxes to maintain our social programmes? / Would you, PERSONALLY, be willing to pay HIGHER taxes to maintain our social programmes such as health care? / Would you, PERSONALLY, be willing to pay HIGHER taxes to maintain our social programmes such as welfare?
Notes	Code at this item performs an order experiment. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn2=1) were asked item cpsl6a then cpsl6b, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn2=2) were asked item cpsl6b then cpsl6a. There were three versions of item cpsl6b depending on the value stored in cpsrn6. A random one third of the respondents (cpsrn6=1) were asked "...to maintain our social programmes?". A random one third (cpsrn6=2) were asked "...to maintain our social programmes such as health care?". A random third (cpsrn6=3) were asked "...to maintain our social programmes such as welfare?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, pay more	1365	36.9%
5	No, not pay more	2222	60.1%

CPSL6B: Pay Higher Taxes to Maintain Social Programmes

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Depends	110	3.0%
8	D.K.	71	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL5A: Reduce Deficit or Maintain Social Programmes

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1694 /-] [Invalid=3177 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn7=1) were asked #cpsl5a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn7=2) were asked #cpsl5b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	On the deficit, which comes closest to your own view? One: We must reduce the deficit even if that means cutting programmes. OR Two: Governments must maintain programmes even if that means continuing to run a deficit.
Post-question	Go to #cpsl7a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reduce deficits	1038	61.3%
2	Maintain pgms	656	38.7%
8	D.K.	213	
9	Refused	25	
Sysmiss		2939	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL5B: Maintain Programmes or Reduce Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1619 /-] [Invalid=3252 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn7=1) were asked #cpsl5a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn7=2) were asked #cpsl5b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	On the deficit, which comes closest to your own view? One: Governments must maintain programmes even if that means continuing to run a deficit. OR Two: We must reduce the deficit even if that means cutting programmes.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Maintain pgms	586	36.2%
2	Reduce deficits	1033	63.8%
8	D.K.	205	
9	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		3028	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL7A: Cut Spending> Defence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3665 /-] [Invalid=1206 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	If you HAD TO, would you cut spending in the following areas A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL.
Literal question	Defence? (Should DEFENCE spending be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The items were delivered in series; however, a random starting point was utilised based on a value stored in item cpsrn19. A random one seventh of the respondents (cpsrn19=1) began the series at cpsl7a, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=2) began the series at cpsl7b, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=3) began the series at cpsl7c, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=4) began the series at cpsl7d, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=5) began the series at cpsl7e, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=6) began the series at cpsl7f, and a random one seventh (cpsrn19=7) began the series at cpsl7g.

CPSL7A: Cut Spending> Defence

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	1302	35.5%
3	Some	1808	49.3%
5	Not at all	555	15.1%
8	D.K.	102	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL7B: Cut Spending> Welfare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3675 /-] [Invalid=1196 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Welfare? (Should Welfare be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The items were delivered in series; however, a random starting point was utilised based on a value stored in item cpsrn19. A random one seventh of the respondents (cpsrn19=1) began the series at cpsl7a, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=2) began the series at cpsl7b, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=3) began the series at cpsl7c, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=4) began the series at cpsl7d, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=5) began the series at cpsl7e, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=6) began the series at cpsl7f, and a random one seventh (cpsrn19=7) began the series at cpsl7g.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	779	21.2%
3	Some	1749	47.6%
5	Not at all	1147	31.2%
8	D.K.	85	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL7C: Cut Spending> Pensions and Old Age Security

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3737 /-] [Invalid=1134 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Pensions and Old Age Security? (Should Pensions be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The items were delivered in series; however, a random starting point was utilised based on a value stored in item cpsrn19. A random one seventh of the respondents (cpsrn19=1) began the series at cpsl7a, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=2) began the series at cpsl7b, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=3) began the series at cpsl7c, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=4) began the series at cpsl7d, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=5) began the series at cpsl7e, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=6) began the series at cpsl7f, and a random one seventh (cpsrn19=7) began the series at cpsl7g.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	74	2.0%
3	Some	650	17.4%
5	Not at all	3013	80.6%
8	D.K.	35	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL7D: Cut Spending> Health Care

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

CPSL7D: Cut Spending> Health Care

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3742 /-] [Invalid=1129 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Health Care? (Should Health Care be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The items were delivered in series; however, a random starting point was utilised based on a value stored in item cpsrn19. A random one seventh of the respondents (cpsrn19=1) began the series at cpsl7a, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=2) began the series at cpsl7b, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=3) began the series at cpsl7c, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=4) began the series at cpsl7d, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=5) began the series at cpsl7e, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=6) began the series at cpsl7f, and a random one seventh (cpsrn19=7) began the series at cpsl7g.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	114	3.0%
3	Some	939	25.1%
5	Not at all	2689	71.9%
8	D.K.	30	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL7E: Cut Spending> Unemployment Insurance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3686 /-] [Invalid=1185 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Unemployment Insurance? (Should Unemployment Insurance be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The items were delivered in series; however, a random starting point was utilised based on a value stored in item cpsrn19. A random one seventh of the respondents (cpsrn19=1) began the series at cpsl7a, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=2) began the series at cpsl7b, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=3) began the series at cpsl7c, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=4) began the series at cpsl7d, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=5) began the series at cpsl7e, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=6) began the series at cpsl7f, and a random one seventh (cpsrn19=7) began the series at cpsl7g.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	359	9.7%
3	Some	1710	46.4%
5	Not at all	1617	43.9%
8	D.K.	86	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL7F: Cut Spending> Education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3738 /-] [Invalid=1133 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Education? (Should Education be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The items were delivered in series; however, a random starting point was utilised based on a value stored in item cpsrn19. A random one seventh of the respondents (cpsrn19=1) began the series at cpsl7a, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=2) began the series at cpsl7b, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=3) began the series at cpsl7c, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=4) began the series at cpsl7d, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=5) began the series at cpsl7e, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=6) began the series at cpsl7f, and a random one seventh (cpsrn19=7) began the series at cpsl7g.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	74	2.0%
3	Some	625	16.7%

CPSL7F: Cut Spending> Education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not at all	3039	81.3%
8	D.K.	36	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL7G: Cut Spending> Aid to Developing Countries

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3686 /-] [Invalid=1185 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Aid to developing countries? (Should Aid to poor countries be cut A LOT, SOME, or NOT AT ALL?)
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Developing means poor.
Notes	The items were delivered in series; however, a random starting point was utilised based on a value stored in item cpsrn19. A random one seventh of the respondents (cpsrn19=1) began the series at cpsl7a, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=2) began the series at cpsl7b, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=3) began the series at cpsl7c, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=4) began the series at cpsl7d, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=5) began the series at cpsl7e, a random one seventh (cpsrn19=6) began the series at cpsl7f, and a random one seventh (cpsrn19=7) began the series at cpsl7g.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A lot	1132	30.7%
3	Some	1961	53.2%
5	Not at all	593	16.1%
8	D.K.	81	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL8A: Conservatives Win> What Happens to Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3404 /-] [Invalid=1467 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Suppose the Conservatives win the election, what do you think will happen to the deficit? Would a Conservative government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much bigger	363	10.7%
2	Somewhat	940	27.6%
3	Same as now	1275	37.5%
4	Somewhat smaller	732	21.5%
5	Much smaller	94	2.8%
8	D.K.	363	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL8B: Liberals Win> What Happens to Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3385 /-] [Invalid=1486 /-]

CPSL8B: Liberals Win> What Happens to Deficit

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What if the Liberals win? (Would a Liberal government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much bigger	373	11.0%
2	Somewhat	1091	32.2%
3	Same as now	1270	37.5%
4	Somewhat smaller	593	17.5%
5	Much smaller	58	1.7%
8	D.K.	383	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL8C: NDP Win> What Happens to Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3078 /-] [Invalid=1793 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And the NDP? (Would an NDP government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much bigger	716	23.3%
2	Somewhat	967	31.4%
3	Same as now	978	31.8%
4	Somewhat smaller	369	12.0%
5	Much smaller	48	1.6%
8	D.K.	676	
9	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL8D: Reform Party Win> What Happens to Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2148 /-] [Invalid=2723 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	And the Reform party? (Would a Reform government make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much bigger	172	8.0%
2	Somewhat	421	19.6%
3	Same as now	680	31.7%
4	Somewhat smaller	631	29.4%
5	Much smaller	244	11.4%
8	D.K.	605	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		2102	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL8E: Bloc Quebecois Win> What Happen to Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=725 /-] [Invalid=4146 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	And the Bloc Québécois? (Would a government which included the Bloc make the deficit MUCH BIGGER, SOMEWHAT BIGGER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT SMALLER, or MUCH SMALLER?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much bigger	87	12.0%
2	Somewhat	182	25.1%
3	Same as now	276	38.1%
4	Somewhat smaller	150	20.7%
5	Much smaller	30	4.1%
8	D.K.	270	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		3865	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL9A: Views: Reduce Unemployment or Control Inflation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1684 /-] [Invalid=3187 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn21=1) were asked item cpsl9a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn21=2) were asked item cpsl9b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	As a general rule, the government should: One: Reduce unemployment even if it means higher inflation OR Two: Control inflation even if it means higher unemployment.
Post-question	Go to #cpsl10a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reduceunemploymt	1110	65.9%
2	Controlinflation	574	34.1%
8	D.K.	183	
9	Refused	32	
Sysmiss		2972	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL9B: Views: Control Inflation or Reduce Unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1618 /-] [Invalid=3253 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn21=1) were asked item cpsl9a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn21=2) were asked item cpsl9b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	As a general rule, the government should: One: Control inflation even if it means higher unemployment. OR Two: Reduce unemployment even if it means higher inflation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Controlinflation	484	29.9%
2	Reduceunemploymt	1134	70.1%
8	D.K.	226	
9	Refused	32	
Sysmiss		2995	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL10A: Views on Government Services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1765 /-] [Invalid=3106 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn8=1) were asked item cpsl10a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn8=2) were asked item cpsl10b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: One: The government should not provide services to people who can pay for them out of their own pocket. OR Two: We can only be sure everyone's needs are met if the government provides the same services to all.
Post-question	Go to #cpsl11a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Notprovidecanpay	852		48.3%
2	Same to everyone	913		51.7%
8	D.K.	71		
9	Refused	8		
Sysmiss		3027		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL10B: Views on Government Services

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1843 /-] [Invalid=3028 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn8=1) were asked item cpsl10a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn8=2) were asked item cpsl10b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: One: We can only be sure everyone's needs are met if the government provides the same services to all. OR Two: The government should not provide services to people who can pay for them out of their own pocket.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Same to everyone	683		37.1%
2	Notprovidecanpay	1160		62.9%
8	D.K.	68		
9	Refused	20		
Sysmiss		2940		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL11A: Views: Health Care System

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1767 /-] [Invalid=3104 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn9=1) were asked item cpsl11a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn9=2) were asked #cpsl11b in which the order of the items was reversed.
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: One: If people had to pay a fee each time they go to [fill rc3] there would be less waste in the health care system. OR Two: If people had to pay a fee, [fill rc1] people would not be able to get the health care they need.
Notes	Split-sample design. In the FIRST option, a random half of the respondents (cpsrn3=1) were asked "If people had to pay a fee each time they go to A DOCTOR ..." and a random half (cpsrn3=2) were asked "If people has to pay a fee each time they go to A HOSPITAL..." In the SECOND option a different random half (cpsrn4=1) were asked "If people had to pay a fee, LOW INCOME people would not..." and a different random half (cpsrn4=2) were asked "If people had to pay a fee, SOME people would not..."

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Less waste	842		47.7%
2	Not get hlthcare	925		52.3%
8	D.K.	80		

CPSL11A: Views: Health Care System

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		3014	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSL11B: Views: Health Care System

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1825 /-] [Invalid=3046 /-]
Universe	Split-sample design. A random half of the respondents (cpsrn9=1) were asked item cpsl11a, and a random half of the respondents (cpsrn9=2) were asked #cpsl11b in which the order of the items was reversed.
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: One: If people had to pay a fee, [fill rc1] people would not be able to get the health care they need. OR Two: If people had to pay a fee each time they go to [fill rc3] there would be less waste in the health care system.
Post-question	Go to #cpsl12.
Notes	Split-sample design. In the FIRST option, a random half of the respondents (cpsrn3=1) were asked "If people had to pay a fee each time they go to A DOCTOR ..." and a random half (cpsrn3=2) were asked "If people has to pay a fee each time they go to A HOSPITAL..." In the SECOND option a different random half (cpsrn4=1) were asked "If people had to pay a fee, LOW INCOME people would not..." and a different random half (cpsrn4=2) were asked "If people had to pay a fee, SOME people would not..."

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not get hlthcare	597	32.7%
2	Less waste	1228	67.3%
8	D.K.	79	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		2953	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM1: Federal Party Identification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3530 /-] [Invalid=1341 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	INTERVIEWER: The next question is a response time item. You will be asked to read the question, then enter c as you finish reading the last word of the question. When the respondent gives you a substantive response, enter c again. After entering the second c the response categories will be displayed.
Literal question	Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself a a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, Bloc Québécois or none of these? (If respondent resides in the province of Quebec)/Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself a a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, Reform or none of these? (All others)
Post-question	If respondent selects a party, go to #cpsm2. If respondent answers "none of these" or "don't know", go to #cpsm3. All others go to #cpsm5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION!
Notes	Item cpsch56 (variable CPSM1) is part of an experiment which measured time elapsed between the end of the questions read by the interviewer and the beginning of the respondent's answer. Item cpsch54 contained interviewer instructions on the correct procedure for recording the elapsed time. The DOS version of CASES records time in hundredths of a second.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	983	27.8%
2	Conservative	735	20.8%
3	Ndp	266	7.5%
4	Reform	194	5.5%
5	Bloc quebecois	265	7.5%
6	Other	12	0.3%

CPSM1: Federal Party Identification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
7	None of these	1075		30.5%
8	D.K.	175		
9	Refused	70		
Sysmiss		1096		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM2: How Strongly do You Feel?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2434 /-] [Invalid=2437 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How strongly [fill rc3] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
Post-question	Go to #cpsm5.
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to #cpsm1.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very strongly	455	18.7%	
3	Fairly strongly	1296		53.2%
5	Not very	683	28.1%	
8	D.K.	9		
9	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		2428		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM3: Are You a Little Closer to One Federal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1188 /-] [Invalid=3683 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "none of these" or "don't know" for #cpsm1.
Literal question	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #cpsm4. All others go to #cpsm5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Yes	425	35.8%	
5	No	763		64.2%
8	D.K.	59		
9	Refused	3		
Sysmiss		3621		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM4: Which Federal Party Are You Closer To

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=402 /-] [Invalid=4469 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #cpsm3.
Literal question	Which party is that?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Liberal	168		41.8%
2	Conservative	97	24.1%	
3	Ndp	48	11.9%	

CPSM4: Which Federal Party Are You Closer To

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Reform	48	11.9%
5	Bloc quebecois	27	6.7%
6	Other	9	2.2%
7	None	5	1.2%
8	D.K.	18	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		4446	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM5: Did You Vote in the Last Federal Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3712 /-] [Invalid=1159 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you vote in the last Federal election in 1988?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #cpsm6. All others go to #cpsm7.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3111	83.8%
5	No	536	14.4%
7	Not eligible	65	1.8%
8	D.K.	63	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM6: Party Voted for in Last Federal Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2707 /-] [Invalid=2164 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the last federal election in 1988, as indicated in #cpsm5.
Literal question	Which party did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	872	32.2%
2	Conservative	1261	46.6%
3	Ndp	463	17.1%
4	Reform	38	1.4%
5	Other	73	2.7%
98	D.K.	246	
99	Refused	158	
Sysmiss		1760	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM7: Provincial Party Identification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3566 /-] [Invalid=1305 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Thinking of provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Parti Québécois, N.D.P., or none of these? (If respondent resides in the province of Quebec)/ Thinking of provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal,

CPSM7: Provincial Party Identification

	Social Credit, N.D.P, or none of these? (If respondent resides in the province of British Columbia) / Thinking of provincial politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative , N.D.P, or none of these? (All others)
Post-question	If respondent answers "none of these", or "don't know", go to #cpsm9. If respondent answers "other" or refuses to answer, go to #cpsm11. All others go to #cpsm8.
Notes	The Conservative Party does not have provincial parties in Quebec or British Columbia.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1091	30.6%
2	Conservative	638	17.9%
3	Ndp	411	11.5%
4	Social credit	91	2.6%
5	Parti quebecois	340	9.5%
6	Other	19	0.5%
7	None of these	976	27.4%
8	D.K.	128	
9	Refused	81	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM8: How Strongly Do You Feel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=2309 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who selected a party provided for #cpsm7.
Literal question	How strongly [fill rc3] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
Post-question	Go to #cpsm11.
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to cpsm7.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	533	20.8%
3	Fairly strongly	1303	50.9%
5	Not very	726	28.3%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2300	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM9: A Little Closer to One Provincial Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1052 /-] [Invalid=3819 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "none of these" or "don't know" for #cpsm7.
Literal question	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the provincial parties than to the others?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #cpsm10. All others go to #cpsm11.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	283	26.9%
5	No	769	73.1%
8	D.K.	47	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		3767	

CPSM9: A Little Closer to One Provincial Party

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM10: Which Provincial Party Are You Closer To

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=273 /-] [Invalid=4598 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #cpsm9.
Literal question	Which party is that?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	115	42.1%
2	Conservative	51	18.7%
3	Ndp	41	15.0%
4	Social credit	9	3.3%
5	Parti quebecois	24	8.8%
6	Other	28	10.3%
7	None	5	1.8%
98	D.K.	6	
99	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		4588	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM11: Did You Vote in the Last Provincial Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3727 /-] [Invalid=1144 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you vote in the last provincial election held in [fill pppp][fill rc1].
Notes	Code at this item stores the respondent's province in pppp, and the date of the last provincial election in the respondent's province in rc4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3110	83.4%
5	No	587	15.7%
7	Not eligible	30	0.8%
8	D.K.	46	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM12: Party Voted for in Last Provincial Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2745 /-] [Invalid=2126 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which party did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	63	2.3%
1	Liberal	1049	38.2%
2	Conservative	640	23.3%
3	Ndp	623	22.7%

CPSM12: Party Voted for in Last Provincial Election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Social credit	76	2.8%
5	Parti quebecois	286	10.4%
6	Cor	8	0.3%
98	D.K.	220	
99	Refused	145	
Sysmiss		1761	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM13: If a Provincial Election Were Held Today, Which Party Would You Vote For:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2998 /-] [Invalid=1873 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If a PROVINCIAL election were held today, which party would you vote for? The Social Credit party, the LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party, or some other party?(If respondent resides in the province of British Columbia)/ The LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party, Parti Québécois, or some other party? (If respondent resides in the province of Quebec)/ The PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE party, the LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party, Confederation of Regions Party, or some other party? (If respondent resides in the province of New Brunswick)/ The PROGRESSIVE CONSERVATIVE party, the LIBERAL party, the NEW DEMOCRATIC party or some other party? (All others)
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", go to #cpsm14. All others go to #cpsm15.
Notes	The Conservative Party does not have provincial parties in Quebec (cpsprov=4) or British Columbia (cpsprov=9), the Parti Quebecois exists in Quebec only (cpsprov=4) and the Confederation of Regions operates primarily in New Brunswick (cpsprov=3).

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Spoil ballot	43	1.4%
1	Liberal	1256	41.9%
2	Conservative	581	19.4%
3	Ndp	338	11.3%
4	Social credit	51	1.7%
5	Parti quebecois	357	11.9%
6	Cor	6	0.2%
8	Other	124	4.1%
9	Will not vote	93	3.1%
10	Reform	149	5.0%
98	D.K.	674	
99	Refused	103	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM14: Provincial Party Leaning Towards

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=232 /-] [Invalid=4639 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "don't know" for #cpsm14.
Literal question	Which party are you leaning toward?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	8	3.4%
1	Liberal	92	39.7%

CPSM14: Provincial Party Leaning Towards

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Conservative	54	23.3%
3	Ndp	23	9.9%
4	Social credit	7	3.0%
5	Parti quebecois	29	12.5%
6	Cor	0	
10	Reform	19	8.2%
98	D.K.	393	
99	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		4240	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM15: Did You Vote on the Constitutional Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3606 /-] [Invalid=1265 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you vote on the constitutional referendum on October 26 1992?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #cpsm16. All others go to #cpsl1.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2810	77.9%
5	No	796	22.1%
8	D.K.	164	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSM16: How Did You Vote on Constitutional Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2484 /-] [Invalid=2387 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #cpsm15.
Literal question	Did you vote YES, or did you vote NO?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voted yes	1072	43.2%
5	Voted no	1402	56.4%
7	Spoiled ballot	10	0.4%
8	D.K.	258	
9	Refused	68	
Sysmiss		2061	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN1: Number of Days in the Past Week Did You Watch the News onTV

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3762 /-] [Invalid=1109 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you watch the news on TV
Post-question	If respondent answers 1-7 days, go to #cpsn1a. All others go to #cpsn2.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R says "every day" code 7.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	583	15.5%
1		323	8.6%
2		429	11.4%
3		400	10.6%
4		297	7.9%
5		279	7.4%
6		100	2.7%
7		1351	35.9%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN1A: Seen TV Commercials for a Political Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3123 /-] [Invalid=1748 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past week, did you see any television commercials for a political party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2020	64.7%
5	No	1103	35.3%
8	D.K.	56	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1692	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN2: Number of Days in the Past Week Did You Listen to the News on the Radio

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3757 /-] [Invalid=1114 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you listen to the news on the radio?
Post-question	If respondent provides a number of days greater than 0, go to #cpsn2a. All others go to #cpsn3.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R says "every day" code 7 please.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	972	25.9%
1		212	5.6%
2		292	7.8%
3		229	6.1%

CPSN2: Number of Days in the Past Week Did You Listen to the News on the Radio

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		145	3.9%
5		288	7.7%
6		88	2.3%
7		1531	40.8%
8	D.K.	17	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN2A: Heard Radio Commercials for a Political Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2713 /-] [Invalid=2158 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who listen to the radio 1-7 days a week, as indicated in #cpsn2.
Literal question	In the past week, did you hear any radio commercials for a political party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1202	44.3%
5	No	1511	55.7%
8	D.K.	72	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2086	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN3: Number of Days in the Past Week Did You Read the Newspaper?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3767 /-] [Invalid=1104 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you read a newspaper?
Post-question	If respondent answers 1-7 days, go to #cpsn3a. All others go to #cpsn4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If R says "every day" code 7 please.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	790	21.0%
1		467	12.4%
2		442	11.7%
3		308	8.2%
4		175	4.6%
5		177	4.7%
6		161	4.3%
7		1247	33.1%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN3A: Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]

CPSN3A: Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=319 /-] [Invalid=4552 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Newfoundland, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, or New Brunswick.
Literal question	What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?
Post-question	Go to #cpsn4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: IF NECESSARY: In what city is that published?
Notes	In order to simplify the interviewer's task, daily newspapers were grouped by region in separate items. Code at this item routed respondents to the appropriate item. The Toronto Star, Globe and Mail, and Financial Post were included in all items due to their national distribution. The Montreal papers were included in the Ontario listing due to distribution in Toronto and Ottawa.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	206	64.6%
1	Evening times globe	12	3.8%
2	Fredericton dg	11	3.4%
3	Halifax chronicle	46	14.4%
4	Moncton times-transc	17	5.3%
11	Financial post	0	
16	Toronto globe & mail	16	5.0%
17	Toronto star	0	
97	Notread politics	11	3.4%
98	D.K.	1	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4551	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN3B: Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=738 /-] [Invalid=4133 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?
Post-question	Go to #cpsn4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: IF NECESSARY: In what city is that published?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	121	16.4%
5	Mtl la presse	181	24.5%
6	Mtl le devoir	20	2.7%
7	Mtl le journal	135	18.3%
8	Mtl the gazette	89	12.1%
9	Que le journal	66	8.9%
10	Que le soleil	69	9.3%
11	Financial post	1	0.1%
16	Toronto globe & mail	12	1.6%
17	Toronto star	1	0.1%
97	Notread politics	43	5.8%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	2	

CPSN3B: Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		4129	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN3C: Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=790 /-] [Invalid=4081 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Ontario.
Literal question	What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?
Post-question	Go to #cpsn4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: IF NECESSARY: In what city is that published?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	198	25.1%
5	Mtl la presse	1	0.1%
6	Mtl le devoir	2	0.3%
7	Mtl le journal	0	
8	Mtl the gazette	1	0.1%
11	Financial post	5	0.6%
12	Hamilton spectator	23	2.9%
13	Kitchener-wat record	15	1.9%
14	London free press	49	6.2%
15	Ottawa citizen	59	7.5%
16	Toronto globe & mail	93	11.8%
17	Toronto star	220	27.8%
18	Toronto sun	76	9.6%
19	Windsor sun	20	2.5%
97	Notread politics	28	3.5%
98	D.K.	3	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4078	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN3D: Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=747 /-] [Invalid=4124 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Manitoba, Saskatchewan, or Alberta.
Literal question	What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?
Post-question	Go to #cpsn4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: IF NECESSARY: In what city is that published?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	166	22.2%
11	Financial post	4	0.5%
16	Toronto globe & mail	21	2.8%
17	Toronto star	0	
20	Calgary herald	95	12.7%

CPSN3D: Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	Calgary sun	23	3.1%
22	Edmonton journal	126	16.9%
23	Edmonton sun	62	8.3%
24	Regina leader post	51	6.8%
25	Saskatoon star phoen	58	7.8%
26	Winnipeg free press	122	16.3%
97	Notread politics	19	2.5%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4122	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN3E: Paper You Read Most for News About National Politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=370 /-] [Invalid=4501 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of British Columbia.
Literal question	What newspaper did you read MOST for news about national politics?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: IF NECESSARY: In what city is that published?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	93	25.1%
11	Financial post	6	1.6%
16	Toronto globe & mail	34	9.2%
17	Toronto star	0	
27	Vancouver province	87	23.5%
28	Vancouver sun	96	25.9%
29	Victoria times-col	44	11.9%
97	Notread politics	10	2.7%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4498	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN4: Did You See the English TV Debate Among Party Leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1731 /-] [Invalid=3140 /-]
Universe	Asked only after debate, otherwise go to #cpsn6.
Literal question	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?
Post-question	If respondent refuses to answer, go to #cpsn6. All others go to #cpsn4a.
Notes	Code at this item ensured that the debate items were not asked prior to the debates themselves. The order of delivery of the items was French debates first, followed by English debates. Code at this item ensured that the English debate item was not asked prior to the debate.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	724	41.8%
5	No	1007	58.2%
8	D.K.	8	

CPSN4: Did You See the English TV Debate Among Party Leaders

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3132	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN4A: Which Leader Performed Best in the Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=953 /-] [Invalid=3918 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #cpsn4.
Literal question	In your opinion, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw the English TV debate among party leaders) / From what you have heard, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (All others)
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fill based on the respondent's answer to #cpsn4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campbell	166	17.4%
3	Chretien	402	42.2%
5	Mclaughlin	70	7.3%
7	Bouchard	76	8.0%
9	Manning	239	25.1%
98	D.K.	776	
99	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		3132	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN4B: Which Leader Performed Worst in the Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=876 /-] [Invalid=3995 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #cpsn4.
Literal question	And which leader performed the WORST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campbell	377	43.0%
3	Chretien	83	9.5%
5	Mclaughlin	193	22.0%
7	Bouchard	108	12.3%
9	Manning	115	13.1%
98	D.K.	850	
99	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		3132	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN5: Did You See the French TV Debate Among Party Leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1818 /-] [Invalid=3053 /-]
Universe	Asked only after debate.
Literal question	Did you see the FRENCH TV debate among the party leaders?
Post-question	If respondent refuses to answer, go to #cpsn4.

