The Class of Random Graphs Arising from Exchangeable Random Measures Victor Veitch and Daniel M. Roy

https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03099

Sampling and Estimation for (Sparse) Exchangeable Graphs Victor Veitch and Daniel M. Rov

https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.00843

And maybe also this survey:

Bayesian Models of Graphs, Arrays and Other Exchangeable Random Structures (with Peter Orbanz) IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intelligence (PAMI), 2014.

https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.7857

The talk will be informal but based on slides I've produced for previous talks. Here's a recent abstract:

Statistical models of sparse networks from symmetries

The statistical analysis of network data rests on a foundation of random graph models, yet existing models are inadequate because they cannot model sparse graphs or fail to meet basic statistical criteria. We introduce a new class of random graphs, defined by the exchangeability of their vertices, and indexed by the expected number of edges, rather than the number of vertices. A straightforward adaptation of a result by Kallenberg yields a representation theorem: every such random graph is characterized by three (potentially random) components: a nonnegative real I in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , a measurable function  $S: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , and a symmetric measurable function  $W: \mathbb{R}^2_+$  to [0,1], where both S and W satisfy weak integrability conditions. We call the triple (I,S,W) a graphex, in analogy to graphons, which characterize the (dense) exchangeable graphs indexed by the cardinality of their vertex sets. I will present some results about the structure and consistent estimation of these random graphs, and what role they can play in the analysis of real-world networks.

I'm coming from a statistical modeling perspective. What is an observation? What is the model?

We need a framework for the statistical analysis of networks:

- family of distribution on large graphs (populations)
- distribution over observed (sub)graphs (samples)

These are often conflated.

Real world networks are sparsely connected.

• Random graph models should be sparse,  $o(n^2)$  deges among n vertices.

Problem: There is no suitable general framework.

- Graphons cannot get you this. The problem is exchangeability.
- Do we need to abandon exchangeability to get sparsity? No. (Caron and Fox 2014, Kallenberg 1990)

We introduce a general class of random graphs suitable for modelling sparsely connected network structures. The math structures we exploit are exchangeable random measures.

Special cases:

- dense exchangeable graphs (graphon model)
- Caron and Fox's nonparametric Bayesian models of sparse graphs
- Sparse graphs with mall world, power law behaviors.

Preferential attachment yields these.

- Exchangeability can be related to a sampling process.
- Sampling process is perverse for large (sparse) graphs.

Example:

- Initialize: sum 1, total 2.
- Next draw: Bernoulli sum/total. Add y to sum, 1 to total.

The probability of a path depends only on where it ends; all that matters is the total counts of 0's and 1's.

There's another description of this process, identical at the level of what numbers pop out.

- Let theta be uniform from [0, 1].
- Return bernoulli(theta).

Note this doesn't include mutation.

A sequence of random variables Y is exchangeable when

$$(Y_1,\ldots,Y_n)\stackrel{d}{=}(Y_{\pi(1)},\ldots,Y_{\pi(n)})$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , permutation  $\pi$  of [n].

**Theorem 0.1** (De Finetti). *TFAE*:

- Y is exchangeable.
- Y is conditionally iid given some  $\theta$
- Exists f such that

$$Y \stackrel{d}{(} f(\theta, U_1), f(\theta, U_2), \ldots)$$

for iid uniform  $\theta, U_1, U_2, \ldots$ 

Let's say n is large; look at a prefix  $k \ll n$ . That sequence is exchangeable. Insofar as n grows much larger than k, it looks like it is the draw from a structure like this.

Given a sample, I need to think it's a prefix of an infinite exchangeable sequence.

Represent a graph as an adjacency matrix, or as a function on  $[0,1]^2$ , "graphical graphon". Joint exchangeability on an array X on  $\mathbb{N}^2$  means

$$(X_{ij}) \stackrel{d}{=} (X_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}).$$

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , graphon  $W: [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  symmetric, measurable. Generative model for G(n,W):

- 1. Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$  be iid uniform [0, 1].
- 2. Connect vertices i and j for  $i < j \le n$ , independently with probability  $W(\xi_i, \xi_j)$ .

Write  $G(W) = G(\infty, W)$ .

**Theorem 0.2** (Aldous-Hoover). Let X be infinite symmetric adjacency matrix. TFAE:

- 1. X is jointly exchangeable.
- 2. X is W-random graph for some (potentially random) graphon W.

