Runtypes

Who: Erik

Product: HeavyGoods.net

Lang: Typescript

Simulation vs. Reality





We use Typescript

Isn't that wildly unsafe still?

Runtypes Idea

We need to implement the check ourselves:

```
function truck(x: unknown) ⇒ Truck
const t = truck(JSON.parse(data)) // it's a Truck
```

Runtypes Schema

And we make it easy to write these runtime type definitions, e.g. by using fp style combinators:

```
const truck = record({
  id: string(),
  weight: integer(),
  model: string(),
})

const t = truck(JSON.parse(data)) // it's a Truck
```

Build or Buy

Tons good of libraries have been already written:

- functional / with exceptions / with explicit workflow /
 integration rich / fat or small API / 0-deps / ...
- a decoration based (like Java, if you like that)
- heavily performance optimized with eval
- AOT compilers going full berserk mode to optimize your checks as if you wrote them yourselves

Benchmark

Fortunately, there is a nice little benchmark for many of them:

github.com/moltar/typescript-runtime-type-benchmarks

Runtype Benchmarks					Github Repository	
Benchmark Comparison	of Packages with Runtime	Validation and	TypeScript Suppor	t		
Benchmarks:	Node.js Versions:	Sort:				
✓ Safe Parsing	✓ v20.11.0	Fastest	~			
✓ Strict Parsing	□ v19.9.0					
Loose Assertion	□ v18.19.0					
Strict Assertion	□ v16.20.2					
	0 4	0,000,000	operations / sec (better ▶) 80,000,000	120,000,000	160,000,000	

Recap

Always validate external data in Typescript.

Use runtypes for this and only write your schema once.

Visit github.com/moltar/typescript-runtime-type-benchmarks to find a nice library for your needs.

Or build your own. Happy Coding.