

The Duality of Trace and Determinant

Noah Bergam

August 15th, 2023

Outline

1 Review of Major Constructions

2 Proofs

3 Discussion

Tensors

The tensor product $V \otimes W$ consists of *expressions* of the form:

$$v_1 \otimes w_1 + v_2 \otimes w_2 + \dots + v_n \otimes w_n$$

This \otimes is multi-linear, i.e. linear in each component.

$$(\lambda_1 v_1 + v_2) \otimes w_1 = \lambda_1(v_1 \otimes w_1) + (v_2 \otimes w_1) \quad (1)$$

Contextualizing Tensors

- **Cartesian Product** $V \times W$: tuples of the underlying set.
- **Direct Product** $V \times W$: tuples as a vector space, coordinate-wise.
- **Direct Sum** $V \oplus W$: tuples with finitely many nonzero components.
- **Tensor Product** $V \otimes W$: tuples with multi-linearity
- **Wedge Product** $V \wedge V$: tuples with multi-linearity and anti-symmetry.

(Note: the difference between direct sum and direct product only emerges for infinite sums/products.)

(Note: you cannot wedge different vector spaces, since this would make anti-commutativity ill-defined.)

Exterior Power

Definition (Exterior Power)

For a vector space V , the n -th exterior power of V , denoted $\Lambda^n V$, is spanned by elements of the following form for $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$.

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n$$

which obey the multi-linearity and anti-symmetry. For example:

$$(\lambda v_1 + w) \wedge \dots \wedge v_n = \lambda(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) + (w \wedge \dots \wedge v_n)$$

$$v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n = -(v_2 \wedge v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n)$$

Notes on Exterior Product

Important Properties:

- ① (vanishing) $v \wedge v = 0$
- ② (associativity) $(v \wedge w) \wedge x = v \wedge (w \wedge x)$

Warning: Not every element in $\Lambda^n V$ is *reducible* to a single wedge product (in general, it is a linear combination of such elements).

The k -linear extension

Definition

For $A \in \text{End}(V)$, the k -linear extension $\Lambda^N A^k : \Lambda^N V \mapsto \Lambda^N V$ defined as:

$$\Lambda^m A^k \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^m v_j \right) = \sum_s \bigwedge_{j=1}^m A^{s_j} v_j$$

$$\text{where } s \in \{0, 1\}^n \quad \sum_j s_j = 1$$

$\Lambda^N A^N$ means we apply A to each entry (one-dimensional).

$\Lambda^N A^1$ means we apply A to only one entry (n -dimensional).

The k -linear extension (examples)

For $\Lambda^N A^k$ I am applying A to k entries of the wedge product.

$$\Lambda^3 A^1(v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3) = Av_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3 + v_1 \wedge Av_2 \wedge v_3 + v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge Av_3$$

If V is N -dimensional, then $\dim(\Lambda^N A^k) = \binom{N}{k}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^3 A^2(v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge v_3) &= Av_1 \wedge Av_2 \wedge v_3 \\ &\quad + Av_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge Av_3 \\ &\quad + v_1 \wedge Av_2 \wedge Av_3\end{aligned}$$

Determinant and Trace

Definition

The **determinant** of $A \in \text{End}(V)$ is the number by which any nonzero tensor $\omega \in \Lambda^N$ is multiplied when $\Lambda^N A^N : \Lambda^N V \mapsto \Lambda^N V$ acts on it.

$$(\Lambda^N A^N)\omega = (\det A)\omega$$

Definition

The **trace** of $A \in \text{End}(V)$ is the number by which any nonzero tensor $\omega \in \Lambda^N$ is multiplied when $\Lambda^N A^1 : \Lambda^N \mapsto \Lambda^N$ acts on it.

$$(\Lambda^N A^1)\omega = (\text{tr } A)\omega$$

Note: For $\dim(V) = N$, $\Lambda^N V$ is one-dimensional. $\Lambda^1 V$ is n -dimensional.

