

**Canada**

**Richard Johnston, University of British Columbia**

**André Blais, Université de Montréal**

**Henry E. Brady, University of Chicago**

**Jean Crête, University Laval**

## **Canadian Election Study, 1988**

### **Study Documentation**

August 6, 2020

# Metadata Production

<b>Metadata Producer(s)</b>	Scholars Portal (SP) , Ontario Council of University Libraries
<b>Production Date</b>	August 15, 2017
<b>Version</b>	Version September 15, 2017  Version October 19, 2017
<b>Identification</b>	CES-E-1988

# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Scope &amp; Coverage.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Producers &amp; Sponsors.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Data Collection.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Accessibility.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Rights &amp; Disclaimer.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Files Description.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">CPS&amp;PES&amp;MBS&amp;WEB 2011 final.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">Variables Group(s).....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Geographic Variables.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Respondent Identification.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">1988 Campaign Period Survey.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">1988 Post Election Survey.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">1988 Mail-Back Survey.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">CPS/PES/MBS Respondents.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Weights.....</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">Interest and Media.....</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">Vote Intentions.....</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Personal Financial Conditions.....</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation.....</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">Strategic Contingencies.....</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">National Economic Conditions.....</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">Self/Other Placements.....</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">Federal Party Identification.....</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">Government Performance.....</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">Campaign Activities I.....</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Policy.....</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">CPS Sampling and Study Procedures.....</a>	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">Background.....</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">PES Sampling and Study Procedures.....</a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">Issues, Interest, and Media.....</a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">Voting.....</a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">Campaign Activities.....</a>	<a href="#">27</a>
<a href="#">Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation - Part I.....</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">Policy - Part I.....</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">Leader Traits.....</a>	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">Group Identification.....</a>	<a href="#">31</a>
<a href="#">Candidate Traits.....</a>	<a href="#">32</a>
<a href="#">Group Mobilization.....</a>	<a href="#">33</a>
<a href="#">Group Responsibility.....</a>	<a href="#">34</a>
<a href="#">Policy.....</a>	<a href="#">35</a>
<a href="#">Federal Party Identification.....</a>	<a href="#">36</a>
<a href="#">Constituency Verification.....</a>	<a href="#">36</a>
<a href="#">Section A - Public Rights.....</a>	<a href="#">36</a>
<a href="#">Section B - Cutting the Deficit.....</a>	<a href="#">38</a>
<a href="#">Section C - Industry and Work.....</a>	<a href="#">39</a>
<a href="#">Section D - Issues I.....</a>	<a href="#">40</a>
<a href="#">Section E - Issues II.....</a>	<a href="#">40</a>
<a href="#">Section F - Issues III.....</a>	<a href="#">42</a>
<a href="#">Section G - Issues IV.....</a>	<a href="#">42</a>
<a href="#">Section H - Goals.....</a>	<a href="#">43</a>
<a href="#">A Few Facts About Yourself.....</a>	<a href="#">43</a>
<a href="#">Provincial Economic Conditions.....</a>	<a href="#">44</a>
<a href="#">Campaign Activities II.....</a>	<a href="#">44</a>

Demographics.....	44
MBS Sampling and Study Procedures.....	44
Variables Description.....	46
CPS&PES&MBS&WEB 2011 final.....	47



## Canadian Election Study, 1988 (CES 1988)

### *Étude électorale canadienne 1988*

Overview	
Type	Canadian Election Study
Identification	CES-E-1988
Series	<p>The primary mandate of the Canadian Election Study is to provide a thorough account of the election, to underline the main reasons why people vote the way they do, to indicate what does and does not change during the campaign and from one election to another, and to highlight similarities and differences between voting and elections in Canada and in other democratic countries.</p> <p>The second mandate is to contribute to the development of scientific knowledge regarding the motivations of voters and the meanings of elections and election campaigns in democratic societies.</p> <p>The third mandate is to assemble a rich set of data about Canadians' attitudes and opinions on a wide variety of social, economic, and political issues, and to make that data publicly available to researchers in political science, sociology, economics, communications, and journalism.</p>
<b>Abstract</b> The 1988 Canadian National Election Survey was completed at the Institute for Social Research at York University. The survey included three waves: 1, a Campaign Period Survey with a representative sample of 3,609 Canadians; 2, a Post Election Survey with 2,922 of the Campaign Period Survey respondents; and 3, a Mailback Survey with 2,115 of the Post Election Survey respondents.	
Kind of Data	Survey data
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
Keywords	Debate, Leaders, Candidates, Votes, Voting, Media
Topics	Election, Politics, Public Opinion
Time Period(s)	1988
Countries	Canada
<b>Geographic Coverage</b> Canada, Provinces	
<b>Universe</b> Canadian Electorate	

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Richard Johnston, University of British Columbia André Blais, Université de Montréal Henry E. Brady, University of Chicago Jean Crête, University Laval
Other Producer(s)	Institute for Social Research (ISR) , York University , Distributor
Funding Agency/ies	Elections Canada

## Data Collection

<b>Time Period(s)</b>	single 1988
-----------------------	-------------

### Data Collection Notes

The 1988 Canadian National Election Survey was completed at the Institute for Social Research at York University. All interviewing was completed in English or French from ISR's centralized telephone facilities using Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) techniques. The Institute uses software from the Computer-Assisted Survey Methods Program at the University of California, Berkeley. (Taken from: The 1988 Canadian Election Study Technical Documentation)

## Accessibility

<b>Distributor(s)</b>	Canadian Opinion Research Archive
-----------------------	-----------------------------------

### Citation Requirements

Publications based on CES data collection should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publications.

## Rights & Disclaimer

### Disclaimer

The original collector of the data and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses.

<b>Copyright</b>	Copyright (c) Institute for Social Research, 2012
------------------	---

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

CPS&PES&MBS&WEB_2011_final	
# Cases	3609
# Variable(s)	548



# Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 47 group(s)

## Group Geographic Variables

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	province	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
2	areacode	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	3609	0	-

## Group Respondent Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idnum	Respondent Identification Number	continuous	numeric-5.0	3609	0	-
2	rsex	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-

## Group 1988 Campaign Period Survey

<b>Subgroup(s)</b>	Interest and Media , Vote Intentions , Personal Financial Conditions , Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation , Strategic Contingencies , National Economic Conditions , Self/Other Placements , Federal Party Identification , Government Performance , Campaign Activities I , Policy , CPS Sampling and Study Procedures , Background , Provincial Economic Conditions , Campaign Activities II
--------------------	---

## Group 1988 Post Election Survey

<b>Subgroup(s)</b>	PES Sampling and Study Procedures , Issues, Interest, and Media , Voting , Campaign Activities , Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation - Part I , Policy - Part I , Leader Traits , Group Identification , Candidate Traits , Group Mobilization , Group Responsibility , Policy , Federal Party Identification , Constituency Verification , Demographics
--------------------	--

## Group 1988 Mail-Back Survey

<b>Subgroup(s)</b>	Section A - Public Rights , Section B - Cutting the Deficit , Section C - Industry and Work , Section D - Issues I , Section E - Issues II , Section F - Issues III , Section G - Issues IV , Section H - Goals , A Few Facts About Yourself , MBS Sampling and Study Procedures
--------------------	--

## Group CPS/PES/MBS Respondents

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	inpre	Campaign Period Survey Interview Respondents	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
2	inpost	Post-Election Survey Reinterview Respondents	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
3	inmail	Mail-Back Survey Reinterview Respondents	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
4	intype	Type of Interview	discrete	numeric-4.2	3609	0	-
5	link	Telephone/Mailback Link Indicator	discrete	numeric-4.2	3609	0	-
6	franco	Francophone Respondent	discrete	numeric-4.2	3609	0	-

<b>Group Weights</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	wt1	National Weight - Includes Over Sample	continuous	numeric-5.2	3609	0	-
2	wt2	Province Weight - Includes Over Sample	continuous	numeric-4.2	3609	0	-
3	wt3	Atlantic Weight - Includes Over Sample	continuous	numeric-4.2	562	3047	-
4	wt4	Prairie Weight - Includes Over Sample	continuous	numeric-4.2	818	2791	-
5	wt5	National Weight - Excludes Over Sample	continuous	numeric-4.2	3609	0	-
6	wt6	Province Weight - Excludes Over Sample	continuous	numeric-4.2	3609	0	-
7	wt7	Atlantic Weight - Excludes Over Sample	continuous	numeric-4.2	706	2903	-

<b>Group Interest and Media</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	a1	Interest in Federal Election Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3584	25	Would you say that you are very interested, fairly interested, not very interested, or not at all interested in the campaign?
2	a2	Number of Days in the Past Week Watched News on TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3597	12	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you watch the news on T.V.?
3	a2a	Main Source of News> CBC	discrete	numeric-1.0	3067	542	Was that mainly the CBC news?
4	a2b	Attention Paid to Campaign News on TV	discrete	numeric-1.0	3140	469	How much attention did you pay to news on TV about the election campaign? Would you say A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?
5	a3	Did You See TV Commercials for a Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	3438	171	In the past week, did you see any television commercials for a political party?
6	a4	Number of Days in Past Week Read Daily Newspaper	discrete	numeric-1.0	3603	6	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you read a daily newspaper?
7	a4a	Daily Newspaper Read Most> National Politics	discrete	numeric-2.0	2783	826	What daily newspaper did you read most for news about national politics?
8	a4b	Attention Paid to Campaign News Articles	discrete	numeric-1.0	2819	790	How much attention did you pay to articles in the newspaper about the election campaign? Would you say A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?
9	a6	Saw Last TV Debate Among Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	2047	1562	Did you see the last TV debate among the party leaders?
10	a6a	Leader That Performed the Best in Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1492	2117	(From what you have heard) Which leader performed the BEST in that debate?
11	a6b	Leader That Performed the Worst in Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1364	2245	(From what you have heard) Which leader performed the WORST?
12	a7	Pay Much Attention to Politics Generally	discrete	numeric-1.0	3597	12	We would like to know whether you pay much attention to politics GENERALLY, whether there is an

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							election going on or not. Would you say that you follow politics VERY CLOSELY, FAIRLY CLOSELY, NOT VERY CLOSELY, or NOT AT ALL?
13	a5a	Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About - 1st	discrete	numeric-2.0	3508	101	Now, a general question: In this campaign, what issues are the PARTY LEADERS talking about?
14	a5b	Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About - 2nd	discrete	numeric-2.0	1361	2248	-
15	a5c	Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About - 3rd	discrete	numeric-2.0	606	3003	-
16	a5d	Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About - 4th	discrete	numeric-2.0	190	3419	-

### Group Vote Intentions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	b1	Expect to Vote in the Upcoming Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3460	149	Now we'd like to ask you about what you expect to do in this election. As things look now, do you expect to vote in the upcoming federal election?
2	b2	Party You Think You Will Vote For	discrete	numeric-1.0	2267	1342	Which party do you think you will vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, or another party?
3	b3	Party Leaning Toward	discrete	numeric-1.0	516	3093	Perhaps you have not yet made up your mind. But which party are you leaning toward now?
4	b4	Party Definitely Do Not Want to Vote For	discrete	numeric-1.0	2683	926	Is there a party, [Conservative, Liberal or NDP] that you definitely DO NOT WANT TO VOTE FOR?
5	b5	Final Choice, or You May Still Change Your Mind	discrete	numeric-1.0	1838	1771	Would you say that your choice of [the party respondent identified] is final, or that you may still change your mind?
6	b6	Did You Vote in the Last Federal Election - 1984	discrete	numeric-1.0	3567	42	Now, thinking back to the last federal election, in 1984, did you vote in that election?
7	b7	Party Voted For in Last Federal Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	2617	992	Which party did you vote for?
8	b8	Did You Vote in the Last Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	3530	79	And what about the last provincial election held in [date of last provincial election] in [respondent's province]. Did you vote in that election?
9	b9	Party Voted for in Last Provincial Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	2411	1198	Which party did you vote for?
10	b10	Support or Oppose Quebec Independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	826	2783	What is your opinion on Quebec independence? Do you support it completely, support it somewhat, oppose it somewhat, oppose it completely or don't you really have an opinion on it?

### Group Personal Financial Conditions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	c1	Better/Worse Off Financially Than a Year Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	3520	89	Would you say that you (and your family living there) are BETTER off or WORSE off financially than you were a year ago?
2	c1ab	Much/Somewhat Better/Worse Than a Year Ago	discrete	numeric-1.0	2152	1457	Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off? (Question #c1a) / Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off? (Question #c1b)
3	c2	Better/Worse Off Financially a Year From Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	3202	407	Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living there) will be BETTER off financially or WORSE off, or just about the same as now?
4	c2ab	Much/Somewhat Better/Worse a Year From Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	1374	2235	Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off? (Question #c2a) / Is that MUCH worse off or SOMEWHAT worse off? (Question #c2b)
5	c3	Economic Policies of the Federal Government Made You:	discrete	numeric-1.0	3411	198	Have the economic policies of the federal government made you (and your family living there) BETTER off, WORSE off, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH OF A DIFFERENCE either way?
6	c4	Tax System Changes Mean Income Up/Down	discrete	numeric-1.0	2907	702	On July 1 this year, the government changed the tax system. For some people, income taxes have gone down. For others, they have gone up. Have these changes meant that your (and your family's) income, after taxes, has GONE UP or GONE DOWN?

### Group Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	d1a	Know How Much About> Brian Mulroney	discrete	numeric-1.0	3592	17	Brian Mulroney
2	d1b	Know How Much About> John Turner	discrete	numeric-1.0	3596	13	John Turner
3	d1c	Know How Much About> Ed Broadbent	discrete	numeric-1.0	3593	16	Ed Broadbent
4	d1d	Have the Conservatives Nominated a Candidate in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2525	1084	Now I want to ask about the Conservative candidate in your riding. Have the Conservatives nominated a candidate in your riding yet?
5	d1da	Know How Much About> PC Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2239	1370	Do you know QUITE A LOT, A FAIR AMOUNT, JUST A LITTLE, or NOTHING AT ALL about him or her?
6	d1e	Have the Liberals Nominated a Candidate in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2345	1264	How about the Liberals. Do they have a candidate yet?
7	d1ea	Know How Much About> Liberal Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2079	1530	And how much do you know about him or her?
8	d1f	Has the NDP Nominated a Candidate in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2140	1469	How about the NDP. Do they have a candidate yet?
9	d1fa	Know How Much About> NDP Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1804	1805	And how much do you know about him or her?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
10	d2a	Rating> Brian Mulroney	discrete	numeric-3.0	2519	1090	How would you rate BRIAN MULRONEY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)
11	d2b	Rating> John Turner	discrete	numeric-3.0	2151	1458	How would you rate JOHN TURNER? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)
12	d2c	Rating> Ed Broadbent	discrete	numeric-3.0	1969	1640	How would you rate ED BROADBENT? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)
13	d2d	Rating> Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3386	223	How would you rate THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)
14	d2e	Rating> Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3396	213	How would you rate THE LIBERAL PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)
15	d2f	Rating> New Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	3314	295	How would you rate THE NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)
16	d2g	Rating> Conservative Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	948	2661	How would you rate THE CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)
17	d2h	Rating> Liberal Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	553	3056	How would you rate THE LIBERAL CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)
18	d2i	Rating> NDP Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	389	3220	How would you rate THE N.D.P. CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)
19	d3a	Impression> Mulroney> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2540	1069	How much would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
20	d3b	Impression> Mulroney> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2524	1085	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
21	d3c	Impression> Mulroney> Man of Vision	discrete	numeric-1.0	2498	1111	"A MAN OF VISION" (How much would you say "A MAN OF VISION" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney:

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
22	d3d	Impression> Mulroney> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2466	1143	"COMPASSIONATE" (How much would you say "COMPASSIONATE" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
23	d3e	Impression> Mulroney> Knowledgeable	discrete	numeric-1.0	2536	1073	"KNOWLEDGEABLE" (How much would you say "KNOWLEDGEABLE" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
24	d3f	Impression> Mulroney> Moral	discrete	numeric-1.0	2422	1187	"MORAL" (How much would you say "MORAL" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
25	d3g	Impression> Mulroney> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	2533	1076	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
26	d3h	Impression> Mulroney> Really Cares	discrete	numeric-1.0	2485	1124	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
27	d4a	Impression> Turner> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2174	1435	Would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of JOHN TURNER: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE or NOT AT ALL?
28	d4b	Impression> Turner> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2153	1456	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
29	d4c	Impression> Turner> Man of Vision	discrete	numeric-1.0	2143	1466	"A MAN OF VISION" (How much would you say "A MAN OF VISION" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
30	d4d	Impression> Turner> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2091	1518	"COMPASSIONATE" (How much would you say "COMPASSIONATE" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
31	d4e	Impression> Turner> Knowledgeable	discrete	numeric-1.0	2168	1441	"KNOWLEDGEABLE" (How much would you say "KNOWLEDGEABLE" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
32	d4f	Impression> Turner> Moral	discrete	numeric-1.0	2061	1548	"MORAL" (How much would you say "MORAL" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
33	d4g	Impression> Turner> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	2161	1448	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
34	d4h	Impression> Turner> Really Cares	discrete	numeric-1.0	2118	1491	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
35	d5a	Impression> Broadbent> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	1982	1627	Would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of ED BROADBENT: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
36	d5b	Impression> Broadbent> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	1956	1653	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
37	d5c	Impression> Broadbent> Man of Vision	discrete	numeric-1.0	1945	1664	"A MAN OF VISION" (How much would you say "A MAN OF VISION" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
38	d5d	Impression> Broadbent> Compassionate	discrete	numeric-1.0	1917	1692	"COMPASSIONATE" (How much would you say "COMPASSIONATE" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
39	d5e	Impression> Broadbent> Knowledgeable	discrete	numeric-1.0	1969	1640	"KNOWLEDGEABLE" (How much would you say "KNOWLEDGEABLE" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
40	d5f	Impression> Broadbent> Moral	discrete	numeric-1.0	1885	1724	"MORAL" (How much would you say "MORAL" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
41	d5g	Impression> Broadbent> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	1962	1647	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
42	d5h	Impression> Broadbent> Really Cares	discrete	numeric-1.0	1926	1683	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
43	d6a	Conservative Candidate> Make a Good Cabinet Minister	discrete	numeric-1.0	952	2657	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER". How much does this phrase fit your impression of the CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE:

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
44	d6b	Conservative Candidate> A Real Conservative	discrete	numeric-1.0	938	2671	"A REAL CONSERVATIVE" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
45	d6c	Conservative Candidate> Look After Interests of Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	950	2659	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
46	d7a	Liberal Candidate> Make a Good Cabinet Minister	discrete	numeric-1.0	557	3052	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER" How much does this phrase fit your impression of the LIBERAL CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
47	d7b	Liberal Candidate> A Real Liberal	discrete	numeric-1.0	551	3058	"A REAL LIBERAL" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
48	d7c	Liberal Candidate> Look After Interests of Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	563	3046	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
49	d8a	NDP Candidate> Make a Good Cabinet Minister	discrete	numeric-1.0	388	3221	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER" How much does this phrase fit your impression of the NDP CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
50	d8b	NDP Candidate> A Real New Democrat	discrete	numeric-1.0	386	3223	"A REAL NEW DEMOCRAT" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
51	d8c	NDP Candidate> Look After Interests of Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	392	3217	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
52	d9	Is One of the Candidates Already Your Member of Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	1369	2240	Is one of the candidates already YOUR member of Parliament?
53	d9a	Which Candidate is Already Your Member of Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	1003	2606	Which one?
54	d10a	Ever Attended Meeting Where Member of Parliament Spoke	discrete	numeric-1.0	1002	2607	Have you ever: attended a meeting or gathering where he/she spoke?
55	d10b	Ever Received Something in the Mail from Member of Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	1003	2606	Received something in the mail from him/her?
56	d10c	Ever Read about Member of Parliament in Newspaper or Magazine	discrete	numeric-1.0	1003	2606	Read about him/her in a newspaper or magazine?
57	d10d	Ever Heard Member of Parliament on the Radio	discrete	numeric-1.0	995	2614	Heard him/her on the radio?
58	d10e	Ever Seen Member of Parliament on Television	discrete	numeric-1.0	990	2619	Seen him/her on TV?
59	d10f	Ever Met Member of Parliament Personally	discrete	numeric-1.0	1001	2608	Met him/her personally?



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
60	d10g	Ever Talked to a Member of Staff or Office of Member of Parliament	discrete	numeric-1.0	458	3151	Talked to a member of his/her staff or someone in his/her office?
61	d11a	Talked to Member of Parliament or a Member of Their Staff or Office: To Express an Opinion	discrete	numeric-1.0	662	2947	Was it to: express an opinion?
62	d11b	Talked to Member of Parliament or a Member of Their Staff or Office: To Seek Information	discrete	numeric-1.0	659	2950	Was it to: seek information?
63	d11c	Talked to Member of Parliament or a Member of Their Staff or Office: To Seek Help with a Problem	discrete	numeric-1.0	660	2949	Was it to: seek help on a problem you had?
64	d11d	Satisfaction with Response From Member of Parliament or a Member of Their Staff or Office	discrete	numeric-1.0	330	3279	How satisfied were you with the response? Were you VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED or NOT AT ALL SATISFIED?
65	d12	Name of Riding in Which Respondent Lives	discrete	numeric-3.0	2820	789	-
66	d13	Remember Name> Conservative Candidate in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2249	1360	Do you happen to remember the name of the Conservative candidate in your riding?
67	d14	Remember Name> Liberal Candidate in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2094	1515	How about the Liberal candidate in your riding? (Do you happen to remember his or her name?)
68	d15	Remember Name> NDP Candidate in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	1825	1784	How about the NDP candidate in your riding? (Do you happen to remember his or her name?)

### Group Strategic Contingencies

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	f1a	Conservative Chances> Winning in Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	3254	355	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the CONSERVATIVE party's chances are of winning IN YOUR RIDING?
2	f1b	Liberal Chances> Winning in Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	3246	363	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the LIBERAL party's chances are of winning IN YOUR RIDING?
3	f1c	NDP Chances> Winning in Your Riding	discrete	numeric-3.0	3223	386	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the NEW DEMOCRATIC party's chances are of winning IN YOUR RIDING?
4	f2a	Conservative Chances> Winning in Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3399	210	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the CONSERVATIVE party's chances are of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?
5	f2b	Liberal Chances> Winning in Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3410	199	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the LIBERAL party's chances are of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?
6	f2c	NDP Chances> Winning in Whole Country	discrete	numeric-3.0	3404	205	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the NEW DEMOCRATIC party's

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							chances are of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?
7	f3	Past Week> Heard or Read Polls About How Well the Parties Are Doing	discrete	numeric-1.0	3565	44	IN THE PAST WEEK, have you read or heard any polls about how well the parties are doing?

### Group National Economic Conditions

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	g1	Past Year> Economy of the Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	3386	223	Would you say that over the PAST YEAR the economy of the COUNTRY has GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME OR GOTTEN WORSE?
2	g1ab	Much/Somewhat Better/Worse Economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	1663	1946	Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? (Question #g1a) / Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse? (Question #g1b)
3	g2	Next 12 Months> Economy of the Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	3100	509	What about the NEXT 12 months? Do you expect the country's economy to get BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?
4	g3	Federal Policies Influence the Country's Economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3341	268	Would you say that the policies of the federal government have made the country's economy BETTER, WORSE, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH DIFFERENCE either way?
5	g3ab	Much/Somewhat Better/Worse Economy Due to Policies of the Federal Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	1421	2188	Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? (Question #g3a) / Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse? (Question #g3b)

### Group Self/Other Placements

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	h1a	How Much Should be Done to Promote French	discrete	numeric-1.0	3523	86	How much do you think should be done to promote French: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?
2	h1b	Liberals> Think How Much Should Be Done to Promote French	discrete	numeric-1.0	3154	455	Where does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party stand on this question? Does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?
3	h1c	Conservatives> Think How Much Should Be Done to Promote French	discrete	numeric-1.0	3151	458	How about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?)
4	h1d	NDP>Think How Much Should Be Done to Promote French	discrete	numeric-1.0	3150	459	How about the FEDERAL NDP party? (Does the FEDERAL NDP think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE,

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?)
5	h1e	Mulroney> Thinks How Much Should Be Done to Promote French	discrete	numeric-1.0	3148	461	How about Brian Mulroney? (Does Brian Mulroney think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?)
6	h1f	Turner> Thinks How Much Should Be Done to Promote French	discrete	numeric-1.0	3149	460	What about John Turner? (Does John Turner think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?)
7	h1g	Broadbent>Thinks How Much Should Be Done to Promote French	discrete	numeric-1.0	3148	461	And Ed Broadbent? (Does Ed Broadbent think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?)
8	h2a	Canada Should Have Closer Ties with the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3566	43	How about you? Do you think Canada should be: MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, MUCH MORE DISTANT, or haven't you thought much about this?
9	h2b	Liberal Party> Canada Should be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3232	377	Where does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party stand on this question? Does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?
10	h2c	Conservative Party> Canada Should be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3233	376	How about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)
11	h2d	NDP> Canada Should be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3232	377	How about the FEDERAL N.D.P. party? (Does the FEDERAL N.D.P. party think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)
12	h2e	Mulroney> Canada Should be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3229	380	How about Brian Mulroney? (Does Brian Mulroney think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
13	h2f	Turner> Canada Should be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3226	383	What about John Turner? (Does John Turner think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)
14	h2g	Broadbent> Canada Should be Closer to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3225	384	And Ed Broadbent? (Does Ed Broadbent think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)
15	h3	How Much Power Should Trade Unions Have	discrete	numeric-1.0	3477	132	How much power do you think trade unions should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about this?
16	h4a	Level of Taxes and Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3346	263	If you HAD TO CHOOSE, should the level of taxes and services be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?
17	h4b	Liberal Party> Level of Taxes and Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3336	273	Where does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party stand on this issue? Does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party think the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?
18	h4c	Conservative Party> Level of Taxes and Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3335	274	How about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE Party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party think the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?)
19	h4d	NDP> Level of Taxes and Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3334	275	How about the FEDERAL N.D.P. party? (Does the FEDERAL N.D.P. party think the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?)
20	h4e	Mulroney> Level of Taxes and Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3331	278	How about Brian Mulroney? (Does Brian Mulroney think the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?)
21	h4f	Turner> Level of Taxes and Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3333	276	What about John Turner? (Does John Turner think there the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
22	h4g	Broadbent> Level of Taxes and Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3330	279	And Ed Broadbent? (Does Ed Broadbent think the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?)
23	h5	Use of Labels to Describe Politics	discrete	numeric-1.0	3504	105	Do you sometimes use these labels?
24	h5a	Where Would You Place Yourself	discrete	numeric-1.0	682	2927	Where would you place YOURSELF: VERY MUCH to the LEFT, SOMEWHAT to the LEFT, in the CENTRE, SOMEWHAT to the RIGHT, or VERY MUCH to the RIGHT?

### Group Federal Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	i1	Federal Party Identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	3283	326	Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P., or none of these?
2	i2	How Strong is Party Identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	2226	1383	How strongly [fill rc2] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
3	i3	A Little Closer to One Federal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	1181	2428	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
4	i4	Which Federal Party Closer To	discrete	numeric-1.0	456	3153	Which party is that?

### Group Government Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	j1	Conservative Government is More or Less Honest Than Prior Liberal Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3276	333	Is this Conservative government MORE honest or LESS honest than the Liberal government before 1984, or hasn't this changed much?
2	j1ab	Much/Somewhat More/Less Honest	discrete	numeric-1.0	1378	2231	Would you say that it is much more honest or somewhat more honest? (Question #j1a) / Would you say that it is much less honest or somewhat less honest? (Question #j1b)
3	j2	Conservative Government Has Done More or Less to Promote Quebec Than the Liberal Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	3082	527	Has the Conservative government done MORE or has it done LESS to promote the interests of Quebec than the Liberal government before 1984, or hasn't this changed much?
4	j2a	Increase of Effort to Promote Quebec's Interests	discrete	numeric-1.0	1318	2291	Do you approve or disapprove of this increase of effort?
5	j2b	Decrease of Effort to Promote Quebec's Interests	discrete	numeric-1.0	375	3234	Do you approve or disapprove of this decrease of effort?
6	j3	Conservative Government Has Done More or Less to Promote the West Than the Liberals	discrete	numeric-1.0	2993	616	Has the Conservative government done MORE or has it done LESS to promote the interests of Western Canada than the Liberal government before 1984, or hasn't this changed much?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	j3a	Increase of Effort to Promote Western Interests	discrete	numeric-1.0	1042	2567	Do you approve or disapprove of this increase of effort?
8	j3b	Decrease of Effort to Promote Western Interests	discrete	numeric-1.0	337	3272	Do you approve or disapprove of this decrease of effort?
9	j4	Rate Conservative Government's Performance to Protect the Environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	3359	250	How would you rate the Conservative government's performance on the protection of the environment? Is it very good, good, poor, or very poor?

### Group Campaign Activities I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	k1	Contacted by Local Candidates or Party Workers in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	3517	92	In the past week, were you contacted in person or by telephone by any of the local candidates or party workers here in your riding?
2	k1a	Which Party Was Candidate From-1st Mention	discrete	numeric-1.0	621	2988	Which party was he or she from?
3	k1b	Which Party Was Candidate From-2nd Mention	discrete	numeric-1.0	612	2997	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone in the past week?)
4	k1c	Which Party Was Candidate From-3rd Mention	discrete	numeric-1.0	150	3459	Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone in the past week?)
5	k2	Contacted in Other Ways by the Parties	discrete	numeric-1.0	3499	110	Were you contacted in any other ways by the parties in the last week, for example, by having a pamphlet left in your mailbox?
6	k2a	Contacted in Other Way-1st Mention	discrete	numeric-1.0	1255	2354	Which party was that?
7	k2b	Contacted in Other Way-2nd Mention	discrete	numeric-1.0	1247	2362	Did any other party contact you in any other way?
8	k2c	Contacted in Other Way-3rd Mention	discrete	numeric-1.0	505	3104	Did any other party contact you in any other way?

### Group Policy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	l2	Free Trade Agreement with the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	3151	458	As you know, [Canada/Mulroney government] has reached a Free Trade Agreement with the United States. All things considered, do you support the agreement or do you oppose it?
2	l2a1	How Do You Feel> Canada Will Lose Control of Economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	554	3055	Some people say that under this agreement Canada will lose its ability to control key sectors of the economy, such as energy. Does this consideration make you LESS SUPPORTIVE of the agreement, or does it make NO DIFFERENCE to how you feel?
3	l2a2	How Do You Feel> It Will Be Hard to Maintain Social Programmes	discrete	numeric-1.0	466	3143	Some people say that this agreement will make it very hard for us to maintain our social programmes, such as medicare. Does this consideration make you LESS SUPPORTIVE of

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							the agreement, or does it make NO DIFFERENCE to how you feel?
4	l2a3	How Do You Feel> Canadians Will Lose Their Jobs in Industries	discrete	numeric-1.0	526	3083	Some people say that under this agreement many Canadians will lose their jobs, in industries such as textiles, automobiles, and services. Does this make you LESS SUPPORTIVE of the agreement, or does it make NO DIFFERENCE to how you feel?
5	l2b1	How Do You Feel> It Will Defend Canada Against American Protectionism	discrete	numeric-1.0	731	2878	Some people say that this agreement will defend us against AMERICAN protectionism, such as happened in the softwood lumber dispute. Does this make you LESS OPPOSED to the agreement, or does it make NO DIFFERENCE to how you feel?
6	l2b2	How Do You Feel> It Will Lower the Cost of Goods	discrete	numeric-1.0	726	2883	Some people say that this agreement will lower the cost of many of the goods that Canadian families need. Does this make you LESS OPPOSED to the agreement, or does it make NO DIFFERENCE to how you feel?
7	l3	Canada Should Stay in NATO or Get Out	discrete	numeric-1.0	3126	483	As you may know, Canada belongs to NATO, a military alliance which includes the United States and many Western European countries. Do you think that Canada should stay in NATO or get out of NATO?
8	l4a	Support or Oppose Meech Lake Accord	discrete	numeric-1.0	558	3051	Do you support the accord or oppose it?
9	l4b	Meech Lake> Quebec is Recognized as a Distinct Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	722	2887	Under this accord, Quebec is recognized as a distinct society. Do you support the accord or oppose it?
10	l4c	Meech Lake> Quebec Has Agreed to Accept the New Constitution of Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	731	2878	Under this accord, the government of Quebec has agreed to accept the new Constitution of Canada, as the other nine provinces did in 1981. Do you support the accord or oppose it?
11	l4d	Meech Lake> Provincial Powers are Strengthened	discrete	numeric-1.0	696	2913	Under this accord, the powers of provincial governments are strengthened in various ways. Do you support the accord or oppose it?
12	l5	Canada Should Welcome More or Fewer Immigrants	discrete	numeric-1.0	3441	168	Some people think that the government of Canada should tighten up its immigration policy. Others think that Canada should welcome even more immigrants.  How about you? Should Canada admit MORE immigrants or FEWER immigrants than at present?
13	l6a	Opinion> Three Positions on Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1695	1914	Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: one, abortion should NEVER be permitted, two, should be permitted only after need has been established by a doctor, or three, should be a matter of the woman's personal choice?
14	l6b	Opinion> Three Positions on Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1789	1820	Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: one, abortion should be a matter of the woman's personal choice, two, should

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							be permitted only after need has been established by a doctor, or three, should NEVER be permitted?
15	17	Privatization of Air Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	2999	610	The government intends to sell shares in Air Canada to private bidders. On balance, do you favour or oppose the privatization of Air Canada?
16	18	Official Languages Act> Extension of Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	3166	443	The Conservative government has made a number of amendments to the Official Languages Act. These amendments extend services for the French people outside Quebec and for the English people inside Quebec. Do you favour or oppose the extension of such services?
17	19	Nuclear Submarines for the Navy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3337	272	<a href="#">19 question details</a>
18	110	Support or Oppose Tax System Changes	discrete	numeric-1.0	2716	893	As we have already mentioned, the government has made a number of changes to the tax system. On the whole, do you support or oppose these changes?
19	111	Senate Should or Should Never Block Bills	discrete	numeric-1.0	3349	260	<a href="#">111 question details</a>
20	112	What Will the Liberals Do With a Free Trade Agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	3158	451	If the Liberal party forms the next government, what do you think they will do with the Free Trade Agreement? Will they tear it up, attempt to renegotiate it, or implement it?
21	112a	If Attempt to Renegotiate Fails-Then What	discrete	numeric-1.0	1705	1904	What if the attempt to renegotiate the agreement fails? Do you think a Liberal government will then implement the agreement or abandon it?
22	113	Liberals Want to Give Tax Credit for Housing Costs	discrete	numeric-1.0	3276	333	The Liberal party wants to give some Canadians a tax credit for their housing costs. Do you favour or oppose this idea?
23	114	Opinion Regarding Child Care	discrete	numeric-1.0	3386	223	<a href="#">114 question details</a>
24	11a	Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You -1	discrete	numeric-2.0	2934	675	-
25	11b	Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 2	discrete	numeric-2.0	182	3427	-
26	11c	Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 3	discrete	numeric-2.0	30	3579	-
27	11d	Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 4	discrete	numeric-2.0	5	3604	-

## Group CPS Sampling and Study Procedures

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	idnum	Respondent Identification Number	continuous	numeric-5.0	3609	0	-
2	province	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
3	replicat	Sample Replicate Code	continuous	numeric-2.0	3609	0	-
4	sample	Sample Code	continuous	numeric-2.0	3609	0	-



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	over	Over Sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
6	areacode	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	3609	0	-
7	intdate	Date of Interview [MMDDYY]	continuous	numeric-6.0	3609	0	-
8	intnum	Interviewer's Number	discrete	numeric-3.0	3609	0	-
9	attempts	Total Call Attempts	continuous	numeric-2.0	3609	0	-
10	refusals	Number of Refusals Before Completion	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
11	contacts	Total Times Respondent Contacted	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
12	answers	Number of Times Telephone Answered	discrete	numeric-2.0	3609	0	-
13	intime	Length of Interview [Minutes]	discrete	numeric-3.0	3585	24	-
14	result	Result Code	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
15	rn1	Random Number 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
16	rn2	Random Number 2 [12a1,12a2,12a3]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
17	rn3	Random Number 3 [12b1,12b2]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
18	rn4	Random Number 4 [14a,14b,14c,14d]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
19	rn5	Random Number 5 [16a,16b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
20	rn6	Random Number 6 [19]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
21	rn7	Random Number 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
22	rn8	Random Number 8	continuous	numeric-2.0	3609	0	-
23	rn9	Random Number 9	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
24	rn10	Random Number 10	discrete	numeric-2.0	3609	0	-
25	rn11	Random Number 11	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
26	rn12	Random Number 12 [18]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
27	rn13	Random Number 13	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
28	rn14	Random Number 14 [16a,16b]	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
29	rsex	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
30	nadults	Number of Adults in Household	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
31	intlang	Language of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	3609	0	-
32	interest	Interest of Questions	discrete	numeric-1.0	3500	109	In general would say the questions were very interesting, somewhat interesting, or not very interesting?
33	length	Number of Minutes it Took to Complete Questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	3343	266	Finally can you tell me how many minutes you think it took to complete this questionnaire?

## Group Background

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	n1	Year of Birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	3535	74	In what year were you born?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	n2	Marital Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	3537	72	Are you presently married or living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?
3	n3	Highest Level of Education Completed	discrete	numeric-2.0	3526	83	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?
4	n4	Any Schooling Obtained in Religious Schools	discrete	numeric-1.0	3471	138	Was any of your schooling obtained in a religious school?
5	n5	Employment Status	discrete	numeric-1.0	3527	82	We'd like to know if you are now working for pay, or are you unemployed, retired, a student, a homemaker, or something else?
6	n6	Occupation-Statistics Canada Code	discrete	numeric-4.0	3322	287	What is/was your family principal wage earner's/is your spouse's occupation.
7	n7	Self-Employed or Working for Someone Else	discrete	numeric-1.0	3411	198	Is/are/were you/your spouse self-employed or work for someone else?
8	n8	Working for Private Firm or Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	2630	979	Do/did/does you/your spouse work for ...
9	n8a	Working for Federal, Provincial, or Local Government	discrete	numeric-1.0	832	2777	Is that the federal, the provincial or a local government?
10	n9	Belong to Labour Union	discrete	numeric-1.0	3503	106	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a labour union?
11	n10	Out of Work/Laid Off During Last Year	discrete	numeric-1.0	2691	918	<a href="#">n10 question details</a>
12	n11	Religious Affiliation	discrete	numeric-1.0	3514	95	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, something else or no religion?
13	n11a	Church or Denomination	discrete	numeric-1.0	1305	2304	What church or denomination is that?
14	n12	How Often Do You Attend Place of Worship	discrete	numeric-1.0	3111	498	How often do you attend your place of worship?
15	n13	Country of Birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	3552	57	In what country were you born?
16	n14	Year Came to Live in Canada	discrete	numeric-4.0	438	3171	In what year did you come to live in Canada?
17	n15	Ethnic or Cultural Group	discrete	numeric-2.0	3217	392	To what ethnic or cultural group did your ancestors belong on coming to this continent? (If respondent was born in Canada or the United States) / To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? (All others)
18	n16	Language First Learned and Can Still Understand	discrete	numeric-1.0	3534	75	What is the first language you learned and still understand?
19	n17	Language Usually Spoken at Home	discrete	numeric-1.0	3533	76	What language do you usually speak at home?
20	n18	Also Carry on a Conversation in French	discrete	numeric-1.0	3300	309	Can you ALSO carry on a conversation in French?
21	n19	Total Family Income	discrete	numeric-2.0	3119	490	<a href="#">n19 question details</a>
22	n20	Number of Children Under 18 That Live in Home	discrete	numeric-1.0	3533	76	How many children under 18 years old live in your home?
23	blish81	Occupation> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score	discrete	numeric-7.2	3332	277	-
24	pinpor81	Occupation> Pineo-Porter 1981 Category	discrete	numeric-5.2	3316	293	-

<b>Group PES Sampling and Study Procedures</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xprov	Province of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
2	xreplic	Sample Replicate Code	continuous	numeric-2.0	2922	687	-
3	xsample	Sample Code	continuous	numeric-2.0	2922	687	-
4	xover	Over Sample	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
5	xareacod	Telephone Area Code	continuous	numeric-3.0	2922	687	-
6	xintdate	Date of Interview [MMDDYY]	continuous	numeric-6.0	2922	687	-
7	xintnum	Interviewer's Number	discrete	numeric-3.0	2922	687	-
8	xattempt	Total Call Attempts	continuous	numeric-2.0	2922	687	-
9	xrefusal	Number of Refusals Before Completion	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
10	xcontact	Total Times Respondent Contacted	discrete	numeric-2.0	2922	687	-
11	xanswer	Number of Times Telephone Answered	discrete	numeric-2.0	2922	687	-
12	xinttime	Length of Interview	discrete	numeric-3.0	2905	704	-
13	xresult	Result Code	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
14	xrn1	Random Number 1	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
15	xrn2	Random Number 2	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
16	xrn3	Random Number 3	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
17	xrn4	Random Number 4	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
18	xrn5	Random Number 5	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
19	xrn6	Random Number 6	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
20	xrn7	Random Number 7	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
21	xrn8	Random Number 8	continuous	numeric-2.0	2922	687	-
22	xrn9	Random Number 9	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
23	xrn10	Random Number 10	discrete	numeric-2.0	2922	687	-
24	xrn11	Random Number 11	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
25	xrn12	Random Number 12	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
26	xrn13	Random Number 13	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
27	xrn14	Random Number 14	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
28	xrsex	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-
29	xintlang	Language of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-

<b>Group Issues, Interest, and Media</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xa1	Interest in Federal Election Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	2916	693	Would you say that you were very interested, fairly interested, not very interested, or not at all interested in the past federal election campaign?
2	xa2	Attention Paid to Campaign News on Television	discrete	numeric-1.0	2919	690	How much attention did you pay to news on TV about the federal election campaign? Would you say, A GREAT

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?
3	xa3	Attention Paid to Campaign News Articles	discrete	numeric-1.0	2915	694	In the past week, did you see any television commercials for a political party?
4	xa5a	Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> Free Trade	discrete	numeric-1.0	2773	836	Did candidates or parties in [respondent's province] discuss ... the Free Trade Agreement?
5	xa5b	Which Party Discussed> Free Trade Agreement	discrete	numeric-2.0	2545	1064	Which party or parties was that?
6	xa6a	Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> Meech Lake Accord	discrete	numeric-1.0	2921	688	(Did candidates or parties in [respondent's province] discuss ...) the Meech Lake Accord?
7	xa6b	Which Party Discussed> Meech Lake Accord	discrete	numeric-2.0	1486	2123	Which party or parties was that?
8	xa7a	Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	2918	691	(Did candidates or parties in [your province] discuss ...) abortion?
9	xa7b	Which Party Discussed> Abortion	discrete	numeric-2.0	1480	2129	Which party or parties was that?
10	xa8a	Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> F18 Contract to Canadair in Montreal	discrete	numeric-1.0	2920	689	Did candidates or parties in [your province] discuss ...) the decision to give the F18 contract to Canadair in Montreal?
11	xa8b	Which Party Discussed> F18 Contract to Canadair in Montreal	discrete	numeric-2.0	559	3050	Which party or parties was that?
12	xa9a	Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> Amendments to the Official Languages Act	discrete	numeric-1.0	2918	691	(Did candidates or parties in [respondent's province] discuss ...) the amendments to the Official Languages Act?
13	xa9b	Which Party Discussed> Amendments to the Official Languages Act	discrete	numeric-2.0	1040	2569	Which party or parties was that?
14	xa4a	Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 1st	discrete	numeric-2.0	2762	847	During the campaign, what issues did the PARTY LEADERS talk about?
15	xa4b	Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 2nd	discrete	numeric-2.0	988	2621	-
16	xa4c	Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 3rd	discrete	numeric-2.0	430	3179	-
17	xa4d	Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 4th	discrete	numeric-2.0	118	3491	-

## Group Voting

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xb1	Did You Vote in This Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	2919	690	We find that in every election, a lot of people don't vote because they are sick, don't have time, or for some other reason. How about you? Did you vote in this election?
2	xb2	Party Voted for in This Election	discrete	numeric-1.0	2284	1325	Which party did you vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, or another party?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	xb2a	How Strong was Preference for Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2271	1338	Would you say that your preference for [party voted for] was VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG or NOT VERY STRONG?
4	xb2b	When Did You Decide When You Were Going to Vote for That Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2275	1334	When did you decide that you were going to vote for [party voted for]? Was it ...
5	xb3a	If You Had Voted, Which Party Would You Have Voted For	discrete	numeric-1.0	269	3340	If you HAD voted, which party would you have voted for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, or another party?
6	xb3b	How Strong Was Your Preference for That Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	268	3341	Would you say that your preference for [party would have voted for] was VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG or NOT VERY STRONG?
7	xb4	Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2662	947	Thinking back to Election Day, which party did you think would win in YOUR RIDING?
8	xb4a	Election Day> Party You Thought Would Finish Second in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2508	1101	Which party did you think would finish second in YOUR RIDING?
9	xb5	Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win in the Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	2598	1011	And on Election Day, which party did you think would win the most seats in the WHOLE COUNTRY?
10	xb5a	Election Day> Party You Thought Would Finish Second in the Country	discrete	numeric-1.0	2530	1079	Which party did you think would finish second in the WHOLE COUNTRY?

### Group Campaign Activities

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xc1	During the Campaign> Discussed Politics with Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	2909	700	DURING THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN, did you discuss politics with other people?
2	xc2	During the Campaign> Helped a Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2917	692	DURING THE CAMPAIGN did you help a party, for example, by going to a meeting or rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a lawn sign?
3	xc2a	During the Campaign> Party Helped	discrete	numeric-2.0	367	3242	Which party or parties was that?
4	xc3	During the Campaign> Contacted by Candidates	discrete	numeric-1.0	2908	701	DURING THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN were you contacted in person or by telephone by any of the local candidates or party workers in your riding?
5	xc3a	During the Campaign> Party Contaced By	discrete	numeric-2.0	1297	2312	Which party or parties was that?
6	xc4	During the Campaign> Pamphlet Left in Mail	discrete	numeric-1.0	2884	725	DURING THE CAMPAIGN were you contacted in any other ways by the parties, for example, by having a pamphlet left in your mailbox?
7	xc4a	During the Campaign> Party That Left Pamphlet	discrete	numeric-2.0	2387	1222	Which party or parties was that?
8	xc5	Did You See the Last TV Debate Among Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	2909	700	Did you see the TV debate among the party leaders?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	xc5a	Leader Who Performed Best in the Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2269	1340	(From what you have heard...) Which leader performed BEST in the debate?
10	xc5b	Leader Who Performed Worst in the Debate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2049	1560	(From what you have heard...) Which leader performed WORST in the debate?
11	xc6	Past Year> Asked to Give Money to a Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2879	730	DURING THIS LAST YEAR, were you asked personally, by telephone or by mail to give money to help a political party or candidate?
12	xc6a	Past Year> Which Party Asked for Money	discrete	numeric-2.0	518	3091	By which party or parties were you asked?
13	xc7	Did You Give Money to a Candidate or Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	549	3060	Did you give money to a candidate or party?
14	xc7a	Which Candidate or Party Did You Give Money To	discrete	numeric-2.0	134	3475	Which party or parties was that?

