Vector Spaces

Holden Lee*

August 28, 2014

Abstract

I present a formalisation of basic linear algebra based completely on locales, building off HOL-Algebra. It includes the following:

- 1. basic definitions: linear combinations, span, linear independence
- 2. linear transformations
- 3. interpretation of function spaces as vector spaces
- 4. direct sum of vector spaces, sum of subspaces
- 5. the replacement theorem
- 6. existence of bases in finite-dimensional vector spaces, definition of dimension
- 7. rank-nullity theorem.

Note that some concepts are actually defined and proved for modules as they also apply there.

In the process, I also prove some basic facts about rings, modules, and fields, as well as finite sums in monoids/modules.

Note that infinite-dimensional vector spaces are supported, but dimension is only supported for finite-dimensional vector spaces.

The proofs are standard; the proofs of the replacement theorem and rank-nullity theorem roughly follow the presentation in [FIS03]. The rank-nullity theorem generalises the existing development in [DA13] (originally using type classes, now using a mix of type classes and locales).

Further developments will be made available at https://github.com/holdenlee/Isabelle.

Contents

1	Bas	c facts about rings and modules	2
	1.1	Basic facts	2
	1.2	Units group	4

^{*}This work was funded by the Post-Masters Consultancy and the Computer Laboratory at the University of Cambridge.

4	Basic le	mmas about functions	5
3	Sums in	monoids	5
4	Linear	Combinations	8
	4.1 Ler	nmas for simplification	8
	4.2 Lin	ear combinations	9
	4.3 Lin	ear dependence and independence	12
	4.4 Sub	modules	16
5	The dir	ect sum of modules.	2 9
5 6		ect sum of modules. neory of vector spaces, using locales	29 34
_	Basic tl		
_	Basic tl	neory of vector spaces, using locales ic definitions and facts carried over from modules	34
_	Basic tl 6.1 Bas 6.1.	neory of vector spaces, using locales ic definitions and facts carried over from modules	34 34
_	Basic tl 6.1 Bas 6.1. 6.2 Bas	neory of vector spaces, using locales ic definitions and facts carried over from modules 1 Facts specific to vector spaces	34 34 39
_	Basic tl 6.1 Bas 6.1. 6.2 Bas 6.3 The	neory of vector spaces, using locales ic definitions and facts carried over from modules 1 Facts specific to vector spaces	34 34 39 41 43

1 Basic facts about rings and modules

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{theory} \ RingModuleFacts\\ \textbf{imports} \ Main\\ {\sim}{\sim}/src/HOL/Algebra/Module\\ {\sim}{\sim}/src/HOL/Algebra/Coset \end{array}
```

begin

1.1 Basic facts

In a field, every nonzero element has an inverse.

```
lemma (in field) inverse-exists [simp, intro]: assumes h1: a \in carrier\ R and h2: a \neq \mathbf{0}_R shows inv_R\ a \in carrier\ R proof — have 1: Units R = carrier\ R - \{\mathbf{0}_R\} by (rule field-Units) from h1 h2 1 show ?thesis by auto ged
```

Multiplication by 0 in R gives 0. (Note that this fact encompasses smult-l-null as this is for module while that is for algebra, so smult-l-null is redundant.)

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ module) \ lmult-0 \ [simp]: \\ \mathbf{assumes} \ 1: \ m \in carrier \ M \\ \mathbf{shows} \ \mathbf{0}_R \odot_M \ m = \mathbf{0}_M \\ \mathbf{proof} \ - \\ \mathbf{from} \ 1 \ \mathbf{have} \ \theta \colon \mathbf{0}_R \odot_M \ m \in carrier \ M \ \mathbf{by} \ simp \\ \end{array}
```

```
from 1 have 2: \mathbf{0}_R \odot_M m = (\mathbf{0}_R \oplus_R \mathbf{0}_R) \odot_M m by simp
     from 1 have 3: (\mathbf{0}_R \oplus_R \mathbf{0}_R) \odot_M m = (\mathbf{0}_R \odot_M m) \oplus_M (\mathbf{0}_R \odot_M m)
\mathbf{using}\ [[\mathit{simp-trace},\ \mathit{simp-trace-depth-limit} = \mathcal{I}]]
           by (simp add: smult-l-distr del: R.add.r-one R.add.l-one)
     from 2 3 have 4: \mathbf{0}_R \odot_M m = (\mathbf{0}_R \odot_M m) \oplus_M (\mathbf{0}_R \odot_M m) by auto
    from 04 show ?thesis by (metis 1 M.add.l-cancel M.r-zero M.zero-closed)
qed
Multiplication by 0 in M gives 0.
lemma (in module) rmult-0 [simp]:
     assumes \theta: r \in carrier R
     shows r \odot_M \mathbf{0}_M = \mathbf{0}_M
by (metis M.zero-closed R.zero-closed assms lmult-0 r-null smult-assoc1)
Multiplication by -1 is the same as negation. May be useful as
a simp rule.
lemma (in module) smult-minus-1:
     fixes v
     assumes \theta:v \in carrier M
     shows (\ominus_R \mathbf{1}_R) \odot_M v = (\ominus_M v)
proof -
     from \theta have a\theta: \mathbf{1}_R \odot_M v = v by simp
     from \theta have 1: ((\ominus_R \mathbf{1}_R) \ominus_R \mathbf{1}_R) \odot_M v = \mathbf{0}_M
           by (simp \ add:R.l-neg)
        from \theta have \theta: ((\ominus_R \mathbf{1}_R) \oplus_R \mathbf{1}_R) \odot_M v = (\ominus_R \mathbf{1}_R) \odot_M v \oplus_M v 
\mathbf{1}_{R}\odot_{M}v
           by (simp add: smult-l-distr)
    from 12 show? thesis by (metis M.minus-equality R.add.inv-closed
           a0 assms one-closed smult-closed)
ged
The version with equality reversed.
lemmas (in module) smult-minus-1-back = smult-minus-1[THEN
sym
-1 is not 0
lemma (in field) neg-1-not-0 [simp]: \ominus_R \mathbf{1}_R \neq \mathbf{0}_R
by (metis local.minus-minus local.minus-zero one-closed zero-not-one)
Note smult-assoc1 is the wrong way around for simplification.
This is the reverse of smult-assoc1.
lemma (in module) smult-assoc-simp:
[\mid a \in carrier \ R; \ b \in carrier \ R; \ x \in carrier \ M \mid] ==>
                 a \odot_M (b \odot_M x) = (a \otimes b) \odot_M x
by (auto simp add: smult-assoc1)
```

```
lemma (in group) show-r-one [simp]:
 \llbracket a \in carrier \ G; \ b \in carrier \ G \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (a \otimes_G b = a) = (b = \mathbf{1}_G)
by (metis l-inv r-one transpose-inv)
lemma (in group) show-l-one [simp]:
 [a \in carrier \ G; \ b \in carrier \ G] \Longrightarrow (a \otimes_G b = b) = (a = \mathbf{1}_G)
by (metis l-one one-closed r-cancel)
lemmas (in abelian-group) show-r-zero = add.show-r-one
lemmas (in abelian-group) show-l-zero=add.show-l-one
A nontrivial ring has 0 \neq 1.
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ ring) \ nontrivial\text{-}ring \ [simp]:
 assumes carrier R \neq \{\mathbf{0}_R\}
 shows \mathbf{0}_R \neq \mathbf{1}_R
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume 1: \neg (\mathbf{0}_R \neq \mathbf{1}_R)
  {
   \mathbf{fix} \ r
   assume 2: r \in carrier R
   from 1 2 have 3: \mathbf{1}_R \otimes_R r = \mathbf{0}_R \otimes_R r by auto
   from 2 3 have r = \mathbf{0}_R by auto
 from this assms show False by auto
qed
Use as simp rule. To show a - b = 0, it suffices to show a = b.
lemma (in abelian-group) minus-other-side [simp]:
 [a \in carrier \ G; \ b \in carrier \ G] \implies (a \ominus_G b = \mathbf{0}_G) = (a = b)
by (metis add.inv-closed add.r-cancel minus-eq r-neg)
1.2
        Units group
Define the units group R^{\times} and show it is actually a group.
definition units-group::('a,'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a monoid
 where units-group R = (|carrier| = Units R, mult = (\lambda x y. x \otimes_R y),
one = \mathbf{1}_R
The units form a group.
lemma (in ring) units-form-group: group (units-group R)
 apply (intro groupI)
 apply (unfold units-group-def, auto)
 apply (intro\ m\text{-}assoc)
 apply auto
 apply (unfold Units-def)
```

```
apply auto
done

The units of a cring form a commutative group.

lemma (in cring) units-form-cgroup: comm-group (units-group R)
apply (intro comm-groupI)
apply (unfold units-group-def) apply auto
apply (intro m-assoc) apply auto
apply (unfold Units-def) apply auto
```

end

done

2 Basic lemmas about functions

theory FunctionLemmas

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{imports} \ \mathit{Main} \\ \ \sim^{\sim}/\mathit{src/HOL/Library/FuncSet} \\ \mathbf{begin} \end{array}
```

apply (rule m-comm) apply auto

These are used in simplification. Note that the difference from Pi-mem is that the statement about the function comes first, so Isabelle can more easily figure out what S is.

```
lemma PiE\text{-}mem2: f \in S \rightarrow_E T \Longrightarrow x \in S \Longrightarrow f x \in T unfolding PiE\text{-}def by auto lemma Pi\text{-}mem2: f \in S \rightarrow T \Longrightarrow x \in S \Longrightarrow f x \in T unfolding Pi\text{-}def by auto
```

end

3 Sums in monoids

theory MonoidSums

```
imports Main
    ~~/src/HOL/Algebra/Module
    RingModuleFacts
    FunctionLemmas
begin
```

We build on the finite product simplifications in FiniteProduct.thy and the analogous ones for finite sums (see "lemmas" in Ring.thy).

```
Use as an intro rule
lemma (in comm-monoid) factors-equal:
 [a=b; c=d] \implies a \otimes_G c = b \otimes_G d
 by simp
lemma (in comm-monoid) extend-prod:
 fixes a A S
 assumes fin: finite S and subset: A \subseteq S and a: a \in A \rightarrow carrier G
 shows (\bigotimes_G x \in S. (if x \in A \text{ then } a \text{ } x \text{ } else \mathbf{1}_G)) = (\bigotimes_G x \in A. a \text{ } x)
 (\mathbf{is}\ (\bigotimes_G x \in S. ?b\ x) = (\bigotimes_G x \in A. \ a\ x))
proof -
 from subset have uni:S = A \cup (S-A) by auto
 from assms subset show ?thesis
   apply (subst uni)
   apply (subst finprod-Un-disjoint, auto)
  by (auto cong: finprod-cong if-cong elim: finite-subset simp add:Pi-def
finite-subset)
qed
Scalar multiplication distributes over scalar multiplication (on
left).
lemma (in module) finsum-smult:
 [| finite A; c \in carrier R; g \in A \rightarrow carrier M |] ==>
   (c \odot_M finsum \ M \ g \ A) = finsum \ M \ (\%x. \ c \odot_M \ g \ x) \ A
proof (induct set: finite)
 case empty
 from \langle c \in carrier \ R \rangle show ?case
   by simp
next
 case (insert a A)
 from insert.hyps insert.prems have 1: finsum M g (insert a A) =
g \ a \oplus_M finsum M g A
   by (intro finsum-insert, auto)
 from insert.hyps insert.prems have 2: (\bigoplus_{M} x \in insert \ a \ A. \ c \odot_{M} g
(x) = c \odot_M g \ a \oplus_M (\bigoplus_{M} x \in A. \ c \odot_M g \ x)
   by (intro finsum-insert, auto)
 from insert.hyps insert.prems show ?case
   by (auto simp add:1 2 smult-r-distr finsum-closed)
qed
Scalar multiplication distributes over scalar multiplication (on
lemma (in module) finsum-smult-r:
 [\mid finite \ A; \ v \in carrier \ M; \ f \in A \ -> carrier \ R \mid] ==>
   (finsum \ R \ f \ A \odot_M v) = finsum \ M \ (\%x. \ f \ x \odot_M v) \ A
proof (induct set: finite)
```

```
case empty
 from \langle v \in carrier \ M \rangle show ?case
   by simp
next
 case (insert a A)
 from insert.hyps insert.prems have 1: finsum R f (insert a A) = f
a \oplus_R finsum R f A
   by (intro R.finsum-insert, auto)
 from insert.hyps insert.prems have 2: (\bigoplus_{M} x \in insert \ a \ A. \ f \ x \odot_{M}
v) = f \ a \odot_M v \oplus_M (\bigoplus_{M} x \in A. \ f \ x \odot_M v)
   by (intro finsum-insert, auto)
 from insert.hyps insert.prems show ?case
   by (auto simp add:1 2 smult-l-distr finsum-closed)
qed
A sequence of lemmas that shows that the product does not
depend on the ambient group. Note I had to dig back into the
definitions of foldSet to show this.
lemma foldSet-not-depend:
 fixes A E
 assumes h1: D\subseteq E
 shows foldSetD D f e \subseteq foldSetD E f e
 from h1 have 1: \bigwedge x1 x2. (x1,x2) \in foldSetD D f e \Longrightarrow (x1, x2) \in foldSetD
foldSetD \ E \ f \ e
 proof -
   fix x1 x2
   assume 2: (x1,x2) \in foldSetD \ D \ f \ e
   from h1 2 show ?thesis x1 x2
   apply (intro foldSetD.induct[where ?D=D and ?f=f and ?e=e
and ?x1.0=x1 and ?x2.0=x2
       and ?P = \lambda x1 \ x2. \ ((x1, x2) \in foldSetD \ E \ f \ e)])
     apply auto
    apply (intro\ emptyI,\ auto)
   by (intro insertI, auto)
 qed
 from 1 show ?thesis by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ fold D\text{-}not\text{-}depend\colon
 fixes D E B f e A
 assumes h1: LCD \ B \ D \ f and h2: LCD \ B \ E \ f and h3: D \subseteq E and
h4: e \in D and h5: A \subseteq B and h6: finite B
 shows foldD \ D \ f \ e \ A = foldD \ E \ f \ e \ A
 from assms have 1: \exists y. (A,y) \in foldSetD \ D \ f \ e
   apply (intro finite-imp-foldSetD, auto)
```

apply (metis finite-subset) **by** (unfold LCD-def, auto)

```
from 1 obtain y where 2: (A,y) \in foldSetD \ D \ f \ e by auto
 from assms 2 have 3: foldD D f e A = y by (intro LCD.foldD-equality[of
B], auto)
 from h3 have 4: foldSetD D f e \subseteq foldSetD E f e by (rule foldSet-not-depend)
 from 2 4 have 5: (A,y) \in foldSetD \ E \ f \ e \ by \ auto
 from assms 5 have 6: foldD \ Efe \ A = y by (intro\ LCD.foldD-equality] of
B], auto)
 from 3 6 show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in comm-monoid) finprod-all1[simp]:
 assumes fin: finite A and all1: \bigwedge a. a \in A \Longrightarrow f = 1_G
 shows (\bigotimes_G a \in A. f a) = \mathbf{1}_G
proof -
 from assms show ?thesis
   by (simp cong: finprod-cong)
context abelian-monoid
begin
lemmas summands-equal = add.factors-equal
lemmas extend-sum = add.extend-prod
lemmas finsum-all0 = add.finprod-all1
end
end
```

4 Linear Combinations

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{theory } Linear Combinations \\ \textbf{imports } Main \\ ~~/src/HOL/Algebra/Module \\ ~~/src/HOL/Algebra/Coset \\ Ring Module Facts \\ Monoid Sums \\ Function Lemmas \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

4.1 Lemmas for simplification

The following are helpful in certain simplifications (esp. congruence rules). Warning: arbitrary use leads to looping.