CPSN5: Did You See the French TV Debate Among Party Leaders

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	485	26.7%
5	No	1333	73.3%
8	D.K.	4	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3048	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN5A: Which Leader Performed Best in the Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=889 /-] [Invalid=3982 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #cpsn5.
Literal question	In your opinion, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (Asked only to respondents who saw the French TV debate among party leaders) / From what you have heard, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (All others)
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fill based on the respondent's answer to item cpsn5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campbell	141	15.9%
3	Chretien	403	45.3%
5	Mclaughlin	22	2.5%
7	Bouchard	277	31.2%
9	Manning	46	5.2%
98	D.K.	921	
99	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		3049	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN5B: Which Leader Performed Worst in the Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=864 /-] [Invalid=4007 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #cpsn5.
Literal question	And which leader performed the WORST?
Post-question	Go to #cpsn4.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campbell	372	43.1%
3	Chretien	54	6.2%
5	Mclaughlin	207	24.0%
7	Bouchard	44	5.1%
9	Manning	187	21.6%
98	D.K.	949	
99	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		3049	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN6: In the Past Week Have You Heard or Read Polls About Parties

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3743 /-] [Invalid=1128 /-]

CPSN6: In the Past Week Have You Heard or Read Polls About Parties

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	IN THE PAST WEEK, have you read or heard any polls about how well the parties are doing?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2289	61.2%
5	No	1454	38.8%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN7: Main Source of Information About Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3699 /-] [Invalid=1172 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is your MAIN source of information about the election: TV, radio, newspapers, family, or friends?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tv	1947	52.6%
2	Radio	578	15.6%
3	Newspapers	762	20.6%
4	Family	151	4.1%
5	Friends	261	7.1%
8	D.K.	65	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSN8: Which Source is Most Important

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1003 /-] [Invalid=3868 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "friends", "family", "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsn7.
Literal question	And between TV, radio, and newspapers? Which is MOST important?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tv	612	61.0%
3	Radio	126	12.6%
5	Newspapers	265	26.4%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3844	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSAGE: Respondent's Year of Birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1897-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3759 /-] [Invalid=1112 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Before we finish, we want to get a little information about your background to make sure we are talking to a cross section of Canadians.

CPSAGE: Respondent's Year of Birth

Literal question	First, in what year were you born?		
Post-question	Respondents who were not 18 years of age at time of interview were terminated after confirming their date of birth.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1897		1	0.0%
1900		1	0.0%
1901		2	0.1%
1902		2	0.1%
1903		1	0.0%
1904		1	0.0%
1905		3	0.1%
1906		2	0.1%
1907		4	0.1%
1908		3	0.1%
1909		8	0.2%
1910		8	0.2%
1911		10	0.3%
1912		16	0.4%
1913		13	0.3%
1914		14	0.4%
1915		12	0.3%
1916		10	0.3%
1917		17	0.5%
1918		24	0.6%
1919		18	0.5%
1920		38	1.0%
1921		26	0.7%
1922		25	0.7%
1923		36	1.0%
1924		26	0.7%
1925		42	1.1%
1926		33	0.9%
1927		21	0.6%
1928		32	0.9%
1929		39	1.0%
1930		43	1.1%
1931		45	1.2%
1932		32	0.9%
1933		38	1.0%
1934		32	0.9%
1935		35	0.9%
1936		34	0.9%
1937		51	1.4%
1938		45	1.2%
1939		44	1.2%
1940		49	1.3%
1941		41	1.1%

CPSAGE: Respondent's Year of Birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1942		65	1.7%
1943		67	1.8%
1944		64	1.7%
1945		59	1.6%
1946		78	2.1%
1947		76	2.0%
1948		87	2.3%
1949		56	1.5%
1950		87	2.3%
1951		85	2.3%
1952		106	2.8%
1953		106	2.8%
1954		99	2.6%
1955		111	3.0%
1956		105	2.8%
1957		122	3.2%
1958		122	3.2%
1959		99	2.6%
1960		115	3.1%
1961		106	2.8%
1962		103	2.7%
1963		78	2.1%
1964		103	2.7%
1965		74	2.0%
1966		59	1.6%
1967		66	1.8%
1968		64	1.7%
1969		71	1.9%
1970		85	2.3%
1971		66	1.8%
1972		56	1.5%
1973		67	1.8%
1974		55	1.5%
1975		19	0.5%
9997		1	0.0%
9998	D.K.	1	
9999	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO2: Respondent's Marital Status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3764 /-] [Invalid=1107 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Are you presently married, living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?

CPSO2: Respondent's Marital Status

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married	2034	54.0%
2	Partner	287	7.6%
3	Divorced	281	7.5%
4	Separated	121	3.2%
5	Widowed	244	6.5%
6	Never married	797	21.2%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO3: Highest Level of Education Completed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2334 /-] [Invalid=2537 /-]
Universe	Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study.
Literal question	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No schooling	1	0.0%
2	Some elementary	57	2.4%
3	Complete elementary	67	2.9%
4	Some sec,high school	466	20.0%
5	Complete sec,hs	648	27.8%
6	Some tech,cc,etc	182	7.8%
7	Complete tech,cc,etc	294	12.6%
8	Some university	191	8.2%
9	Bachelors	303	13.0%
10	Masters	70	3.0%
11	Profesnl,ph.D.	55	2.4%
98	D.K.	3	
99	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2530	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJOB1: Employment Status

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3762 /-] [Invalid=1109 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Are you presently working for pay, are you unemployed, retired, a student, or a homemaker?
Post-question	If respondent answers "working now", "laid off", "unemployed", or "retired", go to #cpsjob3. If respondent answers "disabled", "student", or "homemaker", go to #cpsjob2. If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #cpso9.
Notes	The response "working now" includes on strike and any form of leave.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working now	2385	63.4%
2	Laid off	17	0.5%

CPSJOB1: Employment Status

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Unemployed	251	6.7%
4	Retired	510	13.6%
5	Disabled	41	1.1%
6	Student	230	6.1%
7	Homemaker	328	8.7%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJOB2: Main Income Earner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=598 /-] [Invalid=4273 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are disabled, students, or homemakers, as indicated in #cpsjob1.
Literal question	We would like to know if you are the main income earner in your household?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes" or "no", go to #cpsjob3. All others go to #cpso9.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If required, explain that the person with the most income including income from pensions, investments and government assistance programs along with any income earned from working is the main income earner.
Notes	Respondents who were homemakers, students or disabled were asked if they were the main wage earner of the household.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	163	27.3%
5	No	435	72.7%
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4272	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJOB3: Are You or the Main Wage Earner Self-Employed

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3451 /-] [Invalid=1420 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsjob1, #cpsjob2.
Literal question	Are you SELF EMPLOYED? (Asked to respondents who are working now, disabled, a student, or a homemaker)/ Were you SELF-EMPLOYED? (Asked to respondents who are laid off, unemployed, or retired, and are the main income earner in their household), /Is the main income earner SELF EMPLOYED? (Asked to respondents who are disabled, students, or homemakers, and who are not the main income earners in their household.
Post-question	If respondent answers "does not work", go to #cpso9. All others go to #job4.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: This includes owners of companies, farmers who own their property, freelance artists etc.
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fill based on the respondent's answer to item cpsjob1 or items cpso2 and cpsjob2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	740	21.4%
5	No	2678	77.6%
7	Does not work	33	1.0%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1419	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJOB4: Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1111-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3295 /-] [Invalid=1576 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsjob1, #cpsjob2, or "does not work" for #cpsjob3.
Literal question	What is your main occupation? (If respondent is working now) / What WAS your main occupation? (If respondent is laid off, unemployed, or retired.) / What is your main occupation or source of income? (If respondent is disabled, a student, or a homemaker, and is the main income earner of their household.)/ What is your family's principal wage earner's main occupation? (If respondent is disabled, a student, or a homemaker, and is not the main income earner of their household.)
Interviewer's instructions	Do not accept "co ordinator", "clerk", "works at bank", etc. ASK FOR job title, and job duties!
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fills based on the respondent's answer to item cpsjob1 or items cpso2 and cpsjob2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1111		3	0.1%
1113		14	0.4%
1116		12	0.4%
1119		4	0.1%
1130		24	0.7%
1131		1	0.0%
1132		2	0.1%
1133		9	0.3%
1134		4	0.1%
1135		25	0.8%
1136		6	0.2%
1137		43	1.3%
1141		6	0.2%
1142		22	0.7%
1143		6	0.2%
1145		2	0.1%
1147		2	0.1%
1149		26	0.8%
1151		5	0.2%
1152		5	0.2%
1153		1	0.0%
1154		1	0.0%
1155		8	0.2%
1156		3	0.1%
1157		24	0.7%
1158		17	0.5%
1171		81	2.5%
1174		10	0.3%
1175		6	0.2%
1179		16	0.5%
2111		1	0.0%
2112		5	0.2%
2113		1	0.0%
2114		1	0.0%
2117		7	0.2%

CPSJOB4: Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2119		1	0.0%
2131		4	0.1%
2133		3	0.1%
2135		2	0.1%
2139		7	0.2%
2142		8	0.2%
2143		9	0.3%
2144		19	0.6%
2145		4	0.1%
2147		18	0.5%
2153		3	0.1%
2154		3	0.1%
2155		4	0.1%
2157		8	0.2%
2159		3	0.1%
2160		3	0.1%
2161		9	0.3%
2163		5	0.2%
2164		1	0.0%
2165		27	0.8%
2169		2	0.1%
2181		2	0.1%
2183		49	1.5%
2311		13	0.4%
2315		7	0.2%
2319		2	0.1%
2331		23	0.7%
2333		25	0.8%
2339		1	0.0%
2341		2	0.1%
2343		14	0.4%
2351		8	0.2%
2353		2	0.1%
2399		1	0.0%
2511		10	0.3%
2711		18	0.5%
2719		1	0.0%
2731		77	2.3%
2733		59	1.8%
2739		2	0.1%
2791		6	0.2%
2792		10	0.3%
2793		5	0.2%
2795		11	0.3%
2797		1	0.0%

CPSJOB4: Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2799		23	0.7%
3111		9	0.3%
3113		6	0.2%
3115		5	0.2%
3117		2	0.1%
3119		1	0.0%
3130		3	0.1%
3131		65	2.0%
3132		2	0.1%
3134		7	0.2%
3135		21	0.6%
3137		7	0.2%
3138		3	0.1%
3139		1	0.0%
3151		6	0.2%
3152		4	0.1%
3154		1	0.0%
3155		3	0.1%
3156		9	0.3%
3158		4	0.1%
3161		1	0.0%
3162		2	0.1%
3169		16	0.5%
3311		5	0.2%
3313		5	0.2%
3314		10	0.3%
3315		4	0.1%
3330		3	0.1%
3331		1	0.0%
3332		5	0.2%
3334		1	0.0%
3335		6	0.2%
3339		2	0.1%
3351		5	0.2%
3355		5	0.2%
3370		8	0.2%
3373		2	0.1%
3375		3	0.1%
3379		2	0.1%
4110		1	0.0%
4111		116	3.5%
4113		13	0.4%
4130		8	0.2%
4131		67	2.0%
4133		53	1.6%

CPSJOB4: Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4135		12	0.4%
4140		2	0.1%
4143		22	0.7%
4150		4	0.1%
4151		3	0.1%
4153		13	0.4%
4155		13	0.4%
4161		5	0.2%
4170		5	0.2%
4171		20	0.6%
4172		9	0.3%
4173		4	0.1%
4175		4	0.1%
4179		1	0.0%
4190		3	0.1%
4191		1	0.0%
4192		1	0.0%
4193		2	0.1%
4197		30	0.9%
4199		27	0.8%
5130		57	1.7%
5131		9	0.3%
5133		30	0.9%
5135		132	4.0%
5141		2	0.1%
5149		5	0.2%
5170		6	0.2%
5171		18	0.5%
5172		26	0.8%
5173		2	0.1%
5174		6	0.2%
5177		1	0.0%
5179		1	0.0%
5190		1	0.0%
5191		3	0.1%
5193		1	0.0%
5199		2	0.1%
6111		9	0.3%
6112		19	0.6%
6115		24	0.7%
6117		12	0.4%
6119		4	0.1%
6120		9	0.3%
6121		36	1.1%
6123		12	0.4%

CPSJOB4: Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6125		32	1.0%
6129		1	0.0%
6130		3	0.1%
6133		11	0.3%
6142		14	0.4%
6143		16	0.5%
6144		1	0.0%
6145		2	0.1%
6147		9	0.3%
6160		1	0.0%
6162		4	0.1%
6169		2	0.1%
6191		32	1.0%
6198		7	0.2%
6199		1	0.0%
7113		28	0.8%
7115		42	1.3%
7119		49	1.5%
7180		1	0.0%
7183		7	0.2%
7185		8	0.2%
7195		13	0.4%
7199		8	0.2%
7311		1	0.0%
7313		22	0.7%
7319		3	0.1%
7510		1	0.0%
7511		3	0.1%
7513		12	0.4%
7516		1	0.0%
7517		4	0.1%
7518		3	0.1%
7710		2	0.1%
7711		11	0.3%
7715		2	0.1%
7717		5	0.2%
7719		2	0.1%
8111		1	0.0%
8130		1	0.0%
8137		2	0.1%
8143		1	0.0%
8149		5	0.2%
8155		2	0.1%
8158		1	0.0%
8159		1	0.0%

CPSJOB4: Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]

CPSJOB4: Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8160		2	0.1%
8161		2	0.1%
8163		1	0.0%
8165		2	0.1%
8179		3	0.1%
8210		4	0.1%
8211		1	0.0%
8213		7	0.2%
8215		19	0.6%
8217		7	0.2%
8226		1	0.0%
8228		4	0.1%
8229		2	0.1%
8230		2	0.1%
8231		1	0.0%
8236		1	0.0%
8238		4	0.1%
8239		3	0.1%
8250		5	0.2%
8253		4	0.1%
8256		1	0.0%
8258		3	0.1%
8259		5	0.2%
8263		1	0.0%
8278		1	0.0%
8279		1	0.0%
8296		1	0.0%
8310		3	0.1%
8311		6	0.2%
8313		16	0.5%
8315		1	0.0%
8319		1	0.0%
8330		2	0.1%
8333		3	0.1%
8334		1	0.0%
8335		22	0.7%
8336		1	0.0%
8339		1	0.0%
8373		1	0.0%
8395		1	0.0%
8510		2	0.1%
8513		8	0.2%
8515		1	0.0%
8523		2	0.1%
8526		1	0.0%

CPSJOB4: Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8527		1	0.0%
8529		3	0.1%
8530		2	0.1%
8531		1	0.0%
8533		8	0.2%
8534		4	0.1%
8535		5	0.2%
8537		1	0.0%
8539		1	0.0%
8541		5	0.2%
8548		1	0.0%
8549		1	0.0%
8551		1	0.0%
8553		2	0.1%
8561		2	0.1%
8562		6	0.2%
8563		11	0.3%
8566		1	0.0%
8568		3	0.1%
8569		3	0.1%
8570		1	0.0%
8571		1	0.0%
8575		1	0.0%
8576		1	0.0%
8579		2	0.1%
8580		2	0.1%
8581		53	1.6%
8582		4	0.1%
8583		3	0.1%
8584		26	0.8%
8585		1	0.0%
8589		6	0.2%
8590		3	0.1%
8591		1	0.0%
8592		2	0.1%
8595		5	0.2%
8599		6	0.2%
8710		2	0.1%
8711		21	0.6%
8715		2	0.1%
8718		1	0.0%
8730		1	0.0%
8731		6	0.2%
8733		30	0.9%
8735		9	0.3%

CPSJOB4: Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8739		1	0.0%
8780		26	0.8%
8781		54	1.6%
8782		1	0.0%
8783		1	0.0%
8784		7	0.2%
8785		10	0.3%
8786		2	0.1%
8787		3	0.1%
8791		16	0.5%
8795		1	0.0%
8796		1	0.0%
8798		21	0.6%
8799		11	0.3%
9111		6	0.2%
9130		1	0.0%
9133		5	0.2%
9135		2	0.1%
9151		1	0.0%
9153		1	0.0%
9155		1	0.0%
9159		2	0.1%
9170		6	0.2%
9171		13	0.4%
9173		5	0.2%
9175		68	2.1%
9179		7	0.2%
9191		1	0.0%
9199		1	0.0%
9310		4	0.1%
9311		3	0.1%
9313		15	0.5%
9315		9	0.3%
9317		3	0.1%
9318		10	0.3%
9319		2	0.1%
9511		2	0.1%
9512		10	0.3%
9517		2	0.1%
9519		1	0.0%
9531		2	0.1%
9539		10	0.3%
9555		1	0.0%
9591		2	0.1%
9918		8	0.2%

CPSJOB4: Occupation [Stats Canada CCDO Code]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9919		23	0.7%
9921		5	0.2%
9926		3	0.1%
9992	Unemployed	1	0.0%
9993	Self-employed	21	0.6%
9994	Housewife	39	1.2%
9995	Student	7	0.2%
9996	Disabled	3	0.1%
9997	Retired	14	0.4%
9998	D.K.	1	
9999	Not codeable,na	132	
Sysmiss		1443	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJOB5: Work for Private Firm, Public Sector, or Government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2562 /-] [Invalid=2309 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #cpsjob1, #cpsjob2, or "does not work" for #cpsjob3. Asked only to respondents who are not self-employed.
Literal question	Do you work: (If respondent is working now, disabled and the main income earner of their household, or a homemaker and the main income earner of their household) / Did you work: (If respondent is laid off, unemployed, or retired)/ Does he/she work: (If respondent is disabled, a student, or a homemaker and is not the main income earner of their household) ...For a private company, in the public sector such as a hospital, school, or municipality, in a government owned company such as Canadian National, or a Federal or Provincial government ministry?
Post-question	If respondent works for a Federal or provincial government, go to #cpsjob5a. All other responses go to #cpsjob6.
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fills based on the respondent's answer to #cpsjob1, #cpsjob2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private firm	1606	62.7%
3	Public sector	594	23.2%
5	Government owned	99	3.9%
7	Federal/prov govt	263	10.3%
8	D.K.	99	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		2194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJOB5A: Work for Federal or Provincial Government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=257 /-] [Invalid=4614 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who works for, used to work for, or whose household's main income earner works for a Federal or Provincial government, as indicated in #cpsjob5.
Literal question	Is that the federal or provincial government?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal	109	42.4%
5	Provincial	148	57.6%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	1	

CPSJOB5A: Work for Federal or Provincial Government

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss			4608	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJOB6: Do You or a Household Member Belong to a Union

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3400 /-] [Invalid=1471 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a union?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1227	36.1%
5	No	2173	63.9%
8	D.K.	16	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1454	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSJOB7: Out of Work or Laid Off During the Last Year

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2652 /-] [Invalid=2219 /-]
Universe	Asked only respondents who are not laid off, unemployed, or retired, as indicated in #cpsjob1.
Literal question	Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last year? (If respondent is working now, or is disabled, a student, or a homemaker, and is the main income earner of their household)/ Was your family's principal wage earner out of work or laid off at any time during the last year? (If respondent is disabled, a student, or a homemaker, and is not the main income earner for their household)
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fills based on the respondent's answer to items cpsjob1 or cpsjob2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	522	19.7%
5	No	2130	80.3%
8	D.K.	4	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2214	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO9: Religious Affiliation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3715 /-] [Invalid=1156 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, another religion, or none?
Post-question	If respondent is Protestant, go to #cpso9a. All others go to #cpso10.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Protestant	1321	35.6%
2	Catholic	1636	44.0%
3	Jewish	40	1.1%
5	Other	165	4.4%
97	None	553	14.9%
98	D.K.	25	

CPSO9: Religious Affiliation

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Refused	32	
Sysmiss		1099	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO9A: Church or Denomination

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1257 /-] [Invalid=3614 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are Protestant, as indicated in #cpso9a.
Literal question	What church or denomination is that?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Choose first category that seems relevant, eg., "Born again Baptist" is "Baptist"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	107	8.5%
1	Anglican	307	24.4%
2	United	500	39.8%
3	Presbyterian	69	5.5%
4	Baptist	97	7.7%
5	Lutheran	107	8.5%
6	Christian reformed	9	0.7%
7	Pentecostal	61	4.9%
98	D.K.	52	
99	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		3553	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO10: In Your Life, the Importance of God

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2287 /-] [Invalid=2584 /-]
Universe	Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study.
Literal question	In your life, would you say God is VERY important, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT IMPORTANT at all?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	1129	49.4%
3	Somewhat	794	34.7%
5	Not very	196	8.6%
7	Not important	168	7.3%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	40	
Sysmiss		2532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO11: Country of Birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2330 /-] [Invalid=2541 /-]
Universe	Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study. Asked only to respondents who were not born in Canada

CPSO11: Country of Birth

Literal question	In what country were you born?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	13	0.6%
1	Canada	2028	87.0%
2	U.K.,ireland	63	2.7%
4	France	12	0.5%
5	Germany	19	0.8%
6	Greece	5	0.2%
7	Holland	9	0.4%
8	Hungary	7	0.3%
9	Italy	20	0.9%
10	Poland	7	0.3%
11	Russia/ ussr	3	0.1%
12	U.S.A.	14	0.6%
13	Yugoslavia	4	0.2%
14	Other european	26	1.1%
15	India	10	0.4%
16	China/hong kong	12	0.5%
17	Other asia	20	0.9%
18	Midsouth america	12	0.5%
19	Caribbean	22	0.9%
20	Africa	12	0.5%
21	Oceania	3	0.1%
22	Czech/slovakia	9	0.4%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO12: Year Came to Live in Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1902-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=306 /-] [Invalid=4565 /-]		
Universe	Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study. Asked only to respondents who were not born in Canada		
Literal question	In what year did you come to live in Canada?		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1902		1	0.3%
1914		1	0.3%
1917		1	0.3%
1918		1	0.3%
1919		1	0.3%
1920		1	0.3%
1921		1	0.3%
1923		1	0.3%
1924		3	1.0%

CPSO12: Year Came to Live in Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1925		1	0.3%
1926		2	0.7%
1927		3	1.0%
1929		2	0.7%
1930		2	0.7%
1932		1	0.3%
1937		2	0.7%
1938		1	0.3%
1939		1	0.3%
1940		1	0.3%
1941		1	0.3%
1943		1	0.3%
1945		1	0.3%
1946		6	2.0%
1947		2	0.7%
1948		5	1.6%
1949		4	1.3%
1950		1	0.3%
1951		6	2.0%
1952		9	2.9%
1953		4	1.3%
1954		5	1.6%
1955		6	2.0%
1956		9	2.9%
1957		11	3.6%
1958		5	1.6%
1959		5	1.6%
1960		5	1.6%
1961		6	2.0%
1962		4	1.3%
1963		7	2.3%
1964		7	2.3%
1965		5	1.6%
1966		13	4.2%
1967		12	3.9%
1968		10	3.3%
1969		6	2.0%
1970		12	3.9%
1971		7	2.3%
1972		6	2.0%
1973		14	4.6%
1974		15	4.9%
1975		7	2.3%
1976		12	3.9%
1977		3	1.0%

CPSO12: Year Came to Live in Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1978		2	0.7%
1979		2	0.7%
1980		3	1.0%
1981		4	1.3%
1982		5	1.6%
1983		5	1.6%
1984		4	1.3%
1985		4	1.3%
1986		5	1.6%
1987		3	1.0%
1988		6	2.0%
1989		4	1.3%
9998	D.K.	2	
9999	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		4558	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO13: Ethnic or Cultural Group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2101 /-] [Invalid=2770 /-]
Universe	Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study.
Literal question	To what ethnic or cultural group did your ancestors belong on coming to this continent? (If respondent was born in Canada or USA)/ To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? (All others)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	127	6.0%
1	English	450	21.4%
2	Irish	207	9.9%
3	Scottish	228	10.9%
4	Welsh	10	0.5%
5	Chinese	21	1.0%
6	Czech/slovak	22	1.0%
7	Finnish/baltic	6	0.3%
8	French/quebecois	427	20.3%
9	German/ austrian	181	8.6%
10	Greek/macedonian	15	0.7%
11	Hungarian	15	0.7%
12	Indian/tamil,etc	18	0.9%
13	Italian	56	2.7%
14	Japanese	5	0.2%
15	Jewish	14	0.7%
16	Native indian/inuit	40	1.9%
17	Black	16	0.8%
18	Dutch	39	1.9%
19	Polish	43	2.0%
20	Portuguese/spanish	18	0.9%

CPSO13: Ethnic or Cultural Group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	Russian	26	1.2%
22	Scandinavian	41	2.0%
23	Ukrainian	68	3.2%
24	West indian	8	0.4%
25	Oceanic	0	
98	D.K.	218	
99	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		2532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO13A: Importance of Ethnic Background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2308 /-] [Invalid=2563 /-]
Universe	Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study.
Literal question	Is your ethnic background VERY important to you, SOMEWHAT important, NOT VERY important, or NOT important AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very important	564	24.4%
3	Somewhat	886	38.4%
5	Not very	524	22.7%
7	Not important	334	14.5%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		2532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO14: Language You Usually Speak at Home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2334 /-] [Invalid=2537 /-]
Universe	Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study.
Literal question	What language do you usually speak at home?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Note order of response categories.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	French	512	21.9%
3	English	1724	73.9%
5	Other	98	4.2%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO15: Language First learned and Still Understood