### Group Leader, Party, Candidate Evaluation - Part I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xe1a	Know How Much About> Brian Mulroney	discrete	numeric-1.0	2913	696	Brian Mulroney
2	xe1b	Know How Much About> John Turner	discrete	numeric-1.0	2914	695	John Turner
3	xe1c	Know How Much About> Ed Broadbent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2915	694	Ed Broadbent
4	xe1d	Know How Much About> Conservative Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2915	694	Now I want to ask about the Conservative candidate in your riding. Did you get to know QUITE A LOT, A FAIR AMOUNT, JUST A LITTLE, or NOTHING AT ALL about him or her?
5	xe1e	Know How Much About> Liberal Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2913	696	How much did you get to know about the Liberal candidate?
6	xe1f	Know How Much About> NDP Candidate	discrete	numeric-1.0	2916	693	How much did you get to know about the N.D.P. candidate?
7	xe2a	Rating> Brian Mulroney	discrete	numeric-3.0	2762	847	How would you rate BRIAN MULRONEY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
8	xe2b	Rating> John Turner	discrete	numeric-3.0	2673	936	How would you rate JOHN TURNER? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
9	xe2c	Rating> Ed Broadbent	discrete	numeric-3.0	2580	1029	How would you rate ED BROADBENT? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
10	xe2d	Rating> Conservative Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	2848	761	How would you rate THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
11	xe2e	Rating> Liberal Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	2848	761	How would you rate THE LIBERAL PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
12	xe2f	Rating> New Democratic Party	discrete	numeric-3.0	2820	789	How would you rate THE NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
13	xe2g	Rating> Conservative Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	2020	1589	How would you rate THE CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
14	xe2h	Rating> Liberal Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	1668	1941	How would you rate THE LIBERAL CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
15	xe2i	Rating> NDP Candidate	discrete	numeric-3.0	1384	2225	How would you rate THE N.D.P. CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

### Group Policy - Part I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xf2	Should Canada Stay in NATO or Get Out	discrete	numeric-1.0	2597	1012	As you may know, Canada belongs to NATO, a military alliance which includes the United States and many Western European countries. Do you think that Canada should stay in NATO or get out of NATO?
2	xf3	Support or Oppose Meech Lake Accord	discrete	numeric-1.0	1976	1633	Now I would like to ask you about the Meech Lake Accord, reached last year by the federal and provincial governments. Do you support the accord or oppose it?
3	xf4a	Opinion> 3 Positions on Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1409	2200	Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: one, abortion should NEVER be permitted, two, should be permitted only after need has been established by a doctor, or three, should be a matter of the woman's personal choice?
4	xf4b	Opinion> 3 Positions on Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	1458	2151	Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: one, abortion should be a matter of the woman's personal choice, two, should be permitted only after need has been established by a doctor, or three, should NEVER be permitted?
5	xf5	Official Languages Act> Extend Services	discrete	numeric-1.0	2695	914	The government has made a number of amendments to the Official Languages Act. These amendments extend services for French people outside Quebec and for English people inside Quebec. Do you favour or oppose the extension of such services?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	xf6	Support or Oppose Tax System Changes	discrete	numeric-1.0	2022	1587	Do you favour or oppose the extension of such services?
7	xf7	Opinion Regarding Child Care	discrete	numeric-1.0	2818	791	<a href="#">xf7 question details</a>
8	xf8	Nuclear Submarines for the Navy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2753	856	The government intends to buy 8 or more nuclear submarines for the Navy. All things considered, do you support or oppose buying nuclear submarines?
9	xf1a	Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 1	discrete	numeric-2.0	2708	901	First, what was the most important issue in this campaign to YOU?
10	xf1b	Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 2	discrete	numeric-2.0	105	3504	-
11	xf1c	Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 3	discrete	numeric-2.0	16	3593	-
12	xf1d	Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 4	discrete	numeric-2.0	3	3606	-

### Group Leader Traits

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xg1	Impression of Party Leaders	discrete	numeric-1.0	2835	774	-
2	xg1a	Impression> Mulroney> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2761	848	How much would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
3	xg1b	Impression> Mulroney> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2746	863	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
4	xg1c	Impression> Mulroney> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	2752	857	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
5	xg1d	Impression> Mulroney> Really Cares	discrete	numeric-1.0	2700	909	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARERS ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
6	xg2a	Impression> Turner> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2670	939	Would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of JOHN TURNER: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE or NOT AT ALL?
7	xg2b	Impression> Turner> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2640	969	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
8	xg2c	Impression> Turner> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	2660	949	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
9	xg2d	Impression> Turner> Really Cares	discrete	numeric-1.0	2593	1016	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
10	xg3a	Impression> Broadbent> Intelligent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2580	1029	Would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of ED BROADBENT: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
11	xg3b	Impression> Broadbent> Trustworthy	discrete	numeric-1.0	2560	1049	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
12	xg3c	Impression> Broadbent> Strong Leadership	discrete	numeric-1.0	2547	1062	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
13	xg3d	Impression> Broadbent> Really Cares	discrete	numeric-1.0	2524	1085	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)

### Group Group Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xh1	Rating> How You Feel About Farmers	discrete	numeric-3.0	2871	738	How do you feel about farmers? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
2	xh2	Rating> How You Feel About the Poor	discrete	numeric-3.0	2783	826	How do you feel about the poor? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
3	xh3	Rating> How You Feel About English Canadians	discrete	numeric-3.0	2826	783	How do you feel about English Canadians? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
4	xh4	Rating> How You Feel About Small Businesses	discrete	numeric-3.0	2863	746	How do you feel about small business? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
5	xh5	Rating> How You Feel About Ethnic Minorities	discrete	numeric-3.0	2754	855	How do you feel about ethnic minorities? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
6	xh6	Rating> How You Feel About Labour Unions	discrete	numeric-3.0	2833	776	How do you feel about labour unions? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
7	xh7	Rating> How You Feel About Native Peoples	discrete	numeric-3.0	2838	771	How do you feel about native peoples? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
8	xh8	Rating> How You Feel About the Elderly	discrete	numeric-3.0	2875	734	How do you feel about the elderly? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
9	xh9	Rating> How You Feel About Feminist Groups	discrete	numeric-3.0	2780	829	How do you feel about feminist groups? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
10	xh10	Rating> How You Feel About French Canadians	discrete	numeric-3.0	2842	767	How do you feel about French Canadians? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
11	xh11	Rating> How You Feel About Americans	discrete	numeric-3.0	2854	755	How do you feel about Americans? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

### Group Candidate Traits

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xi1a	Conservative Candidate> Will Make a Good Cabinet Minister	discrete	numeric-1.0	1997	1612	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER". How much does this phrase fit your impression of the CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
2	xi1b	Conservative Candidate> A Real Conservative	discrete	numeric-1.0	1962	1647	"A REAL CONSERVATIVE" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
3	xi1c	Conservative Candidate> Will Look After Interests of Your Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2003	1606	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
4	xi2a	Liberal Candidate> Will Make a Good Cabinet Minister	discrete	numeric-1.0	1643	1966	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER" How much does this phrase fit your impression of the LIBERAL CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
5	xi2b	Liberal Candidate> A Real Liberal	discrete	numeric-1.0	1594	2015	"A REAL LIBERAL" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
6	xi2c	Liberal Candidate> Will Look After Interests of Your Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	1633	1976	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
7	xi3a	NDP Candidate> Will Make a Good Cabinet Minister	discrete	numeric-1.0	1376	2233	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER" How much does this phrase fit your impression of the N.D.P. CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?
8	xi3b	NDP Candidate> A Real New Democrat	discrete	numeric-1.0	1344	2265	"A REAL NEW DEMOCRAT" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)
9	xi3c	NDP Candidate> Will Look After Interests of Your Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	1381	2228	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)

### Group Group Mobilization

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xj1a	Have You Ever Been Active in a Union	discrete	numeric-1.0	2904	705	Have you, or anyone in your household, ever been ACTIVE in a union, for example, served on a committee, run a meeting, or held an office?
2	xj1b	Contacted by a Union Regarding Supporting a Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2900	709	Did someone in a union contact you, or anyone in your household, about supporting a party or candidate?
3	xj1c	Contacted by a Union to Support Which Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	227	3382	Which party was that?
4	xj2a	Belong to an Ethnic or Cultural Organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	2900	709	Do you, or any member of your family, belong to an ethnic or cultural organization?
5	xj2b	Active Involvement in Ethnic or Cultural Organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	267	3342	Have you, or that person, ever been actively involved in the organization, for example, served on a committee, run a meeting, or held an office?
6	xj2c	Contacted by Ethnic or Cultural Group Regarding Supporting a Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	267	3342	Did someone in that organization contact you, or anyone in your household, about supporting a party or candidate?
7	xj2d	Contacted by Ethnic or Cultural Group to Support Which Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	29	3580	Which party or parties was that?
8	xj3a	Belong to Service Club or Trade Association	discrete	numeric-1.0	2909	700	Do you, or any member of your family, belong to a service club, such as the Rotary Club, or to a business or trade association?
9	xj3b	Active Involvement in Service Club, Etc	discrete	numeric-1.0	691	2918	Have you, or that person, ever been actively involved in the organization, for example, served on a committee, run a meeting, or held an office?
10	xj3c	Contacted by Club Regarding Supporting a Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	687	2922	Did someone in that organization contact you, or anyone in your household, about supporting a party or candidate?
11	xj3d	Contacted by Club to Support Which Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	52	3557	Which party or parties was that?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	xj4a	Belong to "Concerned" Organization> Environment	discrete	numeric-1.0	2913	696	Do you, or any member of your family, belong to an organization concerned with a specific issue, for example, the environment?
13	xj4b	Active Involvement in "Concerned" Organization	discrete	numeric-1.0	336	3273	Have you, or that person, ever been actively involved in the organization, for example, served on a committee, run a meeting, or held an office?
14	xj4c	Contacted by Organization Regarding Supporting a Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	337	3272	Did someone in that organization contact you, or anyone in your household, about supporting a party or candidate?
15	xj4d	Contacted by Organization to Support Which Party	discrete	numeric-2.0	26	3583	Which party or parties was that?
16	xj5a	Heard Anyone from Church Talk About Issues During the Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	2910	699	Did you hear anyone from your church, parish or place of worship talk about issues during the campaign?
17	xj5b	Was the Talk at Church About Free Trade	discrete	numeric-1.0	491	3118	Was it about free trade?
18	xj5c	Was the Talk at Church About Abortion	discrete	numeric-1.0	492	3117	Was it about abortion?
19	xj6a	Work for Company Who Held an Information Meeting Regarding Free Trade	discrete	numeric-1.0	2890	719	Do you, or any member of your family, work for a company which held an information meeting about the Free Trade Agreement during the just completed federal election campaign?
20	xj6b	People in Charge of the Meeting Were For or Against	discrete	numeric-1.0	179	3430	Were the people in charge of the meeting FOR or AGAINST the Agreement?
21	xj7a	Member of a Federal Political Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	2909	700	Are you a member of a FEDERAL political party?
22	xj7b	Which Federal Party Are You a Member Of	discrete	numeric-1.0	233	3376	Which party are you a member of?

### Group Group Responsibility

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xk1	How Much Government Should Do For> Farmers	discrete	numeric-1.0	2858	751	Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ... Farmers?
2	xk2	How Much Government Should Do For> The Poor	discrete	numeric-1.0	2883	726	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) The poor?
3	xk3	How Much Government Should Do For> English Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	2828	781	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) English Canadians?
4	xk4	How Much Government Should Do For> Small Business	discrete	numeric-1.0	2858	751	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) Small business?
5	xk5	How Much Government Should Do For> Ethnic Minorities	discrete	numeric-1.0	2747	862	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) Ethnic minorities?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	xk6	How Much Government Should Do For> Single-Parent	discrete	numeric-1.0	2821	788	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) Single-parent families?
7	xk7	How Much Government Should Do For> Native Peoples	discrete	numeric-1.0	2833	776	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) Native peoples?
8	xk8	How Much Government Should Do For> The Elderly	discrete	numeric-1.0	2895	714	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) The elderly?
9	xk9	How Much Government Should Do For> Women	discrete	numeric-1.0	2839	770	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) Women?
10	xk10	How Much Government Should Do For> French Canadians	discrete	numeric-1.0	2838	771	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) French Canadians?

### Group Policy

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xl1a	Support or Oppose Free Trade Agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	2685	924	All things considered, do you support the agreement or do you oppose it?
2	xl1b	How Strongly Do You Support or Oppose Free Trade	discrete	numeric-1.0	2472	1137	How strongly do you [support/oppose] the agreement? Would you say VERY STRONGLY, SOMEWHAT STRONGLY or NOT VERY STRONGLY?
3	xl1c	If You Had to Choose, Would You Support or Oppose Free Trade Agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	3309	If you had to choose would you support or oppose the agreement?
4	xl2a	The Free Trade Agreement is Necessary to Ensure Large Market	discrete	numeric-1.0	2411	1198	The agreement is necessary to make sure we have a large market for our products. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?
5	xl2b	The Free Trade Agreement Will Cause Canada to Lose the Ability to Control Key Industries	discrete	numeric-1.0	2340	1269	Under the agreement, Canada will lose its ability to control key industries, such as energy. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)
6	xl2c	The Free Trade Agreement Will Threaten Social Programmes	discrete	numeric-1.0	2402	1207	The agreement will threaten our social programmes, such as Medicare. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)
7	xl2d	The Free Trade Agreement Will Defend Us Against American Protectionism	discrete	numeric-1.0	1943	1666	This agreement will defend us against AMERICAN PROTECTIONISM. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)
8	xl2e	Because of the Free Trade Agreement, Canadians Will Lose Their Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	2496	1113	Because of this agreement, many Canadians will lose their jobs. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE,

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)
9	x13	The Conservative Government Were Given the Right to Implement the Free Trade Agreement	discrete	numeric-1.0	2681	928	As a result of this election, did the Canadian people give the Conservative government the right to implement the Free Trade Agreement?

### Group Federal Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	x14	Federal Party Identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	2783	826	Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, or none of these?
2	x15	How Strongly Do You Feel	discrete	numeric-1.0	1982	1627	How strongly [Liberal/Conservative/ N.D.P.] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?
3	x16	A Little Closer to One Federal Party	discrete	numeric-1.0	813	2796	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?
4	x17	Which Federal Party You Are Closer To	discrete	numeric-1.0	348	3261	Which party is that?
5	x18a	Mulroney> Promised to Spend More Than Canadians Can Afford	discrete	numeric-1.0	2612	997	How much would you say this is true of Brian Mulroney? Would you say A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, or NOT AT ALL?
6	x19a	Turner> Promised to Spend More Than Canadians Can Afford	discrete	numeric-1.0	2492	1117	(Party leaders have promised to spend more than Canada can afford.) How much would you say this is true of John Turner? Would you say A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, or NOT AT ALL?
7	x110a	Broadbent> Promised to Spend More Than Canadians Can Afford	discrete	numeric-1.0	2380	1229	(Party leaders have promised to spend more than Canada can afford.) How much would you say this is true of Ed Broadbent? Would you say A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, or NOT AT ALL?

### Group Constituency Verification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xm1	Name of Riding in Which Respondent Lives	continuous	numeric-3.0	2922	687	Could you tell us the name of the riding you live in?
2	xm2	Remember Name> Conservative Candidate in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	Do you happen to remember the name of the Conservative candidate in your riding?
3	xm3	Remember Name> Liberal Candidate in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	What about the Liberal candidate in your riding? (Do you happen to remember his or her name?)
4	xm4	Remember Name> NDP Candidate in Riding	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	What about the N.D.P. candidate in your riding? (Do you happen to remember his or her name?)

### Group Section A - Public Rights

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	qa1	The Price Canadians Pay for Energy Should Be:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1800	1809	The price Canadians pay for energy should be: Left to the market, lower than in other countries to help make Canadian industries competitive, neither, undecided.
2	qa2	Best Way to Prevent Energy Shortages Is:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1939	1670	The best way to prevent energy shortages is to: Cut down on our energy use, encourage the development of new oilfields and dams, neither, undecided.
3	qa3	Big Energy Projects [e.g., Tar Sands] Are:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1696	1913	Big energy projects such as James Bay in Quebec or the Tar Sands in Alberta are: Basically a waste of money, vital strategic investments, neither, undecided.
4	qa4	The Fact That Some Regions in Canada Are Poorer:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2001	1608	The fact that some regions in Canada are poorer than others: Should be accepted as the normal result of market forces, means that the government should give the incentives for firms to create jobs in the poorer regions, neither, undecided.
5	qa5	Farmers/Fishermen Who Cannot Make a Living Should:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1996	1613	Farmers or fishermen who cannot make a living should: Shift into another line of work just like any small business has to do, get government help because their skills and way of life are essential for Canada, neither, undecided.
6	qa6	Native Peoples Should be Able to Have a Large Amount of Self Government:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1882	1727	Native peoples should be able to have a large amount of self government: As long as their system of government conforms with the principles of Canadian democracy, no matter what system of government they adopt, neither, undecided.
7	qa7	French-Canadians Outside Quebec:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2026	1583	French-Canadians outside Quebec: Have a right to educate their children in French wherever the number warrants it, should accept the fact that outside Quebec their children should be schooled in English, the language of daily life, neither, undecided.
8	qa8	English-Canadians Who Move to Quebec:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2027	1582	English-Canadians who move to Quebec: Have a right to educate their children in English wherever the number warrants it, should accept the fact that in Quebec their children should be schooled in French, the language of daily life, neither, undecided.
9	qa9	Business in Quebec Should:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2051	1558	A business in Quebec should: Have the right to put whatever language it wants on the signs outside its building, accept that Quebec is basically French and put only French on the signs outside its building, neither, undecided.
10	qa10	Should Adults be Prevented From Buying Pornographic Books and Movies:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1927	1682	Should adults be prevented from buying pornographic books and movies? Yes because pornography degrades women; no, because it is impossible to define what is pornographic; neither; undecided.
11	qa11	As a General Rule, the Government Should:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1708	1901	As a general rule, the government should: Reduce unemployment even

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							at the risk of higher inflation, control inflation even at the risk of higher unemployment, neither, undecided.
12	qa12	If the Only Hospital in a Region is Run by Roman Catholics Should it be Required to Provide Abortion Services?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1907	1702	<a href="#">qa12 question details</a>
13	qa13	The Owner of an Ethnic Restaurant:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2057	1552	The owner of an ethnic, for example Greek or Chinese restaurant: Should be free to hire only members of his or her ethnic group, should have to hire anyone who is qualified, neither, other.

### Group Section B - Cutting the Deficit

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	qb1	Cut Deficit> Reduce Spending on Universities	discrete	numeric-1.0	1903	1706	Reducing spending on universities.
2	qb2	Cut Deficit> Make it Harder to Get Unemployment Insurance	discrete	numeric-1.0	2040	1569	Make it harder to get unemployment insurance.
3	qb3	Cut Deficit> Allow Doctors to Bill Patients Directly	discrete	numeric-1.0	2046	1563	Reduce government spending on medical care by allowing doctors to bill patients directly for part of the cost of their services.
4	qb4	Cut Deficit> Allow Hospitals to Bill Patients Directly	discrete	numeric-1.0	2045	1564	Reduce government spending on hospital care by allowing hospitals to bill patients directly for part of the cost of hospital care.
5	qb5	Cut Deficit> Make Family Allowance Payments Only to Low-Income Families	discrete	numeric-1.0	2047	1562	Make Family Allowance payments only to low-income families.
6	qb6	Cut Deficit> Reduce Welfare Payments	discrete	numeric-1.0	1968	1641	Reduce welfare payments.
7	qb7	Cut Deficit> Allow the CBC to Accept More Advertising	discrete	numeric-1.0	1720	1889	Allow the CBC to accept more advertising.
8	qb8	Cut Deficit> Reduce the Amount Granted to CBC	discrete	numeric-1.0	1675	1934	Reduce the amount of money granted by Parliament to the CBC.
9	qb9	Cut Deficit> Reduce Spending on the Arts	discrete	numeric-1.0	1876	1733	Reduce spending on the arts and on cultural activities.
10	qb10	Cut Deficit> Sell Canadian National Railways to Private Investors	discrete	numeric-1.0	1746	1863	Sell Canadian National Railways to private investors.
11	qb11	Cut Deficit> Sell Petro-Canada to Private Investors	discrete	numeric-1.0	1784	1825	See Petro-Canada to private investors.
12	qb12	Cut Deficit> Reduce the Defence Budget	discrete	numeric-1.0	1943	1666	Reduce the defence budget.
13	qb13	Cut Deficit> Reduce Farmers' Subsidies	discrete	numeric-1.0	1967	1642	Reduce subsidies to farmers.
14	qb14	Cut Deficit> Reduce Regional Subsidies to Industries	discrete	numeric-1.0	1850	1759	Reduce regional development subsidies to industries.
15	qb15	Cut Deficit> Increase Sales Tax	discrete	numeric-1.0	2040	1569	Increase taxes on consumption, such as the sales tax.



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
16	qb16	Cut Deficit> Increase the Rate of Personal Tax	discrete	numeric-1.0	2012	1597	Increase the rate at which personal income is taxed.
17	qb17	Cut Deficit> Increase the Rate of Corporate Tax	discrete	numeric-1.0	1924	1685	Increase the rate at which corporate income is taxed.

### Group Section C - Industry and Work

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	qc1	When it Comes to Making Decisions in Industry:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1956	1653	When it comes to making decisions in industry: Workers should have more say than they do now, the important decisions should be left to management, neither, undecided.
2	qc2	The Profit System:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1844	1765	The profit system: Teaches people the value of hard work and success, brings out the worst in human nature, neither, undecided.
3	qc3	If the System of Private Enterprise Were Abolished:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1809	1800	If the system of private enterprise were abolished: Most people would work hard anyway, very few people would do their best, neither, undecided.
4	qc4	Unskilled Workers Receive Wages That Are:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1941	1668	Unskilled workers (such as janitors, dishwashers, and so on) receive wages that are: About right, considering the amount of skill required, much too low for the dirty work they do, neither, undecided.
5	qc5	Workers and Management:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1948	1661	Workers and management: Have conflicting interests and are natural enemies, share the same interests in the long run, neither, undecided.
6	qc6	Getting Ahead in the World is Mostly a Matter Of:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1968	1641	Getting ahead in the world is mostly matter of: Ability and hard work, getting the breaks, neither, undecided.
7	qc7	A Person's Wage Should Depend On:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1974	1635	A person's wage should depend on: How much he or she needs to live decently, the importance of the job, neither, undecided.
8	qc8	When People Fail at One Thing After Another it Usually Means:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1853	1756	When people fail at one thing after another it usually means: They are lazy and lack self-discipline, they weren't given a good enough chance to begin with, neither, undecided.
9	qc9	Most Business Executives:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2095	1514	Most business executives: Do important work and deserve high salaries, receive more income than they deserve, neither, undecided.
10	qc10	Strikes to Improve Wages and Working Conditions:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1946	1663	The use of strikes to improve wages and working conditions: Is almost never justified, is often necessary, neither, undecided.
11	qc11	When Businesses are Allowed to Make as Much Money as They Can:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1848	1761	When businesses are allowed to make as much money as they can: Everyone profits in the long run, workers and the poor are bound to get less, neither, undecided.
12	qc12	Land and Natural Resources in This Country Should Be:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1820	1789	The land and natural resources in this country should be: Turned over to

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							private owners, left in the hands of the Crown, neither, undecided.
13	qc13	Government Regulation of Business:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1774	1835	Government regulation of business: Usually does more harm than good, is necessary to keep industry from becoming too powerful, neither, undecided.
14	qc14	Working People in This Country:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1892	1717	Working people in this country: Do not get a fair share of what they produce, usually earn what they deserve, neither, undecided.
15	qc15	People Do Not Work Hard on a Job Because:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1915	1694	When people don't work hard on a job it's usually because: They just don't care about doing an honest day's work, their job is dull, unpleasant, or unimportant, neither, undecided.
16	qc16	Taxes> Corporations and Wealthy People:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1959	1650	When it comes to taxes, corporations and wealthy people: Don't pay their fair share, pay their fair share and more, neither, undecided.
17	qc17	Competition> School, Work or Business:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1970	1639	Competition, whether in school, work, or business, leads to better performance and a desire for excellence, is often wasteful and destructive, neither, undecided.

### Group Section D - Issues I

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	qd1	The Bible is the Actual Word of God and is to Be Taken Literally	discrete	numeric-1.0	1675	1934	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally word for word.
2	qd2	All Are Created Equal but Some Are Better Than Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	1913	1696	We have to teach children that all men are created equal, but almost everyone knows that some are better than others.
3	qd3	We Must Ensure an Independent Canada Even if it Means a Lower Standard of Living	discrete	numeric-1.0	1818	1791	We must ensure an independent Canada even if that were to mean a lower standard of living for Canadians.
4	qd4	Public Money Should Not be Used to Support Religious Schools	discrete	numeric-1.0	1747	1862	Public money should not be used to support any religious schools.
5	qd5	It is the Duty of the Strong to Protect the Weak	discrete	numeric-1.0	1847	1762	It is the duty of the strong to protect the weak.
6	qd6	Canada Will Always Have a Special Relationship with Britain	discrete	numeric-1.0	1717	1892	Canada will always have a special relationship with Britain
7	qd7	Where Religious Schools Have Gotten Public Funds for Years They Should Continue to Get Them	discrete	numeric-1.0	1713	1896	Where religious schools have gotten public funds for years they should continue to get them.
8	qd8	Socialism Would be Better Than What We Have Now	discrete	numeric-1.0	1520	2089	Some form of socialism would certainly be better than the system we have now.

### Group Section E - Issues II

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	qe1	Everyone Would be Better Off if More Women:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1933	1676	Everyone would be better off if more women: Were satisfied to stay home and have families, were encouraged to have careers of their own, neither, undecided.
2	qe2	People Who Are Always Trying to Reform Things are Usually:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1860	1749	People who are always trying to reform things are usually: People who really care about other people, busybodies who do more harm than good, neither, undecided.
3	qe3	Sweeping Reforms in a Complicated Society:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1735	1874	Trying to make sweeping reforms in a society as complicated as ours is usually: Worth trying, despite the risk, much too risky, neither, undecided.
4	qe4	In Our Society Today, Too Much Emphasis is Placed On:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1707	1902	In our society today, too much emphasis is placed on: Conformity and obedience to the community, individual freedom at the expense of the community's interest, neither, undecided.
5	qe5	Before All Else, Our Institutions Should:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1932	1677	Before all else, our institutions should: Make it possible for individuals to realise their full potential, restrain our selfish instincts, neither, undecided.
6	qe6	Which is Closer to Your Own Opinion:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1833	1776	Which is closer to your own opinion: It is important to keep the monarchy as a link with our past, the monarchy has no place in the Canada of today, neither, undecided.
7	qe7	When You Get Right Down to it, Canada Is:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2060	1549	When you get right down to it, Canada is: A Christian society, a country of many religions and faiths, neither, undecided.
8	qe8	In Society Today, Too Little Emphasis is Placed On:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1823	1786	In society today, too little emphasis is placed on: Respect for established institutions, the rights of the individual, neither, undecided.
9	qe9	Ordinances> Equal Rights to Homosexuals:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1889	1720	For the most part, local ordinances that guarantee equal rights to homosexuals in such matters such as jobs and housing: Damage Canadian moral standards, uphold the Canadian idea of human rights for all, neither, undecided.
10	qe10	Your Own View> Deciding What Should Be Published	discrete	numeric-1.0	1961	1648	Which of these comes closer to your own view? The government has no right to decide what should or should not be published, to protect its moral values, a society sometimes has to forbid certain things from being published, neither, undecided.
11	qe11	A Woman Makes Less Than a Man for the Same Work:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2050	1559	If a woman makes less money than a man for the same work, this is most likely: Because she is just trying to supplement the family income, the result of discrimination, neither, undecided.
12	qe12	A Person Who Holds Position of Great Responsibility:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2051	1558	A person who holds a position of great responsibility, such as a doctor, judge, or elected official: Should be treated the same as anyone else, is entitled to be treated with special respect, neither, undecided.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
13	qe13	If a Provincial Law Conflicts With the Charter of Rights, Who Should Have the Final Say:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1720	1889	If the courts say that a provincial law conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say? The Courts because they are in the best position to decide what is just and unjust, the provincial legislature because they are the representatives of the people, neither, undecided.

### Group Section F - Issues III

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	qf1	Capital Punishment is Never Justified	discrete	numeric-1.0	1927	1682	Capital punishment is never justified, no matter what the crime.
2	qf2	New Immigrants Make Canada a Better Place	discrete	numeric-1.0	1657	1952	New immigrants are making Canada a better place to live.
3	qf3	A Person Who Does Not Want to Join a Union Should Still Pay Dues	discrete	numeric-1.0	1946	1663	A person who does not want to join a union should still pay dues to support the union in his or her workplace.
4	qf4	Religion is an Important Part of My Life	discrete	numeric-1.0	1849	1760	Religion is an important part of my life.
5	qf5	It Would Be Best for Native People to be Completely Assimilated into Canadian Society	discrete	numeric-1.0	1720	1889	In the long run, it would be best for native people to be completely assimilated into Canadian society.
6	qf6	Canada Has Two Founding Peoples> British and French	discrete	numeric-1.0	1883	1726	For all the changes in Canadian society we should not lose sight of the fact that Canada has two founding peoples, British and French.
7	qf7	Public Money for Schools Should Be Available to Any Religious Group That Wants It	discrete	numeric-1.0	1851	1758	Public money for schools should be available to any religious groups that want it.
8	qf8	Canada Needs More US Investment	discrete	numeric-1.0	1643	1966	Canada needs more US investment.
9	qf9	Protecting the Environment is More Important Than Creating Jobs	discrete	numeric-1.0	1782	1827	Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs.
10	qf10	Canada Should Encourage Immigration from Countries Most Like Us	discrete	numeric-1.0	1637	1972	Canada should try harder to encourage immigration from countries most like us, such as those in Europe.
11	qf11	No Amount of Money Would Get Me to Move to the United States	discrete	numeric-1.0	1822	1787	No amount of money would get me to move to the United States.

### Group Section G - Issues IV

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	qg1	Do You Think That People Running the Government:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1858	1751	Do you think that: Quite a few of the people running the government are a little crooked? Not very many are crooked? Hardly any of them are crooked?
2	qg2	Do You Think That People in the Government:	discrete	numeric-1.0	2053	1556	Do you think that people in the government: Waste a lot of the money

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							we pay in taxes? Waste some of it? Don't waste very much of it?
3	qg3	How Much of the Time Can You Trust the Government in Ottawa to Do What is Right:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1999	1610	How much of the time do you think you can trust the people in the government in Ottawa to do what is right: Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time.
4	qg4	Do You Feel That People Running the Government:	discrete	numeric-1.0	1872	1737	Do you feel that: Almost all of the people running the government are smart people who usually know that they are doing? Quite a few of them don't seem to know what they are doing?

### Group Section H - Goals

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	qh1	Goal> Maintaining a High Rate of Economic Growth	discrete	numeric-2.0	2046	1563	Maintaining a high rate of economic growth.
2	qh2	Goal> Making Sure This Country Has Strong Defence Forces	discrete	numeric-2.0	2048	1561	Making sure that this country has strong defence forces.
3	qh3	Goal> Seeing That People Have More Say in How Things Get Decided at Work and in Their Communities	discrete	numeric-2.0	2046	1563	Seeing that people have more of a say in how things get decided at work and in their communities.
4	qh4	Goal> Making Our Cities and Countryside More Beautiful	discrete	numeric-2.0	2039	1570	Trying to make our cities and countryside more beautiful.
5	qh5	Goal> Maintaining Order in the Nation	discrete	numeric-2.0	2050	1559	Maintaining order in the nation.
6	qh6	Goal> Giving People More Say in Government Decisions	discrete	numeric-2.0	2042	1567	Giving people more say in government decisions.
7	qh7	Goal> Fighting Rising Prices	discrete	numeric-2.0	2050	1559	Fighting rising prices.
8	qh8	Goal> Protecting Freedom of Speech	discrete	numeric-2.0	2048	1561	Protecting freedom of speech.
9	qh9	Goal> Maintaining a Stable Economy	discrete	numeric-2.0	2059	1550	Maintaining a stable economy.
10	qh10	Goal> Progressing Towards a Less Impersonal, More Humane Society	discrete	numeric-2.0	2045	1564	Progressing toward a less impersonal, more humane society.
11	qh11	Goal> The Fight Against Crime	discrete	numeric-2.0	2052	1557	The fight against crime.
12	qh12	Goal> Progressing Towards a Society Where Ideas Count More Than Money	discrete	numeric-2.0	2045	1564	Progressing towards a society where ideas count more than money.

### Group A Few Facts About Yourself

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	zrsex	Respondent's Gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	2099	1510	What is your sex?
2	yrbirth	Respondent's Year of Birth	continuous	numeric-4.0	2091	1518	What is your year of birth?
3	comments	Further Comments Recorded	discrete	numeric-1.0	344	3265	We are very interested in any further comments you may wish to make about these or related issues.

<b>Group Provincial Economic Conditions</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	e1	Past Year> Economic Condition in Province	discrete	numeric-1.0	3475	134	What about economic conditions in [respondent's province]. Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR economic conditions in [repondent's province] have GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or GOTTEN WORSE?
2	e1a	Economic Conditions> How Much Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	1262	2347	Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
3	e1b	Economic Conditions> How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	702	2907	Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?
4	e2	Federal Economic Policies Influence Province's Economy	discrete	numeric-1.0	3348	261	Would you say that the economic policies of the federal government have made the [respondent's province] economy BETTER, WORSE, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH DIFFERENCE either way?
5	e2a	Federal Economic Policies> How Much Better	discrete	numeric-1.0	818	2791	Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?
6	e2b	Federal Economic Policies> How Much Worse	discrete	numeric-1.0	384	3225	Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?

<b>Group Campaign Activities II</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	m1	Past Week> Discussed Politics with Others	discrete	numeric-1.0	3533	76	Over the past week, have you discussed politics with other people?
2	m2	Past Week> Helped a Party's Campaign	discrete	numeric-1.0	3536	73	During the last week, have you helped a party's campaign, for example, by going to a meeting or rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a lawn sign?

<b>Group Demographics</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	xn1	Year of Birth	discrete	numeric-4.0	2905	704	First, in what year were you born? (ENTER EXACT YEAR e.g., 1937)
2	xn2	Country of Birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	2918	691	In what country were you born?
3	xinteres	Interest of Questions	discrete	numeric-1.0	2915	694	In general, would you say the questions were very interesting, somewhat interesting or not very interesting?
4	xlength	Number of Minutes it Took to Complete Questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	2815	794	Finally, can you tell me how many minutes you think it took to complete this questionnaire?
5	xintlang	Language of Interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	2922	687	-

<b>Group MBS Sampling and Study Procedures</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	mailid	Mail Identification Number	continuous	numeric-4.0	2115	1494	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	zintlang	Language of Interview [Questionnaire]	discrete	numeric-1.0	2115	1494	-

# Variables Description

Dataset contains 548 variable(s)



## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # idnum: Respondent Identification Number

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-90115] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7812.129 /-] [StdDev=10416.511 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents

### # province: Province of Interview

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nfld	113	3.1%
1	P.E.I.	127	3.5%
2	N.S.	109	3.0%
3	N.B.	213	5.9%
4	P.Q.	835	23.1%
5	Ontario	968	26.8%
6	Manitoba	179	5.0%
7	Sask.	193	5.3%
8	Alberta	446	12.4%
9	B.C.	426	11.8%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # replicat: Sample Replicate Code

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-47] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=22.833 /-] [StdDev=13.194 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents

### # sample: Sample Code

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-30] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=14.487 /-] [StdDev=8.092 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents

### # over: Over Sample

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3396	94.1%
1		213	5.9%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # areacode: Telephone Area Code

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 204-902] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=526.632 /-] [StdDev=169.601 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents

# File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

## # intdate: Date of Interview [MMDDYY]

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100488-112088] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=105948.792 /-] [StdDev=4613.048 /-]
Universe	All respondents

## # intnum: Interviewer's Number

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		87	2.4%
2		72	2.0%
4		33	0.9%
5		131	3.6%
6		25	0.7%
7		30	0.8%
9		20	0.6%
10		66	1.8%
11		68	1.9%
12		71	2.0%
13		101	2.8%
16		119	3.3%
17		47	1.3%
18		20	0.6%
20		151	4.2%
22		44	1.2%
23		71	2.0%
24		62	1.7%
25		64	1.8%
26		30	0.8%
27		139	3.9%
28		42	1.2%
34		26	0.7%
36		23	0.6%
37		95	2.6%
38		38	1.1%
39		17	0.5%
40		50	1.4%
41		12	0.3%
42		59	1.6%
44		10	0.3%
45		13	0.4%
46		11	0.3%
48		64	1.8%
49		144	4.0%

# File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

## # intnum: Interviewer's Number

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50		77	2.1%
51		33	0.9%
53		51	1.4%
54		34	0.9%
55		99	2.7%
56		14	0.4%
57		14	0.4%
58		39	1.1%
59		48	1.3%
60		36	1.0%
61		75	2.1%
62		39	1.1%
63		52	1.4%
64		71	2.0%
65		120	3.3%
66		32	0.9%
67		26	0.7%
68		33	0.9%
71		72	2.0%
72		97	2.7%
74		92	2.5%
75		112	3.1%
76		108	3.0%
77		52	1.4%
78		49	1.4%
999	Supervisor	79	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # attempts: Total Call Attempts

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3.364 /-] [StdDev=2.986 /-]
Universe	All respondents

## # refusals: Number of Refusals Before Completion

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3498	96.9%
1		110	3.0%
2		1	0.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # contacts: Total Times Respondent Contacted







Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # contacts: Total Times Respondent Contacted

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Universe All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2854	 79.1%
2		560	 15.5%
3		150	 4.2%
4		32	 0.9%
5		11	 0.3%
6		2	 0.1%















Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # answers: Number of Times Telephone Answered

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Universe All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1852	 51.3%
2		911	 25.2%
3		433	 12.0%
4		189	 5.2%
5		99	 2.7%
6		46	 1.3%
7		29	 0.8%
8		18	 0.5%
9		13	 0.4%
10		8	 0.2%
11		4	 0.1%
12		3	 0.1%
13		2	 0.1%
15		2	 0.1%








Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # intime: Length of Interview [Minutes]

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12-998] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=3585 /-] [Invalid=24 /-]

Universe All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12		2	 0.1%
13		8	 0.2%
14		5	 0.1%
15		4	 0.1%
16		1	 0.0%
17		2	 0.1%
18		7	 0.2%

# File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

# intime: Length of Interview [Minutes]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19		9	0.3%
20		10	0.3%
21		11	0.3%
22		34	0.9%
23		43	1.2%
24		50	1.4%
25		68	1.9%
26		82	2.3%
27		133	3.7%
28		162	4.5%
29		192	5.4%
30		202	5.6%
31		175	4.9%
32		199	5.6%
33		187	5.2%
34		194	5.4%
35		191	5.3%
36		170	4.7%
37		164	4.6%
38		121	3.4%
39		124	3.5%
40		105	2.9%
41		115	3.2%
42		83	2.3%
43		74	2.1%
44		76	2.1%
45		58	1.6%
46		61	1.7%
47		57	1.6%
48		41	1.1%
49		31	0.9%
50		40	1.1%
51		20	0.6%
52		19	0.5%
53		24	0.7%
54		27	0.8%
55		19	0.5%
56		12	0.3%
57		14	0.4%
58		12	0.3%
59		8	0.2%
60		19	0.5%
61		11	0.3%

# File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

## # intime: Length of Interview [Minutes]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
62		9	0.3%
63		4	0.1%
64		11	0.3%
65		11	0.3%
66		5	0.1%
67		8	0.2%
68		1	0.0%
69		4	0.1%
70		2	0.1%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
73		2	0.1%
74		8	0.2%
75		4	0.1%
76		2	0.1%
77		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		1	0.0%
81		1	0.0%
82		2	0.1%
87		3	0.1%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
92		1	0.0%
998	D.K.	23	0.6%
Sysmiss		24	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # result: Result Code

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Completion	3541	<div></div>	98.1%
2	Partial	68	<div></div>	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # rn1: Random Number 1

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1754	48.6%

# File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

## # rn1: Random Number 1

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1855	51.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # rn2: Random Number 2 [I2a1,I2a2,I2a3]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1235	34.2%
1		1193	33.1%
2		1181	32.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # rn3: Random Number 3 [I2b1,I2b2]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1857	51.5%
1		1752	48.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # rn4: Random Number 4 [I4a,I4b,I4c,I4d]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		870	24.1%
1		880	24.4%
2		926	25.7%
3		933	25.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # rn5: Random Number 5 [I6a,I6b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1885	52.2%
1		1724	47.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # rn6: Random Number 6 [I9]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # rn6: Random Number 6 [I9]

**Universe** All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1214	33.6%
1		1212	33.6%
2		1183	32.8%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # rn7: Random Number 7

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Universe** All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1792	49.7%
1		1817	50.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # rn8: Random Number 8

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-35] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=17.237 /-] [StdDev=10.282 /-]

**Universe** All respondents

### # rn9: Random Number 9

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Universe** All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		576	16.0%
1		583	16.2%
2		626	17.3%
3		592	16.4%
4		582	16.1%
5		650	18.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # rn10: Random Number 10

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-11] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Universe** All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		301	8.3%
1		286	7.9%
2		340	9.4%
3		275	7.6%
4		283	7.8%
5		279	7.7%



## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # rn10: Random Number 10

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		288	<div></div> 8.0%
7		312	<div></div> 8.6%
8		326	<div></div> 9.0%
9		324	<div></div> 9.0%
10		320	<div></div> 8.9%
11		275	<div></div> 7.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # rn11: Random Number 11

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		618	<div></div> 17.1%
1		596	<div></div> 16.5%
2		634	<div></div> 17.6%
3		596	<div></div> 16.5%
4		581	<div></div> 16.1%
5		584	<div></div> 16.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # rn12: Random Number 12 [I8]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1840	<div></div> 51.0%
1		1769	<div></div> 49.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # rn13: Random Number 13

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		733	<div></div> 20.3%
1		675	<div></div> 18.7%
2		697	<div></div> 19.3%
3		749	<div></div> 20.8%
4		755	<div></div> 20.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # rn14: Random Number 14 [I6a,I6b]

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # rn14: Random Number 14 [l6a,l6b]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Universe** All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1757	48.7%
1		1852	51.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # rsex: Respondent's Gender

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Universe** All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1792	49.7%
5	Female	1817	50.3%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # nadults: Number of Adults in Household

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-8] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

**Universe** All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	0	
1		907	25.1%
2		2044	56.6%
3		419	11.6%
4		168	4.7%
5		55	1.5%
6		12	0.3%
7		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # a1: Interest in Federal Election Campaign

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3584 /-] [Invalid=25 /-]

**Universe** All respondents

**Pre-question** First, some questions about the present federal election campaign.

**Literal question** Would you say that you are very interested, fairly interested, not very interested, or not at all interested in the campaign?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Interested	894	24.9%
2	Fairly	1511	42.2%
3	Not Very	880	24.6%
4	Not At All	299	8.3%
8	D.K.	21	

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # a1: Interest in Federal Election Campaign

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # a2: Number of Days in the Past Week Watched News on TV

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3597 /-] [Invalid=12 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents
<b>Literal question</b>	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you watch the news on T.V.?
<b>Post-question</b>	If respondent provides a number greater than 0, go to #a2a. All others go to #a3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	448	12.5%
1		188	5.2%
2		325	9.0%
3		404	11.2%
4		261	7.3%
5		264	7.3%
6		94	2.6%
7		1613	44.8%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	1	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # a2a: Main Source of News> CBC

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3067 /-] [Invalid=542 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who watched the news on TV at least one day in the past week, as indicated in #a2.
<b>Literal question</b>	Was that mainly the CBC news?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Mention main source only.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes,CBC	1614	52.6%
3	Both CBC & Other	636	20.7%
5	No,Other	817	26.6%
8	D.K.	82	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		460	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # a2b: Attention Paid to Campaign News on TV

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3140 /-] [Invalid=469 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who watched the news on TV at least one day in the past week, as indicated in #a2.
<b>Literal question</b>	How much attention did you pay to news on TV about the election campaign? Would you say A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # a2b: Attention Paid to Campaign News on TV

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	565	18.0%
2	Quite a Bit	916	29.2%
3	Some	1056	33.6%
4	Very Little	484	15.4%
5	None	119	3.8%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		460	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # a3: Did You See TV Commercials for a Political Party

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3438 /-] [Invalid=171 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	In the past week, did you see any television commercials for a political party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2155	62.7%
5	No	1283	37.3%
8	D.K.	52	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		119	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # a4: Number of Days in Past Week Read Daily Newspaper

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3603 /-] [Invalid=6 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How many days IN THE PAST WEEK did you read a daily newspaper?
Post-question	If respondent provides a number greater than 0, go to #a4a. All others go to #a5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	780	21.6%
1		357	9.9%
2		339	9.4%
3		247	6.9%
4		153	4.2%
5		138	3.8%
6		157	4.4%
7		1432	39.7%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # a4a: Daily Newspaper Read Most> National Politics

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
-------------	---

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # a4a: Daily Newspaper Read Most> National Politics

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2783 /-] [Invalid=826 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who read the newspaper at least one day in the past week, as indicated in #a2.
<b>Literal question</b>	What daily newspaper did you read most for news about national politics?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	IF NECESSARY: In what city is that published?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	843	30.3%
1	Calgary Herald	88	3.2%
2	Calgary Sun	41	1.5%
3	Edmonton Journal	116	4.2%
4	Edmonton Sun	48	1.7%
5	Evening Times Globe	6	0.2%
6	Fredericton DG	13	0.5%
7	Halifax Chronicle	44	1.6%
8	Hamilton Spectator	26	0.9%
9	Kitchener-Wat Record	14	0.5%
10	London Free Press	37	1.3%
11	Moncton Times-Transc	38	1.4%
12	Mtl La Presse	129	4.6%
13	Mtl Le Devoir	15	0.5%
14	Mtl Le Journal	135	4.9%
15	Mtl The Gazette	110	4.0%
16	Ottawa Citizen	66	2.4%
17	Que Le Journal	57	2.0%
18	Que Le Soleil	69	2.5%
19	Reginal Leader Post	44	1.6%
20	Saskatoon Star Phoen	42	1.5%
21	Toronto Globe & Mail	144	5.2%
22	Toronto Star	194	7.0%
23	Toronto Sun	95	3.4%
24	Vancouver Province	85	3.1%
25	Vancouver Sun	121	4.3%
26	Victoria Times-Col	44	1.6%
27	Windsor Star	26	0.9%
28	Winnipeg Free Press	93	3.3%
98	D.K.	38	
99	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		786	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # a4b: Attention Paid to Campaign News Articles

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2819 /-] [Invalid=790 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who read the newspaper at least one day in the past week, as indicated in #a2.

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # a4b: Attention Paid to Campaign News Articles

<b>Literal question</b>	How much attention did you pay to articles in the newspaper about the election campaign? Would you say A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?
-------------------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	338	12.0%
2	Quite a Bit	648	23.0%
3	Some	1025	36.4%
4	Very Little	591	21.0%
5	None	217	7.7%
8	D.K.	4	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		786	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # a6: Saw Last TV Debate Among Party Leaders

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2047 /-] [Invalid=1562 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you see the last TV debate among the party leaders?
<b>Post-question</b>	If respondent refuses to answer, go to #a7. All others go to #a6a.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1098	53.6%
5	No	949	46.4%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1556	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # a6a: Leader That Performed the Best in Debate

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1492 /-] [Invalid=2117 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #a6.
<b>Literal question</b>	(From what you have heard) Which leader performed the BEST in that debate?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mulroney	270	18.1%
2	Turner	1099	73.7%
3	Broadbent	123	8.2%
8	D.K.	530	
9	Refused	31	
Sysmiss		1556	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.




### # a6b: Leader That Performed the Worst in Debate

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1364 /-] [Invalid=2245 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #a6.

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # a6b: Leader That Performed the Worst in Debate

**Literal question** (From what you have heard) Which leader performed the WORST?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mulroney	545	 40.0%
2	Turner	154	 11.3%
3	Broadbent	665	 48.8%
8	D.K.	655	
9	Refused	34	
Sysmiss		1556	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*





### # a7: Pay Much Attention to Politics Generally

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3597 /-] [Invalid=12 /-]

**Universe** All respondents

**Literal question** We would like to know whether you pay much attention to politics GENERALLY, whether there is an election going on or not. Would you say that you follow politics VERY CLOSELY, FAIRLY CLOSELY, NOT VERY CLOSELY, or NOT AT ALL?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Closely	382	 10.6%
2	Fairly Closely	1430	 39.8%
3	Not Very Closely	1418	 39.4%
4	Not At All	367	 10.2%
8	D.K.	10	
9	Refused	2	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # b1: Expect to Vote in the Upcoming Election

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]



**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=3460 /-] [Invalid=149 /-]

**Universe** All respondents

**Literal question** Now we'd like to ask you about what you expect to do in this election. As things look now, do you expect to vote in the upcoming federal election?