```
lemma (in ring) coeff-in-ring: [a \in A \rightarrow carrier \ R; \ x \in A]] \implies a \ x \in carrier \ R by (metis \ Pi-mem)
```

```
\llbracket x \in A; a \in A \rightarrow carrier \ R \rrbracket \implies a \ x \in carrier \ R
by (metis Pi-mem)
lemma ring-subset-carrier:
  [x \in A; A \subseteq carrier R] \implies x \in carrier R
by auto
A hack to not cause an infinite loop with \rightarrow simplification.
definition Pi2::('a\ set) \Rightarrow ('b\ set) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)\ set
  where Pi2\ A\ B = A \rightarrow B
lemma Pi-implies-Pi2:
  a \in A \rightarrow B \implies a \in Pi2 A B
by (unfold Pi2-def, auto)
lemma Pi-mem-Pi2:
  [a \in Pi2 \ S \ T; x \in S] \implies a \ x \in T
\mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{unfold}\ \mathit{Pi2-def},\ \mathit{rule}\ \mathit{Pi-mem2})
lemma Pi-mem-Pi2-sub1:
  \llbracket a \in Pi2 \ S \ T; \ x \in A; \ A \subseteq S \rrbracket \implies a \ x \in T
by (unfold Pi2-def, auto intro: Pi-mem2)
lemma Pi-mem-Pi2-sub2:
  \llbracket a \in Pi2 \ S \ T; \ x \in S; \ T \subseteq U \rrbracket \implies a \ x \in U
by (unfold Pi2-def, auto intro: Pi-mem2)
lemma disj-if:
  [A \cap B = \{\}; x \in B] \implies (if x \in A \text{ then } f x \text{ else } g x) = g x
by auto
\mathbf{lemmas}\ \textit{Pi-simp}\ =\ \textit{Pi-mem-Pi2-sub1}\ \textit{Pi-mem-Pi2-sub2}
lemmas (in module) sum-simp = Pi-simp ring-subset-carrier
4.2
        Linear combinations
A linear combination is \sum_{v \in A} a_v v. (a_v)_{v \in S} is a function A \to K,
where A \subseteq K.
definition (in module) lincomb::['c \Rightarrow 'a, 'c \ set]\Rightarrow 'c
where lincomb a A = (\bigoplus_{M} v \in A. (a v \odot_{M} v))
lemma (in module) summands-valid:
  fixes A a
  assumes h2: A \subseteq carrier\ M and h3: a \in (A \rightarrow carrier\ R)
```

 $\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{ring}) \ \mathit{coeff-in-ring2} \colon$

```
shows \forall v \in A. (((a \ v) \odot_M v) \in carrier M)
proof -
 from assms show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in module) lincomb-closed [simp, intro]:
 fixes S a
 assumes h1: finite S and h2: S \subseteq carrier\ M and h3: a \in (S \rightarrow carrier\ M)
R
 shows lincomb a S \in carrier M
proof -
  from h1 h2 h3 show ?thesis by (unfold lincomb-def, auto in-
tro:finsum-closed)
qed
lemma (in comm-monoid) finprod-conq2:
 [\mid A = B;
    !!i. i \in B ==> f i = g i; f \in B -> carrier G|| ==>
finprod G f A = finprod G g B
by (intro finprod-cong, auto)
lemmas (in abelian-monoid) finsum-cong2 = add.finprod-cong2
lemma (in module) lincomb-cong:
 fixes a b A B
 assumes h1: finite (A) and h2: A=B and h3: A \subseteq carrier\ M
   and h4: \land v. \ v \in A \Longrightarrow a \ v = b \ v \ \text{and} \ h5: b \in B \rightarrow carrier \ R
 shows lincomb a A = lincomb b B
proof -
 from assms show ?thesis
   apply (unfold lincomb-def)
   apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
   by (simp cong: finsum-cong2 add: h2 Pi-simp ring-subset-carrier)
qed
lemma (in module) lincomb-union:
 fixes a A B
 assumes h1: finite (A \cup B) and h3: A \cup B \subseteq carrier M
   and h4: A \cap B = \{\} and h5: a \in (A \cup B \rightarrow carrier R)
 shows lincomb a (A \cup B) = lincomb a A \oplus_M lincomb a B
proof -
 from assms show ?thesis
   apply (unfold lincomb-def)
   apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)
    by (simp cong: finsum-cong2 add: finsum-Un-disjoint Pi-simp
ring-subset-carrier)
qed
```

This is useful as a simp rule sometimes, for combining linear

```
combinations.
lemma (in module) lincomb-union2:
 fixes a \ b \ A \ B
 assumes h1: finite (A \cup B) and h3: A \cup B \subseteq carrier M
   and h4: A \cap B = \{\} and h5: a \in A \rightarrow carrier R \text{ and } h6: b \in B \rightarrow carrier
R
 shows lincomb a A \oplus_M lincomb b B = lincomb (\lambda v. if (v \in A) then
a \ v \ else \ b \ v) \ (A \cup B)
   (is lincomb \ a \ A \oplus_M lincomb \ b \ B = lincomb \ ?c \ (A \cup B))
proof -
 from assms show ?thesis
   apply (unfold lincomb-def)
   apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
     by (simp cong: finsum-cong2 add: finsum-Un-disjoint Pi-simp
ring-subset-carrier disj-if)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma (in module) lincomb-del2:
 fixes S \ a \ v
 assumes h1: finite S and h2: S \subseteq carrier\ M and h3: a \in (S \rightarrow carrier\ M)
R) and h4:v\in S
 shows lincomb a S = ((a \ v) \odot_M v) \oplus_M \text{lincomb a } (S - \{v\})
 from h4 have 1: S=\{v\}\cup(S-\{v\}) by (metis insert-Diff insert-is-Un)
 from assms show ?thesis
   apply (subst 1)
   apply (subst lincomb-union, auto)
   by (unfold lincomb-def, auto simp add: coeff-in-ring)
qed
lemma (in module) lincomb-insert:
 fixes S \ a \ v
assumes h1: finite S and h2: S \subseteq carrier M and h3: a \in (S \cup \{v\} \rightarrow carrier
R) and h4:v\notin S and
h5:v \in carrier\ M
 shows lincomb a (S \cup \{v\}) = ((a \ v) \odot_M v) \oplus_M lincomb a \ S
proof -
 have 1: S \cup \{v\} = \{v\} \cup S by auto
 from assms show ?thesis
   apply (subst 1)
   apply (unfold lincomb-def)
   apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
     by (simp cong: finsum-cong2 add: finsum-Un-disjoint Pi-simp
ring-subset-carrier disj-if)
```

lemma (in module) lincomb-elim-if [simp]:

qed

```
fixes b \ c \ S
 assumes h0:finite S and h1: S \subseteq carrier\ M and h2: \bigwedge v.\ v \in S \Longrightarrow
\neg P \ v \ \mathbf{and} \ h\beta \colon c \in S \rightarrow carrier \ R
 shows lincomb (\lambda w. if P w then b w else c w) S = lincomb \ c \ S
proof -
 from assms show ?thesis
   apply (unfold lincomb-def)
   apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
     by (simp cong: finsum-cong2 add: finsum-Un-disjoint Pi-simp
ring-subset-carrier disj-if)
qed
lemma (in module) lincomb-smult:
 fixes A c
 assumes h1: finite A and h2: A \subseteq carrier\ M and h3: a \in A \rightarrow carrier
R and h4: c \in carrier R
 shows lincomb (\lambda w. c \otimes_R a w) A = c \odot_M (lincomb a A)
proof -
 from assms show ?thesis
   apply (unfold lincomb-def)
   apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
   by (simp cong: finsum-cong2 add: finsum-Un-disjoint finsum-smult
Pi-simp ring-subset-carrier disj-if
smult-assoc1 coeff-in-ring)
qed
```

4.3 Linear dependence and independence.

A set S in a module/vectorspace is linearly dependent if there is a finite set $A \subseteq S$ and coefficients $(a_v)_{v \in A}$ such that $sum_{v \in A} a_v v = 0$ and for some $v, a_v \neq 0$.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition (in } \textit{module) } \textit{lin-dep } \textbf{where} \\ \textit{lin-dep } S = (\exists \, A \ a \ v. \ (\textit{finite } A \land A \subseteq S \land (a \in (A \rightarrow \textit{carrier } R)) \land (\textit{lincomb } a \ A = \mathbf{0}_M) \land (v \in A) \land (a \ v \neq \mathbf{0}_R))) \end{array}
```

```
abbreviation (in module) lin-indpt::'c set \Rightarrow bool where lin-indpt S \equiv \neg lin-dep S
```

In the finite case, we can take A = S. This may be more convenient (e.g., when adding two linear combinations.

```
lemma (in module) finite-lin-dep: fixes S assumes finS:finite S and ld: lin-dep S and inC: S \subseteq carrier M shows \exists \ a \ v. \ (a \in (S \rightarrow carrier \ R)) \ \land \ (lincomb \ a \ S = \mathbf{0}_M) \ \land \ (v \in S) \land \ (a \ v \neq \mathbf{0}_R) proof -
```

```
from ld obtain A a v where A: (A \subseteq S \land (a \in (A \rightarrow carrier R)) \land
(lincomb\ a\ A = \mathbf{0}_M) \land (v \in A) \land (a\ v \neq \mathbf{0}_R))
    by (unfold lin-dep-def, auto)
  let ?b=\lambda w. if w \in A then a w else \mathbf{0}_R
  from finS inC A have if-in: (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. (if \ v \in A \ then \ a \ v \ else \ \mathbf{0})
\bigcirc_M v) = (\bigoplus_M v \in S. \ (if \ v \in A \ then \ a \ v \bigcirc_M v \ else \ \mathbf{0}_M))
    apply auto
      apply (intro finsum-cong')
    by (auto simp add: coeff-in-ring)
  from finS \ inC \ A have b: lincomb \ ?b \ S = \mathbf{0}_M
    apply (unfold lincomb-def)
    apply (subst if-in)
    by (subst extend-sum, auto)
  from A b show ?thesis
    apply (rule-tac x = ?b in exI)
    apply (rule-tac x=v in exI)
    by auto
qed
Criteria of linear dependency in a easy format to apply: apply
(rule lin-dep-crit)
lemma (in module) lin-dep-crit:
  fixes A S a v
  assumes fin: finite A and subset: A \subseteq S and h1: (a \in (A \rightarrow carrier
R)) and h2: v \in A
    and h3:a \ v \neq \mathbf{0}_R and h4: (lincomb \ a \ A = \mathbf{0}_M)
  shows lin-dep S
proof -
  from assms show ?thesis
    by (unfold lin-dep-def, auto)
If \sum_{v \in A} a_v v = 0 implies a_v = 0 for all v \in S, then A is linearly
independent.
lemma (in module) finite-lin-indpt2:
 fixes A
  assumes A-fin: finite A and AinC: A \subseteq carrier\ M and
   lc\theta: \bigwedge a. \ a \in (A \rightarrow carrier \ R) \Longrightarrow (lincomb \ a \ A = \mathbf{0}_M) \Longrightarrow (\forall \ v \in A.
a \ v = \mathbf{0}_R
  shows lin-indpt A
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume \neg lin \text{-} indpt A
  from A-fin AinC this obtain a v where av:
   (a \in (A \rightarrow carrier\ R)) \land (lincomb\ a\ A = \mathbf{0}_M) \land (v \in A) \land (a\ v \neq \mathbf{0}_R)
    by (metis finite-lin-dep)
  from av lc0 show False by auto
qed
```

Any set containing 0 is linearly dependent.

```
lemma (in module) zero-lin-dep:
 assumes \theta: \mathbf{0}_M \in S and nonzero: carrier R \neq \{\mathbf{0}_R\}
 shows lin-dep S
proof -
 from nonzero have zero-not-one: \mathbf{0}_R \neq \mathbf{1}_R by (rule nontrivial-ring)
 from \theta zero-not-one show ?thesis
    apply (unfold lin-dep-def)
    apply (rule-tac x = \{\mathbf{0}_M\} in exI)
    apply (rule-tac x = (\lambda v. \mathbf{1}_R) in exI)
    \mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{rule-tac}\ x{=}\mathbf{0}_{M}\ \mathbf{in}\ \mathit{exI})
    by (unfold lincomb-def, auto)
qed
lemma (in module) zero-nin-lin-indpt:
 assumes h2: S \subseteq carrier\ M and li: \neg(lin\text{-}dep\ S) and nonzero: carrier
R \neq \{\mathbf{0}_R\}
 shows \mathbf{0}_M \notin S
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume a1: \neg (\mathbf{0}_M \notin S)
 from a1 have a2: \mathbf{0}_M \in S by auto
 from a2 nonzero have ld: lin-dep S by (rule zero-lin-dep)
 from li ld show False by auto
qed
The span of S is the set of linear combinations with A \subseteq S.
definition (in module) span::'c set \Rightarrow'c set
 where span S = \{lincomb \ a \ A \mid a \ A. \ finite \ A \land A \subseteq S \land a \in (A \rightarrow carrier) \}
R)
The span interpreted as a module or vectorspace.
abbreviation (in module) span-vs::'c set \Rightarrow ('a,'c,'d) module-scheme
 where span-vs S \equiv M \ (|carrier := span S|)
In the finite case, we can take A = S without loss of generality.
lemma (in module) finite-span:
 assumes fin: finite S and inC: S \subseteq carrier\ M
 shows span S = \{lincomb \ a \ S \mid a. \ a \in (S \rightarrow carrier \ R)\}
proof (rule\ equalityI)
  {
    \mathbf{fix} \ A \ a
    assume subset: A \subseteq S and a: a \in A \rightarrow carrier R
    let ?b = (\lambda v. if v \in A then a v else 0)
     from fin in C subset a have if-in: (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. ?b v \odot_{M} v) =
(\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. \ (if \ v \in A \ then \ a \ v \odot_{M} \ v \ else \ \mathbf{0}_{M}))
     apply (intro finsum-cong')
       by (auto simp add: coeff-in-ring)
   from fin in C subset a have \exists b. lincomb a A = lincomb \ b \ S \land b \in
S \rightarrow carrier R
```

```
apply (rule-tac x = ?b in exI)
     apply (unfold lincomb-def, auto)
     apply (subst if-in)
     by (subst extend-sum, auto)
  from this show span S \subseteq \{lincomb\ a\ S\ | a.\ a \in S \rightarrow carrier\ R\}
    by (unfold span-def, auto)
  from fin show {lincomb a S \mid a. \ a \in S \rightarrow carrier \ R} \subseteq span \ S
    by (unfold span-def, auto)
qed
If v \in \text{span S}, then we can find a linear combination. This is in
an easy to apply format (e.g. obtain a A where...)
lemma (in module) in-span:
  fixes S v
  assumes h2: S \subseteq carrier\ V and h3: v \in span\ S
 shows \exists a \ A. \ (A \subseteq S \land (a \in A \rightarrow carrier \ R) \land (lincomb \ a \ A = v))
  from h2 h3 show ?thesis
    apply (unfold span-def)
    by auto
qed
In the finite case, we can take A = S.
lemma (in module) finite-in-span:
  fixes S v
  assumes fin: finite S and h2: S \subseteq carrier\ M and h3: v \in span\ S
 shows \exists a. (a \in S \rightarrow carrier R) \land (lincomb \ a \ S = v)
  from fin h2 have fin-span: span S = \{lincomb \ a \ S \mid a. \ a \in S \rightarrow a. \ a \in S \}
carrier R} by (rule finite-span)
  from h3 fin-span show ?thesis by auto
qed
If a subset is linearly independent, then any linear combination
that is 0 must have a nonzero coefficient outside that set.
lemma (in module) lincomb-must-include:
  fixes A S T b v
  assumes inC: T \subseteq carrier\ M and li: lin-indpt\ S and Ssub:\ S \subseteq T
and Ssub: A \subseteq T
    and fin: finite A
    and b: b \in A \rightarrow carrier R and lc: lincomb \ b \ A = \mathbf{0}_M and v - in: v \in A
    and nz-coeff: b \ v \neq \mathbf{0}_R
  shows \exists w \in A - S. b \neq \mathbf{0}_R
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume \theta: \neg(\exists w \in A - S. b w \neq \mathbf{0}_R)
  from \theta have 1: \bigwedge w. \ w \in A - S \Longrightarrow b \ w = \mathbf{0}_R by auto
```

```
have Auni: A=(S\cap A)\cup (A-S) by auto
 from fin b Ssub inC 1 have 2: lincomb b A = lincomb \ b \ (S \cap A)
    apply (subst Auni)
    apply (subst lincomb-union, auto)
    apply (unfold lincomb-def)
    apply (subst (2) finsum-all0, auto)
    by (subst show-r-zero, auto intro!: finsum-closed)
 from 1 2 assms have ld: lin-dep S
   apply (intro lin-dep-crit[where ?A=S\cap A and ?a=b and ?v=v])
    by auto
 from ld li show False by auto
qed
A generating set is a set such that the span of S is all of M.
abbreviation (in module) gen\text{-}set::'c \ set \Rightarrow bool
 where gen-set S \equiv (span \ S = carrier \ M)
        Submodules
4.4
lemma module-criteria:
 fixes R and M
 assumes cring: cring R
      and zero: \mathbf{0}_M \in carrier\ M
     and add: \forall v \ w. \ v \in carrier \ M \land w \in carrier \ M \longrightarrow v \oplus_M \ w \in carrier
M
      and neg: \forall v \in carrier \ M. \ (\exists neg-v \in carrier \ M. \ v \oplus_M neg-v = \mathbf{0}_M)
       and smult: \forall c \ v. \ c \in carrier \ R \land v \in carrier \ M \longrightarrow c \odot_M v \in conversion 
carrier M
    and comm: \forall v w. v \in carrier M \land w \in carrier M \longrightarrow v \oplus_M w = w \oplus_M
       and assoc: \forall v \ w \ x. \ v \in carrier \ M \ \land \ w \in carrier \ M \ \land \ x \in carrier
M \longrightarrow (v \oplus_M w) \oplus_M x = v \oplus_M (w \oplus_M x)
      and add-id: \forall v \in carrier M. (v \oplus_M \mathbf{0}_M = v)
      and compat: \forall a \ b \ v. \ a \in carrier \ R \ \land \ b \in carrier \ R \ \land \ v \in carrier
M \longrightarrow (a \otimes_R b) \odot_M v = a \odot_M (b \odot_M v)
      and smult-id: \forall v \in carrier \ M. \ (\mathbf{1}_R \odot_M v = v)
       and dist-f: \forall a \ b \ v. \ a \in carrier \ R \ \land \ b \in carrier \ R \ \land \ v \in carrier
M \longrightarrow (a \oplus_R b) \odot_M v = (a \odot_M v) \oplus_M (b \odot_M v)
     and dist-add: \forall a \ v \ w. \ a \in carrier \ R \ \land \ v \in carrier \ M \ \land \ w \in carrier
M \longrightarrow a \odot_M (v \oplus_M w) = (a \odot_M v) \oplus_M (a \odot_M w)
 \mathbf{shows}\ module\ R\ M
proof -
 from assms have 2: abelian-group M
    by (intro abelian-groupI, auto)
 from assms have 3: module-axioms R M
    by (unfold module-axioms-def, auto)
 from 2 3 crinq show ?thesis
    by (unfold module-def module-def, auto)
```

