

Poisson Surface Reconstruction

is an implicit approach, meaning that it does not use the points directly in the surface reconstruction process, but rather finds a function that has its zero level-set coincide with the surface we aim to reconstruct.

Kazhdan's version uses an indicator (characteristic) function.

There is an internal assumption that this function is smooth.



Goal: Find a function $\chi(x)$ whose gradient best matches the input normals expressed as a Normal field $N(x)$:

$$\chi = \arg \min_{\chi} \int \| \nabla \chi(x) - N(x) \|_2^2 d\Omega \quad \xrightarrow{\text{How?..}} \Delta \chi = \nabla \cdot N$$

To obtain χ we need to solve a Poisson equation

(Laplacian of the function = divergence of the normals)

The "marching cubes" part is related to the process of using the 0-level-set to obtain the vertices of the mesh.

Recall:

$$\text{Gradient: } \nabla f = F = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Divergence: } \nabla \cdot N = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} N_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} N_y + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} N_z$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Laplacian: } \Delta \chi &= \nabla^2 \chi = \nabla \cdot \nabla \chi \\ &= (\text{div}(\text{grad } \chi)) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \chi + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \chi + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \chi \end{aligned}$$

Poisson's Equation (in \mathbb{R}^3)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 g(x,y,z) &= f(x,y,z) && \text{given} \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 g(x,y,z)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 g(x,y,z)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 g(x,y,z)}{\partial z^2} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} \text{goal: Find the function } g(x,y,z)$$

+ Boundary conditions for $g(x,y,z)$

In our case: $\nabla^2 \tilde{g}(x,y,z) = \nabla \cdot \tilde{V}(x,y,z)$

↑ ↑
 (convolved?) Indicator function Normal vector field

What we have:

Point samples \longrightarrow set S

OcTree \emptyset of depth D and leaf nodes \circ

We will approximate $\tilde{g}(x,y,z)$ using a linear combination of basis functions
 each octree node \circ is associated with a base function $F_\circ(\underline{z}) = \mathcal{F}\left(\frac{1}{o.w}(\underline{z} - \underline{o.c})\right) \cdot \frac{1}{(o.w)^3}$
 where $\underline{o.c}$ is the node's center, $o.w$ its width,

$$\text{space: } \mathcal{F}_{\emptyset, F} \equiv \text{span}(F_\circ)$$

(any x,y,z position)

(global) base function

$$F(\underline{z}) = F(x, y, z) = (B(x) B(y) B(z))^{\star n=3} \quad B(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & |t| < 0.5 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3rd convolution..

Continuous approximation of the normal field \tilde{V} :

$$\tilde{V}(\underline{z}) = \sum_{s \in S} \left\{ \sum_{\circ \in N_{\text{br}}(s)} \left\{ \alpha_{\circ,s} F_\circ(\underline{z}) \underset{\approx}{\sim} N \right\} \right\}$$

$N_{\text{br}}(s)$: 8 depth-D nodes closest to s

$\alpha_{\circ,s}$: Trilinear interpolation weights

This way we can obtain \tilde{V} at any position \underline{z}

§4.5 improves this for non-uniform samples

Solving $\nabla^2 \tilde{x} = \nabla \cdot \underline{v}$ to obtain $\tilde{x}(g)$

Using a linear combination of the function bases $F_0(g)$,

our solution will belong in the space $\mathcal{F}_{0,F}$.

Issue: Even though $\tilde{x}(g) \in \mathcal{F}_{0,F}$ & $\underline{v}(g) \in \mathcal{F}_{0,F}$ by their definitions,

$\nabla^2 \tilde{x}(g)$ and $\nabla \cdot \underline{v}(g)$ might $\notin \mathcal{F}_{0,F}$

Workaround: minimize the difference of their projections (which $\in \mathcal{F}_{0,F}$...)
 (hoping that this minimizes their actual difference?)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{x}(g) &= \arg \min_{\tilde{x}} \sum_{o \in O} \left\{ \left\| \langle \nabla^2 \tilde{x} - (\nabla \cdot \underline{v}), F_o \rangle \right\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \arg \min_{\tilde{x}} \sum_{o \in O} \left\{ \left\| \langle \nabla^2 \tilde{x}, F_o \rangle - \langle (\nabla \cdot \underline{v}), F_o \rangle \right\|^2 \right\}\end{aligned}$$

wait... these aren't vectors!
 function projection?
 function norm?

or which g ?

Discretization to solve: We define $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{101}$ with $v_i = \langle \nabla \cdot \underline{v}, F_i \rangle$

$$\text{Let } \tilde{x} = \sum_{o \in O} \left\{ x_o F_o \right\}$$

o-th component of unknown vector $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{101}$

Define matrix $L \in \mathbb{R}^{101 \times 101}$ with $L_{ij} = \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial x^2}, F_j \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial y^2}, F_j \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 F_i}{\partial z^2}, F_j \right\rangle$

$$\tilde{x} = \arg \min_{\tilde{x}} \| L \tilde{x} - \underline{v} \|_2^2$$

→ we can then compute $\tilde{x}(g)$

! what if we apply weights to remove outliers??

$$\partial M \triangleq \left\{ g \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \tilde{x}(g) = \gamma \right\} \text{ with } \gamma = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{s \in S} \left\{ \tilde{x}(s, p) \right\}$$

now we can find values for g that satisfy this...
 use "marching cubes" to get the final mesh...