**Post-question** If respondent answers "yes", go to #b2. All others go to #b6.

**Notes** Split-sample design. Half of the respondents were asked vote intentions ("Section B") at this early stage of the question. Others were asked vote intentions near the end of the questions just prior to Section M Campaign Activities.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3252	 94.0%
5	No	208	 6.0%
8	D.K.	145	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # b2: Party You Think You Will Vote For

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*/8/9]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2267 /-] [Invalid=1342 /-]

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # b2: Party You Think You Will Vote For

<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who are expected to vote in the upcoming election, as indicated in #b1.
<b>Literal question</b>	Which party do you think you will vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, or another party?
<b>Post-question</b>	If respondent provides a party, go to #b4. All others go to #b3.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	970	42.8%
2	Liberal	686	30.3%
3	NDP	505	22.3%
4	Other	106	4.7%
8	D.K.	776	
9	Refused	209	
Sysmiss		357	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # b3: Party Leaning Toward

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=516 /-] [Invalid=3093 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who answered "don't know", or refused to answer #b2.
<b>Literal question</b>	Perhaps you have not yet made up your mind. But which party are you leaning toward now?
<b>Post-question</b>	If respondent answers "Conservative", "Liberal", or "N.D.P.", go to #b4. All others go to #b6.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	200	38.8%
2	Liberal	196	38.0%
3	NDP	104	20.2%
4	Other	16	3.1%
8	D.K.	323	
9	Refused	146	
Sysmiss		2624	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # b4: Party Definitely Do Not Want to Vote For

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2683 /-] [Invalid=926 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who answered "Conservative", "Liberal", or "N.D.P" for #b3.
<b>Literal question</b>	Is there a party, [Conservative, Liberal or NDP] that you definitely DO NOT WANT TO VOTE FOR?
<b>Post-question</b>	If respondent answers "yes, neither of the parties", go to #b6. All others go to #b5.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not Vote PC	593	22.1%
2	Not Vote Liberal	404	15.1%
3	Not Vote NDP	685	25.5%
4	Neither Other	344	12.8%
5	Not Vote "Other"	36	1.3%
7	No	621	23.1%
8	D.K.	75	



## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final

### # b4: Party Definitely Do Not Want to Vote For

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		842	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # b5: Final Choice, or You May Still Change Your Mind

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1838 /-] [Invalid=1771 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "yes, neither of the parties" for #b4.
<b>Literal question</b>	Would you say that your choice of [the party respondent identified] is final, or that you may still change your mind?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Final	1233	67.1%
5	May Change	605	32.9%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1762	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # b6: Did You Vote in the Last Federal Election - 1984

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3567 /-] [Invalid=42 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents
<b>Literal question</b>	Now, thinking back to the last federal election, in 1984, did you vote in that election?
<b>Post-question</b>	If respondent answers "yes", go to #b7. All others go to #b8.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2899	81.3%
5	No	668	18.7%
8	D.K.	39	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # b7: Party Voted For in Last Federal Election

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2617 /-] [Invalid=992 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who voted in the last federal election, as indicated in #b6.
<b>Literal question</b>	Which party did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	1424	54.4%
2	Liberal	742	28.4%
3	NDP	416	15.9%
4	Other	35	1.3%
8	D.K.	131	
9	Refused	151	

## File : CPS&PES&MBS&WEB\_2011\_final



### # b7: Party Voted For in Last Federal Election

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		710	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # b8: Did You Vote in the Last Provincial Election

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3530 /-] [Invalid=79 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents
<b>Literal question</b>	And what about the last provincial election held in [date of last provincial election] in [respondent's province]. Did you vote in that election?
<b>Post-question</b>	If respondent answers "yes", go to #b9. All others go to #b10.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2716	 76.9%
5	No	814	 23.1%
8	D.K.	74	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# b9: Party Voted for in Last Provincial Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2411 /-] [Invalid=1198 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the last provincial election, as indicated in #b8.		
Literal question	Which party did you vote for?		
Notes	The Social Credit party is only provided in British Columbia. The Parti Quebecois is only provided in Quebec.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	709	<div></div> 29.4%
2	Liberal	954	<div></div> 39.6%
3	NDP	413	<div></div> 17.1%
4	Social Credit	129	<div></div> 5.4%
5	Parti Quebecois	169	<div></div> 7.0%
6	Other	37	<div></div> 1.5%
8	D.K.	160	
9	Refused	145	
Sysmiss		893	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# b10: Support or Oppose Quebec Independence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=826 /-] [Invalid=2783 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who reside in the province of Quebec.		
Literal question	What is your opinion on Quebec independence? Do you support it completely, support it somewhat, oppose it somewhat, oppose it completely or don't you really have an opinion on it?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support Completely	72	<div></div> 8.7%
2	Support Somewhat	162	<div></div> 19.6%
3	Oppose Somewhat	139	<div></div> 16.8%
4	Oppose Completely	254	<div></div> 30.8%
8	No Opinion	199	<div></div> 24.1%
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		2774	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# c1: Better/Worse Off Financially Than a Year Ago			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3520 /-] [Invalid=89 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	To help us understand the background to this year's election, we are interested in how people are getting along financially these days.		
Literal question	Would you say that you (and your family living there) are BETTER off or WORSE off financially than you were a year ago?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "better off", go to #c1a. If respondent answers "worse off", go to #c1b. All others go to #c2.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better Off	1372	<div></div> 39.0%
3	Same	1349	<div></div> 38.3%
5	Worse Off	799	<div></div> 22.7%

# c1: Better/Worse Off Financially Than a Year Ago			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	72	
9	Refused	17	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# c1ab: Much/Somewhat Better/Worse Than a Year Ago			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2152 /-] [Invalid=1457 /-]		
Universe	#c1a asked only to respondents who answered "better off" for #c1. #c1b asked only to respondents who answered "worse off" for #c1.		
Literal question	Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off? (Question #c1a) / Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off? (Question #c1b)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Better	332	15.4%
2	Somewhat Better	1029	47.8%
4	Somewhat Worse	565	26.3%
5	Much Worse	226	10.5%
8	D.K.	18	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1438	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# c2: Better/Worse Off Financially a Year From Now			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3202 /-] [Invalid=407 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Now looking ahead, do you think that a year from now you (and your family living there) will be BETTER off financially or WORSE off, or just about the same as now?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "better off", go to #c2a. If respondent answers "worse off", go to #c2b. All others go to #c3.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	1060	33.1%
3	Same	1800	56.2%
5	Worse	342	10.7%
8	D.K.	398	
9	Refused	9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# c2ab: Much/Somewhat Better/Worse a Year From Now			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1374 /-] [Invalid=2235 /-]		
Universe	#c2a asked only to respondents who answered "better off" for #c2. #c2b asked only to respondents who answered "worse off" for #c2.		
Literal question	Is that MUCH better off or SOMEWHAT better off? (Question #c2a) / Is that MUCH worse off or SOMEWHAT worse off? (Question #c2b)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Better	331	24.1%
2	Somewhat Better	714	52.0%
4	Somewhat Worse	238	17.3%

# c2ab: Much/Somewhat Better/Worse a Year From Now			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Much Worse	91	<div></div> 6.6%
8	D.K.	27	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2207	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# c3: Economic Policies of the Federal Government Made You:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3411 /-] [Invalid=198 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Have the economic policies of the federal government made you (and your family living there) BETTER off, WORSE off, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH OF A DIFFERENCE either way?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better Off	441	<div></div> 12.9%
3	Not Much Difference	2484	<div></div> 72.8%
5	Worse Off	486	<div></div> 14.2%
8	D.K.	189	
9	Refused	9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# c4: Tax System Changes Mean Income Up/Down			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2907 /-] [Invalid=702 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	On July 1 this year, the government changed the tax system. For some people, income taxes have gone down. For others, they have gone up. Have these changes meant that your (and your family's) income, after taxes, has GONE UP or GONE DOWN?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gone Up	1206	<div></div> 41.5%
3	No Change	700	<div></div> 24.1%
5	Gone Down	1001	<div></div> 34.4%
8	D.K.	691	
9	Refused	11	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d1a: Know How Much About> Brian Mulroney			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3592 /-] [Invalid=17 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now we'd like to ask you about the leaders, parties, and local candidates. First I'm going to read a list of names of people in politics. For each name, please tell me whether you know QUITE A LOT, A FAIR AMOUNT, JUST A LITTLE, or NOTHING AT ALL about the person.		
Literal question	Brian Mulroney		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	1213	<div></div> 33.8%
2	Fair Amt	1346	<div></div> 37.5%
3	Just a Little	872	<div></div> 24.3%

# d1a: Know How Much About> Brian Mulroney			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Nothing At All	161	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d1b: Know How Much About> John Turner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3596 /-] [Invalid=13 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	For each name, please tell me whether you know QUITE A LOT, A FAIR AMOUNT, JUST A LITTLE, or NOTHING AT ALL about the person.		
Literal question	John Turner		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	954	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
2	Fair Amt	1240	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
3	Just a Little	1085	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
4	Nothing At All	317	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d1c: Know How Much About> Ed Broadbent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3593 /-] [Invalid=16 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	For each name, please tell me whether you know QUITE A LOT, A FAIR AMOUNT, JUST A LITTLE, or NOTHING AT ALL about the person.		
Literal question	Ed Broadbent		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	899	<div><div></div></div> 25.0%
2	Fair Amt	1105	<div><div></div></div> 30.8%
3	Just a Little	1158	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
4	Nothing At All	431	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d1d: Have the Conservatives Nominated a Candidate in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2525 /-] [Invalid=1084 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Now I want to ask about the Conservative candidate in your riding. Have the Conservatives nominated a candidate in your riding yet?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #d1a. All others go to #d1e.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, Have Nominated	2249	<div><div></div></div> 89.1%

# d1d: Have the Conservatives Nominated a Candidate in Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	No, Have Not	276	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
8	D.K.	1082	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d1da: Know How Much About> PC Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2239 /-] [Invalid=1370 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents for whom the Conservatives have nominated a candidate in their riding.		
Literal question	Do you know QUITE A LOT, A FAIR AMOUNT, JUST A LITTLE, or NOTHING AT ALL about him or her?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	444	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
2	Fair Amt	530	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
3	Just a Little	722	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
4	Nothing At All	543	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
8	D.K.	10	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1360	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d1e: Have the Liberals Nominated a Candidate in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2345 /-] [Invalid=1264 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How about the Liberals. Do they have a candidate yet?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #d1ea. All others go to #d1f.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, Have Nominated	2094	<div><div></div></div> 89.3%
5	No, Have Not	251	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
8	D.K.	1262	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d1ea: Know How Much About> Liberal Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2079 /-] [Invalid=1530 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents for whom the Liberals have nominated a candidate in their riding.		
Literal question	And how much do you know about him or her?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	265	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
2	Fair Amt	318	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%
3	Just a Little	784	<div><div></div></div> 37.7%
4	Nothing At All	712	<div><div></div></div> 34.2%

# d1ea: Know How Much About> Liberal Candidate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	15	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1515	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d1f: Has the NDP Nominated a Candidate in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2140 /-] [Invalid=1469 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How about the NDP. Do they have a candidate yet?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #d1fa. All others go to #d2.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes, Have Nominated	1825	<div></div> 85.3%
5	No, Have Not	315	<div></div> 14.7%
8	D.K.	1467	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d1fa: Know How Much About> NDP Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1804 /-] [Invalid=1805 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents for whom the N.D.P. have nominated a candidate in their riding.		
Literal question	And how much do you know about him or her?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	176	<div></div> 9.8%
2	Fair Amt	234	<div></div> 13.0%
3	Just a Little	653	<div></div> 36.2%
4	Nothing At All	741	<div></div> 41.1%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1784	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d2a: Rating> Brian Mulroney			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2519 /-] [Invalid=1090 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now let's talk about your feelings towards the political parties, their leaders and their candidates. I'll read a name and ask you to rate a person or a party on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 and 100 degrees mean that you feel favourable toward that person. Ratings between 0 and 50 degrees mean that you feel unfavourable toward that person. You may use any number from 0 to 100 to tell me how you feel.		
Literal question	How would you rate BRIAN MULRONEY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	136	<div></div> 5.4%



## # d2a: Rating> Brian Mulroney

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		10	0.4%
2		11	0.4%
3		2	0.1%
4		2	0.1%
5		18	0.7%
10		76	3.0%
11		1	0.0%
15		14	0.6%
18		1	0.0%
20		66	2.6%
22		1	0.0%
25		71	2.8%
26		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
29		2	0.1%
30		131	5.2%
32		3	0.1%
33		2	0.1%
35		40	1.6%
36		1	0.0%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
39		1	0.0%
40		199	7.9%
41		1	0.0%
43		1	0.0%
44		2	0.1%
45		79	3.1%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		12	0.5%
49		21	0.8%
50		323	12.8%
51		15	0.6%
52		8	0.3%
53		1	0.0%
55		70	2.8%
56		2	0.1%
57		1	0.0%
58		3	0.1%
59		3	0.1%
60		329	13.1%
61		1	0.0%
62		2	0.1%
63		3	0.1%

# d2a: Rating> Brian Mulroney			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
64		1	0.0%
65		96	3.8%
66		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
70		199	7.9%
72		2	0.1%
73		4	0.2%
74		2	0.1%
75		226	9.0%
76		1	0.0%
78		3	0.1%
80		169	6.7%
83		2	0.1%
85		28	1.1%
86		2	0.1%
89		1	0.0%
90		54	2.1%
95		12	0.5%
98		1	0.0%
99		5	0.2%
100	Very Positive	36	1.4%
998	D.K.	20	
999	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		1050	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d2b: Rating> John Turner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2151 /-] [Invalid=1458 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How would you rate JOHN TURNER? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	73	3.4%
1		9	0.4%
2		6	0.3%
4		1	0.0%
5		19	0.9%
6		4	0.2%
7		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		85	4.0%
12		2	0.1%
15		24	1.1%

## # d2b: Rating> John Turner









































Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		108	5.0%
22		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		77	3.6%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		155	7.2%
31		1	0.0%
32		3	0.1%
33		2	0.1%
35		55	2.6%
37		1	0.0%
38		2	0.1%
39		3	0.1%
40		269	12.5%
42		2	0.1%
43		3	0.1%
44		1	0.0%
45		93	4.3%
46		2	0.1%
47		1	0.0%
48		6	0.3%
49		13	0.6%
50		351	16.3%
51		9	0.4%
52		4	0.2%
53		3	0.1%
54		2	0.1%
55		41	1.9%
56		1	0.0%
57		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%
59		2	0.1%
60		209	9.7%
62		2	0.1%
63		2	0.1%
64		2	0.1%
65		81	3.8%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		2	0.1%
70		125	5.8%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%

# d2b: Rating> John Turner			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
75		113	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
76		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
77		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
80		85	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
82		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
85		20	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
88		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
90		22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
98		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
100	Very Positive	31	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
998	D.K.	25	
999	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		1415	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d2c: Rating> Ed Broadbent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1969 /-] [Invalid=1640 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How would you rate ED BROADBENT? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	41	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
1		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
3		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
6		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
8		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10		44	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
12		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
15		15	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
16		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
18		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
20		47	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
25		61	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
28		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
30		101	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
31		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
35		40	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
37		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
39		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
40		170	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
41		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
42		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

# # d2c: Rating> Ed Broadbent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43		1	0.1%
45		53	2.7%
46		1	0.1%
47		2	0.1%
48		2	0.1%
49		7	0.4%
50		315	16.0%
51		8	0.4%
52		4	0.2%
53		1	0.1%
54		2	0.1%
55		49	2.5%
58		3	0.2%
59		1	0.1%
60		243	12.3%
61		2	0.1%
62		4	0.2%
63		2	0.1%
65		96	4.9%
68		2	0.1%
70		152	7.7%
72		2	0.1%
75		179	9.1%
76		2	0.1%
77		4	0.2%
78		2	0.1%
79		1	0.1%
80		118	6.0%
82		2	0.1%
83		1	0.1%
85		42	2.1%
86		1	0.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		61	3.1%
92		1	0.1%
94		1	0.1%
95		8	0.4%
98		2	0.1%
99		1	0.1%
100	Very Positive	40	2.0%
998	D.K.	20	
999	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		1605	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# d2d: Rating> Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3386 /-] [Invalid=223 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How would you rate THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	157	 4.6%
1		11	 0.3%
2		8	 0.2%
4		2	 0.1%
5		14	 0.4%
6		1	 0.0%
8		1	 0.0%
9		4	 0.1%
10		65	 1.9%
12		2	 0.1%
13		1	 0.0%
15		23	 0.7%
18		1	 0.0%
19		1	 0.0%
20		90	 2.7%
25		117	 3.5%
26		3	 0.1%
28		2	 0.1%
30		178	 5.3%
32		3	 0.1%
33		3	 0.1%
34		4	 0.1%
35		60	 1.8%
36		1	 0.0%
37		2	 0.1%
38		2	 0.1%
39		3	 0.1%
40		306	 9.0%
42		7	 0.2%
45		113	 3.3%
46		5	 0.1%
47		5	 0.1%
48		15	 0.4%
49		19	 0.6%
50		563	 16.6%
51		18	 0.5%
52		12	 0.4%
53		3	 0.1%
54		1	 0.0%
55		114	 3.4%

# d2d: Rating> Conservative Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
56		4	0.1%
57		3	0.1%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		425	12.6%
62		4	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		120	3.5%
66		2	0.1%
67		1	0.0%
68		4	0.1%
69		4	0.1%
70		255	7.5%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		289	8.5%
76		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		190	5.6%
85		33	1.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		42	1.2%
91		1	0.0%
92		1	0.0%
95		12	0.4%
99		3	0.1%
100	Very Positive	42	1.2%
998	D.K.	186	
999	Refused	33	
Sysmiss		4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d2e: Rating> Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3396 /-] [Invalid=213 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How would you rate THE LIBERAL PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	109	3.2%
1		7	0.2%
2		6	0.2%
3		6	0.2%

## # d2e: Rating> Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		20	0.6%
7		3	0.1%
8		3	0.1%
9		3	0.1%
10		89	2.6%
11		1	0.0%
12		1	0.0%
13		1	0.0%
15		13	0.4%
17		1	0.0%
20		126	3.7%
22		5	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		121	3.6%
26		1	0.0%
27		2	0.1%
28		1	0.0%
30		204	6.0%
31		1	0.0%
32		2	0.1%
33		2	0.1%
34		1	0.0%
35		77	2.3%
36		1	0.0%
37		2	0.1%
38		2	0.1%
39		3	0.1%
40		435	12.8%
41		2	0.1%
42		5	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
44		1	0.0%
45		132	3.9%
46		4	0.1%
47		3	0.1%
48		11	0.3%
49		26	0.8%
50		688	20.3%
51		14	0.4%
52		7	0.2%
53		4	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		115	3.4%
58		4	0.1%



# d2e: Rating> Liberal Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
59		1	0.0%
60		372	11.0%
61		2	0.1%
62		4	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
65		110	3.2%
66		1	0.0%
67		2	0.1%
68		2	0.1%
69		2	0.1%
70		176	5.2%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		199	5.9%
76		1	0.0%
78		3	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		114	3.4%
82		1	0.0%
85		25	0.7%
87		1	0.0%
90		37	1.1%
95		7	0.2%
98		1	0.0%
99		6	0.2%
100	Very Positive	55	1.6%
998	D.K.	180	
999	Refused	32	
Sysmiss		1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d2f: Rating> New Democratic Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3314 /-] [Invalid=295 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How would you rate THE NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	185	5.6%
1		9	0.3%
2		11	0.3%
3		4	0.1%
4		3	0.1%
5		28	0.8%

# **# d2f: Rating> New Democratic Party**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		4	0.1%
10		151	4.6%
11		2	0.1%
12		3	0.1%
14		1	0.0%
15		37	1.1%
16		1	0.0%
18		3	0.1%
19		1	0.0%
20		178	5.4%
21		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		176	5.3%
26		1	0.0%
27		2	0.1%
28		4	0.1%
30		301	9.1%
32		2	0.1%
33		4	0.1%
35		97	2.9%
37		1	0.0%
38		2	0.1%
39		2	0.1%
40		372	11.2%
42		1	0.0%
43		3	0.1%
44		1	0.0%
45		111	3.3%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		9	0.3%
49		10	0.3%
50		573	17.3%
51		9	0.3%
52		3	0.1%
53		2	0.1%
54		4	0.1%
55		87	2.6%
57		1	0.0%
58		4	0.1%
59		2	0.1%
60		290	8.8%

# d2f: Rating> New Democratic Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
61		2	0.1%
62		3	0.1%
63		2	0.1%
65		101	3.0%
67		1	0.0%
68		1	0.0%
69		2	0.1%
70		139	4.2%
72		2	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
75		143	4.3%
76		1	0.0%
78		2	0.1%
80		93	2.8%
82		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		17	0.5%
86		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		46	1.4%
95		7	0.2%
98		1	0.0%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very Positive	39	1.2%
998	D.K.	257	
999	Refused	34	
Sysmiss		4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d2g: Rating> Conservative Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=948 -/] [Invalid=2661 -/]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How would you rate THE CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	30	3.2%
1		2	0.2%
2		1	0.1%
5		2	0.2%
9		1	0.1%
10		20	2.1%
15		3	0.3%
20		19	2.0%

# d2g: Rating> Conservative Candidate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23		2	0.2%
25		17	1.8%
29		1	0.1%
30		21	2.2%
35		10	1.1%
38		1	0.1%
40		51	5.4%
45		21	2.2%
48		1	0.1%
49		2	0.2%
50		101	10.7%
51		5	0.5%
52		3	0.3%
55		26	2.7%
59		1	0.1%
60		110	11.6%
62		1	0.1%
65		40	4.2%
68		1	0.1%
70		81	8.5%
72		1	0.1%
75		119	12.6%
76		1	0.1%
77		1	0.1%
80		116	12.2%
82		1	0.1%
85		33	3.5%
89		1	0.1%
90		52	5.5%
95		14	1.5%
99		1	0.1%
100	Very Positive	34	3.6%
998	D.K.	19	
999	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2635	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d2h: Rating> Liberal Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=553 /-] [Invalid=3056 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How would you rate THE LIBERAL CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	11	2.0%

# d2h: Rating> Liberal Candidate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1	0.2%
2		1	0.2%
5		2	0.4%
6		1	0.2%
9		1	0.2%
10		8	1.4%
15		3	0.5%
20		11	2.0%
21		1	0.2%
25		10	1.8%
30		28	5.1%
35		5	0.9%
37		1	0.2%
38		1	0.2%
40		30	5.4%
41		1	0.2%
45		9	1.6%
48		1	0.2%
49		2	0.4%
50		74	13.4%
51		1	0.2%
55		13	2.4%
59		1	0.2%
60		67	12.1%
62		1	0.2%
65		21	3.8%
70		44	8.0%
73		1	0.2%
75		69	12.5%
78		1	0.2%
80		59	10.7%
85		15	2.7%
90		30	5.4%
95		7	1.3%
99		1	0.2%
100	Very Positive	20	3.6%
998	D.K.	24	
999	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		3026	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d2i: Rating> NDP Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=389 -/] [Invalid=3220 -/]		
Universe	All respondents		

# d2i: Rating> NDP Candidate			
<b>Literal question</b>		How would you rate THE N.D.P. CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling?)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	6	1.5%
1		1	0.3%
3		2	0.5%
5		2	0.5%
10		7	1.8%
12		1	0.3%
15		1	0.3%
20		14	3.6%
25		10	2.6%
30		17	4.4%
32		1	0.3%
35		4	1.0%
40		22	5.7%
45		10	2.6%
50		48	12.3%
51		1	0.3%
52		2	0.5%
55		10	2.6%
60		41	10.5%
65		8	2.1%
68		1	0.3%
70		31	8.0%
75		46	11.8%
80		43	11.1%
82		1	0.3%
85		9	2.3%
89		1	0.3%
90		23	5.9%
95		4	1.0%
99		1	0.3%
100	Very Positive	21	5.4%
998	D.K.	19	
999	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3199	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d3a: Impression> Mulroney> Intelligent			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2540 /-] [Invalid=1069 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Pre-question</b>	Now, we'd like to know about your impressions of the party leaders. I am going to read a list of words and phrases people use to describe political figures. After each one, I would like you to tell me how much the word or phrase fits your impressions.		
<b>Literal question</b>	How much would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		

# d3a: Impression> Mulroney> Intelligent			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	977	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
2	Somewhat	1189	<div><div></div></div> 46.8%
3	Can't Decide	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	A Little	276	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
5	Not At All	94	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1052	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d3b: Impression> Mulroney> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2524 /-] [Invalid=1085 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	270	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
2	Somewhat	1011	<div><div></div></div> 40.1%
3	Can't Decide	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4	A Little	763	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
5	Not At All	460	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
8	D.K.	23	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1052	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d3c: Impression> Mulroney> Man of Vision			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2498 /-] [Invalid=1111 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"A MAN OF VISION" (How much would you say "A MAN OF VISION" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	607	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
2	Somewhat	1123	<div><div></div></div> 45.0%
3	Can't Decide	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4	A Little	489	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
5	Not At All	260	<div><div></div></div> 10.4%
8	D.K.	50	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1052	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d3d: Impression> Mulroney> Compassionate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# d3d: Impression> Mulroney> Compassionate			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2466 /-] [Invalid=1143 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE" (How much would you say "COMPASSIONATE" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	344	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
2	Somewhat	1061	<div><div></div></div> 43.0%
3	Can't Decide	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4	A Little	719	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
5	Not At All	323	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
8	D.K.	78	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		1052	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d3e: Impression> Mulroney> Knowledgeable			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2536 /-] [Invalid=1073 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"KNOWLEDGEABLE" (How much would you say "KNOWLEDGEABLE" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	1041	<div><div></div></div> 41.0%
2	Somewhat	1194	<div><div></div></div> 47.1%
3	Can't Decide	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4	A Little	236	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
5	Not At All	55	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1052	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d3f: Impression> Mulroney> Moral			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2422 /-] [Invalid=1187 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"MORAL" (How much would you say "MORAL" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	478	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
2	Somewhat	1145	<div><div></div></div> 47.3%
3	Can't Decide	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
4	A Little	548	<div><div></div></div> 22.6%
5	Not At All	223	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
8	D.K.	124	
9	Refused	14	



# d3f: Impression> Mulroney> Moral			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		1049	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d3g: Impression> Mulroney> Strong Leadership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2533 /-] [Invalid=1076 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	880	<div><div></div></div> 34.7%
2	Somewhat	1136	<div><div></div></div> 44.8%
3	Can't Decide	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
4	A Little	346	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
5	Not At All	155	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
8	D.K.	17	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1049	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d3h: Impression> Mulroney> Really Cares			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2485 /-] [Invalid=1124 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARERS ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	262	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
2	Somewhat	919	<div><div></div></div> 37.0%
3	Can't Decide	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
4	A Little	750	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
5	Not At All	539	<div><div></div></div> 21.7%
8	D.K.	64	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1049	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d4a: Impression> Turner> Intelligent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2174 /-] [Invalid=1435 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of JOHN TURNER: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE or NOT AT ALL?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	602	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%
2	Somewhat	1037	<div><div></div></div> 47.7%

# d4a: Impression> Turner> Intelligent			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Can't Decide	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4	A Little	398	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
5	Not At All	129	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1417	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d4b: Impression> Turner> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2153 /-] [Invalid=1456 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	285	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
2	Somewhat	959	<div><div></div></div> 44.5%
3	Can't Decide	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
4	A Little	612	<div><div></div></div> 28.4%
5	Not At All	283	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
8	D.K.	32	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1417	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d4c: Impression> Turner> Man of Vision			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2143 /-] [Invalid=1466 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"A MAN OF VISION" (How much would you say "A MAN OF VISION" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	276	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
2	Somewhat	867	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
3	Can't Decide	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
4	A Little	664	<div><div></div></div> 31.0%
5	Not At All	323	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
8	D.K.	42	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1417	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d4d: Impression> Turner> Compassionate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2091 /-] [Invalid=1518 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# d4d: Impression> Turner> Compassionate			
<b>Literal question</b>		"COMPASSIONATE" (How much would you say "COMPASSIONATE" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	286	<div><div></div></div> 13.7%
2	Somewhat	1018	<div><div></div></div> 48.7%
3	Can't Decide	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
4	A Little	566	<div><div></div></div> 27.1%
5	Not At All	202	<div><div></div></div> 9.7%
8	D.K.	93	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1417	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d4e: Impression> Turner> Knowledgeable			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2168 /-] [Invalid=1441 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		"KNOWLEDGEABLE" (How much would you say "KNOWLEDGEABLE" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	628	<div><div></div></div> 29.0%
2	Somewhat	1095	<div><div></div></div> 50.5%
3	Can't Decide	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	A Little	357	<div><div></div></div> 16.5%
5	Not At All	83	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
8	D.K.	17	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1417	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d4f: Impression> Turner> Moral			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2061 /-] [Invalid=1548 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		"MORAL" (How much would you say "MORAL" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	418	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
2	Somewhat	1077	<div><div></div></div> 52.3%
3	Can't Decide	24	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
4	A Little	422	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
5	Not At All	120	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
8	D.K.	121	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1417	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# d4g: Impression> Turner> Strong Leadership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2161 /-] [Invalid=1448 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	253	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
2	Somewhat	666	<div><div></div></div> 30.8%
3	Can't Decide	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
4	A Little	735	<div><div></div></div> 34.0%
5	Not At All	500	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
8	D.K.	24	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1417	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d4h: Impression> Turner> Really Cares			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2118 /-] [Invalid=1491 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	272	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
2	Somewhat	836	<div><div></div></div> 39.5%
3	Can't Decide	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
4	A Little	655	<div><div></div></div> 30.9%
5	Not At All	332	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
8	D.K.	67	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1417	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d5a: Impression> Broadbent> Intelligent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1982 /-] [Invalid=1627 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of ED BROADBENT: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	917	<div><div></div></div> 46.3%
2	Somewhat	834	<div><div></div></div> 42.1%
3	Can't Decide	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	A Little	166	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
5	Not At All	61	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
8	D.K.	15	

# d5a: Impression> Broadbent> Intelligent			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		1606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d5b: Impression> Broadbent> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1956 /-] [Invalid=1653 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	718	<div><div></div></div> 36.7%
2	Somewhat	821	<div><div></div></div> 42.0%
3	Can't Decide	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
4	A Little	301	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
5	Not At All	104	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
8	D.K.	39	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d5c: Impression> Broadbent> Man of Vision			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1945 /-] [Invalid=1664 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"A MAN OF VISION" (How much would you say "A MAN OF VISION" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	492	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
2	Somewhat	907	<div><div></div></div> 46.6%
3	Can't Decide	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
4	A Little	390	<div><div></div></div> 20.1%
5	Not At All	144	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
8	D.K.	50	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d5d: Impression> Broadbent> Compassionate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1917 /-] [Invalid=1692 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"COMPASSIONATE" (How much would you say "COMPASSIONATE" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	765	<div><div></div></div> 39.9%

# d5d: Impression> Broadbent> Compassionate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Somewhat	830	<div><div></div></div> 43.3%
3	Can't Decide	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
4	A Little	243	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
5	Not At All	66	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
8	D.K.	79	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d5e: Impression> Broadbent> Knowledgeable			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1969 /-] [Invalid=1640 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"KNOWLEDGEABLE" (How much would you say "KNOWLEDGEABLE" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	839	<div><div></div></div> 42.6%
2	Somewhat	918	<div><div></div></div> 46.6%
3	Can't Decide	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4	A Little	170	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
5	Not At All	34	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
8	D.K.	27	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		1606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d5f: Impression> Broadbent> Moral			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1885 /-] [Invalid=1724 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"MORAL" (How much would you say "MORAL" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	766	<div><div></div></div> 40.6%
2	Somewhat	859	<div><div></div></div> 45.6%
3	Can't Decide	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
4	A Little	181	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
5	Not At All	61	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
8	D.K.	109	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d5g: Impression> Broadbent> Strong Leadership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1962 /-] [Invalid=1647 /-]		

# d5g: Impression> Broadbent> Strong Leadership			
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	774	<div></div> 39.4%
2	Somewhat	810	<div></div> 41.3%
3	Can't Decide	11	<div></div> 0.6%
4	A Little	277	<div></div> 14.1%
5	Not At All	90	<div></div> 4.6%
8	D.K.	35	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		1606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d5h: Impression> Broadbent> Really Cares			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1926 /-] [Invalid=1683 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	723	<div></div> 37.5%
2	Somewhat	748	<div></div> 38.8%
3	Can't Decide	19	<div></div> 1.0%
4	A Little	280	<div></div> 14.5%
5	Not At All	156	<div></div> 8.1%
8	D.K.	69	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# d6a: Conservative Candidate> Make a Good Cabinet Minister			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=952 /-] [Invalid=2657 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	We'd like to get your impressions of the candidates that you know in your riding. I am going to read a list of phrases people use to describe the candidates. After each one, I would like you to tell me how much the phrase fits your impression of the candidate.		
Literal question	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER". How much does this phrase fit your impression of the CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	359	<div><div></div></div> 37.7%
2	Somewhat	312	<div><div></div></div> 32.8%
3	Can't Decide	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	A Little	147	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
5	Not At All	129	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
8	D.K.	20	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2635	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d6b: Conservative Candidate> A Real Conservative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=938 /-] [Invalid=2671 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"A REAL CONSERVATIVE" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	548	<div><div></div></div> 58.4%
2	Somewhat	260	<div><div></div></div> 27.7%
3	Can't Decide	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	A Little	87	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
5	Not At All	38	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
8	D.K.	34	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2635	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d6c: Conservative Candidate> Look After Interests of Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=950 /-] [Invalid=2659 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	479	<div><div></div></div> 50.4%
2	Somewhat	308	<div><div></div></div> 32.4%
3	Can't Decide	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4	A Little	107	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%



# d6c: Conservative Candidate> Look After Interests of Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not At All	55	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
8	D.K.	22	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2635	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d7a: Liberal Candidate> Make a Good Cabinet Minister			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=557 /-] [Invalid=3052 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER" How much does this phrase fit your impression of the LIBERAL CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	199	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
2	Somewhat	175	<div><div></div></div> 31.4%
3	Can't Decide	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	A Little	100	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
5	Not At All	82	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		3026	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d7b: Liberal Candidate> A Real Liberal			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=551 /-] [Invalid=3058 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"A REAL LIBERAL" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	304	<div><div></div></div> 55.2%
2	Somewhat	154	<div><div></div></div> 27.9%
3	Can't Decide	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	A Little	56	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
5	Not At All	34	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
8	D.K.	26	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		3026	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d7c: Liberal Candidate> Look After Interests of Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=563 /-] [Invalid=3046 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		

# d7c: Liberal Candidate> Look After Interests of Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	262	<div><div></div></div> 46.5%
2	Somewhat	204	<div><div></div></div> 36.2%
3	Can't Decide	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4	A Little	66	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
5	Not At All	29	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
8	D.K.	18	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3026	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d8a: NDP Candidate> Make a Good Cabinet Minister			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=388 /-] [Invalid=3221 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER" How much does this phrase fit your impression of the NDP CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	157	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
2	Somewhat	117	<div><div></div></div> 30.2%
3	Can't Decide	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
4	A Little	52	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
5	Not At All	61	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
8	D.K.	19	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3199	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d8b: NDP Candidate> A Real New Democrat			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=386 /-] [Invalid=3223 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"A REAL NEW DEMOCRAT" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	206	<div><div></div></div> 53.4%
2	Somewhat	111	<div><div></div></div> 28.8%
3	Can't Decide	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
4	A Little	46	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
5	Not At All	22	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3199	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d8c: NDP Candidate> Look After Interests of Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=392 /-] [Invalid=3217 /-]		

# d8c: NDP Candidate> Look After Interests of Riding			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	190	<div><div></div></div> 48.5%
2	Somewhat	138	<div><div></div></div> 35.2%
3	Can't Decide	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	A Little	41	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
5	Not At All	21	<div><div></div></div> 5.4%
8	D.K.	15	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		3199	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d9: Is One of the Candidates Already Your Member of Parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1369 /-] [Invalid=2240 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Is one of the candidates already YOUR member of Parliament?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", or "maybe", go to #d9a. All others go to #d12.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1005	<div><div></div></div> 73.4%
3	Maybe	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
5	No	356	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
8	D.K.	52	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2188	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d9a: Which Candidate is Already Your Member of Parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1003 /-] [Invalid=2606 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" or "maybe" for #d9.		
Literal question	Which one?		
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #d10a. All others go to #d12.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	PC Candidate	695	<div><div></div></div> 69.3%
2	Liberal	173	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
3	NDP Candidate	131	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
4	Other	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2596	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# d10a: Ever Attended Meeting Where Member of Parliament Spoke			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1002 /-] [Invalid=2607 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" or "maybe" for #d9a.		
Pre-question	There are many ways in which members of Parliament can have contact with the people in their ridings. Think of your MP.		
Literal question	Have you ever: attended a meeting or gathering where he/she spoke?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	434	<div></div> 43.3%
5	No	568	<div></div> 56.7%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d10b: Ever Received Something in the Mail from Member of Parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1003 /-] [Invalid=2606 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Received something in the mail from him/her?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	944	<div></div> 94.1%
5	No	59	<div></div> 5.9%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d10c: Ever Read about Member of Parliament in Newspaper or Magazine			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1003 /-] [Invalid=2606 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Read about him/her in a newspaper or magazine?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	950	<div></div> 94.7%
5	No	53	<div></div> 5.3%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d10d: Ever Heard Member of Parliament on the Radio			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=995 /-] [Invalid=2614 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Heard him/her on the radio?		

# d10d: Ever Heard Member of Parliament on the Radio			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	637	<div><div></div></div> 64.0%
5	No	358	<div><div></div></div> 36.0%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d10e: Ever Seen Member of Parliament on Television			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=990 /-] [Invalid=2619 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Seen him/her on TV?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	757	<div><div></div></div> 76.5%
5	No	233	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
8	D.K.	13	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d10f: Ever Met Member of Parliament Personally			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1001 /-] [Invalid=2608 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Met him/her personally?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #d11a. All others go to #d10g.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	545	<div><div></div></div> 54.4%
5	No	456	<div><div></div></div> 45.6%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2606	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d10g: Ever Talked to a Member of Staff or Office of Member of Parliament			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=458 /-] [Invalid=3151 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have not met their memeber of Parliament, as indicated in #d10f.		
Literal question	Talked to a member of his/her staff or someone in his/her office?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #d11a. All others go to #d12.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	121	<div><div></div></div> 26.4%
5	No	337	<div><div></div></div> 73.6%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	0	

# d10g: Ever Talked to a Member of Staff or Office of Member of Parliament			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		3151	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d11a: Talked to Member of Parliament or a Member of Their Staff or Office: To Express an Opinion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=662 /-] [Invalid=2947 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have met a member of Parliament personally, or have spoken to a member of their staff or office, as indicated in #d10f and #d10g, respectively.		
Literal question	Was it to: express an opinion?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	187	28.2%
5	No	475	71.8%
8	D.K.	4	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2943	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d11b: Talked to Member of Parliament or a Member of Their Staff or Office: To Seek Information			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=659 /-] [Invalid=2950 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have met a member of Parliament personally, or have spoken to a member of their staff or office, as indicated in #d10f and #d10g, respectively.		
Literal question	Was it to: seek information?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	250	37.9%
5	No	409	62.1%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2943	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d11c: Talked to Member of Parliament or a Member of Their Staff or Office: To Seek Help with a Problem			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=660 /-] [Invalid=2949 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have met a member of Parliament personally, or have spoken to a member of their staff or office, as indicated in #d10f and #d10g, respectively.		
Literal question	Was it to: seek help on a problem you had?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	161	24.4%
5	No	499	75.6%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2943	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d11d: Satisfaction with Response From Member of Parliament or a Member of Their Staff or Office			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# d11d: Satisfaction with Response From Member of Parliament or a Member of Their Staff or Office			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=330 /-] [Invalid=3279 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have met a member of Parliament personally, or have spoken to a member of their staff or office, as indicated in #d10f and #d10g, respectively.		
Literal question	How satisfied were you with the response? Were you VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, NOT VERY SATISFIED or NOT AT ALL SATISFIED?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Satisfied	158	<div></div> 47.9%
2	Somewhat Satisfy	111	<div></div> 33.6%
3	Not Very Satisfy	22	<div></div> 6.7%
4	Not At All Satisfied	27	<div></div> 8.2%
7	No Response	12	<div></div> 3.6%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3272	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d12: Name of Riding in Which Respondent Lives			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2820 /-] [Invalid=789 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	408	<div></div> 14.5%
1		14	<div></div> 0.5%
2		8	<div></div> 0.3%
3		9	<div></div> 0.3%
4		14	<div></div> 0.5%
5		5	<div></div> 0.2%
6		19	<div></div> 0.7%
7		18	<div></div> 0.6%
8		16	<div></div> 0.6%
9		20	<div></div> 0.7%
10		31	<div></div> 1.1%
11		28	<div></div> 1.0%
12		7	<div></div> 0.2%
13		8	<div></div> 0.3%
14		5	<div></div> 0.2%
15		8	<div></div> 0.3%
16		4	<div></div> 0.1%
17		7	<div></div> 0.2%
18		9	<div></div> 0.3%
19		5	<div></div> 0.2%
20		5	<div></div> 0.2%
21		3	<div></div> 0.1%
22		10	<div></div> 0.4%
23		14	<div></div> 0.5%
24		11	<div></div> 0.4%

# **# d12: Name of Riding in Which Respondent Lives**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25		10	0.4%
26		14	0.5%
27		25	0.9%
28		18	0.6%
29		8	0.3%
30		23	0.8%
31		12	0.4%
32		7	0.2%
33		17	0.6%
34		3	0.1%
35		9	0.3%
36		2	0.1%
37		12	0.4%
38		3	0.1%
39		4	0.1%
40		3	0.1%
41		7	0.2%
42		5	0.2%
43		3	0.1%
44		7	0.2%
45		7	0.2%
46		11	0.4%
48		7	0.2%
49		7	0.2%
50		10	0.4%
51		3	0.1%
52		7	0.2%
53		6	0.2%
54		8	0.3%
55		2	0.1%
56		5	0.2%
57		9	0.3%
58		11	0.4%
59		9	0.3%
60		6	0.2%
61		16	0.6%
62		13	0.5%
63		7	0.2%
64		9	0.3%
65		11	0.4%
66		7	0.2%
67		3	0.1%
68		8	0.3%
69		9	0.3%
70		17	0.6%



# **# d12: Name of Riding in Which Respondent Lives**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
71		5	0.2%
72		8	0.3%
73		11	0.4%
74		5	0.2%
75		6	0.2%
76		9	0.3%
77		8	0.3%
78		7	0.2%
79		9	0.3%
80		12	0.4%
81		9	0.3%
82		3	0.1%
83		9	0.3%
84		2	0.1%
85		6	0.2%
86		2	0.1%
87		8	0.3%
88		7	0.2%
89		10	0.4%
90		8	0.3%
91		11	0.4%
92		2	0.1%
93		7	0.2%
94		3	0.1%
95		6	0.2%
96		8	0.3%
97		11	0.4%
98		3	0.1%
99		3	0.1%
100		4	0.1%
101		9	0.3%
102		2	0.1%
103		14	0.5%
104		2	0.1%
105		16	0.6%
106		3	0.1%
107		6	0.2%
108		10	0.4%
109		5	0.2%
110		4	0.1%
111		3	0.1%
112		5	0.2%
113		5	0.2%
114		6	0.2%
115		5	0.2%

# **# d12: Name of Riding in Which Respondent Lives**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
116		5	0.2%
117		7	0.2%
118		11	0.4%
119		1	0.0%
120		7	0.2%
121		4	0.1%
123		10	0.4%
124		2	0.1%
125		2	0.1%
126		6	0.2%
127		5	0.2%
128		3	0.1%
129		9	0.3%
130		10	0.4%
131		5	0.2%
132		12	0.4%
133		9	0.3%
134		5	0.2%
135		11	0.4%
136		8	0.3%
137		7	0.2%
138		8	0.3%
139		4	0.1%
140		4	0.1%
141		7	0.2%
142		5	0.2%
143		5	0.2%
144		5	0.2%
145		7	0.2%
146		5	0.2%
147		5	0.2%
148		3	0.1%
149		7	0.2%
150		8	0.3%
151		5	0.2%
152		14	0.5%
153		6	0.2%
154		5	0.2%
155		7	0.2%
156		7	0.2%
157		4	0.1%
158		4	0.1%
159		6	0.2%
160		8	0.3%
161		7	0.2%

# **# d12: Name of Riding in Which Respondent Lives**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
162		4	0.1%
163		2	0.1%
164		8	0.3%
165		9	0.3%
166		3	0.1%
167		8	0.3%
168		11	0.4%
169		5	0.2%
170		5	0.2%
171		3	0.1%
172		5	0.2%
173		7	0.2%
174		6	0.2%
175		7	0.2%
176		6	0.2%
177		3	0.1%
178		3	0.1%
179		7	0.2%
180		4	0.1%
181		4	0.1%
182		1	0.0%
183		16	0.6%
184		5	0.2%
185		8	0.3%
186		4	0.1%
187		6	0.2%
188		9	0.3%
189		15	0.5%
190		7	0.2%
191		8	0.3%
192		9	0.3%
193		10	0.4%
194		4	0.1%
195		4	0.1%
196		11	0.4%
197		2	0.1%
198		5	0.2%
199		4	0.1%
200		9	0.3%
201		6	0.2%
202		2	0.1%
203		16	0.6%
204		9	0.3%
205		5	0.2%
206		3	0.1%

# **# d12: Name of Riding in Which Respondent Lives**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
207		13	0.5%
208		6	0.2%
209		8	0.3%
210		10	0.4%
211		8	0.3%
212		5	0.2%
213		7	0.2%
214		11	0.4%
215		6	0.2%
216		9	0.3%
217		10	0.4%
218		14	0.5%
219		7	0.2%
220		4	0.1%
221		9	0.3%
222		9	0.3%
223		10	0.4%
224		9	0.3%
225		7	0.2%
226		8	0.3%
227		19	0.7%
228		9	0.3%
229		10	0.4%
230		13	0.5%
231		10	0.4%
232		10	0.4%
233		5	0.2%
234		12	0.4%
235		12	0.4%
236		3	0.1%
237		15	0.5%
238		16	0.6%
239		6	0.2%
240		12	0.4%
241		23	0.8%
242		14	0.5%
243		6	0.2%
244		11	0.4%
245		16	0.6%
246		19	0.7%
247		9	0.3%
248		13	0.5%
249		24	0.9%
250		6	0.2%
251		10	0.4%

# **# d12: Name of Riding in Which Respondent Lives**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
252		7	0.2%
253		14	0.5%
254		11	0.4%
255		14	0.5%
256		6	0.2%
257		6	0.2%
258		8	0.3%
259		11	0.4%
260		16	0.6%
261		13	0.5%
262		12	0.4%
263		4	0.1%
264		14	0.5%
265		3	0.1%
266		9	0.3%
267		9	0.3%
268		8	0.3%
269		9	0.3%
270		7	0.2%
271		6	0.2%
272		8	0.3%
273		14	0.5%
274		8	0.3%
275		8	0.3%
276		5	0.2%
277		14	0.5%
278		7	0.2%
279		9	0.3%
280		7	0.2%
281		8	0.3%
282		7	0.2%
283		7	0.2%
284		14	0.5%
285		8	0.3%
286		8	0.3%
287		5	0.2%
288		18	0.6%
289		6	0.2%
290		8	0.3%
291		16	0.6%
292		19	0.7%
998	D.K.	775	
999	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# d13: Remember Name> Conservative Candidate in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2249 /-] [Invalid=1360 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked about the Conservative candidate if they earlier said they new nothing at all about their riding candidate.		
Literal question	Do you happen to remember the name of the Conservative candidate in your riding?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1682	<div><div></div></div> 74.8%
5	No	567	<div><div></div></div> 25.2%
Sysmiss		1360	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d14: Remember Name> Liberal Candidate in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2094 /-] [Invalid=1515 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How about the Liberal candidate in your riding? (Do you happen to remember his or her name?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1150	<div><div></div></div> 54.9%
5	No	944	<div><div></div></div> 45.1%
Sysmiss		1515	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# d15: Remember Name> NDP Candidate in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1825 /-] [Invalid=1784 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How about the NDP candidate in your riding? (Do you happen to remember his or her name?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	883	<div><div></div></div> 48.4%
5	No	942	<div><div></div></div> 51.6%
Sysmiss		1784	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# e1: Past Year> Economic Condition in Province			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3475 /-] [Invalid=134 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What about economic conditions in [respondent's province]. Would you say that OVER THE PAST YEAR economic conditions in [repondent's province] have GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME, or GOTTEN WORSE?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "gotten better", go to #e1a. If respondent answers "gotten worse", go to #e1b. All others go to #e2.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Got Better	1269	<div><div></div></div> 36.5%
2	Stayed Same	1496	<div><div></div></div> 43.1%
3	Got Worse	710	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
8	D.K.	125	
9	Refused	3	

# e1: Past Year> Economic Condition in Province			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# e1a: Economic Conditions> How Much Better			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1262 /-] [Invalid=2347 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten better" for #e1.		
Literal question	Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?		
Post-question	Go to #e2.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Better	340	<div></div> 26.9%
2	Somewhat Better	922	<div></div> 73.1%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2340	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# e1b: Economic Conditions> How Much Worse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=702 /-] [Invalid=2907 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "gotten worse" for #e1.		
Literal question	Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat Worse	487	<div></div> 69.4%
5	Much Worse	215	<div></div> 30.6%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2899	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# e2: Federal Economic Policies Influence Province's Economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3348 /-] [Invalid=261 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Would you say that the economic policies of the federal government have made the [respondent's province] economy BETTER, WORSE, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH DIFFERENCE either way?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "better", go to #e2a. If respondent answers "worse", go to #e2b. All others go to #f1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	819	<div></div> 24.5%
3	No Difference	2144	<div></div> 64.0%
5	Worse	385	<div></div> 11.5%
8	D.K.	251	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		8	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# e2a: Federal Economic Policies> How Much Better			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=818 /-] [Invalid=2791 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "better" for #e2.		
Literal question	Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better?		
Post-question	Go to #f1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Better	175	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
2	Somewhat Better	643	<div><div></div></div> 78.6%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2790	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# e2b: Federal Economic Policies> How Much Worse			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 4-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=384 /-] [Invalid=3225 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "worse" for #e2.		
Literal question	Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Somewhat Worse	285	<div><div></div></div> 74.2%
5	Much Worse	99	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3224	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f1a: Conservative Chances> Winning in Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3254 /-] [Invalid=355 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now let's talk about how the election is going for each party. We will be using a scale which runs from 0 to 100, where 0 represents NO chance for the party, 50 represents AN EVEN CHANCE, and 100 represents CERTAIN victory.		
Literal question	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the CONSERVATIVE party's chances are of winning IN YOUR RIDING?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO Chance	172	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
1		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
2		3	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
3		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5		6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
6		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
8		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10		42	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
12		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%



# **# f1a: Conservative Chances> Winning in Your Riding**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15		7	0.2%
16		1	0.0%
17		2	0.1%
20		63	1.9%
22		1	0.0%
24		1	0.0%
25		49	1.5%
27		1	0.0%
30		107	3.3%
35		29	0.9%
36		1	0.0%
38		3	0.1%
39		1	0.0%
40		187	5.7%
42		1	0.0%
45		56	1.7%
47		3	0.1%
48		2	0.1%
49		6	0.2%
50	An EVEN Chance	860	26.4%
51		4	0.1%
52		6	0.2%
53		2	0.1%
55		57	1.8%
58		1	0.0%
60		286	8.8%
62		4	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
65		74	2.3%
66		3	0.1%
68		3	0.1%
70		199	6.1%
72		2	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
74		2	0.1%
75		214	6.6%
76		1	0.0%
77		2	0.1%
80		209	6.4%
83		1	0.0%
85		39	1.2%
87		2	0.1%
89		1	0.0%
90		101	3.1%
95		15	0.5%

# f1a: Conservative Chances> Winning in Your Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98		1	0.0%
99		8	0.2%
100	CERTAIN Victory	395	12.1%
998	D.K.	323	
999	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		17	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f1b: Liberal Chances> Winning in Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3246 /-] [Invalid=363 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the LIBERAL party's chances are of winning IN YOUR RIDING?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO Chance	446	13.7%
1		8	0.2%
2		9	0.3%
3		4	0.1%
5		35	1.1%
8		6	0.2%
9		3	0.1%
10		100	3.1%
12		1	0.0%
15		12	0.4%
17		1	0.0%
20		136	4.2%
22		2	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
25		90	2.8%
27		2	0.1%
28		1	0.0%
30		204	6.3%
32		2	0.1%
33		2	0.1%
35		35	1.1%
36		3	0.1%
37		2	0.1%
38		5	0.2%
39		1	0.0%
40		290	8.9%
42		2	0.1%
43		1	0.0%
45		76	2.3%
47		2	0.1%
48		4	0.1%