qed

A submodule is $N \subseteq M$ that is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, and contains 0 (so is not empty).

```
locale submodule =
 fixes R and N and M (structure)
 assumes module: module R M
   and subset: N \subseteq carrier M
   and m-closed [intro, simp]: \llbracket v \in N; w \in N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow v \oplus w \in N
   and zero-closed [simp]: \mathbf{0} \in N
   and smult-closed [intro, simp]: [c \in carrier \ R; \ v \in N] \implies c \odot v \in C \odot v
N
abbreviation (in module) md::'c \ set \Rightarrow ('a, 'c, 'd) \ module\text{-scheme}
 where md N \equiv M(carrier := N)
lemma (in module) carrier-vs-is-self [simp]:
 carrier (md N) = N
 by auto
lemma (in module) submodule-is-module:
 fixes N::'c set
 assumes \theta: submodule\ R\ N\ M
 shows module R \pmod{N}
proof (unfold module-def, auto)
 show 1: cring R..
next
 from assms show 2: abelian-group (md N)
   apply (unfold submodule-def)
   apply (intro abelian-groupI, auto)
   apply (metis (no-types, hide-lams) M.add.m-assoc contra-subsetD)
   apply (metis (no-types, hide-lams) M.add.m-comm contra-subsetD)
   apply (rename-tac\ v)
The inverse of v under addition is -v
   apply (rule-tac \ x=\ominus_M v \ \mathbf{in} \ bexI)
    apply (metis M.l-neg contra-subsetD)
   by (metis R.add.inv-closed one-closed smult-minus-1 subset-iff)
next
 from assms show 3: module-axioms R \pmod{N}
   apply (unfold module-axioms-def submodule-def, auto)
    \mathbf{apply}\ (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ hide\text{-}lams)\ smult\text{-}l\text{-}distr\ contra\text{-}subsetD)
    apply (metis (no-types, hide-lams) smult-r-distr contra-subsetD)
   by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) smult-assoc1 contra-subsetD)
N_1 + N_2 = \{x + y | x \in N_1, y \in N_2\}
definition (in module) submodule-sum:: ['c \ set, \ 'c \ set] \Rightarrow \ 'c \ set
```

```
\land y \in N2
A module homomorphism M \to N preserves addition and scalar
multiplication.
definition module-hom:: [('a, 'c0) ring-scheme,
('a,'b1,'c1) module-scheme, ('a,'b2,'c2) module-scheme] \Rightarrow ('b1 \Rightarrow 'b2)
 where module-hom R M N = \{f.
   ((f \in carrier\ M \to carrier\ N)
   \land (\forall m1 \ m2. \ m1 \in carrier \ M \land m2 \in carrier \ M \longrightarrow f \ (m1 \oplus_M m2)
= (f m1) \oplus_N (f m2)
   \land (\forall r \ m. \ r \in carrier \ R \land \ m \in carrier \ M \longrightarrow f \ (r \odot_M \ m) = r \odot_N (f )
m)))\}
lemma module-hom-closed: f \in module-hom R M N \Longrightarrow f \in carrier M
\rightarrow carrier N
by (unfold module-hom-def, auto)
lemma module-hom-add: [f \in module-hom \ R \ M \ N; \ m1 \in carrier \ M;
m2 \in carrier \ M \ ] \Longrightarrow f \ (m1 \oplus_M m2) = (f \ m1) \oplus_N (f \ m2)
by (unfold module-hom-def, auto)
lemma module-hom-smult: [f \in module-hom \ R \ M \ N; \ r \in carrier \ R;
m \in carrier \ M \ ] \implies f \ (r \odot_M m) = r \odot_N (f m)
by (unfold module-hom-def, auto)
locale mod-hom =
 M: module R M + N: module R N
   for R and M and N +
 fixes f
 assumes f-hom: f \in module-hom R M N
 \mathbf{notes}\ f\text{-}add\ [simp] = module\text{-}hom\text{-}add\ [OF\ f\text{-}hom]
   and f-smult [simp] = module-hom-smult [OF f-hom]
Some basic simplification rules for module homomorphisms.
context mod-hom
begin
lemma f-im [simp, intro]:
assumes v \in carrier M
shows f v \in carrier N
proof -
 have \theta: mod-hom\ R\ M\ N\ f..
 from 0 assms show ?thesis
   apply (unfold mod-hom-def module-hom-def mod-hom-axioms-def
Pi-def
   by auto
```

where submodule-sum N1 N2 = $(\lambda(x,y). x \oplus_M y)$ ' $\{(x,y). x \in N1\}$

qed

```
definition im:: 'e set
 where im = f'(carrier M)
definition ker:: 'c set
 where ker = \{v. \ v \in carrier \ M \ \& f \ v = \mathbf{0}_N\}
lemma f\theta-is-\theta[simp]: f \mathbf{0}_M = \mathbf{0}_N
proof -
 have 1: f \mathbf{0}_M = f (\mathbf{0}_R \odot_M \mathbf{0}_M) by simp
 have 2: f(\mathbf{0}_R \odot_M \mathbf{0}_M) = \mathbf{0}_N by (simp del: M.lmult-0 M.rmult-0
add:f-smult f-im)
 from 1 2 show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma f-neg [simp]:
 v \in carrier \ M \Longrightarrow f \ (\ominus_M \ v) = \ominus_N f \ v
by (simp add: M.smult-minus-1 [THEN sym] N.smult-minus-1 [THEN
sym [f-smult)
lemma f-minus [simp]:
 \llbracket v \in carrier \ M; \ w \in carrier \ M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow f \ (v \ominus_M w) = f \ v \ominus_N f \ w
by (simp add: a-minus-def f-neg f-add)
lemma ker-is-submodule: submodule R ker M
proof -
 have \theta: mod-hom\ R\ M\ N\ f..
 from 0 have 1: module R M by (unfold mod-hom-def, auto)
 show ?thesis
   by (rule submodule.intro, auto simp add: ker-def, rule 1)
qed
lemma im-is-submodule: submodule R im N
proof -
  have 1: im \subseteq carrier \ N by (auto simp \ add: im-def image-def
mod-hom-def module-hom-def f-im)
 have 2: \bigwedge w1 \ w2. \llbracket w1 \in im; \ w2 \in im \rrbracket \implies w1 \oplus_N w2 \in im
 proof -
   fix w1 w2
   assume w1: w1 \in im and w2: w2 \in im
    from w1 obtain v1 where 3: v1 \in carrier M \land f v1 = w1 by
(unfold im-def, auto)
    from w2 obtain v2 where 4: v2 \in carrier M \land f v2 = w2 by
(unfold im-def, auto)
   from 3 4 have 5: f(v1 \oplus_M v2) = w1 \oplus_N w2 by simp
   from 3 4 have 6: v1 \oplus_M v2 \in carrier M by simp
   from 5 6 have 7: \exists x \in carrier M. \ w1 \oplus_N w2 = f x \ \text{by } met is
   from 7 show ?thesis w1 w2 by (unfold im-def image-def, auto)
 qed
```

```
have \beta: \mathbf{0}_N \in im
 proof -
   have 8: f \mathbf{0}_M = \mathbf{0}_N \wedge \mathbf{0}_M \in carrier M by auto
   from 8 have 9: \exists x \in carrier M. \mathbf{0}_N = f x \text{ by } metis
   from 9 show ?thesis by (unfold im-def image-def, auto)
 qed
 have 4: \bigwedge c \ w. \ [c \in carrier \ R; \ w \in im] \implies c \odot_N \ w \in im
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ c \ w
   assume c: c \in carrier R and w: w \in im
   from w obtain v where 10: v \in carrier M \wedge f v = w by (unfold
   from c 10 have 11: f(c \odot_M v) = c \odot_N w \land (c \odot_M v \in carrier M)
by auto
   from 11 have 12: \exists v1 \in carrier M. \ c \odot_N w = f v1 by metis
   from 12 show ?thesis c w by (unfold im-def image-def, auto)
 from 1 2 3 4 show ?thesis by (unfold-locales, auto)
qed
lemma (in mod-hom) f-ker:
 v \in ker \Longrightarrow f v = \mathbf{0}_N
by (unfold ker-def, auto)
end
We will show that for any set S, the space of functions S \to K
forms a vector space.
definition (in ring) func-space:: z = (a, (z \Rightarrow a)) module
 where func-space S = (|carrier| = S \rightarrow_E carrier R,
                 mult = (\lambda f g. restrict (\lambda v. \mathbf{0}_R) S),
                 one = restrict (\lambda v. \mathbf{0}_R) S,
                 zero = restrict (\lambda v. \mathbf{0}_R) S,
                 add = (\lambda f g. restrict (\lambda v. f v \oplus_R g v) S),
                 smult = (\lambda \ c \ f. \ restrict \ (\lambda v. \ c \otimes_R f \ v) \ S)
lemma (in cring) func-space-is-module:
 fixes S
 shows module R (func-space S)
proof -
have \theta: cring R..
from \theta show ?thesis
 apply (auto intro!: module-criteria simp add: func-space-def)
          apply (auto simp add: module-def)
        apply (rename-tac\ f)
        apply (rule-tac x=restrict (\lambda v'. \ominus_R (f v')) S in bexI)
      apply (auto simp add:restrict-def cong: if-cong split: split-if-asm,
auto)
        apply (auto simp add: a-ac PiE-mem2 r-neg)
     apply (unfold PiE-def extensional-def Pi-def)
```

```
qed
Note: one can define M^n from this.
A linear combination is a module homomorphism from the space
of coefficients to the module, (a_v) \mapsto \sum_{v \in S} a_v v.
lemma (in module) lincomb-is-mod-hom:
  fixes S
  assumes h: finite S and h2: S \subseteq carrier M
  shows mod\text{-}hom\ R\ (func\text{-}space\ S)\ M\ (\lambda a.\ lincomb\ a\ S)
proof -
 have \theta: module R M..
    fix m1 m2
    assume m1: m1 \in S \rightarrow_E carrier R and m2: m2 \in S \rightarrow_E carrier
R
    from h h2 m1 m2 have a1: (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. (\lambda v \in S. m1 v \oplus_{R} m2 v) v
\odot_M v) =
       (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. \ m1 \ v \odot_{M} v \oplus_{M} m2 \ v \odot_{M} v)
      by (intro finsum-cong', auto simp add: smult-l-distr PiE-mem2)
    from h h2 m1 m2 have a2: (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. m1 v \odot_{M} v \oplus_{M} m2 v \odot_{M}
      (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. \ m1 \ v \odot_{M} v) \oplus_{M} (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. \ m2 \ v \odot_{M} v)
      by (intro finsum-addf, auto)
    from all all have \bigoplus Mv \in S. (\lambda v \in S, ml \ v \oplus ml \ v) \ v \odot_M v) =
        (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. \ m1 \ v \odot_{M} v) \oplus_{M} (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. \ m2 \ v \odot_{M} v)  by auto
  hence 1: \bigwedge m1 \ m2.
       m1 \in S \rightarrow_E carrier R \Longrightarrow
       m2 \in S \rightarrow_E carrier R \Longrightarrow (\bigoplus_M v \in S. (\lambda v \in S. m1 \ v \oplus m2 \ v) \ v
\odot_M v) =
       (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. \ m1 \ v \odot_{M} v) \oplus_{M} (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. \ m2 \ v \odot_{M} v)  by auto
    \mathbf{fix} \ r \ m
    assume r: r \in carrier R and m: m \in S \rightarrow_E carrier R
   from h \ h2 \ r \ m have b1: r \odot_M (\bigoplus_M v \in S. \ m \ v \odot_M v) = (\bigoplus_M v \in S.
r \odot_M (m \ v \odot_M v))
      by (intro finsum-smult, auto)
    from h \ h2 \ r \ m have b2: (\bigoplus_{M} v \in S. \ (\lambda v \in S. \ r \otimes m \ v) \ v \odot_{M} v) =
r \odot_M (\bigoplus_M v \in S. \ m \ v \odot_M v)
      apply (subst b1)
      apply (intro finsum-cong', auto)
      by (subst smult-assoc1, auto)
  hence 2: \land r \ m. \ r \in carrier \ R \Longrightarrow
           m \in S \to_E carrier R \Longrightarrow (\bigoplus_M v \in S. (\lambda v \in S. r \otimes m v) v \odot_M
v) = r \odot_M (\bigoplus_M v \in S. \ m \ v \odot_M v)
```

by (auto simp add: m-assoc l-distr r-distr)

by auto

```
from h h2 0 1 2 show ?thesis
   apply (unfold mod-hom-def, auto)
    apply (rule func-space-is-module)
   apply (unfold mod-hom-axioms-def module-hom-def, auto)
    apply (rule lincomb-closed, unfold func-space-def, auto)
    apply (unfold lincomb-def)
    by auto
qed
lemma (in module) lincomb-sum:
assumes A-fin: finite A and AinC: A \subseteq carrier\ M and a-fun: a \in A \rightarrow carrier
R and
   b-fun: b \in A \rightarrow carrier R
 shows lincomb (\lambda v. \ a\ v \oplus_R \ b\ v)\ A = lincomb\ a\ A \oplus_M lincomb\ b\ A
proof -
 from A-fin AinC interpret mh: mod-hom R func-space A M (\lambda a.
lincomb \ a \ A) by (rule
   lincomb-is-mod-hom)
 let ?a = restrict \ a \ A
 let ?b = restrict \ b \ A
 from a-fun b-fun A-fin AinC
 \textbf{have 1:} \ Linear Combinations. module. lincomb\ M\ (\ ?a \oplus_{(Linear Combinations.ring. func-space\ R\ A)}
?b) A
   = Linear Combinations.module.lincomb M (\lambda x. \ a \ x \oplus_R \ b \ x) \ A
   apply (unfold func-space-def, auto)
   apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
   by (simp-all (no-asm-simp) add: R.minus-closed sum-simp cong:
lincomb-conq)
 from a-fun b-fun A-fin AinC
 have 2: LinearCombinations.module.lincomb M? a A \oplus_M
     Linear Combinations.module.lincomb \ M \ ?b \ A = Linear Combina-
tions.module.lincomb\ M\ a\ A\ \oplus_M
     Linear Combinations.module.lincomb\ M\ b\ A
   apply (subst refl)
   apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
   by (simp-all (no-asm-simp) add: sum-simp cong: lincomb-cong)
from a-fun b-fun have ainC: ?a \in carrier (LinearCombinations.ring.func-space
R(A)
   and binC: ?b \in carrier (Linear Combinations.ring.func-space R A)
by (unfold func-space-def, auto)
 \mathbf{from}\ ain C\ bin C\ \mathbf{have}\ lc\text{-}sum:\ Linear Combinations.module.lincomb
M (?a \oplus_{(Linear Combinations.ring.func\text{-}space\ R\ A)} ?b) A
   = Linear Combinations. module. lincomb M ? a A \oplus_{M}
     Linear Combinations.module.lincomb\ M\ ?b\ A
   by (simp-all conq: lincomb-conq add: mh.f-add func-space-def)
 from 1 2 lc-sum show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

```
The negative of a function is just pointwise negation.
lemma (in cring) func-space-neg:
 fixes f
 assumes f \in carrier (func\text{-}space S)
 shows \ominus_{func\text{-}space} S f = (\lambda \ v. \ if \ (v \in S) \ then \ominus_R f \ v \ else \ undefined)
 interpret fs: module R func-space S by (rule func-space-is-module)
 from assms show ?thesis
   apply (intro fs.minus-equality)
     apply (unfold func-space-def PiE-def extensional-def)
     apply auto
    apply (intro restrict-ext, auto)
   by (simp add: l-neg coeff-in-ring)
qed
Ditto for subtraction. Note the above is really a special case,
when a is the 0 function.
lemma (in module) lincomb-diff:
assumes A-fin: finite A and AinC: A \subseteq carrier\ M and a-fun: a \in A \rightarrow carrier
R and
   b-fun: b \in A \rightarrow carrier R
 shows lincomb (\lambda v. \ a \ v \ominus_R \ b \ v) \ A = lincomb \ a \ A \ominus_M lincomb \ b \ A
proof -
 from A-fin AinC interpret mh: mod-hom R func-space A M (\lambda a.
lincomb \ a \ A) by (rule
   lincomb-is-mod-hom)
 let ?a = restrict \ a \ A
 let ?b = restrict \ b \ A
 from a-fun b-fun have ainC: ?a \in carrier (LinearCombinations.ring.func-space
R(A)
   and binC: ?b \in carrier\ (Linear Combinations.ring.func-space\ R\ A)
by (unfold func-space-def, auto)
 from a-fun b-fun ainC binC A-fin AinC
 have 1: Linear Combinations. module. lincomb M (?a \ominus_{(func\text{-}space\ A)}
   = \mathit{LinearCombinations.module.lincomb}\ M\ (\lambda x.\ a\ x\ominus_R\ b\ x)\ A
   apply (subst mh.M.M.minus-eq)
     apply (auto simp del: mh.f-minus mh.f-add)
   apply (intro lincomb-conq, auto)
   apply (subst func-space-neg, auto)
   apply (simp add: restrict-def func-space-def)
   by (subst\ R.minus-eq,\ auto)
 from a-fun b-fun A-fin AinC
 have 2: Linear Combinations. module. lincomb M? a A \ominus_M
     Linear Combinations.module.lincomb \ M \ ?b \ A = Linear Combina-
tions.module.lincomb\ M\ a\ A\ominus_M
     Linear Combinations.module.lincomb\ M\ b\ A
   apply (subst refl)
   apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
```