Illustration of wedge-based determinant

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^n A^n \omega &= \Lambda^n A^n (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = (Av_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Av_n) \\&= \left(\sum_{j_1=1}^n A_{j_1,1} v_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \sum_{j_n=1}^n A_{j_n,n} v_{j_n} \right) \\&= \sum_{j_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{j_n=1}^n \left(A_{j_1,1} v_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge A_{j_n,n} v_{j_n} \right) \\&= \sum_{j_1=1}^n \dots \sum_{j_n=1}^n (A_{j_1,1} \cdots A_{j_n,n}) (v_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{j_n}) \\&= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^n A_{j,\sigma(j)} (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = \det(A) \omega \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Illustration of wedge-based trace

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^n A \omega &= \Lambda^n A(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge (A v_i) \wedge \dots \wedge v_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j_1=1}^n A_{j_i, i} (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{j_i} \wedge \dots \wedge v_n)\end{aligned}$$

This is zero unless $v_{j_i} = v_i$. This eliminates the second sum and recovers the usual formula.

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii} (v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n) = \text{tr}(A) \omega$$

Theorems

Theorem (Liouville's Formula)

Let $A \in \text{End}(V)$.

$$\det(\exp(A)) = \exp(\text{tr}(A))$$

where $\exp(A) = 1 + A + \frac{1}{2!}A^2 + \frac{1}{3!}A^3 + \dots$ denotes the matrix exponential.
More generally, $\det(\exp(tA)) = \exp(t \cdot \text{tr}(A))$, where t is a formal variable.

Theorem (Jacobi's Formula)

For $A(t)$ an operator-valued formal power series such that A^{-1} exists:

$$\partial_t \det A = (\det A) \text{tr}(A^{-1} \partial_t A)$$

Game Plan

The idea is to represent both $\det(\exp(A))$ and $\exp(\operatorname{tr}(A))$ as a (formal) power series in t satisfying some differential equation.

- First we establish some theory on how to solve differential equations for formal power series.
- Then we will guess a suitable differential equation that will enable us to prove the identity.

Characterization of $\exp(tA)$

Lemma

The operator-valued function $F(t) = \exp(tA)$ is the unique solution to the following differential equation.

$$\partial_t F(t) = F(t)A \quad F(0) = 1_V$$

Proof of Characterization of $\exp(tA)$

Lemma

The operator-valued function $F(t) = \exp(tA)$ is the unique solution to the following differential equation.

$$\partial_t F(t) = F(t)A \quad F(0) = 1_V$$

Proof.

Since $F(0) = 1$, we know $F(t) = 1 + F_1 t + F_2 t^2 + \dots$

Note $F'(0) = A = F_1 A$, $F''(0) = A^2 = 2F_2$, $F'''(0) = A^3 = 6F_3$, etc.

Matching coefficients, we find:

$$F(t) = 1 + At + \frac{1}{2!}A^2 t^2 + \frac{1}{3!}A^3 t^3 + \dots = \exp(tA).$$



Leibniz Rule for Power Series

Lemma

If $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ are power series in t with coefficients from $\Lambda^m V$ and $\Lambda^n V$ respectively, then the Leibniz rule holds, i.e.

$$\partial_t(\phi \wedge \psi) = (\partial_t \phi) \wedge \psi + \phi \wedge (\partial_t \psi)$$

Proof of Leibniz Rule for Power Series

Lemma

If $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ are power series in t with coefficients from $\Lambda^m V$ and $\Lambda^n V$ respectively, then the Leibniz rule holds, i.e.

$$\partial_t(\phi \wedge \psi) = (\partial_t \phi) \wedge \psi + \phi \wedge (\partial_t \psi)$$

Proof.

Due to linearity of derivative and the fact that power series can be differentiated term by term, just check for $\phi = t^a \omega_1$ and $\psi = t^b \omega_2$.

$$\partial_t(\phi \wedge \psi) = (a + b)t^{a+b-1} \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$$

$$(\partial_t \phi) \wedge \psi + \phi \wedge (\partial_t \psi) = at^{a-1} \omega_1 \wedge t^b \omega_2 + t^a \omega_1 \wedge bt^{b-1} \omega_2$$



Inverse

Lemma

The inverse of a formal power series $\phi(t)$ exists iff $\phi(0) \neq 0$.

Proof.