# f1b: Liberal Chances> Winning in Your Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49	An EVEN Chance	3	0.1%
50		867	26.7%
51		2	0.1%
52		4	0.1%
53		1	0.0%
54		1	0.0%
55		52	1.6%
56		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		216	6.7%
63		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		46	1.4%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
70		118	3.6%
75		106	3.3%
77		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		99	3.0%
85		19	0.6%
88		1	0.0%
90		44	1.4%
95		6	0.2%
98		1	0.0%
99		1	0.0%
100	CERTAIN Victory	162	5.0%
998	D.K.	330	
999	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		17	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f1c: NDP Chances> Winning in Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3223 /-] [Invalid=386 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the NEW DEMOCRATIC party's chances are of winning IN YOUR RIDING?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO Chance	904	28.0%
1		8	0.2%
2		13	0.4%
3		4	0.1%
5		48	1.5%
6		1	0.0%

# **# f1c: NDP Chances> Winning in Your Riding**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		1	0.0%
8		4	0.1%
9		4	0.1%
10		194	6.0%
12		4	0.1%
13		2	0.1%
15		38	1.2%
17		1	0.0%
18		1	0.0%
19		1	0.0%
20		205	6.4%
21		1	0.0%
22		1	0.0%
25		129	4.0%
27		1	0.0%
28		1	0.0%
29		2	0.1%
30		258	8.0%
31		1	0.0%
32		2	0.1%
33		1	0.0%
35		46	1.4%
37		1	0.0%
38		3	0.1%
39		1	0.0%
40		263	8.2%
43		1	0.0%
45		60	1.9%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
49		4	0.1%
50	An EVEN Chance	477	14.8%
51		5	0.2%
52		5	0.2%
54		1	0.0%
55		35	1.1%
58		1	0.0%
60		121	3.8%
65		23	0.7%
67		2	0.1%
68		1	0.0%
70		78	2.4%
75		62	1.9%
80		66	2.0%
82		1	0.0%

# f1c: NDP Chances> Winning in Your Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
85		12	0.4%
88		1	0.0%
90		35	1.1%
95		3	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	CERTAIN Victory	82	2.5%
998	D.K.	357	
999	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		16	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f2a: Conservative Chances> Winning in Whole Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3399 /-] [Invalid=210 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the CONSERVATIVE party's chances are of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO Chance	54	1.6%
1		2	0.1%
2		1	0.0%
3		1	0.0%
5		4	0.1%
8		1	0.0%
9		2	0.1%
10		8	0.2%
15		2	0.1%
20		21	0.6%
23		1	0.0%
25		23	0.7%
28		1	0.0%
29		1	0.0%
30		46	1.4%
32		2	0.1%
33		2	0.1%
34		1	0.0%
35		23	0.7%
37		1	0.0%
38		2	0.1%
40		134	3.9%
42		5	0.1%
43		2	0.1%
44		3	0.1%
45		74	2.2%
47		3	0.1%

# f2a: Conservative Chances> Winning in Whole Country			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
48		9	0.3%
49		15	0.4%
50	An EVEN Chance	951	28.0%
51		17	0.5%
52		11	0.3%
53		4	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		137	4.0%
56		2	0.1%
57		2	0.1%
58		2	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		422	12.4%
61		1	0.0%
62		3	0.1%
63		4	0.1%
64		2	0.1%
65		124	3.6%
66		2	0.1%
67		2	0.1%
68		5	0.1%
69		2	0.1%
70		268	7.9%
75		263	7.7%
76		2	0.1%
77		2	0.1%
78		4	0.1%
79		2	0.1%
80		239	7.0%
82		1	0.0%
85		51	1.5%
86		1	0.0%
87		1	0.0%
90		93	2.7%
95		11	0.3%
100	CERTAIN Victory	322	9.5%
998	D.K.	175	
999	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		18	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f2b: Liberal Chances> Winning in Whole Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3410 /-] [Invalid=199 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

## # f2b: Liberal Chances> Winning in Whole Country

**Literal question** (Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the LIBERAL party's chances are of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO Chance	236	6.9%
2		5	0.1%
3		2	0.1%
5		7	0.2%
7		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
9		4	0.1%
10		47	1.4%
15		10	0.3%
17		1	0.0%
20		106	3.1%
22		2	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
25		66	1.9%
26		1	0.0%
27		1	0.0%
28		2	0.1%
29		1	0.0%
30		165	4.8%
32	An EVEN Chance	2	0.1%
33		4	0.1%
35		64	1.9%
37		4	0.1%
38		5	0.1%
39		2	0.1%
40		395	11.6%
41		1	0.0%
42		3	0.1%
45		140	4.1%
47		9	0.3%
48		9	0.3%
49		21	0.6%
50		1141	33.5%
51		12	0.4%
52		6	0.2%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		87	2.6%
56		2	0.1%
57		3	0.1%
58		3	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		241	7.1%

# f2b: Liberal Chances> Winning in Whole Country			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
62		1	0.0%
63		1	0.0%
65		81	2.4%
66		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		126	3.7%
71		1	0.0%
72		1	0.0%
73		2	0.1%
74		2	0.1%
75		138	4.0%
76		1	0.0%
78		3	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		77	2.3%
82		1	0.0%
85		17	0.5%
86		1	0.0%
88		2	0.1%
90		29	0.9%
91		1	0.0%
95		8	0.2%
98		3	0.1%
99		1	0.0%
100	CERTAIN Victory	91	2.7%
998	D.K.	167	
999	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		16	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f2c: NDP Chances> Winning in Whole Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3404 /-] [Invalid=205 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Using the 0 to 100 scale), what do you think the NEW DEMOCRATIC party's chances are of winning the election IN THE WHOLE COUNTRY?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	NO Chance	787	23.1%
1		5	0.1%
2		9	0.3%
3		2	0.1%
5		49	1.4%
7		1	0.0%
8		3	0.1%



## # f2c: NDP Chances> Winning in Whole Country

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		4	0.1%
10		165	4.8%
12		3	0.1%
15		40	1.2%
18		2	0.1%
20		249	7.3%
22		4	0.1%
23		6	0.2%
24		1	0.0%
25		190	5.6%
27		2	0.1%
28		11	0.3%
29		1	0.0%
30		414	12.2%
31		1	0.0%
32		5	0.1%
33		5	0.1%
35		142	4.2%
36		1	0.0%
37		3	0.1%
38		7	0.2%
39		1	0.0%
40		367	10.8%
42		1	0.0%
43		2	0.1%
45		95	2.8%
46		1	0.0%
47		2	0.1%
48		9	0.3%
49		7	0.2%
50	An EVEN Chance	478	14.0%
51		5	0.1%
52		2	0.1%
54		2	0.1%
55		46	1.4%
57		1	0.0%
58		3	0.1%
60		98	2.9%
63		2	0.1%
64		1	0.0%
65		35	1.0%
70		42	1.2%
73		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		37	1.1%

# f2c: NDP Chances> Winning in Whole Country			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		23	0.7%
85		5	0.1%
90		5	0.1%
95		2	0.1%
96		1	0.0%
100	CERTAIN Victory	14	0.4%
998	D.K.	174	
999	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		17	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# f3: Past Week> Heard or Read Polls About How Well the Parties Are Doing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3565 /-] [Invalid=44 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	IN THE PAST WEEK, have you read or heard any polls about how well the parties are doing?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2450	68.7%
5	No	1115	31.3%
8	D.K.	24	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		20	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# g1: Past Year> Economy of the Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3386 /-] [Invalid=223 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now, I want to ask you about the economy in the COUNTRY as a whole.		
Literal question	Would you say that over the PAST YEAR the economy of the COUNTRY has GOTTEN BETTER, STAYED ABOUT THE SAME OR GOTTEN WORSE?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "gotten better", go to #g1a. If respondent answers "gotten worse", go to #g1b.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Got Better	1212	35.8%
3	Stayed Same	1714	50.6%
5	Got Worse	460	13.6%
8	D.K.	194	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		27	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# g1ab: Much/Somewhat Better/Worse Economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1663 /-] [Invalid=1946 /-]		

# g1ab: Much/Somewhat Better/Worse Economy			
Universe	#g1a asked only to respondents who answered "gotten better" for #g1. #g1b asked only to respondents who answered "gotten worse" for #g1.		
Literal question	Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? (Question #g1a) / Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse? (Question #g1b)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Better	180	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
2	Somewhat Better	1026	<div><div></div></div> 61.7%
4	Somewhat Worse	372	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
5	Much Worse	85	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1938	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# g2: Next 12 Months> Economy of the Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3100 /-] [Invalid=509 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What about the NEXT 12 months? Do you expect the country's economy to get BETTER, STAY ABOUT THE SAME, or GET WORSE?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Get Better	1035	<div><div></div></div> 33.4%
3	Stay the Same	1601	<div><div></div></div> 51.6%
5	Get Worse	464	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
8	D.K.	474	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		28	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# g3: Federal Policies Influence the Country's Economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3341 /-] [Invalid=268 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Would you say that the policies of the federal government have made the country's economy BETTER, WORSE, or HAVEN'T THEY MADE MUCH DIFFERENCE either way?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "better", go to #g3a. If respondent answers "worse", go to #g3b. All others go to #h1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	1067	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
3	Worse	363	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
5	Not Much Difference	1911	<div><div></div></div> 57.2%
8	D.K.	236	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		28	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# g3ab: Much/Somewhat Better/Worse Economy Due to Policies of the Federal Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# g3ab: Much/Somewhat Better/Worse Economy Due to Policies of the Federal Government			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1421 /-] [Invalid=2188 /-]		
Universe	#g3a asked only to respondents who answered "better" for #g3. #g3b asked only to respondents who answered "worse" for #g3.		
Literal question	Would you say MUCH better or SOMEWHAT better? (Question #g3a) / Would you say MUCH worse or SOMEWHAT worse? (Question #g3b)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Better	159	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
2	Somewhat Better	905	<div><div></div></div> 63.7%
4	Somewhat Worse	292	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
5	Much Worse	65	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2180	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h1a: How Much Should be Done to Promote French			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3523 /-] [Invalid=86 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Some people feel that too much is being done to promote the French language in Canada. Others feel that not enough is being done to promote French.		
Literal question	How much do you think should be done to promote French: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about it?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #h2a. All others go to #h1b.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	359	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
1	Much More	612	<div><div></div></div> 17.4%
2	Somewhat More	600	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
3	Same As Now	839	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
4	Somewhat Less	513	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
5	Much Less	600	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
8	D.K.	48	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		31	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h1b: Liberals> Think How Much Should Be Done to Promote French			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3154 /-] [Invalid=455 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h1.		
Literal question	Where does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party stand on this question? Does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much More	446	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%

# h1b: Liberals> Think How Much Should Be Done to Promote French			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Somewhat More	849	<div><div></div></div> 26.9%
3	Same As Now	575	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
4	Somewhat Less	136	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
5	Much Less	66	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
8	D.K.	1082	<div><div></div></div> 34.3%
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		447	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h1c: Conservatives> Think How Much Should Be Done to Promote French			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3151 /-] [Invalid=458 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h1.		
Literal question	How about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much More	489	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
2	Somewhat More	911	<div><div></div></div> 28.9%
3	Same As Now	686	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
4	Somewhat Less	122	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
5	Much Less	47	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
8	D.K.	896	<div><div></div></div> 28.4%
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		447	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h1d: NDP>Think How Much Should Be Done to Promote French			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3150 /-] [Invalid=459 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h1.		
Literal question	How about the FEDERAL NDP party? (Does the FEDERAL NDP think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much More	252	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
2	Somewhat More	537	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
3	Same As Now	635	<div><div></div></div> 20.2%
4	Somewhat Less	291	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
5	Much Less	83	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
8	D.K.	1352	<div><div></div></div> 42.9%
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		447	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# h1e: Mulroney> Thinks How Much Should Be Done to Promote French			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3148 /-] [Invalid=461 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h1.		
Literal question	How about Brian Mulroney? (Does Brian Mulroney think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much More	783	<div></div> 24.9%
2	Somewhat More	1038	<div></div> 33.0%
3	Same As Now	541	<div></div> 17.2%
4	Somewhat Less	67	<div></div> 2.1%
5	Much Less	31	<div></div> 1.0%
8	D.K.	688	<div></div> 21.9%
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		448	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h1f: Turner> Thinks How Much Should Be Done to Promote French			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3149 /-] [Invalid=460 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h1.		
Literal question	What about John Turner? (Does John Turner think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much More	368	<div></div> 11.7%
2	Somewhat More	881	<div></div> 28.0%
3	Same As Now	735	<div></div> 23.3%
4	Somewhat Less	174	<div></div> 5.5%
5	Much Less	78	<div></div> 2.5%
8	D.K.	913	<div></div> 29.0%
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		448	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# h1g: Broadbent>Thinks How Much Should Be Done to Promote French			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3148 /-] [Invalid=461 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h1.		
Literal question	And Ed Broadbent? (Does Ed Broadbent think that MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, or MUCH LESS should be done to promote French?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much More	310	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
2	Somewhat More	647	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
3	Same As Now	718	<div><div></div></div> 22.8%
4	Somewhat Less	303	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
5	Much Less	97	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
8	D.K.	1073	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		448	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h2a: Canada Should Have Closer Ties with the United States			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3566 /-] [Invalid=43 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Some people believe that Canada should have closer ties with the United States. Others feel that Canada should distance itself from the United States.		
Literal question	How about you? Do you think Canada should be: MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, MUCH MORE DISTANT, or haven't you thought much about this?		
Post-question	If respondent answers that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #h3. All others go to #h2b.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	271	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
1	Much Closer	477	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
2	Somewhat Closer	894	<div><div></div></div> 25.1%
3	Same As Now	1245	<div><div></div></div> 34.9%
4	Somewhat Distant	377	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
5	More Distant	245	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
8	D.K.	57	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		36	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h2b: Liberal Party> Canada Should be Closer to the United States			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3232 /-] [Invalid=377 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h2a.		
Literal question	Where does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party stand on this question? Does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?		

## # h2b: Liberal Party> Canada Should be Closer to the United States

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much Closer	177	5.5%
2	Somewhat Closer	283	8.8%
3	Same As Now	870	26.9%
4	Somewhat Distant	904	28.0%
5	More Distant	574	17.8%
8	D.K.	424	13.1%
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		372	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # h2c: Conservative Party> Canada Should be Closer to the United States

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3233 /-] [Invalid=376 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h2a.
<b>Literal question</b>	How about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much Closer	1839	56.9%
2	Somewhat Closer	745	23.0%
3	Same As Now	165	5.1%
4	Somewhat Distant	80	2.5%
5	More Distant	67	2.1%
8	D.K.	337	10.4%
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		372	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # h2d: NDP> Canada Should be Closer to the United States

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3232 /-] [Invalid=377 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h2a.
<b>Literal question</b>	How about the FEDERAL N.D.P. party? (Does the FEDERAL N.D.P. party think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much Closer	69	2.1%
2	Somewhat Closer	207	6.4%
3	Same As Now	794	24.6%
4	Somewhat Distant	883	27.3%
5	More Distant	623	19.3%
8	D.K.	656	20.3%
9	Refused	4	



# h2d: NDP> Canada Should be Closer to the United States			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		373	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h2e: Mulroney> Canada Should be Closer to the United States			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3229 /-] [Invalid=380 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h2a.		
Literal question	How about Brian Mulroney? (Does Brian Mulroney think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much Closer	2086	<div><div></div></div> 64.6%
2	Somewhat Closer	679	<div><div></div></div> 21.0%
3	Same As Now	116	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
4	Somewhat Distant	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
5	More Distant	64	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
8	D.K.	247	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		374	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h2f: Turner> Canada Should be Closer to the United States			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3226 /-] [Invalid=383 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h2a.		
Literal question	What about John Turner? (Does John Turner think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much Closer	117	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
2	Somewhat Closer	298	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
3	Same As Now	924	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
4	Somewhat Distant	875	<div><div></div></div> 27.1%
5	More Distant	620	<div><div></div></div> 19.2%
8	D.K.	392	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		376	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h2g: Broadbent> Canada Should be Closer to the United States			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3225 /-] [Invalid=384 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer that they "haven't thought much", "don't know", or refused to answer #h2a.		
Literal question	And Ed Broadbent? (Does Ed Broadbent think that Canada should be MUCH CLOSER to the United States, SOMEWHAT CLOSER, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT MORE DISTANT, or MUCH MORE DISTANT?)		

## # h2g: Broadbent> Canada Should be Closer to the United States

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	0	
1	Much Closer	66	2.0%
2	Somewhat Closer	190	5.9%
3	Same As Now	833	25.8%
4	Somewhat Distant	887	27.5%
5	More Distant	646	20.0%
8	D.K.	603	18.7%
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		376	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # h3: How Much Power Should Trade Unions Have

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3477 /-] [Invalid=132 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents
<b>Pre-question</b>	Some people believe that Canada must have stronger trade unions to protect workers. Others feel that Canada's trade unions are too strong already, and that the power of trade unions should be curbed.
<b>Literal question</b>	How much power do you think trade unions should have: MUCH MORE, SOMEWHAT MORE, ABOUT THE SAME AS NOW, SOMEWHAT LESS, MUCH LESS, or haven't you thought much about this?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not Thought	455	13.1%
1	Much More	207	6.0%
2	Somewhat More	296	8.5%
3	Same As Now	1010	29.0%
4	Somewhat Less	1027	29.5%
5	Much Less	482	13.9%
8	D.K.	77	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		44	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # h4a: Level of Taxes and Services

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3346 /-] [Invalid=263 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents
<b>Pre-question</b>	Most experts argue that if we want more government services we must increase taxes and if we want lower taxes we must reduce services.
<b>Literal question</b>	If you HAD TO CHOOSE, should the level of taxes and services be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?
<b>Post-question</b>	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #h5. All others go to #h4b.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Higher	52	1.6%
2	Somewhat Higher	415	12.4%
3	Same As Now	1591	47.5%
4	Somewhat Lower	878	26.2%
5	Much Lower	410	12.3%

# h4a: Level of Taxes and Services			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	182	
9	Refused	37	
Sysmiss		44	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h4b: Liberal Party> Level of Taxes and Services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3336 /-] [Invalid=273 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #h4a.		
Literal question	Where does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party stand on this issue? Does the FEDERAL LIBERAL party think the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Higher	169	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
2	Somewhat Higher	628	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
3	Same As Now	714	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
4	Somewhat Lower	515	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
5	Much Lower	111	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
8	D.K.	1199	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		263	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h4c: Conservative Party> Level of Taxes and Services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3335 /-] [Invalid=274 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #h4a.		
Literal question	How about the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE Party? (Does the FEDERAL CONSERVATIVE party think the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Higher	201	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
2	Somewhat Higher	710	<div><div></div></div> 21.3%
3	Same As Now	886	<div><div></div></div> 26.6%
4	Somewhat Lower	407	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
5	Much Lower	72	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
8	D.K.	1059	<div><div></div></div> 31.8%
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		263	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h4d: NDP> Level of Taxes and Services			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3334 /-] [Invalid=275 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #h4a.		
Literal question	How about the FEDERAL N.D.P. party? (Does the FEDERAL N.D.P. party think the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?)		

#### # h4d: NDP> Level of Taxes and Services

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Higher	358	10.7%
2	Somewhat Higher	501	15.0%
3	Same As Now	513	15.4%
4	Somewhat Lower	560	16.8%
5	Much Lower	233	7.0%
8	D.K.	1169	35.1%
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		263	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#### # h4e: Mulroney> Level of Taxes and Services

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3331 /-] [Invalid=278 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #h4a.
<b>Literal question</b>	How about Brian Mulroney? (Does Brian Mulroney think the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Higher	273	8.2%
2	Somewhat Higher	744	22.3%
3	Same As Now	858	25.8%
4	Somewhat Lower	375	11.3%
5	Much Lower	82	2.5%
8	D.K.	999	30.0%
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		264	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#### # h4f: Turner> Level of Taxes and Services

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3333 /-] [Invalid=276 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #h4a.
<b>Literal question</b>	What about John Turner? (Does John Turner think there the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Higher	155	4.7%
2	Somewhat Higher	637	19.1%
3	Same As Now	785	23.6%
4	Somewhat Lower	553	16.6%
5	Much Lower	95	2.9%
8	D.K.	1108	33.2%
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		264	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#### # h4g: Broadbent> Level of Taxes and Services

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]
--------------------	--

# h4g: Broadbent> Level of Taxes and Services			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3330 /-] [Invalid=279 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #h4a.		
Literal question	And Ed Broadbent? (Does Ed Broadbent think the level of taxes and services should be MUCH HIGHER, SOMEWHAT HIGHER, ABOUT THE SAME, SOMEWHAT LOWER, or MUCH LOWER than now?)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much Higher	299	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
2	Somewhat Higher	557	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
3	Same As Now	556	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
4	Somewhat Lower	592	<div><div></div></div> 17.8%
5	Much Lower	213	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
8	D.K.	1113	<div><div></div></div> 33.4%
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		264	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h5: Use of Labels to Describe Politics			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3504 /-] [Invalid=105 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Sometimes in Canada people use the labels `left' or `left-wing' and `right' or `right-wing' to describe political parties, politicians and political ideas.		
Literal question	Do you sometimes use these labels?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes" go to #h5a. All others go to #i1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	687	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
5	No	2817	<div><div></div></div> 80.4%
8	D.K.	52	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		49	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# h5a: Where Would You Place Yourself			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=682 /-] [Invalid=2927 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #h5.		
Literal question	Where would you place YOURSELF: VERY MUCH to the LEFT, SOMEWHAT to the LEFT, in the CENTRE, SOMEWHAT to the RIGHT, or VERY MUCH to the RIGHT?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Much LEFT	16	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
2	Somewhat LEFT	175	<div><div></div></div> 25.7%
3	CENTRE	222	<div><div></div></div> 32.6%
4	Somewhat RIGHT	212	<div><div></div></div> 31.1%
5	Very Much RIGHT	49	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
7	INAP	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
8	No Opinion	4	
9	Refused	1	

# h5a: Where Would You Place Yourself			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2922	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# i1: Federal Party Identification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3283 /-] [Invalid=326 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, or none of these?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "none", or "don't know", go to #i3. If respondent refuses to answer, go to #j1. All others go to #i2.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	862	<div></div> 26.3%
2	PC	990	<div></div> 30.2%
3	NDP	393	<div></div> 12.0%
4	None	1038	<div></div> 31.6%
8	D.K.	184	
9	Refused	92	
Sysmiss		50	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# i2: How Strong is Party Identification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2226 /-] [Invalid=1383 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who selected a party for #i1.		
Literal question	How strongly [fill rc2] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?		
Post-question	Go to #j1.		
Notes	"fill rc2" varies according to the response of #i1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Strongly	464	<div></div> 20.8%
3	Fairly Strongly	1147	<div></div> 51.5%
5	Not Very Strongly	615	<div></div> 27.6%
8	D.K.	16	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		1364	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# i3: A Little Closer to One Federal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1181 /-] [Invalid=2428 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "none" or "don't know" for #i1.		
Literal question	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", got to #i4. All others go to #j1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	488	<div></div> 41.3%
5	No	693	<div></div> 58.7%




# i3: A Little Closer to One Federal Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	38	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2387	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# i4: Which Federal Party Closer To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=456 /-] [Invalid=3153 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which party is that?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	138	<div></div> 30.3%
2	PC	171	<div></div> 37.5%
3	NDP	137	<div></div> 30.0%
4	None	10	<div></div> 2.2%
8	D.K.	18	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		3121	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1: Conservative Government is More or Less Honest Than Prior Liberal Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3276 /-] [Invalid=333 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now let's talk about the job the Conservative government has done since it was formed four years ago.		
Literal question	Is this Conservative government MORE honest or LESS honest than the Liberal government before 1984, or hasn't this changed much?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "more honest", go to #j1a. If respondent answers "less honest", go to #j1b. All others go to #j2.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More Honest	538	<div></div> 16.4%
3	Not Changed Much	1890	<div></div> 57.7%
5	Less Honest	848	<div></div> 25.9%
8	D.K.	261	
9	Refused	20	
Sysmiss		52	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j1ab: Much/Somewhat More/Less Honest			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1378 /-] [Invalid=2231 /-]		
Universe	#j1a is asked only to respondents who answered "more honest" for #j1. #j1b is asked only to respondents who answered "less honest" for #j1.		
Literal question	Would you say that it is much more honest or somewhat more honest? (Question #j1a) / Would you say that it is much less honest or somewhat less honest? (Question #j1b)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Much More	135	<div></div> 9.8%

# j1ab: Much/Somewhat More/Less Honest			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Somewhat More	401	<div><div></div></div> 29.1%
4	Somewhat Less	484	<div><div></div></div> 35.1%
5	Much Less	358	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2223	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j2: Conservative Government Has Done More or Less to Promote Quebec Than the Liberal Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3082 /-] [Invalid=527 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Has the Conservative government done MORE or has it done LESS to promote the interests of Quebec than the Liberal government before 1984, or hasn't this changed much?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "more", go to #j2a. If respondent answers "less", go to #j2b. All others go to #j3.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	1357	<div><div></div></div> 44.0%
3	Not Changed Much	1325	<div><div></div></div> 43.0%
5	Less	400	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
8	D.K.	461	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		53	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j2a: Increase of Effort to Promote Quebec's Interests			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1318 /-] [Invalid=2291 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "more" for #j2.		
Literal question	Do you approve or disapprove of this increase of effort?		
Post-question	Go to #j3.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Approve	647	<div><div></div></div> 49.1%
3	Neither	96	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
5	Disapprove	575	<div><div></div></div> 43.6%
8	D.K.	32	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		2252	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j2b: Decrease of Effort to Promote Quebec's Interests			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=375 /-] [Invalid=3234 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "less" for #j2.		
Literal question	Do you approve or disapprove of this decrease of effort?		



# j2b: Decrease of Effort to Promote Quebec's Interests			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Approve	160	<div><div></div></div> 42.7%
3	Neither	28	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
5	Disapprove	187	<div><div></div></div> 49.9%
8	D.K.	24	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3209	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j3: Conservative Government Has Done More or Less to Promote the West Than the Liberals			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2993 /-] [Invalid=616 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Has the Conservative government done MORE or has it done LESS to promote the interests of Western Canada than the Liberal government before 1984, or hasn't this changed much?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "more", go to #j3a. If respondent answers "less" go to #j3b. All others go to #j4.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	1056	<div><div></div></div> 35.3%
3	Not Changed Much	1590	<div><div></div></div> 53.1%
5	Less	347	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
8	D.K.	553	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		54	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j3a: Increase of Effort to Promote Western Interests			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1042 /-] [Invalid=2567 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "more" for #j3.		
Literal question	Do you approve or disapprove of this increase of effort?		
Post-question	Go to #j4.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Approve	910	<div><div></div></div> 87.3%
3	Neither	42	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
5	Disapprove	90	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
8	D.K.	14	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2553	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# j3b: Decrease of Effort to Promote Western Interests			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=337 /-] [Invalid=3272 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "less" for #j3.		
Literal question	Do you approve or disapprove of this decrease of effort?		






### # j3b: Decrease of Effort to Promote Western Interests

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Approve	26	 7.7%
3	Neither	15	 4.5%
5	Disapprove	296	 87.8%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3262	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # j4: Rate Conservative Government's Performance to Protect the Environment



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3359 /-] [Invalid=250 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Literal question	How would you rate the Conservative government's performance on the protection of the environment? Is it very good, good, poor, or very poor?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Good	182	 5.4%
2	Good	1407	 41.9%
3	Neither	168	 5.0%
4	Poor	1220	 36.3%
5	Very Poor	382	 11.4%
8	D.K.	189	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		55	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # k1: Contacted by Local Candidates or Party Workers in Riding

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3517 /-] [Invalid=92 /-]
Universe	All respondents
Pre-question	Now let's talk about how the campaign has gone in your riding over the past seven days.
Literal question	In the past week, were you contacted in person or by telephone by any of the local candidates or party workers here in your riding?
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #k1a. All others go to #k2.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	676	 19.2%
5	No	2841	 80.8%
8	D.K.	34	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		57	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # k1a: Which Party Was Candidate From-1st Mention

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=621 /-] [Invalid=2988 /-]
Universe	Asked only to respondents who were contacted by candidates or party workers within the past week, as indicated in #k1.
Literal question	Which party was he or she from?

# k1a: Which Party Was Candidate From-1st Mention			
<b>Post-question</b>		If respondent provides a party, go to #k1b. All others go to #k2.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	270	<div><div></div></div> 43.5%
2	Liberal	174	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
3	NDP	154	<div><div></div></div> 24.8%
4	Other	23	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
8	D.K.	54	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2933	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k1b: Which Party Was Candidate From-2nd Mention			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=612 /-] [Invalid=2997 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		Asked only to respondents who provided a party for #k1a.	
<b>Literal question</b>		Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone in the past week?)	
<b>Post-question</b>		If respondent provides a party, go to #k1c. All others go to #k2.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	462	<div><div></div></div> 75.5%
1	Conservative	32	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
2	Liberal	83	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
3	NDP	30	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
4	Other	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2988	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k1c: Which Party Was Candidate From-3rd Mention			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=150 /-] [Invalid=3459 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		Asked only to respondents who provided a party for #k1b.	
<b>Literal question</b>		Any other party? (Did any other party contact you in person or by telephone in the past week?)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	101	<div><div></div></div> 67.3%
1	Conservative	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
2	Liberal	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
3	NDP	43	<div><div></div></div> 28.7%
4	Other	3	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3459	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k2: Contacted in Other Ways by the Parties			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	

# k2: Contacted in Other Ways by the Parties			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3499 /-] [Invalid=110 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Were you contacted in any other ways by the parties in the last week, for example, by having a pamphlet left in your mailbox?		
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #k2a. All others go to #1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1419	<div><div></div></div> 40.6%
5	No	2080	<div><div></div></div> 59.4%
8	D.K.	52	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		57	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k2a: Contacted in Other Way-1st Mention			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1255 /-] [Invalid=2354 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who selected a party for #k2.		
Literal question	Which party was that?		
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #k2b. All others go to #1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	673	<div><div></div></div> 53.6%
2	Liberal	327	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
3	NDP	197	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
4	Other	58	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
8	D.K.	162	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		2190	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# k2b: Contacted in Other Way-2nd Mention			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1247 /-] [Invalid=2362 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who selected a party for #k2a.		
Literal question	Did any other party contact you in any other way?		
Post-question	If respondent provides a party, go to #k2c. All others go to #1.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	738	<div><div></div></div> 59.2%
1	Conservative	84	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
2	Liberal	326	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
3	NDP	79	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
4	Other	20	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2354	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# k2c: Contacted in Other Way-3rd Mention			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=505 /-] [Invalid=3104 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who selected a party for #k2b.		
Literal question	Did any other party contact you in any other way?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No	247	<div><div></div></div> 48.9%
1	Conservative	18	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
2	Liberal	10	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
3	NDP	218	<div><div></div></div> 43.2%
4	Other	12	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
8	D.K.	4	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3100	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 12: Free Trade Agreement with the United States			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3151 /-] [Invalid=458 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	(Item #11) Now we would like to ask you about a few of the issues in this election. First, what is the most important issue in this campaign to YOU?		
Literal question	As you know, [Canada/Mulroney government] has reached a Free Trade Agreement with the United States. All things considered, do you support the agreement or do you oppose it?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #13. All others go to #12a1.		
Notes	Split-sample design. There were two versions of question #12, in one half of the sample, the question referred to Canada, the other, the Mulroney government.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	1290	<div><div></div></div> 40.9%
3	Neither	339	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
5	Oppose	1522	<div><div></div></div> 48.3%
8	D.K.	382	
9	Refused	17	
Sysmiss		59	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 12a1: How Do You Feel> Canada Will Lose Control of Economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=554 /-] [Invalid=3055 /-]		
Universe	Split-Sample Design. Respondents who said they supported free trade were random by assignment to one of the 3 challenge statements (12a1, 12a2, 12a3). Respondent who said they opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of 2 challenge statements (12b1 or 12b2). Respondents who neither supported or opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of the five challenge statements (12a1- 12b2).		
Literal question	Some people say that under this agreement Canada will lose its ability to control key sectors of the economy, such as energy. Does this consideration make you LESS SUPPORTIVE of the agreement, or does it make NO DIFFERENCE to how you feel?		
Post-question	Go to #13.		



# 12a1: How Do You Feel> Canada Will Lose Control of Economy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less Supportive	167	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
3	No Difference	376	<div><div></div></div> 67.9%
5	More Supportive	11	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
8	D.K.	27	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3027	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 12a2: How Do You Feel> It Will Be Hard to Maintain Social Programmes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=466 /-] [Invalid=3143 /-]		
Universe	Split-Sample Design. Respondents who said they supported free trade were random by assignment to one of the 3 challenge statements (12a1, 12a2, 12a3). Respondent who said they opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of 2 challenge statements (12b1 or 12b2). Respondents who neither supported or opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of the five challenge statements (12a1- 12b2).		
Literal question	Some people say that this agreement will make it very hard for us to maintain our social programmes, such as medicare. Does this consideration make you LESS SUPPORTIVE of the agreement, or does it make NO DIFFERENCE to how you feel?		
Post-question	Go to #13.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less Supportive	180	<div><div></div></div> 38.6%
3	No Difference	281	<div><div></div></div> 60.3%
5	More Supportive	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
8	D.K.	20	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		3118	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 12a3: How Do You Feel> Canadians Will Lose Their Jobs in Industries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=526 /-] [Invalid=3083 /-]		
Universe	Split-Sample Design. Respondents who said they supported free trade were random by assignment to one of the 3 challenge statements (12a1, 12a2, 12a3). Respondent who said they opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of 2 challenge statements (12b1 or 12b2). Respondents who neither supported or opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of the five challenge statements (12a1- 12b2).		
Literal question	Some people say that under this agreement many Canadians will lose their jobs, in industries such as textiles, automobiles, and services. Does this make you LESS SUPPORTIVE of the agreement, or does it make NO DIFFERENCE to how you feel?		
Post-question	Go to #13.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less Supportive	179	<div><div></div></div> 34.0%
3	No Difference	342	<div><div></div></div> 65.0%
5	More Supportive	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
8	D.K.	28	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3054	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# 12b1: How Do You Feel> It Will Defend Canada Against American Protectionism			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=731 /-] [Invalid=2878 /-]		
Universe	Split-Sample Design. Respondents who said they supported free trade were random by assignment to one of the 3 challenge statements (12a1, 12a2, 12a3). Respondent who said they opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of 2 challenge statements (12b1 or 12b2). Respondents who neither supported or opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of the five challenge statements (12a1- 12b2).		
Literal question	Some people say that this agreement will defend us against AMERICAN protectionism, such as happened in the softwood lumber dispute. Does this make you LESS OPPOSED to the agreement, or does it make NO DIFFERENCE to how you feel?		
Post-question	Go to #13.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less Opposed	69	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
3	No Difference	620	<div><div></div></div> 84.8%
5	More Opposed	42	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
8	D.K.	40	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2837	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 12b2: How Do You Feel> It Will Lower the Cost of Goods			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=726 /-] [Invalid=2883 /-]		
Universe	Split-Sample Design. Respondents who said they supported free trade were random by assignment to one of the 3 challenge statements (12a1, 12a2, 12a3). Respondent who said they opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of 2 challenge statements (12b1 or 12b2). Respondents who neither supported or opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of the five challenge statements (12a1- 12b2).		
Literal question	Some people say that this agreement will lower the cost of many of the goods that Canadian families need. Does this make you LESS OPPOSED to the agreement, or does it make NO DIFFERENCE to how you feel?		
Post-question	Go to #13.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Less Opposed	89	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
3	No Difference	613	<div><div></div></div> 84.4%
5	More Opposed	24	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
8	D.K.	20	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2859	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 13: Canada Should Stay in NATO or Get Out			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3126 /-] [Invalid=483 /-]		
Universe	Split-Sample Design. Respondents who said they supported free trade were random by assignment to one of the 3 challenge statements (12a1, 12a2, 12a3). Respondent who said they opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of 2 challenge statements (12b1 or 12b2). Respondents who neither supported or opposed free trade were randomly assigned to one of the five challenge statements (12a1- 12b2).		
Literal question	As you may know, Canada belongs to NATO, a military alliance which includes the United States and many Western European countries. Do you think that Canada should stay in NATO or get out of NATO?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stay in NATO	2689	<div><div></div></div> 86.0%
3	Depends	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%

# 13: Canada Should Stay in NATO or Get Out			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Get Out of NATO	398	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
8	D.K.	403	
9	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		61	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 14a: Support or Oppose Meech Lake Accord			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=558 /-] [Invalid=3051 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now I would like to ask you about the Meech Lake Accord, reached last year by the federal and provincial governments.		
Literal question	Do you support the accord or oppose it?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	274	<div><div></div></div> 49.1%
3	Neither	72	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
5	Oppose	212	<div><div></div></div> 38.0%
8	D.K.	286	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		2759	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 14b: Meech Lake> Quebec is Recognized as a Distinct Society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=722 /-] [Invalid=2887 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now I would like to ask you about the Meech Lake Accord, reached last year by the federal and provincial governments.		
Literal question	Under this accord, Quebec is recognized as a distinct society. Do you support the accord or oppose it?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	313	<div><div></div></div> 43.4%
3	Neither	45	<div><div></div></div> 6.2%
5	Oppose	364	<div><div></div></div> 50.4%
8	D.K.	137	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		2742	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 14c: Meech Lake> Quebec Has Agreed to Accept the New Constitution of Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=731 /-] [Invalid=2878 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now I would like to ask you about the Meech Lake Accord, reached last year by the federal and provincial governments.		
Literal question	Under this accord, the government of Quebec has agreed to accept the new Constitution of Canada, as the other nine provinces did in 1981. Do you support the accord or oppose it?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	505	<div><div></div></div> 69.1%






#### # 14c: Meech Lake> Quebec Has Agreed to Accept the New Constitution of Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither	51	 7.0%
5	Oppose	175	 23.9%
8	D.K.	179	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		2696	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

#### # 14d: Meech Lake> Provincial Powers are Strengthened









<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=696 /-] [Invalid=2913 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents
<b>Pre-question</b>	Now I would like to ask you about the Meech Lake Accord, reached last year by the federal and provincial governments.
<b>Literal question</b>	Under this accord, the powers of provincial governments are strengthened in various ways. Do you support the accord or oppose it?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	407	 58.5%
3	Neither	60	 8.6%
5	Oppose	229	 32.9%
8	D.K.	215	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2693	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# 15: Canada Should Welcome More or Fewer Immigrants			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3441 /-] [Invalid=168 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Some people think that the government of Canada should tighten up its immigration policy. Others think that Canada should welcome even more immigrants. How about you? Should Canada admit MORE immigrants or FEWER immigrants than at present?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	780	22.7%
3	Depends/Same	510	14.8%
5	Fewer	2151	62.5%
8	D.K.	90	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		63	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# 16a: Opinion> Three Positions on Abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1695 /-] [Invalid=1914 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two version of the abortion question (16a and 16b). The difference between the two questions was the order in which the responses were offered. In 16a the choices were "abortion never permitted", "permitted after need established" and "women's personal choice". In 16b, the order of these responses was revised. An additional experiment was incorporated into each version of the question: half the respondents were told that "according to the Supreme Court, the Charter of Rights says the governments cannot make abortion absolutely illegal".		
Pre-question	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. We know that this is a sensitive question. [According to the Supreme Court, the Charter of Rights says that governments cannot make abortions absolutely illegal.]		
Literal question	Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: one, abortion should NEVER be permitted, two, should be permitted only after need has been established by a doctor, or three, should be a matter of the woman's personal choice?		
Post-question	Go to #17.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never Permitted	203	12.0%
3	Permitted-Need	715	42.2%
5	Personal Choice	777	45.8%
8	D.K.	18	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1885	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# 16b: Opinion> Three Positions on Abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1789 /-] [Invalid=1820 /-]		
Universe	Split-sample design. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two version of the abortion question (16a and 16b). The difference between the two questions was the order in which the responses were offered. In 16a the choices were "abortion never permitted", "permitted after need established" and "women's personal choice". In 16b, the order of these responses was revised. An additional experiment was incorporated into each version of the question: half the respondents were told that "according to the Supreme Court, the Charter of Rights says the governments cannot make abortion absolutely illegal".		
Pre-question	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. We know that this is a sensitive question. [According to the Supreme Court, the Charter of Rights says that governments cannot make abortions absolutely illegal.]		

# 16b: Opinion> Three Positions on Abortion			
<b>Literal question</b>		Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: one, abortion should be a matter of the woman's personal choice, two, should be permitted only after need has been established by a doctor, or three, should NEVER be permitted?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Personal Choice	873	<div><div></div></div> 48.8%
3	Permitted-Need	724	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
5	Never Permitted	192	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		1787	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# 17: Privatization of Air Canada			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2999 /-] [Invalid=610 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		The government intends to sell shares in Air Canada to private bidders. On balance, do you favour or oppose the privatization of Air Canada?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favour	1842	<div><div></div></div> 61.4%
3	Neither	270	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
5	Oppose	887	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
8	D.K.	532	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		63	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# 18: Official Languages Act> Extension of Services			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=3166 /-] [Invalid=443 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		The Conservative government has made a number of amendments to the Official Languages Act. These amendments extend services for the French people outside Quebec and for the English people inside Quebec. Do you favour or oppose the extension of such services?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favour	2259	<div><div></div></div> 71.4%
3	Neither	160	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
5	Oppose	747	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
8	D.K.	369	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		63	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# 19: Nuclear Submarines for the Navy			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=3337 /-] [Invalid=272 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	

<b># 19: Nuclear Submarines for the Navy</b>			
<b>Literal question</b>	As you may know, the government intends to buy 8 or more nuclear submarines for the Navy to assert government sovereignty in the Canadian North. All things considered, do you support or oppose buying nuclear submarines? (Version 1) As you may know, the government intends to buy 8 or more nuclear submarines for the Navy at a cost of 8 billion dollars or more. All things considered, do you support or oppose buying nuclear submarines? (Version 2)		
<b>Notes</b>	Split-sample design. Respondents were randomly assigned to one of two versions of the nuclear submarine question.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Support	1223	 36.6%
3	Neither	141	 4.2%
5	Oppose	1973	 59.1%
8	D.K.	201	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		63	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># 110: Support or Oppose Tax System Changes</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2716 /-] [Invalid=893 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	As we have already mentioned, the government has made a number of changes to the tax system. On the whole, do you support or oppose these changes?		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Support	1237	 45.5%
3	Neither	262	 9.6%
5	Oppose	1217	 44.8%
8	D.K.	818	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		64	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># 111: Senate Should or Should Never Block Bills</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3349 /-] [Invalid=260 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	Mr. Turner instructed Liberal Senators to block the Free Trade Agreement until after the election. Which of the following two statements is closer to your own view. 1. A non-elected body like the Senate should never block bills passed by the elected House of Commons. 2. On such an important question the Senate should block the bill until the people have had a chance to decide.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never Block Bill	721	 21.5%
5	Should Block	2628	 78.5%
8	D.K.	174	
9	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		65	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># 112: What Will the Liberals Do With a Free Trade Agreement</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3158 /-] [Invalid=451 /-]		

# 112: What Will the Liberals Do With a Free Trade Agreement			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If the Liberal party forms the next government, what do you think they will do with the Free Trade Agreement? Will they tear it up, attempt to renegotiate it, or implement it?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "renegotiate", go to #112a. All others go to #113.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Tear It Up	913	<div><div></div></div> 28.9%
3	Renegotiate	1945	<div><div></div></div> 61.6%
5	Implement It	300	<div><div></div></div> 9.5%
8	D.K.	380	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		67	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 112a: If Attempt to Renegotiate Fails-Then What			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1705 /-] [Invalid=1904 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "renegotiate" for #112.		
Literal question	What if the attempt to renegotiate the agreement fails? Do you think a Liberal government will then implement the agreement or abandon it?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Implement	503	<div><div></div></div> 29.5%
5	Abandon	1202	<div><div></div></div> 70.5%
8	D.K.	236	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		1664	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 113: Liberals Want to Give Tax Credit for Housing Costs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3276 /-] [Invalid=333 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The Liberal party wants to give some Canadians a tax credit for their housing costs. Do you favour or oppose this idea?		
Interviewer's instructions	IF R ASKS WHO IS "SOME": SAY 'MIDDLE & LOWER INCOME CANADIANS WHO LIVE IN AREAS WHERE HOUSING IS EXPENSIVE'.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favour	2457	<div><div></div></div> 75.0%
3	Neither	102	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
5	Oppose	717	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
8	D.K.	260	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		67	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 114: Opinion Regarding Child Care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3386 /-] [Invalid=223 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# 114: Opinion Regarding Child Care			
<b>Literal question</b>		And now a question about child care. Which of the following three statements comes closest to your own opinion? 1. If parents want child care, they should pay for it THEMSELVES. 2. The government should help PARENTS pay for child care. 3. The government should provide financial help to DAY CARE centres.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Parents Pay	969	<div><div></div></div> 28.6%
3	Gov Help Parents	1039	<div><div></div></div> 30.7%
5	Gov Help Centres	1378	<div><div></div></div> 40.7%
8	D.K.	137	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		68	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# m1: Past Week> Discussed Politics with Others			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=3533 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		Over the past week, have you discussed politics with other people?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2056	<div><div></div></div> 58.2%
5	No	1477	<div><div></div></div> 41.8%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		68	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# m2: Past Week> Helped a Party's Campaign			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=3536 /-] [Invalid=73 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		During the last week, have you helped a party's campaign, for example, by going to a meeting or rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a lawn sign?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	222	<div><div></div></div> 6.3%
5	No	3314	<div><div></div></div> 93.7%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		68	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# n1: Year of Birth			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1892-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=3535 /-] [Invalid=74 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Pre-question</b>		Before we finish the interview, we would like to get a little more information about your background. We need this information to check that our sample is representative of all Canadians.	
<b>Literal question</b>		In what year were you born?	

## # n1: Year of Birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1892		1	0.0%
1898		2	0.1%
1902		2	0.1%
1903		7	0.2%
1904		3	0.1%
1905		10	0.3%
1906		4	0.1%
1907		10	0.3%
1908		14	0.4%
1909		5	0.1%
1910		10	0.3%
1911		15	0.4%
1912		18	0.5%
1913		20	0.6%
1914		29	0.8%
1915		28	0.8%
1916		32	0.9%
1917		24	0.7%
1918		22	0.6%
1919		32	0.9%
1920		36	1.0%
1921		31	0.9%
1922		40	1.1%
1923		35	1.0%
1924		46	1.3%
1925		42	1.2%
1926		42	1.2%
1927		47	1.3%
1928		43	1.2%
1929		45	1.3%
1930		44	1.2%
1931		37	1.0%
1932		41	1.2%
1933		36	1.0%
1934		50	1.4%
1935		48	1.4%
1936		43	1.2%
1937		32	0.9%
1938		35	1.0%
1939		43	1.2%
1940		49	1.4%
1941		44	1.2%
1942		63	1.8%
1943		59	1.7%
1944		50	1.4%

# n1: Year of Birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1945		55	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
1946		68	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1947		86	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
1948		87	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
1949		69	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
1950		87	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
1951		80	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
1952		99	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
1953		122	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
1954		110	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
1955		110	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
1956		96	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
1957		108	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
1958		99	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
1959		90	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
1960		91	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
1961		93	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
1962		73	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
1963		90	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
1964		79	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
1965		65	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
1966		68	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1967		52	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
1968		65	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
1969		60	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
1970		63	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
1971		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
9998	D.K.	4	
9999	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		51	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n2: Marital Status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3537 /-] [Invalid=72 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Are you presently married or living with a partner, divorced, separated, widowed, or have you never been married?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Married/Partner	2248	<div><div></div></div> 63.6%
2	Divorced	213	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
3	Separated	117	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
4	Widowed	234	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
5	Never Married	725	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	3	



# n2: Marital Status			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		68	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n3: Highest Level of Education Completed			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3526 /-] [Invalid=83 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is the highest level of education that you have completed?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "no schooling", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #n5. All others go to #n4.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	No Schooling	12	0.3%
2	Some Elementary	137	3.9%
3	Complete Elementary	150	4.3%
4	Some Sec,High School	775	22.0%
5	Complete Sec,HS	947	26.9%
6	Some Tech,CC,etc	255	7.2%
7	Complete Tech,CC,etc	376	10.7%
8	Some University	322	9.1%
9	Bachelors	395	11.2%
10	Masters	106	3.0%
11	Profesnl,Ph.D.	51	1.4%
98	D.K.	10	
99	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		68	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n4: Any Schooling Obtained in Religious Schools			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3471 /-] [Invalid=138 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who have received schooling, and provided their highest level for #n3.		
Literal question	Was any of your schooling obtained in a religious school?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1062	30.6%
5	No	2409	69.4%
8	D.K.	43	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		95	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n5: Employment Status			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3527 /-] [Invalid=82 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	We'd like to know if you are now working for pay, or are you unemployed, retired, a student, a homemaker, or something else?		