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2332 /-] [Invalid=2539 /-]

CPSO15: Language First learned and Still Understood

Universe	Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study.
Literal question	What is the first language you learned and still understand?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	French	536	23.0%
3	English	1497	64.2%
5	Other	299	12.8%
98	D.K.	3	
99	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO16: Can You Carry on a Conversation in French

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2625 /-] [Invalid=2246 /-]
Universe	Respondents from the Panel component of the Election Study were not asked this item, as it was asked during the Referendum study. Not asked to respondents whose language they usually speak at home is French as indicated in #cpso14, or if the language they first learned and still understand is French as indicated in #cpso15.
Literal question	Can you carry on a conversation in French?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	802	30.6%
5	No	1823	69.4%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2237	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO18: Total Household Income> Thousands

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2823 /-] [Invalid=2048 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Could you please tell me your total household income, be sure to include income FROM ALL SOURCES such as savings, pensions, rent, as well as wages, TO THE NEAREST THOUSAND DOLLARS, what was your TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME before taxes and other deductions for 1992?
Post-question	If respondent provides an income, go to #cpso19. Otherwise go to #cpso18a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		15	0.5%
2		3	0.1%
3		7	0.2%
4		4	0.1%
5		7	0.2%
6		6	0.2%
7		18	0.6%
8		19	0.7%
9		17	0.6%
10		48	1.7%

CPSO18: Total Household Income> Thousands

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11		15	0.5%
12		61	2.2%
13		20	0.7%
14		24	0.9%
15		62	2.2%
16		29	1.0%
17		18	0.6%
18		32	1.1%
19		12	0.4%
20		92	3.3%
21		9	0.3%
22		41	1.5%
23		17	0.6%
24		28	1.0%
25		88	3.1%
26		21	0.7%
27		26	0.9%
28		34	1.2%
29		15	0.5%
30		163	5.8%
31		6	0.2%
32		35	1.2%
33		18	0.6%
34		16	0.6%
35		103	3.6%
36		31	1.1%
37		14	0.5%
38		29	1.0%
39		13	0.5%
40		184	6.5%
41		9	0.3%
42		22	0.8%
43		17	0.6%
44		12	0.4%
45		109	3.9%
46		10	0.4%
47		10	0.4%
48		27	1.0%
49		1	0.0%
50		168	6.0%
51		3	0.1%
52		21	0.7%
53		9	0.3%
54		7	0.2%
55		79	2.8%

CPSO18: Total Household Income> Thousands

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
56		13	0.5%
57		9	0.3%
58		9	0.3%
60		161	5.7%
61		2	0.1%
62		9	0.3%
63		6	0.2%
64		3	0.1%
65		70	2.5%
66		3	0.1%
67		8	0.3%
68		7	0.2%
69		1	0.0%
70		94	3.3%
71		2	0.1%
72		3	0.1%
73		2	0.1%
74		2	0.1%
75		68	2.4%
76		4	0.1%
77		1	0.0%
78		5	0.2%
79		1	0.0%
80		99	3.5%
82		5	0.2%
83		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		30	1.1%
87		1	0.0%
88		4	0.1%
89		1	0.0%
90		37	1.3%
91		1	0.0%
93		3	0.1%
94		3	0.1%
95		8	0.3%
96		3	0.1%
98		3	0.1%
99		9	0.3%
100		72	2.6%
102		4	0.1%
103		1	0.0%
105		6	0.2%
110		25	0.9%
112		1	0.0%

CPSO18: Total Household Income> Thousands

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
115		4	0.1%
116		1	0.0%
120		25	0.9%
123		1	0.0%
125		4	0.1%
128		1	0.0%
130		9	0.3%
132		4	0.1%
133		1	0.0%
135		3	0.1%
140		9	0.3%
150		21	0.7%
160		4	0.1%
170		2	0.1%
175		1	0.0%
180		2	0.1%
185		1	0.0%
200		13	0.5%
225		1	0.0%
250		1	0.0%
270		1	0.0%
275		1	0.0%
300		1	0.0%
350		1	0.0%
400		3	0.1%
534		1	0.0%
997		2	0.1%
998	D.K.	631	
999	Refused	318	
Sysmiss		1099	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO18A: Total Household Income> Category

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=674 /-] [Invalid=4197 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not provide a household income for #cpso18.
Literal question	We don't need the exact amount; could tell me which of these broad categories it falls into...

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	<$20,000	225	33.4%
2	$20,000-$29,000	150	22.3%
3	$30,000-$39,000	85	12.6%
4	$40,000-$49,000	69	10.2%
5	$50,000-$59,000	46	6.8%
6	$60,000-$69,000	22	3.3%
7	$70,000-$79,000	14	2.1%

CPSO18A: Total Household Income> Category

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	$80,000-$89,000	14	2.1%
9	$90,000-100,000	12	1.8%
10	$100,000+	37	5.5%
98	D.K.	99	
99	Refused	176	
Sysmiss		3922	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO19: Number of Children Under 18 Year That Live in Your Home

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3762 /-] [Invalid=1109 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many children under 18 years live in your home?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	2146	57.0%
1		631	16.8%
2		660	17.5%
3		239	6.4%
4		66	1.8%
5		15	0.4%
6		4	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1099	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO20: Number of Separate Residential Telephone Numbers at Residence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3761 /-] [Invalid=1110 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many SEPARATE RESIDENTIAL telephone NUMBERS do you have in this residence?
Post-question	If respondent provides a number greater than one, go to #cpso21. All others go to #cpspost.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: We do NOT want the number of phones.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		3362	89.4%
2		355	9.4%
3		37	1.0%
4		4	0.1%
5		2	0.1%
6		1	0.0%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1099	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSO21: Number of Phone Numbers for Children, Fax Machines, Computers...

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=399 /-] [Invalid=4472 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have more than one seperate residential telephone numbers at their residence.
Literal question	How many of these telephone numbers are for children under 18 years of age, for FAX machines, computers etc?

| Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		214	53.6%
1		173	43.4%
2		11	2.8%
3		1	0.3%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4470	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSPOST: Postal Code [Forward Sortation Area]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3771 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Can you please tell me the first three digits of your postal code?
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: USE 3 CHARACTERS ONLY LETTER/NUMBER/LETTER "ref" for refusal.
Notes	The postal code entry module ensures that postal codes are entered in the correct format, with the appropriate provincial identifier.

CPSINTER: Interest of Questions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3753 /-] [Invalid=1118 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say these questions have been VERY interesting, SOMEWHAT interesting or NOT VERY interesting?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interesting	1474	39.3%
3	Somewhat	1943	51.8%
5	Not very	336	9.0%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1099	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSLENG: Respondent's Perceived Interview Length

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3622 /-] [Invalid=1249 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And how many minutes do you think it took to complete this questionnaire?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		5	0.1%
2		3	0.1%

CPSLENG: Respondent's Perceived Interview Length

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		2	0.1%
5		32	0.9%
6		3	0.1%
7		6	0.2%
8		15	0.4%
9		2	0.1%
10		250	6.9%
11		2	0.1%
12		37	1.0%
13		8	0.2%
14		6	0.2%
15		632	17.4%
16		6	0.2%
17		11	0.3%
18		22	0.6%
19		4	0.1%
20		908	25.1%
21		5	0.1%
22		16	0.4%
23		19	0.5%
24		7	0.2%
25		282	7.8%
26		5	0.1%
27		7	0.2%
28		8	0.2%
29		2	0.1%
30		753	20.8%
31		2	0.1%
32		7	0.2%
33		7	0.2%
34		6	0.2%
35		80	2.2%
36		1	0.0%
37		2	0.1%
38		1	0.0%
40		116	3.2%
41		1	0.0%
42		2	0.1%
43		3	0.1%
45		147	4.1%
48		2	0.1%
50		27	0.7%
52		2	0.1%
55		6	0.2%
56		1	0.0%

CPSLENG: Respondent's Perceived Interview Length

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
59		1		0.0%
60		132	▇	3.6%
61		1		0.0%
62		1		0.0%
65		1		0.0%
70		1		0.0%
75		1		0.0%
77		1		0.0%
90		9	▏	0.2%
97		3	▏	0.1%
98	D.K.	144		
99	Refused	6		
Sysmiss		1099		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSKNOW: Interviewer Rating> Level of Knowledge

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3593 /-] [Invalid=1278 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Veryhigh	361	▇▇▇	10.0%
2	Fairly high	894	▇▇▇▇▇▇▇	24.9%
3	Average	1404	▇▇▇▇▇▇▇▇▇▇▇	39.1%
4	Fairly low	642	▇▇▇▇▇	17.9%
5	Very low	292	▇▇	8.1%
8	D.K.	182		
Sysmiss		1096		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSBLISH: Occupation:Respondent> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20.38-101.74] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3176 /-] [Invalid=1695 /-] [Mean=44.426 /-] [StdDev=14.2 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSPINPR: Respondent> Pineo-Porter 1981 Category

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*/19/20]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3256 /-] [Invalid=1615 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No answer	0	
1	Self-emp profs	34	1.0%
2	Employed profs	424	13.0%
3	Hi-level managers	81	2.5%
4	Semi-profs	275	8.4%
5	Technicians	89	2.7%
6	Mid-managers	237	7.3%
7	Supervisors	100	3.1%
8	Foremen/women	74	2.3%
9	Sk.Clerical+sales	307	9.4%
10	Skilled crafts	365	11.2%
11	Farmers	119	3.7%
12	Semi-sk.Cl.+sales	345	10.6%
13	Semi-skilled manual	287	8.8%
14	Unsk.Cler.+sales	124	3.8%
15	Unskilled manual	313	9.6%
16	Farm labourers	36	1.1%
17	Student	7	0.2%
18	Homemaker	39	1.2%
19	D.K.	1	
20	Not codeable,na	171	
Sysmiss		1443	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESPROV: Province of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nfld	101	3.0%
1	P.E.I.	97	2.9%
2	N.S.	90	2.7%
3	N.B.	96	2.9%
4	P.Q.	864	25.9%
5	Ontario	843	25.2%
6	Manitoba	210	6.3%
7	Sask.	185	5.5%
8	Alberta	440	13.2%
9	B.C.	414	12.4%
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESCODE: Telephone Area Code

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 204-905] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-] [Mean=520.837 /-] [StdDev=174.647 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESDATE: Date of Interview [MMDDYY]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 102793-112193] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-] [Mean=109308.359 /-] [StdDev=3158.77 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESPANEL: RDD Respondent or Panel Respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Rdd	2028	60.7%
2	Panel	1312	39.3%
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESIGEN: Interviewer's Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2865 /-] [Invalid=2006 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter respondent's gender please.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	948	33.1%
5	Female	1917	66.9%
Sysmiss		2006	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESLANG: Language of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
E	English	2543	76.1%
F	French	797	23.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESCOMM: Comments Recorded by Interviewer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	182	5.4%
5	No	3158	94.6%

# PESCOMM: Comments Recorded by Interviewer			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# PESINTN: Interviewer's Number [800-899=French]	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		63	1.9%
19		32	1.0%
66		196	5.9%
70		204	6.1%
71		42	1.3%
81		237	7.1%
84		32	1.0%
101		70	2.1%
102		87	2.6%
104		23	0.7%
106		197	5.9%
108		54	1.6%
109		59	1.8%
110		23	0.7%
111		65	1.9%
112		75	2.2%
114		92	2.8%
115		73	2.2%
116		82	2.5%
120		68	2.0%
123		94	2.8%
127		72	2.2%
171		152	4.6%
307		36	1.1%
313		34	1.0%
318		60	1.8%
501		36	1.1%
503		79	2.4%
504		51	1.5%
507		65	1.9%
510		157	4.7%
512		50	1.5%
514		65	1.9%
703		64	1.9%
706		36	1.1%
709		53	1.6%
711		33	1.0%

PESINTN: Interviewer's Number [800-899=French]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
713		79	2.4%
729		179	5.4%
802		40	1.2%
804		28	0.8%
810		48	1.4%
840		33	1.0%
999	Supervisor	22	0.7%
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESATEMP: Total Number of Call Attempts

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-] [Mean=3.798 /-] [StdDev=3.268 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESREF: Number of Refusals Before Completion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3270	97.9%
1		70	2.1%
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESCONT: Total Times Respondent Contacted

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2013	60.3%
2		925	27.7%
3		293	8.8%
4		77	2.3%
5		24	0.7%
6		7	0.2%
9		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESANS: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-21] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1118	33.5%

PESANS: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		909	27.2%
3		474	14.2%
4		300	9.0%
5		169	5.1%
6		102	3.1%
7		87	2.6%
8		40	1.2%
9		40	1.2%
10		29	0.9%
11		21	0.6%
12		14	0.4%
13		11	0.3%
14		3	0.1%
15		3	0.1%
16		3	0.1%
17		7	0.2%
18		3	0.1%
19		2	0.1%
21		5	0.1%
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESTIME: Length of Interview

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-84] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3285 /-] [Invalid=1586 /-] [Mean=19.599 /-] [StdDev=5.865 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Notes	Units are in minutes.

PESRES: Outcome of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	3340	100.0%
2	Partial	0	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESRN2: Question Ordering [pese4a,pese4b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1663	49.8%
2		1677	50.2%

PESRN2: Question Ordering [pese4a,pese4b]

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss			1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESRN3: Question Ordering [pese5a,pese5b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1			1701		50.9%
2			1639		49.1%
Sysmiss			1531		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESRN12: Question Ordering [pesd2a--pesd2e]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-] [Mean=12.772 /-] [StdDev=6.979 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESRN13: Question Ordering [pesd2g--pesd2k]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-] [Mean=12.672 /-] [StdDev=6.935 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESRN14: Question Ordering [pesg1a,g2a,g3a,g4a]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-] [Mean=12.651 /-] [StdDev=6.975 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESRN18: Question Ordering [pesd5a--pesd5e]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-] [Mean=12.457 /-] [StdDev=6.982 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESJF2: Timer [pesl1]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8596] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-] [Mean=321.825 /-] [StdDev=481.635 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESRGEN: Respondent's Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Male	1721		51.5%
5	Female	1619		48.5%
Sysmiss		1531		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA1: Most Important Issue to You in Campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2900 /-] [Invalid=1971 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the campaign, what was the most important issue to YOU PERSONALLY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Cdn unity,stability	25	0.9%
1	Quebec separation	17	0.6%
2	Protect fr lang	3	0.1%
3	Quebec shld stay	4	0.1%
4	Quebec shld leave	0	
5	Wantsbloc to win	46	1.6%
6	Recognition-quebec	31	1.1%
7	Constitutional issue	6	0.2%
8	Spoiled ballot	1	0.0%
9	Future of quebec	1	0.0%
10	Needmore jobs	1152	39.7%
11	Jobs-youngpeople	42	1.4%
12	Job security	27	0.9%
13	Jobs-resource indust	8	0.3%
14	Job training	8	0.3%
20	Financial concerns	245	8.4%
21	Debt-reduce/control	54	1.9%
22	Debt-eliminate	3	0.1%
23	Deficit-reduce/contl	281	9.7%
24	Deficit-eliminate	24	0.8%
25	Transfer payments	2	0.1%
30	Economic concerns	139	4.8%
31	Cost of living	9	0.3%
32	Interest rates	1	0.0%
33	Economic recovery	16	0.6%
34	Economic stimulation	34	1.2%
35	Farming/fishing	12	0.4%
36	Small business	9	0.3%
40	Cost of government	0	
41	Control gov spending	36	1.2%
42	Reduce perks,salary	3	0.1%
43	Fiscal responsibilty	9	0.3%
44	Immigration costs	4	0.1%
45	Cut back welfare	4	0.1%
46	Helicopter issues	23	0.8%
50	Taxes	30	1.0%
51	Abolish gst/taxes	16	0.6%
52	Lower gst/taxes	2	0.1%
53	Taxes too high	14	0.5%
54	Taxbreak smlbusiness	1	0.0%

PESA1: Most Important Issue to You in Campaign

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
55	Fairer taxation	2	0.1%
60	Social programs	21	0.7%
61	Old age pensions	18	0.6%
62	Child-care	2	0.1%
63	Cutbacks-social pgms	14	0.5%
64	No uic/welfare cuts	4	0.1%
66	Education	22	0.8%
67	Education-system	1	0.0%
68	Care of elderly	2	0.1%
69	Health care	108	3.7%
70	Lack family values	2	0.1%
71	Abortion issues	7	0.2%
72	Environment issues	8	0.3%
73	Minority issues	13	0.4%
76	Crime,violence high	6	0.2%
77	Harsher penalties	0	
78	Young offenders	0	
79	Crime-other	0	
80	Free trade,nafta	18	0.6%
81	Toomuch todo usa	0	
82	Ft/nafta-good	0	
83	Jobslost-nafta	3	0.1%
84	Keepjobs in canada	2	0.1%
85	Ft/nafta-cancel	9	0.3%
86	Ft/nafta-unsure	4	0.1%
90	Get rid of kim	38	1.3%
91	Needmore integrity	105	3.6%
92	Need stable govt	15	0.5%
93	Revamp election	2	0.1%
94	Want chretian/libs	17	0.6%
95	Want a change	42	1.4%
96	Western provinces	10	0.3%
97	Concerned whowins	63	2.2%
98	D.K.,notcodeable,oth	432	
99	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA2: Did You Vote in the Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3336 /-] [Invalid=1535 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did YOU vote in the election?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #pesa4. If respondent answers "no", or "don't know", go to #pesa5a. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #pesa6.

PESA2: Did You Vote in the Election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2916	87.4%
5	No	420	12.6%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA4: Which Party Did You Vote For

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2733 /-] [Invalid=2138 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which party did you vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the Bloc Québécois, or another party? (If respondent resides in the province of Quebec) /Which party did you vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the Reform Party, or another party? (All others)
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pesa4a. All others go to #pesa6.
Notes	Code at this item customized the question text to read either Bloc Quebecois in Quebec, or Reform Party in the rest of Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	99	3.6%
1	Conservative	385	14.1%
2	Liberal	1144	41.9%
3	Ndp	186	6.8%
4	Reform	528	19.3%
5	Bloc quebecois	375	13.7%
97	None	16	0.6%
98	D.K.	10	
99	Refused	173	
Sysmiss		1955	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA4A: Preference for Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2708 /-] [Invalid=2163 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say that your preference for [fill rc3] was VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG or NOT VERY STRONG?
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to pesa4. Respondents who chose a party other than the listed options where asked "that party...".

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strong	1128	41.7%
3	Somewhat strong	1191	44.0%
5	Not very strong	389	14.4%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2153	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA4B: When You Decided that You Were Going to Vote

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2710 /-] [Invalid=2161 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	When did you decide that you were going to vote for [fill rc3]? Was it before the campaign began, before the debate, during or just after the debate, in the last two weeks of the campaign, or election day?
Post-question	Go to #pesa6.
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fill in rc3 based on the respondent's answer to pesa4. Respondents who chose a party other than the listed options where asked "that party...".

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Before campaign	1070	39.5%
2	During debate	273	10.1%
3	After debate	257	9.5%
4	Last two weeks	731	27.0%
5	Election day	379	14.0%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2153	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA5A: If You Had Voted, Party You Would Have Voted For

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=363 /-] [Invalid=4508 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "no", or "don't know" for #pesa2.
Literal question	If you HAD voted, which party would you have voted for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the Bloc Québécois, or another party? (If respondent resides in the province of Quebec)/ If you HAD voted, which party would you have voted for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, the Reform Party, or another party? (All others)
Notes	Code at this item customized the question text to read either Bloc Quebecois in Quebec, or Reform Party in the rest of Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	38	10.5%
2	Liberal	147	40.5%
3	Ndp	19	5.2%
4	Reform	67	18.5%
5	Bloc quebecois	45	12.4%
6	Other	10	2.8%
7	Noneworthvotefor	37	10.2%
98	D.K.	55	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		4450	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA6: Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win in Riding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3074 /-] [Invalid=1797 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Thinking back to Election Day, which party did you think would win in YOUR RIDING?

PESA6: Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win in Riding

Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", "don't remember", or refuses to answer, go to #pesa8. All others go to #pesa7.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: IF NEEDED "What was your best guess".

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	332	10.8%
2	Liberal	1559	50.7%
3	Ndp	181	5.9%
4	Reform	523	17.0%
5	Bloc quebecois	468	15.2%
6	Other	11	0.4%
98	D.K.,notremember	263	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA7: Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win Second in Riding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2782 /-] [Invalid=2089 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", "don't remember", or refused to answer #pesa6.
Literal question	Which party did you think would finish second in YOUR RIDING?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	861	30.9%
2	Liberal	937	33.7%
3	Ndp	254	9.1%
4	Reform	534	19.2%
5	Bloc quebecois	187	6.7%
6	Other	9	0.3%
98	D.K.,notremember	289	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1797	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA8: How Close You Thought Election Would be in Riding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3149 /-] [Invalid=1722 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How close did you think the election would be in your riding: VERY CLOSE, FAIRLY CLOSE, NOT VERY CLOSE, or NOT CLOSE AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very close	706	22.4%
3	Fairly close	1246	39.6%
5	Not very close	618	19.6%
7	Notclose at all	579	18.4%
8	D.K.,notremember	189	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA9: Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win in Country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3108 /-] [Invalid=1763 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And on Election day, which party did you think would win the most seats in THE WHOLE COUNTRY?
Notes	#pesa9-#pesa12 added per Blais/Gidengal 26 Oct.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	166	5.3%
2	Liberal	2839	91.3%
3	Ndp	14	0.5%
4	Reform	51	1.6%
5	Bloc quebecois	32	1.0%
6	Other	6	0.2%
98	D.K.,notremember	229	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA10: Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win Second in Country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2919 /-] [Invalid=1952 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which party did you think would have the second highest number of seats in THE WHOLE COUNTRY?
Notes	#pesa9-#pesa12 added per Blais/Gidengal 26 Oct.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	1342	46.0%
2	Liberal	219	7.5%
3	Ndp	133	4.6%
4	Reform	809	27.7%
5	Bloc quebecois	411	14.1%
6	Other	5	0.2%
98	D.K.,notremember	417	
99	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA11: Better to Have Majority or Minority Government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3217 /-] [Invalid=1654 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think it is better to have a MAJORITY government, a MINORITY government or does it make no difference?
Notes	#pesa9-#pesa12 added per Blais/Gidengal 26 Oct.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Majority	1907	59.3%
5	Minority	754	23.4%
7	No difference	556	17.3%

PESA11: Better to Have Majority or Minority Government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	114	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESA12: Bloc Quebecois Should be Allowed to Form Official Opposition

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3043 /-] [Invalid=1828 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think the Bloc Québécois should be allowed to form the official opposition?
Notes	#pesa9-#pesa12 added per Blais/Gidengal 26 Oct.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1397	45.9%
5	No	1646	54.1%
8	D.K.	279	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESB1: Interest in Federal Election Campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3335 /-] [Invalid=1536 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say that you were VERY interested, FAIRLY interested, NOT very interested, or NOT AT ALL interested in the past federal election campaign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interested	1050	31.5%
3	Fairly	1462	43.8%
5	Not very	640	19.2%
7	Not at all	183	5.5%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESB2: Attentioned Paid to TV News About Campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3335 /-] [Invalid=1536 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much attention did you pay to the news on TV about the federal election campaign? Would you say, A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	562	16.9%
2	Quite a bit	1057	31.7%
3	Some	1044	31.3%
4	Very little	499	15.0%

PESB2: Attentioned Paid to TV News About Campaign

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	None,not watch	173	5.2%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESB3: Attention Paid to Newspapers About Campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3334 /-] [Invalid=1537 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much attention did you pay to articles in the NEWSPAPERS about the election campaign? Would you say, A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	366	11.0%
2	Quite a bit	799	24.0%
3	Some	1036	31.1%
4	Very little	669	20.1%
5	None,not readnews	464	13.9%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESB4: Attention Paid to Radio News About Campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3337 /-] [Invalid=1534 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much attention did you pay to the news on the RADIO about the election campaign? Would you say, A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	364	10.9%
2	Quite a bit	662	19.8%
3	Some	967	29.0%
4	Very little	819	24.5%
5	None,not listen	525	15.7%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESB5: Did You See French TV Debate Among Party Leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3335 /-] [Invalid=1536 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you see the FRENCH TV debate among the party leaders?
Post-question	If respondent refuses to answer, go to #pesb6. All others go to #pesb5a.

PESB5: Did You See French TV Debate Among Party Leaders

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	901	27.0%
5	No	2434	73.0%
8	D.K.	4	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESB5A: Leader Who Performed Best in French Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1784 /-] [Invalid=3087 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #pesb5.
Literal question	In your opinion, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw the French TV debate among party leaders) / From what you have heard, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (All others)
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Reading counts as hearing.
Notes	Code at this item stores the appropriate fill based on the respondent's answer to item pesb5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	87	4.9%
1	Campbell	123	6.9%
3	Chretien	908	50.9%
5	Mclaughlin	50	2.8%
7	Bouchard	535	30.0%
9	Manning	81	4.5%
98	D.K.	1538	
99	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		1532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESB5B: Leader Who Performed Worst in French Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1650 /-] [Invalid=3221 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #pesb5.
Literal question	And which leader performed the WORST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	58	3.5%
1	Campbell	944	57.2%
3	Chretien	54	3.3%
5	Mclaughlin	246	14.9%
7	Bouchard	52	3.2%
9	Manning	296	17.9%
98	D.K.	1673	
99	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		1532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESB6: Did You See English TV Debate Among Party Leaders

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

PESB6: Did You See English TV Debate Among Party Leaders

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3330 /-] [Invalid=1541 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Did you see the ENGLISH TV debate among the party leaders?
Post-question	If respondent refuses to answer, go to #pesc1. All others go to #pesb6a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1480	44.4%
5	No	1850	55.6%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESB6A: Leader Who Performed Best in English Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2147 /-] [Invalid=2724 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #pesb6.
Literal question	In your opinion, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (If respondent saw the English TV debate among party leaders)/ From what you have heard, which leader performed the BEST in that debate? (All others)
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Reading counts as hearing.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	104	4.8%
1	Campbell	190	8.8%
3	Chretien	1146	53.4%
5	Mclaughlin	118	5.5%
7	Bouchard	111	5.2%
9	Manning	478	22.3%
98	D.K.	1185	
99	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESB6B: Leader Who Performed Worst in English Debate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1853 /-] [Invalid=3018 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #pesb6.
Literal question	And which leader performed the WORST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	80	4.3%
1	Campbell	1086	58.6%
3	Chretien	57	3.1%
5	Mclaughlin	337	18.2%
7	Bouchard	151	8.1%
9	Manning	142	7.7%
98	D.K.	1474	
99	Refused	12	

PESB6B: Leader Who Performed Worst in English Debate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1532	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESC1: During Campaign> Discuss Politics With Others

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3336 /-] [Invalid=1535 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	DURING THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN, did you discuss politics with other people?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2711	81.3%
5	No	625	18.7%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESC2: During Campaign> Did You Help a Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3339 /-] [Invalid=1532 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	DURING THE CAMPAIGN did you help a party, for example, by going to a meeting or rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a lawn sign?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #pesc2a. All others go to #pesc3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	358	10.7%
5	No	2981	89.3%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESC2A: During Campaign> Which Party Helped

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=350 /-] [Invalid=4521 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #pesc2a.
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	59	16.9%
2	Liberal	125	35.7%
3	Ndp	44	12.6%
4	Reform	80	22.9%
5	Bloc quebecois	20	5.7%
6	Other	22	6.3%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	6	

# PESC2A: During Campaign> Which Party Helped			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
Sysmiss		4513	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# PESC2B: During Campaign> Did You Help Any Other Party	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=356 /-] [Invalid=4515 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Any other party?