If $\phi(0) \neq 0$ then $\phi(t) = \phi(0) + t\psi(t)$ with ψ another power series. Then we can construct the inverse explicitly:

$$\frac{1}{\phi(t)} = \frac{1}{\phi(0)} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{t\psi(t)}{\phi(0)})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \phi(0)^{-n-1} (t\psi(t))^n$$

This is because $1 = (1 + x)(1 - x)$



Jacobi

An *operator-valued formal power series* is just a function $F(t) = 1 + F_1 t + F_2 t^2 + \dots$ where the coefficients F_i are linear operators.

Lemma (Jacobi's Formula)

If A is an invertible operator-valued formal power series:

$$\partial_t \det(A(t)) = \det(A) \operatorname{tr}(A^{-1} \partial_t A)$$

Note: this formula can be written in a lot of different ways (Cf. Wikipedia).

Jacobi

Lemma (Jacobi's Formula)

If A is an invertible operator-valued formal power series:

$$\partial_t \det(A(t)) = \det(A) \operatorname{tr}(A^{-1} \partial_t A)$$

Proof.

Apply definition of determinant and the Leibniz rule established earlier.

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \det(A(t)))(\omega) &= \partial_t(\det(A(\omega))) = \partial_t(Av_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Av_n) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n Av_1 \wedge \dots \wedge (\partial_t A)v_k \wedge \dots \wedge Av_n \end{aligned}$$

(We want to write this as a trace.)



Jacobi Proof, Continued

Proof, continued.

Invoke the algebraic complement of A , given by $\det(A) \cdot A^{-1}$ for invertible A (there is a general formula as well). Think of it like the adjoint.

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge (\partial_t A) v_k \wedge \dots \wedge A v_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge (\tilde{A} \partial_t A v_k) \wedge \dots \wedge v_n$$

Note that the right-hand side is a trace: $\Lambda^n(\tilde{A} \partial_t A)^1(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n)$. This gives us the desired identity.

$$\partial_t \det(A) = \text{tr}(\tilde{A} \partial_t A) = \text{tr}(\det(A) A^{-1} \partial_t A) = \det(A) \text{tr}(A^{-1} \partial_t A)$$



Proof of Liouville

Let $F(t) = \exp(tA)$. $F(0) = 1$ so it is invertible, so we can apply Jacobi's:

$$\partial_t \det(F(t)) = \det(F(t)) \cdot \operatorname{tr}(F^{-1} \partial_t F)$$

By the characterization of $F(t) = \exp(tA)$, we have
 $F^{-1}(\partial_t F) = F^{-1}(FA) = (F^{-1}F)A = A$.

$$\partial_t \det(F(t)) = \det(F(t)) \cdot \operatorname{tr}(A)$$

$$\text{Let } f(t) = \det(F(t)) \quad \partial_t f(t) = f(t) \cdot \operatorname{tr}(A)$$

By the characterization, $f(t) = \exp(t \cdot \operatorname{tr}(A))$. Hence:

$$\det(\exp(tA)) = \exp(t \cdot \operatorname{tr}(A)) \quad \square$$

Related Identities

Generalization of Liouville's: For $p \leq n = \dim(V)$ with $A \in \text{End}(V)$.
Liouville's is the special case where $p = n$

$$\Lambda^p(\exp(tA))^p = \exp(t(\Lambda^p A^1))$$

Sylvester's Theorem: For $A : V \mapsto W$, $B : W \mapsto V$, we have:

$$\det(I_V + BA) = \det(I_W + AB)$$

An intuitive taste of Jacobi

A nice vignette in Arnold's ODE textbook.

Observation: As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have:

$$\det(I + \epsilon A) = 1 + \epsilon \operatorname{tr}(A) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

We can view this easily via the eigenvalues of A , call them $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

$$\det(I + \epsilon A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \epsilon \lambda_i)$$

Note that the zeroth order term is 1. The second term is (by Vieta)
 $\epsilon(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i) = \epsilon \operatorname{tr}(A)$. Rest of the terms are order ϵ^2 . □

Conclusion

There are a number of ways to view the duality of trace and determinant.

- Definitions and constructions.
- Theorems and analytical connections.

Careful understanding of the basic constructions (wedge product, power series, differential equation for \exp , etc) was key.

Works Cited

Linear Algebra via Exterior Products. Sergei Winitzki. Section 4.5.
Ordinary Differential Equations. VI Arnold. Section 16.