# n5: Employment Status			
Post-question		If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #n9. All others go to #n6.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Working Now	2173	<div></div> 61.6%
2	Laid Off	8	<div></div> 0.2%
3	Unemployed	202	<div></div> 5.7%
4	Disabled	34	<div></div> 1.0%
5	Retired	533	<div></div> 15.1%
6	Student	199	<div></div> 5.6%
7	Homemaker	378	<div></div> 10.7%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		68	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n6: Occupation-Statistics Canada Code			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1113-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3322 /-] [Invalid=287 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", or refused to answer #n5.	
Literal question		What is/was your family principal wage earner's/is your spouse's occupation.	
Interviewer's instructions		RECORD EXACT OCCUPATION -- END WITH ///(If unemployed, record most recent occupation)	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1113		10	<div></div> 0.3%
1115		3	<div></div> 0.1%
1116		13	<div></div> 0.4%
1119		1	<div></div> 0.0%
1130		49	<div></div> 1.5%
1131		6	<div></div> 0.2%
1132		1	<div></div> 0.0%
1133		10	<div></div> 0.3%
1134		3	<div></div> 0.1%
1135		18	<div></div> 0.5%
1136		5	<div></div> 0.2%
1137		63	<div></div> 1.9%
1141		5	<div></div> 0.2%
1142		17	<div></div> 0.5%
1143		18	<div></div> 0.5%
1145		10	<div></div> 0.3%
1147		11	<div></div> 0.3%
1149		88	<div></div> 2.6%
1171		71	<div></div> 2.1%
1173		2	<div></div> 0.1%
1174		6	<div></div> 0.2%
1175		7	<div></div> 0.2%
1176		2	<div></div> 0.1%
1179		18	<div></div> 0.5%
2111		1	<div></div> 0.0%

# **# n6: Occupation-Statistics Canada Code**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2112		3	0.1%
2113		1	0.0%
2114		1	0.0%
2117		2	0.1%
2119		2	0.1%
2131		1	0.0%
2135		3	0.1%
2139		4	0.1%
2141		1	0.0%
2142		3	0.1%
2143		29	0.9%
2144		10	0.3%
2145		2	0.1%
2147		6	0.2%
2153		1	0.0%
2154		2	0.1%
2157		1	0.0%
2161		6	0.2%
2163		14	0.4%
2165		21	0.6%
2183		17	0.5%
2311		3	0.1%
2315		11	0.3%
2319		2	0.1%
2331		23	0.7%
2333		5	0.2%
2341		1	0.0%
2343		23	0.7%
2349		2	0.1%
2350		1	0.0%
2351		6	0.2%
2399		3	0.1%
2511		10	0.3%
2711		16	0.5%
2719		2	0.1%
2731		98	3.0%
2733		40	1.2%
2739		1	0.0%
2791		9	0.3%
2792		5	0.2%
2793		1	0.0%
2795		6	0.2%
2797		4	0.1%
2799		2	0.1%
3111		21	0.6%

# # n6: Occupation-Statistics Canada Code

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3113		1	0.0%
3115		1	0.0%
3117		1	0.0%
3119		1	0.0%
3130		1	0.0%
3131		84	2.5%
3132		4	0.1%
3134		12	0.4%
3135		14	0.4%
3136		1	0.0%
3137		1	0.0%
3138		2	0.1%
3139		6	0.2%
3151		2	0.1%
3152		3	0.1%
3154		1	0.0%
3155		2	0.1%
3156		13	0.4%
3158		1	0.0%
3162		1	0.0%
3311		6	0.2%
3313		6	0.2%
3314		11	0.3%
3315		3	0.1%
3330		2	0.1%
3332		10	0.3%
3334		1	0.0%
3335		2	0.1%
3337		2	0.1%
3339		1	0.0%
3351		14	0.4%
3355		7	0.2%
3370		2	0.1%
3373		1	0.0%
3379		3	0.1%
4110		1	0.0%
4111		119	3.6%
4113		8	0.2%
4130		6	0.2%
4131		67	2.0%
4133		43	1.3%
4135		12	0.4%
4140		3	0.1%
4141		1	0.0%
4143		21	0.6%

# **# n6: Occupation-Statistics Canada Code**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4150		3	0.1%
4153		17	0.5%
4155		7	0.2%
4157		2	0.1%
4161		5	0.2%
4170		1	0.0%
4171		19	0.6%
4172		8	0.2%
4173		10	0.3%
4175		14	0.4%
4190		4	0.1%
4191		3	0.1%
4192		2	0.1%
4193		2	0.1%
4194		2	0.1%
4197		22	0.7%
4199		56	1.7%
5130		64	1.9%
5131		9	0.3%
5133		25	0.8%
5135		125	3.8%
5145		6	0.2%
5149		1	0.0%
5170		9	0.3%
5171		23	0.7%
5172		29	0.9%
5173		3	0.1%
5174		6	0.2%
5177		3	0.1%
5191		1	0.0%
5193		2	0.1%
6111		14	0.4%
6112		17	0.5%
6115		19	0.6%
6116		1	0.0%
6117		9	0.3%
6119		3	0.1%
6120		8	0.2%
6121		49	1.5%
6123		8	0.2%
6125		29	0.9%
6129		5	0.2%
6130		8	0.2%
6133		2	0.1%
6141		2	0.1%

# **# n6: Occupation-Statistics Canada Code**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6142		8	0.2%
6143		24	0.7%
6144		1	0.0%
6145		2	0.1%
6147		19	0.6%
6162		4	0.1%
6190		10	0.3%
6191		47	1.4%
6198		5	0.2%
6199		1	0.0%
7113		23	0.7%
7115		128	3.9%
7119		3	0.1%
7180		2	0.1%
7183		2	0.1%
7185		7	0.2%
7195		8	0.2%
7197		2	0.1%
7199		3	0.1%
7311		1	0.0%
7313		27	0.8%
7511		1	0.0%
7513		19	0.6%
7517		2	0.1%
7519		4	0.1%
7710		2	0.1%
7711		3	0.1%
7717		18	0.5%
7718		2	0.1%
7719		1	0.0%
8135		2	0.1%
8137		1	0.0%
8148		3	0.1%
8149		5	0.2%
8153		1	0.0%
8155		1	0.0%
8160		1	0.0%
8165		2	0.1%
8176		1	0.0%
8178		1	0.0%
8210		1	0.0%
8211		1	0.0%
8213		7	0.2%
8215		8	0.2%
8217		10	0.3%

# **# n6: Occupation-Statistics Canada Code**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8221		4	0.1%
8223		1	0.0%
8226		3	0.1%
8228		5	0.2%
8230		2	0.1%
8231		4	0.1%
8233		1	0.0%
8236		1	0.0%
8238		3	0.1%
8253		5	0.2%
8258		4	0.1%
8267		3	0.1%
8271		3	0.1%
8273		3	0.1%
8278		4	0.1%
8310		2	0.1%
8311		4	0.1%
8313		8	0.2%
8315		1	0.0%
8330		1	0.0%
8331		1	0.0%
8333		3	0.1%
8335		30	0.9%
8371		1	0.0%
8373		1	0.0%
8395		1	0.0%
8510		2	0.1%
8513		9	0.3%
8515		1	0.0%
8526		3	0.1%
8528		2	0.1%
8529		1	0.0%
8530		1	0.0%
8531		6	0.2%
8533		2	0.1%
8534		2	0.1%
8535		3	0.1%
8537		2	0.1%
8538		1	0.0%
8540		1	0.0%
8541		1	0.0%
8548		2	0.1%
8551		1	0.0%
8553		2	0.1%
8555		1	0.0%

# **# n6: Occupation-Statistites Canada Code**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8561		1	0.0%
8562		2	0.1%
8563		16	0.5%
8569		1	0.0%
8571		5	0.2%
8573		4	0.1%
8578		1	0.0%
8580		8	0.2%
8581		52	1.6%
8582		3	0.1%
8583		3	0.1%
8584		39	1.2%
8588		1	0.0%
8589		2	0.1%
8592		1	0.0%
8593		2	0.1%
8595		5	0.2%
8599		1	0.0%
8710		5	0.2%
8711		4	0.1%
8715		2	0.1%
8718		5	0.2%
8730		4	0.1%
8731		3	0.1%
8733		29	0.9%
8735		8	0.2%
8736		1	0.0%
8739		1	0.0%
8780		23	0.7%
8781		47	1.4%
8782		9	0.3%
8784		3	0.1%
8785		8	0.2%
8787		2	0.1%
8791		21	0.6%
8793		4	0.1%
8795		4	0.1%
8798		31	0.9%
8799		7	0.2%
9110		1	0.0%
9111		6	0.2%
9113		6	0.2%
9130		1	0.0%
9131		3	0.1%
9133		2	0.1%



# n6: Occupation-Statistics Canada Code			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9135		2	0.1%
9151		6	0.2%
9155		4	0.1%
9170		6	0.2%
9171		15	0.5%
9173		9	0.3%
9175		74	2.2%
9179		2	0.1%
9311		2	0.1%
9313		11	0.3%
9315		22	0.7%
9317		6	0.2%
9318		3	0.1%
9510		1	0.0%
9511		8	0.2%
9512		9	0.3%
9514		1	0.0%
9515		2	0.1%
9517		1	0.0%
9519		1	0.0%
9530		2	0.1%
9531		1	0.0%
9539		15	0.5%
9551		2	0.1%
9555		1	0.0%
9591		3	0.1%
9918		39	1.2%
9994	Housewife	74	2.2%
9995	Student	17	0.5%
9996	Disabled	6	0.2%
9998	D.K.	3	
9999	Not Codeable,NA	138	
Sysmiss		146	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n7: Self-Employed or Working for Someone Else			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3411 /-] [Invalid=198 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Is/are/were you/your spouse self-employed or work for someone else?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "working for someone else", go to #n8. All other responses go to #n9.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Self-Employed	696	20.4%
5	Someone Else	2715	79.6%
8	D.K.	38	

# n7: Self-Employed or Working for Someone Else			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	26	
Sysmiss		134	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n8: Working for Private Firm or Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2630 /-] [Invalid=979 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "working for someone else" for #n7.		
Literal question	Do/did/does you/your spouse work for ...		
Post-question	If repondent answers "a government organization" or "a government-owned enterprise", go to #n8a. All others go to #n9.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Private Firm	1763	67.0%
3	Gov't Organizatn	616	23.4%
5	Gov't Enterprise	251	9.5%
8	D.K.	81	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		894	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n8a: Working for Federal, Provincial, or Local Government			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=832 /-] [Invalid=2777 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "a government organization" or "a government-owned enterprise" for #n8.		
Literal question	Is that the federal, the provincial or a local government?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Federal	209	25.1%
3	Provincial	476	57.2%
5	Local	147	17.7%
8	D.K.	35	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2742	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n9: Belong to Labour Union			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3503 /-] [Invalid=106 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you or anyone in your household belong to a labour union?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1135	32.4%
5	No	2368	67.6%
8	D.K.	34	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		68	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# n10: Out of Work/Laid Off During Last Year			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2691 /-] [Invalid=918 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are working now, are students, or homemakers.		
Literal question	Were you out of work or laid off at any time during the last year? (If respondent is working now)/ Was your family's principal wage earner out of work or laid off at any time during the last year? (If respondent is a student)/ Was your spouse out of work or laid off at any time during the last year? (If respondent is a homemaker)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	440	16.4%
5	No	2251	83.6%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		903	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n11: Religious Affiliation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3514 /-] [Invalid=95 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is your religious affiliation? Is it Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, something else or no religion?		
Post-question	If respondent is Protestant, go to #n11a. If respondent answers "no religion", "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #n13. All others go to #n12.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Protestant	1305	37.1%
2	Catholic	1622	46.2%
3	Jewish	41	1.2%
5	Other	178	5.1%
7	No Religion	368	10.5%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		68	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n11a: Church or Denomination			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1305 /-] [Invalid=2304 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are Protestant, as indicated in #n11.		
Literal question	What church or denomination is that?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Anglican	306	23.4%
2	United	518	39.7%
3	Presbyterian	110	8.4%
4	Baptist	80	6.1%
5	Lutheran	85	6.5%
6	Christian Reformed	14	1.1%
7	Pentecostal	37	2.8%
8	Other	155	11.9%

# n11a: Church or Denomination			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2304	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n12: How Often Do You Attend Place of Worship			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3111 /-] [Invalid=498 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who provided their religion for #n11, and who are not Protestant or who have no religion.		
Literal question	How often do you attend your place of worship?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Never	417	<div><div></div></div> 13.4%
1	Less Once a Year	218	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
2	Once/Twice a Yr	547	<div><div></div></div> 17.6%
3	Several a Year	436	<div><div></div></div> 14.0%
4	Once a Month	225	<div><div></div></div> 7.2%
5	Couple a Month	234	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
6	Nearly Every Wk	146	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
7	Every Week	763	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
8	More Once a Week	125	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
9	D.K.,NA	35	
Sysmiss		463	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n13: Country of Birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3552 /-] [Invalid=57 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In what country were you born?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	69	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1	Canada	3114	<div><div></div></div> 87.7%
2	British Isles	108	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
4	France	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
5	Germany	32	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
6	Greece	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7	Holland	28	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	Hungary	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9	Italy	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
10	Poland	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
11	Russia/ USSR	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
12	U.S.A.	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
13	Yugoslavia	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	Other European	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
15	India	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
16	China/Hong Kong	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
17	Other Asia	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%

# n13: Country of Birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Latin America	6	0.2%
19	Caribbean	22	0.6%
20	Africa	8	0.2%
21	Oceania	3	0.1%
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		51	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n14: Year Came to Live in Canada			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1900-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=438 /-] [Invalid=3171 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who were not born in Canada, as indicated in #n13.		
Literal question	In what year did you come to live in Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1900		1	0.2%
1905		1	0.2%
1910		2	0.5%
1911		2	0.5%
1912		4	0.9%
1913		1	0.2%
1919		2	0.5%
1920		6	1.4%
1921		2	0.5%
1922		3	0.7%
1923		3	0.7%
1925		1	0.2%
1926		3	0.7%
1927		4	0.9%
1928		5	1.1%
1929		4	0.9%
1930		5	1.1%
1931		2	0.5%
1932		2	0.5%
1934		1	0.2%
1935		1	0.2%
1937		2	0.5%
1938		1	0.2%
1940		1	0.2%
1941		1	0.2%
1944		1	0.2%
1945		1	0.2%
1946		7	1.6%
1947		4	0.9%
1948		14	3.2%

### # n14: Year Came to Live in Canada

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1949		10	2.3%
1950		4	0.9%
1951		15	3.4%
1952		8	1.8%
1953		17	3.9%
1954		9	2.1%
1955		10	2.3%
1956		14	3.2%
1957		21	4.8%
1958		11	2.5%
1959		4	0.9%
1960		9	2.1%
1961		6	1.4%
1962		8	1.8%
1963		8	1.8%
1964		6	1.4%
1965		6	1.4%
1966		8	1.8%
1967		11	2.5%
1968		10	2.3%
1969		12	2.7%
1970		13	3.0%
1971		12	2.7%
1972		9	2.1%
1973		11	2.5%
1974		18	4.1%
1975		14	3.2%
1976		4	0.9%
1977		15	3.4%
1978		10	2.3%
1979		4	0.9%
1980		7	1.6%
1981		9	2.1%
1982		6	1.4%
1983		7	1.6%
1984		2	0.5%
1985		1	0.2%
1986		1	0.2%
1987		1	0.2%
9998	D.K.	2	
9999	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3167	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # n15: Ethnic or Cultural Group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]
-------------	---

# n15: Ethnic or Cultural Group				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3217 /-] [Invalid=392 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		To what ethnic or cultural group did your ancestors belong on coming to this continent? (If respondent was born in Canada or the United States) / To what ethnic or cultural group do you belong? (All others)		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
0	Other	228	<div></div>	7.1%
1	English	747	<div></div>	23.2%
2	Irish	287	<div></div>	8.9%
3	Scottish	323	<div></div>	10.0%
4	Welsh	22	<div></div>	0.7%
5	Chinese	21	<div></div>	0.7%
6	Czech/Slovak	14	<div></div>	0.4%
7	Finnish/Baltic	15	<div></div>	0.5%
8	French/Quebecois	829	<div></div>	25.8%
9	German/ Austrian	209	<div></div>	6.5%
10	Greek/Macedonian	11	<div></div>	0.3%
11	Hungarian	29	<div></div>	0.9%
12	Indian/Tamil,etc	20	<div></div>	0.6%
13	Italian	76	<div></div>	2.4%
14	Japanese	4	<div></div>	0.1%
15	Jewish	20	<div></div>	0.6%
16	Native Indian/Inuit	23	<div></div>	0.7%
17	Black	4	<div></div>	0.1%
18	Dutch	64	<div></div>	2.0%
19	Polish	47	<div></div>	1.5%
20	Portuguese	14	<div></div>	0.4%
21	Russian	38	<div></div>	1.2%
22	Scandinavian	58	<div></div>	1.8%
23	Ukrainian	99	<div></div>	3.1%
24	West Indian	12	<div></div>	0.4%
25	Oceanic	3	<div></div>	0.1%
98	D.K.	315		
99	Refused	9		
Sysmiss		68		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# n16: Language First Learned and Can Still Understand				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3534 /-] [Invalid=75 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		What is the first language you learned and still understand?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	French	1018	<div></div>	28.8%
3	English	2142	<div></div>	60.6%
5	Other	374	<div></div>	10.6%
8	D.K.	5		

# n16: Language First Learned and Can Still Understand			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		68	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n17: Language Usually Spoken at Home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3533 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What language do you usually speak at home?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	French	916	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
3	English	2501	<div><div></div></div> 70.8%
5	Other	116	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		68	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n18: Also Carry on a Conversation in French			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3300 /-] [Invalid=309 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "French" for #n16, #n17.		
Literal question	Can you ALSO carry on a conversation in French?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	912	<div><div></div></div> 27.6%
5	No	2388	<div><div></div></div> 72.4%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		303	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n19: Total Family Income			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3119 /-] [Invalid=490 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much income did you and other members of your family living with you receive IN TOTAL, before deductions, in the last 12 months, not just from wages but from all sources, including pensions, unemployment insurance, interest from savings, and rental income. We don't need the exact figure, just a broad category. Was it ...		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	<\$10,000	259	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
2	\$10,000-\$19,000	551	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
3	\$20,000-\$29,000	603	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
4	\$30,000-\$39,000	528	<div><div></div></div> 16.9%
5	\$40,000-\$49,000	376	<div><div></div></div> 12.1%
6	\$50,000-\$59,000	261	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
7	\$60,000-\$69,000	175	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%



# n19: Total Family Income			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	\$70,000-\$79,000	122	<div></div> 3.9%
9	\$80,000 or More	244	<div></div> 7.8%
98	D.K.	252	
99	Refused	169	
Sysmiss		69	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# n20: Number of Children Under 18 That Live in Home			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3533 /-] [Invalid=76 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How many children under 18 years old live in your home?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	None	1999	<div></div> 56.6%
1		627	<div></div> 17.7%
2		626	<div></div> 17.7%
3		215	<div></div> 6.1%
4		49	<div></div> 1.4%
5		14	<div></div> 0.4%
6		1	<div></div> 0.0%
7	Seven or More	2	<div></div> 0.1%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		69	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# intlang: Language of Interview			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	2695	<div></div> 74.7%
2	French	914	<div></div> 25.3%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# interest: Interest of Questions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3500 /-] [Invalid=109 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In general would say the questions were very interesting, somewhat interesting, or not very interesting?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Interesting	1763	<div></div> 50.4%
3	Somewhat	1543	<div></div> 44.1%
5	Not Very	194	<div></div> 5.5%
8	D.K.	14	

# interest: Interest of Questions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		92	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# length: Number of Minutes it Took to Complete Questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3343 /-] [Invalid=266 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Finally can you tell me how many minutes you think it took to complete this questionnaire?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2	0.1%
2		3	0.1%
3		2	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		34	1.0%
6		3	0.1%
7		18	0.5%
8		15	0.4%
9		2	0.1%
10		330	9.9%
11		4	0.1%
12		32	1.0%
13		16	0.5%
14		10	0.3%
15		719	21.5%
16		8	0.2%
17		21	0.6%
18		24	0.7%
19		2	0.1%
20		758	22.7%
21		5	0.1%
22		9	0.3%
23		14	0.4%
24		5	0.1%
25		256	7.7%
26		4	0.1%
27		7	0.2%
28		5	0.1%
29		3	0.1%
30		677	20.3%
31		1	0.0%
32		6	0.2%
33		2	0.1%
34		4	0.1%
35		65	1.9%

# length: Number of Minutes it Took to Complete Questionnaire			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
37		1	0.0%
38		2	0.1%
39		2	0.1%
40		60	1.8%
42		1	0.0%
45		112	3.4%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
49		1	0.0%
50		24	0.7%
55		2	0.1%
60		58	1.7%
65		3	0.1%
70		1	0.0%
75		2	0.1%
90		4	0.1%
97		1	0.0%
98	D.K.	172	
99	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		92	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# a5a: Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About -1st			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3508 /-] [Invalid=101 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Now, a general question: In this campaign, what issues are the PARTY LEADERS talking about?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER ENTERS VERBATIM COMMENTS		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	47	1.3%
2	Budget	1	0.0%
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	55	1.6%
5	Defence/NATO	4	0.1%
6	Deficit	2	0.1%
7	Economy	10	0.3%
8	Jobs/Employment	19	0.5%
9	Energy Issues	2	0.1%
10	Environment	65	1.9%
11	Farmers Issues	2	0.1%
12	Free Trade	2749	78.4%
13	Health Care	0	
14	Housing	18	0.5%
15	Refugees/Immigration	1	0.0%
16	Inflation	1	0.0%

# a5a: Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About -1st			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Leadership	5	0.1%
18	Meech Lake	11	0.3%
19	Nuclear Subs	0	
20	Official Lang Policy	4	0.1%
21	Patronage/Corruption	0	
22	Regional Development	2	0.1%
23	Senior Citizens	25	0.7%
24	Social Services	5	0.1%
25	Sovereignty	3	0.1%
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	13	0.4%
27	Tax Reform	1	0.0%
28	Unemployment	4	0.1%
29	Women's Issues	5	0.1%
30	Other	44	1.3%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	410	11.7%
99	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		99	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# a5b: Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About - 2nd			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1361 /-] [Invalid=2248 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	206	15.1%
2	Budget	11	0.8%
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	214	15.7%
5	Defence/NATO	21	1.5%
6	Deficit	21	1.5%
7	Economy	24	1.8%
8	Jobs/Employment	27	2.0%
9	Energy Issues	6	0.4%
10	Environment	219	16.1%
11	Farmers Issues	13	1.0%
12	Free Trade	118	8.7%
13	Health Care	22	1.6%
14	Housing	27	2.0%
15	Refugees/Immigration	2	0.1%
16	Inflation	2	0.1%
17	Leadership	12	0.9%
18	Meech Lake	76	5.6%
19	Nuclear Subs	9	0.7%
20	Official Lang Policy	3	0.2%
21	Patronage/Corruption	14	1.0%

### # a5b: Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About - 2nd

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	Regional Development	12	0.9%
23	Senior Citizens	70	5.1%
24	Social Services	39	2.9%
25	Sovereignty	2	0.1%
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	70	5.1%
27	Tax Reform	14	1.0%
28	Unemployment	13	1.0%
29	Women's Issues	72	5.3%
30	Other	22	1.6%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2248	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # a5c: Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About - 3rd

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=606 /-] [Invalid=3003 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	72	11.9%
2	Budget	5	0.8%
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	99	16.3%
5	Defence/NATO	18	3.0%
6	Deficit	13	2.1%
7	Economy	20	3.3%
8	Jobs/Employment	23	3.8%
9	Energy Issues	1	0.2%
10	Environment	72	11.9%
11	Farmers Issues	13	2.1%
12	Free Trade	19	3.1%
13	Health Care	7	1.2%
14	Housing	14	2.3%
15	Refugees/Immigration	1	0.2%
16	Inflation	1	0.2%
17	Leadership	3	0.5%
18	Meech Lake	27	4.5%
19	Nuclear Subs	9	1.5%
20	Official Lang Policy	6	1.0%
21	Patronage/Corruption	10	1.7%
22	Regional Development	8	1.3%
23	Senior Citizens	37	6.1%
24	Social Services	30	5.0%
25	Sovereignty	4	0.7%
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	38	6.3%

### # a5c: Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About - 3rd

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27	Tax Reform	7	1.2%
28	Unemployment	12	2.0%
29	Women's Issues	20	3.3%
30	Other	17	2.8%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3003	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # a5d: Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About - 4th

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=190 /-] [Invalid=3419 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	21	11.1%
2	Budget	4	2.1%
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	25	13.2%
5	Defence/NATO	9	4.7%
6	Deficit	6	3.2%
7	Economy	5	2.6%
8	Jobs/Employment	7	3.7%
9	Energy Issues	0	
10	Environment	27	14.2%
11	Farmers Issues	3	1.6%
12	Free Trade	2	1.1%
13	Health Care	3	1.6%
14	Housing	5	2.6%
15	Refugees/Immigration	0	
16	Inflation	1	0.5%
17	Leadership	4	2.1%
18	Meech Lake	8	4.2%
19	Nuclear Subs	3	1.6%
20	Official Lang Policy	2	1.1%
21	Patronage/Corruption	2	1.1%
22	Regional Development	2	1.1%
23	Senior Citizens	16	8.4%
24	Social Services	5	2.6%
25	Sovereignty	0	
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	10	5.3%
27	Tax Reform	4	2.1%
28	Unemployment	8	4.2%
29	Women's Issues	6	3.2%
30	Other	2	1.1%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0	

# a5d: Issues Party Leaders Are Talking About - 4th			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3419	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 11a: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You -1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2934 /-] [Invalid=675 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	70	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
2	Budget	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	27	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
5	Defence/NATO	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
6	Deficit	29	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
7	Economy	58	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
8	Jobs/Employment	93	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
9	Energy Issues	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Environment	151	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
11	Farmers Issues	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
12	Free Trade	2118	<div><div></div></div> 72.2%
13	Health Care	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
14	Housing	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
15	Refugees/Immigration	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
16	Inflation	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
17	Leadership	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
18	Meech Lake	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
19	Nuclear Subs	0	
20	Official Lang Policy	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
21	Patronage/Corruption	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
22	Regional Development	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
23	Senior Citizens	36	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
24	Social Services	26	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
25	Sovereignty	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	78	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
27	Tax Reform	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
28	Unemployment	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
29	Women's Issues	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
30	Other	92	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	508	
99	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		164	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# 11b: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		

# 11b: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 2				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=182 /-] [Invalid=3427 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Abortion	22	<div></div>	12.1%
2	Budget	0		
3	Canadair-CF18	0		
4	Daycare	7	<div></div>	3.8%
5	Defence/NATO	1	<div></div>	0.5%
6	Deficit	3	<div></div>	1.6%
7	Economy	7	<div></div>	3.8%
8	Jobs/Employment	10	<div></div>	5.5%
9	Energy Issues	1	<div></div>	0.5%
10	Environment	36	<div></div>	19.8%
11	Farmers Issues	1	<div></div>	0.5%
12	Free Trade	32	<div></div>	17.6%
13	Health Care	4	<div></div>	2.2%
14	Housing	4	<div></div>	2.2%
15	Refugees/Immigration	0		
16	Inflation	0		
17	Leadership	0		
18	Meech Lake	1	<div></div>	0.5%
19	Nuclear Subs	2	<div></div>	1.1%
20	Official Lang Policy	2	<div></div>	1.1%
21	Patronage/Corruption	1	<div></div>	0.5%
22	Regional Development	1	<div></div>	0.5%
23	Senior Citizens	9	<div></div>	4.9%
24	Social Services	8	<div></div>	4.4%
25	Sovereignty	0		
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	15	<div></div>	8.2%
27	Tax Reform	3	<div></div>	1.6%
28	Unemployment	0		
29	Women's Issues	2	<div></div>	1.1%
30	Other	10	<div></div>	5.5%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0		
99	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		3427		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# 11c: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 3				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=30 /-] [Invalid=3579 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Abortion	1	<div></div>	3.3%
2	Budget	0		
3	Canadair-CF18	0		



### # 11c: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 3

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Daycare	2	6.7%
5	Defence/NATO	1	3.3%
6	Deficit	0	
7	Economy	1	3.3%
8	Jobs/Employment	3	10.0%
9	Energy Issues	0	
10	Environment	1	3.3%
11	Farmers Issues	1	3.3%
12	Free Trade	4	13.3%
13	Health Care	2	6.7%
14	Housing	1	3.3%
15	Refugees/Immigration	1	3.3%
16	Inflation	0	
17	Leadership	0	
18	Meech Lake	0	
19	Nuclear Subs	0	
20	Official Lang Policy	0	
21	Patronage/Corruption	0	
22	Regional Development	1	3.3%
23	Senior Citizens	2	6.7%
24	Social Services	1	3.3%
25	Sovereignty	0	
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	3	10.0%
27	Tax Reform	1	3.3%
28	Unemployment	2	6.7%
29	Women's Issues	1	3.3%
30	Other	1	3.3%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3579	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # 11d: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 4

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99/98]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5 /-] [Invalid=3604 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	1	20.0%
2	Budget	0	
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	2	40.0%
5	Defence/NATO	0	
6	Deficit	0	
7	Economy	0	
8	Jobs/Employment	0	

#### # 11d: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 4

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Energy Issues	0	
10	Environment	1	20.0%
11	Farmers Issues	0	
12	Free Trade	0	
13	Health Care	0	
14	Housing	0	
15	Refugees/Immigration	0	
16	Inflation	0	
17	Leadership	0	
18	Meech Lake	0	
19	Nuclear Subs	0	
20	Official Lang Policy	0	
21	Patronage/Corruption	0	
22	Regional Development	0	
23	Senior Citizens	0	
24	Social Services	0	
25	Sovereignty	0	
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	1	20.0%
27	Tax Reform	0	
28	Unemployment	0	
29	Women's Issues	0	
30	Other	0	
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3604	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

#### # xprov: Province of Interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]
Universe	All respondents

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Nfld	90	3.1%
1	P.E.I.	109	3.7%
2	N.S.	90	3.1%
3	N.B.	172	5.9%
4	P.Q.	637	21.8%
5	Ontario	783	26.8%
6	Manitoba	145	5.0%
7	Sask.	162	5.5%
8	Alberta	379	13.0%
9	B.C.	355	12.1%
Sysmiss		687	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# xreplic: Sample Replicate Code	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-47] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-] [Mean=23.123 /-] [StdDev=13.264 /-]
Universe	All respondents
# xsample: Sample Code	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-30] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-] [Mean=14.656 /-] [StdDev=8.027 /-]
Universe	All respondents

# xover: Over Sample			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2753	<div></div> 94.2%
1		169	<div></div> 5.8%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xareacod: Telephone Area Code			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 204-902] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-] [Mean=525.998 /-] [StdDev=170.074 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# xintdate: Date of Interview [MMDDYY]			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10389-122288] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-] [Mean=84909.399 /-] [StdDev=50500.995 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# xintnum: Interviewer's Number			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		19	<div></div> 0.7%
4		11	<div></div> 0.4%
5		110	<div></div> 3.8%
7		33	<div></div> 1.1%
8		10	<div></div> 0.3%
10		103	<div></div> 3.5%
11		105	<div></div> 3.6%
12		49	<div></div> 1.7%
13		37	<div></div> 1.3%
15		42	<div></div> 1.4%
16		47	<div></div> 1.6%
19		25	<div></div> 0.9%
20		67	<div></div> 2.3%
21		18	<div></div> 0.6%
23		101	<div></div> 3.5%
24		147	<div></div> 5.0%
25		70	<div></div> 2.4%
26		10	<div></div> 0.3%
27		69	<div></div> 2.4%
29		47	<div></div> 1.6%
35		33	<div></div> 1.1%
37		73	<div></div> 2.5%

# xintnum: Interviewer's Number			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38		124	<div></div> 4.2%
40		22	<div></div> 0.8%
41		14	<div></div> 0.5%
42		58	<div></div> 2.0%
44		15	<div></div> 0.5%
45		21	<div></div> 0.7%
46		53	<div></div> 1.8%
47		23	<div></div> 0.8%
48		69	<div></div> 2.4%
49		217	<div></div> 7.4%
50		91	<div></div> 3.1%
53		26	<div></div> 0.9%
54		23	<div></div> 0.8%
55		137	<div></div> 4.7%
57		15	<div></div> 0.5%
58		42	<div></div> 1.4%
59		17	<div></div> 0.6%
60		12	<div></div> 0.4%
61		41	<div></div> 1.4%
62		50	<div></div> 1.7%
63		39	<div></div> 1.3%
64		11	<div></div> 0.4%
65		88	<div></div> 3.0%
66		45	<div></div> 1.5%
67		30	<div></div> 1.0%
69		18	<div></div> 0.6%
70		23	<div></div> 0.8%
71		40	<div></div> 1.4%
73		16	<div></div> 0.5%
74		63	<div></div> 2.2%
75		86	<div></div> 2.9%
76		24	<div></div> 0.8%
77		30	<div></div> 1.0%
79		45	<div></div> 1.5%
999	Supervisor	68	<div></div> 2.3%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xattempt: Total Call Attempts			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-25] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-] [Mean=3.826 /-] [StdDev=3.366 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# xrefusal: Number of Refusals Before Completion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		

# xrefusal: Number of Refusals Before Completion			
Universe		All respondents	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		2878	<div></div> 98.5%
1		44	<div></div> 1.5%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xcontact: Total Times Respondent Contacted			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1850	<div></div> 63.3%
2		682	<div></div> 23.3%
3		256	<div></div> 8.8%
4		85	<div></div> 2.9%
5		33	<div></div> 1.1%
6		7	<div></div> 0.2%
7		5	<div></div> 0.2%
8		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		1	<div></div> 0.0%
11		1	<div></div> 0.0%
12		1	<div></div> 0.0%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xanswer: Number of Times Telephone Answered			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-20] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		1191	<div></div> 40.8%
2		792	<div></div> 27.1%
3		381	<div></div> 13.0%
4		206	<div></div> 7.0%
5		129	<div></div> 4.4%
6		84	<div></div> 2.9%
7		44	<div></div> 1.5%
8		28	<div></div> 1.0%
9		24	<div></div> 0.8%
10		10	<div></div> 0.3%
11		15	<div></div> 0.5%
12		4	<div></div> 0.1%
13		5	<div></div> 0.2%
15		4	<div></div> 0.1%
16		2	<div></div> 0.1%

# xanswer: Number of Times Telephone Answered			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18		2	0.1%
20		1	0.0%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xintime: Length of Interview			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 12-998] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2905 /-] [Invalid=704 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Notes	Units are in minutes.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12		1	0.0%
13		2	0.1%
15		10	0.3%
16		18	0.6%
17		25	0.9%
18		42	1.4%
19		70	2.4%
20		111	3.8%
21		158	5.4%
22		192	6.6%
23		215	7.4%
24		187	6.4%
25		223	7.7%
26		222	7.6%
27		181	6.2%
28		192	6.6%
29		149	5.1%
30		127	4.4%
31		109	3.8%
32		74	2.5%
33		76	2.6%
34		74	2.5%
35		61	2.1%
36		33	1.1%
37		43	1.5%
38		31	1.1%
39		36	1.2%
40		36	1.2%
41		17	0.6%
42		16	0.6%
43		23	0.8%
44		16	0.6%
45		13	0.4%
46		12	0.4%

# xintime: Length of Interview			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
47		9	<div></div> 0.3%
48		12	<div></div> 0.4%
49		4	<div></div> 0.1%
50		6	<div></div> 0.2%
51		6	<div></div> 0.2%
52		8	<div></div> 0.3%
53		7	<div></div> 0.2%
54		6	<div></div> 0.2%
55		4	<div></div> 0.1%
56		1	<div></div> 0.0%
57		2	<div></div> 0.1%
58		4	<div></div> 0.1%
60		2	<div></div> 0.1%
61		4	<div></div> 0.1%
62		4	<div></div> 0.1%
63		2	<div></div> 0.1%
64		1	<div></div> 0.0%
65		3	<div></div> 0.1%
67		3	<div></div> 0.1%
68		1	<div></div> 0.0%
78		1	<div></div> 0.0%
91		1	<div></div> 0.0%
92		1	<div></div> 0.0%
94		1	<div></div> 0.0%
97		1	<div></div> 0.0%
998	D.K.	16	<div></div> 0.6%
Sysmiss		704	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xresult: Result Code			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Completion	2922	<div></div> 100.0%
2	Partial	0	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn1: Random Number 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1418	<div></div> 48.5%



# xrn1: Random Number 1			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1		1504	51.5%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn2: Random Number 2			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0		1007	34.5%
1		976	33.4%
2		939	32.1%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn3: Random Number 3			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0		1496	51.2%
1		1426	48.8%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn4: Random Number 4			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0		706	24.2%
1		708	24.2%
2		757	25.9%
3		751	25.7%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn5: Random Number 5			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0		1497	51.2%
1		1425	48.8%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# xrn6: Random Number 6			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		981	<div></div> 33.6%
1		976	<div></div> 33.4%
2		965	<div></div> 33.0%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn7: Random Number 7			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1432	<div></div> 49.0%
1		1490	<div></div> 51.0%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn8: Random Number 8			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-35] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-] [Mean=17.203 /-] [StdDev=10.29 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
# xrn9: Random Number 9			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		480	<div></div> 16.4%
1		476	<div></div> 16.3%
2		501	<div></div> 17.1%
3		463	<div></div> 15.8%
4		465	<div></div> 15.9%
5		537	<div></div> 18.4%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn10: Random Number 10			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		252	<div></div> 8.6%
1		229	<div></div> 7.8%

# xrn10: Random Number 10			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		282	<div></div> 9.7%
3		226	<div></div> 7.7%
4		228	<div></div> 7.8%
5		223	<div></div> 7.6%
6		230	<div></div> 7.9%
7		245	<div></div> 8.4%
8		254	<div></div> 8.7%
9		261	<div></div> 8.9%
10		271	<div></div> 9.3%
11		221	<div></div> 7.6%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn11: Random Number 11			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		500	<div></div> 17.1%
1		503	<div></div> 17.2%
2		508	<div></div> 17.4%
3		491	<div></div> 16.8%
4		452	<div></div> 15.5%
5		468	<div></div> 16.0%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn12: Random Number 12			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1503	<div></div> 51.4%
1		1419	<div></div> 48.6%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn13: Random Number 13			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		598	<div></div> 20.5%
1		560	<div></div> 19.2%
2		563	<div></div> 19.3%

# xrn13: Random Number 13			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
3		586	<div></div> 20.1%
4		615	<div></div> 21.0%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrn14: Random Number 14			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0		1433	<div></div> 49.0%
1		1489	<div></div> 51.0%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xrsex: Respondent's Gender			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Male	1481	<div></div> 50.7%
5	Female	1441	<div></div> 49.3%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa1: Interest in Federal Election Campaign			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2916 /-] [Invalid=693 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	Would you say that you were very interested, fairly interested, not very interested, or not at all interested in the past federal election campaign?		
<b>Notes</b>	#xa1 matches #a1 in the documentation.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Very Interested	1129	<div></div> 38.7%
2	Fairly	1206	<div></div> 41.4%
3	Not Very	463	<div></div> 15.9%
4	Not At All	118	<div></div> 4.0%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa2: Attention Paid to Campaign News on Television			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2919 /-] [Invalid=690 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		

# xa2: Attention Paid to Campaign News on Television			
<b>Literal question</b>	How much attention did you pay to news on TV about the federal election campaign? Would you say, A GREAT DEAL, QUITE A BIT, SOME, VERY LITTLE, or NONE?		
<b>Notes</b>	#xa2 matches #a2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	639	<div></div> 21.9%
2	Quite a Bit	1031	<div></div> 35.3%
3	Some	799	<div></div> 27.4%
4	Very Little	323	<div></div> 11.1%
5	None	127	<div></div> 4.4%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		687	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xa3: Attention Paid to Campaign News Articles			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2915 /-] [Invalid=694 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	In the past week, did you see any television commercials for a political party?		
<b>Notes</b>	#xa3 matches #a3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	354	<div></div> 12.1%
2	Quite a Bit	748	<div></div> 25.7%
3	Some	904	<div></div> 31.0%
4	Very Little	586	<div></div> 20.1%
5	None	323	<div></div> 11.1%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		687	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xa5a: Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> Free Trade			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2773 /-] [Invalid=836 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Pre-question</b>	Think about the campaign in [respondent's province]. To your knowledge did candidates or parties discuss any of the following issues?		
<b>Literal question</b>	Did candidates or parties in [respondent's province] discuss ... the Free Trade Agreement?		
<b>Post-question</b>	If respondent answers "yes", go to #a5b. All others go to #a6a.		
<b>Notes</b>	#x5a matches #5a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2651	<div></div> 95.6%
5	No	122	<div></div> 4.4%
8	D.K.	148	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		687	

# xa5a: Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> Free Trade			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa5b: Which Party Discussed> Free Trade Agreement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2545 /-] [Invalid=1064 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #a5a.		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#x5b matches #5b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	288	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
2	Liberal	188	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
3	NDP	48	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
4	P.C. & Liberal	244	<div><div></div></div> 9.6%
5	P.C. & NDP	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
6	Liberal & NDP	82	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
7	All 3 Major	1658	<div><div></div></div> 65.1%
8	Reform	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
98	D.K.	105	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		958	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa6a: Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> Meech Lake Accord			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2921 /-] [Invalid=688 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Did candidates or parties in [respondent's province] discuss ...) the Meech Lake Accord?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #a6b. All others go to #a7a.		
Notes	#x6a matches #6a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1486	<div><div></div></div> 50.9%
5	No	975	<div><div></div></div> 33.4%
8	D.K.	460	<div><div></div></div> 15.7%
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa6b: Which Party Discussed> Meech Lake Accord			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1486 /-] [Invalid=2123 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #a6a.		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		

# xa6b: Which Party Discussed> Meech Lake Accord			
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>		INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.	
<b>Notes</b>		#x6b matches #6b in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	217	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
2	Liberal	240	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
3	NDP	74	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
4	P.C. & Liberal	181	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
5	P.C. & NDP	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
6	Liberal & NDP	43	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
7	All 3 Major	516	<div><div></div></div> 34.7%
8	Reform	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
98	D.K.	185	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2123	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xa7a: Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> Abortion			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2918 /-] [Invalid=691 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		(Did candidates or parties in [your province] discuss ...) abortion?	
<b>Post-question</b>		If respondent answers "yes", go to #a7b. All others go to #a8a.	
<b>Notes</b>		#x7a matches #7a in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1480	<div><div></div></div> 50.7%
5	No	1121	<div><div></div></div> 38.4%
8	D.K.	317	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		687	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xa7b: Which Party Discussed> Abortion			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1480 /-] [Invalid=2129 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #a7a.	
<b>Literal question</b>		Which party or parties was that?	
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>		INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.	
<b>Notes</b>		#x7b matches #7b in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	113	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
2	Liberal	153	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%

# xa7b: Which Party Discussed> Abortion			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	NDP	210	<div></div> 14.2%
4	P.C. & Liberal	104	<div></div> 7.0%
5	P.C. & NDP	29	<div></div> 2.0%
6	Liberal & NDP	56	<div></div> 3.8%
7	All 3 Major	533	<div></div> 36.0%
8	Reform	21	<div></div> 1.4%
98	D.K.	261	<div></div> 17.6%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2129	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa8a: Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> F18 Contract to Canadair in Montreal			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2920 /-] [Invalid=689 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did candidates or parties in [your province] discuss ... the decision to give the F18 contract to Canadair in Montreal?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #a8b. All others go to #a9a.		
Notes	#x8a matches #8a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	559	<div></div> 19.1%
5	No	1521	<div></div> 52.1%
8	D.K.	840	<div></div> 28.8%
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa8b: Which Party Discussed> F18 Contract to Canadair in Montreal			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=559 /-] [Invalid=3050 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#x8b matches #8b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	114	<div></div> 20.4%
2	Liberal	96	<div></div> 17.2%
3	NDP	61	<div></div> 10.9%
4	P.C. & Liberal	37	<div></div> 6.6%
5	P.C. & NDP	8	<div></div> 1.4%
6	Liberal & NDP	54	<div></div> 9.7%
7	All 3 Major	68	<div></div> 12.2%
8	Reform	11	<div></div> 2.0%



# xa8b: Which Party Discussed> F18 Contract to Canadair in Montreal			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	D.K.	110	<div></div> 19.7%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3050	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa9a: Did Candidates/Parties Discuss> Amendments to the Official Languages Act			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2918 /-] [Invalid=691 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Did candidates or parties in [respondent's province] discuss ...) the amendments to the Official Languages Act?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #a9b. All others go to #b1.		
Notes	#x9a matches #9a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1040	<div></div> 35.6%
5	No	1271	<div></div> 43.6%
8	D.K.	607	<div></div> 20.8%
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa9b: Which Party Discussed> Amendments to the Official Languages Act			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1040 /-] [Invalid=2569 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #a9a.		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#x9b matches #9b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	176	<div></div> 16.9%
2	Liberal	166	<div></div> 16.0%
3	NDP	50	<div></div> 4.8%
4	P.C. & Liberal	110	<div></div> 10.6%
5	P.C. & NDP	15	<div></div> 1.4%
6	Liberal & NDP	21	<div></div> 2.0%
7	All 3 Major	241	<div></div> 23.2%
8	Reform	39	<div></div> 3.8%
98	D.K.	222	<div></div> 21.3%
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2569	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xb1: Did You Vote in This Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		

# xb1: Did You Vote in This Election			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2919 /-] [Invalid=690 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now we'd like to ask you about what you did in this election.		
Literal question	We find that in every election, a lot of people don't vote because they are sick, don't have time, or for some other reason. How about you? Did you vote in this election?		
Post-question	If respondent voted, go to #b2. If respondent did not vote, go to #b3a. All others go to #b4.		
Notes	#xb1 matches #b1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Voted	2571	<div><div></div></div> 88.1%
5	Did Not Vote	348	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xb2: Party Voted for in This Election			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2284 /-] [Invalid=1325 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who voted in the election, as indicated in #b1.		
Literal question	Which party did you vote for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, or another party?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #b4. All other responses go to #b2a.		
Notes	#xb2 matches #b2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	1049	<div><div></div></div> 45.9%
2	Liberal	668	<div><div></div></div> 29.2%
3	NDP	448	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
4	Reform	63	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
5	Other	56	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
8	D.K.	16	
9	Refused	271	
Sysmiss		1038	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xb2a: How Strong was Preference for Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2271 /-] [Invalid=1338 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who specified which party they voted for in #b2.		
Literal question	Would you say that your preference for [party voted for] was VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG or NOT VERY STRONG?		
Notes	#xb2a matches #b2a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Strong	824	<div><div></div></div> 36.3%
3	Somewhat Strong	1007	<div><div></div></div> 44.3%
5	Not Very Strong	440	<div><div></div></div> 19.4%
8	D.K.	14	

# xb2a: How Strong was Preference for Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1323	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xb2b: When Did You Decide When You Were Going to Vote for That Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2275 /-] [Invalid=1334 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who specified which party they voted for in #b2.		
Literal question	When did you decide that you were going to vote for [party voted for]? Was it ...		
Post-question	Go to #b4.		
Notes	#xb2b matches #b2b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Before Campaign	1082	<div><div></div></div> 47.6%
2	Before Debate	284	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
3	During/After Debate	241	<div><div></div></div> 10.6%
4	Last Wk Campaign	446	<div><div></div></div> 19.6%
5	Election Day	222	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
8	D.K.	10	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		1323	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xb3a: If You Had Voted, Which Party Would You Have Voted For			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=269 /-] [Invalid=3340 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not vote.		
Literal question	If you HAD voted, which party would you have voted for: the Conservative Party, the Liberal Party, the New Democratic Party, or another party?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", or refuses to answer, go to #b4. All other responses go to #b3b.		
Notes	#xb3a matches #b3a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	111	<div><div></div></div> 41.3%
2	Liberal	89	<div><div></div></div> 33.1%
3	NDP	55	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
4	Reform	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
5	Other	12	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
8	D.K.	68	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		3261	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xb3b: How Strong Was Your Preference for That Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=268 /-] [Invalid=3341 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who specified a party for #b3a.		

# xb3b: How Strong Was Your Preference for That Party			
Literal question	Would you say that your preference for [party would have voted for] was VERY STRONG, SOMEWHAT STRONG or NOT VERY STRONG?		
Notes	#xb3b matches #b3b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Strong	68	<div><div></div></div> 25.4%
3	Somewhat Strong	144	<div><div></div></div> 53.7%
5	Not Very Strong	56	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3318	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xb4: Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2662 /-] [Invalid=947 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Thinking back to Election Day, which party did you think would win in YOUR RIDING?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", "don't remember", or refuses to answer, go to #b5. All other responses go to #b4a.		
Notes	#xb4 matches #b4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	1513	<div><div></div></div> 56.8%
2	Liberal	712	<div><div></div></div> 26.7%
3	NDP	412	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
4	Other	25	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
8	D.K.,Not Remember	254	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xb4a: Election Day> Party You Thought Would Finish Second in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2508 /-] [Invalid=1101 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", "don't remember", or refused to answer #b4.		
Literal question	Which party did you think would finish second in YOUR RIDING?		
Notes	#xb4a matches #b4a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	811	<div><div></div></div> 32.3%
2	Liberal	1204	<div><div></div></div> 48.0%
3	NDP	429	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
4	Other	64	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
8	D.K.,Not Remember	158	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		943	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# xb5: Election Day> Party You Thought Would Win in the Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2598 /-] [Invalid=1011 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And on Election Day, which party did you think would win the most seats in the WHOLE COUNTRY?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "don't know", "don't remember", or refuses to answer, go to #c1. All other responses go to #b5a.		
Notes	#xb5 matches #b5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	2115	<div><div></div></div> 81.4%
2	Liberal	422	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
3	NDP	39	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
4	Other	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	D.K.,Not Remember	318	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xb5a: Election Day> Party You Thought Would Finish Second in the Country			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2530 /-] [Invalid=1079 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not answer "don't know", "don't remember", or refused to answer #b5.		
Literal question	Which party did you think would finish second in the WHOLE COUNTRY?		
Notes	#xb5a matches #b5a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	365	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
2	Liberal	1919	<div><div></div></div> 75.8%
3	NDP	226	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
4	Other	20	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	D.K.,Not Remember	70	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		1007	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc1: During the Campaign> Discussed Politics with Others			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2909 /-] [Invalid=700 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	DURING THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN, did you discuss politics with other people?		
Notes	#xc1 matches #c1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2243	<div><div></div></div> 77.1%
5	No	666	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
8	D.K.	11	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# xc2: During the Campaign> Helped a Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2917 /-] [Invalid=692 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	DURING THE CAMPAIGN did you help a party, for example, by going to a meeting or rally, by working for a candidate, by putting a sticker on your car, or by putting up a lawn sign?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #c2a. All others go to #c3.		
Notes	#xc2 matches #c2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	379	<div></div> 13.0%
5	No	2538	<div></div> 87.0%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# xc2a: During the Campaign> Party Helped			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=367 /-] [Invalid=3242 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #c3.		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#xc2a matches #c2a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	141	<div><div></div></div> 38.4%
2	Liberal	106	<div><div></div></div> 28.9%
3	NDP	84	<div><div></div></div> 22.9%
4	P.C. & Liberal	8	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
5	P.C. & NDP	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
6	Liberal & NDP	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	All 3 Major	8	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
8	Reform	15	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
98	D.K.	5	
99	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		3230	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc3: During the Campaign> Contacted by Candidates			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2908 /-] [Invalid=701 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	DURING THE FEDERAL ELECTION CAMPAIGN were you contacted in person or by telephone by any of the local candidates or party workers in your riding?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #c3a. All others go to #c4.		
Notes	#xc3 matches #c3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1348	<div><div></div></div> 46.4%
5	No	1560	<div><div></div></div> 53.6%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc3a: During the Campaign> Party Contacted By			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1297 /-] [Invalid=2312 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #c3.		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G.		