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0	No other	338	94.9%
1	Conservative	3	0.8%
2	Liberal	7	2.0%
3	Ndp	1	0.3%
4	Reform	4	1.1%
5	Bloc quebecois	0	
6	Other	3	0.8%
98	D.K.	1	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4513	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESC3: During Campaign> Contacted by Candidates

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3335 /-] [Invalid=1536 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	DURING THE CAMPAIGN, were you contacted in person or by telephone by any of the local candidates or party workers in your riding?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes" for in person or by telephone, or volunteers "information dropped at door", go to #pesc3a. All others go to #pesc5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes-person/phone	1483	44.5%
5	No	1818	54.5%
7	Info at door	34	1.0%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESC3A: During Campaign> Party Contacted By-1st Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1451 /-] [Invalid=3420 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for being contacted by candidates in person or by telephone, or volunteers "information dropped at door" for #pesc3.
Literal question	Which party were they from?
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pesc3b. All others go to #pesc5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter ONLY FIRST mention here.
Notes	The version of CASES used for the 1993 Post Election Study does not permit multiple answers per item. Four separate items were used in this instance to permit a FIRST through FOURTH mention.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	0	
1	Conservative	356	24.5%
2	Liberal	507	34.9%
3	Ndp	224	15.4%
4	Reform	208	14.3%
5	Bloc quebecois	137	9.4%
6	Other	19	1.3%
98	D.K.	62	
99	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		3354	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESC3B: During Campaign> Party Contacted By-2nd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1436 /-] [Invalid=3435 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who provided a party for #pesc3a.
Literal question	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone during the campaign?)
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pesc3c. All others go to #pesc5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter ONLY SECOND mention here.

PESC3B: During Campaign> Party Contacted By-2nd Mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	855	59.5%
1	Conservative	177	12.3%
2	Liberal	196	13.6%
3	Ndp	60	4.2%
4	Reform	98	6.8%
5	Bloc quebecois	26	1.8%
6	Other	24	1.7%
98	D.K.	15	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3420	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESC3C: During Campaign> Party Contacted By-3rd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=578 /-] [Invalid=4293 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who provided a party for #pesc3b.
Literal question	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone during the campaign?)
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pesc3d. All others go to #pesc5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter ONLY THIRD mention here.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	391	67.6%
1	Conservative	42	7.3%
2	Liberal	39	6.7%
3	Ndp	58	10.0%
4	Reform	30	5.2%
5	Bloc quebecois	8	1.4%
6	Other	10	1.7%
98	D.K.	3	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4290	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESC3D: During Campaign> Party Contacted By-4th Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=187 /-] [Invalid=4684 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who provided a party for #pesc3c.
Literal question	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone during the campaign?)
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: Enter ONLY FOURTH mention here.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No other	127	67.9%
1	Conservative	3	1.6%
2	Liberal	4	2.1%
3	Ndp	16	8.6%
4	Reform	27	14.4%

PESC3D: During Campaign> Party Contacted By-4th Mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Bloc quebecois	1	0.5%
6	Other	9	4.8%
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4684	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESC5: Informed About Issues in the Campaign

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3332 /-] [Invalid=1539 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Would you say you were VERY WELL informed, FAIRLY WELL informed, NOT VERY WELL informed, or NOT AT ALL informed about the issues in the campaign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	395	11.9%
3	Fairly well	2021	60.7%
5	Not very well	773	23.2%
7	Not at all	143	4.3%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD1A: How Well Informed About> Kim Campbell

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3316 /-] [Invalid=1555 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Now I want to ask you how well informed you feel about the party leaders.
Literal question	First, Kim Campbell. Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	280	8.4%
3	Fairly well	1817	54.8%
5	Not very well	990	29.9%
7	Not at all	229	6.9%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD1B: How Well Informed About> Jean Chretien

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3330 /-] [Invalid=1541 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And what about Jean Chretien? Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien?

PESD1B: How Well Informed About> Jean Chretien

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	630	18.9%
3	Fairly well	1988	59.7%
5	Not very well	577	17.3%
7	Not at all	135	4.1%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD1C: How Well Informed About> Audrey McLaughlin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3318 /-] [Invalid=1553 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Audrey McLaughlin? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	201	6.1%
3	Fairly well	1069	32.2%
5	Not very well	1422	42.9%
7	Not at all	626	18.9%
8	D.K.	17	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD1D: How Well Informed About> Preston Manning

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3317 /-] [Invalid=1554 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Preston Manning? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	257	7.7%
3	Fairly well	1228	37.0%
5	Not very well	1103	33.3%
7	Not at all	729	22.0%
8	D.K.	18	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD1E: How Well Informed About> Lucien Bouchard

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3308 /-] [Invalid=1563 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESD1E: How Well Informed About> Lucien Bouchard

Literal question	Lucien Bouchard? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader ratings and were asked to rate the political parties.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	262	7.9%
3	Fairly well	876	26.5%
5	Not very well	1355	41.0%
7	Not at all	815	24.6%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD2A: Rating> Kim Campbell

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3034 /-] [Invalid=1837 /-]
Universe	Not asked to respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions. Not asked to respondents who felt that they are not at all informed about Kim Campbell, or answered "don't know", or refused to answer #pesd1a.
Pre-question	Now, I'll ask you to rate each leader on a scale that runs from 0 to 100. Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you rate that person UNFAVOURABLY. Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you rate that person FAVOURABLY. You may use any number from 0 to 100.
Literal question	KIM CAMPBELL? (How would you rate KIM CAMPBELL?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn12.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	131	4.3%
1		14	0.5%
2		25	0.8%
3		2	0.1%
4		4	0.1%
5		37	1.2%
6		1	0.0%
10		122	4.0%
12		1	0.0%
15		32	1.1%
17		1	0.0%
20		180	5.9%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		142	4.7%
26		3	0.1%
28		3	0.1%
30		246	8.1%
32		2	0.1%

PESD2A: Rating> Kim Campbell

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
33		1	0.0%
35		62	2.0%
36		1	0.0%
38		4	0.1%
39		2	0.1%
40		346	11.4%
42		2	0.1%
43		2	0.1%
45		113	3.7%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		9	0.3%
49		18	0.6%
50		555	18.3%
51		2	0.1%
52		16	0.5%
53		1	0.0%
54		4	0.1%
55		98	3.2%
56		2	0.1%
57		3	0.1%
58		1	0.0%
60		355	11.7%
62		2	0.1%
63		2	0.1%
64		1	0.0%
65		89	2.9%
68		1	0.0%
70		180	5.9%
72		2	0.1%
75		108	3.6%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		63	2.1%
85		12	0.4%
86		1	0.0%
90		15	0.5%
95		2	0.1%
96		1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
100	Very favourable	3	0.1%
998	D.K.	38	
999	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		1784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD2B: Rating> Jean Chretien

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3149 /-] [Invalid=1722 /-]
Universe	Not asked to respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions. Not asked to respondents who felt that they are not at all informed about Jean Chretien, or answered "don't know", or refused to answer #pesd1b.
Literal question	JEAN CHRETIEN? (How would you rate JEAN CHRETIEN?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	30	1.0%
1		3	0.1%
3		1	0.0%
4		2	0.1%
5		7	0.2%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		27	0.9%
11		1	0.0%
15		10	0.3%
20		44	1.4%
25		32	1.0%
27		1	0.0%
28		2	0.1%
29		1	0.0%
30		63	2.0%
35		10	0.3%
36		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		114	3.6%
45		39	1.2%
46		1	0.0%
48		6	0.2%
49		7	0.2%
50		371	11.8%
51		12	0.4%
52		6	0.2%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		108	3.4%
56		2	0.1%
57		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%
60		507	16.1%

PESD2B: Rating> Jean Chretien

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
61		2	0.1%
62		1	0.0%
65		155	4.9%
66		4	0.1%
67		4	0.1%
68		3	0.1%
70		446	14.2%
71		1	0.0%
72		5	0.2%
73		1	0.0%
74		2	0.1%
75		428	13.6%
77		2	0.1%
78		5	0.2%
79		1	0.0%
80		359	11.4%
82		3	0.1%
85		80	2.5%
87		2	0.1%
88		2	0.1%
89		1	0.0%
90		134	4.3%
92		2	0.1%
93		1	0.0%
95		22	0.7%
98		4	0.1%
99		3	0.1%
100	Very favourable	57	1.8%
998	D.K.	33	
999	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		1676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD2C: Rating> Audrey McLaughlin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2617 /-] [Invalid=2254 /-]
Universe	Not asked to respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions. Not asked to respondents who felt that they are not at all informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or answered "don't know", or refused to answer #pesd1c.
Literal question	AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN? (How would you rate AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	54	2.1%
1		3	0.1%

PESD2C: Rating> Audrey McLaughlin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		8	0.3%
3		2	0.1%
4		4	0.2%
5		21	0.8%
6		2	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
8		3	0.1%
10		91	3.5%
12		2	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
14		1	0.0%
15		32	1.2%
20		131	5.0%
25		114	4.4%
27		1	0.0%
30		217	8.3%
33		2	0.1%
35		58	2.2%
36		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		379	14.5%
42		3	0.1%
43		2	0.1%
44		1	0.0%
45		94	3.6%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		9	0.3%
49		14	0.5%
50		516	19.7%
51		11	0.4%
52		10	0.4%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		102	3.9%
57		2	0.1%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		325	12.4%
61		1	0.0%
62		2	0.1%
63		3	0.1%
65		94	3.6%
67		2	0.1%

PESD2C: Rating> Audrey McLaughlin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
68		1	0.0%
69		2	0.1%
70		120	4.6%
72		2	0.1%
75		92	3.5%
79		1	0.0%
80		45	1.7%
82		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		8	0.3%
87		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		11	0.4%
98		1	0.0%
100	Very favourable	2	0.1%
998	D.K.	64	
999	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		2179	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD2D: Rating> Preston Manning

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2488 /-] [Invalid=2383 /-]
Universe	Not asked to respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions. Not asked to respondents who felt that they are not at all informed about Preston Manning, or answered "don't know", or refused to answer #pesd1d.
Literal question	PRESTON MANNING? (How would you rate PRESTON MANNING?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	66	2.7%
1		7	0.3%
2		2	0.1%
3		1	0.0%
4		1	0.0%
5		18	0.7%
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
8		1	0.0%
10		74	3.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		11	0.4%
20		88	3.5%
23		2	0.1%
25		81	3.3%

# **PESD2D: Rating> Preston Manning**			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
27		1	0.0%
28		2	0.1%
29		1	0.0%
30		149	6.0%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		30	1.2%
36		1	0.0%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		239	9.6%
41		1	0.0%
42		1	0.0%
43		1	0.0%
45		69	2.8%
46		1	0.0%
47		6	0.2%
48		3	0.1%
49		10	0.4%
50		399	16.0%
51		10	0.4%
52		4	0.2%
53		3	0.1%
55		93	3.7%
56		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		341	13.7%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		136	5.5%
66		3	0.1%
67		3	0.1%
68		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		197	7.9%
72		3	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
75		176	7.1%
76		2	0.1%
78		1	0.0%
80		127	5.1%
85		44	1.8%

PESD2D: Rating> Preston Manning

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88		3	0.1%
90		34	1.4%
92		1	0.0%
93		1	0.0%
95		9	0.4%
97		1	0.0%
98		4	0.2%
99		1	0.0%
100	Very favourable	7	0.3%
998	D.K.	87	
999	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		2283	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD2E: Rating> Lucien Bouchard

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2371 /-] [Invalid=2500 /-]
Universe	Not asked to respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions. Not asked to respondents who felt that they are not at all informed about Lucien Bouchard, or answered "don't know", or refused to answer #pesd1e.
Literal question	LUCIEN BOUCHARD? (How would you rate LUCIEN BOUCHARD?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of leader delivery is randomized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	97	4.1%
1		8	0.3%
2		9	0.4%
3		3	0.1%
5		22	0.9%
6		1	0.0%
10		97	4.1%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		18	0.8%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		114	4.8%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		75	3.2%
27		1	0.0%
28		2	0.1%
30		190	8.0%
32		1	0.0%
35		37	1.6%

PESD2E: Rating> Lucien Bouchard

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
37		2	0.1%
38		2	0.1%
39		1	0.0%
40		274	11.6%
42		2	0.1%
45		91	3.8%
47		1	0.0%
48		6	0.3%
49		6	0.3%
50		420	17.7%
51		10	0.4%
52		8	0.3%
53		2	0.1%
55		68	2.9%
57		1	0.0%
58		5	0.2%
60		229	9.7%
62		2	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
65		46	1.9%
66		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		156	6.6%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
75		121	5.1%
80		135	5.7%
85		21	0.9%
87		1	0.0%
90		38	1.6%
95		6	0.3%
98		2	0.1%
99		5	0.2%
100	Very favourable	23	1.0%
998	D.K.	104	
999	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		2378	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD2G: Rating> Federal Conservative Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3235 /-] [Invalid=1636 /-]
Universe	All respondents

#PESD2G: Rating> Federal Conservative Party

Pre-question	Now, I'll ask you to rate each political party on the same scale that runs from 0 to 100. You may use any number from 0 to 100. (Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you rate that party UNFAVOURABLY. Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you rate that party FAVOURABLY.)
Literal question	The FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY? (How would you rate the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE PARTY?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the parties was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn13.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	232	7.2%
1		16	0.5%
2		34	1.1%
3		8	0.2%
4		2	0.1%
5		55	1.7%
7		1	0.0%
8		3	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		207	6.4%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		45	1.4%
16		2	0.1%
18		3	0.1%
20		281	8.7%
22		2	0.1%
25		158	4.9%
26		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		278	8.6%
31		1	0.0%
35		59	1.8%
38		2	0.1%
39		1	0.0%
40		419	13.0%
42		2	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
44		2	0.1%
45		101	3.1%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		7	0.2%
49		10	0.3%
50		508	15.7%
51		6	0.2%
52		4	0.1%
53		1	0.0%

PESD2G: Rating> Federal Conservative Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
54		2	0.1%
55		99	3.1%
56		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%
60		307	9.5%
61		1	0.0%
62		4	0.1%
65		68	2.1%
66		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
70		142	4.4%
72		1	0.0%
75		78	2.4%
78		1	0.0%
80		45	1.4%
85		7	0.2%
87		1	0.0%
88		2	0.1%
89		1	0.0%
90		7	0.2%
98		1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
100	Very favourable	2	0.1%
998	D.K.	84	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD2H: Rating> Federal Liberal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3247 /-] [Invalid=1624 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY? (How would you rate the FEDERAL LIBERAL PARTY?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of party delivery is random.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	40	1.2%
1		3	0.1%
3		1	0.0%
4		1	0.0%
5		10	0.3%
6		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
10		24	0.7%
12		1	0.0%

PESD2H: Rating> Federal Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13		1	0.0%
15		10	0.3%
20		42	1.3%
23		1	0.0%
25		40	1.2%
28		1	0.0%
30		73	2.2%
32		2	0.1%
35		20	0.6%
40		179	5.5%
42		1	0.0%
45		43	1.3%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		4	0.1%
49		7	0.2%
50		464	14.3%
51		7	0.2%
52		11	0.3%
53		1	0.0%
55		128	3.9%
56		3	0.1%
57		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		574	17.7%
61		2	0.1%
62		2	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
65		154	4.7%
66		2	0.1%
68		3	0.1%
70		396	12.2%
71		1	0.0%
72		2	0.1%
73		4	0.1%
75		359	11.1%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
78		2	0.1%
80		344	10.6%
82		1	0.0%
85		59	1.8%
86		1	0.0%
87		3	0.1%

PESD2H: Rating> Federal Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		108	3.3%
95		27	0.8%
98		5	0.2%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very favourable	62	1.9%
998	D.K.	73	
999	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD2I: Rating> Federal New Democratic Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3144 /-] [Invalid=1727 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The FEDERAL NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY? (How would you rate the FEDERAL NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of party delivery is random.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	171	5.4%
1		4	0.1%
2		15	0.5%
3		4	0.1%
5		52	1.7%
6		4	0.1%
7		3	0.1%
8		3	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		223	7.1%
11		1	0.0%
12		4	0.1%
15		53	1.7%
18		1	0.0%
20		258	8.2%
22		2	0.1%
25		152	4.8%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		364	11.6%
31		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
34		1	0.0%
35		71	2.3%

PESD2I: Rating> Federal New Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
36		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		447	14.2%
42		2	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
45		94	3.0%
46		1	0.0%
47		3	0.1%
48		7	0.2%
49		8	0.3%
50		550	17.5%
51		9	0.3%
52		7	0.2%
53		4	0.1%
54		3	0.1%
55		93	3.0%
56		1	0.0%
57		2	0.1%
58		2	0.1%
60		252	8.0%
61		1	0.0%
62		2	0.1%
65		63	2.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		79	2.5%
74		1	0.0%
75		53	1.7%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		43	1.4%
85		10	0.3%
90		4	0.1%
98		2	0.1%
100	Very favourable	2	0.1%
998	D.K.	173	
999	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD2J: Rating> Reform Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3069 /-] [Invalid=1802 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	The REFORM PARTY? (How would you rate the REFORM PARTY?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

# **PESD2J: Rating> Reform Party**				
Notes		Order of party delivery is random.		
Value	**Label**		**Cases**	**Percentage**
0	Veryunfavourable		188	6.1%
1			5	0.2%
2			12	0.4%
3			3	0.1%
4			1	0.0%
5			46	1.5%
6			1	0.0%
7			5	0.2%
8			3	0.1%
10			144	4.7%
11			1	0.0%
12			2	0.1%
15			22	0.7%
18			1	0.0%
20			188	6.1%
22			2	0.1%
23			1	0.0%
24			1	0.0%
25			106	3.5%
28			2	0.1%
30			244	8.0%
31			1	0.0%
32			1	0.0%
35			46	1.5%
36			1	0.0%
37			1	0.0%
38			1	0.0%
40			321	10.5%
43			1	0.0%
44			2	0.1%
45			80	2.6%
46			2	0.1%
47			2	0.1%
48			3	0.1%
49			6	0.2%
50			465	15.2%
51			7	0.2%
52			2	0.1%
53			1	0.0%
54			2	0.1%
55			90	2.9%
56			2	0.1%
57			2	0.1%
58			3	0.1%

PESD2J: Rating> Reform Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60		343	11.2%
63		3	0.1%
64		1	0.0%
65		107	3.5%
66		3	0.1%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		196	6.4%
72		2	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
75		147	4.8%
78		1	0.0%
80		133	4.3%
85		33	1.1%
86		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		50	1.6%
95		9	0.3%
96		1	0.0%
97		1	0.0%
98		2	0.1%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very favourable	8	0.3%
998	D.K.	247	
999	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD2K: Rating> Bloc Quebecois

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3090 /-] [Invalid=1781 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	The BLOC Québécois? (How would you rate the BLOC Québécois?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of party delivery is random.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	414	13.4%
1		10	0.3%
2		14	0.5%
3		4	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		77	2.5%
6		2	0.1%
7		2	0.1%

#PESD2K: Rating> Bloc Quebecois

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		286	9.3%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		28	0.9%
20		243	7.9%
22		2	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
25		145	4.7%
26		1	0.0%
28		2	0.1%
30		282	9.1%
31		1	0.0%
32		1	0.0%
35		57	1.8%
39		1	0.0%
40		318	10.3%
42		3	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
45		77	2.5%
46		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		4	0.1%
50		416	13.5%
51		13	0.4%
52		3	0.1%
53		1	0.0%
54		3	0.1%
55		40	1.3%
56		1	0.0%
57		1	0.0%
60		190	6.1%
62		1	0.0%
65		41	1.3%
66		2	0.1%
68		5	0.2%
69		1	0.0%
70		116	3.8%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
75		88	2.8%
80		101	3.3%
82		1	0.0%
85		15	0.5%
90		34	1.1%

PESD2K: Rating> Bloc Quebecois

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95		6	0.2%
97		1	0.0%
98		2	0.1%
99		4	0.1%
100	Very favourable	18	0.6%
998	D.K.	216	
999	Refused	34	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD4A: How Well Informed About> Conservative Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3314 /-] [Invalid=1557 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Now I want to ask you how well informed you feel about the CANDIDATES in your riding.
Literal question	First, the Conservative candidate. Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the Conservative candidate?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No candidate	0	
1	Verywell	390	11.8%
3	Fairly well	842	25.4%
5	Not very well	1147	34.6%
7	Not at all	935	28.2%
8	D.K.	23	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD4B: How Well Informed About> Liberal Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3316 /-] [Invalid=1555 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The Liberal candidate? Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the Liberal candidate?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No candidate	2	0.1%
1	Verywell	480	14.5%
3	Fairly well	1240	37.4%
5	Not very well	936	28.2%
7	Not at all	658	19.8%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD4C: How Well Informed About> NDP Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3304 /-] [Invalid=1567 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The NDP candidate? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the NDP candidate?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No candidate	33	1.0%
1	Verywell	201	6.1%
3	Fairly well	535	16.2%
5	Not very well	1147	34.7%
7	Not at all	1388	42.0%
8	D.K.	32	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD4D: How Well Informed About> Reform Party Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2449 /-] [Invalid=2422 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	The Reform Party candidate? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about the Reform Party candidate?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No candidate	58	2.4%
1	Verywell	179	7.3%
3	Fairly well	667	27.2%
5	Not very well	775	31.6%
7	Not at all	770	31.4%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2397	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD4E: How Well Informed About> Bloc Quebecois Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=853 /-] [Invalid=4018 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	The Bloc Québécois candidate? (Do you feel VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL informed about The Bloc Québécois candidate?)
Post-question	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the candidate questions, or if there was no candidate in the riding, skipped the next section on candidate ratings and were asked to rate their provincial premier (#pesd5f).

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No candidate	3	0.4%
1	Verywell	120	14.1%
3	Fairly well	293	34.3%
5	Not very well	187	21.9%

PESD4E: How Well Informed About> Bloc Quebecois Candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Not at all	250	29.3%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD5A: Rating> Conservative Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2158 /-] [Invalid=2713 /-]
Universe	Not asked to respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the candidate questions. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about the Conservative candidate, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, or if there was NO candidate, were not asked to rate the Conservative candidate.
Pre-question	Please rate each candidate in your riding on the same scale that runs from 0 to 100. You may use any number from 0 to 100. (Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you rate that candidate UNFAVOURABLY. Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you rate that candidate FAVOURABLY.)
Literal question	The CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE? (How would you rate the CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the candidates was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn18.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	64	3.0%
1		3	0.1%
2		2	0.1%
3		3	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		15	0.7%
8		1	0.0%
10		87	4.0%
15		19	0.9%
18		4	0.2%
20		124	5.7%
22		1	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		78	3.6%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		168	7.8%
32		1	0.0%
35		36	1.7%
38		1	0.0%
40		270	12.5%
42		2	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
45		69	3.2%
48		1	0.0%
50		371	17.2%

PESD5A: Rating> Conservative Candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
51		2	0.1%
52		4	0.2%
53		3	0.1%
54		2	0.1%
55		75	3.5%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		266	12.3%
62		2	0.1%
63		2	0.1%
65		54	2.5%
67		1	0.0%
68		3	0.1%
70		148	6.9%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
75		100	4.6%
79		1	0.0%
80		94	4.4%
85		19	0.9%
90		31	1.4%
95		7	0.3%
99		1	0.0%
100	Very favourable	11	0.5%
998	D.K.	205	
999	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		2492	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD5B: Rating> Liberal Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2423 /-] [Invalid=2448 /-]
Universe	Not asked to respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the candidate questions. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about the Liberal candidate, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, or if there was NO candidate, were not asked to rate the Liberal candidate.
Literal question	The LIBERAL CANDIDATE? (How would you rate the LIBERAL CANDIDATE?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of candidate delivery is random.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	34	1.4%
1		3	0.1%
2		2	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		8	0.3%
6		1	0.0%

PESD5B: Rating> Liberal Candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		1	0.0%
10		40	1.7%
15		5	0.2%
16		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		54	2.2%
22		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		46	1.9%
30		78	3.2%
35		22	0.9%
40		171	7.1%
42		1	0.0%
43		2	0.1%
44		1	0.0%
45		41	1.7%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		2	0.1%
50		353	14.6%
51		2	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
53		4	0.2%
54		2	0.1%
55		83	3.4%
58		1	0.0%
60		373	15.4%
61		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
64		2	0.1%
65		95	3.9%
67		3	0.1%
68		3	0.1%
70		296	12.2%
72		3	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		220	9.1%
78		3	0.1%
79		2	0.1%
80		222	9.2%
82		1	0.0%
83		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		52	2.1%

PESD5B: Rating> Liberal Candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
87		1	0.0%
88		2	0.1%
89		1	0.0%
90		96	4.0%
92		4	0.2%
93		2	0.1%
94		1	0.0%
95		17	0.7%
98		2	0.1%
99		6	0.2%
100	Very favourable	44	1.8%
998	D.K.	212	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		2215	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD5C: Rating> NDP Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1635 /-] [Invalid=3236 /-]
Universe	Not asked to respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the candidate questions. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about the NDP candidate, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, or if there was NO candidate, were not asked to rate the NDP candidate.
Literal question	The NDP CANDIDATE? (How would you rate the NDP CANDIDATE?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of candidate delivery is random.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	53	3.2%
2		8	0.5%
3		1	0.1%
4		1	0.1%
5		25	1.5%
6		3	0.2%
10		85	5.2%
12		2	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
15		15	0.9%
18		1	0.1%
20		108	6.6%
22		2	0.1%
25		61	3.7%
29		1	0.1%
30		186	11.4%
33		1	0.1%
35		38	2.3%
37		1	0.1%

PESD5C: Rating> NDP Candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38		1	0.1%
40		220	13.5%
41		1	0.1%
42		4	0.2%
43		1	0.1%
45		48	2.9%
48		3	0.2%
49		3	0.2%
50		291	17.8%
51		2	0.1%
52		4	0.2%
53		1	0.1%
55		48	2.9%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
58		1	0.1%
59		2	0.1%
60		144	8.8%
62		2	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
64		2	0.1%
65		34	2.1%
68		1	0.1%
70		73	4.5%
72		1	0.1%
75		64	3.9%
80		50	3.1%
82		1	0.1%
84		1	0.1%
85		7	0.4%
90		17	1.0%
95		5	0.3%
98		1	0.1%
100	Very favourable	6	0.4%
998	D.K.	231	
999	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		2988	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD5D: Rating> Reform Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1440 /-] [Invalid=3431 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec.Not asked to respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the candidate questions. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about the Reform candidate, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, or if there was NO candidate, were not asked to rate the Reform candidate.