```
by (simp-all (no-asm-simp)
     add: R.minus-closed sum-simp cong: lincomb-cong)
 \mathbf{from}\ ain C\ bin C\ \mathbf{have}\ lc\text{-}sum:\ Linear Combinations.module.lincomb
M (?a \ominus_{(Linear Combinations.ring.func\text{-}space\ R\ A)} ?b) A
   = Linear Combinations.module.lincomb M ?a A \ominus_M
     Linear Combinations.module.lincomb\ M\ ?b\ A
   by (simp-all cong: lincomb-cong add: mh.f-add func-space-def)
 from 1 2 lc-sum show ?thesis by auto
qed
The union of nested submodules is a submodule. We will use
this to show that span of any set is a submodule.
lemma (in module) nested-union-vs:
 fixes INN'
 assumes subm: \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow submodule \ R \ (N \ i) \ M
   and max-exists: \bigwedge i \ j. i \in I \Longrightarrow j \in I \Longrightarrow (\exists k. \ k \in I \land N \ i \subseteq N \ k \land N \ j)
\subseteq N(k)
   and uni: N' = (\bigcup i \in I. N i)
   and ne: I \neq \{\}
 shows submodule R N' M
proof -
 have 1: module R M..
 from subm have all-in: \bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow N i \subseteq carrier M
   by (unfold submodule-def, auto)
 from uni all-in have 2: \bigwedge x. \ x \in N' \Longrightarrow x \in carrier M
 from uni have 3: \bigwedge v \ w. \ v \in N' \Longrightarrow w \in N' \Longrightarrow v \oplus_M w \in N'
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ v \ w
   assume v: v \in N' and w: w \in N'
   from uni \ v \ w obtain i \ j where i: i \in I \land v \in N \ i and j: j \in I \land w \in
N j by auto
   from max-exists i j obtain k where k: k \in I \land N i \subseteq N k \land N j
\subseteq N \ k \ \mathbf{by} \ presburger
   from v \ w \ i \ j \ k have v2: \ v \in N \ k and w2: \ w \in N \ k by auto
   from v2 w2 k subm[of k] have vw: v \oplus_M w \in N k apply (unfold
submodule-def) by auto
   from k vw uni show ?thesis v w by auto
 qed
 have 4: \mathbf{0}_M \in N'
 proof -
   from ne obtain i where i: i \in I by auto
   from i subm have zi: \mathbf{0}_M \in N i by (unfold submodule-def, auto)
   from i zi uni show ?thesis by auto
 from uni subm have 5: \bigwedge c \ v. \ c \in carrier \ R \Longrightarrow v \in N' \Longrightarrow c \odot_M
v \in N'
   by (unfold submodule-def, auto)
 from 1 2 3 4 5 show ?thesis by (unfold submodule-def, auto)
```

```
qed
lemma (in module) span-is-monotone:
 fixes S T
 assumes subs: S \subseteq T
 shows span S \subseteq span T
proof -
 from subs show ?thesis
   by (unfold span-def, auto)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma (in module) span-is-submodule:
 fixes S
 assumes h2: S \subseteq carrier M
 shows submodule R (span S) M
proof (cases S = \{\})
 case True
 moreover have module R M..
  ultimately show ?thesis apply (unfold submodule-def span-def
lincomb-def, auto) done
next
 {f case}\ {\it False}
 show ?thesis
 proof (rule nested-union-vs[where ?I = \{F. F \subseteq S \land finite F\} and
?N = \lambda F. \ span \ F \ and \ ?N' = span \ S
    show \bigwedge F. \ F \in \{F. \ F \subseteq S \land finite \ F\} \Longrightarrow submodule \ R \ (span)
F) M
   proof -
     \mathbf{fix} \ F
     assume F: F \in \{F. F \subseteq S \land finite F\}
     from F have h1: finite F by auto
     from F h2 have inC: F \subseteq carrier M by auto
     from h1 inC interpret mh: mod-hom R (func-space F) M (\lambda a.
lincomb \ a \ F)
       by (rule lincomb-is-mod-hom)
     from h1 inC have 1: mh.im = span F
       apply (unfold mh.im-def)
       apply (unfold func-space-def, simp)
       apply (subst finite-span, auto)
       apply (unfold image-def, auto)
       apply (rule-tac \ x=restrict \ a \ F \ in \ bexI)
       by (auto intro!: lincomb-cong)
   from 1 show submodule R (span F) M by (metis mh.im-is-submodule)
   qed
 next
   show \bigwedge i \ j. \ i \in \{F. \ F \subseteq S \land finite \ F\} \Longrightarrow
```

 $\exists k. \ k \in \{F. \ F \subseteq S \land finite \ F\} \land span \ i \subseteq span \ k \land span \ j$

 $j \in \{F. \ F \subseteq S \land finite \ F\} \Longrightarrow$

```
\subseteq span \ k
   proof
     fix i j
     assume i: i \in \{F. F \subseteq S \land finite F\} and j: j \in \{F. F \subseteq S \land finite F\}
finite F
     from i j show ?thesis i j
       apply (rule-tac x=i\cup j in exI)
       apply (auto del: subsetI)
        by (intro span-is-monotone, auto del: subsetI)+
   qed
 next
   show span S=(\bigcup i \in \{F. F \subseteq S \land finite F\}. span i)
     by (unfold span-def, auto)
 \mathbf{next}
   have ne: S \neq \{\} by fact
   from ne show \{F. F \subseteq S \land finite F\} \neq \{\} by auto
 qed
qed
```

A finite sum does not depend on the ambient module. This can be done for monoid, but "submonoid" isn't currently defined. (It can be copied, however, for groups...) This lemma requires a somewhat annoying lemma foldD-not-depend. Then we show that linear combinations, linear independence, span do not depend on the ambient module.

```
lemma (in module) finsum-not-depend:
 fixes a A N
 assumes h1: finite A and h2: A \subseteq N and h3: submodule R N M
and h4: f:A \rightarrow N
 shows (\bigoplus_{(md\ N)} v \in A.\ f\ v) = (\bigoplus_{M} v \in A.\ f\ v)
proof -
 from h1 h2 h3 h4 show ?thesis
   apply (unfold finsum-def finprod-def)
   \mathbf{apply}\ simp
   apply (intro foldD-not-depend[where ?B=A])
       apply (unfold submodule-def LCD-def, auto)
     by (drule Pi-implies-Pi2, simp-all add: a-ac Pi-mem-Pi2-sub2
ring-subset-carrier)+
qed
lemma (in module) lincomb-not-depend:
 fixes a A N
 assumes h1: finite A and h2: A \subseteq N and h3: submodule R N M
and h4: a:A \rightarrow carrier R
 shows lincomb a A = module.lincomb (md N) a A
proof -
 from h3 interpret N: module R (md N) by (rule submodule-is-module)
 have 3: N = carrier \ (md \ N) by auto
```

```
have 4: (smult\ M\ ) = (smult\ (md\ N)) by auto
 from h1\ h2\ h3\ h4 have (\bigoplus_{md\ N)}v\in A.\ a\ v\ \odot_M\ v)=(\bigoplus_{md\ N}v\in A.
a\ v\ \odot_M\ v)
   apply (intro finsum-not-depend, auto)
   apply (subst 3)
   apply (subst 4)
   apply (intro N.smult-closed)
   by (drule Pi-implies-Pi2, auto simp add: Pi-simp)
 from this show ?thesis by (unfold lincomb-def N.lincomb-def, simp)
qed
lemma (in module) span-li-not-depend:
 fixes S N
 assumes h2: S \subseteq N and h3: submodule \ R \ N \ M
 shows module.span R (md N) S = module.span R M S
   and module.lin-dep R (md N) S = module.lin-dep <math>R M S
 from h3 interpret w: module R (md N) by (rule submodule-is-module)
 from h2 have 1:submodule R (module.span R (md N) S) (md N)
   by (intro\ w.span-is-submodule,\ simp)
 have 3: \bigwedge a A. (finite A \land A \subseteq S \land a \in A \rightarrow carrier R \Longrightarrow
   module.lincomb \ M \ a \ A = module.lincomb \ (md \ N) \ a \ A)
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ a \ A
   assume 31: finite A \wedge A \subseteq S \wedge a \in A \rightarrow carrier R
   from assms 31 show ?thesis a A
     by (intro lincomb-not-depend, auto)
 qed
 from 3 show 4: module.span R \pmod{N} S = module.span R M S
   apply (unfold span-def w.span-def)
   apply auto
   by (metis)
 have zeros: \mathbf{0}_{md \ N} = \mathbf{0}_{M} by auto
 from assms 3 show 5: module.lin-dep R \pmod{N} S = module.lin-dep
R M S
   apply (unfold lin-dep-def w.lin-dep-def)
   apply (subst zeros)
   by metis
qed
lemma (in module) span-is-subset:
 fixes S N
 assumes h2: S \subseteq N and h3: submodule \ R \ N \ M
 shows span S \subseteq N
proof -
 from h3 interpret w: module R (md N) by (rule submodule-is-module)
 from h2 have 1:submodule R (module.span R (md N) S) (md N)
   by (intro w.span-is-submodule, simp)
 from assms have 4: module.span R (md N) S = module.span R M
```

```
S
    by (rule span-li-not-depend)
  from 1 4 have 5: submodule R \pmod{R} (module.span R M S) \pmod{N} by
 from 5 show ?thesis by (unfold submodule-def, simp)
qed
lemma (in module) span-is-subset2:
 fixes S
 assumes h2: S \subseteq carrier M
 shows span S \subseteq carrier M
proof -
 have \theta: module R M..
 from \theta have h3: submodule R (carrier M) M by (unfold submodule-def,
 from h2 h3 show ?thesis by (rule span-is-subset)
qed
lemma (in module) in-own-span:
 fixes S
 assumes inC:S\subseteq carrier\ M
 shows S \subseteq span S
proof -
 from inC show ?thesis
   apply (unfold span-def, auto)
   apply (rename-tac\ v)
   apply (rule-tac x=(\lambda \ w. \ if \ (w=v) \ then \ \mathbf{1}_R \ else \ \mathbf{0}_R) in exI)
   apply (rule\text{-}tac \ x=\{v\} \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
   apply (unfold lincomb-def)
   by (auto simp add: finsum-insert)
qed
lemma (in module) supset-ld-is-ld:
 fixes A B
 assumes ld: lin\text{-}dep\ A and sub: A \subseteq B
 shows lin-dep B
proof -
 from ld obtain A' a v where 1: (finite A' \wedge A' \subseteq A \wedge (a \in (A' \rightarrow carrier))
R)) \ \land \ (\mathit{lincomb} \ a \ A' = \mathbf{0}_{\mathit{M}}) \ \land \ (\mathit{v} \in \! A') \ \land \ (\mathit{a} \ \mathit{v} \neq \mathbf{0}_{R}))
   by (unfold lin-dep-def, auto)
 from 1 sub show ?thesis
   apply (unfold lin-dep-def)
   apply (rule-tac \ x=A' \ in \ exI)
   apply (rule-tac x=a in exI)
   apply (rule-tac \ x=v \ in \ exI)
   by auto
\mathbf{qed}
```

```
lemma (in module) subset-li-is-li:
 fixes A B
 assumes li: lin-indpt A and sub: B \subseteq A
 shows lin-indpt B
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume ld: \neg lin\text{-}indpt\ B
 from ld sub have ldA: lin-dep A by (metis supset-ld-is-ld)
 from li ldA show False by auto
qed
lemma (in mod-hom) hom-sum:
 fixes A B g
 assumes h1: finite A and h2: A \subseteq carrier\ M and h3: g:A \rightarrow carrier
 shows f (\bigoplus_M a \in A. \ g \ a) = (\bigoplus_N a \in A. \ f \ (g \ a))
 from h1 h2 h3 show ?thesis
 proof (induct set: finite)
   case empty
   show ?case by auto
 next
   case (insert a A)
    from insert.prems insert.hyps have 1: (\bigoplus_{N} a \in insert \ a \ A. \ f \ (g
(a) = f(g a) \oplus_N (\bigoplus_{n} a \in A. f(g a))
     by (intro finsum-insert, auto)
   from insert.prems insert.hyps 1 show ?case
     by (simp add: finsum-insert)
 qed
qed
```

end

5 The direct sum of modules.

```
theory SumSpaces

imports Main

\sim \sim /src/HOL/Algebra/Module

\sim \sim /src/HOL/Algebra/Coset

RingModuleFacts

MonoidSums

FunctionLemmas

LinearCombinations

begin
```

We define the direct sum $M_1 \oplus M_2$ of 2 vector spaces as the set $M_1 \times M_2$ under componentwise addition and scalar multiplication.

```
definition direct-sum:: ('a, 'b, 'd) module-scheme \Rightarrow ('a, 'c, 'e) module-scheme
\Rightarrow ('a, ('b×'c)) module
 where direct-sum M1 M2 = (carrier = carrier M1 \times carrier M2,
                mult = (\lambda \ v \ w. \ (\mathbf{0}_{M1}, \ \mathbf{0}_{M2})),
                one = (\mathbf{0}_{M1}, \, \mathbf{0}_{M2}),
                zero = (\mathbf{0}_{M1}, \, \mathbf{0}_{M2}),
                add = (\lambda \ v \ w. \ (fst \ v \oplus_{M1} \ fst \ w, \ snd \ v \oplus_{M2} \ snd \ w)),
                smult = (\lambda \ c \ v. \ (c \odot_{M1} \ fst \ v, \ c \odot_{M2} \ snd \ v)))
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{direct-sum-is-module}\colon
 fixes R M1 M2
 assumes h1: module R M1 and h2: module R M2
 shows module R (direct-sum M1 M2)
proof -
 from h1 have 1: cring R by (unfold module-def, auto)
 from h1 interpret v1: module R M1 by auto
 from h2 interpret v2: module R M2 by auto
 from h1 h2 have 2: abelian-group (direct-sum M1 M2)
   apply (intro abelian-groupI, auto)
        apply (unfold direct-sum-def, auto)
      by (auto simp add: v1.a-ac v2.a-ac)
 from h1 h2 assms have 3: module-axioms R (direct-sum M1 M2)
   apply (unfold module-axioms-def, auto)
       apply (unfold direct-sum-def, auto)
     by (auto simp add: v1.smult-l-distr v2.smult-l-distr v1.smult-r-distr
v2.smult\hbox{-} r\hbox{-} distr
     v1.smult-assoc1 v2.smult-assoc1)
 from 1 2 3 show ?thesis by (unfold module-def, auto)
qed
definition inj1:: ('a, 'b) module \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) module \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow ('b \times 'c))
 where inj1 M1 M2 = (\lambda v. (v, \mathbf{0}_{M2}))
definition inj2:: ('a, 'b) \ module \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \ module \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow ('b \times 'c))
 where inj2 M1 M2 = (\lambda v. (\mathbf{0}_{M1}, v))
lemma inj1-hom:
 fixes R M1 M2
 assumes h1: module R M1 and h2: module R M2
 shows mod-hom R M1 (direct-sum M1 M2) (inj1 M1 M2)
proof -
 from h1 interpret v1:module R M1 by auto
 from h2 interpret v2:module R M2 by auto
 from h1 h2 show ?thesis
    apply (unfold mod-hom-def module-hom-def mod-hom-axioms-def
inj1-def, auto)
      apply (rule direct-sum-is-module, auto)
     by (unfold direct-sum-def, auto)
qed
```

```
lemma inj2-hom:
 fixes R M1 M2
 assumes h1: module R M1 and h2: module R M2
 shows mod-hom R M2 (direct-sum M1 M2) (inj2 M1 M2)
proof -
 from h1 interpret v1:module R M1 by auto
 from h2 interpret v2:module R M2 by auto
 from h1 h2 show ?thesis
   apply (unfold mod-hom-def module-hom-def mod-hom-axioms-def
inj2-def, auto)
     apply (rule direct-sum-is-module, auto)
    by (unfold direct-sum-def, auto)
qed
For submodules M_1, M_2 \subseteq M, the map M_1 \oplus M_2 \to M given by
(m_1, m_2) \mapsto m_1 + m_2 is linear.
lemma (in module) sum-map-hom:
 fixes M1 M2
 assumes h1: submodule R M1 M and h2: submodule R M2 M
 shows mod-hom R (direct-sum (md M1) (md M2)) M (\lambda v. (fst v)
\bigoplus_{M} (snd \ v))
proof -
 have \theta: module R M..
 from h1 have 1: module R (md M1) by (rule submodule-is-module)
 from h2 have 2: module R (md M2) by (rule submodule-is-module)
 from h1 interpret w1: module R (md M1) by (rule submodule-is-module)
from h2 interpret w2: module R (md M2) by (rule submodule-is-module)
 from 0 h1 h2 1 2 show ?thesis
  apply (unfold mod-hom-def mod-hom-axioms-def module-hom-def,
auto)
     apply (rule direct-sum-is-module, auto)
    apply (unfold direct-sum-def, auto)
    apply (unfold submodule-def, auto)
   by (auto simp add: a-ac smult-r-distr ring-subset-carrier)
qed
lemma (in module) sum-is-submodule:
 fixes N1 N2
 assumes h1: submodule R N1 M and h2: submodule R N2 M
 shows submodule R (submodule-sum N1 N2) M
 from h1 h2 interpret l: mod-hom R (direct-sum (md N1) (md N2))
M \ (\lambda \ v. \ (fst \ v) \oplus_M \ (snd \ v))
   by (rule sum-map-hom)
 have 1: l.im = submodule-sum N1 N2
   apply (unfold l.im-def submodule-sum-def)
   apply (unfold direct-sum-def, auto)
```

