



# Tutorial 2: Asset price bubbles

*Jeanne Terblanche*  
([jeannebrink@sun.ac.za](mailto:jeannebrink@sun.ac.za))

1.

# Question 1

# Question 1

Consider a stock that pays dividends of  $D_t$  and whose price is  $P_t$  in period  $t$ . Consumers are risk neutral\* with discount rate  $r$ , so their objective function is:

$$E_t \left[ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{t+s}}{(1+r)^s} \right]$$

1. Use a calculus of variations argument to show that equilibrium requires  $P_t = E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1} + P_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right]$ .

Assume that if the stock is sold, it happens after the dividend for that period is paid out.

\*risk neutral  $\rightarrow$  linear utility function

# Question 1

Calculus of variations: marginal changes should be utility neutral along the equilibrium path (MC = MU). No Lagrangian this time ☹

Marginal change  $\rightarrow$  very small reduction in consumption and very small increase in the asset.

Consider an infinitesimal adjustment to consumption of  $dC$ . If the agent buys an infinitesimal unit of the asset:

- consumption (and given linearity, utility) will reduce in period  $t$  by  $P_t dC$  to buy more of the stock.
- this will yield uncertain dividend  $D_{t+1}$  in  $t + 1$  and the asset's selling price will be an uncertain  $P_{t+1}$ . In expectation, the net present value of the change to future utility is thus  $E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1} + P_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] dC$

# Question 1

- Loss in present utility =  $P_t dC$
- Net present value of the increase in future utility =  $E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1} + P_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] dC$

If the agent is optimizing, then these trade-offs must be equal in equilibrium

$$\begin{aligned} P_t dC &= E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1} + P_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] dC \\ P_t &= E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1} + P_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

2.

## Question 2

## Question 2

2. Iterate the expression in (1) forward to derive an expression for  $P_t$  in terms of only future dividends and the interest rate, using the following *no-bubbles* condition:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} E_t \left[ \frac{P_{t+s}}{(1+r)^s} \right] = 0$$

and the law of iterated expectations:  $E_t [E_{t+1} [x_{t+s}]] = E_t [x_{t+s}]$ .

## Question 2

Iterating the optimality condition one period into the future:

$$\begin{aligned} P_t &= E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1} + P_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] \\ &= E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] + E_t \left[ \frac{P_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] \\ &= E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] + E_t \left[ \frac{1}{(1+r)} E_{t+1} \left[ \frac{D_{t+2} + P_{t+2}}{(1+r)} \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

The law of iterated expectations says:  $E_t [E_{t+1} [x_{t+s}]] = E_t [x_{t+s}]$ .



## Question 2

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} P_t &= E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] + E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+2}}{(1+r)^2} \right] + E_t \left[ \frac{P_{t+2}}{(1+r)^2} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + E_t \left[ \frac{P_{t+s}}{(1+r)^s} \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + \underbrace{\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} E_t \left[ \frac{P_{t+s}}{(1+r)^s} \right]}_{= 0 \text{ (No bubbles condition)}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] \end{aligned}$$

3.

Question 3

## Question 3

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} E_t \left[ \frac{P_{t+s}}{(1+r)^s} \right] = 0$$

3. Give a clear description of the intuitive meaning of the *no-bubbles* condition.

The no-bubbles condition (a Transversality condition) says that the present discounted value of the asset price infinitely far in the future must be zero.

- This means that one cannot permanently borrow against the value of the asset (which would be a Ponzi scheme) in the future and so obtain infinite utility.
- In mathematical terms it means that if there is any expected permanent growth in  $P_t$  it must be slower than the rate at which the discount factor  $\left[ \frac{1}{(1+r)^s} \right]$  shrinks to zero.

4.

## Question 4

## Question 4(a)

4. Now we relax the *no-bubbles* assumption.

a) **Deterministic bubbles:** Suppose that  $P_t$  equals the expression you derived in (2) plus  $(1 + r)^t b$  where  $b > 0$ . Show that this expression still satisfies the consumer's optimality condition  $P_t = E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1} + P_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right]$  and interpret what this means.