#PESD5D: Rating> Reform Candidate

Literal question	The REFORM CANDIDATE? (How would you rate the REFORM CANDIDATE?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of candidate delivery is random.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	34	2.4%
5		5	0.3%
6		1	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
8		1	0.1%
10		53	3.7%
15		5	0.3%
18		1	0.1%
20		60	4.2%
25		50	3.5%
30		91	6.3%
32		1	0.1%
35		15	1.0%
40		123	8.5%
41		1	0.1%
45		35	2.4%
46		2	0.1%
47		1	0.1%
49		5	0.3%
50		224	15.6%
51		3	0.2%
52		2	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
54		2	0.1%
55		55	3.8%
56		1	0.1%
57		1	0.1%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.1%
60		219	15.2%
62		1	0.1%
63		1	0.1%
65		54	3.8%
67		1	0.1%
70		132	9.2%
72		1	0.1%
73		1	0.1%
75		89	6.2%
80		91	6.3%
81		1	0.1%
83		1	0.1%
85		16	1.1%

PESD5D: Rating> Reform Candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
87		1	0.1%
90		36	2.5%
92		1	0.1%
95		3	0.2%
98		3	0.2%
99		1	0.1%
100	Very favourable	9	0.6%
998	D.K.	162	
999	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		3250	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD5E: Rating> Bloc Quebecois Candidate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=538 /-] [Invalid=4333 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Not asked to respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the candidate questions. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about the BLOC candidate, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, or if there was NO candidate, were not asked to rate the BLOC candidate
Literal question	The BLOC Québécois CANDIDATE? (How would you rate THE BLOC Québécois CANDIDATE?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of candidate delivery is random.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	9	1.7%
5		3	0.6%
10		13	2.4%
15		1	0.2%
18		1	0.2%
20		10	1.9%
25		6	1.1%
30		20	3.7%
35		1	0.2%
40		36	6.7%
45		8	1.5%
49		2	0.4%
50		93	17.3%
51		1	0.2%
52		2	0.4%
54		1	0.2%
55		16	3.0%
56		1	0.2%
57		1	0.2%
60		81	15.1%
65		19	3.5%
70		51	9.5%
75		46	8.6%

PESD5E: Rating> Bloc Quebecois Candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80		69	12.8%
85		6	1.1%
90		23	4.3%
95		1	0.2%
97		1	0.2%
100	Very favourable	16	3.0%
998	D.K.	60	
999	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		4271	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD5F: Rating> Provincial Premier

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3220 /-] [Invalid=1651 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Please rate the following people on the same scale that runs from 0 to 100. You may use any number from 0 to 100. (Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you rate that person UNFAVOURABLY. Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you rate that person FAVOURABLY.)
Literal question	How would you rate CLYDE WELLS? (If respondent resides in the province of Newfoundland.)/ How would you rate CATHERINE CALLBECK? (If respondent resides in the province of Prince Edward Island.)/ How would you rate JOHN SAVAGE? (If respondent resides in the province of Nova Scotia.)/How would you rate FRANK MCKENNA? (If respondent resides in the province of New Brunswick.)/ How would you rate ROBERT BOURASSA ? (If respondent resides in the province of Quebec.)/ How would you rate BOB RAE?(If respondent resides in the province of Ontario.)/ How would you rate GARY FILMON? (If respondent resides in the province of Manitoba.)/ How would you rate ROY ROMANOW? (If respondent resides in the province of Saskatchewan.)/How would you rate RALPH KLEIN?(If respondent resides in the province of Alberta.)/ How would you rate MIKE HARCOURT ?(If respondent resides in the province of British Columbia.) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of candidate delivery is random. Code at this item customized the question text to read the respondent's provincial premier's name depending upon the province.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	273	8.5%
1		16	0.5%
2		15	0.5%
3		4	0.1%
4		3	0.1%
5		51	1.6%
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		142	4.4%
15		34	1.1%
16		1	0.0%
17		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		139	4.3%
25		103	3.2%
29		1	0.0%

PESD5F: Rating> Provincial Premier

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		165	5.1%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		44	1.4%
36		1	0.0%
37		2	0.1%
39		1	0.0%
40		261	8.1%
42		2	0.1%
45		65	2.0%
46		2	0.1%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		8	0.2%
50		433	13.4%
51		9	0.3%
52		3	0.1%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		81	2.5%
57		2	0.1%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		368	11.4%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		138	4.3%
66		1	0.0%
67		4	0.1%
68		4	0.1%
70		247	7.7%
72		4	0.1%
75		226	7.0%
76		1	0.0%
78		4	0.1%
79		2	0.1%
80		174	5.4%
81		1	0.0%
85		39	1.2%
86		1	0.0%
90		82	2.5%
91		1	0.0%
92		1	0.0%
95		16	0.5%

PESD5F: Rating> Provincial Premier

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99		2	0.1%
100	Very favourable	22	0.7%
998	D.K.	99	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD5G: Rating> Pierre Trudeau

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3164 /-] [Invalid=1707 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How would you rate PIERRE TRUDEAU? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)
Notes	Order of candidate delivery is random.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Veryunfavourable	234	7.4%
1		8	0.3%
2		10	0.3%
3		3	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		25	0.8%
6		2	0.1%
7		1	0.0%
10		113	3.6%
11		1	0.0%
12		2	0.1%
14		1	0.0%
15		14	0.4%
17		1	0.0%
20		98	3.1%
25		70	2.2%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		134	4.2%
32		2	0.1%
35		26	0.8%
36		1	0.0%
37		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		186	5.9%
42		2	0.1%
45		47	1.5%
47		3	0.1%
48		1	0.0%
49		8	0.3%

PESD5G: Rating> Pierre Trudeau

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50		395	12.5%
51		3	0.1%
52		2	0.1%
53		2	0.1%
55		55	1.7%
57		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
59		2	0.1%
60		356	11.3%
62		2	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		103	3.3%
67		1	0.0%
68		3	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		309	9.8%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
75		256	8.1%
76		3	0.1%
78		4	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		280	8.8%
81		2	0.1%
82		1	0.0%
85		73	2.3%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		158	5.0%
95		45	1.4%
96		3	0.1%
98		4	0.1%
99		9	0.3%
100	Very favourable	84	2.7%
998	D.K.	152	
999	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESD5H: Rating> Jean Charest

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2797 /-] [Invalid=2074 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How would you rate JEAN CHAREST? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very unfavourable and 100 means very favourable?)

PESD5H: Rating> Jean Charest

Notes	Order of candidate delivery is random.		
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0	Veryunfavourable	75	2.7%
1		2	0.1%
2		3	0.1%
3		1	0.0%
4		2	0.1%
5		14	0.5%
7		1	0.0%
10		60	2.1%
12		2	0.1%
13		1	0.0%
15		11	0.4%
20		78	2.8%
25		53	1.9%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		108	3.9%
31		1	0.0%
32		2	0.1%
35		34	1.2%
40		210	7.5%
42		1	0.0%
45		66	2.4%
46		2	0.1%
48		5	0.2%
49		2	0.1%
50		479	17.1%
51		4	0.1%
52		6	0.2%
53		2	0.1%
55		108	3.9%
56		2	0.1%
58		3	0.1%
59		2	0.1%
60		434	15.5%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		138	4.9%
68		1	0.0%
70		311	11.1%
72		2	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
75		222	7.9%
76		1	0.0%

PESD5H: Rating> Jean Charest

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78		1	0.0%
80		216	7.7%
81		1	0.0%
82		4	0.1%
85		37	1.3%
86		1	0.0%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		58	2.1%
95		7	0.3%
98		4	0.1%
99		4	0.1%
100	Very favourable	7	0.3%
998	D.K.	522	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE1A: Attention Federal Government Gives to Province

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3118 /-] [Invalid=1753 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In general, has the federal government given MORE, ABOUT THE SAME, or LESS attention to [province in which respondent resides] than to other provinces?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More attention	406	13.0%
3	Aboutthesame	1522	48.8%
5	Less attention	1190	38.2%
8	D.K.	208	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE2B: Opinion on Quebec Sovereignty

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=835 /-] [Invalid=4036 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	What is your opinion on Quebec sovereignty, that is, Quebec is no longer a part of Canada? Are you very favourable, somewhat favourable, somewhat opposed, or very opposed.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Neither	24	2.9%
1	Very favourable	130	15.6%
3	Somewhat favourable	241	28.9%
5	Somewhat opposed	177	21.2%
7	Very opposed	263	31.5%
8	D.K.	22	

PESE2B: Opinion on Quebec Sovereignty

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		4005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE4A: Reduce Unemployment or Reduce the Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1540 /-] [Invalid=3331 /-]
Universe	A random half of the respondents (pesrn2=1) were asked #pese4a, and a random half of the respondents (pesrn2=2) were asked #pese4b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	As a general rule, the government should: One: Reduce unemployment even if it means the deficit stays high OR Two: Reduce the deficit even if it means unemployment stays high
Post-question	Go to #pese5a.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If respondent is hesitant say "Well if you had to choose which is CLOSER to your own view?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reduceunemploymt	950	61.7%
2	Reduce deficit	590	38.3%
8	D.K.	108	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		3208	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE4B: Reduce the Deficit or Reduce Unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1549 /-] [Invalid=3322 /-]
Universe	A random half of the respondents (pesrn2=1) were asked #pese4a, and a random half of the respondents (pesrn2=2) were asked #pese4b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	As a general rule, the government should: One: Reduce the deficit even if it means unemployment stays high OR Two: Reduce unemployment even if it means the deficit stays high
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If respondent is hesitant say "Well if you had to choose which is CLOSER to your own view?"

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reduce deficit	642	41.4%
2	Reduceunemploymt	907	58.6%
8	D.K.	108	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		3194	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE5A: Views on Pensions to Elderly People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1663 /-] [Invalid=3208 /-]
Universe	A random half of the respondents (pesrn3=1) were asked #pese5a, and a random half of the respondents (pesrn3=2) were asked #pese5b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: One: We can only be sure the needs of ALL elderly people are met if the government gives pensions to all. OR Two: The government should not provide pensions to elderly people with above average incomes.
Post-question	Go to #pese8.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: The average household income is 43 thousand dollars IF ASKED: We mean old age security, not Canada Pension

PESE5A: Views on Pensions to Elderly People

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pensions to all	608	36.6%
2	No to above avg	1055	63.4%
8	D.K.	28	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		3170	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE5B: Views on Pensions to Elderly People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1588 /-] [Invalid=3283 /-]
Universe	A random half of the respondents (pesrn3=1) were asked #pese5a, and a random half of the respondents (pesrn3=2) were asked #pese5b in which the order of the options was reversed.
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: One: The government should NOT provide pensions to elderly people with above average incomes. OR Two: We can only be sure the needs of ALL elderly people are met if the government gives pensions to all.
Post-question	Go to #pese8.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: The average household income is 43 thousand dollars IF ASKED: We mean old age security, not Canada Pension

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No to above avg	824	51.9%
2	Pensions to all	764	48.1%
8	D.K.	40	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		3232	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE8: The Only Way to Create Jobs is to Eliminate the Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3138 /-] [Invalid=1733 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Could you tell me if you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE, or STRONGLY DISAGREE with the following statements: The ONLY way to create jobs is to ELIMINATE the deficit. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	360	11.5%
3	Somewhat agree	967	30.8%
5	Somewhatdisagree	1142	36.4%
7	Stronglydisagree	669	21.3%
8	D.K.	190	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE9: To Maintain Social Programmes We Must Eliminate the Deficit

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3154 /-] [Invalid=1717 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESE9: To Maintain Social Programmes We Must Eliminate the Deficit

Literal question	To maintain our social programmes, we must ELIMINATE the deficit. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	541	17.2%
3	Somewhat agree	1198	38.0%
5	Somewhatdisagree	966	30.6%
7	Stronglydisagree	449	14.2%
8	D.K.	170	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE12: NDP Provincial Government Proves that the NDP Can't Be Trusted to Govern

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2856 /-] [Invalid=2015 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	NDP provincial governments prove that the NDP can't be trusted to govern. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	643	22.5%
3	Somewhat agree	766	26.8%
5	Somewhatdisagree	924	32.4%
7	Stronglydisagree	523	18.3%
8	D.K.	447	
9	Refused	37	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE13: Any Government that Accepts a High Level of Unemployment Deserves to be Defeated

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3206 /-] [Invalid=1665 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Any government that accepts a high level of unemployment deserves to be defeated. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	978	30.5%
3	Somewhat agree	1105	34.5%
5	Somewhatdisagree	850	26.5%
7	Stronglydisagree	273	8.5%
8	D.K.	111	
9	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE15: The Government Should Leave it Entirely to the Private Sector to Create Jobs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3229 /-] [Invalid=1642 /-]

PESE15: The Government Should Leave it Entirely to the Private Sector to Create Jobs

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The government should leave it ENTIRELY to the private sector to create jobs. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	412	12.8%
3	Somewhat agree	886	27.4%
5	Somewhatdisagree	1137	35.2%
7	Stronglydisagree	794	24.6%
8	D.K.	104	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE15B: We Must Crack Down on Crime, Even it People Lose Their Rights

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3112 /-] [Invalid=1759 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We must crack down on crime, even if that means people lose their rights. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	917	29.5%
3	Somewhat agree	895	28.8%
5	Somewhatdisagree	673	21.6%
7	Stronglydisagree	627	20.1%
8	D.K.	196	
9	Refused	32	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE16: Jean Chretien Betrayed Quebec in the Constitutional Negotiations

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=701 /-] [Invalid=4170 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.
Literal question	Jean Chretien betrayed Quebec in the constitutional negotiations. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, SOMEWHAT AGREE, SOMEWHAT DISAGREE or STRONGLY DISAGREE)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	120	17.1%
3	Somewhat agree	246	35.1%
5	Somewhatdisagree	242	34.5%
7	Stronglydisagree	93	13.3%
8	D.K.	158	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		4005	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE17A: Which Party Promised to Do Away With NAFTA - 1st Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]

PESE17A: Which Party Promised to Do Away With NAFTA - 1st Mention

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3335 /-] [Invalid=1536 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to know which party promised to DO AWAY with the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) ?
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pese17b. All others go to #pese18a.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FIRST MENTION HERE.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	11	0.3%
1	Conservative	58	1.7%
2	Liberal	982	29.4%
3	Ndp	755	22.6%
4	Reform	257	7.7%
5	Bloc quebecois	28	0.8%
6	Other	27	0.8%
97	All parties	7	0.2%
98	D.K.	1210	36.3%
99	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE17B: Which Party Promised to Do Away With NAFTA - 2nd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2107 /-] [Invalid=2764 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese17a.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pes17c. All others go to #pes18a.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	1899	90.1%
1	Conservative	2	0.1%
2	Liberal	64	3.0%
3	Ndp	75	3.6%
4	Reform	44	2.1%
5	Bloc quebecois	1	0.0%
6	Other	13	0.6%
98	D.K.	9	0.4%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2764	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE17C: Which Party Promised to Do Away With NAFTA - 3rd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=199 /-] [Invalid=4672 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese17b.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pes17d. All others go to #pes18a.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT.

PESE17C: Which Party Promised to Do Away With NAFTA - 3rd Mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	173	86.9%
1	Conservative	1	0.5%
2	Liberal	4	2.0%
3	Ndp	9	4.5%
4	Reform	6	3.0%
5	Bloc quebecois	1	0.5%
6	Other	2	1.0%
98	D.K.	3	1.5%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4672	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE17D: Which Party Promised to Do Away With NAFTA - 4th Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23 /-] [Invalid=4848 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese17c.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	20	87.0%
1	Conservative	0	
2	Liberal	2	8.7%
3	Ndp	0	
4	Reform	1	4.3%
5	Bloc quebecois	0	
6	Other	0	
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4848	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE18A: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Three Years - 1st Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3335 /-] [Invalid=1536 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to know which party promised to ELIMINATE the deficit in THREE years?
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pes18b. All others go to #pes19a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	14	0.4%
1	Conservative	576	17.3%
2	Liberal	414	12.4%
3	Ndp	91	2.7%
4	Reform	1396	41.9%
5	Bloc quebecois	14	0.4%
6	Other	8	0.2%
97	All parties	19	0.6%
98	D.K.	803	24.1%
99	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE18B: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Three Years - 2nd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2499 /-] [Invalid=2372 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese18a.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pes18c. All others go to #pes19a.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	2444	97.8%

PESE18B: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Three Years - 2nd Mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	14	0.6%
2	Liberal	12	0.5%
3	Ndp	6	0.2%
4	Reform	14	0.6%
5	Bloc quebecois	1	0.0%
6	Other	1	0.0%
98	D.K.	7	0.3%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2372	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE18C: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Three Years - 3rd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=48 /-] [Invalid=4823 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese18b.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pes18c. All others go to #pes19a.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	42	87.5%
1	Conservative	1	2.1%
2	Liberal	0	
3	Ndp	0	
4	Reform	2	4.2%
5	Bloc quebecois	1	2.1%
6	Other	1	2.1%
98	D.K.	1	2.1%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4823	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE18D: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Three Years - 4th Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=4866 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese18c.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	5	100.0%
1	Conservative	0	
2	Liberal	0	
3	Ndp	0	
4	Reform	0	
5	Bloc quebecois	0	
6	Other	0	
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	0	

PESE18D: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Three Years - 4th Mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		4866	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE19A: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Five Years - 1st Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3333 /-] [Invalid=1538 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And do you happen to know which party promised to ELIMINATE the deficit in FIVE years?
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pes19b. All others go to #pes20a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	13	0.4%
1	Conservative	1489	44.7%
2	Liberal	545	16.4%
3	Ndp	72	2.2%
4	Reform	123	3.7%
5	Bloc quebecois	23	0.7%
6	Other	1	0.0%
97	All parties	6	0.2%
98	D.K.	1061	31.8%
99	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE19B: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Five Years - 2nd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2253 /-] [Invalid=2618 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese19a.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pes19c. All others go to #pes20a.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	2205	97.9%
1	Conservative	14	0.6%
2	Liberal	23	1.0%
3	Ndp	2	0.1%
4	Reform	5	0.2%
5	Bloc quebecois	0	
6	Other	0	
98	D.K.	4	0.2%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2618	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE19C: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Five Years - 3rd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=44 /-] [Invalid=4827 /-]

PESE19C: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Five Years - 3rd Mention

Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese19b.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pes19d. All others go to #pes20a.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None	42		95.5%
1	Conservative	0		
2	Liberal	1	2.3%	
3	Ndp	1	2.3%	
4	Reform	0		
5	Bloc quebecois	0		
6	Other	0		
98	D.K.	0		
99	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		4827		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE19D: Which Party Promised to Eliminate the Deficit in Five Years - 4th Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2 /-] [Invalid=4869 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese19c.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None	1		50.0%
1	Conservative	1		50.0%
2	Liberal	0		
3	Ndp	0		
4	Reform	0		
5	Bloc quebecois	0		
6	Other	0		
98	D.K.	0		
99	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		4869		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE20A: Which Party Pomised to Increase Spending on Public Works - 1st Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3336 /-] [Invalid=1535 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you happen to know which party promised to increase spending on public works?
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pese20b. All others go to #pesf1.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	None	9	0.3%	
1	Conservative	69	2.1%	
2	Liberal	1598		47.9%
3	Ndp	138	4.1%	

PESE20A: Which Party Pomised to Increase Spending on Public Works - 1st Mention

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Reform	23	0.7%
5	Bloc quebecois	19	0.6%
6	Other	2	0.1%
97	All parties	4	0.1%
98	D.K.	1474	44.2%
99	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE20B: Which Party Pomised to Increase Spending on Public Works - 2nd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1849 /-] [Invalid=3022 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese19a.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pese20c. All others go to #pesf1.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY SECOND MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	1813	98.1%
1	Conservative	2	0.1%
2	Liberal	9	0.5%
3	Ndp	21	1.1%
4	Reform	1	0.1%
5	Bloc quebecois	0	
6	Other	2	0.1%
98	D.K.	1	0.1%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3022	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE20C: Which Party Pomised to Increase Spending on Public Works - 3rd Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=35 /-] [Invalid=4836 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese19b.
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #pese20d. All others go to #pesf1.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY THIRD MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	32	91.4%
1	Conservative	0	
2	Liberal	0	
3	Ndp	3	8.6%
4	Reform	0	
5	Bloc quebecois	0	
6	Other	0	
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4836	

PESE20C: Which Party Pomised to Increase Spending on Public Works - 3rd Mention

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESE20D: Which Party Pomised to Increase Spending on Public Works - 4th Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=4868 /-]
Universe	Entered only for respondents who selected a party for #pese19c.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER ONLY FOURTH MENTION HERE. DO NOT PROMPT

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	1	33.3%
1	Conservative	1	33.3%
2	Liberal	0	
3	Ndp	0	
4	Reform	1	33.3%
5	Bloc quebecois	0	
6	Other	0	
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		4868	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESF1: Rating> How Do You Feel About Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3316 /-] [Invalid=1555 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Using the 0 to 100 scale again, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive, I would like you to tell me how you feel about the following groups and places. You may use any number from 0 to 100.
Literal question	How do you feel about CANADA? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	19	0.6%
5		1	0.0%
10		7	0.2%
12		1	0.0%
15		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
20		14	0.4%
25		12	0.4%
30		10	0.3%
33		1	0.0%
40		36	1.1%
45		6	0.2%
47		1	0.0%
49		1	0.0%
50		214	6.5%
51		1	0.0%
52		1	0.0%
55		12	0.4%

PESF1: Rating> How Do You Feel About Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60		145	4.4%
65		31	0.9%
68		2	0.1%
70		158	4.8%
72		2	0.1%
75		209	6.3%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
78		4	0.1%
80		426	12.8%
81		1	0.0%
83		3	0.1%
84		1	0.0%
85		123	3.7%
88		1	0.0%
89		3	0.1%
90		450	13.6%
91		1	0.0%
92		3	0.1%
95		121	3.6%
97		3	0.1%
98		11	0.3%
99		67	2.0%
100	Very positive	1210	36.5%
998	D.K.	21	
999	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESF2: Rating> How Do You Feel About Quebec

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3270 /-] [Invalid=1601 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about QUEBEC? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	108	3.3%
2		5	0.2%
5		17	0.5%
8		1	0.0%
10		73	2.2%
15		5	0.2%
20		101	3.1%
23		1	0.0%
25		69	2.1%

PESF2: Rating> How Do You Feel About Quebec

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
26		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		107	3.3%
32		2	0.1%
35		22	0.7%
38		1	0.0%
40		167	5.1%
45		34	1.0%
48		5	0.2%
49		3	0.1%
50		504	15.4%
51		6	0.2%
52		1	0.0%
55		27	0.8%
58		1	0.0%
60		311	9.5%
63		1	0.0%
65		57	1.7%
66		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		222	6.8%
75		269	8.2%
78		2	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		326	10.0%
81		1	0.0%
82		2	0.1%
85		55	1.7%
86		2	0.1%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		218	6.7%
92		2	0.1%
95		51	1.6%
98		8	0.2%
99		13	0.4%
100	Very positive	462	14.1%
998	D.K.	62	
999	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESF4: Rating> How Do You Feel About the United States

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3253 /-] [Invalid=1618 /-]

PESF4: Rating> How Do You Feel About the United States

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about the UNITED STATES? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Very negative	62	▓	1.9%
1		1		0.0%
2		4		0.1%
3		1		0.0%
5		18	▌	0.6%
7		1		0.0%
10		41	▓	1.3%
12		1		0.0%
15		11	▏	0.3%
18		1		0.0%
20		75	▓	2.3%
22		1		0.0%
25		52	▓	1.6%
27		1		0.0%
29		1		0.0%
30		103	▓	3.2%
35		20	▏	0.6%
38		2		0.1%
40		198	▓▓	6.1%
42		2		0.1%
45		39	▓	1.2%
47		1		0.0%
48		3		0.1%
49		4		0.1%
50		799	▓▓▓▓▓▓▓	24.6%
51		5		0.2%
52		5		0.2%
53		3		0.1%
55		45	▓	1.4%
58		2		0.1%
60		485	▓▓▓▓▓	14.9%
62		2		0.1%
65		108	▓	3.3%
66		1		0.0%
67		2		0.1%
68		1		0.0%
70		325	▓▓▓	10.0%
73		1		0.0%
75		326	▓▓▓	10.0%
76		2		0.1%
79		1		0.0%
80		255	▓▓▓	7.8%

PESF4: Rating> How Do You Feel About the United States

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
85		31	1.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		84	2.6%
93		1	0.0%
95		12	0.4%
98		2	0.1%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very positive	109	3.4%
998	D.K.	78	
999	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESF6: Rating> How Do You Feel About Racial Minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3155 /-] [Invalid=1716 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	RACIAL MINORITIES? (How do you feel about racial minorities?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	57	1.8%
3		2	0.1%
5		5	0.2%
8		1	0.0%
10		36	1.1%
15		6	0.2%
20		46	1.5%
25		34	1.1%
26		1	0.0%
27		1	0.0%
30		64	2.0%
35		17	0.5%
40		121	3.8%
45		15	0.5%
46		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		2	0.1%
50		555	17.6%
51		2	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		29	0.9%
57		1	0.0%
60		279	8.8%
62		1	0.0%
63		2	0.1%

PESF6: Rating> How Do You Feel About Racial Minorities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
64		2	0.1%
65		60	1.9%
67		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		334	10.6%
72		1	0.0%
75		322	10.2%
78		1	0.0%
80		381	12.1%
85		60	1.9%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		241	7.6%
91		1	0.0%
95		38	1.2%
98		3	0.1%
99		9	0.3%
100	Very positive	417	13.2%
998	D.K.	147	
999	Refused	38	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESF7: Rating> How Do You Feel About Aboriginal Peoples

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3227 /-] [Invalid=1644 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	ABORIGINAL PEOPLES? (How do you feel about Aboriginal Peoples?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: If required, Aboriginal People are Indians, Inuit (Eskimo) and Metis.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	91	2.8%
1		2	0.1%
2		4	0.1%
3		2	0.1%
5		8	0.2%
7		1	0.0%
10		62	1.9%
15		9	0.3%
20		65	2.0%
25		50	1.5%
29		1	0.0%
30		102	3.2%
35		18	0.6%
40		142	4.4%

# **PESF7: Rating> How Do You Feel About Aboriginal Peoples**			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
42		4	0.1%
45		27	0.8%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		2	0.1%
50		512	15.9%
51		4	0.1%
52		2	0.1%
53		1	0.0%
55		28	0.9%
57		1	0.0%
60		318	9.9%
63		2	0.1%
64		1	0.0%
65		55	1.7%
67		1	0.0%
70		289	9.0%
73		1	0.0%
75		296	9.2%
77		1	0.0%
78		3	0.1%
79		2	0.1%
80		383	11.9%
85		66	2.0%
90		216	6.7%
95		35	1.1%
98		4	0.1%
99		7	0.2%
100	Very positive	407	12.6%
998	D.K.	84	
999	Refused	29	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **PESG1A: Describe> Campbell> Arrogant**	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2994 /-] [Invalid=1877 /-]
Universe	Respondents who felt that they were NOT AT ALL informed or answered don't know or refused to ALL the leader questions skipped the next section on leader traits and were asked Section H. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about her.
Pre-question	Next, please tell me how well the following words fit each leader.
Literal question	(Now what about..) KIM CAMPBELL. Does "ARROGANT" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14. Code at each leader item ensured that respondents who had previously indicated they were not at all informed about the leader, or had answered don't know or refused to that leader item, were not asked questions about that particular leader.