```
have 2: submodule R (l.im) M by (rule\ l.im-is-submodule)
 from 1 2 show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma (in module) in-sum:
 fixes N1 N2
 assumes h1: submodule R N1 M and h2: submodule R N2 M
 shows N1 \subseteq submodule\text{-}sum N1 N2
proof -
 from h1 h2 show ?thesis
   apply auto
   apply (unfold submodule-sum-def image-def, auto)
   apply (rename-tac\ v)
   apply (rule-tac x=v in bexI)
    apply (rule-tac \ x=\mathbf{0}_M \ \mathbf{in} \ bexI)
    by (unfold submodule-def, auto)
qed
lemma (in module) msum-comm:
 fixes N1 N2
 assumes h1: submodule R N1 M and h2: submodule R N2 M
 shows (submodule\text{-}sum\ N1\ N2) = (submodule\text{-}sum\ N2\ N1)
proof -
 from h1 h2 show ?thesis
   apply (unfold submodule-sum-def image-def, auto)
    apply (unfold submodule-def)
    apply (rename-tac\ v\ w)
    by (metis (full-types) M.add.m-comm subsetD)+
qed
If M_1, M_2 \subseteq M are submodules, then M_1 + M_2 is the minimal
subspace such that both M_1 \subseteq M and M_2 \subseteq M.
lemma (in module) sum-is-minimal:
 fixes N N1 N2
 assumes h1: submodule R N1 M and h2: submodule R N2 M and
h3: submodule R N M
 shows (submodule\text{-}sum\ N1\ N2) \subseteq N \longleftrightarrow N1 \subseteq N \land N2 \subseteq N
proof -
 have 1: (submodule-sum\ N1\ N2) \subseteq N \Longrightarrow N1 \subseteq N \land N2 \subseteq N
 proof -
   assume 10: (submodule-sum\ N1\ N2) \subseteq N
   from h1\ h2 have 11: N1 \subseteq submodule-sum\ N1\ N2 by (rule\ in-sum)
   from h2\ h1 have 12: N2 \subseteq submodule-sum\ N2\ N1 by (rule\ in-sum)
   from 12 h1 h2 have 13: N2 \subseteq submodule - sum\ N1\ N2 by (metis
msum-comm)
   from 10 11 13 show ?thesis by auto
```

by (unfold image-def, auto)

```
qed
 have 2: N1 \subseteq N \land N2 \subseteq N \Longrightarrow (submodule\text{-}sum\ N1\ N2) \subseteq N
 proof -
   assume 19: N1 \subseteq N \land N2 \subseteq N
   \mathbf{fix} \ v
   assume 20: v \in submodule-sum N1 N2
   from 20 obtain w1 w2 where 21: w1 \in N1 and 22: w2 \in N2 and
23: v=w1\oplus_M w2
     by (unfold submodule-sum-def image-def, auto)
   from 19 21 22 23 h3 have v \in N
     apply (unfold submodule-def, auto)
     by (metis (poly-guards-query) contra-subsetD)
   thus ?thesis
     by (metis subset-iff)
 qed
 from 1 2 show ?thesis by metis
qed
\operatorname{span} A \cup B = \operatorname{span} A + \operatorname{span} B
lemma (in module) span-union-is-sum:
 fixes A B
 assumes h2: A \subseteq carrier\ M and h3: B \subseteq carrier\ M
 shows span (A \cup B) = submodule\text{-sum (span A) (span B)}
proof-
 let ?AplusB = submodule - sum (span A) (span B)
 from h2 have s0: submodule R (span A) M by (rule span-is-submodule)
from h3 have s1: submodule R (span B) M by (rule span-is-submodule)
 from s\theta have s\theta-1: (span A)\subseteq carrier M by (unfold submodule-def,
auto)
 from s1 have s1-1: (span B)\subseteq carrier M by (unfold submodule-def,
 from h2\ h3 have 1: A \cup B \subseteq carrier\ M by auto
from 1 have 2: submodule R (span (A \cup B)) M by (rule span-is-submodule)
 from s0 s1 have 3: submodule R ?AplusB M by (rule sum-is-submodule)
 have c1: span (A \cup B) \subseteq ?AplusB
 proof -
   from h2 have a1: A \subseteq span \ A by (rule \ in\text{-}own\text{-}span)
   from s0 \ s1 have a2: span \ A \subseteq ?AplusB by (rule \ in\text{-}sum)
   from a1 a2 have a3: A \subseteq ?AplusB by auto
   from h3 have b1: B \subseteq span B by (rule in-own-span)
  from s1 s0 have b2: span B \subseteq ?AplusB by (metis in-sum msum-comm)
   from b1 b2 have b3: B \subseteq ?AplusB by auto
   from a3 b3 have 5: A \cup B \subseteq ?AplusB by auto
```

```
from 5 3 show ?thesis by (rule span-is-subset) qed have c2: ?AplusB \subseteq span \ (A \cup B) proof — have 11: A \subseteq A \cup B by auto have 12: B \subseteq A \cup B by auto from 11 have 21: span \ A \subseteq span \ (A \cup B) by (rule span-is-monotone) from 12 have 22: span \ B \subseteq span \ (A \cup B) by (rule span-is-monotone) from s0 \ s1 \ 2 \ 21 \ 22 show ?thesis by (auto simp add: sum-is-minimal) qed from c1 \ c2 show ?thesis by auto qed end
```

6 Basic theory of vector spaces, using locales

```
theory VectorSpace

imports Main

\sim \sim /src/HOL/Algebra/Module

\sim \sim /src/HOL/Algebra/Coset

RingModuleFacts

MonoidSums

LinearCombinations

SumSpaces

begin
```

6.1 Basic definitions and facts carried over from modules

A vectorspace is a module where the ring is a field. Note that we switch notation from (R, M) to (K, V).

```
locale vectorspace =
  module: module K V + field: field K
  for K and V
```

A subspace of a vectorspace is a nonempty subset that is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. These properties have already been defined in submodule. Caution: W is a set, while V is a module record. To get W as a vectorspace, write vs W.

```
lemma (in vectorspace) is-module[simp]:
 subspace\ K\ W\ V \Longrightarrow submodule\ K\ W\ V
by (unfold subspace-def, auto)
We introduce some basic facts and definitions copied from mod-
ule. We introduce some abbreviations, to match convention.
abbreviation (in vectorspace) vs::'c \ set \Rightarrow ('a, 'c, 'd) \ module\text{-scheme}
 where vs \ W \equiv V(|carrier := W|)
lemma (in vectorspace) carrier-vs-is-self [simp]:
 carrier (vs W) = W
 by auto
lemma (in vectorspace) subspace-is-vs:
 fixes W::'c set
 assumes \theta: subspace K W V
 shows vectorspace K (vs W)
proof -
 from \theta show ?thesis
   apply (unfold vectorspace-def subspace-def, auto)
   by (intro submodule-is-module, auto)
qed
abbreviation (in module) subspace-sum:: ['c \ set, \ 'c \ set] \Rightarrow \ 'c \ set
 where subspace-sum~W1~W2 \equiv submodule-sum~W1~W2
lemma (in vectorspace) vs-zero-lin-dep:
 assumes h2: S \subseteq carrier\ V and h3: lin\text{-}indpt\ S
 shows \mathbf{0}_V \notin S
proof -
 have vs: vectorspace K V...
 from vs have nonzero: carrier K \neq \{\mathbf{0}_K\}
   by (metis one-zeroI zero-not-one)
 from h2 h3 nonzero show ?thesis by (rule zero-nin-lin-indpt)
A linear-map is a module homomorphism between 2 vectorspaces
over the same field.
locale linear-map =
  V: vectorspace\ K\ V\ +\ W: vectorspace\ K\ W
 + mod\text{-}hom: mod\text{-}hom K V W T
   for K and V and W and T
context linear-map
begin
lemmas T-hom = f-hom
lemmas T-add = f-add
lemmas T-smult = f-smult
```

```
lemmas T-im = f-im
lemmas T-neg = f-neg
lemmas T-minus = f-minus
lemmas T-ker = f-ker
abbreviation imT:: 'e set
  where imT \equiv mod\text{-}hom.im
abbreviation kerT:: 'c set
  where kerT \equiv mod\text{-}hom.ker
lemmas T0-is-0[simp] = f0-is-0
lemma kerT-is-subspace: subspace K ker V
proof -
  have vs: vectorspace K V...
  from vs show ?thesis
    apply (unfold subspace-def, auto)
    by (rule ker-is-submodule)
qed
lemma imT-is-subspace: subspace K imT W
proof -
  have vs: vectorspace K W..
  from vs show ?thesis
    apply (unfold subspace-def, auto)
    by (rule im-is-submodule)
qed
end
lemma vs-criteria:
  fixes K and V
  assumes field: field K
      and zero: \mathbf{0}_{V} \in carrier\ V
     and add: \forall v \ w. \ v \in carrier \ V \land w \in carrier \ V \longrightarrow v \oplus_V \ w \in carrier
V
      and neg: \forall v \in carrier \ V. \ (\exists neg-v \in carrier \ V. \ v \oplus_{V} neg-v = \mathbf{0}_{V})
        and smult: \forall c \ v. \ c \in carrier \ K \land v \in carrier \ V \longrightarrow c \odot_V \ v \in
carrier V
     and comm: \forall v \ w. \ v \in carrier \ V \land w \in carrier \ V \longrightarrow v \oplus_V \ w = w \oplus_V
       and assoc: \forall v \ w \ x. \ v \in carrier \ V \ \land \ w \in carrier \ V \ \land \ x \in carrier
V \longrightarrow (v \oplus_V w) \oplus_V x = v \oplus_V (w \oplus_V x)
      and add-id: \forall v \in carrier \ V. \ (v \oplus_V \mathbf{0}_V = v)
      and compat: \forall a \ b \ v. \ a \in carrier \ K \ \land \ b \in carrier \ K \ \land \ v \in carrier
V \longrightarrow (a \otimes_K b) \odot_V v = a \odot_V (b \odot_V v)
      and smult-id: \forall v \in carrier \ V. \ (\mathbf{1}_K \odot_V \ v = v)
       and dist-f: \forall a \ b \ v. \ a \in carrier \ K \ \land \ b \in carrier \ K \ \land \ v \in carrier
V \longrightarrow (a \oplus_K b) \odot_V v = (a \odot_V v) \oplus_V (b \odot_V v)
```

```
and dist-add: \forall a \ v \ w. \ a \in carrier \ K \ \land \ v \in carrier \ V \ \land \ w \in carrier
V \longrightarrow a \odot_V (v \oplus_V w) = (a \odot_V v) \oplus_V (a \odot_V w)
 shows vectorspace K V
proof -
 from field have 1: cring K by (unfold field-def domain-def, auto)
 from assms 1 have 2: module K V by (intro module-criteria, auto)
 from field 2 show ?thesis by (unfold vectorspace-def module-def,
qed
For any set S, the space of functions S \to K forms a vector
space.
lemma (in vectorspace) func-space-is-vs:
 fixes S
 shows vectorspace K (func-space S)
proof -
 have \theta: field K..
 have 1: module K (func-space S) by (rule func-space-is-module)
 from 0.1 show ?thesis by (unfold vectorspace-def module-def, auto)
lemma direct-sum-is-vs:
 fixes K V1 V2
 assumes h1: vectorspace K V1 and h2: vectorspace K V2
 shows vectorspace K (direct-sum V1 V2)
proof -
 from h1 h2 have mod: module K (direct-sum V1 V2) by (unfold
vectorspace-def, intro direct-sum-is-module, auto)
 from mod h1 show ?thesis by (unfold vectorspace-def, auto)
qed
lemma inj1-linear:
 fixes K V1 V2
 assumes h1: vectorspace K V1 and h2: vectorspace K V2
 shows linear-map K V1 (direct-sum V1 V2) (inj1 V1 V2)
proof -
 from h1 h2 have mod: mod-hom K V1 (direct-sum V1 V2) (inj1
V1 V2) by (unfold vectorspace-def, intro inj1-hom, auto)
 from mod h1 h2 show ?thesis
  by (unfold linear-map-def vectorspace-def, auto, intro direct-sum-is-module,
auto)
qed
lemma inj2-linear:
 fixes K V1 V2
 assumes h1: vectorspace K V1 and h2: vectorspace K V2
 shows linear-map K V2 (direct-sum V1 V2) (inj2 V1 V2)
proof -
```

```
from h1 h2 have mod: mod-hom K V2 (direct-sum V1 V2) (inj2
V1 V2) by (unfold vectorspace-def, intro inj2-hom, auto)
 from mod h1 h2 show ?thesis
  by (unfold linear-map-def vectorspace-def, auto, intro direct-sum-is-module,
auto)
qed
For subspaces V_1, V_2 \subseteq V, the map V_1 \oplus V_2 \to V given by
(v_1, v_2) \mapsto v_1 + v_2 is linear.
lemma (in vectorspace) sum-map-linear:
 fixes V1 V2
 assumes h1: subspace K V1 V and h2: subspace K V2 V
 shows linear-map K (direct-sum (vs V1) (vs V2)) V (\lambda v. (fst v)
\bigoplus_{V} (snd \ v))
proof -
 from h1 h2 have mod: mod-hom K (direct-sum (vs V1) (vs V2))
V (\lambda \ v. \ (fst \ v) \oplus_{V} (snd \ v))
   by (intro sum-map-hom, unfold subspace-def, auto)
 from mod h1 h2 show ?thesis
   apply (unfold linear-map-def, auto) apply (intro direct-sum-is-vs
subspace-is-vs, auto)..
qed
lemma (in vectorspace) sum-is-subspace:
 fixes W1 W2
 assumes h1: subspace K W1 V and h2: subspace K W2 V
 shows subspace K (subspace-sum W1 W2) V
 from h1 h2 have mod: submodule K (submodule-sum W1 W2) V
   by (intro sum-is-submodule, unfold subspace-def, auto)
 from mod h1 h2 show ?thesis
   by (unfold subspace-def, auto)
qed
If W_1, W_2 \subseteq V are subspaces, W_1 \subseteq W_1 + W_2
lemma (in vectorspace) in-sum-vs:
 fixes W1 W2
 assumes h1: subspace K W1 V and h2: subspace K W2 V
 shows W1 \subseteq subspace\text{-}sum \ W1 \ W2
proof -
  from h1 h2 show ?thesis by (intro in-sum, unfold subspace-def,
auto)
qed
lemma (in vectorspace) vsum-comm:
 fixes W1 W2
 assumes h1: subspace K W1 V and h2: subspace K W2 V
 shows (subspace-sum\ W1\ W2) = (subspace-sum\ W2\ W1)
proof -
```

```
from h1 h2 show ?thesis by (intro msum-comm, unfold subspace-def,
auto)
qed
If W_1, W_2 \subseteq V are subspaces, then W_1 + W_2 is the minimal
subspace such that both W_1 \subseteq W and W_2 \subseteq W.
lemma (in vectorspace) vsum-is-minimal:
 fixes W W1 W2
 assumes h1: subspace K W1 V and h2: subspace K W2 V and h3:
subspace\ K\ W\ V
 shows (subspace\text{-}sum\ W1\ W2)\subseteq W\longleftrightarrow W1\subseteq W\wedge W2\subseteq W
  from h1 h2 h3 show ?thesis by (intro sum-is-minimal, unfold
subspace-def, auto)
qed
lemma (in vectorspace) span-is-subspace:
 fixes S
 assumes h2: S \subseteq carrier\ V
 shows subspace \ K \ (span \ S) \ V
proof -
 have \theta: vectorspace K V...
 from h2 have 1: submodule K (span S) V by (rule span-is-submodule)
 from 0.1 show ?thesis by (unfold subspace-def mod-hom-def linear-map-def,
auto)
qed
         Facts specific to vector spaces
If av = w and a \neq 0, v = a^{-1}w.
lemma (in vectorspace) mult-inverse:
  assumes h1: a \in carrier\ K and h2: v \in carrier\ V and h3: a\odot_{V}v
= w \text{ and } h_4: a \neq \mathbf{0}_K
 shows v = (inv_K \ a ) \odot_V w
proof -
 from h1\ h2\ h3 have 1: w \in carrier\ V by auto
 from h3 1 have 2: (inv_K \ a \ ) \odot V(a \odot_V v) = (inv_K \ a \ ) \odot_V w by auto
 from h1 h4 have 3: inv_K a \in carrier K by auto
 interpret g: group (units-group K) by (rule units-form-group)
 have f: field K..
 from f h1 h4 have 4: a \in Units K
   by (unfold field-def field-axioms-def, simp)
 from 4 h1 h4 have 5: ((inv_K \ a) \otimes_K a) = \mathbf{1}_K
   by (intro Units-l-inv, auto)
 from 5 have 6: (inv_K \ a ) \odot_V (a \odot_V \ v) = v
 proof -
    from h1 h2 h4 have 7: (inv_K \ a \ ) \odot V(a \odot_V v) = (inv_K \ a \otimes_K a)
\odot_V v by (auto simp add: smult-assoc1)
```