# xc3a: During the Campaign> Party Contaced By			
	GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#xc3a matches #c3a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	298	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
2	Liberal	241	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
3	NDP	213	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
4	P.C. & Liberal	162	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
5	P.C. & NDP	95	<div><div></div></div> 7.3%
6	Liberal & NDP	58	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
7	All 3 Major	212	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
8	Reform	18	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
98	D.K.	47	
99	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		2261	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc4: During the Campaign> Pamphlet Left in Mail			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2884 /-] [Invalid=725 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	DURING THE CAMPAIGN were you contacted in any other ways by the parties, for example, by having a pamphlet left in your mailbox?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #c4a. All others go to #c5.		
Notes	#xc4 matches #c4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2505	<div><div></div></div> 86.9%
5	No	379	<div><div></div></div> 13.1%
8	D.K.	36	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc4a: During the Campaign> Party That Left Pamphlet			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2387 /-] [Invalid=1222 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #c4.		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#xc4a matches #c4a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	302	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
2	Liberal	204	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
3	NDP	126	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%



# xc4a: During the Campaign> Party That Left Pamphlet			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	P.C. & Liberal	398	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
5	P.C. & NDP	119	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
6	Liberal & NDP	81	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
7	All 3 Major	1130	<div><div></div></div> 47.3%
8	Reform	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
98	D.K.	118	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1104	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc5: Did You See the Last TV Debate Among Party Leaders			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2909 /-] [Invalid=700 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did you see the TV debate among the party leaders?		
Post-question	If respondent refuses to answer, go to #c6. All other responses go to #c5a.		
Notes	#xc5 matches #c5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1841	<div><div></div></div> 63.3%
5	No	1068	<div><div></div></div> 36.7%
8	D.K.	10	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc5a: Leader Who Performed Best in the Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2269 /-] [Invalid=1340 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #c5.		
Literal question	(From what you have heard...) Which leader performed BEST in the debate?		
Notes	#xc5a matches #c5a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mulroney	543	<div><div></div></div> 23.9%
2	Turner	1550	<div><div></div></div> 68.3%
3	Broadbent	176	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
8	D.K.	626	
9	Refused	24	
Sysmiss		690	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc5b: Leader Who Performed Worst in the Debate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2049 /-] [Invalid=1560 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #c5.		
Literal question	(From what you have heard...) Which leader performed WORST in the debate?		

# xc5b: Leader Who Performed Worst in the Debate			
Notes		#xc5b matches #c5b in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mulroney	577	<div><div></div></div> 28.2%
2	Turner	283	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
3	Broadbent	1189	<div><div></div></div> 58.0%
8	D.K.	845	
9	Refused	25	
Sysmiss		690	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc6: Past Year> Asked to Give Money to a Party			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2879 /-] [Invalid=730 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		DURING THIS LAST YEAR, were you asked personally, by telephone or by mail to give money to help a political party or candidate?	
Post-question		If respondent answers "yes", go to #c6a. All others go to #e1a.	
Notes		#xc6 matches #c6 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	555	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
5	No	2324	<div><div></div></div> 80.7%
8	D.K.	37	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc6a: Past Year> Which Party Asked for Money			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=518 /-] [Invalid=3091 /-]	
Universe		Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #c6.	
Literal question		By which party or parties were you asked?	
Interviewer's instructions		INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.	
Notes		#xc6a matches #c6a in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	155	<div><div></div></div> 29.9%
2	Liberal	119	<div><div></div></div> 23.0%
3	NDP	97	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
4	P.C. & Liberal	59	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
5	P.C. & NDP	23	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
6	Liberal & NDP	12	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
7	All 3 Major	44	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
8	Reform	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
98	D.K.	32	

# xc6a: Past Year> Which Party Asked for Money			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		3054	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc7: Did You Give Money to a Candidate or Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=549 /-] [Invalid=3060 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #c6.		
Literal question	Did you give money to a candidate or party?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #c7a. All others go to #e1a.		
Notes	#xb1 matches #b1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	143	<div></div> 26.0%
5	No	406	<div></div> 74.0%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		3054	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xc7a: Which Candidate or Party Did You Give Money To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=134 /-] [Invalid=3475 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #c7.		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#xc7a matches #c7a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	50	<div></div> 37.3%
2	Liberal	36	<div></div> 26.9%
3	NDP	31	<div></div> 23.1%
4	P.C. & Liberal	9	<div></div> 6.7%
5	P.C. & NDP	0	
6	Liberal & NDP	0	
7	All 3 Major	1	<div></div> 0.7%
8	Reform	7	<div></div> 5.2%
98	D.K.	5	
99	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		3466	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe1a: Know How Much About> Brian Mulroney			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2913 /-] [Invalid=696 /-]		

# xe1a: Know How Much About> Brian Mulroney			
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now we'd like to ask you about the leaders, parties, and local candidates. First I'm going to read a list of names of people in politics. For each name, please tell me whether you know QUITE A LOT, A FAIR AMOUNT, JUST A LITTLE, or NOTHING AT ALL about the person.		
Literal question	Brian Mulroney		
Notes	#xe1a matches #e1a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	1004	<div><div></div></div> 34.5%
2	Fair Amt	1106	<div><div></div></div> 38.0%
3	Just a Little	687	<div><div></div></div> 23.6%
4	Nothing At All	116	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe1b: Know How Much About> John Turner			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2914 /-] [Invalid=695 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	John Turner		
Notes	#xe1b matches #e1b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	853	<div><div></div></div> 29.3%
2	Fair Amt	1021	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
3	Just a Little	835	<div><div></div></div> 28.7%
4	Nothing At All	205	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe1c: Know How Much About> Ed Broadbent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2915 /-] [Invalid=694 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Ed Broadbent		
Notes	#xe1c matches #e1c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	756	<div><div></div></div> 25.9%
2	Fair Amt	929	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
3	Just a Little	938	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
4	Nothing At All	292	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		687	

# xe1c: Know How Much About> Ed Broadbent			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe1d: Know How Much About> Conservative Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2915 /-] [Invalid=694 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Now I want to ask about the Conservative candidate in your riding. Did you get to know QUITE A LOT, A FAIR AMOUNT, JUST A LITTLE, or NOTHING AT ALL about him or her?		
Notes	#xe1d matches #e1d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	496	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
2	Fair Amt	651	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
3	Just a Little	986	<div><div></div></div> 33.8%
4	Nothing At All	782	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
8	D.K.	0	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe1e: Know How Much About> Liberal Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2913 /-] [Invalid=696 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much did you get to know about the Liberal candidate?		
Notes	#xe1e matches #e1e in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	337	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
2	Fair Amt	442	<div><div></div></div> 15.2%
3	Just a Little	1023	<div><div></div></div> 35.1%
4	Nothing At All	1111	<div><div></div></div> 38.1%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe1f: Know How Much About> NDP Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2916 /-] [Invalid=693 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much did you get to know about the N.D.P. candidate?		
Notes	#xe1f matches #e1f in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite a Lot	257	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
2	Fair Amt	324	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
3	Just a Little	945	<div><div></div></div> 32.4%
4	Nothing At All	1390	<div><div></div></div> 47.7%

# xe1f: Know How Much About> NDP Candidate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe2a: Rating> Brian Mulroney			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2762 /-] [Invalid=847 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked to rate leaders or candidates that they said they knew "nothing at all" about in #e1a to #e1f.		
Pre-question	Now let's talk about your feelings towards the political parties, their leaders and their candidates. I'll read a name and ask you to rate a person or a party on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 and 100 degrees mean that you feel favourable toward that person. Ratings between 0 and 50 degrees mean that you feel unfavourable toward that person. You may use any number from 0 to 100 to tell me how you feel.		
Literal question	How would you rate BRIAN MULRONEY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xe2a matches #e2a in the documentation. The items used to rate leaders, parties and candidates were randomized. Thirty six unique question order sequences were utilized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	106	<div></div> 3.8%
1		8	<div></div> 0.3%
2		4	<div></div> 0.1%
3		1	<div></div> 0.0%
5		13	<div></div> 0.5%
6		3	<div></div> 0.1%
7		1	<div></div> 0.0%
8		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		63	<div></div> 2.3%
12		3	<div></div> 0.1%
15		10	<div></div> 0.4%
16		1	<div></div> 0.0%
17		1	<div></div> 0.0%
18		1	<div></div> 0.0%
20		70	<div></div> 2.5%
25		69	<div></div> 2.5%
26		1	<div></div> 0.0%
29		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30		98	<div></div> 3.5%
32		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		32	<div></div> 1.2%
39		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		204	<div></div> 7.4%
41		2	<div></div> 0.1%
42		2	<div></div> 0.1%
45		68	<div></div> 2.5%
46		2	<div></div> 0.1%
47		2	<div></div> 0.1%

## # xe2a: Rating> Brian Mulroney

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
48		9	0.3%
49		22	0.8%
50		355	12.9%
51		15	0.5%
52		10	0.4%
53		1	0.0%
55		77	2.8%
56		3	0.1%
57		2	0.1%
58		2	0.1%
60		382	13.8%
61		2	0.1%
62		2	0.1%
63		2	0.1%
65		103	3.7%
66		2	0.1%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		290	10.5%
72		4	0.1%
75		275	10.0%
77		3	0.1%
78		4	0.1%
80		244	8.8%
82		2	0.1%
85		45	1.6%
87		1	0.0%
90		75	2.7%
92		1	0.0%
95		12	0.4%
98		2	0.1%
99		6	0.2%
100	Very Positive	36	1.3%
998	D.K.	26	
999	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		812	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # xe2b: Rating> John Turner

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2673 /-] [Invalid=936 /-]
Universe	Respondents were not asked to rate leaders or candidates that they said they knew "nothing at all" about in #e1a to #e1f.
Literal question	How would you rate JOHN TURNER? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)

## #xe2b: Rating> John Turner

### Notes

#xe2b matches #e2b in the documentation. The items used to rate leaders, parties and candidates were randomized. Thirty six unique question order sequences were utilized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	70	2.6%
1		4	0.1%
2		4	0.1%
3		1	0.0%
4		4	0.1%
5		18	0.7%
6		1	0.0%
7		1	0.0%
8		2	0.1%
10		73	2.7%
15		17	0.6%
18		1	0.0%
20		106	4.0%
22		2	0.1%
25		85	3.2%
28		1	0.0%
30		197	7.4%
32		1	0.0%
33		2	0.1%
35		81	3.0%
36		2	0.1%
37		3	0.1%
38		1	0.0%
40		326	12.2%
41		1	0.0%
42		3	0.1%
44		1	0.0%
45		113	4.2%
46		3	0.1%
48		8	0.3%
49		19	0.7%
50		531	19.9%
51		13	0.5%
52		6	0.2%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		94	3.5%
56		1	0.0%
59		1	0.0%
60		335	12.5%
62		3	0.1%
63		2	0.1%
64		1	0.0%



# xe2b: Rating> John Turner			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65		94	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
70		158	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
72		1	0.0%
73		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		123	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
76		2	0.1%
78		2	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		84	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
83		1	0.0%
85		10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
87		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		33	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
93		1	0.0%
95		2	0.1%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very Positive	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
998	D.K.	25	
999	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		900	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe2c: Rating> Ed Broadbent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2580 /-] [Invalid=1029 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked to rate leaders or candidates that they said they knew "nothing at all" about in #e1a to #e1f.		
Literal question	How would you rate ED BROADBENT? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xe2c matches #e2c in the documentation. The items used to rate leaders, parties and candidates were randomized. Thirty six unique question order sequences were utilized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	60	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
1		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
2		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
3		1	0.0%
4		1	0.0%
5		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
6		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		43	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
11		1	0.0%
13		2	0.1%
15		15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%

## # xe2c: Rating&gt; Ed Broadbent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18		2	0.1%
20		85	3.3%
22		1	0.0%
25		83	3.2%
27		2	0.1%
28		1	0.0%
30		162	6.3%
35		54	2.1%
37		2	0.1%
38		2	0.1%
39		1	0.0%
40		273	10.6%
41		1	0.0%
45		99	3.8%
48		5	0.2%
49		11	0.4%
50		480	18.6%
51		7	0.3%
52		5	0.2%
53		1	0.0%
55		83	3.2%
57		2	0.1%
58		5	0.2%
60		324	12.6%
65		113	4.4%
67		3	0.1%
68		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		184	7.1%
72		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		172	6.7%
76		1	0.0%
77		2	0.1%
78		1	0.0%
80		125	4.8%
82		2	0.1%
85		37	1.4%
86		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		53	2.1%
92		1	0.0%
95		4	0.2%
98		2	0.1%

# xe2c: Rating> Ed Broadbent			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99		2	0.1%
100	Very Positive	31	1.2%
998	D.K.	32	
999	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		986	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe2d: Rating> Conservative Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2848 /-] [Invalid=761 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked to rate leaders or candidates that they said they knew "nothing at all" about in #e1a to #e1f.		
Literal question	How would you rate THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xe2d matches #e2d in the documentation. The items used to rate leaders, parties and candidates were randomized. Thirty six unique question order sequences were utilized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	78	2.7%
1		7	0.2%
2		4	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		13	0.5%
7		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		51	1.8%
12		2	0.1%
14		1	0.0%
15		13	0.5%
18		1	0.0%
20		48	1.7%
25		64	2.2%
26		1	0.0%
27		1	0.0%
30		117	4.1%
35		31	1.1%
36		1	0.0%
40		239	8.4%
41		1	0.0%
42		2	0.1%
45		77	2.7%
46		1	0.0%
47		1	0.0%
48		6	0.2%
49		11	0.4%
50		443	15.6%
51		13	0.5%

# xe2d: Rating> Conservative Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
52		6	0.2%
53		6	0.2%
54		3	0.1%
55		95	3.3%
56		1	0.0%
58		2	0.1%
60		403	14.2%
62		4	0.1%
63		1	0.0%
65		115	4.0%
66		2	0.1%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		2	0.1%
70		278	9.8%
72		1	0.0%
73		4	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		271	9.5%
77		2	0.1%
78		1	0.0%
80		235	8.3%
84		1	0.0%
85		46	1.6%
88		1	0.0%
90		81	2.8%
91		1	0.0%
95		12	0.4%
96		1	0.0%
98		1	0.0%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very Positive	35	1.2%
998	D.K.	53	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe2e: Rating> Liberal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2848 /-] [Invalid=761 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked to rate leaders or candidates that they said they knew "nothing at all" about in #e1a to #e1f.		
Literal question	How would you rate THE LIBERAL PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xe2e matches #e2e in the documentation. The items used to rate leaders, parties and candidates were randomized. Thirty six unique question order sequences were utilized.		

## # xe2e: Rating&gt; Liberal Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	59	<div></div> 2.1%
1		5	<div></div> 0.2%
2		2	<div></div> 0.1%
3		2	<div></div> 0.1%
4		1	<div></div> 0.0%
5		15	<div></div> 0.5%
6		2	<div></div> 0.1%
8		3	<div></div> 0.1%
9		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		64	<div></div> 2.2%
11		1	<div></div> 0.0%
15		14	<div></div> 0.5%
20		63	<div></div> 2.2%
21		2	<div></div> 0.1%
25		73	<div></div> 2.6%
30		175	<div></div> 6.1%
33		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		62	<div></div> 2.2%
37		1	<div></div> 0.0%
38		2	<div></div> 0.1%
39		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		356	<div></div> 12.5%
41		2	<div></div> 0.1%
42		3	<div></div> 0.1%
43		2	<div></div> 0.1%
44		3	<div></div> 0.1%
45		111	<div></div> 3.9%
46		3	<div></div> 0.1%
47		4	<div></div> 0.1%
48		6	<div></div> 0.2%
49		22	<div></div> 0.8%
50		574	<div></div> 20.2%
51		13	<div></div> 0.5%
52		10	<div></div> 0.4%
53		4	<div></div> 0.1%
55		110	<div></div> 3.9%
56		1	<div></div> 0.0%
57		2	<div></div> 0.1%
58		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		426	<div></div> 15.0%
61		1	<div></div> 0.0%
62		3	<div></div> 0.1%
63		1	<div></div> 0.0%
64		1	<div></div> 0.0%
65		101	<div></div> 3.5%

# xe2e: Rating> Liberal Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
68		4	0.1%
70		166	5.8%
72		4	0.1%
73		2	0.1%
75		172	6.0%
76		1	0.0%
78		2	0.1%
79		1	0.0%
80		103	3.6%
83		1	0.0%
85		15	0.5%
90		31	1.1%
93		1	0.0%
95		6	0.2%
99		4	0.1%
100	Very Positive	24	0.8%
998	D.K.	53	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe2f: Rating> New Democratic Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2820 /-] [Invalid=789 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked to rate leaders or candidates that they said they knew "nothing at all" about in #e1a to #e1f.		
Literal question	How would you rate THE NEW DEMOCRATIC PARTY? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xe2f matches #e2f in the documentation. The items used to rate leaders, parties and candidates were randomized. Thirty six unique question order sequences were utilized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	132	4.7%
1		4	0.1%
2		3	0.1%
3		7	0.2%
4		1	0.0%
5		26	0.9%
8		1	0.0%
9		2	0.1%
10		99	3.5%
12		4	0.1%
15		26	0.9%
18		1	0.0%
19		2	0.1%
20		172	6.1%

## # xe2f: Rating> New Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22		2	0.1%
23		1	0.0%
25		116	4.1%
26		1	0.0%
27		1	0.0%
28		2	0.1%
30		279	9.9%
32		1	0.0%
33		1	0.0%
35		77	2.7%
37		1	0.0%
38		3	0.1%
39		2	0.1%
40		370	13.1%
41		1	0.0%
42		2	0.1%
44		1	0.0%
45		114	4.0%
46		1	0.0%
47		3	0.1%
48		5	0.2%
49		20	0.7%
50		491	17.4%
51		11	0.4%
52		3	0.1%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		81	2.9%
57		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		247	8.8%
63		1	0.0%
65		75	2.7%
67		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		128	4.5%
72		2	0.1%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		107	3.8%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%

### # xe2f: Rating> New Democratic Party

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
80		85	3.0%
82		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		17	0.6%
90		28	1.0%
95		7	0.2%
98		2	0.1%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very Positive	32	1.1%
998	D.K.	83	
999	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		687	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # xe2g: Rating> Conservative Candidate

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2020 /-] [Invalid=1589 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents were not asked to rate leaders or candidates that they said they knew "nothing at all" about in #e1a to #e1f.
<b>Literal question</b>	How would you rate THE CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)
<b>Notes</b>	#xe2g matches #e2g in the documentation. The items used to rate leaders, parties and candidates were randomized. Thirty six unique question order sequences were utilized.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	43	2.1%
1		3	0.1%
2		3	0.1%
4		1	0.0%
5		7	0.3%
9		1	0.0%
10		32	1.6%
15		9	0.4%
20		39	1.9%
22		1	0.0%
25		30	1.5%
30		96	4.8%
35		28	1.4%
36		2	0.1%
40		136	6.7%
42		1	0.0%
45		43	2.1%
48		1	0.0%
49		5	0.2%
50		341	16.9%
51		9	0.4%
52		5	0.2%
54		1	0.0%









































# xe2g: Rating> Conservative Candidate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
55		56	<div><div></div></div> 2.8%
57		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
58		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
60		273	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
63		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
65		72	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
68		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
69		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
70		185	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
72		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
75		198	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
76		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
78		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
79		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
80		202	<div><div></div></div> 10.0%
83		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
85		50	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
89		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
90		77	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
95		12	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
97		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
98		4	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
99		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
100	Very Positive	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
998	D.K.	104	
999	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1476	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xe2h: Rating> Liberal Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1668 /-] [Invalid=1941 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked to rate leaders or candidates that they said they knew "nothing at all" about in #e1a to #e1f.		
Literal question	How would you rate THE LIBERAL CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xe2h matches #e2h in the documentation. The items used to rate leaders, parties and candidates were randomized. Thirty six unique question order sequences were utilized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	32	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1		5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
2		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
3		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
5		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10		44	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%

## # xe2h: Rating> Liberal Candidate

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11		1	0.1%
15		9	0.5%
20		47	2.8%
21		1	0.1%
25		49	2.9%
27		1	0.1%
30		90	5.4%
33		1	0.1%
35		31	1.9%
37		1	0.1%
38		2	0.1%
39		1	0.1%
40		153	9.2%
41		1	0.1%
45		49	2.9%
46		1	0.1%
47		2	0.1%
48		7	0.4%
49		4	0.2%
50		317	19.0%
51		5	0.3%
52		2	0.1%
53		1	0.1%
55		52	3.1%
58		2	0.1%
60		221	13.2%
65		62	3.7%
67		1	0.1%
68		1	0.1%
70		132	7.9%
73		1	0.1%
75		116	7.0%
76		1	0.1%
80		100	6.0%
83		1	0.1%
85		19	1.1%
89		1	0.1%
90		47	2.8%
95		6	0.4%
99		2	0.1%
100	Very Positive	33	2.0%
998	D.K.	128	
999	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		1807	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# xe2i: Rating> NDP Candidate			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1384 /-] [Invalid=2225 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked to rate leaders or candidates that they said they knew "nothing at all" about in #e1a to #e1f.		
Literal question	How would you rate THE N.D.P. CANDIDATE in your riding? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xe2i matches #e2i in the documentation. The items used to rate leaders, parties and candidates were randomized. Thirty six unique question order sequences were utilized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	45	 3.3%
1		2	 0.1%
2		2	 0.1%
5		12	 0.9%
10		41	 3.0%
15		18	 1.3%
16		1	 0.1%
20		58	 4.2%
25		47	 3.4%
26		1	 0.1%
30		101	 7.3%
33		1	 0.1%
35		38	 2.7%
37		1	 0.1%
40		152	 11.0%
45		34	 2.5%
48		1	 0.1%
49		7	 0.5%
50		234	 16.9%
51		3	 0.2%
52		2	 0.1%
54		1	 0.1%
55		36	 2.6%
60		142	 10.3%
62		2	 0.1%
65		51	 3.7%
68		1	 0.1%
70		73	 5.3%
72		1	 0.1%
74		2	 0.1%
75		88	 6.4%
77		1	 0.1%
79		1	 0.1%
80		87	 6.3%
82		1	 0.1%
85		14	 1.0%
87		1	 0.1%
90		31	 2.2%

# xe2i: Rating> NDP Candidate			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95		13	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
98		1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99		2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
100	Very Positive	34	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
998	D.K.	129	
999	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		2083	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xf2: Should Canada Stay in NATO or Get Out			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2597 /-] [Invalid=1012 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now I'd like to talk about the issues in the campaign.		
Literal question	As you may know, Canada belongs to NATO, a military alliance which includes the United States and many Western European countries. Do you think that Canada should stay in NATO or get out of NATO?		
Notes	#xf2 matches #f2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stay in NATO	2279	<div><div></div></div> 87.8%
3	Depends	37	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
5	Get Out of NATO	281	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
8	D.K.	312	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xf3: Support or Oppose Meech Lake Accord			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1976 /-] [Invalid=1633 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Now I would like to ask you about the Meech Lake Accord, reached last year by the federal and provincial governments. Do you support the accord or oppose it?		
Notes	#xf3 matches #f3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	1026	<div><div></div></div> 51.9%
3	Neither	208	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
5	Oppose	742	<div><div></div></div> 37.6%
8	D.K.	928	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xf4a: Opinion> 3 Positions on Abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1409 /-] [Invalid=2200 /-]		

# xf4a: Opinion> 3 Positions on Abortion			
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the two abortion items in the campaign period survey. CATI was utilized to insure respondents received the same version of the question in the post election survey.		
<b>Pre-question</b>	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. We know that this is a sensitive question.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: one, abortion should NEVER be permitted, two, should be permitted only after need has been established by a doctor, or three, should be a matter of the woman's personal choice?		
<b>Post-question</b>	Go to #f5.		
<b>Notes</b>	#xf4a matches #f4a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never Permitted	125	8.9%
3	Permitted-Need	620	44.0%
5	Personal Choice	664	47.1%
8	D.K.	13	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		2176	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xf4b: Opinion> 3 Positions on Abortion			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1458 /-] [Invalid=2151 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents were randomly assigned to one of the two abortion items in the campaign period survey. CATI was utilized to insure respondents received the same version of the question in the post election survey.		
<b>Pre-question</b>	Now we would like to get your views on abortion. We know that this is a sensitive question.		
<b>Literal question</b>	Of the following three positions, which is closest to your own opinion: one, abortion should be a matter of the woman's personal choice, two, should be permitted only after need has been established by a doctor, or three, should NEVER be permitted?		
<b>Notes</b>	#xf4b matches #f4b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Personal Choice	716	49.1%
3	Permitted-Need	594	40.7%
5	Never Permitted	148	10.2%
8	D.K.	19	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2120	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xf5: Official Languages Act> Extend Services			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2695 /-] [Invalid=914 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	The government has made a number of amendments to the Official Languages Act. These amendments extend services for French people outside Quebec and for English people inside Quebec. Do you favour or oppose the extension of such services?		
<b>Notes</b>	#xf5 matches #f5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Favour	1882	69.8%
3	Neither	118	4.4%

# xf5: Official Languages Act> Extend Services			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Oppose	695	25.8%
8	D.K.	206	
9	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xf6: Support or Oppose Tax System Changes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2022 /-] [Invalid=1587 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you favour or oppose the extension of such services?		
Notes	#xf6 matches #f6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	959	47.4%
3	Neither	156	7.7%
5	Oppose	907	44.9%
8	D.K.	885	
9	Refused	15	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xf7: Opinion Regarding Child Care			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2818 /-] [Invalid=791 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	And now a question about child care. Which of the following three statements comes closest to your own opinion? 1. If parents want child care, they should pay for it THEMSELVES., 2. The government should help PARENTS pay for child care., 3. The government should provide financial help to DAY CARE centres.		
Notes	#xf7 matches #f7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Parents Pay	824	29.2%
3	Gov Help Parents	879	31.2%
5	Gov Help Centres	1115	39.6%
8	D.K.	93	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xf8: Nuclear Submarines for the Navy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2753 /-] [Invalid=856 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The government intends to buy 8 or more nuclear submarines for the Navy. All things considered, do you support or oppose buying nuclear submarines?		
Notes	#xf8 matches #f8 in the documentation.		

# xf8: Nuclear Submarines for the Navy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	983	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
3	Neither	75	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
5	Oppose	1695	<div><div></div></div> 61.6%
8	D.K.	158	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg1: Impression of Party Leaders			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2835 /-] [Invalid=774 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now, we'd like to know about your impressions of the party leaders. I am going to read a list of words and phrases people use to describe political figures. After each one, I would like you to tell me how much the word or phrase fits your impressions.		
Notes	#xg1 matches #g1 in the documentation. Variable label based on information in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		2835	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		774	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg1a: Impression> Mulroney> Intelligent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2761 /-] [Invalid=848 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	How much would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#xg1a matches #g1a in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	1127	<div><div></div></div> 40.8%
2	Somewhat	1276	<div><div></div></div> 46.2%
3	Can't Decide	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4	A Little	271	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
5	Not At All	83	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
8	D.K.	18	
9	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		812	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg1b: Impression> Mulroney> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2746 /-] [Invalid=863 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#xg1b matches #g1b in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		

# xg1b: Impression> Mulroney> Trustworthy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	371	<div><div></div></div> 13.5%
2	Somewhat	1241	<div><div></div></div> 45.2%
3	Can't Decide	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	A Little	713	<div><div></div></div> 26.0%
5	Not At All	408	<div><div></div></div> 14.9%
8	D.K.	35	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		812	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg1c: Impression> Mulroney> Strong Leadership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2752 /-] [Invalid=857 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xg1c matches #g1c in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	1069	<div><div></div></div> 38.8%
2	Somewhat	1290	<div><div></div></div> 46.9%
3	Can't Decide	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	A Little	283	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
5	Not At All	96	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
8	D.K.	32	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		812	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg1d: Impression> Mulroney> Really Cares			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2700 /-] [Invalid=909 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARERS ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of Brian Mulroney: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xg1d matches #g1d in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	306	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
2	Somewhat	1074	<div><div></div></div> 39.8%
3	Can't Decide	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
4	A Little	799	<div><div></div></div> 29.6%
5	Not At All	506	<div><div></div></div> 18.7%
8	D.K.	83	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		812	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			



# xg2a: Impression> Turner> Intelligent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2670 /-] [Invalid=939 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	Would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of JOHN TURNER: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#xg2a matches #g2a in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	654	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
2	Somewhat	1368	<div><div></div></div> 51.2%
3	Can't Decide	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
4	A Little	485	<div><div></div></div> 18.2%
5	Not At All	156	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
8	D.K.	23	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		900	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg2b: Impression> Turner> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2640 /-] [Invalid=969 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#xg2b matches #g2b in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	286	<div><div></div></div> 10.8%
2	Somewhat	1201	<div><div></div></div> 45.5%
3	Can't Decide	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4	A Little	794	<div><div></div></div> 30.1%
5	Not At All	349	<div><div></div></div> 13.2%
8	D.K.	53	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		900	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg2c: Impression> Turner> Strong Leadership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2660 /-] [Invalid=949 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xg2c matches #g2c in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	210	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
2	Somewhat	909	<div><div></div></div> 34.2%
3	Can't Decide	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%

# xg2c: Impression> Turner> Strong Leadership			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	A Little	907	<div><div></div></div> 34.1%
5	Not At All	623	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
8	D.K.	33	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		900	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg2d: Impression> Turner> Really Cares			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2593 /-] [Invalid=1016 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of John Turner: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xg2d matches #g2d in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	240	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
2	Somewhat	1058	<div><div></div></div> 40.8%
3	Can't Decide	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4	A Little	819	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
5	Not At All	454	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
8	D.K.	100	
9	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		900	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg3a: Impression> Broadbent> Intelligent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2580 /-] [Invalid=1029 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	Would you say "INTELLIGENT" fits your impression of ED BROADBENT: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#xg3a matches #g3a in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	962	<div><div></div></div> 37.3%
2	Somewhat	1250	<div><div></div></div> 48.4%
3	Can't Decide	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	A Little	293	<div><div></div></div> 11.4%
5	Not At All	69	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
8	D.K.	29	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		986	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg3b: Impression> Broadbent> Trustworthy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2560 /-] [Invalid=1049 /-]		

# xg3b: Impression> Broadbent> Trustworthy			
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	How much would you say "TRUSTWORTHY" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#xg3b matches #g3b in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	820	<div><div></div></div> 32.0%
2	Somewhat	1180	<div><div></div></div> 46.1%
3	Can't Decide	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
4	A Little	431	<div><div></div></div> 16.8%
5	Not At All	121	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
8	D.K.	49	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		986	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg3c: Impression> Broadbent> Strong Leadership			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2547 /-] [Invalid=1062 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	"PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" (How much would you say "PROVIDES STRONG LEADERSHIP" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xg3c matches #g3c in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	644	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
2	Somewhat	1171	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
3	Can't Decide	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
4	A Little	545	<div><div></div></div> 21.4%
5	Not At All	176	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
8	D.K.	62	
9	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		986	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xg3d: Impression> Broadbent> Really Cares			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2524 /-] [Invalid=1085 /-]		
Universe	Respondents skipped all questions about party leaders they knew "nothing at all" about (#e1a - #elc).		
Literal question	"REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" (How much would you say "REALLY CARES ABOUT PEOPLE LIKE YOU" fits your impression of Ed Broadbent: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xg3d matches #g3d in the documentation. CATI was used to randomize the order of the three party leaders.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	900	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
2	Somewhat	1022	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
3	Can't Decide	16	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
4	A Little	392	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
5	Not At All	194	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%

# xg3d: Impression> Broadbent> Really Cares			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	D.K.	86	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		986	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xh1: Rating> How You Feel About Farmers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2871 /-] [Invalid=738 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now let's talk about your feelings about some groups. I will read you a list of groups and ask you to tell me how you feel about each group on a thermometer that runs from 0 to 100 degrees. Ratings between 50 and 100 mean that you feel positive toward the group. Ratings between 0 and 50 mean that you feel negative toward the group. You may use any number from 0 to 100 to tell me how you feel.		
Literal question	How do you feel about farmers? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh1 matches #h1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	4	0.1%
1		1	0.0%
2		1	0.0%
5		2	0.1%
6		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%
10		6	0.2%
15		1	0.0%
20		5	0.2%
25		5	0.2%
30		23	0.8%
32		1	0.0%
35		6	0.2%
40		39	1.4%
45		10	0.3%
50		260	9.1%
51		1	0.0%
52		6	0.2%
55		36	1.3%
60		326	11.4%
65		57	2.0%
66		2	0.1%
67		1	0.0%
70		314	10.9%
75		402	14.0%
77		1	0.0%
78		1	0.0%
80		582	20.3%
82		2	0.1%

# xh1: Rating> How You Feel About Farmers			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
85		79	<div></div> 2.8%
87		4	<div></div> 0.1%
90		270	<div></div> 9.4%
94		1	<div></div> 0.0%
95		57	<div></div> 2.0%
97		1	<div></div> 0.0%
98		5	<div></div> 0.2%
99		21	<div></div> 0.7%
100	Very Positive	336	<div></div> 11.7%
998	D.K.	42	
999	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xh2: Rating> How You Feel About the Poor			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2783 /-] [Invalid=826 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about the poor? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh2 matches #h2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	3	<div></div> 0.1%
5		1	<div></div> 0.0%
7		2	<div></div> 0.1%
8		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9		2	<div></div> 0.1%
10		9	<div></div> 0.3%
15		1	<div></div> 0.0%
20		9	<div></div> 0.3%
25		7	<div></div> 0.3%
30		17	<div></div> 0.6%
35		6	<div></div> 0.2%
39		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		62	<div></div> 2.2%
45		8	<div></div> 0.3%
47		1	<div></div> 0.0%
49		3	<div></div> 0.1%
50		309	<div></div> 11.1%
51		3	<div></div> 0.1%
52		2	<div></div> 0.1%
55		23	<div></div> 0.8%
58		1	<div></div> 0.0%
59		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		292	<div></div> 10.5%

## # xh2: Rating> How You Feel About the Poor

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
65		67	<div></div> 2.4%
66		1	0.0%
68		2	0.1%
69		1	0.0%
70		320	<div></div> 11.5%
72		1	0.0%
75		300	<div></div> 10.8%
78		2	0.1%
80		497	<div></div> 17.9%
82		3	0.1%
83		1	0.0%
85		56	<div></div> 2.0%
86		2	0.1%
87		2	0.1%
90		313	<div></div> 11.2%
95		54	<div></div> 1.9%
98		3	0.1%
99		14	<div></div> 0.5%
100	Very Positive	379	<div></div> 13.6%
998	D.K.	114	
999	Refused	25	
Sysmiss		687	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# xh3: Rating> How You Feel About English Canadians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2826 /-] [Invalid=783 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about English Canadians? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh3 matches #h3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	6	0.2%
5		4	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
8		2	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		6	0.2%
15		3	0.1%
20		10	0.4%
25		10	0.4%
30		19	0.7%
35		7	0.2%
40		41	1.5%
45		16	0.6%
49		3	0.1%
50		348	12.3%
51		3	0.1%
52		2	0.1%
55		20	0.7%
58		1	0.0%
60		274	9.7%
61		1	0.0%
65		61	2.2%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		278	9.8%
72		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
74		1	0.0%
75		342	12.1%
76		1	0.0%
77		2	0.1%
78		2	0.1%
80		492	17.4%
82		1	0.0%
83		1	0.0%
85		59	2.1%
86		1	0.0%

# xh3: Rating> How You Feel About English Canadians			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
87		2	0.1%
89		1	0.0%
90		313	11.1%
95		61	2.2%
97		1	0.0%
98		5	0.2%
99		13	0.5%
100	Very Positive	405	14.3%
998	D.K.	67	
999	Refused	29	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xh4: Rating> How You Feel About Small Businesses			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2863 /-] [Invalid=746 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about small business? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh4 matches #h4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	3	0.1%
1		1	0.0%
5		2	0.1%
7		2	0.1%
8		1	0.0%
9		1	0.0%
10		5	0.2%
20		5	0.2%
25		7	0.2%
30		7	0.2%
35		2	0.1%
40		31	1.1%
42		1	0.0%
45		6	0.2%
50		197	6.9%
51		3	0.1%
52		1	0.0%
55		15	0.5%
56		1	0.0%
57		2	0.1%
60		240	8.4%
61		1	0.0%
65		49	1.7%
69		1	0.0%



# xh4: Rating> How You Feel About Small Businesses			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
70		287	<div></div> 10.0%
73		1	<div></div> 0.0%
75		358	<div></div> 12.5%
76		1	<div></div> 0.0%
80		585	<div></div> 20.4%
82		1	<div></div> 0.0%
83		1	<div></div> 0.0%
84		1	<div></div> 0.0%
85		118	<div></div> 4.1%
87		1	<div></div> 0.0%
88		1	<div></div> 0.0%
89		2	<div></div> 0.1%
90		399	<div></div> 13.9%
92		1	<div></div> 0.0%
95		95	<div></div> 3.3%
96		3	<div></div> 0.1%
98		5	<div></div> 0.2%
99		10	<div></div> 0.3%
100	Very Positive	409	<div></div> 14.3%
998	D.K.	41	
999	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xh5: Rating> How You Feel About Ethnic Minorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2754 /-] [Invalid=855 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about ethnic minorities? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh5 matches #h5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	24	<div></div> 0.9%
1		2	<div></div> 0.1%
5		4	<div></div> 0.1%
6		1	<div></div> 0.0%
7		5	<div></div> 0.2%
8		3	<div></div> 0.1%
9		2	<div></div> 0.1%
10		22	<div></div> 0.8%
12		2	<div></div> 0.1%
15		4	<div></div> 0.1%
20		35	<div></div> 1.3%
25		33	<div></div> 1.2%
30		62	<div></div> 2.3%

# xh5: Rating> How You Feel About Ethnic Minorities			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35		16	0.6%
40		124	4.5%
44		1	0.0%
45		36	1.3%
47		1	0.0%
48		2	0.1%
49		1	0.0%
50		461	16.7%
51		3	0.1%
52		2	0.1%
55		50	1.8%
58		3	0.1%
59		1	0.0%
60		377	13.7%
62		1	0.0%
65		82	3.0%
68		3	0.1%
70		326	11.8%
73		2	0.1%
75		283	10.3%
76		1	0.0%
77		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		319	11.6%
85		59	2.1%
86		1	0.0%
88		1	0.0%
90		174	6.3%
95		27	1.0%
96		1	0.0%
98		2	0.1%
99		6	0.2%
100	Very Positive	187	6.8%
998	D.K.	135	
999	Refused	33	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xh6: Rating> How You Feel About Labour Unions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2833 /-] [Invalid=776 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about labour unions? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh6 matches #h6 in the documentation.		

# # xh6: Rating> How You Feel About Labour Unions

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	124	4.4%
1		4	0.1%
2		4	0.1%
3		3	0.1%
5		19	0.7%
6		1	0.0%
7		2	0.1%
8		3	0.1%
9		1	0.0%
10		84	3.0%
12		1	0.0%
15		14	0.5%
20		120	4.2%
25		86	3.0%
28		1	0.0%
30		154	5.4%
32		1	0.0%
35		45	1.6%
38		1	0.0%
40		300	10.6%
42		1	0.0%
43		1	0.0%
45		67	2.4%
47		1	0.0%
48		1	0.0%
49		13	0.5%
50		597	21.1%
51		6	0.2%
52		4	0.1%
53		2	0.1%
54		1	0.0%
55		66	2.3%
58		1	0.0%
60		327	11.5%
65		73	2.6%
68		2	0.1%
70		194	6.8%
72		2	0.1%
75		148	5.2%
76		2	0.1%
77		1	0.0%
80		147	5.2%
85		29	1.0%
87		2	0.1%
89		1	0.0%

# xh6: Rating> How You Feel About Labour Unions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
90		63	<div></div> 2.2%
95		13	<div></div> 0.5%
96		2	<div></div> 0.1%
98		1	<div></div> 0.0%
99		3	<div></div> 0.1%
100	Very Positive	94	<div></div> 3.3%
998	D.K.	71	
999	Refused	18	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xh7: Rating> How You Feel About Native Peoples			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2838 /-] [Invalid=771 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about native peoples? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh7 matches #h7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	13	<div></div> 0.5%
1		2	<div></div> 0.1%
2		1	<div></div> 0.0%
4		1	<div></div> 0.0%
5		5	<div></div> 0.2%
8		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		17	<div></div> 0.6%
12		1	<div></div> 0.0%
15		7	<div></div> 0.2%
20		32	<div></div> 1.1%
25		26	<div></div> 0.9%
30		56	<div></div> 2.0%
33		1	<div></div> 0.0%
34		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		12	<div></div> 0.4%
36		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		117	<div></div> 4.1%
44		1	<div></div> 0.0%
45		32	<div></div> 1.1%
47		1	<div></div> 0.0%
49		1	<div></div> 0.0%
50		446	<div></div> 15.7%
51		7	<div></div> 0.2%
52		1	<div></div> 0.0%
53		1	<div></div> 0.0%

# xh7: Rating> How You Feel About Native Peoples			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
55		56	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
56		1	0.0%
58		1	0.0%
60		391	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
62		1	0.0%
64		1	0.0%
65		93	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
66		1	0.0%
67		1	0.0%
70		313	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
72		2	0.1%
74		1	0.0%
75		292	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
78		1	0.0%
79		1	0.0%
80		333	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
82		1	0.0%
83		1	0.0%
85		48	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
86		1	0.0%
87		2	0.1%
90		183	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
93		1	0.0%
95		33	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
96		1	0.0%
98		3	0.1%
99		9	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
100	Very Positive	280	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
998	D.K.	63	
999	Refused	21	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xh8: Rating> How You Feel About the Elderly			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2875 /-] [Invalid=734 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about the elderly? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh8 matches #h8 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	1	0.0%
1		1	0.0%
5		1	0.0%
8		1	0.0%

# xh8: Rating> How You Feel About the Elderly			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9		1	0.0%
10		3	0.1%
15		2	0.1%
20		1	0.0%
25		1	0.0%
30		7	0.2%
35		5	0.2%
40		11	0.4%
45		5	0.2%
48		1	0.0%
50		89	3.1%
51		1	0.0%
55		14	0.5%
57		1	0.0%
60		172	6.0%
65		54	1.9%
70		283	9.8%
72		1	0.0%
75		279	9.7%
77		3	0.1%
78		2	0.1%
79		4	0.1%
80		605	21.0%
81		1	0.0%
84		1	0.0%
85		124	4.3%
89		1	0.0%
90		447	15.5%
93		1	0.0%
95		121	4.2%
96		1	0.0%
98		10	0.3%
99		16	0.6%
100	Very Positive	603	21.0%
998	D.K.	33	
999	Refused	14	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xh9: Rating> How You Feel About Feminist Groups			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2780 /-] [Invalid=829 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about feminist groups? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh9 matches #h9 in the documentation.		

# # xh9: Rating> How You Feel About Feminist Groups

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	112	<div></div> 4.0%
1		5	<div></div> 0.2%
2		5	<div></div> 0.2%
3		1	<div></div> 0.0%
5		15	<div></div> 0.5%
7		2	<div></div> 0.1%
9		1	<div></div> 0.0%
10		65	<div></div> 2.3%
12		1	<div></div> 0.0%
15		6	<div></div> 0.2%
20		94	<div></div> 3.4%
22		1	<div></div> 0.0%
25		54	<div></div> 1.9%
30		147	<div></div> 5.3%
33		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		31	<div></div> 1.1%
38		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		261	<div></div> 9.4%
45		78	<div></div> 2.8%
46		1	<div></div> 0.0%
48		5	<div></div> 0.2%
49		13	<div></div> 0.5%
50		601	<div></div> 21.6%
51		6	<div></div> 0.2%
52		1	<div></div> 0.0%
53		1	<div></div> 0.0%
55		79	<div></div> 2.8%
56		2	<div></div> 0.1%
58		2	<div></div> 0.1%
59		2	<div></div> 0.1%
60		362	<div></div> 13.0%
62		1	<div></div> 0.0%
63		1	<div></div> 0.0%
65		89	<div></div> 3.2%
70		212	<div></div> 7.6%
71		1	<div></div> 0.0%
75		159	<div></div> 5.7%
78		2	<div></div> 0.1%
80		154	<div></div> 5.5%
82		1	<div></div> 0.0%
85		35	<div></div> 1.3%
87		2	<div></div> 0.1%
88		1	<div></div> 0.0%
90		67	<div></div> 2.4%
91		1	<div></div> 0.0%

# xh9: Rating> How You Feel About Feminist Groups			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95		17	<div></div> 0.6%
96		1	<div></div> 0.0%
98		2	<div></div> 0.1%
99		3	<div></div> 0.1%
100	Very Positive	75	<div></div> 2.7%
998	D.K.	119	
999	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xh10: Rating> How You Feel About French Canadians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2842 /-] [Invalid=767 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about French Canadians? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh10 matches #h10 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	43	<div></div> 1.5%
1		4	<div></div> 0.1%
2		4	<div></div> 0.1%
3		1	<div></div> 0.0%
5		2	<div></div> 0.1%
8		2	<div></div> 0.1%
10		47	<div></div> 1.7%
15		3	<div></div> 0.1%
19		1	<div></div> 0.0%
20		38	<div></div> 1.3%
25		26	<div></div> 0.9%
28		1	<div></div> 0.0%
30		63	<div></div> 2.2%
32		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		15	<div></div> 0.5%
40		112	<div></div> 3.9%
42		1	<div></div> 0.0%
45		42	<div></div> 1.5%
48		1	<div></div> 0.0%
49		7	<div></div> 0.2%
50		439	<div></div> 15.4%
51		3	<div></div> 0.1%
52		3	<div></div> 0.1%
54		1	<div></div> 0.0%
55		41	<div></div> 1.4%
58		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		340	<div></div> 12.0%



# xh10: Rating> How You Feel About French Canadians			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
61		2	0.1%
65		84	3.0%
66		2	0.1%
68		1	0.0%
69		1	0.0%
70		291	10.2%
72		2	0.1%
73		3	0.1%
75		279	9.8%
78		1	0.0%
80		351	12.4%
82		1	0.0%
85		56	2.0%
87		1	0.0%
89		1	0.0%
90		189	6.7%
91		1	0.0%
95		38	1.3%
97		1	0.0%
98		2	0.1%
99		10	0.4%
100	Very Positive	283	10.0%
998	D.K.	57	
999	Refused	23	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xh11: Rating> How You Feel About Americans			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*/998/999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2854 /-] [Invalid=755 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How do you feel about Americans? (The thermometer runs from 0 to 100 degrees, where 0 represents a very negative feeling and 100 a very positive feeling.)		
Notes	#xh11 matches #h11 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Very Negative	48	1.7%
2		5	0.2%
3		1	0.0%
5		5	0.2%
6		1	0.0%
8		2	0.1%
10		31	1.1%
14		1	0.0%
15		11	0.4%
20		55	1.9%

# xh11: Rating> How You Feel About Americans			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25		44	<div></div> 1.5%
30		69	<div></div> 2.4%
33		1	<div></div> 0.0%
35		22	<div></div> 0.8%
38		1	<div></div> 0.0%
40		150	<div></div> 5.3%
42		2	<div></div> 0.1%
43		1	<div></div> 0.0%
45		53	<div></div> 1.9%
48		2	<div></div> 0.1%
49		4	<div></div> 0.1%
50		640	<div></div> 22.4%
51		7	<div></div> 0.2%
52		3	<div></div> 0.1%
53		1	<div></div> 0.0%
54		1	<div></div> 0.0%
55		69	<div></div> 2.4%
57		1	<div></div> 0.0%
59		1	<div></div> 0.0%
60		423	<div></div> 14.8%
62		1	<div></div> 0.0%
63		2	<div></div> 0.1%
65		116	<div></div> 4.1%
68		4	<div></div> 0.1%
70		300	<div></div> 10.5%
72		3	<div></div> 0.1%
75		249	<div></div> 8.7%
78		2	<div></div> 0.1%
79		1	<div></div> 0.0%
80		244	<div></div> 8.5%
85		42	<div></div> 1.5%
89		3	<div></div> 0.1%
90		76	<div></div> 2.7%
95		23	<div></div> 0.8%
98		3	<div></div> 0.1%
99		6	<div></div> 0.2%
100	Very Positive	124	<div></div> 4.3%
998	D.K.	49	
999	Refused	19	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xi1a: Conservative Candidate> Will Make a Good Cabinet Minister			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1997 /-] [Invalid=1612 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked about riding candidates when they knew "nothing at all" about the candidate (#e1d - #e1f).		