PESG1A: Describe> Campbell> Arrogant

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	801	26.8%
3	Fairly well	1070	35.7%
5	Not very well	773	25.8%
7	Not at all	350	11.7%
8	D.K.	77	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		1784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG1B: Describe> Campbell> Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2956 /-] [Invalid=1915 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about her.
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	230	7.8%
3	Fairly well	1238	41.9%
5	Not very well	1070	36.2%
7	Not at all	418	14.1%
8	D.K.	111	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		1784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG1C: Describe> Campbell> Strong Leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2993 /-] [Invalid=1878 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about her.
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	188	6.3%
3	Fairly well	876	29.3%
5	Not very well	1375	45.9%
7	Not at all	554	18.5%
8	D.K.	75	
9	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		1784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG1D: Describe> Campbell> Aggressive

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]

PESG1D: Describe> Campbell> Aggressive

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2999 /-] [Invalid=1872 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Kim Campbell, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about her.		
Literal question	"AGGRESSIVE" (Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe Kim Campbell: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	699	23.3%
3	Fairly well	1365	45.5%
5	Not very well	667	22.2%
7	Not at all	268	8.9%
8	D.K.	73	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		1784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG2A: Describe> Chretien> Arrogant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3099 /-] [Invalid=1772 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.		
Literal question	(Now what about..) JEAN CHRETIEN. Does "ARROGANT" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	371	12.0%
3	Fairly well	919	29.7%
5	Not very well	1103	35.6%
7	Not at all	706	22.8%
8	D.K.	84	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		1676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG2B: Describe> Chretien> Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3047 /-] [Invalid=1824 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.		
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	626	20.5%
3	Fairly well	1738	57.0%
5	Not very well	520	17.1%
7	Not at all	163	5.3%

PESG2B: Describe> Chretien> Trustworthy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	126	
9	Refused	22	
Sysmiss		1676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG2C: Describe> Chretien> Strong Leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3076 /-] [Invalid=1795 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Jean Chretien: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	989	32.2%
3	Fairly well	1818	59.1%
5	Not very well	233	7.6%
7	Not at all	36	1.2%
8	D.K.	107	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		1676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG2D: Describe> Chretien> Aggressive

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3106 /-] [Invalid=1765 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Jean Chretien, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Literal question	"AGGRESSIVE" (Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe JEAN CHRETIEN: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	744	24.0%
3	Fairly well	1669	53.7%
5	Not very well	500	16.1%
7	Not at all	193	6.2%
8	D.K.	78	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG3A: Describe> McLaughlin> Arrogant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2534 /-] [Invalid=2337 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about her.

PESG3A: Describe> McLaughlin> Arrogant

Literal question	(Now what about..) AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN. Does "ARROGANT" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Verywell	150	5.9%
3	Fairly well	440	17.4%
5	Not very well	1107	43.7%
7	Not at all	837	33.0%
8	D.K.	143	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		2179	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG3B: Describe> McLaughlin> Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2488 /-] [Invalid=2383 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about her.
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY WELL, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Verywell	472	19.0%
3	Fairly well	1407	56.6%
5	Not very well	478	19.2%
7	Not at all	131	5.3%
8	D.K.	183	
9	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		2179	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG3C: Describe> McLaughlin> Strong Leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2528 /-] [Invalid=2343 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about her.
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Audrey McLaughlin: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Verywell	189	7.5%
3	Fairly well	811	32.1%
5	Not very well	1225	48.5%
7	Not at all	303	12.0%
8	D.K.	148	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		2179	

PESG3C: Describe> McLaughlin> Strong Leadership

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG3D: Describe> McLaughlin> Aggressive

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2538 /-] [Invalid=2333 /-]
Universe	Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Audrey McLaughlin, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about her.
Literal question	"AGRESSIVE" (Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe AUDREY MCLAUGHLIN: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	259	10.2%
3	Fairly well	916	36.1%
5	Not very well	996	39.2%
7	Not at all	367	14.5%
8	D.K.	140	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		2179	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG4A: Describe> Manning> Arrogant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2000 /-] [Invalid=2871 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Literal question	(Now what about..) PRESTON MANNING. Does "ARROGANT" describe Preston Manning: VERY well,FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	345	17.2%
3	Fairly well	636	31.8%
5	Not very well	704	35.2%
7	Not at all	315	15.8%
8	D.K.	118	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2741	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG4B: Describe> Manning> Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1923 /-] [Invalid=2948 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	338	17.6%

PESG4B: Describe> Manning> Trustworthy

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Fairly well	957	49.8%
5	Not very well	487	25.3%
7	Not at all	141	7.3%
8	D.K.	190	
9	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		2741	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG4C: Describe> Manning> Strong Leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1992 /-] [Invalid=2879 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Preston Manning: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	505	25.4%
3	Fairly well	1015	51.0%
5	Not very well	409	20.5%
7	Not at all	63	3.2%
8	D.K.	122	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		2741	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG4D: Describe> Manning> Aggressive

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2002 /-] [Invalid=2869 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who do not reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Preston Manning, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Literal question	"AGGRESSIVE" (Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe PRESTON MANNING: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	549	27.4%
3	Fairly well	990	49.5%
5	Not very well	391	19.5%
7	Not at all	72	3.6%
8	D.K.	118	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		2741	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG5A: Describe> Bouchard> Arrogant

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=772 /-] [Invalid=4099 /-]

PESG5A: Describe> Bouchard> Arrogant

Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Literal question	(Now what about..) LUCIEN BOUCHARD. Does "ARROGANT" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	119	15.4%
3	Fairly well	252	32.6%
5	Not very well	198	25.6%
7	Not at all	203	26.3%
8	D.K.	32	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4066	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG5B: Describe> Bouchard> Trustworthy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=767 /-] [Invalid=4104 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Literal question	"TRUSTWORTHY?" (Does "TRUSTWORTHY" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	171	22.3%
3	Fairly well	402	52.4%
5	Not very well	116	15.1%
7	Not at all	78	10.2%
8	D.K.	37	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4066	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG5C: Describe> Bouchard> Strong Leadership

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=773 /-] [Invalid=4098 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP?" (Does "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" describe Lucien Bouchard: VERY well, FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	282	36.5%
3	Fairly well	420	54.3%
5	Not very well	47	6.1%
7	Not at all	24	3.1%
8	D.K.	31	

PESG5C: Describe> Bouchard> Strong Leadership

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4066	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESG5D: Describe> Bouchard> Aggressive

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=769 /-] [Invalid=4102 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Literal question	"AGGRESSIVE" (Does "AGGRESSIVE" describe LUCIEN BOUCHARD: VERY well,FAIRLY well, NOT VERY well, or NOT AT ALL?)
Notes	The order of delivery of the leaders was random, based on a value stored in variable pesrn14.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Verywell	152	19.8%
3	Fairly well	368	47.9%
5	Not very well	127	16.5%
7	Not at all	122	15.9%
8	D.K.	34	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		4066	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESH1: Rating> How Do You Feel About Farmers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3270 /-] [Invalid=1601 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec. Respondents who were NOT AT ALL informed about Lucien Bouchard, or had answered DON'T KNOW or REFUSED, were not asked questions about him.
Pre-question	Using the 0 to 100 scale again, please tell me how you feel about each of the following groups. You may use any number from 0 to 100.
Literal question	How do you feel about FARMERS? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	5	0.2%
2		1	0.0%
5		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
17		1	0.0%
20		9	0.3%
24		1	0.0%
25		4	0.1%
30		7	0.2%
35		4	0.1%
40		30	0.9%
45		4	0.1%
50		206	6.3%
55		13	0.4%

PESH1: Rating> How Do You Feel About Farmers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
60		245	7.5%
63		3	0.1%
65		56	1.7%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		306	9.4%
72		3	0.1%
73		2	0.1%
75		344	10.5%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		665	20.3%
82		1	0.0%
85		108	3.3%
87		1	0.0%
88		2	0.1%
90		415	12.7%
93		2	0.1%
95		90	2.8%
96		2	0.1%
98		5	0.2%
99		14	0.4%
100	Very positive	713	21.8%
998	D.K.	56	
999	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESH2: Rating> How Do You Feel About People on Welfare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3217 /-] [Invalid=1654 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How do you feel about PEOPLE ON WELFARE? (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	132	4.1%
1		7	0.2%
2		5	0.2%
3		2	0.1%
5		24	0.7%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
10		102	3.2%
12		1	0.0%

PESH2: Rating> How Do You Feel About People on Welfare

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15		9	0.3%
20		129	4.0%
22		2	0.1%
25		75	2.3%
30		207	6.4%
32		1	0.0%
35		43	1.3%
40		328	10.2%
42		1	0.0%
45		37	1.2%
48		1	0.0%
49		6	0.2%
50		817	25.4%
51		6	0.2%
52		3	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		62	1.9%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		390	12.1%
61		1	0.0%
62		2	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
65		78	2.4%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		205	6.4%
71		1	0.0%
75		171	5.3%
80		167	5.2%
82		1	0.0%
85		16	0.5%
87		1	0.0%
90		59	1.8%
95		9	0.3%
96		1	0.0%
97		2	0.1%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very positive	101	3.1%
998	D.K.	85	
999	Refused	38	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESH3: Rating> How Do You Feel About Small Business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3296 /-] [Invalid=1575 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	SMALL BUSINESS? (How do you feel about small business?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	6	0.2%
2		1	0.0%
5		4	0.1%
10		3	0.1%
15		2	0.1%
20		5	0.2%
25		8	0.2%
30		7	0.2%
36		1	0.0%
40		29	0.9%
45		10	0.3%
50		162	4.9%
55		12	0.4%
60		223	6.8%
62		2	0.1%
63		2	0.1%
65		50	1.5%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
70		312	9.5%
72		5	0.2%
74		3	0.1%
75		410	12.4%
77		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		705	21.4%
82		1	0.0%
85		124	3.8%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		462	14.0%
93		1	0.0%
95		86	2.6%
97		5	0.2%
98		4	0.1%
99		12	0.4%
100	Very positive	632	19.2%
998	D.K.	35	
999	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1531	

PESH3: Rating> How Do You Feel About Small Business

# **PESH3: Rating> How Do You Feel About Small Business**			

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **PESH4: Rating> How Do You Feel About Labour Unions**			

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3274 /-] [Invalid=1597 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	LABOUR UNIONS? (How do you feel about labour unions?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	178	5.4%
1		9	0.3%
2		14	0.4%
3		2	0.1%
5		20	0.6%
7		1	0.0%
10		115	3.5%
12		1	0.0%
15		13	0.4%
20		151	4.6%
24		1	0.0%
25		92	2.8%
29		1	0.0%
30		165	5.0%
35		34	1.0%
40		291	8.9%
41		1	0.0%
42		1	0.0%
45		46	1.4%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		7	0.2%
50		700	21.4%
51		8	0.2%
52		4	0.1%
55		63	1.9%
57		1	0.0%
58		3	0.1%
60		398	12.2%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		99	3.0%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%

PESH4: Rating> How Do You Feel About Labour Unions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70		236	7.2%
71		1	0.0%
72		3	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
75		196	6.0%
80		183	5.6%
82		1	0.0%
85		31	0.9%
87		1	0.0%
90		78	2.4%
93		2	0.1%
95		16	0.5%
96		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
100	Very positive	94	2.9%
998	D.K.	55	
999	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESH5: Rating> How Do You Feel About Old People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3300 /-] [Invalid=1571 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	OLD PEOPLE? (How do you feel about old people?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	4	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
20		3	0.1%
30		6	0.2%
35		3	0.1%
40		14	0.4%
45		5	0.2%
46		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
50		113	3.4%
55		10	0.3%
60		148	4.5%
63		1	0.0%
65		39	1.2%
67		1	0.0%
68		3	0.1%

PESH5: Rating> How Do You Feel About Old People

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70		280	8.5%
72		2	0.1%
74		2	0.1%
75		324	9.8%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		633	19.2%
83		1	0.0%
85		112	3.4%
86		3	0.1%
88		1	0.0%
90		488	14.8%
95		121	3.7%
96		1	0.0%
98		9	0.3%
99		18	0.5%
100	Very positive	948	28.7%
998	D.K.	30	
999	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESH6: Rating> How Do You Feel About Big Business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3298 /-] [Invalid=1573 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	BIG BUSINESS? (How do you feel about big business?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	36	1.1%
1		2	0.1%
2		3	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		9	0.3%
8		2	0.1%
10		37	1.1%
12		1	0.0%
15		7	0.2%
19		1	0.0%
20		58	1.8%
25		44	1.3%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%

PESH6: Rating> How Do You Feel About Big Business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30		104	3.2%
33		1	0.0%
35		15	0.5%
39		1	0.0%
40		170	5.2%
42		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		41	1.2%
48		1	0.0%
49		4	0.1%
50		579	17.6%
51		4	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
53		1	0.0%
55		57	1.7%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		525	15.9%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
65		118	3.6%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		422	12.8%
72		1	0.0%
73		2	0.1%
75		306	9.3%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		348	10.6%
82		1	0.0%
85		56	1.7%
86		1	0.0%
88		2	0.1%
89		1	0.0%
90		117	3.5%
91		1	0.0%
95		17	0.5%
97		1	0.0%
98		2	0.1%
99		3	0.1%
100	Very positive	177	5.4%

PESH6: Rating> How Do You Feel About Big Business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
998	D.K.	31	
999	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESH7: Rating> How Do You Feel About Feminists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3202 /-] [Invalid=1669 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	FEMINISTS? (How do you feel about feminists?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	131	4.1%
1		3	0.1%
2		11	0.3%
3		6	0.2%
4		2	0.1%
5		19	0.6%
10		94	2.9%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
15		15	0.5%
17		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		108	3.4%
25		74	2.3%
28		1	0.0%
30		153	4.8%
31		1	0.0%
32		1	0.0%
35		32	1.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		249	7.8%
42		1	0.0%
45		44	1.4%
48		2	0.1%
49		8	0.2%
50		745	23.3%
51		3	0.1%
55		65	2.0%
56		1	0.0%
57		2	0.1%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		390	12.2%

PESH7: Rating> How Do You Feel About Feminists

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
62		1	0.0%
65		91	2.8%
66		2	0.1%
68		2	0.1%
70		242	7.6%
72		1	0.0%
75		208	6.5%
77		1	0.0%
80		189	5.9%
81		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		38	1.2%
90		82	2.6%
91		1	0.0%
95		21	0.7%
100	Very positive	153	4.8%
998	D.K.	118	
999	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESH8: Rating> How Do You Feel About the Police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3312 /-] [Invalid=1559 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	THE POLICE? (How do you feel about the police?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	27	0.8%
1		1	0.0%
2		3	0.1%
3		1	0.0%
5		4	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		11	0.3%
15		3	0.1%
20		26	0.8%
25		9	0.3%
30		45	1.4%
35		6	0.2%
40		57	1.7%
42		1	0.0%
45		20	0.6%
49		3	0.1%
50		347	10.5%

PESH8: Rating> How Do You Feel About the Police

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
51		5	0.2%
52		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		37	1.1%
58		1	0.0%
60		308	9.3%
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		74	2.2%
66		2	0.1%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		362	10.9%
75		356	10.7%
76		2	0.1%
78		4	0.1%
80		543	16.4%
82		1	0.0%
85		115	3.5%
87		1	0.0%
90		345	10.4%
95		80	2.4%
97		1	0.0%
98		3	0.1%
99		11	0.3%
100	Very positive	487	14.7%
998	D.K.	22	
999	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESH9: Rating> How Do You Feel About Homosexuals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3209 /-] [Invalid=1662 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	HOMOSEXUALS? (How do you feel about homosexuals?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	496	15.5%
1		19	0.6%
2		10	0.3%
3		6	0.2%
4		2	0.1%

PESH9: Rating> How Do You Feel About Homosexuals

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		35	1.1%
8		1	0.0%
10		143	4.5%
15		15	0.5%
20		154	4.8%
22		2	0.1%
25		48	1.5%
29		1	0.0%
30		168	5.2%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		22	0.7%
39		1	0.0%
40		186	5.8%
43		1	0.0%
45		31	1.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		2	0.1%
50		648	20.2%
51		3	0.1%
52		3	0.1%
55		34	1.1%
58		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		262	8.2%
63		2	0.1%
65		48	1.5%
66		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		205	6.4%
72		1	0.0%
75		153	4.8%
80		187	5.8%
85		26	0.8%
90		87	2.7%
95		17	0.5%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very positive	178	5.5%
998	D.K.	89	
999	Refused	42	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESH10: Rating> How Do You Feel About Anti-Abortion Groups

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3227 /-] [Invalid=1644 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	ANTI ABORTION GROUPS? (How do you feel about anti abortion groups?) (The scale runs from 0 to 100, where 0 means very negative and 100 means very positive?)
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: IF ASKED, anti means against and you can CONFIRM that pro life is anti abortion BUT never volunteer pro life.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very negative	430	13.3%
1		11	0.3%
2		19	0.6%
3		2	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		58	1.8%
9		2	0.1%
10		187	5.8%
15		23	0.7%
16		2	0.1%
17		1	0.0%
20		214	6.6%
25		96	3.0%
30		203	6.3%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		33	1.0%
40		259	8.0%
42		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		49	1.5%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		6	0.2%
50		669	20.7%
51		5	0.2%
52		1	0.0%
55		35	1.1%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		207	6.4%
61		1	0.0%
62		1	0.0%
65		35	1.1%
68		3	0.1%
70		139	4.3%
75		91	2.8%

PESH10: Rating> How Do You Feel About Anti-Abortion Groups

PESH10: Rating> How Do You Feel About Anti-Abortion Groups

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80		134	4.2%
84		1	0.0%
85		26	0.8%
89		1	0.0%
90		78	2.4%
95		26	0.8%
97		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		6	0.2%
100	Very positive	160	5.0%
998	D.K.	78	
999	Refused	35	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESL1: Federal Party Identification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3244 /-] [Invalid=1627 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	INTERVIEWER: The next question is a response time item. You will be asked to read the question, then enter c as you finish reading the last word of the question. When the respondent gives you a substantive response, enter c again. After entering the second c the response categories will be displayed.
Literal question	Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, Bloc Québécois or none of these? (If respondent resides in the province of Quebec) /Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, Reform or none of these? (All others)
Post-question	If respondent selects a party provided, go to #pesl2. If respondent answers "none" or "don't know", go to #pesl3. All others go to #pesl5.
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> AS YOU FINISH READING THE LAST WORD OF THE QUESTION!INTERVIEWER: ENTER <c> WHEN RESPONDENT BEGINS TO ANSWER.
Notes	Item #pesch56 (variable PESL1) is part of an experiment which measured time elapsed between the end of the question read by the interviewer and the beginning of the respondent's answer. Item pesch54 contained interviewer instructions on the correct procedure for recording the elapsed time. The DOS version of CASES records time in hundredths of a second. Code at this item customized the question text to read either Bloc Quebecois in Quebec, or Reform Party in the rest of Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	1050	32.4%
2	Conservative	528	16.3%
3	Ndp	251	7.7%
4	Reform	297	9.2%
5	Bloc quebecois	311	9.6%
6	Other	7	0.2%
97	None of these	800	24.7%
98	D.K.	39	
99	Refused	57	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **PESL2: How Strongly Do You Feel**	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2430 /-] [Invalid=2441 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who selected a party provided for #pesl1.
Literal question	How strongly Liberal do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly? (If respondent answered "Liberal" for #pesl1) / How strongly Conservative do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly? (If respondent answered "Conservative" for #pesl1)/ How strongly NDP do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly? (If respondent answered "NDP" for #pesl1) / How strongly Reform do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly? (If respondent answered "Reform" for #pesl1)/ How strongly Bloc Québécois do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly? (If respondent answered "Bloc Québécois" for #pesl1)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very strongly	602		24.8%
3	Fairly	1335		54.9%
5	Not very	493		20.3%
8	D.K.	5		
9	Refused	2		
Sysmiss		2434		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESL3: Are You a Little Closer to One Federal Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=823 /-] [Invalid=4048 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "none" or "don't know" for #pesl1.
Literal question	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #pesl4. All others go to #pesl5.

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Yes		350	42.5%
5	No		473	57.5%
8	D.K.		13	
9	Refused		3	
Sysmiss			4032	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESL4: Which Federal Party Are You Closer To

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=338 /-] [Invalid=4533 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #pesl3.
Literal question	Which party is that?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	150	44.4%
2	Conservative	53	15.7%
3	Ndp	50	14.8%
4	Reform	53	15.7%
5	Bloc quebecois	24	7.1%
6	Other	5	1.5%
7	None	3	0.9%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		4521	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESL5: Satisfaction with the Way Democracy Works in Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3275 /-] [Invalid=1596 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	On the whole, are you VERY SATISFIED, FAIRLY SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED or NOT SATISFIED AT ALL with the way democracy works in Canada?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	332	10.1%
3	Fairly satisfied	1874	57.2%
5	Not very satisfied	854	26.1%
7	Not satisfied at all	215	6.6%
8	D.K.	57	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESAGE: Respondent's Year of Birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1900-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3330 /-] [Invalid=1541 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Please tell me in what year were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1900		1	0.0%
1901		2	0.1%
1902		2	0.1%
1903		1	0.0%
1904		1	0.0%
1905		3	0.1%
1907		2	0.1%
1908		3	0.1%
1909		6	0.2%
1910		8	0.2%
1911		8	0.2%
1912		13	0.4%
1913		11	0.3%
1914		12	0.4%
1915		8	0.2%
1916		8	0.2%
1917		11	0.3%
1918		21	0.6%
1919		17	0.5%
1920		32	1.0%
1921		23	0.7%
1922		21	0.6%
1923		29	0.9%
1924		22	0.7%
1925		34	1.0%
1926		31	0.9%
1927		19	0.6%
1928		28	0.8%
1929		35	1.1%
1930		36	1.1%
1931		36	1.1%
1932		29	0.9%
1933		34	1.0%
1934		27	0.8%
1935		32	1.0%
1936		28	0.8%
1937		43	1.3%
1938		41	1.2%
1939		37	1.1%
1940		44	1.3%

PESAGE: Respondent's Year of Birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1941		37	1.1%
1942		59	1.8%
1943		61	1.8%
1944		57	1.7%
1945		53	1.6%
1946		69	2.1%
1947		73	2.2%
1948		81	2.4%
1949		49	1.5%
1950		80	2.4%
1951		74	2.2%
1952		89	2.7%
1953		98	2.9%
1954		95	2.9%
1955		97	2.9%
1956		98	2.9%
1957		110	3.3%
1958		112	3.4%
1959		90	2.7%
1960		103	3.1%
1961		96	2.9%
1962		98	2.9%
1963		63	1.9%
1964		93	2.8%
1965		67	2.0%
1966		52	1.6%
1967		56	1.7%
1968		57	1.7%
1969		62	1.9%
1970		76	2.3%
1971		54	1.6%
1972		49	1.5%
1973		55	1.7%
1974		49	1.5%
1975		19	0.6%
9997	Other	0	
9998	D.K.	0	
9999	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESINTER: Interest of Questions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3332 /-] [Invalid=1539 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESINTER: Interest of Questions

| | Literal question | Would you say these questions have been VERY interesting, SOMEWHAT interesting or NOT VERY interesting? |

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very interesting	1182	35.5%
3	Somewhat	1891	56.8%
5	Not very	259	7.8%
8	D.K.	4	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESLENG: Respondent's Perceived Interview Length

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3256 /-] [Invalid=1615 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	And how many minutes do you think it took to complete this questionnaire?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2	0.1%
3		5	0.2%
4		4	0.1%
5		84	2.6%
6		19	0.6%
7		46	1.4%
8		88	2.7%
9		42	1.3%
10		881	27.1%
11		16	0.5%
12		206	6.3%
13		59	1.8%
14		40	1.2%
15		944	29.0%
16		22	0.7%
17		30	0.9%
18		36	1.1%
19		8	0.2%
20		426	13.1%
21		2	0.1%
22		21	0.6%
23		5	0.2%
24		5	0.2%
25		81	2.5%
26		2	0.1%
27		2	0.1%
30		135	4.1%
31		1	0.0%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%