```
from 5 h2 have 8: (inv_K \ a \otimes_K a) \odot_V v = v by auto
    from 7 8 show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  from 2 6 show ?thesis by auto
ged
If w \in S and \sum_{w \in S} a_w w = 0, we have v = \sum_{w \notin S} a_v^{-1} a_w w
lemma (in vectorspace) lincomb-isolate:
  fixes A v
 assumes h1: finite A and h2: A \subseteq carrier\ V and h3: a \in A \rightarrow carrier
K and h4: v \in A
    and h5: a \ v \neq \mathbf{0}_K and h6: lincomb \ a \ A=\mathbf{0}_V
 shows v = lincomb \ (\lambda w. \ominus_K (inv_K (a \ v)) \otimes_K a \ w) \ (A - \{v\}) \ \text{and} \ v \in
span (A-\{v\})
proof -
 from h1 h2 h3 h4 have 1: lincomb a A = ((a \ v) \odot_V v) \oplus_V lincomb
a (A-\{v\})
    by (rule\ lincomb-del2)
 from 1 have 2: \mathbf{0}_{V} = ((a\ v)\odot_{V}\ v) \oplus_{V} lincomb\ a\ (A-\{v\}) by (simp)
  from h1 h2 h3 have 5: lincomb a (A-\{v\}) \in carrier\ V by auto
  from 2 h1 h2 h3 h4 have 3: \ominus_V lincomb \ a \ (A-\{v\}) = ((a\ v) \odot_V
    by (auto intro!: M.minus-equality)
  have 6: v = (\bigoplus_K (inv_K (a \ v))) \odot_V lincomb \ a \ (A - \{v\})
    from h2\ h3\ h4\ h5\ 3 have 7: v = inv_K(a\ v) \odot_V(\ominus_V lincomb\ a
     by (intro mult-inverse, auto)
    from assms have 8: inv_K(a \ v) \in carrier \ K by auto
   from assms 5 8 have 9: inv_K(a\ v) \odot_V(\ominus_V lincomb\ a\ (A-\{v\}))
      = (\ominus_K (inv_K (a \ v))) \odot_V lincomb \ a \ (A - \{v\})
       by (simp add: smult-assoc-simp smult-minus-1-back r-minus)
    from 7.9 show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  from h1 have 10: finite (A-\{v\}) by auto
  from assms have 11: (\ominus_K (inv_K (a \ v))) \in carrier K by auto
  from assms have 12: lincomb (\lambda w. \ominus_K (inv_K (a \ v)) \otimes_K a \ w)
(A - \{v\}) =
    (\ominus_K (inv_K (a \ v))) \odot_V lincomb \ a \ (A-\{v\})
    by (intro lincomb-smult, auto)
  from 6 12 show 13: v=lincomb\ (\lambda w.\ \ominus_K(inv_K\ (a\ v))\ \otimes_K\ a\ w)
(A-\{v\}) by auto
  from 13 assms show 14: v \in span (A - \{v\})
    apply (unfold span-def, auto)
    apply (rule\text{-}tac\ x=(\lambda w.\ \ominus_K(inv_K\ (a\ v))\otimes_K\ a\ w)\ \mathbf{in}\ exI)
    apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)
    by (auto simp add: Pi-simp ring-subset-carrier)
```

```
qed
```

```
The map (S \to K) \mapsto V given by (a_v)_{v \in S} \mapsto \sum_{v \in S} a_v v is linear. lemma (in vectorspace) lincomb-is-linear: fixes S assumes h: finite S and h2: S \subseteq carrier\ V shows linear-map K (func-space S) V (\lambda a. lincomb a S) proof — have \theta: vectorspace K V.. from h h2 have \theta: mod-hom K (func-space S) V (\lambda a. lincomb a S) by (rule lincomb-is-mod-hom) from \theta \theta show ?thesis by (unfold vectorspace-def mod-hom-def linear-map-def, auto) qed
```

6.2 Basic facts about span and linear independence

If S is linearly independent, then $v \in \text{span}S$ iff $S \cup \{v\}$ is linearly dependent.

```
theorem (in vectorspace) lin-dep-iff-in-span:
 fixes A \ v \ S
 assumes h1: S \subseteq carrier\ V and h2: lin-indpt\ S and h3: v \in carrier
V and h4: v \notin S
 shows v \in span \ S \longleftrightarrow lin\text{-}dep \ (S \cup \{v\})
proof -
 let ?T = S \cup \{v\}
 have \theta: v \in ?T by auto
 from h1\ h3 have h1-1: ?T \subseteq carrier\ V by auto
 have a1:lin\text{-}dep ?T \Longrightarrow v \in span S
 proof -
    assume a11: lin-dep ?T
     from all obtain a w A where a: (finite A \land A \subseteq ?T \land (a \in ?T)
(A {\rightarrow} \mathit{carrier} \ K)) \ \land \ (\mathit{lincomb} \ a \ A = \mathbf{0}_{\mathit{V}}) \ \land \ (w {\in} A) \ \land \ (a \ w {\neq} \ \mathbf{0}_{\mathit{K}}))
     by (metis lin-dep-def)
    from assms a have nz2: \exists v \in A-S. a v \neq \mathbf{0}_K
      by (intro lincomb-must-include[where ?v=w and ?T=S\cup\{v\}],
auto)
    from a nz2 have singleton: \{v\}=A-S by auto
    from singleton nz2 have nz3: a v\neq \mathbf{0}_K by auto
    let ?b = (\lambda w. \ominus_K (inv_K (a \ v)) \otimes_K (a \ w))
    from singleton have Ains: (A \cap S) = A - \{v\} by auto
    from assms a singleton nz3 have a31: v = lincomb ?b (A \cap S)
     apply (subst Ains)
     by (intro lincomb-isolate(1), auto)
    from a a31 nz3 singleton show ?thesis
     apply (unfold span-def, auto)
     apply (rule-tac x = ?b in exI)
```

```
apply (rule-tac x=A\cap S in exI)
     by (auto intro!: m-closed)
 qed
 have a2: v \in (span \ S) \Longrightarrow lin-dep \ ?T
 proof -
   assume inspan: v \in (span \ S)
   from inspan obtain a A where a: A \subseteq S \land finite A \land (v = lincomb)
a \ A) \land a \in A \rightarrow carrier \ K \ by \ (simp \ add: span-def, \ auto)
   let ?b = \lambda \ w. \ if \ (w=v) \ then \ (\ominus_K \mathbf{1}_K) \ else \ a \ w
   have lc\theta: lincomb ?b (A \cup \{v\}) = \mathbf{0}_V
   proof -
     from assms a have lc-ins: lincomb ?b (A \cup \{v\}) = ((?b\ v) \odot_V v)
\oplus_{V} lincomb ?b A
       by (intro lincomb-insert, auto)
     from assms a have lc-elim: lincomb?b A=lincomb a A by (intro
lincomb-elim-if, auto)
     from assms lc-ins lc-elim a show ?thesis by (simp add: M.l-neg
smult-minus-1)
   qed
   from a \ lc\theta show ?thesis
     apply (unfold lin-dep-def)
     apply (rule-tac x=A\cup\{v\} in exI)
     apply (rule-tac x = ?b in exI)
     apply (rule-tac \ x=v \ \mathbf{in} \ exI)
     by auto
 qed
 from a1 a2 show ?thesis by auto
If v \in \operatorname{span} A then \operatorname{span} A = \operatorname{span} (A \cup \{v\})
lemma (in vectorspace) already-in-span:
 fixes v A
 assumes inC: A \subseteq carrier\ V and inspan:\ v \in span\ A
 shows span A = span (A \cup \{v\})
proof -
  from inC inspan have dir1: span A \subseteq span (A \cup \{v\}) by (intro
span-is-monotone, auto)
 from inC have inown: A \subseteq span \ A by (rule \ in-own-span)
 from inC have subm: submodule\ K\ (span\ A)\ V\ by (rule\ span-is-submodule)
 from inown inspan subm have dir2: span (A \cup \{v\}) \subseteq span \ A by
(intro span-is-subset, auto)
 from dir1 dir2 show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

6.3 The Replacement Theorem

If $A, B \subseteq V$ are finite, A is linearly independent, B generates W, and $A \subseteq W$, then there exists $C \subseteq V$ disjoint from A such that $\operatorname{span}(A \cup C) = W$ and $|C| \leq |B| - |A|$. In other words, we can complete any linearly independent set to a generating set of W by adding at most |B| - |A| more elements.

```
theorem (in vectorspace) replacement:
 fixes A B
 assumes h1: finite A
     and h2: finite B
     and h3: B \subseteq carrier\ V
     and h4: lin-indpt A
     and h5: A \subseteq span \ B
 shows \exists C. finite C \land C \subseteq carrier \ V \land C \subseteq span \ B \land C \cap A = \{\} \land int
(card\ C) \leq (int\ (card\ B)) - (int\ (card\ A)) \wedge (span\ (A \cup C) = span
B)
 (is \exists C. ?P A B C)
using h1 h2 h3 h4 h5
proof (induct card A arbitrary: A B)
 case \theta
 from \theta.prems(1) \theta.hyps have a\theta: A=\{\} by auto
 from 0.prems(3) have a3: B \subseteq span B by (rule in-own-span)
 from a0 a3 0.prems show ?case by (rule-tac x=B in exI, auto)
 case (Suc\ m)
 let ?W = span B
 from Suc.prems(3) have BinC: span B \subseteq carrier V by (rule span-is-subset2)
 from Suc.prems Suc.hyps BinC have A: finite A lin-indpt A A \subseteq span
B Suc m = card A A \subseteq carrier V
   by auto
 from Suc. prems have B: finite B B \subseteq carrier V by auto
 from Suc.hyps(2) obtain v where v: v \in A by fastforce
 let ?A' = A - \{v\}
 from A(2) have liA': lin-indpt ?A'
   apply (intro subset-li-is-li[of A ?A'])
    by auto
 from v\ liA'\ Suc.prems\ Suc.hyps(2) have \exists\ C'.\ ?P\ ?A'\ B\ C'
   apply (intro\ Suc.hyps(1))
 from this obtain C' where C': P ?A' B C' by auto
 show ?case
 proof (cases v \in C')
```

```
case True
   have vinC': v \in C' by fact
   from vinC' v have seteq: A - \{v\} \cup C' = A \cup (C' - \{v\}) by
   from C' seteq have spaneq: span (A \cup (C' - \{v\})) = span(B)
\mathbf{by} algebra
   from Suc.prems Suc.hyps C' vinC' v spaneg show ?thesis
     apply (rule-tac x=C'-\{v\} in exI)
     apply (subgoal-tac card C' > 0)
      by auto
 \mathbf{next}
   case False
   have f: v \notin C' by fact
   from A \ v \ C' have \exists \ a. \ a \in (?A' \cup C') \rightarrow carrier \ K \land \ lincomb \ a \ (?A')
\cup C' = v
     by (intro finite-in-span, auto)
     from this obtain a where a: a \in (?A' \cup C') \rightarrow carrier K \land v =
lincomb a (?A' \cup C') by metis
   let ?b = (\lambda \ w. \ if \ (w = v) \ then \ominus_K \mathbf{1}_K \ else \ a \ w)
   from a have b: ?b \in A \cup C' \rightarrow carrier K by auto
   from v have rewrite-ins: A \cup C' = (?A' \cup C') \cup \{v\} by auto
   from f have v \notin ?A' \cup C' by auto
   from this A C' v a f have lcb: lincomb ?b (A \cup C') = \mathbf{0}_V
     apply (subst rewrite-ins)
     apply (subst lincomb-insert)
          apply (simp-all add: ring-subset-carrier coeff-in-ring)
       apply (auto split: split-if-asm)
     apply (subst lincomb-elim-if)
         by (auto simp add: smult-minus-1 l-neg ring-subset-carrier)
   from C'f have rewrite-minus: C'=(A\cup C')-A by auto
   from A C' b lcb v have exw: \exists w \in C'. ?b w \neq \mathbf{0}_K
     apply (subst rewrite-minus)
    apply (intro lincomb-must-include [where ?T=A\cup C' and ?v=v])
   from exw obtain w where w: w \in C'?b w \neq \mathbf{0}_K by auto
   from A \ C' \ w \ f \ b \ lcb have w-in: w \in span \ ((A \cup C') - \{w\})
     apply (intro lincomb-isolate[where a=?b])
          by auto
   have spaneg2: span (A \cup (C' - \{w\})) = span B
   proof -
     have 1: span (?A' \cup C') = span (A \cup C')
            from A C' v have m1: span (?A' \cup C') = span ((?A' \cup
C^{\,\prime}) \cup \{v\})
          apply (intro already-in-span)
           by auto
         from f m1 show ?thesis by (metis rewrite-ins)
       qed
```

```
have 2: span (A \cup (C' - \{w\})) = span (A \cup C')
    proof -
       from C' w(1) f have b60: A \cup (C' - \{w\}) = (A \cup C') - \{w\}
by auto
      from w(1) have b61: A \cup C' = (A \cup C' - \{w\}) \cup \{w\} by auto
      from A C' w-in show ?thesis
       apply (subst b61)
       apply (subst\ b60)
       apply (intro already-in-span)
        by auto
      qed
   from C' 1 2 show ?thesis by auto
 qed
   from A C' w f v spaneg2 show ?thesis
    apply (rule-tac x=C'-\{w\} in exI)
    apply (subgoal-tac card C' > 0)
     by auto
 qed
qed
```

6.4 Defining dimension and bases.

Finite dimensional is defined as having a finite generating set.

```
definition (in vectorspace) fin-dim:: bool where fin-dim = (\exists A. ((finite A) \land (A \subseteq carrier V) \land (gen-set A)))
```

The dimension is the size of the smallest generating set. For equivalent characterizations see below.

```
definition (in vectorspace) dim:: nat where dim = (LEAST\ n.\ (\exists\ A.\ ((finite\ A) \land (card\ A = n) \land (A \subseteq carrier\ V) \land (gen\text{-set}\ A))))
```

A basis is a linearly independent generating set.

```
definition (in vectorspace) basis:: 'c \ set \Rightarrow bool
where basis A = ((lin\text{-}indpt \ A) \land (gen\text{-}set \ A) \land (A \subseteq carrier \ V))
```