# xi1a: Conservative Candidate> Will Make a Good Cabinet Minister			
Pre-question	We'd like to get your impressions of the candidates that you know in your riding. I am going to read a list of phrases people use to describe the candidates. After each one, I would like you to tell me how much the phrase fits your impression of the candidate.		
Literal question	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER". How much does this phrase fit your impression of the CONSERVATIVE CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#xi1a matches #11a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	611	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
2	Somewhat	811	<div><div></div></div> 40.6%
3	Can't Decide	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
4	A Little	342	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
5	Not At All	231	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
8	D.K.	126	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1476	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xi1b: Conservative Candidate> A Real Conservative			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1962 /-] [Invalid=1647 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked about riding candidates when they knew "nothing at all" about the candidate (#e1d - #e1f).		
Literal question	"A REAL CONSERVATIVE" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xi1b matches #11b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	962	<div><div></div></div> 49.0%
2	Somewhat	716	<div><div></div></div> 36.5%
3	Can't Decide	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
4	A Little	221	<div><div></div></div> 11.3%
5	Not At All	60	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
8	D.K.	161	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1476	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xi1c: Conservative Candidate> Will Look After Interests of Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2003 /-] [Invalid=1606 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked about riding candidates when they knew "nothing at all" about the candidate (#e1d - #e1f).		
Literal question	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xi1c matches #11c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	739	<div><div></div></div> 36.9%
2	Somewhat	865	<div><div></div></div> 43.2%
3	Can't Decide	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
4	A Little	307	<div><div></div></div> 15.3%

# xi1c: Conservative Candidate> Will Look After Interests of Your Riding			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Not At All	91	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
8	D.K.	122	
9	Refused	8	
Sysmiss		1476	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xi2a: Liberal Candidate> Will Make a Good Cabinet Minister			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=1966 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked about riding candidates when they knew "nothing at all" about the candidate (#e1d - #e1f).		
Literal question	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER" How much does this phrase fit your impression of the LIBERAL CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#xi2a matches #i2a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	367	<div><div></div></div> 22.3%
2	Somewhat	638	<div><div></div></div> 38.8%
3	Can't Decide	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
4	A Little	385	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
5	Not At All	248	<div><div></div></div> 15.1%
8	D.K.	149	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		1807	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xi2b: Liberal Candidate> A Real Liberal			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1594 /-] [Invalid=2015 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked about riding candidates when they knew "nothing at all" about the candidate (#e1d - #e1f).		
Literal question	"A REAL LIBERAL" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xi2b matches #i2b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	625	<div><div></div></div> 39.2%
2	Somewhat	655	<div><div></div></div> 41.1%
3	Can't Decide	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
4	A Little	233	<div><div></div></div> 14.6%
5	Not At All	76	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
8	D.K.	197	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		1807	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xi2c: Liberal Candidate> Will Look After Interests of Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1633 /-] [Invalid=1976 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked about riding candidates when they knew "nothing at all" about the candidate (#e1d - #e1f).		

# xi2c: Liberal Candidate> Will Look After Interests of Your Riding			
Literal question	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xi2c matches #i2c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	534	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
2	Somewhat	745	<div><div></div></div> 45.6%
3	Can't Decide	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
4	A Little	254	<div><div></div></div> 15.6%
5	Not At All	91	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
8	D.K.	160	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		1807	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xi3a: NDP Candidate> Will Make a Good Cabinet Minister			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1376 /-] [Invalid=2233 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked about riding candidates when they knew "nothing at all" about the candidate (#e1d - #e1f).		
Literal question	"WOULD MAKE A GOOD CABINET MINISTER" How much does this phrase fit your impression of the N.D.P. CANDIDATE: A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#xi3a matches #i3a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	284	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
2	Somewhat	466	<div><div></div></div> 33.9%
3	Can't Decide	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
4	A Little	335	<div><div></div></div> 24.3%
5	Not At All	281	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
8	D.K.	138	
9	Refused	12	
Sysmiss		2083	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xi3b: NDP Candidate> A Real New Democrat			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1344 /-] [Invalid=2265 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked about riding candidates when they knew "nothing at all" about the candidate (#e1d - #e1f).		
Literal question	"A REAL NEW DEMOCRAT" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xi3b matches #i3b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	496	<div><div></div></div> 36.9%
2	Somewhat	505	<div><div></div></div> 37.6%
3	Can't Decide	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
4	A Little	229	<div><div></div></div> 17.0%
5	Not At All	103	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
8	D.K.	170	
9	Refused	12	

# xi3b: NDP Candidate> A Real New Democrat			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		2083	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xi3c: NDP Candidate> Will Look After Interests of Your Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1381 /-] [Invalid=2228 /-]		
Universe	Respondents were not asked about riding candidates when they knew "nothing at all" about the candidate (#e1d - #e1f).		
Literal question	"WOULD LOOK AFTER THE INTERESTS OF YOUR RIDING" (A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, A LITTLE, or NOT AT ALL?)		
Notes	#xi3c matches #i3c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	464	<div><div></div></div> 33.6%
2	Somewhat	558	<div><div></div></div> 40.4%
3	Can't Decide	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
4	A Little	256	<div><div></div></div> 18.5%
5	Not At All	96	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
8	D.K.	135	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		2083	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj1a: Have You Ever Been Active in a Union			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2904 /-] [Invalid=705 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Have you, or anyone in your household, ever been ACTIVE in a union, for example, served on a committee, run a meeting, or held an office?		
Notes	#xj1a matches #j1a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	545	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
5	No	2359	<div><div></div></div> 81.2%
8	D.K.	16	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj1b: Contacted by a Union Regarding Supporting a Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2900 /-] [Invalid=709 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did someone in a union contact you, or anyone in your household, about supporting a party or candidate?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j1c. All others go to #j2a.		
Notes	#xj1b matches #j1b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	249	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%

# xj1b: Contacted by a Union Regarding Supporting a Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	No	2651	<div><div></div></div> 91.4%
8	D.K.	20	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj1c: Contacted by a Union to Support Which Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=227 /-] [Invalid=3382 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j1b.		
Literal question	Which party was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#xj1c matches #j1c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	28	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
2	Liberal	38	<div><div></div></div> 16.7%
3	NDP	149	<div><div></div></div> 65.6%
4	P.C. & Liberal	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
5	P.C. & NDP	0	
6	Liberal & NDP	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
7	All 3 Major	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
8	Reform	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
98	D.K.	20	
99	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3360	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj2a: Belong to an Ethnic or Cultural Organization			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2900 /-] [Invalid=709 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you, or any member of your family, belong to an ethnic or cultural organization?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j2b. All others go to #j3a.		
Notes	#xj2a matches #j2a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	268	<div><div></div></div> 9.2%
5	No	2632	<div><div></div></div> 90.8%
8	D.K.	21	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# xj2b: Active Involvement in Ethnic or Cultural Organization			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=267 /-] [Invalid=3342 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Have you, or that person, ever been actively involved in the organization, for example, served on a committee, run a meeting, or held an office?		
Notes	#xj2b matches #j2b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	163	<div></div> 61.0%
5	No	104	<div></div> 39.0%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3341	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj2c: Contacted by Ethnic or Cultural Group Regarding Supporting a Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=267 /-] [Invalid=3342 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did someone in that organization contact you, or anyone in your household, about supporting a party or candidate?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j2d. All others go to #j3a.		
Notes	#xj2c matches #j2c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	31	<div></div> 11.6%
5	No	236	<div></div> 88.4%
8	D.K.	1	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3341	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj2d: Contacted by Ethnic or Cultural Group to Support Which Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29 /-] [Invalid=3580 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j2c.		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#xj2d matches #j2d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	7	<div></div> 24.1%
2	Liberal	13	<div></div> 44.8%
3	NDP	7	<div></div> 24.1%
4	P.C. & Liberal	0	
5	P.C. & NDP	0	
6	Liberal & NDP	0	



# xj2d: Contacted by Ethnic or Cultural Group to Support Which Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	All 3 Major	1	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
8	Reform	1	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3578	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xj3a: Belong to Service Club or Trade Association			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2909 /-] [Invalid=700 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you, or any member of your family, belong to a service club, such as the Rotary Club, or to a business or trade association?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j3b. All others go to #j4a.		
Notes	#xj3a matches #j3a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	693	<div><div></div></div> 23.8%
5	No	2216	<div><div></div></div> 76.2%
8	D.K.	12	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		687	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xj3b: Active Involvement in Service Club, Etc			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=691 /-] [Invalid=2918 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j3a.		
Literal question	Have you, or that person, ever been actively involved in the organization, for example, served on a committee, run a meeting, or held an office?		
Notes	#xj3b matches #j3b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	451	<div><div></div></div> 65.3%
5	No	240	<div><div></div></div> 34.7%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2916	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xj3c: Contacted by Club Regarding Supporting a Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=687 /-] [Invalid=2922 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j3b.		
Literal question	Did someone in that organization contact you, or anyone in your household, about supporting a party or candidate?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j3d. All others go to #j4a.		
Notes	#xj3c matches #j3c in the documentation.		

# xj3c: Contacted by Club Regarding Supporting a Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	54	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
5	No	633	<div><div></div></div> 92.1%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		2916	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj3d: Contacted by Club to Support Which Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=52 /-] [Invalid=3557 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j3c.		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#xj3d matches #j3d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	29	<div><div></div></div> 55.8%
2	Liberal	12	<div><div></div></div> 23.1%
3	NDP	6	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
4	P.C. & Liberal	4	<div><div></div></div> 7.7%
5	P.C. & NDP	0	
6	Liberal & NDP	1	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
7	All 3 Major	0	
8	Reform	0	
98	D.K.	1	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		3555	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj4a: Belong to "Concerned" Organization> Environment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2913 /-] [Invalid=696 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you, or any member of your family, belong to an organization concerned with a specific issue, for example, the environment?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j4b. All others go to #j5a.		
Notes	#xj4a matches #j4a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	339	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
5	No	2574	<div><div></div></div> 88.4%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# xj4b: Active Involvement in "Concerned" Organization			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=336 /-] [Invalid=3273 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j4a.		
Literal question	Have you, or that person, ever been actively involved in the organization, for example, served on a committee, run a meeting, or held an office?		
Notes	#xj4b matches #j4b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	190	<div><div></div></div> 56.5%
5	No	146	<div><div></div></div> 43.5%
8	D.K.	3	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3270	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj4c: Contacted by Organization Regarding Supporting a Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=337 /-] [Invalid=3272 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j4b.		
Literal question	Did someone in that organization contact you, or anyone in your household, about supporting a party or candidate?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j4d. All others go to #j5a.		
Notes	#xj4c matches #j4c in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	28	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
5	No	309	<div><div></div></div> 91.7%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3270	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj4d: Contacted by Organization to Support Which Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=26 /-] [Invalid=3583 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j4c.		
Literal question	Which party or parties was that?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER: RECORD ONLY FOR CONSERVATIVE, LIBERAL, N.D.P., REFORM OR COMBINATION OF THE THREE MAJOR PARTIES. IF R GIVES A MAJOR PARTY IN COMBINATION WITH A MINOR PARTY E.G. GREEN, CHRISTIAN HERITAGE, LIBERTARIAN OR PROVINCIAL PARTIES, ETC. RECORD ONLY FOR MAJOR PARTIES MENTIONED.		
Notes	#xj4d matches #j4d in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	10	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
2	Liberal	4	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
3	NDP	10	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
4	P.C. & Liberal	0	
5	P.C. & NDP	0	
6	Liberal & NDP	0	

# xj4d: Contaced by Organization to Support Which Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	All 3 Major	1	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
8	Reform	1	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
98	D.K.	2	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3581	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj5a: Heard Anyone from Church Talk About Issues During the Campaign			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2910 /-] [Invalid=699 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Did you hear anyone from your church, parish or place of worship talk about issues during the campaign?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j5b. All others go to #j6a.		
Notes	#xj5a matches #j5a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	499	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
5	No	2324	<div><div></div></div> 79.9%
7	Not Have Church	87	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
8	D.K.	10	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj5b: Was the Talk at Church About Free Trade			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/9/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=491 /-] [Invalid=3118 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j5a.		
Literal question	Was it about free trade?		
Notes	#xj5b matches #j5b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	324	<div><div></div></div> 66.0%
5	No	167	<div><div></div></div> 34.0%
8	D.K.	8	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3110	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj5c: Was the Talk at Church About Abortion			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=492 /-] [Invalid=3117 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j5b.		
Literal question	Was it about abortion?		
Notes	#xj5c matches #j5c in the documentation.		

# xj5c: Was the Talk at Church About Abortion			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	365	<div><div></div></div> 74.2%
5	No	127	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3110	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj6a: Work for Company Who Held an Information Meeting Regarding Free Trade			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2890 /-] [Invalid=719 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you, or any member of your family, work for a company which held an information meeting about the Free Trade Agreement during the just completed federal election campaign?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j6b. All others go to #j7a.		
Notes	#xj6a matches #j6a in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	199	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
5	No	2691	<div><div></div></div> 93.1%
8	D.K.	29	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj6b: People in Charge of the Meeting Were For or Against			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=179 /-] [Invalid=3430 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #j6a.		
Literal question	Were the people in charge of the meeting FOR or AGAINST the Agreement?		
Notes	#xj6b matches #j6b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	For	109	<div><div></div></div> 60.9%
3	Neither	32	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
5	Against	38	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
8	D.K.	20	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3410	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj7a: Member of a Federal Political Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2909 /-] [Invalid=700 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Are you a member of a FEDERAL political party?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #j7b. All others go to #k1.		
Notes	#xj7a matches #j7a in the documentation.		

# xj7a: Member of a Federal Political Party			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	242	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
5	No	2667	<div><div></div></div> 91.7%
8	D.K.	13	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xj7b: Which Federal Party Are You a Member Of			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=233 /-] [Invalid=3376 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who are a member of a political party, as indicated in #j7a.		
Literal question	Which party are you a member of?		
Notes	#xj7b matches #j7b in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conservative	101	<div><div></div></div> 43.3%
2	Liberal	85	<div><div></div></div> 36.5%
3	NDP	36	<div><div></div></div> 15.5%
4	Reform	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
5	Other	6	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
8	D.K.	2	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		3367	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xk1: How Much Government Should Do For> Farmers			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2858 /-] [Invalid=751 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	I am going to read a list of groups. For each group could you tell me if you think government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME for the group as it does now?		
Literal question	Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ... Farmers?		
Notes	#xk1 matches #k1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	1859	<div><div></div></div> 65.0%
3	Same	874	<div><div></div></div> 30.6%
5	Less	125	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
8	D.K.	57	
9	Refused	7	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xk2: How Much Government Should Do For> The Poor			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2883 /-] [Invalid=726 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# xk2: How Much Government Should Do For> The Poor			
Literal question	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) The poor?		
Notes	#xk2 matches #k2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	2308	<div></div> 80.1%
3	Same	530	<div></div> 18.4%
5	Less	45	<div></div> 1.6%
8	D.K.	34	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xk3: How Much Government Should Do For> English Canadians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2828 /-] [Invalid=781 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) English Canadians?		
Notes	#xk3 matches #k3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	624	<div></div> 22.1%
3	Same	2011	<div></div> 71.1%
5	Less	193	<div></div> 6.8%
8	D.K.	81	
9	Refused	13	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xk4: How Much Government Should Do For> Small Business			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2858 /-] [Invalid=751 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) Small business?		
Notes	#xk4 matches #k4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	1940	<div></div> 67.9%
3	Same	865	<div></div> 30.3%
5	Less	53	<div></div> 1.9%
8	D.K.	60	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xk5: How Much Government Should Do For> Ethnic Minorities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2747 /-] [Invalid=862 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		




# xk5: How Much Government Should Do For> Ethnic Minorities			
Literal question	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) Ethnic minorities?		
Notes	#xk5 matches #k5 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	921	<div><div></div></div> 33.5%
3	Same	1476	<div><div></div></div> 53.7%
5	Less	350	<div><div></div></div> 12.7%
8	D.K.	165	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xk6: How Much Government Should Do For> Single-Parent			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2821 /-] [Invalid=788 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) Single-parent families?		
Notes	#xk6 matches #k6 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	1814	<div><div></div></div> 64.3%
3	Same	910	<div><div></div></div> 32.3%
5	Less	97	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
8	D.K.	95	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xk7: How Much Government Should Do For> Native Peoples			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2833 /-] [Invalid=776 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) Native peoples?		
Notes	#xk7 matches #k7 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	1386	<div><div></div></div> 48.9%
3	Same	1121	<div><div></div></div> 39.6%
5	Less	326	<div><div></div></div> 11.5%
8	D.K.	80	
9	Refused	9	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xk8: How Much Government Should Do For> The Elderly			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2895 /-] [Invalid=714 /-]		



# xk8: How Much Government Should Do For> The Elderly			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) The elderly?		
Notes	#xk8 matches #k8 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	2168	<div><div></div></div> 74.9%
3	Same	705	<div><div></div></div> 24.4%
5	Less	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	D.K.	25	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xk9: How Much Government Should Do For> Women			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2839 /-] [Invalid=770 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) Women?		
Notes	#xk9 matches #k9 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	1193	<div><div></div></div> 42.0%
3	Same	1531	<div><div></div></div> 53.9%
5	Less	115	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
8	D.K.	73	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xk10: How Much Government Should Do For> French Canadians			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2838 /-] [Invalid=771 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	(Do you think the government SHOULD DO MORE, DO LESS, or DO ABOUT THE SAME as now for ...) French Canadians?		
Notes	#xk10 matches #k10 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	More	664	<div><div></div></div> 23.4%
3	Same	1646	<div><div></div></div> 58.0%
5	Less	528	<div><div></div></div> 18.6%
8	D.K.	73	
9	Refused	11	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# x11a: Support or Oppose Free Trade Agreement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2685 /-] [Invalid=924 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	And now a few questions about the Free Trade Agreement with the United States.		
Literal question	All things considered, do you support the agreement or do you oppose it?		
Post-question	If respondent responds "support", or "oppose", go to #11b. If respondent answers "neither support nor oppose", go to #11c. If respondent refuses to answer, go to 12a.		
Notes	#x11a matches #11a in the documentation. The three questions designed to measure support or opposition to Free Trade (11a, 11b, 11c) and the five agree/disagree statements about Free Trade (12a-12e) were randomized. Half of the sample were asked about free trade before they were read the agree/disagree statements and half were asked after they were read the agree/disagree statements.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	1404	<div><div></div></div> 52.3%
3	Neither	204	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
5	Oppose	1077	<div><div></div></div> 40.1%
8	D.K.	234	
9	Refused	3	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x11b: How Strongly Do You Support or Oppose Free Trade			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2472 /-] [Invalid=1137 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who support or oppose the Free Trade Agreement, as indicated in #11a.		
Literal question	How strongly do you [support/oppose] the agreement? Would you say VERY STRONGLY, SOMEWHAT STRONGLY or NOT VERY STRONGLY?		
Notes	#x11b matches #11b in the documentation. The three questions designed to measure support or opposition to Free Trade (11a, 11b, 11c) and the five agree/disagree statements about Free Trade (12a-12e) were randomized. Half of the sample were asked about free trade before they were read the agree/disagree statements and half were asked after they were read the agree/disagree statements.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Strongly	906	<div><div></div></div> 36.7%
3	Somewhat	1276	<div><div></div></div> 51.6%
5	Not Very Strongly	290	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
8	D.K.	9	
9	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		1128	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x11c: If You Had to Choose, Would You Support or Oppose Free Trade Agreement			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 /-] [Invalid=3309 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who neither support nor oppose the Free Trade Agreement, or answered "don't know" for #11a.		
Literal question	If you had to choose would you support or oppose the agreement?		
Notes	#x11c matches #11c in the documentation. The three questions designed to measure support or opposition to Free Trade (11a, 11b, 11c) and the five agree/disagree statements about Free Trade (12a-12e) were randomized. Half of the sample were asked about free trade before they were read the agree/disagree statements and half were asked after they were read the agree/disagree statements.		





### # x11c: If You Had to Choose, Would You Support or Oppose Free Trade Agreement

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Support	132	 44.0%
3	Neither	47	 15.7%
5	Oppose	121	 40.3%
8	D.K.	136	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		3171	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # x12a: The Free Trade Agreement is Necessary to Ensure Large Market





<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2411 /-] [Invalid=1198 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents
<b>Pre-question</b>	Here are five statements about the Free Trade Agreement. For each statement, please tell me if you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or if you DON'T REALLY KNOW?
<b>Literal question</b>	The agreement is necessary to make sure we have a large market for our products. Do you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?
<b>Notes</b>	#x12a matches #12a in the documentation. The three questions designed to measure support or opposition to Free Trade (11a, 11b, 11c) and the five agree/disagree statements about Free Trade (12a-12e) were randomized. Half the sample were asked about free trade before they were read the agree/disagree statements and half were asked after they were read the agree/disagree statements.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	430	 17.8%
2	Agree	1261	 52.3%
3	Disagree	587	 24.3%
4	Strongly Disagree	133	 5.5%
8	D.K.	506	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		687	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # x12b: The Free Trade Agreement Will Cause Canada to Lose the Ability to Control Key Industries

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2340 /-] [Invalid=1269 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents
<b>Literal question</b>	Under the agreement, Canada will lose its ability to control key industries, such as energy. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)
<b>Notes</b>	#x12b matches #12b in the documentation. The three questions designed to measure support or opposition to Free Trade (11a, 11b, 11c) and the five agree/disagree statements about Free Trade (12a-12e) were randomized. Half of the sample were asked about free trade before they were read the agree/disagree statements and half were asked after they were read the agree/disagree statements.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	223	 9.5%
2	Agree	801	 34.2%
3	Disagree	1096	 46.8%
4	Strongly Disagree	220	 9.4%
8	D.K.	577	
9	Refused	5	

# x12b: The Free Trade Agreement Will Cause Canada to Lose the Ability to Control Key Industries			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x12c: The Free Trade Agreement Will Threaten Social Programmes			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2402 /-] [Invalid=1207 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The agreement will threaten our social programmes, such as Medicare. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)		
Notes	#x12c matches #12c in the documentation. The three questions designed to measure support or opposition to Free Trade (11a, 11b, 11c) and the five agree/disagree statements about Free Trade (12a-12e) were randomized. Half of the sample were asked about free trade before they were read the agree/disagree statements and half were asked after they were read the agree/disagree statements.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	215	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
2	Agree	679	<div><div></div></div> 28.3%
3	Disagree	1165	<div><div></div></div> 48.5%
4	Strongly Disagree	343	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
8	D.K.	516	
9	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x12d: The Free Trade Agreement Will Defend Us Against American Protectionism			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1943 /-] [Invalid=1666 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	This agreement will defend us against AMERICAN PROTECTIONISM. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)		
Notes	#x12d matches #12d in the documentation. The three questions designed to measure support or opposition to Free Trade (11a, 11b, 11c) and the five agree/disagree statements about Free Trade (12a-12e) were randomized. Half of the sample were asked about free trade before they were read the agree/disagree statements and half were asked after they were read the agree/disagree statements.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Agree	102	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
2	Agree	803	<div><div></div></div> 41.3%
3	Disagree	904	<div><div></div></div> 46.5%
4	Strongly Disagree	134	<div><div></div></div> 6.9%
8	D.K.	973	
9	Refused	6	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x12e: Because of the Free Trade Agreement, Canadians Will Lose Their Jobs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2496 /-] [Invalid=1113 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# x12e: Because of the Free Trade Agreement, Canadians Will Lose Their Jobs			
<b>Literal question</b>	Because of this agreement, many Canadians will lose their jobs. (Do you STRONGLY AGREE, AGREE, DISAGREE, STRONGLY DISAGREE or DON'T YOU REALLY KNOW?)		
<b>Notes</b>	#x12e matches #12e in the documentation. The three questions designed to measure support or opposition to Free Trade (11a, 11b, 11c) and the five agree/disagree statements about Free Trade (12a-12e) were randomized. Half of the sample were asked about free trade before they were read the agree/disagree statements and half were asked after they were read the agree/disagree statements.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Strongly Agree	222	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
2	Agree	1162	<div><div></div></div> 46.6%
3	Disagree	984	<div><div></div></div> 39.4%
4	Strongly Disagree	128	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
8	D.K.	421	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		687	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# x13: The Conservative Government Were Given the Right to Implement the Free Trade Agreement			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2681 /-] [Invalid=928 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	As a result of this election, did the Canadian people give the Conservative government the right to implement the Free Trade Agreement?		
<b>Notes</b>	#x13 matches #13 in the documentation.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Yes	2004	<div><div></div></div> 74.7%
5	No	677	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
8	D.K.	239	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		687	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# x14: Federal Party Identification			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2783 /-] [Invalid=826 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	Thinking of federal politics, do you usually think of yourself as a Liberal, Conservative, N.D.P, or none of these?		
<b>Post-question</b>	If respondent selects a party, go to #15. If respondent answers "none", or "don't know", go to #16. All others go to #18.		
<b>Notes</b>	#x14 matches #14 in the documentation.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Liberal	718	<div><div></div></div> 25.8%
2	Conservative	911	<div><div></div></div> 32.7%
3	NDP	360	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
4	None	794	<div><div></div></div> 28.5%
8	D.K.	59	
9	Refused	80	
Sysmiss		687	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# x15: How Strongly Do You Feel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1982 /-] [Invalid=1627 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How strongly [Liberal/Conservative/N.D.P.] do you feel, very strongly, fairly strongly, or not very strongly?		
Post-question	If respondent refuses to answer, go to #18. All others go to #18.		
Notes	#x15 matches #15 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Strongly	479	<div></div> 24.2%
3	Fairly Strongly	1097	<div></div> 55.3%
5	Not Very Strongly	406	<div></div> 20.5%
8	D.K.	5	
9	Refused	2	
Sysmiss		1620	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x16: A Little Closer to One Federal Party			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=813 /-] [Invalid=2796 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who did not refuse to answer #15.		
Literal question	Do you generally think of yourself as being a little closer to one of the federal parties than to the others?		
Post-question	If respondent answers "yes", go to #17. All others go to #18.		
Notes	#x16 matches #16 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	365	<div></div> 44.9%
5	No	448	<div></div> 55.1%
8	D.K.	35	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		2756	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x17: Which Federal Party You Are Closer To			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=348 /-] [Invalid=3261 /-]		
Universe	Asked only to respondents who answered "yes" for #16.		
Literal question	Which party is that?		
Notes	#x17 matches #17 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Liberal	101	<div></div> 29.0%
2	Conservative	144	<div></div> 41.4%
3	NDP	98	<div></div> 28.2%
4	None	5	<div></div> 1.4%
8	D.K.	7	
9	Refused	10	
Sysmiss		3244	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# x18a: Mulroney> Promised to Spend More Than Canadians Can Afford			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2612 /-] [Invalid=997 /-]		
Universe	These questions about party leaders were skipped for those leaders that the Respondents claimed to know "nothing at all about" earlier (e1a - e1c) in the survey.		
Pre-question	According to some people the party leaders have promised to spend more than Canada can afford.		
Literal question	How much would you say this is true of Brian Mulroney? Would you say A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#x18a matches #18a in the documentation. The order in which the leaders were referred to were randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	907	<div></div> 34.7%
3	Somewhat	1462	<div></div> 56.0%
5	Not At All	243	<div></div> 9.3%
8	D.K.	180	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		812	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x19a: Turner> Promised to Spend More Than Canadians Can Afford			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2492 /-] [Invalid=1117 /-]		
Universe	These questions about party leaders were skipped for those leaders that the Respondents claimed to know "nothing at all about" earlier (e1a - e1c) in the survey.		
Literal question	(Party leaders have promised to spend more than Canada can afford.) How much would you say this is true of John Turner? Would you say A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#x19a matches #19a in the documentation. The order in which the leaders were referred to were randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	1264	<div></div> 50.7%
3	Somewhat	1083	<div></div> 43.5%
5	Not At All	145	<div></div> 5.8%
8	D.K.	212	
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		900	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# x110a: Broadbent> Promised to Spend More Than Canadians Can Afford			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2380 /-] [Invalid=1229 /-]		
Universe	These questions about party leaders were skipped for those leaders that the Respondents claimed to know "nothing at all about" earlier (e1a - e1c) in the survey.		
Literal question	(Party leaders have promised to spend more than Canada can afford.) How much would you say this is true of Ed Broadbent? Would you say A GREAT DEAL, SOMEWHAT, or NOT AT ALL?		
Notes	#x110a matches #110a in the documentation. The order in which the leaders were referred to were randomized.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A Great Deal	728	<div></div> 30.6%
3	Somewhat	1313	<div></div> 55.2%
5	Not At All	339	<div></div> 14.2%
8	D.K.	238	

# x110a: Broadbent> Promised to Spend More Than Canadians Can Afford			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Refused	5	
Sysmiss		986	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xm1: Name of Riding in Which Respondent Lives			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-] [Mean=242.889 /-] [StdDev=287.651 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Could you tell us the name of the riding you live in?		
Notes	#xm1 matches #m1 in the documentation.		
# xm2: Remember Name> Conservative Candidate in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you happen to remember the name of the Conservative candidate in your riding?		
Notes	#xm2 matches #m2 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2033	<div></div> 69.6%
5	No	889	<div></div> 30.4%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xm3: Remember Name> Liberal Candidate in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What about the Liberal candidate in your riding? (Do you happen to remember his or her name?)		
Notes	#xm3 matches #m3 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1595	<div></div> 54.6%
5	No	1327	<div></div> 45.4%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xm4: Remember Name> NDP Candidate in Riding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What about the N.D.P. candidate in your riding? (Do you happen to remember his or her name?)		
Notes	#xm4 matches #m4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1226	<div></div> 42.0%
5	No	1696	<div></div> 58.0%
Sysmiss		687	



# xm4: Remember Name> NDP Candidate in Riding			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xn1: Year of Birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1892-9999] [Missing=*/9998/9999]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2905 /-] [Invalid=704 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Before we finish the interview, I would like to get a little more information about your background.		
Literal question	First, in what year were you born? (ENTER EXACT YEAR e.g., 1937)		
Notes	#xn1 matches #n1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1892		1	0.0%
1898		1	0.0%
1900		1	0.0%
1902		1	0.0%
1903		7	0.2%
1904		2	0.1%
1905		7	0.2%
1906		4	0.1%
1907		6	0.2%
1908		9	0.3%
1909		4	0.1%
1910		8	0.3%
1911		12	0.4%
1912		14	0.5%
1913		15	0.5%
1914		21	0.7%
1915		21	0.7%
1916		28	1.0%
1917		16	0.6%
1918		19	0.7%
1919		24	0.8%
1920		29	1.0%
1921		25	0.9%
1922		30	1.0%
1923		28	1.0%
1924		38	1.3%
1925		36	1.2%
1926		36	1.2%
1927		40	1.4%
1928		36	1.2%
1929		40	1.4%
1930		38	1.3%
1931		32	1.1%
1932		33	1.1%
1933		30	1.0%
1934		45	1.5%

# xn1: Year of Birth			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1935		41	1.4%
1936		35	1.2%
1937		27	0.9%
1938		30	1.0%
1939		36	1.2%
1940		37	1.3%
1941		37	1.3%
1942		51	1.8%
1943		47	1.6%
1944		37	1.3%
1945		45	1.5%
1946		58	2.0%
1947		75	2.6%
1948		72	2.5%
1949		65	2.2%
1950		76	2.6%
1951		72	2.5%
1952		86	3.0%
1953		104	3.6%
1954		90	3.1%
1955		89	3.1%
1956		76	2.6%
1957		93	3.2%
1958		77	2.7%
1959		78	2.7%
1960		80	2.8%
1961		75	2.6%
1962		54	1.9%
1963		62	2.1%
1964		63	2.2%
1965		53	1.8%
1966		53	1.8%
1967		40	1.4%
1968		51	1.8%
1969		47	1.6%
1970		56	1.9%
9998	D.K.	1	
9999	Refused	16	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xn2: Country of Birth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2918 /-] [Invalid=691 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# xn2: Country of Birth			
<b>Literal question</b>		In what country were you born?	
<b>Notes</b>		#xn2 matches #n2 in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Other	54	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
1	Canada	2562	<div><div></div></div> 87.8%
2	British Isles	87	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
4	France	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
5	Germany	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
6	Greece	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
7	Holland	22	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
8	Hungary	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
9	Italy	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
10	Poland	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
11	Russia/ USSR	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
12	U.S.A.	35	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
13	Yugoslavia	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
14	Other European	17	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
15	India	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
16	China/Hong Kong	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
17	Other Asia	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
18	Latin America	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
19	Caribbean	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
20	Africa	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
21	Oceania	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
98	D.K.	0	
99	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		687	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xinteres: Interest of Questions			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2915 /-] [Invalid=694 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		In general, would you say the questions were very interesting, somewhat interesting or not very interesting?	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very Interesting	1349	<div><div></div></div> 46.3%
3	Somewhat	1389	<div><div></div></div> 47.7%
5	Not Very	177	<div><div></div></div> 6.1%
8	D.K.	6	
9	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		687	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# xlength: Number of Minutes it Took to Complete Questionnaire			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-99] [Missing=*/98/99]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2815 /-] [Invalid=794 /-]	

## # xlength: Number of Minutes it Took to Complete Questionnaire

<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	Finally, can you tell me how many minutes you think it took to complete this questionnaire?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		2	0.1%
4		3	0.1%
5		20	0.7%
6		3	0.1%
7		7	0.2%
8		16	0.6%
9		2	0.1%
10		259	9.2%
11		6	0.2%
12		77	2.7%
13		14	0.5%
14		20	0.7%
15		796	28.3%
16		16	0.6%
17		37	1.3%
18		40	1.4%
19		5	0.2%
20		768	27.3%
21		6	0.2%
22		22	0.8%
23		14	0.5%
24		8	0.3%
25		218	7.7%
26		1	0.0%
27		9	0.3%
28		4	0.1%
29		5	0.2%
30		300	10.7%
32		4	0.1%
33		1	0.0%
34		1	0.0%
35		35	1.2%
37		1	0.0%
38		1	0.0%
40		29	1.0%
42		1	0.0%
43		1	0.0%
45		38	1.3%
50		2	0.1%
60		19	0.7%
62		1	0.0%
75		1	0.0%
90		1	0.0%

# xlength: Number of Minutes it Took to Complete Questionnaire			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97		1	0.0%
98	D.K.	107	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xintlang: Language of Interview			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2922 /-] [Invalid=687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	English	2231	76.4%
2	French	691	23.6%
Sysmiss		687	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa4a: Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 1st			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2762 /-] [Invalid=847 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	During the campaign, what issues did the PARTY LEADERS talk about?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER ENTERS VERBATIM COMMENTS		
Notes	#xa4a matches #a4 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	10	0.4%
2	Budget	0	
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	8	0.3%
5	Defence/NATO	1	0.0%
6	Deficit	0	
7	Economy	1	0.0%
8	Jobs/Employment	7	0.3%
9	Energy Issues	0	
10	Environment	14	0.5%
11	Farmers Issues	0	
12	Free Trade	2673	96.8%
13	Health Care	3	0.1%
14	Housing	1	0.0%
15	Refugees/Immigration	1	0.0%
16	Inflation	0	
17	Leadership	5	0.2%
18	Meech Lake	16	0.6%
19	Nuclear Subs	1	0.0%
20	Official Lang Policy	2	0.1%
21	Patronage/Corruption	0	

# xa4a: Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 1st			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	Regional Development	1	0.0%
23	Senior Citizens	3	0.1%
24	Social Services	1	0.0%
25	Sovereignty	1	0.0%
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	1	0.0%
27	Tax Reform	1	0.0%
28	Unemployment	3	0.1%
29	Women's Issues	5	0.2%
30	Other	3	0.1%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	110	
99	Refused	1	
Sysmiss		736	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa4b: Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 2nd			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=988 /-] [Invalid=2621 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	140	14.2%
2	Budget	8	0.8%
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	122	12.3%
5	Defence/NATO	17	1.7%
6	Deficit	15	1.5%
7	Economy	13	1.3%
8	Jobs/Employment	11	1.1%
9	Energy Issues	2	0.2%
10	Environment	152	15.4%
11	Farmers Issues	10	1.0%
12	Free Trade	51	5.2%
13	Health Care	9	0.9%
14	Housing	7	0.7%
15	Refugees/Immigration	5	0.5%
16	Inflation	0	
17	Leadership	18	1.8%
18	Meech Lake	216	21.9%
19	Nuclear Subs	12	1.2%
20	Official Lang Policy	23	2.3%
21	Patronage/Corruption	1	0.1%
22	Regional Development	7	0.7%
23	Senior Citizens	31	3.1%
24	Social Services	26	2.6%
25	Sovereignty	8	0.8%
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	33	3.3%

# xa4b: Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 2nd			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27	Tax Reform	10	1.0%
28	Unemployment	3	0.3%
29	Women's Issues	22	2.2%
30	Other	16	1.6%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		2621	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa4c: Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 3rd			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=430 /-] [Invalid=3179 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	45	10.5%
2	Budget	3	0.7%
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	60	14.0%
5	Defence/NATO	10	2.3%
6	Deficit	8	1.9%
7	Economy	12	2.8%
8	Jobs/Employment	8	1.9%
9	Energy Issues	1	0.2%
10	Environment	58	13.5%
11	Farmers Issues	5	1.2%
12	Free Trade	11	2.6%
13	Health Care	11	2.6%
14	Housing	5	1.2%
15	Refugees/Immigration	6	1.4%
16	Inflation	0	
17	Leadership	7	1.6%
18	Meech Lake	54	12.6%
19	Nuclear Subs	10	2.3%
20	Official Lang Policy	16	3.7%
21	Patronage/Corruption	1	0.2%
22	Regional Development	6	1.4%
23	Senior Citizens	15	3.5%
24	Social Services	15	3.5%
25	Sovereignty	1	0.2%
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	20	4.7%
27	Tax Reform	14	3.3%
28	Unemployment	8	1.9%
29	Women's Issues	15	3.5%
30	Other	5	1.2%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0	

# xa4c: Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 3rd			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3179	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xa4d: Issues Party Leaders Talked About - 4th			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=118 /-] [Invalid=3491 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	10	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
2	Budget	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	14	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
5	Defence/NATO	4	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
6	Deficit	7	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
7	Economy	4	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
8	Jobs/Employment	6	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
9	Energy Issues	0	
10	Environment	6	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
11	Farmers Issues	0	
12	Free Trade	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
13	Health Care	3	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
14	Housing	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
15	Refugees/Immigration	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
16	Inflation	0	
17	Leadership	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
18	Meech Lake	8	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
19	Nuclear Subs	7	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
20	Official Lang Policy	4	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
21	Patronage/Corruption	0	
22	Regional Development	0	
23	Senior Citizens	5	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
24	Social Services	4	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
25	Sovereignty	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	7	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
27	Tax Reform	4	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
28	Unemployment	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
29	Women's Issues	11	<div><div></div></div> 9.3%
30	Other	4	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3491	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xf1a: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 1			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		



# xf1a: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 1			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2708 /-] [Invalid=901 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Now I'd like to talk about the issues in the campaign.		
Literal question	First, what was the most important issue in this campaign to YOU?		
Interviewer's instructions	INTERVIEWER ENTERS VERBATIM COMMENTS		
Notes	#xf1a matches #f1 in the documentation.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	73	2.7%
2	Budget	7	0.3%
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	25	0.9%
5	Defence/NATO	2	0.1%
6	Deficit	21	0.8%
7	Economy	11	0.4%
8	Jobs/Employment	15	0.6%
9	Energy Issues	0	
10	Environment	57	2.1%
11	Farmers Issues	6	0.2%
12	Free Trade	2300	84.9%
13	Health Care	2	0.1%
14	Housing	4	0.1%
15	Refugees/Immigration	5	0.2%
16	Inflation	0	
17	Leadership	12	0.4%
18	Meech Lake	19	0.7%
19	Nuclear Subs	1	0.0%
20	Official Lang Policy	17	0.6%
21	Patronage/Corruption	1	0.0%
22	Regional Development	12	0.4%
23	Senior Citizens	21	0.8%
24	Social Services	7	0.3%
25	Sovereignty	7	0.3%
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	24	0.9%
27	Tax Reform	15	0.6%
28	Unemployment	8	0.3%
29	Women's Issues	10	0.4%
30	Other	26	1.0%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	153	
99	Refused	4	
Sysmiss		744	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xf1b: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 2			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=105 /-] [Invalid=3504 /-]		

# xf1b: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 2				
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Abortion	19	<div></div>	18.1%
2	Budget	1	<div></div>	1.0%
3	Canadair-CF18	1	<div></div>	1.0%
4	Daycare	1	<div></div>	1.0%
5	Defence/NATO	1	<div></div>	1.0%
6	Deficit	10	<div></div>	9.5%
7	Economy	1	<div></div>	1.0%
8	Jobs/Employment	6	<div></div>	5.7%
9	Energy Issues	0		
10	Environment	5	<div></div>	4.8%
11	Farmers Issues	1	<div></div>	1.0%
12	Free Trade	17	<div></div>	16.2%
13	Health Care	2	<div></div>	1.9%
14	Housing	1	<div></div>	1.0%
15	Refugees/Immigration	0		
16	Inflation	0		
17	Leadership	2	<div></div>	1.9%
18	Meech Lake	9	<div></div>	8.6%
19	Nuclear Subs	0		
20	Official Lang Policy	4	<div></div>	3.8%
21	Patronage/Corruption	0		
22	Regional Development	1	<div></div>	1.0%
23	Senior Citizens	6	<div></div>	5.7%
24	Social Services	3	<div></div>	2.9%
25	Sovereignty	1	<div></div>	1.0%
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	5	<div></div>	4.8%
27	Tax Reform	0		
28	Unemployment	1	<div></div>	1.0%
29	Women's Issues	1	<div></div>	1.0%
30	Other	6	<div></div>	5.7%
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0		
99	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		3504		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# xf1c: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 3				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=16 /-] [Invalid=3593 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Abortion	2	<div></div>	12.5%
2	Budget	0		
3	Canadair-CF18	0		
4	Daycare	0		

# xf1c: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 3			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Defence/NATO	0	
6	Deficit	3	18.8%
7	Economy	0	
8	Jobs/Employment	2	12.5%
9	Energy Issues	0	
10	Environment	2	12.5%
11	Farmers Issues	0	
12	Free Trade	2	12.5%
13	Health Care	0	
14	Housing	0	
15	Refugees/Immigration	1	6.2%
16	Inflation	0	
17	Leadership	0	
18	Meech Lake	2	12.5%
19	Nuclear Subs	0	
20	Official Lang Policy	1	6.2%
21	Patronage/Corruption	0	
22	Regional Development	0	
23	Senior Citizens	0	
24	Social Services	0	
25	Sovereignty	0	
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	0	
27	Tax Reform	0	
28	Unemployment	1	6.2%
29	Women's Issues	0	
30	Other	0	
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0	
99	Refused	0	
Sysmiss		3593	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# xf1d: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 4			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/98/99]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3 /-] [Invalid=3606 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Abortion	1	33.3%
2	Budget	0	
3	Canadair-CF18	0	
4	Daycare	1	33.3%
5	Defence/NATO	0	
6	Deficit	0	
7	Economy	0	
8	Jobs/Employment	0	
9	Energy Issues	0	

# xf1d: Most Important Issue in the Campaign to You - 4				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
10	Environment	0	<div></div> 33.3%	
11	Farmers Issues	0		
12	Free Trade	1		
13	Health Care	0		
14	Housing	0		
15	Refugees/Immigration	0		
16	Inflation	0		
17	Leadership	0		
18	Meech Lake	0		
19	Nuclear Subs	0		
20	Official Lang Policy	0		
21	Patronage/Corruption	0		
22	Regional Development	0		
23	Senior Citizens	0		
24	Social Services	0		
25	Sovereignty	0		
26	Size of Govt/Taxes	0		
27	Tax Reform	0		
28	Unemployment	0		
29	Women's Issues	0		
30	Other	0		
98	D.K.,Not Sure	0		
99	Refused	0		
Sysmiss		3606		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# mailid: Mail Identification Number				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3629] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2115 /-] [Invalid=1494 /-] [Mean=1538.004 /-] [StdDev=1114.438 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
# zintlang: Language of Interview [Questionnaire]				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2115 /-] [Invalid=1494 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	English	1611	<div></div> 76.2%	
2	French	504	<div></div> 23.8%	
Sysmiss		1494		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# qa1: The Price Canadians Pay for Energy Should Be:				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1800 /-] [Invalid=1809 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			

# qa1: The Price Canadians Pay for Energy Should Be:			
<b>Literal question</b>		The price Canadians pay for energy should be: Left to the market, lower than in other countries to help make Canadian industries competitive, neither, undecided.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Left to Market	662	<div></div> 36.8%
2	Lower=Competitive	959	<div></div> 53.3%
3	Neither	179	<div></div> 9.9%
8	Undecided	293	
Sysmiss		1516	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qa2: Best Way to Prevent Energy Shortages Is:			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1939 /-] [Invalid=1670 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		The best way to prevent energy shortages is to: Cut down on our energy use, encourage the development of new oilfields and dams, neither, undecided.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cut Down Use	815	<div></div> 42.0%
2	New Dams,Oilfields	966	<div></div> 49.8%
3	Neither	158	<div></div> 8.1%
8	Undecided	122	
Sysmiss		1548	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qa3: Big Energy Projects [e.g.,Tar Sands] Are:			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1696 /-] [Invalid=1913 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		Big energy projects such as James Bay in Quebec or the Tar Sands in Alberta are: Basically a waste of money, vital strategic investments, neither, undecided.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Waste of Money	155	<div></div> 9.1%
2	Vital Investmnts	1347	<div></div> 79.4%
3	Neither	194	<div></div> 11.4%
8	Undecided	400	
Sysmiss		1513	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qa4: The Fact That Some Regions in Canada Are Poorer:			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2001 /-] [Invalid=1608 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		The fact that some regions in Canada are poorer than others: Should be accepted as the normal result of market forces, means that the government should give the incentives for firms to create jos in the poorer regions, neither, undecided.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Market Forces	363	<div></div> 18.1%
2	Give Incentives	1464	<div></div> 73.2%




# qa4: The Fact That Some Regions in Canada Are Poorer:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither	174	<div></div> 8.7%
8	Undecided	94	
Sysmiss		1514	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qa5: Farmers/Fishermen Who Cannot Make a Living Should:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1996 /-] [Invalid=1613 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Farmers or fishermen who cannot make a living should: Shift into another line of work just like any small business has to do, get government help because their skills and way of life are essential for Canada, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Shift Line Work	642	<div></div> 32.2%
2	Get Govt Help	1216	<div></div> 60.9%
3	Neither	138	<div></div> 6.9%
8	Undecided	93	
Sysmiss		1520	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qa6: Native Peoples Should be Able to Have a Large Amount of Self Government:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1882 /-] [Invalid=1727 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Native peoples should be able to have a large amount of self government: As long as their system of government conforms with the principles of Canadian democracy, no matter what system of government they adopt, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conforms Cdn	1392	<div></div> 74.0%
2	No Matter What	175	<div></div> 9.3%
3	Neither	315	<div></div> 16.7%
8	Undecided	217	
Sysmiss		1510	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qa7: French-Canadians Outside Quebec:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2026 /-] [Invalid=1583 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	French-Canadians outside Quebec: Have a right to educate their children in French wherever the number warrants it, should accept the fact that outside Quebec their children should be schooled in English, the language of daily life, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Educate in Fr	1212	<div></div> 59.8%
2	Schooled in Eng	702	<div></div> 34.6%
3	Neither	112	<div></div> 5.5%
8	Undecided	73	
Sysmiss		1510	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# qa8: English-Canadians Who Move to Quebec:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2027 /-] [Invalid=1582 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	English-Canadians who move to Quebec: Have a right to educate their children in English wherever the number warrants it, should accept the fact that in Quebec their children should be schooled in French, the language of daily life, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Educate in Eng	1413	<div><div></div></div> 69.7%
2	Schooled in Fr	496	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
3	Neither	118	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
8	Undecided	69	
Sysmiss		1513	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qa9: Business in Quebec Should:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2051 /-] [Invalid=1558 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	A business in Quebec should: Have the right to put whatever language it wants on the signs outside its building, accept that Quebec is basically French and put only French on the signs outside its building, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Whatever It Wants	1504	<div><div></div></div> 73.3%
2	Only French	335	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
3	Neither	212	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
8	Undecided	53	
Sysmiss		1505	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qa10: Should Adults be Prevented From Buying Pornographic Books and Movies:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1927 /-] [Invalid=1682 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Should adults be prevented from buying pornographic books and movies? Yes because pornography degrades women; no, because it is impossible to define what is pornographic; neither; undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Degrades Women	600	<div><div></div></div> 31.1%
2	No, Not Define	904	<div><div></div></div> 46.9%
3	Neither	423	<div><div></div></div> 22.0%
8	Undecided	174	
Sysmiss		1508	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qa11: As a General Rule, the Government Should:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1708 /-] [Invalid=1901 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# qa11: As a General Rule, the Government Should:			
<b>Literal question</b>		As a general rule, the government should: Reduce unemployment even at the risk of higher inflation, control inflation even at the risk of higher unemployment, neither, undecided.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Reduce Unemploy	589	<div></div> 34.5%
2	Control Inflatn	653	<div></div> 38.2%
3	Neither	466	<div></div> 27.3%
8	Undecided	377	
Sysmiss		1524	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# qa12: If the Only Hospital in a Region is Run by Roman Catholics Should it be Required to Provide Abortion Services?			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1907 /-] [Invalid=1702 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		If the only hospital in a region is run by Roman Catholics should be required to provide abortion services? Yes, because it would be unjust to deny women in this region the same rights that women enjoy elsewhere. No, because this would force the Catholic community to go against its deeply held beliefs.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes,Unjust Deny	904	<div></div> 47.4%
2	Against Beliefs	762	<div></div> 40.0%
3	Neither	241	<div></div> 12.6%
8	Undecided	195	
Sysmiss		1507	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# qa13: The Owner of an Ethnic Restaurant:			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2057 /-] [Invalid=1552 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		The owner of an ethnic, for example Greek or Chinese restaurant: Should be free to hire only members of his or her ethnic group, should have to hire anyone who is qualified, neither, other.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	His Ethnic Group	358	<div></div> 17.4%
2	Anyone Qualified	1594	<div></div> 77.5%
3	Neither	105	<div></div> 5.1%
8	Undecided	43	
Sysmiss		1509	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# qb1: Cut Deficit> Reduce Spending on Universities			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1903 /-] [Invalid=1706 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Pre-question</b>		Below are listed some ways in which governemnts could cut their deficits. Please indicate if you strongly approve, approve, disapprove, or strongly disapprove of each way by writing the number that best represents how you feel in the space provided to the right of each statement.	
<b>Literal question</b>		Recuding spending on universities.	