PESLENG: Respondent's Perceived Interview Length

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35		6	0.2%
36		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		9	0.3%
45		13	0.4%
50		1	0.0%
60		10	0.3%
97		1	0.0%
98	D.K.	83	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PESPOST: Postal Code <Forward Sortation Area>

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2959 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

PESKNOW: Interviewer Rating> Level of Knowledge

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2886 /-] [Invalid=1985 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Veryhigh	151	5.2%
2	Fairly high	645	22.3%
3	Average	1426	49.4%
4	Fairly low	506	17.5%
5	Very low	158	5.5%
8	D.K.	454	
Sysmiss		1531	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSPANEL: CPS RDD Respondent or Panel Respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2209 /-] [Invalid=2662 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cps rdd	1322	59.8%
2	Panel	887	40.2%
Sysmiss		2662	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA1: We Have Gone Too Far in Pushing Equal Rights in this Country

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 /-] [Invalid=2801 /-]
Universe	All respondents

MBSA1: We Have Gone Too Far in Pushing Equal Rights in this Country

Literal question	We have gone too far in pushing equal rights in this country.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	305	14.7%
2	Agree	693	33.5%
3	Disagree	769	37.1%
4	Stronglydisagree	303	14.6%
8	No opinion	120	
Sysmiss		2681	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA2: People Who Do Not Get Ahead Should Blame Themselves Not the System

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2142 /-] [Invalid=2729 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Most people who don't get ahead should not blame the system; they have only themselves to blame.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	236	11.0%
2	Agree	872	40.7%
3	Disagree	835	39.0%
4	Stronglydisagree	199	9.3%
8	No opinion	52	
Sysmiss		2677	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA3: A Big Problem in Canada is Not Giving Everyone an Equal Chance

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2143 /-] [Invalid=2728 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	One of the big problems in this country is that we don't give everyone an equal chance

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	203	9.5%
2	Agree	683	31.9%
3	Disagree	975	45.5%
4	Stronglydisagree	282	13.2%
8	No opinion	48	
Sysmiss		2680	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA4: People Who Work Hard Get What They Want

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2174 /-] [Invalid=2697 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If people work hard they almost always get what they want.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	325	14.9%
2	Agree	1040	47.8%

MBSA4: People Who Work Hard Get What They Want

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Disagree	678	31.2%
4	Stronglydisagree	131	6.0%
8	No opinion	31	
Sysmiss		2666	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA5: It is Not a Big Problem if Some Have More of a Chance in Life

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2081 /-] [Invalid=2790 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	91	4.4%
2	Agree	760	36.5%
3	Disagree	919	44.2%
4	Stronglydisagree	311	14.9%
8	No opinion	112	
Sysmiss		2678	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA6: Lay off Women Whose Husbands Have Jobs First

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2148 /-] [Invalid=2723 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If a company has to lay off some of its employees, the first workers to be laid off should be women whose husbands have jobs.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	128	6.0%
2	Agree	312	14.5%
3	Disagree	808	37.6%
4	Stronglydisagree	900	41.9%
8	No opinion	57	
Sysmiss		2666	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA7: Too Much Emphasis is Placed on Individual Freedom

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1976 /-] [Invalid=2895 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In our society today, too much emphasis is placed on individual freedom at the expense of the community's interest.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	309	15.6%
2	Agree	923	46.7%
3	Disagree	621	31.4%
4	Stronglydisagree	123	6.2%

MBSA7: Too Much Emphasis is Placed on Individual Freedom

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	No opinion	203	
Sysmiss		2692	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA8: The Government Must Do More to Reduce Income Gap Between Rich and Poor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2132 /-] [Invalid=2739 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The government must do more to reduce the income gap between rich and poor Canadians

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	642	30.1%
2	Agree	861	40.4%
3	Disagree	511	24.0%
4	Stronglydisagree	118	5.5%
8	No opinion	71	
Sysmiss		2668	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA9: The Use of Strikes Ends Up Hurting Workers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2092 /-] [Invalid=2779 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The use of strikes to improve wages and working conditions just ends up hurting the workers.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	407	19.5%
2	Agree	860	41.1%
3	Disagree	681	32.6%
4	Stronglydisagree	144	6.9%
8	No opinion	102	
Sysmiss		2677	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA10: Discrimination Makes it Difficult for Women to Get Jobs Equal to Their Abilities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2132 /-] [Invalid=2739 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Discrimination makes it extremely difficult for women to get jobs equal to their abilities.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	324	15.2%
2	Agree	1013	47.5%
3	Disagree	704	33.0%
4	Stronglydisagree	91	4.3%
8	No opinion	67	
Sysmiss		2672	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA11: Under the Private Enterprise System Working People Do Not Get Their Fair Share of What They Produce

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1900 /-] [Invalid=2971 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Under the private enterprise system, working people do not get their fair share of what they produce.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	211	11.1%
2	Agree	719	37.8%
3	Disagree	819	43.1%
4	Stronglydisagree	151	7.9%
8	No opinion	285	
Sysmiss		2686	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA12: Protecting the Environment is More Important Than Creating Jobs

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1965 /-] [Invalid=2906 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	158	8.0%
2	Agree	710	36.1%
3	Disagree	913	46.5%
4	Stronglydisagree	184	9.4%
8	No opinion	208	
Sysmiss		2698	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA13: When Businesses Are Allowed to Make as Much Money as They Can, Everyone Profits

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2077 /-] [Invalid=2794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	When businesses are allowed to make as much money as they can, everyone profits in the long run, including the poor.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	114	5.5%
2	Agree	545	26.2%
3	Disagree	928	44.7%
4	Stronglydisagree	490	23.6%
8	No opinion	113	
Sysmiss		2681	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA14: The Welfare State Makes People Less Willing to Look After Themselves

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2139 /-] [Invalid=2732 /-]
Universe	All respondents

MBSA14: The Welfare State Makes People Less Willing to Look After Themselves

Literal question	The welfare state makes people nowadays less willing to look after themselves.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	666	31.1%
2	Agree	1042	48.7%
3	Disagree	342	16.0%
4	Stronglydisagree	89	4.2%
8	No opinion	59	
Sysmiss		2673	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA15: Pornographic Films and Magazines Should be Banned

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2039 /-] [Invalid=2832 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Pornographic films and magazines should be banned.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	640	31.4%
2	Agree	498	24.4%
3	Disagree	712	34.9%
4	Stronglydisagree	189	9.3%
8	No opinion	161	
Sysmiss		2671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA16: Public Money Should Not be Used to Support Any Religious Schools

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1982 /-] [Invalid=2889 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Public money should not be used to support any religious schools.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	427	21.5%
2	Agree	676	34.1%
3	Disagree	699	35.3%
4	Stronglydisagree	180	9.1%
8	No opinion	210	
Sysmiss		2679	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA17: People Do Not Have Enough Respect for Traditional Values

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2099 /-] [Invalid=2772 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	People today don't have enough respect for traditional values.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	666	31.7%
2	Agree	1105	52.6%

MBSA17: People Do Not Have Enough Respect for Traditional Values

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Disagree	300	14.3%
4	Stronglydisagree	28	1.3%
8	No opinion	101	
Sysmiss		2671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA18: The Bible is the Actual Word of God and is to be Taken Literally

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1932 /-] [Invalid=2939 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally word for word.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	182	9.4%
2	Agree	292	15.1%
3	Disagree	812	42.0%
4	Stronglydisagree	646	33.4%
8	No opinion	254	
Sysmiss		2685	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSA19: We Should Look After Canadians Born in This Country First and Others Second

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2103 /-] [Invalid=2768 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We should look after Canadians born in this country first and others second.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	414	19.7%
2	Agree	607	28.9%
3	Disagree	776	36.9%
4	Stronglydisagree	306	14.6%
8	No opinion	93	
Sysmiss		2675	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSB1: The Fact That Some Regions in Canada are Poorer:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1959 /-] [Invalid=2912 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	In this section we are interested in how you feel about the way our economy works. Please circle the number to the right of the answer that completes each sentence in a way that best reflects your opinion.
Literal question	The fact that some regions in Canada are poorer than others: Should be accepted as the normal result of market forces, means that governments should give incentives for firms to create jobs in the poorer regions, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Acceptedasnormal	567	28.9%
2	Shldgiveincentives	1392	71.1%
8	Undecided	227	

# MBSB1: The Fact That Some Regions in Canada are Poorer:			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
Sysmiss		2685	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# MBSB2: A Person's Wage Should Depend On:	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1874 /-] [Invalid=2997 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	A person's wages should depend on: How much he or she needs to live decently, the importance of the job, or undecided.

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Howmuch needs	511	27.3%
2	Importanceof job	1363	72.7%
8	Undecided	293	
Sysmiss		2704	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# MBSB3: If the System of Private Enterprise Were Abolished:	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1679 /-] [Invalid=3192 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If the system of private enterprise were abolished: Most people would work hard anyway, very few people would do their best, or undecided.

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	Workhard anyway	510	30.4%
2	Few do theirbest	1169	69.6%
8	Undecided	505	
Sysmiss		2687	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# MBSB4: The Government Should <Standard of Living>:	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1911 /-] [Invalid=2960 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The government should: See to it that everyone has a decent standard of living, should leave people to get ahead on their own, or undecided.

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
1	See everyone has	1354	70.9%
2	Getahead on own	557	29.1%
8	Undecided	267	
Sysmiss		2693	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# MBSB5: The Government Should <Environment>:	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1684 /-] [Invalid=3187 /-]
Universe	All respondents

MBSB5: The Government Should <Environment>:

Literal question	The government should: Do more to protect the environment, even if this means higher taxes, keep taxes from going up, even if this means doing less for the environment, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Protect=hightax	990	58.8%
2	Sametax,do less	694	41.2%
8	Undecided	499	
Sysmiss		2688	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSB6: Workers and Management:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1985 /-] [Invalid=2886 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Workers and management: Have conflicting interests and are natural enemies, share the same interests in the long run, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Natural enemies	254	12.8%
2	Sharesameinterests	1731	87.2%
8	Undecided	204	
Sysmiss		2682	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSB7: Competition:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1878 /-] [Invalid=2993 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Competition: Leads to excellence, brings out the worst in human nature, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Leadsto excellence	1494	79.6%
2	Bringsout worst	384	20.4%
8	Undecided	273	
Sysmiss		2720	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSB8: When it Comes to Job Hiring:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2004 /-] [Invalid=2867 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	When it comes to job hiring: Quotas should be used to increase the number of women in good jobs, hiring should be based strictly on merit, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quotasupforwomen	169	8.4%
2	Based on merit	1835	91.6%
8	Undecided	194	
Sysmiss		2673	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **MBSB9: Closer to Your View <People on Welfare>:**				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2018 /-] [Invalid=2853 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: People on welfare who are physically able should be required to work, requiring people on welfare to work will end up taking jobs away from other people, or undecided.			

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
1	Able to shldwork	1936		95.9%
2	Takejobsfromothers	82	4.1%	
8	Undecided	169		
Sysmiss		2684		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **MBSB10: Resolving Conflict:**				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2101 /-] [Invalid=2770 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	When it comes to resolving conflicts, which is more important: Searching for common ground and reaching a compromise, Debating the pros and cons and deciding who is right, or undecided.			

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
1	Common ground	1826		86.9%
2	Debating pro/cons	275	13.1%	
8	Undecided	95		
Sysmiss		2675		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **MBSC1A: Influence Has> Labour Unions**				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=2753 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".			
Literal question	Labour unions			

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**	
0	No influence	0		
1	Very little	38	1.8%	
2		69	3.3%	
3		162	7.6%	
4		324	15.3%	
5		496		23.4%
6		570		26.9%
7	Very influential	459		21.7%
Sysmiss		2753		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **MBSC1B: Influence Should Have> Labour Unions**	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]

MBSC1B: Influence Should Have> Labour Unions

|			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2073 /-] [Invalid=2798 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".		
Literal question	Labour unions		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	1	0.0%
1	Very little	175	8.4%
2		282	13.6%
3		440	21.2%
4		658	31.7%
5		306	14.8%
6		102	4.9%
7	Very influential	109	5.3%
Sysmiss		2798	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC2A: Influence Has> Farmers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2085 /-] [Invalid=2786 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".		
Literal question	Farmers		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	1	0.0%
1	Very little	279	13.4%
2		489	23.5%
3		488	23.4%
4		399	19.1%
5		261	12.5%
6		104	5.0%
7	Very influential	64	3.1%
Sysmiss		2786	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC2B: Influence Should Have> Farmers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2076 /-] [Invalid=2795 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".		
Literal question	Farmers		

MBSC2B: Influence Should Have> Farmers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	0	
1	Very little	35	1.7%
2		93	4.5%
3		239	11.5%
4		600	28.9%
5		533	25.7%
6		340	16.4%
7	Very influential	236	11.4%
Sysmiss		2795	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC3A: Influence Has>Big Business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2106 /-] [Invalid=2765 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Big business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	0	
1	Very little	22	1.0%
2		24	1.1%
3		36	1.7%
4		122	5.8%
5		257	12.2%
6		643	30.5%
7	Very influential	1002	47.6%
Sysmiss		2765	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC3B: Influence Should Have> Big Business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2066 /-] [Invalid=2805 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Big business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	1	0.0%
1	Very little	57	2.8%
2		123	6.0%
3		306	14.8%
4		780	37.8%
5		507	24.5%

# MBSC3B: Influence Should Have> Big Business			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
6		175	8.5%
7	Very influential	117	5.7%
Sysmiss		2805	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# MBSC4A: Influence Has> Media	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=2753 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Media

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0	No influence	1	0.0%
1	Very little	26	1.2%
2		24	1.1%
3		46	2.2%
4		111	5.2%
5		242	11.4%
6		591	27.9%
7	Very influential	1077	50.8%
Sysmiss		2753	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# MBSC4B: Influence Should Have> Media	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2066 /-] [Invalid=2805 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Media

Value	**Label**	**Cases**	**Percentage**
0	No influence	3	0.1%
1	Very little	213	10.3%
2		266	12.9%
3		387	18.7%
4		640	31.0%
5		332	16.1%
6		111	5.4%
7	Very influential	114	5.5%
Sysmiss		2805	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# MBSC5A: Influence Has> Intellectuals	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]

MBSC5A: Influence Has> Intellectuals

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2014 /-] [Invalid=2857 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Intellectuals

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	0	
1	Very little	119	5.9%
2		296	14.7%
3		376	18.7%
4		458	22.7%
5		413	20.5%
6		239	11.9%
7	Very influential	113	5.6%
Sysmiss		2857	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC5B: Influence Should Have> Intellectuals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1969 /-] [Invalid=2902 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Intellectuals

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	2	0.1%
1	Very little	96	4.9%
2		179	9.1%
3		309	15.7%
4		608	30.9%
5		442	22.4%
6		226	11.5%
7	Very influential	107	5.4%
Sysmiss		2902	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC6A: Influence Has> Banks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2085 /-] [Invalid=2786 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Banks

MBSC6A: Influence Has> Banks

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	0	
1	Very little	26	1.2%
2		23	1.1%
3		53	2.5%
4		183	8.8%
5		358	17.2%
6		650	31.2%
7	Very influential	792	38.0%
Sysmiss		2786	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

MBSC6B: Influence Should Have> Banks

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2049 /-] [Invalid=2822 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Banks

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	2	0.1%
1	Very little	68	3.3%
2		153	7.5%
3		315	15.4%
4		693	33.8%
5		531	25.9%
6		166	8.1%
7	Very influential	121	5.9%
Sysmiss		2822	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC7A: Influence Has> Consumers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2076 /-] [Invalid=2795 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Consumers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	0	
1	Very little	125	6.0%
2		225	10.8%
3		380	18.3%
4		431	20.8%
5		377	18.2%
6		290	14.0%
7	Very influential	248	11.9%
Sysmiss		2795	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC7B: Influence Should Have> Consumers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2067 /-] [Invalid=2804 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Consumers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	0	
1	Very little	18	0.9%
2		18	0.9%
3		68	3.3%
4		294	14.2%
5		493	23.9%
6		580	28.1%
7	Very influential	596	28.8%
Sysmiss		2804	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC8A: Influence Has> Feminists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2087 /-] [Invalid=2784 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Feminists

MBSC8A: Influence Has> Feminists

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	1	0.0%
1	Very little	150	7.2%
2		326	15.6%
3		487	23.3%
4		418	20.0%
5		377	18.1%
6		235	11.3%
7	Very influential	93	4.5%
Sysmiss		2784	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC8B: Influence Should Have> Feminists

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2034 /-] [Invalid=2837 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Feminists

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	9	0.4%
1	Very little	302	14.8%
2		339	16.7%
3		421	20.7%
4		592	29.1%
5		233	11.5%
6		87	4.3%
7	Very influential	51	2.5%
Sysmiss		2837	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC9A: Influence Has> Aboriginal Peoples

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2091 /-] [Invalid=2780 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Aboriginal peoples

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	2	0.1%
1	Very little	352	16.8%
2		385	18.4%
3		359	17.2%
4		273	13.1%
5		288	13.8%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		229	11.0%
7	Very influential	203	9.7%
Sysmiss		2780	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC9B: Influence Should Have> Aboriginal Peoples

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2037 /-] [Invalid=2834 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Aboriginal peoples

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	0	
1	Very little	233	11.4%
2		279	13.7%
3		374	18.4%
4		711	34.9%
5		293	14.4%
6		98	4.8%
7	Very influential	49	2.4%
Sysmiss		2834	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC10A: Influence Has> Racial Minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2078 /-] [Invalid=2793 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Racial minorities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	2	0.1%
1	Very little	339	16.3%
2		407	19.6%
3		400	19.2%
4		299	14.4%
5		296	14.2%
6		216	10.4%
7	Very influential	119	5.7%
Sysmiss		2793	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC10B: Influence Should Have> Racial Minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]

MBSC10B: Influence Should Have> Racial Minorities

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2033 /-] [Invalid=2838 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".		
Literal question	Racial minorities		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	5	0.2%
1	Very little	282	13.9%
2		364	17.9%
3		427	21.0%
4		644	31.7%
5		216	10.6%
6		60	3.0%
7	Very influential	35	1.7%
Sysmiss		2838	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC11A: Influence Has> People on Welfare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2095 /-] [Invalid=2776 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".		
Literal question	People on welfare		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	7	0.3%
1	Very little	603	28.8%
2		400	19.1%
3		326	15.6%
4		288	13.7%
5		232	11.1%
6		145	6.9%
7	Very influential	94	4.5%
Sysmiss		2776	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC11B: Influence Should Have> People on Welfare

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2041 /-] [Invalid=2830 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".		
Literal question	People on welfare		

MBSC11B: Influence Should Have> People on Welfare

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	7	0.3%
1	Very little	474	23.2%
2		458	22.4%
3		454	22.2%
4		447	21.9%
5		131	6.4%
6		41	2.0%
7	Very influential	29	1.4%
Sysmiss		2830	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC12A: Influence Has> Small Business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2082 /-] [Invalid=2789 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Small business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	1	0.0%
1	Very little	186	8.9%
2		408	19.6%
3		646	31.0%
4		490	23.5%
5		229	11.0%
6		86	4.1%
7	Very influential	36	1.7%
Sysmiss		2789	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC12B: Influence Should Have> Small Business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2069 /-] [Invalid=2802 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Small business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	1	0.0%
1	Very little	25	1.2%
2		28	1.4%
3		107	5.2%
4		507	24.5%
5		592	28.6%

MBSC12B: Influence Should Have> Small Business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		547	26.4%
7	Very influential	262	12.7%
Sysmiss		2802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC13A: Influence Has> Old People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2088 /-] [Invalid=2783 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Old people

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	3	0.1%
1	Very little	406	19.4%
2		511	24.5%
3		438	21.0%
4		391	18.7%
5		204	9.8%
6		81	3.9%
7	Very influential	54	2.6%
Sysmiss		2783	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC13B: Influence Should Have> Old People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2069 /-] [Invalid=2802 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Old people

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	0	
1	Very little	33	1.6%
2		78	3.8%
3		240	11.6%
4		708	34.2%
5		501	24.2%
6		301	14.5%
7	Very influential	208	10.1%
Sysmiss		2802	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC14A: Influence Has> The Police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]

MBSC14A: Influence Has> The Police

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2094 /-] [Invalid=2777 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	The police

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	0	
1	Very little	77	3.7%
2		166	7.9%
3		307	14.7%
4		503	24.0%
5		466	22.3%
6		328	15.7%
7	Very influential	247	11.8%
Sysmiss		2777	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC14B: Influence Should Have> The Police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2070 /-] [Invalid=2801 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	The police

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	0	
1	Very little	55	2.7%
2		86	4.2%
3		184	8.9%
4		531	25.7%
5		482	23.3%
6		395	19.1%
7	Very influential	337	16.3%
Sysmiss		2801	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC15A: Influence Has> Homosexuals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2098 /-] [Invalid=2773 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Homosexuals

MBSC15A: Influence Has> Homosexuals

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	12	0.6%
1	Very little	586	27.9%
2		439	20.9%
3		384	18.3%
4		283	13.5%
5		191	9.1%
6		124	5.9%
7	Very influential	79	3.8%
Sysmiss		2773	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC15B: Influence Should Have> Homosexuals

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2018 /-] [Invalid=2853 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Homosexuals

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	30	1.5%
1	Very little	873	43.3%
2		331	16.4%
3		304	15.1%
4		327	16.2%
5		101	5.0%
6		31	1.5%
7	Very influential	21	1.0%
Sysmiss		2853	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC16A: Influence Has> Anti-Abortion Groups

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2078 /-] [Invalid=2793 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Anti abortion groups

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	6	0.3%
1	Very little	368	17.7%
2		355	17.1%
3		422	20.3%
4		416	20.0%
5		302	14.5%

MBSC16A: Influence Has> Anti-Abortion Groups

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		144	6.9%
7	Very influential	65	3.1%
Sysmiss		2793	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSC16B: Influence Should Have> Anti-Abortion Groups

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2029 /-] [Invalid=2842 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	We would like to know how much influence you think various groups actually have over Canadian life, and how much influence you think they should have. Please rate the groups listed below on a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 represents "very little influence" and 7 represents "very influential".
Literal question	Anti abortion groups

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No influence	22	1.1%
1	Very little	798	39.3%
2		336	16.6%
3		244	12.0%
4		330	16.3%
5		124	6.1%
6		67	3.3%
7	Very influential	108	5.3%
Sysmiss		2842	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD1: Those Elected to Parliament Lose Touch with the People

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2151 /-] [Invalid=2720 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	In this section we are interested in your views about how government works. For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree by writing the number that best represents how you feel in the space at the right of each statement.
Literal question	Generally, those elected to Parliament soon lose touch with the people.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	715	33.2%
2	Agree	1107	51.5%
3	Disagree	268	12.5%
4	Stronglydisagree	61	2.8%
8	No opinion	46	
Sysmiss		2674	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD2: I Would Rather Trust Down-to-Earth Thinking of Ordinary People Than in Experts

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2077 /-] [Invalid=2794 /-]
Universe	All respondents

MBSD2: I Would Rather Trust Down-to-Earth Thinking of Ordinary People Than in Experts

Literal question	I'd rather put my trust in the down to earth thinking of ordinary people than in experts.			
Value	**Label**	**Cases**		**Percentage**
1	Strongly agree	399		19.2%
2	Agree	946		45.5%
3	Disagree	623		30.0%
4	Stronglydisagree	109		5.2%
8	No opinion	117		
Sysmiss		2677		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD3: Politics and Government Seem so Complicated

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2175 /-] [Invalid=2696 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Sometimes, politics and government seem so complicated that a person like me can't really understand what's going on.

Value	**Label**	**Cases**		**Percentage**
1	Strongly agree	555		25.5%
2	Agree	921		42.3%
3	Disagree	535		24.6%
4	Stronglydisagree	164		7.5%
8	No opinion	25		
Sysmiss		2671		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD4: The Problem with Democracy is that Most People Don't Really Know What's Best for Them

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2086 /-] [Invalid=2785 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The problem with democracy is that most people don't really know what's best for them.

Value	**Label**	**Cases**		**Percentage**
1	Strongly agree	233		11.2%
2	Agree	792		38.0%
3	Disagree	793		38.0%
4	Stronglydisagree	268		12.8%
8	No opinion	112		
Sysmiss		2673		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD5: Do Not Think the Government Cares What People Like Me Think

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2160 /-] [Invalid=2711 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	I don't think the government cares much what people like me think

Value	**Label**	**Cases**		**Percentage**
1	Strongly agree	620		28.7%
2	Agree	1007		46.6%

MBSD5: Do Not Think the Government Cares What People Like Me Think

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Disagree	472	21.9%
4	Stronglydisagree	61	2.8%
8	No opinion	38	
Sysmiss		2673	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD6: People have the Sense to Tell Whether the Government is Doing a Good Job

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2150 /-] [Invalid=2721 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Most people have enough sense to tell whether the government is doing a good job.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	397	18.5%
2	Agree	1181	54.9%
3	Disagree	488	22.7%
4	Stronglydisagree	84	3.9%
8	No opinion	50	
Sysmiss		2671	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD7: Major Issues Are Too Complicated for Voters

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2146 /-] [Invalid=2725 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The major issues of the day are too complicated for most voters.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	227	10.6%
2	Agree	694	32.3%
3	Disagree	926	43.2%
4	Stronglydisagree	299	13.9%
8	No opinion	51	
Sysmiss		2674	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD8: People Like Me Do Not Have Any Say About What the Government Does

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2161 /-] [Invalid=2710 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	People like me don't have any say about what the government does.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	426	19.7%
2	Agree	742	34.3%
3	Disagree	696	32.2%
4	Stronglydisagree	297	13.7%
8	No opinion	33	

MBSD8: People Like Me Do Not Have Any Say About What the Government Does

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2677	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD9: We Could Solve National Problems if Decisions Could be Brought Back to the People at Grass Roots

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2064 /-] [Invalid=2807 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	We could probably solve most of our big national problems if decisions could be brought back to the people at the grass roots.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	443	21.5%
2	Agree	1003	48.6%
3	Disagree	535	25.9%
4	Stronglydisagree	83	4.0%
8	No opinion	128	
Sysmiss		2679	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD10: The Government Should Pay the Most Attention to Well-Informed Citizens

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2090 /-] [Invalid=2781 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The government should pay the most attention to those citizens who are well informed.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	211	10.1%
2	Agree	805	38.5%
3	Disagree	858	41.1%
4	Stronglydisagree	216	10.3%
8	No opinion	100	
Sysmiss		2681	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD11: All Provinces Should be Treated the Same

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2126 /-] [Invalid=2745 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	All provinces should be treated the same, no matter how big or small they are.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	584	27.5%
2	Agree	851	40.0%
3	Disagree	557	26.2%
4	Stronglydisagree	134	6.3%
8	No opinion	71	
Sysmiss		2674	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD12: In Democracy No Decisions Should be Made in Secret

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2140 /-] [Invalid=2731 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In a democracy, no political decisions should be made in secret.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	992	46.4%
2	Agree	881	41.2%
3	Disagree	222	10.4%
4	Stronglydisagree	45	2.1%
8	No opinion	57	
Sysmiss		2674	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD13: All Federal Parties Are Basically the Same

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2108 /-] [Invalid=2763 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	All federal parties are basically the same; there isn't really a choice.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	319	15.1%
2	Agree	754	35.8%
3	Disagree	839	39.8%
4	Stronglydisagree	196	9.3%
8	No opinion	87	
Sysmiss		2676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD14: Political Parties Spend Too Much Time Catering to Minorities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2020 /-] [Invalid=2851 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Political parties spend too much time catering to minorities.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	390	19.3%
2	Agree	777	38.5%
3	Disagree	744	36.8%
4	Stronglydisagree	109	5.4%
8	No opinion	167	
Sysmiss		2684	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD15: People in Quebec Talk About Separation, but Do Not Mean It

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1951 /-] [Invalid=2920 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	People in Quebec keep talking about separation but they don't really mean it.

