Python LLM DSLs

Simplifying LLM Integration for SLAC Scientific Computing

Your Name

March 16, 2025

Your Name Python LLM DSLs March 16, 2025 1

The Problem: LLM Integration is Needlessly Complex

Standard Approach (LangChain):

```
from langchain_anthropic import ChatAnthropic
from langchain.prompts import PromptTemplate
from langchain.chains import LLMChain
11m = ChatAnthropic(anthropic_api_key=api_key,
                    model="claude-3-opus-20240229")
template = """Answer with JSON {'answer': true/false
   1:
              Is this EPICS PV in a valid state?"""
prompt = PromptTemplate(input_variables=[], template=
   template)
chain = LLMChain(llm=llm, prompt=prompt)
```

Your Name Python LLM DSLs March 16, 2025 2 /

The Problem: LLM Integration is Needlessly Complex (cont.)

```
result = chain.run({}) # Parse JSON manually
if json.loads(result)["answer"]:
    print("EPICS PV state is valid")
```

Why So Verbose?

- Wrong abstractions: Chain-based design doesn't fit LCLS real-time needs
- Mixed concerns: Prompt engineering, API calls, and parsing all exposed
- Mental overhead: Too cumbersome for beamline scientists to use on the fly

One Solution: Context-Sensitive Semantic DSL

```
# Our DSL approach for LCLS configuration checking
from lcls_llm import check_configuration

if check_configuration("Is PV:UNDULATOR:GAP in valid
    range?"):
    proceed_with_experiment()

else:
    alert_operator("Configuration issue detected")
```

Key Innovation: Semantic extension based on context

- Same function behaves differently in different contexts
- LCLS-specific implementation details hidden from users
- Code reads naturally for scientists and operators

How It Works: Context-Sensitive Dispatch

```
# In a conditional context (fault detection):
if check_configuration("Is timing system properly
    configured?"):
    # Uses a JSON-based boolean template
    # Returns True/False for decision making
    start_beam_delivery()
```

The DSL detects the syntactic context and adapts behavior transparently.

Your Name Python LLM DSLs March 16, 2025 5/

Vision: SLAC Facility-Specific LLM Primitives

Implementing SLAC's roadmap with purpose-built DSLs:

```
from slac_llm import check_epics, predict_anomaly,
   assist_timing
# LCLS configuration expert system
if check_epics("PV:UNDULATOR:CURRENT", target_range
   =(100, 120)):
    confirm_experiment_ready()
# S3DF anomaly detection
anomalies = predict_anomaly(beam_data, sensitivity
   =0.85)
if anomalies:
    recommend_actions(anomalies)
# Timing system helper
new_config = assist_timing.create_readout_group(
    existing_groups=[group1, group2],
```

Alignment with SLAC LLM Roadmap

Addresses Key Roadmap Categories:

- Knowledge Assistants: DSLs for RAG-based information retrieval from eLog, Slack
- LCLS-specific Use Cases: EPICS interpretation, timing system, configuration
- S3DF Use Cases: Anomaly detection, fault prediction, troubleshooting
- Coding Assistants: Analysis script generation for experimental data

Implementation Benefits:

- Reduced learning curve: Scientists use domain terminology, not LLM jargon
- Integration with SLAC systems: Works with existing EPICS, data acquisition
- ROI enhancement: Lower barriers to adoption accelerates value realization

Implementation Considerations for SLAC

Resource Requirements

- DSLs need domain-specific training/fine-tuning
- Integration with secure SLAC systems requires careful planning

Oevelopment Priorities

- Which roadmap items would benefit most from DSL approach?
- Begin with high-impact use cases (configuration, anomaly detection)

The Path Forward

- Create working group with LCLS, S3DF representation
- Develop prototype for one key use case (e.g., EPICS assistant)
- Expand based on user feedback and roadmap priorities

Live Demo

Let's see how this works in practice...

[JUPYTER NOTEBOOK DEMO]