From the replacement theorem, any linearly independent set is smaller than any generating set.

```
lemma (in vectorspace) li-smaller-than-gen:

fixes A B

assumes h1: finite A and h2: finite B and h3: A \subseteq carrier V and h4: B \subseteq carrier V

and h5: lin-indpt A and h6: gen-set B

shows card A \subseteq card B

proof —

from h3 h6 have 1: A \subseteq span B by auto

from h1 h2 h4 h5 1 obtain C where
```

```
2: finite C \wedge C \subseteq carrier \ V \wedge C \subseteq span \ B \wedge C \cap A = \{\} \wedge int \ (card
(C) \leq int (card B) - int (card A) \wedge (span (A \cup C) = span B)
   by (metis replacement)
 from 2 show ?thesis by arith
ged
The dimension is the cardinality of any basis. (In particular, all
bases are the same size.)
lemma (in vectorspace) dim-basis:
 fixes A
 assumes fin: finite A and h2: basis A
 shows dim = card A
proof -
 have \theta: \bigwedge B m. ((finite B) \wedge (card B = m) \wedge (B \subseteq carrier V) \wedge
(gen\text{-}set\ B)) \Longrightarrow card\ A \le m
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ B \ m
    assume 1: ((finite\ B)\ \land\ (card\ B=m)\ \land\ (B\subseteq carrier\ V)\ \land
(gen\text{-}set\ B))
   from 1 fin h2 have 2: card A < card B
     apply (unfold basis-def)
     apply (intro li-smaller-than-gen)
          by auto
   from 1 2 show ?thesis B m by auto
 qed
 from fin h2 \theta show ?thesis
   apply (unfold dim-def basis-def)
   apply (intro Least-equality)
    apply (rule-tac \ x=A \ in \ exI)
    by auto
qed
A maximal set with respect to P is such that if B \supseteq A and P is
also satisfied for B, then B = A.
definition maximal::'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \ set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool
 where maximal A P = ((P A) \land (\forall B. B \supseteq A \land P B \longrightarrow B = A))
A minimal set with respect to P is such that if B \subseteq A and P is
also satisfied for B, then B = A.
definition minimal::'a \ set \Rightarrow ('a \ set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool
 where minimal A P = ((P A) \land (\forall B. B \subseteq A \land P B \longrightarrow B = A))
A maximal linearly independent set is a generating set.
lemma (in vectorspace) max-li-is-gen:
 fixes A
 assumes h1: maximal A (\lambda S. S \subseteq carrier\ V \land lin\text{-}indpt\ S)
 shows gen-set A
proof (rule ccontr)
```

```
assume \theta: \neg(qen\text{-}set\ A)
 from h1 have 1: A \subseteq carrier\ V \land lin\text{-}indpt\ A by (unfold maximal-def,
 from 1 have 2: span A \subseteq carrier V by (intro span-is-subset2, auto)
 from 0 1 2 have 3: \exists v. \ v \in carrier \ V \land v \notin (span \ A)
 from 3 obtain v where 4: v \in carrier\ V \land v \notin (span\ A) by auto
 have 5: v \notin A
 proof -
   from h1\ 1 have 51: A \subseteq span\ A apply (intro in-own-span) by auto
   from 4 51 show ?thesis by auto
 from lin-dep-iff-in-span have 6: \bigwedge S \ v. \ S \subseteq carrier \ V \land \ lin-indpt S
\land\ v{\in}\ carrier\ V\ \land\ v{\notin}S
   \land v \notin span S \Longrightarrow (lin-indpt (S \cup \{v\})) by auto
 from 1 4 5 have 7: lin-indpt (A \cup \{v\}) apply (intro 6) by auto
 have 9: \neg (maximal\ A\ (\lambda S.\ S \subseteq carrier\ V\ \land\ lin\text{-}indpt\ S))
 proof -
   from 1 4 5 7 have 8: (\exists B. A \subseteq B \land B \subseteq carrier\ V \land lin-indpt)
B \wedge B \neq A
     apply (rule-tac x=A\cup\{v\} in exI)
     by auto
   from 8 show ?thesis
     apply (unfold maximal-def)
     by simp
 from h1 9 show False by auto
qed
A minimal generating set is linearly independent.
lemma (in vectorspace) min-gen-is-li:
 fixes A
 assumes h1: minimal A (\lambda S. S \subseteq carrier\ V \land gen\text{-set}\ S)
 shows lin-indpt A
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume \theta: \neg lin\text{-}indpt A
 from h1 have 1: A \subseteq carrier\ V \land gen\text{-}set\ A by (unfold minimal-def,
 from 1 have 2: span A = carrier V by auto
 from \theta 1 obtain a v A' where
    3: finite A' \wedge A' \subseteq A \wedge a \in A' \rightarrow carrier K \wedge LinearCombina-
tions.module.lincomb V a A' = \mathbf{0}_V \land v \in A' \land a \ v \neq \mathbf{0}_K
   by (unfold lin-dep-def, auto)
 have 4: gen-set (A-\{v\})
 proof -
   from 1 3 have 5: v \in span(A' - \{v\})
     apply (intro lincomb-isolate[where a=a and v=v])
          by auto
```

```
from 3 5 have 51: v \in span (A - \{v\})
     apply (intro subsetD[where ?A=span (A'-\{v\})) and ?B=span
(A - \{v\}) and ?c = v]
     by (intro span-is-monotone, auto)
   from 1 have 6: A \subseteq span \ A apply (intro in-own-span) by auto
   from 1 51 have 7: span (A - \{v\}) = span ((A - \{v\}) \cup \{v\}) apply
(intro already-in-span) by auto
   from 3 have 8: A = ((A - \{v\}) \cup \{v\}) by auto
   from 2 7 8 have 9:span (A-\{v\}) = carrier\ V by auto
   from 9 show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 have 10: \neg (minimal\ A\ (\lambda S.\ S \subseteq carrier\ V\ \land\ gen\text{-}set\ S))
   from 1 3 4 have 11: (\exists B. A \supseteq B \land B \subseteq carrier\ V \land gen\text{-set\ } B
\wedge B \neq A
    apply (rule-tac x=A-\{v\} in exI)
    by auto
   from 11 show ?thesis
     apply (unfold minimal-def)
    by auto
 qed
 from h1 10 show False by auto
Given that some finite set satisfies P, there is a minimal set that
satisfies P.
lemma minimal-exists:
 fixes A P
 assumes h1: finite A and h2: P A
 shows \exists B. B \subseteq A \land minimal B P
using h1 h2
proof (induct card A arbitrary: A rule: less-induct)
case (less\ A)
 \mathbf{show} ?case
 proof (cases card A = \theta)
 case True
   from True less.hyps less.prems show ?thesis
    apply (rule-tac x=\{\} in exI)
    apply (unfold minimal-def)
    by auto
 next
 case False
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases minimal A P)
     case True
      then show ?thesis
        apply (rule-tac \ x=A \ in \ exI)
        by auto
    \mathbf{next}
```

```
case False
       have 2: \neg minimal \ A \ P \ by \ fact
       from less.prems 2 have 3: \exists B. P B \land B \subseteq A \land B \neq A
         apply (unfold minimal-def)
         by auto
       from 3 obtain B where 4: P B \wedge B \subset A \wedge B \neq A by auto
         from 4 have 5: card B < card A by (metis less.prems(1)
psubset-card-mono)
      from less.hyps less.prems 3 4 5 have 6: \exists C \subseteq B. minimal CP
         apply (intro less.hyps)
          apply auto
         by (metis rev-finite-subset)
       from 6 obtain C where 7: C \subseteq B \land minimal \ C \ P by auto
       from 4 7 show ?thesis
         apply (rule-tac x=C in exI)
         apply (unfold minimal-def)
         by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
If V is finite-dimensional, then any linearly independent set is
lemma (in vectorspace) fin-dim-li-fin:
 assumes fd: fin-dim and li: lin-indpt A and inC: A \subseteq carrier\ V
 shows fin: finite A
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume A: \neg finite A
 from fd obtain C where C: finite C \wedge C \subseteq carrier \ V \wedge gen\text{-set } C
by (unfold fin-dim-def, auto)
 from A obtain B where B: B \subseteq A \land finite B \land card B = card C +
   by (metis infinite-arbitrarily-large)
 from B li have liB: lin-indpt B
   by (intro subset-li-is-li[where ?A=A and ?B=B], auto)
 from B C liB inC have card B \leq card C by (intro li-smaller-than-gen,
 from this B show False by auto
qed
If V is finite-dimensional (has a finite generating set), then a
finite basis exists.
lemma (in vectorspace) finite-basis-exists:
 assumes h1: fin-dim
 shows \exists \beta. finite \beta \land basis \beta
proof -
 from h1 obtain A where 1: finite A \wedge A \subseteq carrier\ V \wedge gen\text{-set}\ A
by (metis fin-dim-def)
 hence 2: \exists \beta. \beta \subseteq A \land minimal \beta (\lambda S. S \subseteq carrier V \land gen-set S)
```

```
apply (intro minimal-exists) by auto then obtain \beta where 3\colon \beta \subseteq A \land minimal \ \beta \ (\lambda S.\ S \subseteq carrier\ V \land gen-set\ S) by auto hence 4\colon lin\text{-}indpt\ \beta apply (intro min-gen-is-li) by auto moreover from 3 have 5\colon gen\text{-}set\ \beta \land \beta \subseteq carrier\ V apply (unfold minimal-def) by auto moreover from 1\ 3 have 6\colon finite\ \beta by (auto simp\ add\colon finite\text{-}subset) ultimately show ?thesis\ apply\ (unfold\ basis\text{-}def) by auto qed
```

The proof is as follows.

- 1. Because V is finite-dimensional, there is a finite generating set (we took this as our definition of finite-dimensional).
- 2. Hence, there is a minimal $\beta \subseteq A$ such that β generates V.
- 3. β is linearly independent because a minimal generating set is linearly independent.

Finally, β is a basis because it is both generating and linearly independent.

Any linearly independent set has cardinality at most equal to the dimension.

```
lemma (in vectorspace) li-le-dim:
 fixes A
 assumes fd: fin-dim and c: A \subseteq carrier\ V and l: lin-indpt A
 shows finite A card A \leq dim
proof -
 from fd c l show fa: finite A by (intro fin-dim-li-fin, auto)
 from fd obtain \beta where 1: finite \beta \wedge basis \beta
   by (metis finite-basis-exists)
 from assms fa 1 have 2: card A \leq card \beta
   apply (intro li-smaller-than-gen, auto)
     by (unfold basis-def, auto)
 from assms 1 have 3: dim = card \beta by (intro dim-basis, auto)
 from 2 3 show card A \leq dim by auto
qed
Any generating set has cardinality at least equal to the dimen-
sion.
lemma (in vectorspace) gen-ge-dim:
 assumes fa: finite A and c: A \subseteq carrier\ V and l: gen-set A
 shows card A \ge dim
proof -
 from assms have fd: fin-dim by (unfold fin-dim-def, auto)
 from fd obtain \beta where 1: finite \beta \wedge basis \beta by (metis finite-basis-exists)
```

```
from assms 1 have 2: card A \ge card \beta
   apply (intro li-smaller-than-gen, auto)
   by (unfold basis-def, auto)
 from assms 1 have 3: dim = card \beta by (intro dim-basis, auto)
 from 2 3 show ?thesis by auto
qed
If there is an upper bound on the cardinality of sets satisfying
P, then there is a maximal set satisfying P.
lemma maximal-exists:
 fixes P B N
 assumes maxc: \bigwedge A. P A \Longrightarrow finite A \land (card\ A \leq N) and b: P B
 shows \exists A. finite A \land maximal \ A \ P
proof -
 let ?S = \{ card \ A | A. P \ A \}
 let ?n=Max ?S
 from maxc have 1:finite ?S
   apply (simp add: finite-nat-set-iff-bounded-le) by auto
 from 1 have 2: ?n \in ?S
   by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Collect-empty-eq Max-in b)
 from assms 2 have 3: \exists A. PA \land finite A \land card A = ?n
   by auto
 from 3 obtain A where 4: PA \wedge finite A \wedge card A = ?n by auto
 from 1 maxc have 5: \bigwedge A. P A \Longrightarrow finite A \land (card A \leq ?n)
   by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Max.coboundedI mem-Collect-eq)
 from 4 5 have 6: maximal A P
   apply (unfold maximal-def)
   by (metis card-seteq)
 from 4 6 show ?thesis by auto
qed
Any maximal linearly independent set is a basis.
lemma (in vectorspace) max-li-is-basis:
 fixes A
 assumes h1: maximal A (\lambda S. S \subseteq carrier\ V \land lin\text{-}indpt\ S)
 shows basis A
proof -
 from h1 have 1: gen-set A by (rule max-li-is-gen)
 from assms 1 show ?thesis by (unfold basis-def maximal-def, auto)
Any minimal linearly independent set is a generating set.
lemma (in vectorspace) min-gen-is-basis:
 assumes h1: minimal A (\lambda S. S \subseteq carrier\ V \land gen\text{-set}\ S)
 shows basis A
proof -
 from h1 have 1: lin-indpt A by (rule min-gen-is-li)
```

```
Any linearly independent set with cardinality at least the dimen-
sion is a basis.
lemma (in vectorspace) dim-li-is-basis:
 fixes A
 assumes fd: fin-dim and fa: finite A and ca: A \subseteq carrier\ V and li:
lin-indpt A
   and d: card A \ge dim
 shows basis A
proof -
 from fd have 0: \land S. S \subseteq carrier \ V \land lin\text{-}indpt \ S \Longrightarrow finite \ S \land card
S \leq dim
   by (auto intro: li-le-dim)
  from 0 assms have h1: finite A \wedge maximal A (\lambda S. S \subseteq carrier V
\wedge lin-indpt S)
   apply (unfold maximal-def)
   apply auto
   by (metis card-seteq eq-iff)
 from h1 show ?thesis by (auto intro: max-li-is-basis)
qed
Any generating set with cardinality at most the dimension is a
lemma (in vectorspace) dim-gen-is-basis:
 assumes fa: finite A and ca: A \subseteq carrier\ V and li: gen-set A
   and d: card A \leq dim
 shows basis A
proof
 have 0: \Lambda S. finite S \land S \subseteq carrier\ V \land gen\text{-set}\ S \Longrightarrow card\ S \ge dim
   by (intro gen-ge-dim, auto)
 from 0 assms have h1: minimal A (\lambda S. finite S \wedge S \subseteq carrier V \wedge
gen\text{-}set S)
   apply (unfold minimal-def)
   apply auto
   by (metis card-seteq eq-iff)
 from h1 have h: \bigwedge B. B \subseteq A \land B \subseteq carrier\ V \land LinearCombina-
tions.module.gen\text{-}set\ K\ V\ B \Longrightarrow B=A
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ B
  assume asm: B \subseteq A \land B \subseteq carrier\ V \land LinearCombinations.module.gen-set
K V B
   from asm h1 have finite B
     apply (unfold minimal-def)
```

from assms 1 show ?thesis by (unfold basis-def minimal-def, auto)

qed

```
apply (intro finite-subset[where ?A=B and ?B=A])
      by auto
   from h1 asm this show ?thesis B apply (unfold minimal-def) by
 ged
 from h1 h have h2: minimal A (\lambda S. S \subseteq carrier\ V \land gen\text{-set}\ S)
    apply (unfold minimal-def)
    by presburger
 from h2 show ?thesis by (rule min-gen-is-basis)
qed
\beta is a basis iff for all v \in V, there exists a unique (a_v)_{v \in S} such
that \sum_{v \in S} a_v v = v.
lemma (in vectorspace) basis-criterion:
 fixes A
 assumes A-fin: finite A and AinC: A \subseteq carrier\ V
 shows basis A < -> (\forall v. v \in carrier \ V \longrightarrow (\exists ! \ a. \ a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier
K \wedge lincomb \ a \ A = v)
proof -
  have 1: \neg(\forall v. v \in carrier\ V \longrightarrow (\exists !\ a.\ a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier\ K \land A)
lincomb \ a \ A = v)) \Longrightarrow \neg basis \ A
 proof -
    assume \neg(\forall v. v \in carrier\ V \longrightarrow (\exists !\ a.\ a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier\ K \land
lincomb \ a \ A = v)
   then obtain v where v: v \in carrier \ V \land \neg(\exists ! \ a. \ a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier
K \wedge lincomb \ a \ A = v) by metis
    from v have vinC: v \in carrier\ V by auto
    from v have \neg(\exists a. a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier K \land lincomb \ a \ A = v) \lor
(\exists a b.
      a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier \ K \land lincomb \ a \ A = v \land b \in A \rightarrow_E carrier \ K \land
lincomb \ b \ A = v
      \land \ a \neq b) by metis
    from this show ?thesis
    proof (rule \ disjE)
      assume a: \neg(\exists a. a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier K \land lincomb \ a \ A = v)
     from A-fin AinC have 1: \bigwedge a. a \in A \rightarrow carrier K \Longrightarrow lincomb a
A = lincomb \ (restrict \ a \ A) \ A
        apply (unfold lincomb-def restrict-def)
        apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)
        by (simp cong: finsum-cong add: ring-subset-carrier Pi-simp)
      have 2: \land a. \ a \in A \rightarrow carrier \ K \Longrightarrow restrict \ a \ A \in A \rightarrow_E carrier
     from a 1 2 have 3: \neg(\exists a. a \in A \rightarrow carrier K \land lincomb \ a \ A = A)
v) by algebra
      from 3 A-fin AinC have 4: v \notin span A
        by (subst finite-span, auto)
      from 4 AinC v show \neg(basis A) by (unfold basis-def, auto)
    \mathbf{next}
```

```
assume a2: (\exists a b.
        a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier \ K \land lincomb \ a \ A = v \land b \in A \rightarrow_E carrier \ K
\wedge \ lincomb \ b \ A = v
       \wedge a \neq b
      then obtain a b where ab: a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier K \wedge lincomb \ a \ A
= v \wedge b \in A \rightarrow_E carrier K \wedge lincomb \ b \ A = v
       \land \ a \neq b \ \mathbf{by} \ metis
      from ab obtain w where w: w \in A \land a \ w \neq b \ w apply (unfold
PiE-def, auto)
       by (metis\ extensionalityI)
     let ?c=\lambda x. (if x \in A then ((a x) \ominus_K (b x)) else undefined)
     from ab have a-fun: a \in A \to carrier K
              and b-fun: b \in A \rightarrow carrier K
       by (unfold PiE-def, auto)
     from w a-fun b-fun have abinC: a w \in carrier K b w \in carrier K
by auto
     from abinC \ w have nz: a \ w \ominus_K b \ w \neq \mathbf{0}_K
      from A-fin AinC a-fun b-fun ab vinC have a-b:
       Linear Combinations.module.lincomb V (\lambda x. if x \in A then a x
\ominus_K b \ x \ else \ undefined) \ A = \mathbf{0}_V
       apply (subst refl)
       apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
       apply (simp cong: lincomb-cong add: Pi-simp)
       apply (unfold Pi2-def)
       apply (subst lincomb-diff)
           by (simp-all add: minus-eq r-neg)
     from A-fin AinC ab w v nz a-b have lin-dep A
      apply (intro lin-dep-crit[where ?A=A and ?a=?c and ?v=w])
            apply (auto simp add: PiE-def)
       by auto
     thus \neg basis A by (unfold basis-def, auto)
   qed
 qed
 have 2: (\forall v. v \in carrier\ V \longrightarrow (\exists !\ a.\ a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier\ K \land lincomb
a A = v)) \Longrightarrow basis A
 proof -
    assume b1: (\forall v. v \in carrier\ V \longrightarrow (\exists !\ a.\ a \in A \rightarrow_E carrier\ K \land
lincomb \ a \ A = v)
     (is (\forall v. v \in carrier\ V \longrightarrow (\exists !\ a.\ ?Q\ a\ v)))
    from b1 have b2: (\forall v. v \in carrier\ V \longrightarrow (\exists\ a.\ a \in A \rightarrow carrier
K \wedge lincomb \ a \ A = v)
     apply (unfold PiE-def)
     by blast
   from A-fin AinC b2 have gen-set A
     apply (unfold span-def)
     by blast
   from b1 have A-li: lin-indpt A
```

```
proof -
    let ?z=\lambda x. (if (x \in A) then \mathbf{0}_K else undefined)
     from A-fin AinC have zero: ?Q ?z 0_V
      by (unfold PiE-def extensional-def lincomb-def, auto simp add:
ring-subset-carrier)
     from A-fin AinC show ?thesis
     proof (rule finite-lin-indpt2)
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume a-fun: a \in A \rightarrow carrier K and
        lc-a: LinearCombinations.module.lincomb V a A = \mathbf{0}_{V}
      from a-fun have a-res: restrict a A \in A \rightarrow_E carrier K by auto
      from a-fun A-fin AinC lc-a have
        lc-a-res: LinearCombinations.module.lincomb V (restrict a A)
A = \mathbf{0}_{V}
        apply (unfold lincomb-def restrict-def)
       by (drule Pi-implies-Pi2, simp cong: finsum-cong add: Pi-simp
ring-subset-carrier)
       from a-fun a-res lc-a-res zero b1 have restrict a A = 2z by
auto
       from this show \forall v \in A. a v = \mathbf{0}_K
        apply (unfold restrict-def)
        by meson
     qed
   qed
   have A-gen: gen-set A
   from AinC have dir1: span A \subseteq carrier V by (rule span-is-subset2)
    have dir2: carrier\ V \subseteq span\ A
     proof (auto)
      \mathbf{fix} \ v
      assume v: v \in carrier V
       from v b2 obtain a where a \in A \rightarrow carrier K \wedge lincomb a A
= v by auto
       from this A-fin AinC show v \in span \ A by (subst finite-span,
auto)
     qed
     from dir1 dir2 show ?thesis by auto
   from A-li A-gen AinC show basis A by (unfold basis-def, auto)
 from 1 2 show ?thesis by satx
qed
```