[Hint: start with the new expression, lead it forward one period and take conditional expectation  $E_t$ ].

$$\text{From (2): } P_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1+r)^t b$$

## Question 4(a)

The new expression is:

$$P_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1+r)^t b$$

lead it forward 1 period

$$P_{t+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{t+1} \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1+r)^{t+1} b$$

take conditional expectation  $E_t$

$$\begin{aligned} E_t [P_{t+1}] &= E_t \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{t+1} \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1+r)^{t+1} b \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1+r)^{t+1} b \end{aligned}$$

## Question 4(a)

From the previous slide:

$$E_t [P_{t+1}] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1+r)^{t+1} b$$

Now divide through by  $(1+r)$  and then add  $E_t \left( \frac{D_{t+1}}{1+r} \right)$  to both sides. Note carefully how the exponents change:

$$E_t \left[ \frac{P_{t+1}}{1+r} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^{j+1}} \right] + (1+r)^t b$$

$$E_t \left[ \frac{P_{t+1}}{1+r} \right] + E_t \left( \frac{D_{t+1}}{1+r} \right) = E_t \left( \frac{D_{t+1}}{1+r} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^{j+1}} \right] + (1+r)^t b$$

$$E_t \left[ \frac{P_{t+1} + D_{t+1}}{1+r} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1+r)^t b$$

## Question 4(a)

$$\text{Started with this: } P_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1+r)^t b$$
$$E_t \left[ \frac{P_{t+1} + D_{t+1}}{1+r} \right] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1+r)^t b$$

Interpretation:

- we showed there exists more than one solution to the asset price process that satisfies optimality.
- The no-bubbles case is only one of many solutions (technically infinite number: a different solution for every possible  $b > 0$ ). This happens here because the optimality condition is a linear difference equation, which can have many solutions that are equally valid.

Interpreting the economics: both a bubble and a no bubble price path may satisfy in period optimality.

$\therefore$  merely insisting on optimal behaviour in any given period **does not** on its own rule out the possibility of non-fundamental price movements in asset markets.



## Question 4(b)(i)

- b) **Stochastic bursting bubbles:** Suppose that  $P_t$  equals the expression you derived in (2) plus  $q_t$  where

$$q_t = \begin{cases} \frac{(1+r)q_{t-1}}{\alpha} & \text{with probability } \alpha \\ 0 & \text{with probability } (1 - \alpha) \end{cases}$$

From (2): 
$$P_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] \boxed{+ q_t}$$

- i. Again, show that this new expression for  $P_t$  still satisfies  $P_t = E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1} + P_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right]$

## Question 4(b)(i)

Similar to 4(a):

$$P_t = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + q_t$$

lead it forward 1 period

$$\therefore P_{t+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{t+1} \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + q_{t+1}$$

take conditional  
expectation  $E_t$

$$\begin{aligned} E_t(P_{t+1}) &= E_t \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_{t+1} \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + q_{t+1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + \underline{E_t q_{t+1}} \end{aligned}$$

## Question 4(b)(i)

Difference to 4(a): now  $q_{t+1}$  is not deterministic.

But, it has a known and simple probability description so we can find  $E_t q_{t+1}$  (note carefully how the piecewise description of  $q_t$  is employed):

$$E_t (P_{t+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + E_t q_{t+1}$$

$$E_t (P_{t+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1-\alpha) \cdot 0 + \alpha \frac{(1+r) q_t}{\alpha}$$

From the previous slide:

Question 4(b) 
$$E_t(P_{t+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1-\alpha) \cdot 0 + \alpha \frac{(1+r)q_t}{\alpha}$$

Rest similar to 4(a): divide through by  $(1+r)$  and add  $E_t\left(\frac{D_{t+1}}{1+r}\right)$  to both sides.

$$\begin{aligned} E_t(P_{t+1}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + (1+r)q_t \\ E_t\left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{1+r}\right) + E_t\left(\frac{D_{t+1}}{1+r}\right) &= E_t\left(\frac{D_{t+1}}{1+r}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1+j}}{(1+r)^{j+1}} \right] + q_t \\ P_t = E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+1} + P_{t+1}}{(1+r)} \right] &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E_t \left[ \frac{D_{t+j}}{(1+r)^j} \right] + q_t \end{aligned}$$

Again, we have shown that this stochastic bubble process also satisfies the difference equation that characterizes optimality.