### # qb1: Cut Deficit> Reduce Spending on Universities

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	77	 4.0%
2	Approve	304	 16.0%
3	Disapprove	878	 46.1%
4	Strongly Disapprove	644	 33.8%
8	No Opinion	177	
Sysmiss		1529	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # qb2: Cut Deficit> Make it Harder to Get Unemployment Insurance

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2040 /-] [Invalid=1569 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents
<b>Literal question</b>	Make it harder to get unemployment insurance.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	410	 20.1%
2	Approve	725	 35.5%
3	Disapprove	611	 30.0%
4	Strongly Disapprove	294	 14.4%
8	No Opinion	57	
Sysmiss		1512	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# qb3: Cut Deficit> Allow Doctors to Bill Patients Directly			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2046 /-] [Invalid=1563 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Reduce government spending on medical care by allowing doctors to bill patients directly for part of the cost of their services.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	89	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
2	Approve	307	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
3	Disapprove	602	<div><div></div></div> 29.4%
4	Strongly Disapprove	1048	<div><div></div></div> 51.2%
8	No Opinion	49	
Sysmiss		1514	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb4: Cut Deficit> Allow Hospitals to Bill Patients Directly			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2045 /-] [Invalid=1564 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Reduce government spending on hospital care by allowing hospitals to bill patients directly for part of the cost of hospital care.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	66	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
2	Approve	224	<div><div></div></div> 11.0%
3	Disapprove	656	<div><div></div></div> 32.1%
4	Strongly Disapprove	1099	<div><div></div></div> 53.7%
8	No Opinion	48	
Sysmiss		1516	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb5: Cut Deficit> Make Family Allowance Payments Only to Low-Income Families			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2047 /-] [Invalid=1562 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Make Family Allowance payments only to low-income families.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	611	<div><div></div></div> 29.8%
2	Approve	789	<div><div></div></div> 38.5%
3	Disapprove	403	<div><div></div></div> 19.7%
4	Strongly Disapprove	244	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
8	No Opinion	44	
Sysmiss		1518	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb6: Cut Deficit> Reduce Welfare Payments			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1968 /-] [Invalid=1641 /-]		

# qb6: Cut Deficit> Reduce Welfare Payments			
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Reduce welfare payments.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	272	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
2	Approve	463	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
3	Disapprove	792	<div><div></div></div> 40.2%
4	Strongly Disapprove	441	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
8	No Opinion	115	
Sysmiss		1526	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb7: Cut Deficit> Allow the CBC to Accept More Advertising			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1720 /-] [Invalid=1889 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Allow the CBC to accept more advertising.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	371	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
2	Approve	821	<div><div></div></div> 47.7%
3	Disapprove	325	<div><div></div></div> 18.9%
4	Strongly Disapprove	203	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
8	No Opinion	377	
Sysmiss		1512	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb8: Cut Deficit> Reduce the Amount Granted to CBC			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1675 /-] [Invalid=1934 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Reduce the amount of money granted by Parliament to the CBC.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	344	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
2	Approve	682	<div><div></div></div> 40.7%
3	Disapprove	476	<div><div></div></div> 28.4%
4	Strongly Disapprove	173	<div><div></div></div> 10.3%
8	No Opinion	420	
Sysmiss		1514	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb9: Cut Deficit> Reduce Spending on the Arts			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1876 /-] [Invalid=1733 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Reduce spending on the arts and on cultural activities.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	161	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%

# qb9: Cut Deficit> Reduce Spending on the Arts			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Approve	525	<div></div> 28.0%
3	Disapprove	845	<div></div> 45.0%
4	Strongly Disapprove	345	<div></div> 18.4%
8	No Opinion	221	
Sysmiss		1512	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb10: Cut Deficit> Sell Canadian National Railways to Private Investors			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1746 /-] [Invalid=1863 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Sell Canadian National Railways to private investors.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	325	<div></div> 18.6%
2	Approve	647	<div></div> 37.1%
3	Disapprove	475	<div></div> 27.2%
4	Strongly Disapprove	299	<div></div> 17.1%
8	No Opinion	352	
Sysmiss		1511	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb11: Cut Deficit> Sell Petro-Canada to Private Investors			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1784 /-] [Invalid=1825 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	See Petro-Canada to private investors.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	350	<div></div> 19.6%
2	Approve	654	<div></div> 36.7%
3	Disapprove	482	<div></div> 27.0%
4	Strongly Disapprove	298	<div></div> 16.7%
8	No Opinion	306	
Sysmiss		1519	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb12: Cut Deficit> Reduce the Defence Budget			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1943 /-] [Invalid=1666 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Reduce the defence budget.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	479	<div></div> 24.7%
2	Approve	606	<div></div> 31.2%
3	Disapprove	601	<div></div> 30.9%
4	Strongly Disapprove	257	<div></div> 13.2%

# qb12: Cut Deficit> Reduce the Defence Budget			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	No Opinion	156	
Sysmiss		1510	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb13: Cut Deficit> Reduce Farmers' Subsidies			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1967 /-] [Invalid=1642 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Reduce subsidies to farmers.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	120	<div></div> 6.1%
2	Approve	368	<div></div> 18.7%
3	Disapprove	963	<div></div> 49.0%
4	Strongly Disapprove	516	<div></div> 26.2%
8	No Opinion	125	
Sysmiss		1517	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb14: Cut Deficit> Reduce Regional Subsidies to Industries			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1850 /-] [Invalid=1759 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Reduce regional development subsidies to industries.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	126	<div></div> 6.8%
2	Approve	494	<div></div> 26.7%
3	Disapprove	943	<div></div> 51.0%
4	Strongly Disapprove	287	<div></div> 15.5%
8	No Opinion	235	
Sysmiss		1524	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb15: Cut Deficit> Increase Sales Tax			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2040 /-] [Invalid=1569 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Increase taxes on consumption, such as the sales tax.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	62	<div></div> 3.0%
2	Approve	223	<div></div> 10.9%
3	Disapprove	784	<div></div> 38.4%
4	Strongly Disapprove	971	<div></div> 47.6%
8	No Opinion	55	
Sysmiss		1514	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# qb16: Cut Deficit> Increase the Rate of Personal Tax			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2012 /-] [Invalid=1597 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Increase the rate at which personal income is taxed.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	44	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2	Approve	151	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
3	Disapprove	752	<div><div></div></div> 37.4%
4	Strongly Disapprove	1065	<div><div></div></div> 52.9%
8	No Opinion	83	
Sysmiss		1514	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qb17: Cut Deficit> Increase the Rate of Corporate Tax			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1924 /-] [Invalid=1685 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Increase the rate at which corporate income is taxed.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly Approve	688	<div><div></div></div> 35.8%
2	Approve	779	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
3	Disapprove	288	<div><div></div></div> 15.0%
4	Strongly Disapprove	169	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
8	No Opinion	168	
Sysmiss		1517	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qc1: When it Comes to Making Decisions in Industry:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1956 /-] [Invalid=1653 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	In this section we are interested in how you feel about how our economy works. Check the answer that completed the sentence in a way that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	When it comes to making decisions in industry: Workers should have more say than they do now, the important decisions should be left to management, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Workers More Say	1013	<div><div></div></div> 51.8%
2	Left To Management	786	<div><div></div></div> 40.2%
3	Neither	157	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
8	Undecided	127	
Sysmiss		1526	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qc2: The Profit System:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1844 /-] [Invalid=1765 /-]		









<b># qc2: The Profit System:</b>			
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		The profit system: Teaches people the value of hard work and success, brings out the worst in human nature, neither, undecided.	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Value Hard Work	1354	73.4%
2	Worst Human Nature	208	11.3%
3	Neither	282	15.3%
8	Undecided	233	
Sysmiss		1532	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># qc3: If the System of Private Enterprise Were Abolished:</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1809 /-] [Invalid=1800 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		If the system of private enterprise were abolished: Most people would work hard anyway, very few people would do their best, neither, undecided.	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Work Hard Anyway	374	20.7%
2	Very Few Do Best	1119	61.9%
3	Neither	316	17.5%
8	Undecided	283	
Sysmiss		1517	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># qc4: Unskilled Workers Receive Wages That Are:</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1941 /-] [Invalid=1668 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		Unskilled workers (such as janitors, dishwashers, and so on) receive wages that are: About right, considering the amount of skill required, much too low for the dirty work they do, neither, undecided.	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	About Right	800	41.2%
2	Much Too Low	925	47.7%
3	Neither	216	11.1%
8	Undecided	162	
Sysmiss		1506	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># qc5: Workers and Management:</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=1948 /-] [Invalid=1661 /-]	
<b>Universe</b>		All respondents	
<b>Literal question</b>		Workers and management: Have conflicting interests and are natural enemies, share the same interests in the long run, neither, undecided.	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Natural Enemies	270	13.9%

# qc5: Workers and Management:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Same Interests	1437	<div><div></div></div> 73.8%
3	Neither	241	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
8	Undecided	145	
Sysmiss		1516	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qc6: Getting Ahead in the World is Mostly a Matter Of:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1968 /-] [Invalid=1641 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Getting ahead in the world is mostly matter of: Ability and hard work, getting the breaks, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ability,Hard Wrk	1529	<div><div></div></div> 77.7%
2	Getting Breaks	274	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
3	Neither	165	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
8	Undecided	68	
Sysmiss		1573	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qc7: A Person's Wage Should Depend On:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1974 /-] [Invalid=1635 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	A person's wage should depend on: How much he or she needs to live decently, the importance of the job, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Need Live Decent	411	<div><div></div></div> 20.8%
2	Importance>Job	1033	<div><div></div></div> 52.3%
3	Neither	530	<div><div></div></div> 26.8%
8	Undecided	100	
Sysmiss		1535	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qc8: When People Fail at One Thing After Another it Usually Means:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1853 /-] [Invalid=1756 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	When people fail at one thing after another it usually means: They are lazy and lack self-discipline, they weren't given a good enough chance to begin with, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Lazy,Lack Self-Disc.	583	<div><div></div></div> 31.5%
2	Not Given Chance	381	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
3	Neither	889	<div><div></div></div> 48.0%
8	Undecided	236	
Sysmiss		1520	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			



<b># qc9: Most Business Executives:</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2095 /-] [Invalid=1514 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	Most business executives: Do important work and deserve high salaries, receive more income than they deserve, neither, undecided.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Deserve High Salary	613	29.3%
2	Receive More Deserve	1012	48.3%
3	Neither	273	13.0%
8	Undecided	197	9.4%
Sysmiss		1514	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># qc10: Strikes to Improve Wages and Working Conditions:</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1946 /-] [Invalid=1663 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	The use of strikes to improve wages and working conditions: Is almost never justified, is often necessary, neither, undecided.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Never Justified	680	34.9%
2	Often Necessary	944	48.5%
3	Neither	322	16.5%
8	Undecided	153	
Sysmiss		1510	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># qc11: When Businesses are Allowed to Make as Much Money as They Can:</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1848 /-] [Invalid=1761 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	When businesses are allowed to make as much money as they can: Everyone profits in the long run, workers and the poor are bound to get less, neither, undecided.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Everyone Profits	736	39.8%
2	Workers Get Less	719	38.9%
3	Neither	393	21.3%
8	Undecided	247	
Sysmiss		1514	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># qc12: Land and Natural Resources in This Country Should Be:</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1820 /-] [Invalid=1789 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	The land and natural resources in this country should be: Turned over to private owners, left in the hands of the Crown, neither, undecided.		

# qc12: Land and Natural Resources in This Country Should Be:				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Private Owners	260	<div></div>	14.3%
2	Hands of Crown	1295	<div></div>	71.2%
3	Neither	265	<div></div>	14.6%
8	Undecided	272		
Sysmiss		1517		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# qc13: Government Regulation of Business:				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1774 /-] [Invalid=1835 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Government regulation of business: Usually does more harm than good, is necessary to keep industry from becoming too powerful, neither, undecided.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Does More Harm	477	<div></div>	26.9%
2	Is Necessary	1017	<div></div>	57.3%
3	Neither	280	<div></div>	15.8%
8	Undecided	320		
Sysmiss		1515		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# qc14: Working People in This Country:				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1892 /-] [Invalid=1717 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	Working people in this country: Do not get a fair share of what they produce, usually earn what they deserve, neither, undecided.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Not Fair Share	827	<div></div>	43.7%
2	What Deserve	748	<div></div>	39.5%
3	Neither	317	<div></div>	16.8%
8	Undecided	203		
Sysmiss		1514		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# qc15: People Do Not Work Hard on a Job Because:				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1915 /-] [Invalid=1694 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	When people don't work hard on a job it's usually because: They just don't care about doing an honest day's work, their job is dull, unpleasant, or unimportant, neither, undecided.			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Do Not Care>Work	713	<div></div>	37.2%
2	The Job Is Dull	801	<div></div>	41.8%
3	Neither	401	<div></div>	20.9%
8	Undecided	166		

# qc15: People Do Not Work Hard on a Job Because:			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Sysmiss		1528	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# qc16: Taxes> Corporations and Wealthy People:			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1959 /-] [Invalid=1650 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	When it comes to taxes, corporations and wealthy people: Don't pay their fair share, pay their fair share and more, neither, undecided.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Not Pay Fair	1640	 83.7%
2	Pay Fair Share +	200	 10.2%
3	Neither	119	 6.1%
8	Undecided	137	
Sysmiss		1513	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# qc17: Competition> School, Work or Business:			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1970 /-] [Invalid=1639 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	Competition, whether in school, work, or business, leads to better performance and a desire for excellence, is often wasteful and destructive, neither, undecided.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Leads to Excellence	1561	 79.2%
2	Often Wasteful	286	 14.5%
3	Neither	123	 6.2%
8	Undecided	126	
Sysmiss		1513	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# qd1: The Bible is the Actual Word of God and is to Be Taken Literally			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1675 /-] [Invalid=1934 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Pre-question</b>	Express your personal opinion by MAINLY AGREEING or MAINLY DISAGREEING with each statement. Indicate your opinion by placing the appropriate number in the space provided to the right of each statement.		
<b>Literal question</b>	The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally word for word.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Mainly Agree	704	 42.0%
2	Mainly Disagree	971	 58.0%
8	No Opinion	415	
Sysmiss		1519	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# qd2: All Are Created Equal but Some Are Better Than Others			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		

<b># qd2: All Are Created Equal but Some Are Better Than Others</b>			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1913 /-] [Invalid=1696 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	We have to teach children that all men are created equal, but almost everyone knows that some are better than others.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Mainly Agree	949	<div><div></div></div> 49.6%
2	Mainly Disagree	964	<div><div></div></div> 50.4%
8	No Opinion	172	
Sysmiss		1524	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># qd3: We Must Ensure an Independent Canada Even if it Means a Lower Standard of Living</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1818 /-] [Invalid=1791 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	We must ensure an independent Canada even if that were to mean a lower standard of living for Canadians.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Mainly Agree	1082	<div><div></div></div> 59.5%
2	Mainly Disagree	736	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
8	No Opinion	269	
Sysmiss		1522	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># qd4: Public Money Should Not be Used to Support Religious Schools</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1747 /-] [Invalid=1862 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	Public money should not be used to support any religious schools.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Mainly Agree	927	<div><div></div></div> 53.1%
2	Mainly Disagree	820	<div><div></div></div> 46.9%
8	No Opinion	346	
Sysmiss		1516	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># qd5: It is the Duty of the Strong to Protect the Weak</b>			
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1847 /-] [Invalid=1762 /-]		
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents		
<b>Literal question</b>	It is the duty of the strong to protect the weak.		
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Mainly Agree	1606	<div><div></div></div> 87.0%
2	Mainly Disagree	241	<div><div></div></div> 13.0%
8	No Opinion	242	
Sysmiss		1520	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# qd6: Canada Will Always Have a Special Relationship with Britain			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1717 /-] [Invalid=1892 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Canada will always have a special relationship with Britain		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	1321	<div></div> 76.9%
2	Mainly Disagree	396	<div></div> 23.1%
8	No Opinion	372	
Sysmiss		1520	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qd7: Where Religious Schools Have Gotten Public Funds for Years They Should Continue to Get Them			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1713 /-] [Invalid=1896 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Where religious schools have gotten public funds for years they should continue to get them.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	821	<div></div> 47.9%
2	Mainly Disagree	892	<div></div> 52.1%
8	No Opinion	384	
Sysmiss		1512	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qd8: Socialism Would be Better Than What We Have Now			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1520 /-] [Invalid=2089 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Some form of socialism would certainly be better than the system we have now.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	319	<div></div> 21.0%
2	Mainly Disagree	1201	<div></div> 79.0%
8	No Opinion	553	
Sysmiss		1536	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qe1: Everyone Would be Better Off if More Women:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1933 /-] [Invalid=1676 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	In this section we are interested in your feelings about how Canadian society has changed and about the things you value from our past. Check the answer that completed the sentence in a way that best reflects your opinion.		
Literal question	Everyone would be better off if more women: Were satisfied to stay home and have families, were encouraged to have careers of their own, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Stay Home,Family	539	<div></div> 27.9%
2	Careers of Own	836	<div></div> 43.2%

# qe1: Everyone Would be Better Off if More Women:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Neither	558	<div></div> 28.9%
8	Undecided	160	
Sysmiss		1516	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qe2: People Who Are Always Trying to Reform Things are Usually:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1860 /-] [Invalid=1749 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	People who are always trying to reform things are usually: People who really care about other people, busybodies who do more harm than good, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Really Care	833	<div></div> 44.8%
2	Busybodies=Harm	578	<div></div> 31.1%
3	Neither	449	<div></div> 24.1%
8	Undecided	237	
Sysmiss		1512	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qe3: Sweeping Reforms in a Complicated Society:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1735 /-] [Invalid=1874 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Trying to make sweeping reforms in a society as complicated as ours is usually: Worth trying, despite the risk, much too risky, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Worth Trying	1129	<div></div> 65.1%
2	Much Too Risky	336	<div></div> 19.4%
3	Neither	270	<div></div> 15.6%
8	Undecided	367	
Sysmiss		1507	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qe4: In Our Society Today, Too Much Emphasis is Placed On:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1707 /-] [Invalid=1902 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In our society today, to much emphasis is placed on: Conformity and obedience to the community, individual freedom at the expense of the community's interest, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Conformity>Community	352	<div></div> 20.6%
2	Individual Freedom	880	<div></div> 51.6%
3	Neither	475	<div></div> 27.8%
8	Undecided	382	
Sysmiss		1520	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# qe5: Before All Else, Our Institutions Should:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1932 /-] [Invalid=1677 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Before all else, our institutions should: Make it possible for individuals to realise their full potential, restrain our selfish instincts, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Indiv=Full Potential	1709	<div><div></div></div> 88.5%
2	Restrain Selfish	124	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
3	Neither	99	<div><div></div></div> 5.1%
8	Undecided	156	
Sysmiss		1521	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qe6: Which is Closer to Your Own Opinion:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1833 /-] [Invalid=1776 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which is closer to your own opinion: It is important to keep the monarchy as a link with our past, the monarchy has no place in the Canada of today, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Keep Monarchy	897	<div><div></div></div> 48.9%
2	Monarchy No Place	721	<div><div></div></div> 39.3%
3	Neither	215	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
8	Undecided	259	
Sysmiss		1517	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qe7: When You Get Right Down to it, Canada Is:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2060 /-] [Invalid=1549 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	When you get right down to it, Canada is: A Christian society, a country of many religions and faiths, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Christian Society	336	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
2	Many Faiths	1661	<div><div></div></div> 80.6%
3	Neither	63	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
8	Undecided	39	
Sysmiss		1510	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qe8: In Society Today, Too Little Emphasis is Placed On:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1823 /-] [Invalid=1786 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In society today, too little emphasis is placed on: Respect for established institutions, the rights of the individual, neither, undecided.		

# qe8: In Society Today, Too Little Emphasis is Placed On:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Respect Institutions	501	<div></div> 27.5%
2	Rights of Individual	860	<div></div> 47.2%
3	Neither	462	<div></div> 25.3%
8	Undecided	256	
Sysmiss		1530	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# qe9: Ordinances> Equal Rights to Homosexuals:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1889 /-] [Invalid=1720 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	For the most part, local ordinances that guarantee equal rights to homosexuals in such matters such as jobs and housing: Damage Canadian moral standards, uphold the Canadian idea of human rights for all, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Damage Cdn Moral	461	<div></div> 24.4%
2	Human Rights>All	1291	<div></div> 68.3%
3	Neither	137	<div></div> 7.3%
8	Undecided	213	
Sysmiss		1507	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# qe10: Your Own View> Deciding What Should Be Published			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1961 /-] [Invalid=1648 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Which of these comes closer to your own view? The government has no right to decide what should or should not be published, to protect its moral values, a society sometimes has to forbid certain things from being published, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Gov Not Decide	544	<div></div> 27.7%
2	Society Forbid	1296	<div></div> 66.1%
3	Neither	121	<div></div> 6.2%
8	Undecided	141	
Sysmiss		1507	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			



# qe11: A Woman Makes Less Than a Man for the Same Work:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2050 /-] [Invalid=1559 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If a woman makes less money than a man for the same work, this is most likely: Because she is just trying to supplement the family income, the result of discrimination, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Supplemnt Income	161	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
2	Discrimination	1737	<div><div></div></div> 84.7%
3	Neither	152	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
8	Undecided	49	
Sysmiss		1510	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qe12: A Person Who Holds Position of Great Responsibility:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2051 /-] [Invalid=1558 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	A person who holds a position of great responsibility, such as a doctor, judge, or elected official: Should be treated the same as anyone else, is entitled to be treated with special respect, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Treated Same Anyone	1445	<div><div></div></div> 70.5%
2	Special Respect	518	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
3	Neither	88	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
8	Undecided	47	
Sysmiss		1511	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qe13: If a Provincial Law Conflicts With the Charter of Rights, Who Should Have the Final Say:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1720 /-] [Invalid=1889 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	If the courts say that a provincial law conflicts with the Charter of Rights, who should have the final say? The Courts because they are in the best position to decide what is just and unjust, the provincial legislature because they are the representatives of the people, neither, undecided.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Courts=Best Position	964	<div><div></div></div> 56.0%
2	Legislature=People	618	<div><div></div></div> 35.9%
3	Neither	138	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
8	Undecided	377	
Sysmiss		1512	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qf1: Capital Punishment is Never Justified			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1927 /-] [Invalid=1682 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		

# qf1: Capital Punishment is Never Justified			
Pre-question	Express your opinion by MAINLY AGREEING or MAINLY DISAGREEING with each statement. Indicate your opinion by placing the appropriate number in the space provided to the right of each statement.		
Literal question	Capital punishment is never justified, no matter what the crime.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	627	32.5%
2	Mainly Disagree	1300	67.5%
8	No Opinion	160	
Sysmiss		1522	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qf2: New Immigrants Make Canada a Better Place			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1657 /-] [Invalid=1952 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	New immigrants are making Canada a better place to live.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	775	46.8%
2	Mainly Disagree	882	53.2%
8	No Opinion	427	
Sysmiss		1525	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qf3: A Person Who Does Not Want to Join a Union Should Still Pay Dues			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1946 /-] [Invalid=1663 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	A person who does not want to join a union should still pay dues to support the union in his or her workplace.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	610	31.3%
2	Mainly Disagree	1336	68.7%
8	No Opinion	146	
Sysmiss		1517	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qf4: Religion is an Important Part of My Life			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1849 /-] [Invalid=1760 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Religion is an important part of my life.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	1286	69.6%
2	Mainly Disagree	563	30.4%
8	No Opinion	242	
Sysmiss		1518	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# qf5: It Would Be Best for Native People to be Completely Assimilated into Canadian Society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1720 /-] [Invalid=1889 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	In the long run, it would be best for native people to be completely assimilated into Canadian society.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	1001	<div></div> 58.2%
2	Mainly Disagree	719	<div></div> 41.8%
8	No Opinion	370	
Sysmiss		1519	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qf6: Canada Has Two Founding Peoples> British and French			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1883 /-] [Invalid=1726 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	For all the changes in Canadian society we should not lose sight of the fact that Canada has two founding peoples, British and French.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	1310	<div></div> 69.6%
2	Mainly Disagree	573	<div></div> 30.4%
8	No Opinion	206	
Sysmiss		1520	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qf7: Public Money for Schools Should Be Available to Any Religious Group That Wants It			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1851 /-] [Invalid=1758 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Public money for schools should be available to any religious groups that want it.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	424	<div></div> 22.9%
2	Mainly Disagree	1427	<div></div> 77.1%
8	No Opinion	242	
Sysmiss		1516	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qf8: Canada Needs More US Investment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1643 /-] [Invalid=1966 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Canada needs more US investment.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	679	<div></div> 41.3%
2	Mainly Disagree	964	<div></div> 58.7%
8	No Opinion	445	
Sysmiss		1521	

# qf8: Canada Needs More US Investment			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qf9: Protecting the Environment is More Important Than Creating Jobs			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1782 /-] [Invalid=1827 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Protecting the environment is more important than creating jobs.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	1366	76.7%
2	Mainly Disagree	416	23.3%
8	No Opinion	302	
Sysmiss		1525	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qf10: Canada Should Encourage Immigration from Countries Most Like Us			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1637 /-] [Invalid=1972 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Canada should try harder to encourage immigration from countries most like us, such as those in Europe.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	792	48.4%
2	Mainly Disagree	845	51.6%
8	No Opinion	449	
Sysmiss		1523	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qf11: No Amount of Money Would Get Me to Move to the United States			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1822 /-] [Invalid=1787 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	No amount of money would get me to move to the United States.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mainly Agree	1013	55.6%
2	Mainly Disagree	809	44.4%
8	No Opinion	272	
Sysmiss		1515	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qg1: Do You Think That People Running the Government:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1858 /-] [Invalid=1751 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	In this section we present different ideas people have about the government in Ottawa. We are interested in how you feel about them. These opinions don't refer to any political party in particular, but just to the government in general. Please check the answer that best fits how you feel.		
Literal question	Do you think that: Quite a few of the people running the government are a little crooked? Not very many are crooked? Hardly any of them are crooked?		

# qq1: Do You Think That People Running the Government:			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quite Few Crooked	976	<div><div></div></div> 52.5%
2	Not Many Crooked	663	<div><div></div></div> 35.7%
3	Hardly Any	219	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
8	D.K.	242	
Sysmiss		1509	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qq2: Do You Think That People in the Government:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2053 /-] [Invalid=1556 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you think that people in the government: Waste a lot of the money we pay in taxes? Waste some of it? Don't waste very much of it?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Waste Tax Money	1371	<div><div></div></div> 66.8%
2	Waste Some Of It	654	<div><div></div></div> 31.9%
3	Not Waste Much	28	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
8	D.K.	50	
Sysmiss		1506	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qq3: How Much of the Time Can You Trust the Government in Ottawa to Do What is Right:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1999 /-] [Invalid=1610 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	How much of the time do you think you can trust the people in the government in Ottawa to do what is right: Just about always, most of the time, only some of the time.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	About Always	66	<div><div></div></div> 3.3%
2	Most Of The Time	951	<div><div></div></div> 47.6%
3	Only Sometime	982	<div><div></div></div> 49.1%
8	D.K.	100	
Sysmiss		1510	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qq4: Do You Feel That People Running the Government:			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1872 /-] [Invalid=1737 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Do you feel that: Almost all of the people running the government are smart people who usually know that they are doing? Quite a few of them don't seem to know what they are doing?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Smart People	666	<div><div></div></div> 35.6%
2	Not Know What Doing	1206	<div><div></div></div> 64.4%
8	D.K.	222	
Sysmiss		1515	

# qg4: Do You Feel That People Running the Government:			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qh1: Goal> Maintaining a High Rate of Economic Growth			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2046 /-] [Invalid=1563 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Pre-question	Below is a list of some goals which are frequently thought of as being important to society. For you personally, how would you rank these goals? Place a 1 next to the most important goal, place a 2 next to the second most important, a 3 next to the third most important, and so on down to the 12 for the least important goal.		
Literal question	Maintaining a high rate of economic growth.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Important	615	30.1%
2		260	12.7%
3		186	9.1%
4		135	6.6%
5		121	5.9%
6		124	6.1%
7		80	3.9%
8		95	4.6%
9		87	4.3%
10		104	5.1%
11		158	7.7%
12	Least Important	81	4.0%
Sysmiss		1563	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qh2: Goal> Making Sure This Country Has Strong Defence Forces			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2048 /-] [Invalid=1561 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Making sure that this country has strong defence forces.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Important	192	9.4%
2		146	7.1%
3		164	8.0%
4		71	3.5%
5		124	6.1%
6		145	7.1%
7		104	5.1%
8		120	5.9%
9		88	4.3%
10		139	6.8%
11		176	8.6%
12	Least Important	579	28.3%
Sysmiss		1561	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# qh3: Goal> Seeing That People Have More Say in How Things Get Decided at Work and in Their Communities			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2046 /-] [Invalid=1563 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Seeing that people have more of a say in how things get decided at work and in their communities.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Important	275	<div></div> 13.4%
2		234	<div></div> 11.4%
3		187	<div></div> 9.1%
4		156	<div></div> 7.6%
5		161	<div></div> 7.9%
6		138	<div></div> 6.7%
7		167	<div></div> 8.2%
8		177	<div></div> 8.7%
9		169	<div></div> 8.3%
10		181	<div></div> 8.8%
11		131	<div></div> 6.4%
12	Least Important	70	<div></div> 3.4%
Sysmiss		1563	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qh4: Goal> Making Our Cities and Countryside More Beautiful			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2039 /-] [Invalid=1570 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Trying to make our cities and countryside more beautiful.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Important	287	<div></div> 14.1%
2		157	<div></div> 7.7%
3		126	<div></div> 6.2%
4		110	<div></div> 5.4%
5		102	<div></div> 5.0%
6		130	<div></div> 6.4%
7		114	<div></div> 5.6%
8		128	<div></div> 6.3%
9		172	<div></div> 8.4%
10		203	<div></div> 10.0%
11		230	<div></div> 11.3%
12	Least Important	280	<div></div> 13.7%
Sysmiss		1570	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qh5: Goal> Maintaining Order in the Nation			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2050 /-] [Invalid=1559 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Maintaining order in the nation.		

# qh5: Goal> Maintaining Order in the Nation				
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
1		Most Important	570	<div></div> 27.8%
2			218	<div></div> 10.6%
3			209	<div></div> 10.2%
4			168	<div></div> 8.2%
5			147	<div></div> 7.2%
6			152	<div></div> 7.4%
7			141	<div></div> 6.9%
8			116	<div></div> 5.7%
9			117	<div></div> 5.7%
10			106	<div></div> 5.2%
11			67	<div></div> 3.3%
12		Least Important	39	<div></div> 1.9%
Sysmiss			1559	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# qh6: Goal> Giving People More Say in Government Decisions				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2042 /-] [Invalid=1567 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Giving people more say in government decisions.		
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
1		Most Important	340	<div></div> 16.7%
2			210	<div></div> 10.3%
3			212	<div></div> 10.4%
4			169	<div></div> 8.3%
5			177	<div></div> 8.7%
6			176	<div></div> 8.6%
7			152	<div></div> 7.4%
8			153	<div></div> 7.5%
9			136	<div></div> 6.7%
10			145	<div></div> 7.1%
11			106	<div></div> 5.2%
12		Least Important	66	<div></div> 3.2%
Sysmiss			1567	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# qh7: Goal> Fighting Rising Prices				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2050 /-] [Invalid=1559 /-]		
Universe		All respondents		
Literal question		Fighting rising prices.		
Value		Label	Cases	Percentage
1		Most Important	421	<div></div> 20.5%
2			225	<div></div> 11.0%
3			228	<div></div> 11.1%



# qh7: Goal> Fighting Rising Prices			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		176	<div></div> 8.6%
5		190	<div></div> 9.3%
6		142	<div></div> 6.9%
7		158	<div></div> 7.7%
8		151	<div></div> 7.4%
9		135	<div></div> 6.6%
10		110	<div></div> 5.4%
11		65	<div></div> 3.2%
12	Least Important	49	<div></div> 2.4%
Sysmiss		1559	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qh8: Goal> Protecting Freedom of Speech			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2048 /-] [Invalid=1561 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Protecting freedom of speech.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Important	519	<div></div> 25.3%
2		217	<div></div> 10.6%
3		219	<div></div> 10.7%
4		175	<div></div> 8.5%
5		151	<div></div> 7.4%
6		146	<div></div> 7.1%
7		148	<div></div> 7.2%
8		125	<div></div> 6.1%
9		137	<div></div> 6.7%
10		101	<div></div> 4.9%
11		69	<div></div> 3.4%
12	Least Important	41	<div></div> 2.0%
Sysmiss		1561	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qh9: Goal> Maintaining a Stable Economy			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2059 /-] [Invalid=1550 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Maintaining a stable economy.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Important	667	<div></div> 32.4%
2		358	<div></div> 17.4%
3		239	<div></div> 11.6%
4		184	<div></div> 8.9%
5		134	<div></div> 6.5%
6		123	<div></div> 6.0%

# qh9: Goal> Maintaining a Stable Economy			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		95	<div></div> 4.6%
8		96	<div></div> 4.7%
9		75	<div></div> 3.6%
10		53	<div></div> 2.6%
11		23	<div></div> 1.1%
12	Least Important	12	<div></div> 0.6%
Sysmiss		1550	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qh10: Goal> Progressing Towards a Less Impersonal, More Humane Society			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2045 /-] [Invalid=1564 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Progressing toward a less impersonal, more humane society.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Important	417	<div></div> 20.4%
2		230	<div></div> 11.2%
3		174	<div></div> 8.5%
4		115	<div></div> 5.6%
5		126	<div></div> 6.2%
6		117	<div></div> 5.7%
7		124	<div></div> 6.1%
8		137	<div></div> 6.7%
9		156	<div></div> 7.6%
10		172	<div></div> 8.4%
11		188	<div></div> 9.2%
12	Least Important	89	<div></div> 4.4%
Sysmiss		1564	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qh11: Goal> The Fight Against Crime			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2052 /-] [Invalid=1557 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	The fight against crime.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Important	505	<div></div> 24.6%
2		182	<div></div> 8.9%
3		222	<div></div> 10.8%
4		206	<div></div> 10.0%
5		190	<div></div> 9.3%
6		189	<div></div> 9.2%
7		155	<div></div> 7.6%
8		153	<div></div> 7.5%
9		87	<div></div> 4.2%

# qh11: Goal> The Fight Against Crime			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10		86	<div></div> 4.2%
11		52	<div></div> 2.5%
12	Least Important	25	<div></div> 1.2%
Sysmiss		1557	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# qh12: Goal> Progressing Towards a Society Where Ideas Count More Than Money			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2045 /-] [Invalid=1564 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	Progressing towards a society where ideas count more than money.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Most Important	342	<div></div> 16.7%
2		209	<div></div> 10.2%
3		149	<div></div> 7.3%
4		98	<div></div> 4.8%
5		98	<div></div> 4.8%
6		120	<div></div> 5.9%
7		91	<div></div> 4.4%
8		109	<div></div> 5.3%
9		143	<div></div> 7.0%
10		170	<div></div> 8.3%
11		219	<div></div> 10.7%
12	Least Important	297	<div></div> 14.5%
Sysmiss		1564	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# zrsex: Respondent's Gender			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2099 /-] [Invalid=1510 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is your sex?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1075	<div></div> 51.2%
5	Female	1024	<div></div> 48.8%
Sysmiss		1510	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# yrbirth: Respondent's Year of Birth			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1898-1972] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2091 /-] [Invalid=1518 /-] [Mean=1945.13 /-] [StdDev=15.965 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Literal question	What is your year of birth?		
# comments: Further Comments Recorded			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]		

# comments: Further Comments Recorded			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=344 /-] [Invalid=3265 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Literal question		We are very interested in any further comments you may wish to make about these or related issues.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	344	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Sysmiss		3265	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# inpre: Campaign Period Survey Interview Respondents			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		Variable label based on information in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		3609	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# inpost: Post-Election Survey Reinterview Respondents			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		Variable label based on information in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		687	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
1		2922	<div><div></div></div> 81.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# inmail: Mail-Back Survey Reinterview Respondents			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Notes		Variable label based on information in the documentation.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		1494	<div><div></div></div> 41.4%
1		2115	<div><div></div></div> 58.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# intype: Type of Interview			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Campaign Only	687	<div><div></div></div> 19.0%
2	Pre+Post	807	<div><div></div></div> 22.4%
3	Pre+Post+Mail	2115	<div><div></div></div> 58.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# link: Telephone/Mailback Link Indicator				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Campaign Only	687	<div></div>	19.0%
2	Pre&Post Link	778	<div></div>	21.6%
3	Pre&Post No Link	29	<div></div>	0.8%
4	Pre&Post&Mail Link	2046	<div></div>	56.7%
5	Pre&Post&Mail NoLink	69	<div></div>	1.9%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# blish81: Occupation> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20.38-9999] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3332 /-] [Invalid=277 /-]			
Universe	All respondents			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
20.38		10	<div></div>	0.3%
21.24		5	<div></div>	0.2%
21.37		2	<div></div>	0.1%
21.47		6	<div></div>	0.2%
22.04		7	<div></div>	0.2%
22.08		8	<div></div>	0.2%
23.18		4	<div></div>	0.1%
23.31		29	<div></div>	0.9%
23.34		3	<div></div>	0.1%
23.7		19	<div></div>	0.6%
23.76		2	<div></div>	0.1%
24.59		27	<div></div>	0.8%
24.92		5	<div></div>	0.2%
25		16	<div></div>	0.5%
25.23		19	<div></div>	0.6%
25.36		2	<div></div>	0.1%
25.37		1	<div></div>	0.0%
25.56		49	<div></div>	1.5%
25.74		3	<div></div>	0.1%
25.79		6	<div></div>	0.2%
25.9		4	<div></div>	0.1%
26.36		48	<div></div>	1.4%
26.52		5	<div></div>	0.2%
26.99		8	<div></div>	0.2%
27.4		4	<div></div>	0.1%
27.6		1	<div></div>	0.0%
27.61		2	<div></div>	0.1%
27.82		3	<div></div>	0.1%
27.92		3	<div></div>	0.1%
28.13		31	<div></div>	0.9%

# **# blish81: Occupation> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28.31		43	1.3%
28.33		5	0.2%
28.52		2	0.1%
28.56		3	0.1%
28.91		1	0.0%
29.16		1	0.0%
29.24		8	0.2%
29.59		24	0.7%
29.71		3	0.1%
29.83		2	0.1%
30.3		1	0.0%
30.32		1	0.0%
30.36		4	0.1%
30.37		1	0.0%
30.45		4	0.1%
30.55		7	0.2%
30.92		9	0.3%
30.93		125	3.8%
31.03		2	0.1%
31.22		2	0.1%
31.32		128	3.8%
31.36		8	0.2%
31.63		2	0.1%
31.69		1	0.0%
31.94		8	0.2%
31.95		19	0.6%
32.07		2	0.1%
32.29		3	0.1%
32.3		4	0.1%
32.33		2	0.1%
32.5		1	0.0%
32.57		1	0.0%
32.59		11	0.3%
32.64		2	0.1%
32.87		1	0.0%
32.88		1	0.0%
32.93		2	0.1%
32.94		1	0.0%
33.2		3	0.1%
33.25		14	0.4%
33.26		1	0.0%
33.27		5	0.2%
33.3		5	0.2%
33.31		6	0.2%
33.43		7	0.2%

# **# blish81: Occupation> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
33.6		14	0.4%
33.71		4	0.1%
33.82		8	0.2%
33.83		1	0.0%
34.09		3	0.1%
34.11		17	0.5%
34.14		1	0.0%
34.15		3	0.1%
34.45		74	2.2%
34.57		2	0.1%
34.64		8	0.2%
34.66		1	0.0%
34.73		2	0.1%
34.77		1	0.0%
34.81		1	0.0%
34.85		6	0.2%
34.86		47	1.4%
34.93		15	0.5%
35.04		19	0.6%
35.21		22	0.7%
35.29		4	0.1%
35.46		7	0.2%
35.62		24	0.7%
35.73		2	0.1%
36.04		2	0.1%
36.06		3	0.1%
36.21		9	0.3%
36.31		4	0.1%
36.35		1	0.0%
36.45		1	0.0%
36.58		10	0.3%
36.71		2	0.1%
36.86		9	0.3%
36.88		6	0.2%
36.89		5	0.2%
37.03		1	0.0%
37.19		3	0.1%
37.39		1	0.0%
37.46		10	0.3%
37.54		1	0.0%
37.66		1	0.0%
37.68		1	0.0%
37.93		22	0.7%
38.15		10	0.3%
38.19		3	0.1%

# **# blish81: Occupation> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
38.25		2	0.1%
38.29		5	0.2%
38.43		1	0.0%
38.47		8	0.2%
38.68		4	0.1%
38.91		1	0.0%
38.95		2	0.1%
39.01		56	1.7%
39.19		52	1.6%
39.32		4	0.1%
39.56		18	0.5%
39.87		1	0.0%
40.28		67	2.0%
40.36		4	0.1%
40.44		6	0.2%
40.51		12	0.4%
40.66		9	0.3%
40.73		2	0.1%
40.74		1	0.0%
40.78		4	0.1%
40.79		6	0.2%
40.93		5	0.2%
40.99		17	0.5%
41.01		64	1.9%
41.18		2	0.1%
41.42		30	0.9%
41.69		9	0.3%
41.7		2	0.1%
41.81		2	0.1%
41.82		119	3.6%
41.92		1	0.0%
41.93		21	0.6%
42.29		8	0.2%
42.35		8	0.2%
42.43		3	0.1%
42.54		5	0.2%
42.57		3	0.1%
42.82		1	0.0%
42.87		2	0.1%
42.94		2	0.1%
43.1		3	0.1%
43.38		1	0.0%
43.47		6	0.2%
43.57		1	0.0%
43.64		1	0.0%



# **# blish81: Occupation> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
43.76		2	0.1%
43.88		3	0.1%
43.92		5	0.2%
43.99		8	0.2%
44.2		2	0.1%
44.23		11	0.3%
44.28		2	0.1%
44.5		3	0.1%
44.66		3	0.1%
44.75		23	0.7%
44.92		4	0.1%
45.02		1	0.0%
45.04		21	0.6%
45.39		6	0.2%
46		1	0.0%
46.08		1	0.0%
46.22		6	0.2%
46.36		1	0.0%
46.43		2	0.1%
46.46		1	0.0%
46.51		12	0.4%
46.7		39	1.2%
46.83		2	0.1%
47.26		6	0.2%
47.31		1	0.0%
47.32		2	0.1%
47.63		15	0.5%
47.88		4	0.1%
47.94		29	0.9%
48.14		2	0.1%
48.15		4	0.1%
48.23		1	0.0%
48.51		8	0.2%
48.55		1	0.0%
48.72		2	0.1%
48.79		1	0.0%
48.83		2	0.1%
49.19		1	0.0%
49.25		3	0.1%
49.42		3	0.1%
49.49		1	0.0%
49.77		1	0.0%
49.87		2	0.1%
49.94		4	0.1%
49.97		2	0.1%

# **# blish81: Occupation> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49.99		29	0.9%
50.07		63	1.9%
50.18		23	0.7%
50.27		2	0.1%
50.36		1	0.0%
50.52		25	0.8%
50.71		8	0.2%
50.83		5	0.2%
50.89		2	0.1%
51.01		4	0.1%
51.09		3	0.1%
51.16		3	0.1%
51.17		14	0.4%
51.21		2	0.1%
51.54		3	0.1%
52.09		3	0.1%
52.23		7	0.2%
52.51		2	0.1%
52.84		10	0.3%
52.85		3	0.1%
52.86		3	0.1%
53.23		2	0.1%
53.53		1	0.0%
53.64		6	0.2%
53.83		15	0.5%
54.05		2	0.1%
54.07		2	0.1%
54.46		1	0.0%
54.58		14	0.4%
55.23		2	0.1%
55.26		84	2.5%
55.4		6	0.2%
55.79		13	0.4%
55.91		10	0.3%
56.36		6	0.2%
56.42		13	0.4%
56.44		9	0.3%
56.56		1	0.0%
56.57		21	0.6%
56.59		2	0.1%
56.78		2	0.1%
57.04		2	0.1%
57.19		6	0.2%
57.21		1	0.0%
57.3		7	0.2%

# **# blish81: Occupation> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
57.39		4	0.1%
57.55		18	0.5%
57.57		18	0.5%
57.89		9	0.3%
57.97		1	0.0%
58.01		1	0.0%
58.09		6	0.2%
58.62		3	0.1%
58.78		17	0.5%
59.05		1	0.0%
59.31		3	0.1%
59.44		71	2.1%
59.94		1	0.0%
60.11		23	0.7%
60.65		18	0.5%
60.73		17	0.5%
61.01		11	0.3%
62.19		2	0.1%
62.36		1	0.0%
62.53		1	0.0%
62.87		5	0.2%
63.47		1	0.0%
63.51		1	0.0%
63.64		98	2.9%
64.07		8	0.2%
64.39		2	0.1%
65.11		1	0.0%
65.36		11	0.3%
65.98		2	0.1%
66.03		9	0.3%
66.84		10	0.3%
67.05		1	0.0%
68.12		1	0.0%
68.37		6	0.2%
68.89		3	0.1%
69.18		3	0.1%
70.19		40	1.2%
70.24		1	0.0%
70.48		10	0.3%
70.66		1	0.0%
71.01		3	0.1%
71.62		49	1.5%
71.7		29	0.9%
72.24		1	0.0%
72.47		3	0.1%

# blish81: Occupation> Blishen 1981 Socio-Economic Index Score			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
72.8		1	0.0%
73		1	0.0%
74.67		2	0.1%
75.6		23	0.7%
75.87		16	0.5%
78.34		10	0.3%
79.23		6	0.2%
93.27		1	0.0%
101.32		21	0.6%
101.74		1	0.0%
9994	Housewife	74	2.2%
9995	Student	17	0.5%
9996	Disabled	6	0.2%
9998	D.K.	3	0.1%
9999	Not Codeable,NA	138	4.1%
Sysmiss		277	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# pinpor81: Occupation> Pineo-Porter 1981 Category			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20] [Missing=*/19/20]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3316 /-] [Invalid=293 /-]		
Universe	All respondents		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	No Answer	0	
1	Self-Emp Profs	47	1.4%
2	Employed Profs	359	10.8%
3	Hi-Level Managers	108	3.3%
4	Semi-Profs	234	7.1%
5	Technicians	62	1.9%
6	Mid-Managers	260	7.8%
7	Supervisors	120	3.6%
8	Foremen	67	2.0%
9	Sk.Clerical+Sales	322	9.7%
10	Skilled Crafts	375	11.3%
11	Farmers	154	4.6%
12	Semi-Sk.Cl.+Sales	335	10.1%
13	Semi-Skilled Manual	292	8.8%
14	Unsk.Cler.+Sales	146	4.4%
15	Unskilled Manual	322	9.7%
16	Farm Labourers	22	0.7%
17	Student	17	0.5%
18	Housewife	74	2.2%
19	D.K.	3	
20	Not Codeable,NA	144	
Sysmiss		146	

# pinpor81: Occupation> Pineo-Porter 1981 Category			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# franco: Francophone Respondent			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Francophone	1040	<div><div></div></div> 28.8%
2	Other	2569	<div><div></div></div> 71.2%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# wt1: National Weight - Includes Over Sample			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.0558652147210943-4.67641023770279] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.624 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
# wt2: Province Weight - Includes Over Sample			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.202480805913199-3.9562098515929] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.466 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
# wt3: Atlantic Weight - Includes Over Sample			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.111179628750174-4.99822480708302] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=562 /-] [Invalid=3047 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.779 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
# wt4: Prairie Weight - Includes Over Sample			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.492951081096808-3.50384200666301] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=818 /-] [Invalid=2791 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.43 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
# wt5: National Weight - Excludes Over Sample			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4.43432778069039] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.941 /-] [StdDev=0.628 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
# wt6: Province Weight - Excludes Over Sample			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3.82608679024016] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3609 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.941 /-] [StdDev=0.482 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	
# wt7: Atlantic Weight - Excludes Over Sample			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4.42937890573815] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=706 /-] [Invalid=2903 /-] [Mean=0.698 /-] [StdDev=0.754 /-]	
Universe		All respondents	