MBSD15: People in Quebec Talk About Separation, but Do Not Mean It

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	258	13.2%
2	Agree	791	40.5%
3	Disagree	716	36.7%
4	Stronglydisagree	186	9.5%
8	No opinion	237	
Sysmiss		2683	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSD16: Government Services Should be Provided in French in Quebec, and English in the Rest of Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2104 /-] [Invalid=2767 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Federal government services should be provided in only one language, French in Quebec and English in the rest of Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	363	17.3%
2	Agree	389	18.5%
3	Disagree	831	39.5%
4	Stronglydisagree	521	24.8%
8	No opinion	87	
Sysmiss		2680	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE1: Your View> Treatment of People:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2063 /-] [Invalid=2808 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	In this section we are interested in your views about various aspects of Canadian society. For each question, please circle the number to the right of the answer that best reflects your opinion.
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: No matter how we treat people, some will turn out to be better than others, if we really gave everyone an equal chance, almost everyone would turn out to be equally worthwhile, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Somepeoplebetter	1497	72.6%
2	=chance=worthwhile	566	27.4%
8	Undecided	117	
Sysmiss		2691	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE2: The Feminist Movement:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1753 /-] [Invalid=3118 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The feminist movement: Just tries to get equal treatment for women, puts men down, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Triesget equal	1127	64.3%
2	Puts men down	626	35.7%

MBSE2: The Feminist Movement:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Undecided	416	
Sysmiss		2702	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE3: More Important in Democratic Society:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1756 /-] [Invalid=3115 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which is more important in a democratic society: Letting the majority decide, protecting the needs and rights of minorities, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Majority decide	1197	68.2%
2	Protect minority	559	31.8%
8	Undecided	404	
Sysmiss		2711	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE4: Your View> Equality of Men and Women:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2065 /-] [Invalid=2806 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: Men and women can only be equal when they have the same responsibilities in government, business, and the family, equality can exist even when men and women have very different responsibilities, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Same responsibility	434	21.0%
2	Different responsib.	1631	79.0%
8	Undecided	129	
Sysmiss		2677	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE5: If a Provincial Law Conflicts with the Canadian Charter of Rights, Who Should Have the Final Say?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1647 /-] [Invalid=3224 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If the courts say that a provincial law conflicts with the Canadian Charter of Rights, who should have the final say? The Courts because they are in the best position to decide what is just and unjust, the provincial legislature because they are the representatives of the people, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Courts	905	54.9%
2	Prov.Legislature	742	45.1%
8	Undecided	543	
Sysmiss		2681	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE6: Your View> Marital Violence:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]

MBSE6: Your View> Marital Violence:

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1880 /-] [Invalid=2991 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: The government must crack down on marital violence even if that means interfering in people's private lives, couples should basically be left to sort out their own problems, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Gov crack down	1470		78.2%
2	Couples sort out	410		21.8%
8	Undecided	315		
Sysmiss		2676		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE7: Members of the RCMP:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2009 /-] [Invalid=2862 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Members of the RCMP: Should have the right to wear a turban for religious reasons, should all wear the same hat regardless of their religion, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Right wearturban	434		21.6%
2	Wear the samehat	1575		78.4%
8	Undecided	191		
Sysmiss		2671		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE8: Feminist Movement Encourages Women:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1915 /-] [Invalid=2956 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The feminist movement encourages women: To be independent and speak up for themselves, to be selfish and think only of themselves, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Independent	1473		76.9%
2	Selfish	442		23.1%
8	Undecided	273		
Sysmiss		2683		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE9: Your View> Aboriginal Peoples:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1900 /-] [Invalid=2971 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Which comes closer to your own view: If Aboriginal peoples tried harder, they could be as well off as other Canadians, social and economic conditions make it almost impossible for most Aboriginal Canadians to overcome poverty, or undecided.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Tryhard well-off	1062		55.9%

MBSE9: Your View> Aboriginal Peoples:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Hardovercomepoor	838	44.1%
8	Undecided	290	
Sysmiss		2681	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE10: Federal Elections Make Politicians Pay Attention to What People Think

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2147 /-] [Invalid=2724 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think having federal elections makes politicians pay a good deal, some, a little, or very little attention to what people think?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A good deal	473	22.0%
2	Some	953	44.4%
3	A little	432	20.1%
4	Very little	289	13.5%
8	Undecided	51	
Sysmiss		2673	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSE11: If We Stopped Having Elections, Do You Think That Life Would Be:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1990 /-] [Invalid=2881 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	If we stopped having elections, do you think that life would be:

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much better	26	1.3%
2	Better	65	3.3%
3	Aboutthesame	352	17.7%
4	Worse	764	38.4%
5	Much worse	783	39.3%
8	Undecided	206	
Sysmiss		2675	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF1: Confidence> Organised Religion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2173 /-] [Invalid=2698 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	For each of the following institutions, please tell us how much confidence you have in them: a great deal, quite a lot, not very much, or none at all.
Literal question	Organised Religion

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	228	10.5%
2	Quite a lot	778	35.8%
3	Not very much	830	38.2%

MBSF1: Confidence> Organised Religion

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	None at all	337	15.5%
Sysmiss		2698	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF2: Confidence> Armed Forces

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2178 /-] [Invalid=2693 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The armed forces

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	287	13.2%
2	Quite a lot	1177	54.0%
3	Not very much	614	28.2%
4	None at all	100	4.6%
Sysmiss		2693	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF3: Confidence> Education System

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2186 /-] [Invalid=2685 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The education system

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	193	8.8%
2	Quite a lot	1056	48.3%
3	Not very much	814	37.2%
4	None at all	123	5.6%
Sysmiss		2685	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF4: Confidence> Legal System

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2170 /-] [Invalid=2701 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The legal system

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	113	5.2%
2	Quite a lot	884	40.7%
3	Not very much	951	43.8%
4	None at all	222	10.2%
Sysmiss		2701	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF5: Confidence> Social Security System

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2160 /-] [Invalid=2711 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The social security system

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	93	4.3%
2	Quite a lot	824	38.1%
3	Not very much	1061	49.1%
4	None at all	182	8.4%
Sysmiss		2711	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF6: Confidence> Civil Service

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2145 /-] [Invalid=2726 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The civil service

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	53	2.5%
2	Quite a lot	660	30.8%
3	Not very much	1150	53.6%
4	None at all	282	13.1%
Sysmiss		2726	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF7: Confidence> Newspapers

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 /-] [Invalid=2699 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Newspapers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	73	3.4%

MBSF7: Confidence> Newspapers

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Quite a lot	966	44.5%
3	Not very much	910	41.9%
4	None at all	223	10.3%
Sysmiss		2699	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF8: Confidence> Labour Unions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2162 /-] [Invalid=2709 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Labour unions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	57	2.6%
2	Quite a lot	548	25.3%
3	Not very much	1051	48.6%
4	None at all	506	23.4%
Sysmiss		2709	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF9: Confidence> Police

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2177 /-] [Invalid=2694 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The police

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	402	18.5%
2	Quite a lot	1283	58.9%
3	Not very much	414	19.0%
4	None at all	78	3.6%
Sysmiss		2694	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF10: Confidence> Federal Government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2177 /-] [Invalid=2694 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The federal government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	64	2.9%
2	Quite a lot	615	28.2%
3	Not very much	1166	53.6%
4	None at all	332	15.3%
Sysmiss		2694	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF11: Confidence> Provincial Government

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2171 /-] [Invalid=2700 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Your provincial government

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	67	3.1%
2	Quite a lot	628	28.9%
3	Not very much	1039	47.9%
4	None at all	437	20.1%
Sysmiss		2700	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF12: Confidence> Big Business

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2167 /-] [Invalid=2704 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Big business

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	69	3.2%
2	Quite a lot	771	35.6%
3	Not very much	1021	47.1%
4	None at all	306	14.1%
Sysmiss		2704	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSF13: Confidence> TV News

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2184 /-] [Invalid=2687 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	TV news

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A great deal	151	6.9%
2	Quite a lot	1171	53.6%
3	Not very much	719	32.9%
4	None at all	143	6.5%
Sysmiss		2687	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSG1: Canadians Should Particiate in Peacekeeping Operations Even if it Means Putting the Lives of Canadian Soldiers at Risk

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2091 /-] [Invalid=2780 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	For each statement below, please indicate if you strongly agree, agree, disagree, or strongly disagree by writing the number that best represents how you feel in the space at the right of each statement.
Literal question	Canada should participate in peacekeeping operations abroad even if it means putting the lives of Canadian soldiers at risk.

MBSG1: Canadians Should Particiate in Peacekeeping Operations Even if it Means Putting the Lives of Canadian Soldiers at Risk

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	158	7.6%
2	Agree	1035	49.5%
3	Disagree	626	29.9%
4	Stronglydisagree	272	13.0%
8	No opinion	91	
Sysmiss		2689	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSG2: Society Would be Better if We All Had Similar Values

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2106 /-] [Invalid=2765 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Society would be better off if we all had similar values and ideals.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	173	8.2%
2	Agree	703	33.4%
3	Disagree	929	44.1%
4	Stronglydisagree	301	14.3%
8	No opinion	80	
Sysmiss		2685	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSG3: Respect for Authority is One of the Most Important Things Children Should Learn

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2154 /-] [Invalid=2717 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Respect for authority is one of the most important things that children should learn.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	831	38.6%
2	Agree	1027	47.7%
3	Disagree	249	11.6%
4	Stronglydisagree	47	2.2%
8	No opinion	38	
Sysmiss		2679	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSG4: Most French Canadians Support Bilingualism in Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1904 /-] [Invalid=2967 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Most French Canadians support official bilingualism in Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	243	12.8%
2	Agree	928	48.7%

MBSG4: Most French Canadians Support Bilingualism in Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Disagree	573	30.1%
4	Stronglydisagree	160	8.4%
8	No opinion	287	
Sysmiss		2680	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSG5: Most English Canadians Support Bilingualism in Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1994 /-] [Invalid=2877 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Most English Canadians support official bilingualism in Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	62	3.1%
2	Agree	485	24.3%
3	Disagree	1013	50.8%
4	Stronglydisagree	434	21.8%
8	No opinion	196	
Sysmiss		2681	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSG6: Too Many Recent Immigrants Do Not Want to Fit Into Canadian Society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1991 /-] [Invalid=2880 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Too many recent immigrants just don't want to fit into Canadian society.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	439	22.0%
2	Agree	815	40.9%
3	Disagree	626	31.4%
4	Stronglydisagree	111	5.6%
8	No opinion	195	
Sysmiss		2685	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSG7: For Most Questions There is Just One Right Answer

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2077 /-] [Invalid=2794 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	For most questions there is just one right answer, once a person is able to get all the facts

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	138	6.6%
2	Agree	579	27.9%
3	Disagree	1051	50.6%
4	Stronglydisagree	309	14.9%
8	No opinion	109	

MBSG7: For Most Questions There is Just One Right Answer

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2685	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSG8: When it Comes to Caring for Babies and Small Children, Men Are Less Patient and Giving Than Women

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2141 /-] [Invalid=2730 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	When it comes to caring for babies and small children, men by nature are less patient and giving than women.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	195	9.1%
2	Agree	762	35.6%
3	Disagree	891	41.6%
4	Stronglydisagree	293	13.7%
8	No opinion	55	
Sysmiss		2675	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSG9: There is Something Wrong with a Woman Who Does Not Want to Have Children

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2108 /-] [Invalid=2763 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	There is something wrong with a woman who doesn't want to have children.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	105	5.0%
2	Agree	191	9.1%
3	Disagree	1075	51.0%
4	Stronglydisagree	737	35.0%
8	No opinion	88	
Sysmiss		2675	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSG10: Most Members of Ethnic Minorities Do Not Want Special Programmes for Their Group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1678 /-] [Invalid=3193 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Most members of ethnic minorities do not want special programmes for their own group.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	43	2.6%
2	Agree	386	23.0%
3	Disagree	892	53.2%
4	Stronglydisagree	357	21.3%
8	No opinion	501	
Sysmiss		2692	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSH1: Do You Think That People Running the Government:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2004 /-] [Invalid=2867 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	In this section we present different ideas people have about the government in Ottawa. We are interested in how you feel about them. These opinions don't refer to any political party in particular, but just to the government in general. Please circle the answer that best reflects how you feel.
Literal question	Do you think that: Quite a few of the people running the government are a little crooked, not very many are crooked, hardly any of them are crooked, or don't know.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quitefew crooked	1000	49.9%
2	Not many crooked	783	39.1%
3	Hardly any	221	11.0%
8	D.K.	191	
Sysmiss		2676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSH2: Do You Think That People in the Government:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2177 /-] [Invalid=2694 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Do you think that people in the government: Waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes, waste some of it, don't waste very much of it, or don't know.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Waste tax money	1749	80.3%
2	Waste some of it	412	18.9%
3	Notwaste much	16	0.7%
8	D.K.	22	
Sysmiss		2672	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSH3: How Much of the Time Can You Trust the Government in Ottawa to Do What is Right:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2094 /-] [Invalid=2777 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How much of the time do you think you can trust the government in Ottawa to do what is right: Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time, or don't know.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	About always	35	1.7%
2	Most of the time	694	33.1%
3	Only sometime	1365	65.2%
8	D.K.	99	
Sysmiss		2678	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSH4: Do You Feel That People Running the Government:

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1994 /-] [Invalid=2877 /-]

MBSH4: Do You Feel That People Running the Government:

Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you feel that: Almost all of the people running the government are smart people who usually know what they are doing, quite a few of them don't seem to know what they are doing, or don't know.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Smart people	535	26.8%
2	Not know what doing	1459	73.2%
8	D.K.	195	
Sysmiss		2682	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI1A1: Goal> Maintaining High Rate of Economic Growth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2195 /-] [Invalid=2676 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Here are some goals which are frequently thought of as being important to society. 1.Here is the first list. Please check the goal that is most important to you personally in the first column and check the second most important goal to you in the second column. (Please check only one goal in each column.)
Literal question	Maintaining a high rate of economic growth.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	568	25.9%
1	Most important	1627	74.1%
Sysmiss		2676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI1A2: Goal> Maintaining High Rate of Economic Growth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2195 /-] [Invalid=2676 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Maintaining a high rate of economic growth.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1774	80.8%
1	2nd most important	421	19.2%
Sysmiss		2676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI1B1: Goal> Making Sure Country Has Strong Defence Forces

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2195 /-] [Invalid=2676 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Making sure this country has strong defence forces.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1978	90.1%
1	Most important	217	9.9%
Sysmiss		2676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI1B2: Goal> Making Sure Country Has Strong Defence Forces

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2195 /-] [Invalid=2676 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Making sure this country has strong defence forces.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1511	68.8%
1	2nd most important	684	31.2%
Sysmiss		2676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI1C1: Goal> Seeing that People Have More Say in How Things Get Decided at Work and in Their Communities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2195 /-] [Invalid=2676 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Seeing that people have more say in how things get decided at work and in their communities.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1174	53.5%
1	Most important	1021	46.5%
Sysmiss		2676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI1C2: Goal> Seeing that People Have More Say in How Things Get Decided at Work and in Their Communities

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2195 /-] [Invalid=2676 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Seeing that people have more say in how things get decided at work and in their communities.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1338	61.0%
1	2nd most important	857	39.0%
Sysmiss		2676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI1D1: Goal> Making Cities and Countryside More Beautiful

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2195 /-] [Invalid=2676 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Trying to make our cities and countryside more beautiful.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1810	82.5%
1	Most important	385	17.5%
Sysmiss		2676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI1D2: Goal> Making Cities and Countryside More Beautiful

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2195 /-] [Invalid=2676 /-]

MBSI1D2: Goal> Making Cities and Countryside More Beautiful

Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Trying to make our cities and countryside more beautiful.		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1627	74.1%
1	2nd most important	568	25.9%
Sysmiss		2676	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI2A1: Goal> Maintaining Order in the Nation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2196 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	2.Here is the second list. Again, please check the goal that is most important to you personally in the first column and check the second most important goal to you in the second column. (Please check only one goal in each column.)
Literal question	Maintaining order in the nation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1136	51.7%
1	Most important	1060	48.3%
Sysmiss		2675	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI2A2: Goal> Maintaining Order in the Nation

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2196 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Maintaining order in the nation.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1784	81.2%
1	2nd most important	412	18.8%
Sysmiss		2675	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI2B1: Goal> Giving People More Say in Government Decisions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2196 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Giving people more say in government decisions.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1127	51.3%
1	Most important	1069	48.7%
Sysmiss		2675	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI2B2: Goal> Giving People More Say in Government Decisions

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2196 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-]

MBSI2B2: Goal> Giving People More Say in Government Decisions

Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Giving people more say in government decisions.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Blank	1581		72.0%
1	2nd most important	615		28.0%
Sysmiss		2675		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI2C1: Goal> Fighting Rising Prices

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2196 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Fighting rising prices.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Blank	1278		58.2%
1	Most important	918		41.8%
Sysmiss		2675		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI2C2: Goal> Fighting Rising Prices

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2196 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Fighting rising prices.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Blank	1740		79.2%
1	2nd most important	456		20.8%
Sysmiss		2675		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI2D1: Goal> Protecting Freedom of Speech

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2196 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Protecting freedom of speech.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Blank	1458		66.4%
1	Most important	738		33.6%
Sysmiss		2675		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI2D2: Goal>Protecting Freedom of Speech

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2196 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Protecting freedom of speech.

MBSI2D2: Goal>Protecting Freedom of Speech

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1698	77.3%
1	2nd most important	498	22.7%
Sysmiss		2675	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI3A1: Goal> Maintaining a Stable Economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2197 /-] [Invalid=2674 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	3.Here is the final list. Again, please check the goal that is most important to you personally in the first column and check the second most important goal to you in the second column. (Please check only one goal in each column.)
Literal question	Maintaining a stable economy.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	668	30.4%
1	Most important	1529	69.6%
Sysmiss		2674	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI3A2: Goal> Maintaining a Stable Economy

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2197 /-] [Invalid=2674 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Maintaining a stable economy.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1854	84.4%
1	2nd most important	343	15.6%
Sysmiss		2674	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI3B1: Goal> Progressing Toward a Less Impersonal, More Humane Society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2197 /-] [Invalid=2674 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Progress toward a less impersonal, more humane society.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Blank	1556	70.8%
1	Most important	641	29.2%
Sysmiss		2674	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI3B2: Goal> Progressing Toward a Less Impersonal, More Humane Society

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2197 /-] [Invalid=2674 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Progress toward a less impersonal, more humane society.

MBSI3B2: Goal> Progressing Toward a Less Impersonal, More Humane Society

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Blank	1651		75.1%
1	2nd most important	546		24.9%
Sysmiss		2674		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI3C1: Goal> The Fight Against Crime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2197 /-] [Invalid=2674 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The fight against crime.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Blank	1269		57.8%
1	Most important	928		42.2%
Sysmiss		2674		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI3C2: Goal>The Fight Against Crime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2197 /-] [Invalid=2674 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	The fight against crime.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Blank	1492		67.9%
1	2nd most important	705		32.1%
Sysmiss		2674		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI3D1: Goal> Progressing Toward a Society Where Ideas Count More Than Money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2197 /-] [Invalid=2674 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Progress towards a society where ideas count more than money.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Blank	1581		72.0%
1	Most important	616		28.0%
Sysmiss		2674		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSI3D2: Goal> Progressing Toward a Society Where Ideas Count More Than Money

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2197 /-] [Invalid=2674 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Progress towards a society where ideas count more than money.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Blank	1743		79.3%

# **MBSI3D2: Goal> Progressing Toward a Society Where Ideas Count More Than Money**				

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
1	2nd most important	454		20.7%
Sysmiss		2674		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **MBSJA: Group Closest to You Regarding Views and Feelings**	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2085 /-] [Invalid=2786 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	A.Please indicate which group is closest to you in its views and feelings about things by writing the appropriate number in the space provided:

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
1	Labour unions	135		6.5%
2	Farmers	192		9.2%
3	Business people	533		25.6%
4	Feminists	81		3.9%
5	Same ethnic grp	54		2.6%
6	Young people	327		15.7%
7	Samerace	178		8.5%
8	Anti-abortion grp	17		0.8%
9	Poor people	125		6.0%
10	Same religion	120		5.8%
11	Elderly people	231		11.1%
12	Born-again christian	92		4.4%
Sysmiss		2786		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# **MBSJB: Other Group Close to You Regarding Views and Feelings**	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1589 /-] [Invalid=3282 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	B.Is there any other group which is close to you in its views and feelings about things? If so, please indicate the group by writing the appropriate number in the space provided:

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage
1	Labour unions	101		6.4%
2	Farmers	159		10.0%
3	Business people	201		12.6%
4	Feminists	68		4.3%
5	Same ethnic grp	63		4.0%
6	Young people	246		15.5%
7	Samerace	130		8.2%
8	Anti-abortion grp	45		2.8%
9	Poor people	170		10.7%
10	Same religion	131		8.2%
11	Elderly people	247		15.5%
12	Born-again christian	28		1.8%
Sysmiss		3282		

MBSJB: Other Group Close to You Regarding Views and Feelings

MBSAGE: Respondent's Year of Birth

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1900-1975] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2196 /-] [Invalid=2675 /-] [Mean=1949.331 /-] [StdDev=15.456 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	Tn what year were you born?

MBSRGEN: Respondent's Gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2198 /-] [Invalid=2673 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	What is your gender?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1164	53.0%
5	Female	1034	47.0%
Sysmiss		2673	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSQLANG: Language of Questionnaire

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2209 /-] [Invalid=2662 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	1690	76.5%
2	French	519	23.5%
Sysmiss		2662	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MBSPROV: Province of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2209 /-] [Invalid=2662 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nfld	75	3.4%
1	P.E.I.	57	2.6%
2	N.S.	64	2.9%
3	N.B.	61	2.8%
4	P.Q.	563	25.5%
5	Ontario	555	25.1%
6	Manitoba	132	6.0%
7	Sask.	132	6.0%
8	Alberta	300	13.6%
9	B.C.	270	12.2%
Sysmiss		2662	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CESTYPE: Interview Type-Canadian Election Survey

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

CESTYPE: Interview Type-Canadian Election Survey

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cps only	435	11.5%
2	Cps&pes	1131	30.0%
3	Cps&pes&mbs	2209	58.5%
Sysmiss		1096	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CPSHHWGT: Household Weight - CES Campaign

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5.058] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.775 /-] [StdDev=0.562 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSPWGT1: Provincial Weight: All - CES Campaign

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1.442] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.775 /-] [StdDev=0.501 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSPWGT2: Provincial Weight: No Quebec- CES Campaign

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1.436] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.568 /-] [StdDev=0.567 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSNWGT1: National Weight: All - CES Campaign

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5.088250573] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.775 /-] [StdDev=0.648 /-]
Universe	All respondents

CPSNWGT2: National Weight: No Quebec - CES Campaign

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5.06097708279998] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.568 /-] [StdDev=0.669 /-]
Universe	All respondents

RTYPE1: Respondent - Pre Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=2341 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Referendum	2530	100.0%
Sysmiss		2341	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RTYPE2: Respondent - Pre & Post Referendum

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2223 /-] [Invalid=2648 /-]
Universe	All respondents

RTYPE2: Respondent - Pre & Post Referendum

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Post-referendum	2223		100.0%
Sysmiss		2648		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RTYPE3: Respondent - CES/Campaign Period

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3775 /-] [Invalid=1096 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Campaign	3775		100.0%
Sysmiss		1096		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RTYPE4: Respondent - CES/Post Election

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3340 /-] [Invalid=1531 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Post-election	3340		100.0%
Sysmiss		1531		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RTYPE5: Respondent - CES/Mail Back Survey

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2209 /-] [Invalid=2662 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Mailback	2209		100.0%
Sysmiss		2662		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RTYPE6: Respondent - Panel: Ref & PR & CPS & PES & MBS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=887 /-] [Invalid=3984 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Panel: 5 waves	887		100.0%
Sysmiss		3984		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RTYPE7: Respondent - Panel: Ref &PR & CPS & PES

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1312 /-] [Invalid=3559 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Panel: 4 waves	1312		100.0%

RTYPE7: Respondent - Panel: Ref &PR & CPS & PES

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss			3559	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RTYPE8: Respondent - Panel: Ref & PR & CPS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1434 /-] [Invalid=3437 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Panel: 3 waves	1434		100.0%
Sysmiss		3437		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RTYPE9: Respondent - RDD: CPS & PES & MBS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1322 /-] [Invalid=3549 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Rdd: 3 waves	1322		100.0%
Sysmiss		3549		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RTYPE10: Respondent - RDD: CPS & PES

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2028 /-] [Invalid=2843 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Rdd: 2 waves	2028		100.0%
Sysmiss		2843		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RTYPE11: Respondent - RDD: CPS

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2341 /-] [Invalid=2530 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Rdd: 1 wave	2341		100.0%
Sysmiss		2530		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

RLINK: Linking Ref/PR/CPS/PES/MBS Respondents

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4871 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Goodlink/onlycps	4678		96.0%
2	Probablegoodlink	129	2.6%	

RLINK: Linking Ref/PR/CPS/PES/MBS Respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Probable badlink	37	0.8%
4	Mailback badlink	27	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.