## Question 4(b)(ii)

$$q_t = \begin{cases} \frac{(1+r)q_{t-1}}{\alpha} & \text{with probability } \alpha \\ 0 & \text{with probability } (1 - \alpha) \end{cases}$$

- ii. If there is a bubble in period  $t$  (i.e. that  $q_t > 0$ ), what is the probability that the bubble has burst by period  $t + s$  (i.e. that  $q_{t+s} = 0$ )?

Note:  $q_j = 0$  implies that  $q_{k>j} = 0$ , so in this model, once a bubble has burst, it is gone forever.

If  $q_t > 0$ , then  $q_{t+1} = \frac{(1+r)q_t}{\alpha} > 0$  with probability  $\alpha$ .  $\therefore$  the bubble will not have burst in period  $t + 1$  with probability  $\alpha$ .

$$q_{t+2} = \frac{(1+r)^2 q_t}{\alpha^2} > 0 \text{ with probability } \alpha^2$$

$$\therefore q_{t+s} > 0 \text{ with probability } \alpha^s$$

## Question 4(b)(ii)

$$q_t = \begin{cases} \frac{(1+r)q_{t-1}}{\alpha} & \text{with probability } \alpha \\ 0 & \text{with probability } (1 - \alpha) \end{cases}$$

How likely is it that the bubble has burst by period  $t + 1$ ?

= the probability that it has not burst by period  $t$  (= 1 by assumption) times the probability that it bursts in period  $t + 1$ ,  $(1 - \alpha)$ .

Probability that the bubble **has already burst** by (i.e. in any period up to) period  $t + 3$ ?

The probability that it burst in period  $t + 1$ ,  $(1 - \alpha)$ , plus the probability that it burst in  $t + 2$ ,  $\alpha(1 - \alpha)$ , plus the probability that it only burst in  $t + 3$ ,  $\alpha^2(1 - \alpha)$ .

Therefore:  $\Pr(q_{t+s} = 0) = (1 - \alpha)(1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{s-1})$

$$= (1 - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \alpha^j$$

## Question 4(b)(iii)

iii. What is the limit of this probability in (ii) as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ ? Interpret this result.

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} [\Pr(q_{t+s} = 0)] &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left[ (1 - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \alpha^j \right] \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \alpha^j \right] \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \right] \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

## Question 4(b)(iii)

iii. What is the limit of this probability in (ii) as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ ? Interpret this result.

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} [\Pr(q_{t+s} = 0)] = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left[ (1 - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \alpha^j \right]$$

$$= (1 - \alpha) (1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{s-1})$$

$$= (1 - \alpha) \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \alpha^j$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + 0.5 + 0.5^2 + 0.5^3 + 0.5^4 + 0.5^5 + \dots \\ &= 1 + 0.5 + 0.25 + 0.125 + 0.0625 + \\ & 0.03125 + \dots = 1.984375 \end{aligned}$$

$$= (1 - \alpha) \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} \alpha^j \right]$$

$$= (1 - \alpha) \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \right]$$

$$= 1$$



## Question 4(b)(iii)

Interpretation: if there currently is a bubble, and an equal, strictly positive probability that a bubble may burst in any period, then the bubble will eventually burst with certainty  $\rightarrow$  in this model, all asset price bubbles eventually burst.

- The likelihood of a bubble bursting may not be purely exogenous, and it is unlikely to be identical in all periods.
- But the above result will extend as follows: as long as there is high enough probability in every period that the bubble may burst, exogenous or endogenous, the bubble must eventually burst.

**Thank you!**