Table 1: Selected metrics based on the output of rnaQUAST, HISAT2, DETONATE, TransRate and BUSCO for the transcripts assembled by all ten tools on the Arabidopsis thaliana RNA-Seq data set. Details and much more statistics, complementing this evaluation, can be found in the electronic supplement, content S4–S10. The RSEM-EVAL score is divided by 10⁹. Number of ambiguous bases is given in thousand. F1 score – an F1 score of 1 would mean that all nucleotides/contigs in the estimated true assembly were recovered with at least 90% identity. KC score – k-mer compression score reflecting the similarity of each assembly to DETONATEs estimated "true" assembly. The Ex90N50 statistic is computed as usual N50 but limited to the top most highly expressed transcripts that represent 90% of the total normalized expression data. Normalized scores in the range between 0 and 1 are displayed. Raw values for each metric and assembly tool are given in subscript next to the normalized values. In the last row, the summarized metric score (MS) of (0,1)-normalized scores is given for each assembly tool.

				. , , , , ,							
		Trinity	Oases	Trans-ABySS	SOAP-Trans	Bridger	BinPacker	IDBA-Tran	Shannon	SPAdes-sc	SPAdes-rn
	k-mer size	default	25,35,45,55,65	25,35,45,55,65	default	default	default	25,35,45,55,65	default	default	default
Eva	aluation metrics 1–20										
HIS	AT2	-									
1	Overall mapping rate	$0.96_{95.71}$	0.88 91.93	$1.00_{97.55}$	$0.73_{85.31}$	$0.85_{90.64}$	$0.34_{67.15}$	0.82 89.04	$0.00_{51.53}$	$0.93_{94.29}$	$0.92_{93.67}$
rna	QUAST										
2 3 4 5 6 7	Transcripts ≥1 000 nt Misassemblies Mismatches per transcript Average alignment length 95 %-assembled isoforms Duplication ratio	$\begin{array}{c} 0.33_{13357} \\ 0.84_{1212} \\ 0.79_{1.32} \\ 0.55_{978.21} \\ 0.80_{2302} \\ 0.88_{1.128} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00_{32647} \\ 0.06_{6804} \\ 0.42_{3.47} \\ 0.89_{1232.69} \\ 1.00_{2753} \\ 0.00_{1.976} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.55_{19714} \\ 0.00_{7227} \\ 0.91_{0.61} \\ 0.24_{745.09} \\ 0.65_{1960} \\ 0.66_{1.341} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.17_{8709} \\ 1.00_{51} \\ 1.00_{0.1} \\ 0.00_{561.75} \\ 0.32_{1213} \\ 1.00_{1.008} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32_{12882} \\ 0.73_{1995} \\ 0.60_{2.43} \\ 0.57_{990.35} \\ 0.63_{1909} \\ 0.94_{1.067} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00_{3775} \\ 0.84_{1233} \\ 0.00_{5.9} \\ 1.00_{1312.17} \\ 0.00_{489} \\ 0.85_{1.149} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.20_{9577} \\ 0.98_{201} \\ 0.99_{0.14} \\ 0.38_{848.36} \\ 0.30_{1175} \\ 1.00_{1.01} \end{array}$	0.29 ₁₂₀₁₈ 0.90 ₇₆₉ 0.89 _{0.72} 0.64 _{1044.9} 0.67 ₂₀₀₄ 0.82 _{1.185}	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26_{11171} \\ 0.84_{1189} \\ 0.94_{0.43} \\ 0.51_{944.39} \\ 0.81_{2318} \\ 1.00_{1.007} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26_{11160} \\ 0.94_{505} \\ 0.96_{0.34} \\ 0.33_{807.42} \\ 0.77_{2237} \\ 0.98_{1.027} \end{array}$