Making the graph larger and larger, viewed from the sampling processes perspective, it's possible that the law of the sampling process will converge. The sequence of graph converges to a measurable function.

What can you learn about facebook by sampling neighborhoods? That's an open problem.

We address sampling with a uniform sampling. It gives relative frequency of subgraphs. Statistically it's a little perverse because we don't sample this way.

Ex. movie ratings: force people to watch movies. Expect underlying ratings to satisfy exchangeability.

Let  $W, W_1, W_2, ...$  be a sequence of graphons. Write  $W_n \to_{\square} W$  if  $G(W_n) \to G(W)$  in distribution. Through random sampling they produce similar subgraphs.

**Theorem 0.3** (Kallenberg 99). Let  $\widehat{W}_n$  be empirical graphon of G(n, W). Then  $W_n \to_{\square} W$  a.s.

Multivariate sampling and the estimation problem for exchangeable arrays.

Corollary 0.4. Exchangeable graphs are dense or empty a.s.

Use linearity of expectation and exchangeability,

$$\mathbb{E} (\text{edges}) = \binom{n}{2} \mathbb{E}[X_{1,2}].$$

Caron and Fox 2014: go beyond dense structures. Each vertex gets a real-valued label. An edge between 1 and 2 means a point at  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ . We can think of the points as a set in  $\mathbb{R}^2_+$ . We've lost the disconnected vertices. A random sample from a sparse graph has many disconnected vertices.

Call this an adjacency measure: each point  $(\theta_i, \theta_j) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$  is an edge ij.

Kallenberg exchangeable graph (Veitch-Roy 15)

Fix a size  $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Fix a graphex  $W : \mathbb{R}^2_+ \to [0,1]$  symmetric, measurable.

Generative model for K(s, W),

- Let  $\Pi = \sum_i \delta_{(\theta_i, \xi_i)}$  be a unit-rate Poisson process on  $[0, s] \times \mathbb{R}_+$ .
- For every edge  $i < j, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , add edge  $(\theta_i, \theta_j)$  with probability  $W(\xi_i, \xi_j)$ .

Also star structures and isolated edges...

Sample from an infinite strip  $[0, s] \times \mathbb{R}$ . Decide whether to put an edge  $(\theta_i, \xi_i), (\theta_j, \xi_j)$  by flipping  $W(\xi_i, \xi_j)$ . I forget about the isolated vertices.

It is like the limit of a graphon as it gets more sparse.

How to adjust to do preferential attachment? If you run preferential attachment for a long time and choose a vertex at random it looks locally treelike. You won't see that here; you see isolated edges.

If W is integrable, in expectation you get finitely many edges.

Let X be infinite adjacency measure. TFAE:

- 1. X is jointly exchangeable.
- 2. X is Kallenberg exchangeable graph for some (potentially random) graphex W.

At particular times, structure shows up.

Graphons can be represented as graphexes. Define on square of size  $c \times c$ . Let

$$W(x,y) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{W}(x/c, y/c), & x, y \le c \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Call W a c-dilation of  $\widetilde{W}$ .

Generative model:

$$N_s \sim Poi(c \cdot s)$$
 (1)

$$\{\theta_i\} \sim Uni[0, s] \tag{2}$$

$$\{\xi_i\} \sim Uni[0,1] \tag{3}$$

$$G_s\{(\theta_i, \theta_j)\}|\xi_i, \xi_j \sim Bernoulli(\widetilde{W}(\xi_i, \xi_j)).$$
 (4)

How many edges, vertices do we expect to see?

$$\mathbb{E}[e_s] = \frac{1}{2}s^2 \|W\|_1 \cdot \mathbb{E}[v_s] \qquad = s \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (1 - e^{-s\mu_W(\lambda)}) d\lambda. \tag{5}$$

 $\mathbb{E}[v_s]$  depends on tail.

Ex. polynomial tail power law. Exponential tail in middle. Compactly supported dense. For example,  $W(x,y) = (x \neq y)(x+1)^{-2}(y+1)^{-2}$  gives

$$\mathbb{E}[e_s] = s^2 \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[v_s] = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}} s^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{7}$$

$$P(D_s = k|G_s) \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2} + k)}{2\sqrt{\pi}k!} k^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$
(8)