6.5 The rank-nullity (dimension) theorem

If V is finite-dimensional and $T:V\to W$ is a linear map, then $\dim(\operatorname{im}(T))+\dim(\ker(T))=\dim V$.

theorem (in linear-map) rank-nullity:

```
shows (vectorspace.dim\ K\ (W.vs\ im\ T)) + (vectorspace.dim\ K\ (V.vs\ im\ T))
kerT) = V.dim
proof -
   - First interpret kerT, imT as vectorspaces
 have subs-ker: subspace K kerT V by (intro kerT-is-subspace)
  from subs-ker have vs-ker: vectorspace K (V.vs kerT) by (rule
V.subspace-is-vs)
 from vs-ker interpret ker: vectorspace K (V.vs kerT) by auto
 have kerInC: kerT \subseteq carrier\ V by (unfold ker\text{-}def, auto)
 have subs-im: subspace\ K\ im\ T\ W\ by (intro\ im\ T-is-subspace)
  from subs-im have vs-im: vectorspace K (W.vs imT) by (rule
W.subspace-is-vs)
 from vs-im interpret im: vectorspace K (W.vs imT) by auto
 have imInC: imT \subseteq carrier\ W by (unfold im\text{-}def, auto)
 have zero-same[simp]: \mathbf{0}_{V.vs\ kerT} = \mathbf{0}_{V} apply (unfold ker-def) by
   – Show ker T has a finite basis. This is not obvious. Show that
any linearly independent set has size at most that of V. There exists a
maximal linearly independent set, which is the basis.
 have every-li-small: \bigwedge A. (A \subseteq kerT) \land ker.lin-indpt A \Longrightarrow
   finite A \wedge card A \leq V.dim
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ A
   assume eli-asm: (A \subseteq kerT) \land ker.lin-indpt A
  note V.module.span-li-not-depend(2) [where ?N=kerT and ?S=A]
   from this subs-ker fd eli-asm kerInC show ?thesis A
     apply (intro\ conjI)
     by (auto intro!: V.li-le-dim)
 qed
 from every-li-small have exA:
  \exists A. finite A \land maximal A (\lambda S. S \subseteq carrier (V.vs ker T) \land ker.lin-indpt)
S)
   apply (intro maximal-exists [where ?N=V.dim and ?B=\{\}])
    apply auto
   by (unfold ker.lin-dep-def, auto)
 from exA obtain A where A: finite A \wedge maximal A (\lambda S. S \subseteq carrier
(V.vs\ kerT) \land ker.lin-indpt\ S)
   by blast
 hence finA: finite\ A and Ainker: A \subseteq carrier\ (V.vs\ kerT) and AinC:
A \subseteq carrier\ V
   by (unfold maximal-def ker-def, auto)
    We obtain the basis A of kerT. It is also linearly independent when
considered in V rather than kerT
 from A have Abasis: ker.basis A
```

assumes fd: V.fin-dim

```
by (intro ker.max-li-is-basis, auto)
 from subs-ker Abasis have spanA: V.module.span A = kerT
   apply (unfold ker.basis-def)
  by (subst\ sym[OF\ V.module.span-li-not-depend(1)[\mathbf{where}\ ?N=kerT]],
 from Abasis have Akerli: ker.lin-indpt A
   apply (unfold ker.basis-def)
   by auto
 from subs-ker Ainker Akerli have Ali: V.module.lin-indpt A
   by (auto simp add: V.module.span-li-not-depend(2))
Use the replacement theorem to find C such that A \cup C is a basis of V.
 from fd obtain B where B: finite B \land V.basis B by (metis V.finite-basis-exists)
 from B have Bfin: finite B and Bbasis: V.basis B by auto
 from B have Bcard: V.dim = card B by (intro V.dim-basis, auto)
 from Bbasis have 62: V.module.span B = carrier V
   by (unfold V.basis-def, auto)
 from A Abasis Ali B vs-ker have \exists C. finite C \land C \subseteq carrier V \land
C \subseteq V.module.span B \land C \cap A = \{\}
   \land int (card\ C) \leq (int\ (card\ B)) - (int\ (card\ A)) \land (V.module.span)
(A \cup C) = V.module.span B)
   apply (intro V.replacement)
   apply (unfold vectorspace.basis-def V.basis-def)
    by (unfold ker-def, auto)
From replacement we got |C| \leq |B| - |A|. Equality must actually
hold, because no generating set can be smaller than B. Now A \cup C
is a maximal generating set, hence a basis; its cardinality equals the
dimension.
We claim that T(C) is basis for im(T).
then obtain C where C: finite C \wedge C \subseteq carrier \ V \wedge C \subseteq V.module.span
B \wedge C \cap A = \{\}
  \land int (card C) \leq (int (card B)) - (int (card A)) \land (V.module.span
(A \cup C) = V.module.span B) by auto
  hence Cfin: finite\ C and CinC:\ C\subseteq carrier\ V and CinspanB:
C \subseteq V.module.span \ B \ and \ CAdis: \ C \cap A = \{\}
   and Ccard: int (card C) \leq (int (card B)) - (int (card A))
   and ACspanB: (V.module.span (A \cup C) = V.module.span B) by
auto
 from C have cardLe: card A + card C \leq card B by auto
 from B C have ACgen: V.module.gen-set (A \cup C) apply (unfold
V.basis-def) by auto
 from finA C ACgen AinC B have cardGe: card (A \cup C) \geq card B
by (intro V.li-smaller-than-gen, unfold V.basis-def, auto)
 from finA C have cardUn: card (A \cup C) \leq card A + card C
   by (metis Int-commute card-Un-disjoint le-refl)
 from cardLe cardUn cardGe Bcard have cardEq:
   card (A \cup C) = card A + card C
```

```
card\ (A\cup C) = card\ B
card\ (A\cup C) = V.dim
\mathbf{by}\ auto
\mathbf{from}\ Abasis\ C\ cardEq\ \mathbf{have}\ disj:\ A\cap C=\{\}\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
\mathbf{from}\ finA\ AinC\ C\ cardEq\ 62\ \mathbf{have}\ ACfin:\ finite\ (A\cup C)\ \mathbf{and}\ ACbasis:\ V.basis\ (A\cup C)
\mathbf{by}\ (auto\ intro!:\ V.dim-gen-is-basis)
\mathbf{have}\ lm:\ linear-map\ K\ V\ W\ T..
\mathbf{Let}\ C'\ \mathbf{be}\ \mathbf{the}\ \mathbf{image}\ \mathbf{of}\ C\ \mathbf{under}\ T.\ \mathbf{We}\ \mathbf{will}\ \mathbf{show}\ C'\ \mathbf{is}\ \mathbf{a}\ \mathbf{basis}\ \mathbf{for}\ \mathbf{im}(T).
\mathbf{let}\ ?C' = T`C
\mathbf{from}\ Cfin\ \mathbf{have}\ C'fin:\ finite\ ?C'\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
\mathbf{from}\ AinC\ C\ \mathbf{have}\ cim:\ ?C'\subseteq imT\ \mathbf{by}\ (unfold\ im\text{-}def,\ auto)
```

"There is a subtle detail: we first have to show T is injective on C.

We establish that no nontrivial linear combination of C can have image 0 under T, because that would mean it is a linear combination of A, giving that $A \cup C$ is linearly dependent, contradiction. We use this result in 2 ways: (1) if T is not injective on C, then we obtain $v, w \in C$ such that v - w is in the kernel, contradiction, (2) if T(C) is linearly dependent, taking the inverse image of that linear combination gives a linear combination of C in the kernel, contradiction. Hence T is injective on C and T(C) is linearly independent.

```
have lc-in-ker: \bigwedge d D v. \llbracket D \subseteq C; d \in D \rightarrow carrier K; T (V.module.lincomb) \rrbracket
dD) = \mathbf{0}_{W};
    v \in D; d v \neq \mathbf{0}_K \implies False
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ d \ D \ v
  assume D: D \subseteq C and d: d \in D \rightarrow carrier K and T0: T (V.module.lincomb
dD = \mathbf{0}_W
      and v: v \in D and dvnz: dv \neq \mathbf{0}_K
    from D Cfin have Dfin: finite D by (auto intro: finite-subset)
    from D CinC have DinC: D\subseteq carrier\ V by auto
    from T0 d Dfin DinC have lc-d: V.module.lincomb d D \in kerT
     by (unfold ker-def, auto)
    from lc-d spanA AinC have \exists a' A'. A' \subseteq A \land a' \in A' \rightarrow carrier K
\land
       V.module.lincomb \ a' \ A'= \ V.module.lincomb \ d \ D
     by (intro V.module.in-span, auto)
    then obtain a' A' where a': A' \subseteq A \land a' \in A' \rightarrow carrier K \land
      V.module.lincomb \ d \ D = V.module.lincomb \ a' \ A'
    hence A'sub: A' \subseteq A and a'fun: a' \in A' \rightarrow carrier K
      and a'-lc: V. module. lincomb d D = V. module. lincomb a' A' by
  from a' finA Dfin have A'fin: finite (A') by (auto intro: finite-subset)
    from AinC \ A'sub have A'inC: A' \subseteq carrier \ V by auto
    let ?e = (\lambda v. \ if \ v \in A' \ then \ a' \ v \ else \ \ominus_K \mathbf{1}_K \otimes_K \ d \ v)
```

```
from a'fun d have e-fun: ?e \in A' \cup D \rightarrow carrier K
     apply (unfold Pi-def)
     by auto
   from
     A'fin Dfin
     A'inC\ DinC
     a'fun d e-fun
     disj \ D \ A'sub
   have lccomp1:
     V.module.lincomb\ a'\ A'\oplus_V\ominus_K\mathbf{1}_{K}\odot_V\ V.module.lincomb\ d\ D=
        V.module.lincomb\ (\lambda v.\ if\ v\in A'\ then\ a'\ v\ else\ \ominus_K \mathbf{1}_K \otimes_K\ d\ v)
(A' \cup D)
     apply (subst sym[OF V.module.lincomb-smult])
         apply (simp-all)
     apply (subst V.module.lincomb-union2)
          by (auto)
   {\bf from}
     A'fin
     A'inC
     a'fun
   have lccomp2:
     V.module.lincomb\ a'\ A'\oplus_V\ominus_K\mathbf{1}_K\odot_V\ V.module.lincomb\ d\ D=
     \mathbf{0}_{V}
     by (simp add: a'-lc
       V.module.smult-minus-1 \quad V.module.M.r-neg)
   from lccomp1\ lccomp2\ have\ lc0: V.module.lincomb\ (\lambda v.\ if\ v \in A'
then a' v else \ominus_K \mathbf{1}_K \otimes_K d v) (A' \cup D)
     =0<sub>V</sub> by auto
   from disj a' v D have v-nin: v \notin A' by auto
   from A'fin Dfin
     A'inC DinC
     e-fun d
     A'sub D disj
     v \ dvnz
     lc0
   have AC-ld: V.module.lin-dep (A \cup C)
     apply (intro V.module.lin-dep-crit[where ?A=A'\cup D and
         ?S=A\cup C and ?a=\lambda v. if v\in A' then a' v else \ominus_K \mathbf{1}_K \otimes_K d v
and ?v=v)
          by (auto dest: integral)
   from AC-ld ACbasis show False by (unfold V.basis-def, auto)
 have C'-card: inj-on T C card C = card ?C'
 proof -
   show inj-on T C
   proof (rule ccontr)
     assume \neg inj-on T C
```

```
then obtain v w where v \in C w \in C v \neq w T v = T w by (unfold
inj-on-def, auto)
     {\bf from}\ this\ CinC\ {\bf show}\ False
        apply (intro lc-in-ker[where ?D=\{v,w\} and ?d=\lambda x. if x=v
then \mathbf{1}_K else \ominus_K \mathbf{1}_K
         and ?v=v)
       by (auto simp add: V.module.lincomb-def hom-sum ring-subset-carrier
             W.module.smult-minus-1 r-neg T-im)
   \mathbf{qed}
   from this Cfin show card C = card ?C'
     by (metis card-image)
 qed
 let ?f = the - inv - into C T
 have f: \Lambda x. \ x \in C \Longrightarrow ?f(Tx) = x \Lambda y. \ y \in ?C' \Longrightarrow T(?fy) = y
   apply (insert C'-card(1))
    apply (metis the-inv-into-f-f)
   by (metis f-the-inv-into-f)
 have C'-li: im.lin-indpt ?C'
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume Cld: ¬im.lin-indpt ?C′
   from Cld cim subs-im have CldW: W.module.lin-dep ?C'
       apply (subst\ sym[OF\ W.module.span-li-not-depend(2)] where
?S = TC \text{ and } ?N = imT]
       by auto
  from C CldW have \exists c' v'. (c' \in (?C' \rightarrow carrier K)) \land (W.module.lincomb)
c' ?C' = \mathbf{0}_W)
       \land (v' \in ?C') \land (c' \ v' \neq \mathbf{0}_K)  by (intro W.module.finite-lin-dep,
auto)
  then obtain c'v' where c': (c' \in (?C' \rightarrow carrier K)) \land (W.module.lincomb
c' ? C' = \mathbf{0}_{W}
     \land (v' \in ?C') \land (c' \ v' \neq \mathbf{0}_K)  by auto
   hence c'fun: (c' \in (?C' \rightarrow carrier K)) and c'lc: (W.module.lincomb
c' ?C' = \mathbf{0}_W) and
     v':(v' \in ?C') and cvnz:(c' \ v' \neq \mathbf{0}_K) by auto
We take the inverse image of C' under T to get a linear combination
of C that is in the kernel and hence a linear combination of A. This
contradicts A \cup C being linearly independent.
   let ?c = \lambda v. c' (T v)
   from c' fun have c-fun: ?c \in C \rightarrow carrier K by auto
   \mathbf{from} \ \mathit{Cfin}
     c-fun c'fun
     C'-card
     CinC
     f
     c'lc
   have T-lc-0: T (V.module.lincomb ?c C) = \mathbf{0}_W
```

```
apply (unfold V.module.lincomb-def W.module.lincomb-def)
    apply (subst hom-sum, auto)
    apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
   apply (auto cong: finsum-cong simp add: T-smult ring-subset-carrier
Pi-simp)
      apply (subst finsum-reindex[where ?f = \lambda w. \ c' \ w \odot_W \ w and
?h = T \text{ and } ?A = C, THEN sym])
       by (auto simp add: Pi-simp)
   from f c'fun cvnz v' T-lc-0 show False
      by (intro lc-in-ker[where ?D=C and ?d=?c and ?v=?f v'],
auto)
 qed
 have C'-gen: im.gen-set ?C'
 proof -
   have C'-span: span ?C' = imT
   proof (rule\ equalityI)
    from cim\ subs-im\ show\ W.module.span\ ?C' \subseteq imT
      by (intro span-is-subset, unfold subspace-def, auto)
    show im T \subseteq W.module.span ?C'
    proof (auto)
      \mathbf{fix} \ w
      assume w: w \in imT
         from this finA Cfin AinC CinC obtain v where v-inC:
v \in carrier\ V and w - eq - T - v: w = T\ v
        by (unfold im-def image-def, auto)
      from finA Cfin AinC CinC v-inC ACgen have \exists a. \ a \in A \cup C
\rightarrow carrier \ K \land \ V.module.lincomb \ a \ (A \cup C) = v
        by (intro V.module.finite-in-span, auto)
      then obtain a where
        a-fun: a \in A \cup C \rightarrow carrier K and
        lc-a-v: v = V.module.lincomb a <math>(A \cup C)
        by auto
      let ?a' = \lambda v. a (?f v)
       from finA Cfin AinC CinC a-fun disj Ainker f C'-card have
Tv: Tv = W.module.lincomb ?a' ?C'
        apply (subst lc-a-v)
        apply (subst\ V.module.lincomb-union,\ simp-all)
        apply (unfold lincomb-def V.module.lincomb-def)
        apply (subst hom-sum, auto)
        apply (drule Pi-implies-Pi2)+
        apply (simp add: Pi-simp subsetD
         hom-sum
          T-ker
         )
         apply (subst finsum-reindex[where ?h=T and ?f=\lambda v. ?a'
v \odot_W v, auto)
      by (auto cong: finsum-cong simp add: Pi-simp ring-subset-carrier)
      from a-fun f have a'-fun: ?a' \in ?C' \rightarrow carrier K by auto
```

```
from C' fin C in C this w-eq-T-v a'-fun Tv show w \in L in e ar C om-
binations.module.span \ K \ W \ (T \ `C)
       by (subst finite-span, auto)
    qed
   ged
   from this subs-im CinC show ?thesis
    apply (subst\ span-li-not-depend(1))
      by (unfold im-def subspace-def, auto)
 qed
 from C'-li C'-gen C cim have C'-basis: im.basis (T'C)
   by (unfold im.basis-def, auto)
 have C-card-im: card C = (vectorspace.dim\ K\ (W.vs\ im\ T))
  from C'fin C'-card C'-basis have vectorspace.dim K (W.vsimT)
= card ?C'
    apply (intro im.dim-basis)
     by auto
   from C'-card this show ?thesis by auto
  from finA Abasis have A-card-ker: ker.dim = card A by (rule
ker.dim-basis)
 from C-card-im A-card-ker cardEq show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

end

References

- [DA13] Jose Divasn and Jess Aransay. Rank-nullity theorem in linear algebra. Archive of Formal Proofs, January 2013. http://afp.sf.net/entries/Rank_Nullity_Theorem.shtml, Formal proof development.
- [FIS03] S.H. Friedberg, A.J. Insel, and L.E. Spence. *Linear Algebra*. Prentice Hall, 2003.