8 9	Ex90N50 # full-length transcripts	$0.83_{1451} \\ 0.81_{7219}$	$0.71_{1305} \\ 1.00_{8361}$	$0.41_{940} \\ 0.96_{8133}$	$0.00_{449} \\ 0.52_{5411}$	$0.99_{1636} \\ 0.80_{7163}$	$0.83_{1452} \\ 0.00_{2227}$	$0.30_{805} \\ 0.63_{6087}$	$1.00_{1654} \\ 0.70_{6541}$	$0.48_{1030}\\0.91_{7800}$	$0.66_{1239}\\0.86_{7501}$
Tra	nsRate										
10 11 12 13 14	Reference coverage Mean ORF percentage Optimal score ^a Percentage bases uncovered ^a Number of ambiguous bases	$0.69_{0.16} \\ 0.57_{74.79} \\ NA \\ NA \\ 0.61_{35964}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.90_{\:0.19} \\ 0.38_{\:72.07} \\ \mathrm{NA} \\ \mathrm{NA} \\ 0.00_{\:78762} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00_{0.21} \\ 0.41_{72.5} \\ \mathrm{NA} \\ \mathrm{NA} \\ 0.37_{53180} \end{array}$	$0.65_{0.15} \ 1.00_{80.78} \ \mathrm{NA} \ \mathrm{NA} \ 0.72_{28632}$	0.57 _{0.13} 0.56 _{74.66} NA NA 0.66 ₃₃₀₀₈	$0.00_{0.03} \\ 0.00_{66.84} \\ NA \\ NA \\ 1.00_{9081}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64_{0.15} \\ 0.99_{80.71} \\ \mathrm{NA} \\ \mathrm{NA} \\ 0.73_{27666} \end{array}$	$0.65_{0.15} \\ 0.66_{76.08} \\ NA \\ NA \\ 0.70_{30178}$	$0.67_{0.15}\\0.55_{74.47}\\NA\\NA\\0.71_{29569}$	$0.69_{0.15} \\ 0.63_{75.67} \\ NA \\ NA \\ 0.69_{30621}$
DET	ONATE										
15 16 17 18	Nucleotide F1 Contig F1 KC score RSEM EVAL	$0.85_{0.71} \\ 0.73_{0.05} \\ 0.90_{0.71} \\ 0.99_{-0.46}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.31_{0.42} \\ 0.43_{0.03} \\ 0.77_{0.66} \\ 0.83_{-0.6} \end{array}$	$0.62_{0.59} \\ 0.59_{0.04} \\ 1.00_{0.74} \\ 1.00_{-0.45}$	$0.95_{0.77} \\ 0.59_{0.04} \\ 0.66_{0.62} \\ 0.69_{-0.73}$	$0.86_{0.72}$ $0.58_{0.04}$ $0.79_{0.66}$ $0.90_{-0.54}$	$0.00_{0.26} \\ 0.00_{0} \\ 0.29_{0.48} \\ 0.43_{-0.96}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.95_{0.76} \\ 0.44_{0.03} \\ 0.77_{0.66} \\ 0.75_{-0.67} \end{array}$	$0.70_{0.63} \\ 0.57_{0.04} \\ 0.00_{0.37} \\ 0.00_{-1.34}$	$1.00_{0.79} \\ 0.87_{0.06} \\ 0.92_{0.71} \\ 0.84_{-0.59}$	$0.99_{0.79} \\ 1.00_{0.07} \\ 0.94_{0.72} \\ 0.87_{-0.57}$
BUS	CO										
19 20	Complete BUSCOs Missing BUSCOs	$1.00_{1117} \\ 1.00_{219}$	$0.99_{1108} \\ 0.97_{248}$	$1.00_{1119} \\ 0.99_{224}$	$0.93_{1058} \\ 0.97_{248}$	$0.98_{1103} \\ 0.99_{229}$	$0.00_{262} \\ 0.00_{1162}$	$0.78_{930} \\ 0.95_{269}$	$0.92_{1049} \\ 0.92_{296}$	$0.95_{1074} \\ 0.99_{225}$	$0.88_{1012} \\ 0.95_{264}$
Summed up metric (0,1)-score		14.15	11.53	12.36	11.9	13.31	5.57	12.6	11.03	14.18	14.3

^aNot available for the E. coli and A. thaliana data set because this metric is only calculated by TransRate in the case of paired-end data.