TEACHER REPORT

Name of Teacher	HO HOL YIN
Module CS1231S-Discrete Structures (TUTORIAL)	
Academic Year/Sem	2020/2021 - SEM 1
Department	COMPUTER SCIENCE
Faculty	SCHOOL OF COMPUTING

Raters	Student
Responded	12
Invited	17
Response Ratio	71%

Note:

Class Size = Invited; Response Size = Responded; Response Rate = Response Ratio

A. GUIDELINES FOR INTERPRETING THE REPORT

The teacher evaluation report is for developmental purposes and is meant to help identify strengths and areas for improvement. Please consider the following recommendations that will aid in interpreting the results:

- 1. Examine the report by taking note of patterns in order to consider how best to act on the feedback your students have taken the time to provide. Use the reflection section at the end to reflect upon how you might act on the feedback.
- 2. These evaluations stem from student perception and thus constitute one source of evidence among others as to the quality of your teaching. Any response to the feedback should be based on the most representative results rather than on outlying responses.
- 3. Upon getting a general sense as to what has gone well, and which areas may require attention and improvement, it is important to drill down to the related questions. These questions can help guide future action if feedback from students suggest areas for improvement.
- 4. Keep both the likert scale and written comments in mind while reading through the report. High scores (4+) suggest student consensus indicating a strength. On the other hand, low scores (2-) should be considered as an area that requires immediate developmental focus based on student feedback.

B. NOMINATION FOR TEACHING AWARDS

Response Count
 _

I would like to nominate HO HOL YIN for teaching awards

5

Comment


- He has a lot of passion in this subject matter and is able to translate it well to teaching us the content. He makes his own slides to recap on each week's topic and also adds his own input and explanation of the model answers. It is clear he puts in a lot of effort into being a TA and we greatly appreciate his efforts
- Very engaging and gives great examples to help with learning. Always very responsive to questions too.
- good at explaining things show thinking process instead of answers
- Approachable and patient.
- He is humorous, nice, patient.

C. STUDENT FEEDBACK SCORES

(i) Rating Score

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)		Faculty Average (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
Overall, the teacher is effective.	4.8	0.4	4.2	0.8	4.1	0.9

Question	Average Score (TEACHER)	Dept Average by Activity & Level (COMPUTER SCIENCE- TUTORIAL (Level 1000))	Fac Average by Activity & Level (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING- TUTORIAL (Level 1000))	Dept Average by Activity (COMPUTER SCIENCE- TUTORIAL)	Fac Average by Activity (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING- TUTORIAL)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Overall, the teacher is effective.	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2



Question		Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)		ty Average HOOL OF PUTING)
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.9	0.3	4.2	0.8	4.1	0.9
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.8	0.5	4.2	0.9	4.1	0.9
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.8	0.6	4.1	0.9	4.0	1.0
Average of Q1-Q3	4.8	0.5	4.1	-	4.1	-

Question	Dept Average by Average Score (TEACHER) COMPUTER SCIENCE- TUTORIAL (Level 1000)		Fac Average by Activity & Level (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING- TUTORIAL (Level 1000))	Dept Average by Activity (COMPUTER SCIENCE- TUTORIAL)	Fac Average by Activity (SCHOOL OF COMPUTING- TUTORIAL)
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.9	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.8	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.8	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1
Average of Q1-Q3	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1


Department Specific Questions

Question		age Score ACHER)	Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material.	4.6	0.8	4.1	8.0


Question		age Score ACHER)	A\ (COI	oartment verage MPUTER IENCE)
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation
The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.	4.7	0.7	4.1	0.9


Question		Average Score (TEACHER)		Department Average (COMPUTER SCIENCE)	
	Mean	Standard Deviation	Mean	Standard Deviation	
The teacher cares about student development and learning.	4.7	0.7	4.2	8.0	

(ii) Distribution of Responses and Additional Statistics




2. The teacher provided til	mely and	d useful feed	dback.
Strongly Agree (9) Agree (3) Neutral (0) Disagree (0) Strongly Disagree (0) [Total (12)]	0% 0%	25%	75%
Statistics			Value
Response Count			12
Mean			4.8
Median			5.0
Mode			5
80th Percentile			5.0
Standard Deviation			0.5
Positive Feedback			100%







The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material.




The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.




The teacher cares about student development and learning.




(iii) Scale Distribution of Responses




The teacher has enhanced my ability to communicate the subject material.



The teacher's attitude and approach encouraged me to think and work in a creative and independent way.



The teacher cares about student development and learning.



(iv) Rating Scores vs. Gender

Question	М	Overall
The teacher has enhanced my thinking ability.	4.9	4.9
The teacher provided timely and useful feedback.	4.8	4.8
The teacher has increased my interest in the subject.	4.8	4.8

D. STRENGTHS

What are HO HOL YIN's strengths?

Comments

Very knowledgeable in the module, encourages students to discuss about the content in the module

He is well–prepared for tutorials, encourage active participation and effectively links the varying topics together in an intuitive and easy to follow manner.

Funny, able to teach in an understandable way to fellow students

Explains the concepts very well and teaches the step by step thinking process towards approaching the questions. Able to simplify seemingly difficult problems to understandable basic concepts.

Very funny, engaging during lessons and gives great examples to help with learning. Always very responsive to questions too.

good at explaining things

show thinking process instead of answers

He has a very good grasp of the topic and can explain it very well, and in an engaging manner as well.

Since he is a math cs ddp student, he can teach us a lot of knowledge that we do not know.

Funny and is familiar with the concepts to answer questions from students

E. AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

What improvements would you suggest to HO HOL YIN?

Comments

_

Maybe get acknowledgement from the class that they understand before moving on. Also, don't assume everyone is from JC:')

F. SELF-REFLECTION

- 1. When comparing these results to the previous year's results, what areas have shown improvement?
- 2. What areas remain to be improved and what are the necessary steps / actions to do so?
- 3. Are there colleagues who could potentially guide me?
- 4. Are there issues that require departmental or institutional support?